Article:

Professionals' perspectives on neurodiversity-affirmative autism diagnostic assessment

Lauren Powell [iD]

Dear Editor,

I was delighted to read Pritchard-Rowe and Gibson's recent paper on professionals' perspectives on neurodiversity-affirmative autism diagnostic assessment practices (Pritchard-Rowe & Gibson, 2024). The study benefits from a strong theoretical basis for the provision of neurodiversity-affirmative autism diagnostic assessments and provides recommendations for how this can be achieved in practice. The work is conducted from a neurodiversity paradigm perspective that explains autism as 'a different way of being, rather than a disorder' (Shakespeare, 2013). This raises important questions about current diagnostic assessment practices that are currently dominated by a medicalised model approach that frames differences as deficits (Pellicano & den Houting, 2022).

It is important to acknowledge my positionality to contextualise and perhaps explain the position from which I write. I am a university lecturer and teach topics around attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and autism, and my research places the voices of those with lived experiences of autism and/or ADHD at the centre. I was late diagnosed in adulthood with autism and ADHD. I navigated primary, secondary and higher education without formal knowledge of my differences or support for my poor mental health, particularly in adolescence. I always felt I was different from my peers and experienced challenges, for which I blamed myself, including but not limited to making and maintaining friendships, poor self-esteem, poor emotion regulation, general and social anxiety. Where I understand and appreciate that the concept of diagnostic labels has been widely debated (Sims et al., 2021), since gaining my diagnosis, I personally have learned a lot about myself, which has been valuable, empowering and has provided a sense of relief.

I often reflect on how my earlier years didn't need to be as challenging as they were. I reflect on lengthy questions in mathematics lessons that I didn't have the working memory to comprehend and therefore answer, the expectation (and failure) to regulate emotions throughout a school (or working) day, and the anxieties around the unpredictable nature of the school day and more unstructured times such as during lunch breaks. Reasonable environmental adjustments could have reduced these challenges and my extreme resultant anxieties.

Therefore, regardless of the value of a diagnostic label, the presence of neurodiversity-affirming environments is essential to prevent worse outcomes for autistic individuals. There is no reason why this cannot begin with the diagnostic process and there is no reason why in the modern day autistic people should be disadvantaged because of their environment. We have the evidence to show what is needed, and there are recommendations for how this can be supported within society in Pritchard-Rowe and Gibson's work that provides concrete ways by which neurodiversity-affirmative assessments can take place.

The findings of Pritchard-Rowe and Gibson's (2024) work support a plethora of existing evidence that advocates for the communication of positive strengths-based language in relation to neurodiversity of the individual. Such approaches can be beneficial for their well-being. This contrasts with more detrimental ableist, or deficit focussed language that is driven by a medical model. Of particular interest was the authors' recommendation that autism assessments should acknowledge the role of the individual's environment, thus taking the onus away from deficits residing within the person. This could refer to recommendations of how reasonable environmental adjustments can be made to accommodate autistic people. This is considered the law under the Equality Act (2010) with regards to education and employment contexts. Therefore, why should this be different during the diagnostic process? Why should such reasonable environmental adjustments not be presented in diagnostic reports that focus on harnessing the strengths of the individual and consequently improving their well-being and overall outcomes?

Another point of interest was the transparency or reporting of the inclusion of autistic voices in the development of assessment processes. This is essential if we are to improve

School of Education, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK

Corresponding author:
Lauren Powell, School of Education, University of Sheffield, The Wave, 2 Whitham Road, Sheffield S10 2AH, UK.
Email: L.A.Powell@sheffield.ac.uk

the currently medicalised approach to autism assessments and make a more consistent shift towards language and recommendations that are strengths-based and advocate for harnessing individual strengths. We know that meaningfully placing expert voices of those with lived experiences at the centre of service development is powerful in terms of empowering autistic people and improving outcomes (Pellicano & den Houting, 2022) and this work provides further valuable evidence in favour of this.

Pritchard-Rowe and Gibson's (2024) work is admirable in terms of its aims and recommendations and has the potential to be generalised to other conditions such as ADHD as noted by the authors, Further, this study was conducted in the context of children and young people. However, it could also have implications for adult services.

I therefore reflect on young people receiving diagnoses of differences such as autism and ADHD and wonder how their lives may be very different if they are empowered through recognition and harnessing of their strengths. I deeply hope that this paper will inspire conversations between clinical professionals around how assessments can become more neurodiversity-affirmative in the short term, and by practitioners who influence diagnostic and assessment criteria to ensure that this is more deeply embedded in best practice expectations.

ORCID iD: Lauren Powell https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0230-8722

References

Equality Act. (2010). *Equality act*. The Stationary Office.

Pellicano, E., & den Houting, J. (2022). Annual research review: Shifting from 'normal science' to neurodiversity in autism science. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *63*(4), 381–396. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.13534

Pritchard-Rowe, E., & Gibson, J. (2024). Professionals' perspectives on neurodiversity-affirmative autism diagnostic assessment. *Neurodiversity*, *2*, 27546330241274674. https://doi.org/10.1177/27546330241274674

Shakespeare, T. (2013). The social model of disability. In L. J. Davis (Ed.), *The disability studies reader* (4th ed., pp. 214–221). Routledge.

Sims, R., Michaleff, Z. A., Glasziou, P., & Thomas, R. (2021). Consequences of a diagnostic label: A systematic scoping review and thematic framework. *Frontiers in Public Health*, *9*, 725877. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2021.725877