UNIVERSITY of York

This is a repository copy of Antimicrobial Resistance (AMR) development map: narrative overview and a conceptual map to aid economic evaluation of AMR interventions.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/220684/</u>

Version: Submitted Version

Preprint:

Aluzaite, Kristina orcid.org/0000-0001-9779-7340, Soares, Marta O orcid.org/0000-0003-1579-8513, Hewitt, Catherine orcid.org/0000-0002-0415-3536 et al. (3 more authors) Antimicrobial Resistance (AMR) development map: narrative overview and a conceptual map to aid economic evaluation of AMR interventions. [Preprint] (Submitted)

https://doi.org/10.15124/YAO-2YHS-BQ66

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL 2: THE AMR DEVELOPMENT MAP TOOL

The AMR development map tool below is designed to aid conceptual modelling in economic evaluation of AMR interventions. The tool guides the reader through questions on (1) the distribution of effects across AMR burden dimensions and (2) considers the specifics of the setting of interest to consider the wider ecosystem connectivity and the resulting sources of spillover. <u>The outcome of this working sheet</u> is an outline for the system of analysis that represents the scope of the model and the effects of interest, along with potential sources of spillover beyond the system of analysis.

AMR development map tool: Questions to support use of AMR development map in conceptual modelling with case study responses (screening and isolation intervention within a UK city hospital setting).

Case study description	Carbapenemase-producing Enterobacterales (CPE) screening and isolation intervention in a hospital setting
WHAT IS THE INTERVENTION?	Screening and isolation
(1) WHAT AMR PROCESS DI	RIVERS DOES THE INTERVENTION TARGET?
Given the AMR development r	nap
Category	Reduce (4) presence of transmission pathways via isolation and decrease the (5) resistant infection/colonisation burden.
Explanation	Screening and isolation will identify patients and subject them to isolation measures to decrease their contribution to the force of infection through decreasing transmission pathways (resulting in lower probability of other patients acquiring a resistant colonisation/infection within the setting) and will also decrease the overall resistant infection burden (through transmission and progression).
WHAT IS THE SETTING OF INTEREST (TYPE OF SETTING/-S & GEOGRAPHICAL AREA)	An intensive care unit (ICU) in a UK urban hospital
Is the site of intervention same as effects? Explain	Effects at the site of intervention will be quantified and the need to quantify effects outside of the intervention site will be explored.
(2) WHAT IS THE OVERALL CONNECTIVITY/ PERMEABILITY OF THE SETTING OF INTEREST?	 (1) A UK city hospital is likely be well isolated from other sectors, such as agriculture, wildlife and environment, hence the connectivity between sectors is low. (2) There will likely be connectivity between hospital units, carried by the transfer of patients and movement of staff/equipment. Depending on the layout of the unit, different units may
	also share the same space in the building, which would increase the connectivity. Sanitation strategies in place will influence the expected transfer of the pathogen/connectivity. Hence, depending on the specifics of the setting, the connectivity may be low to high.
	(3) Admitted and discharged patients will connect the hospital of interest with community, other healthcare settings, such as long-term care facilities and other hospitals. Depending on the usual pathways of patients, the connectivity may be low to high.
(3) WHAT IS THE ANTICIPAT DIMENSIONS?	ED DISTRIBUTION OF INTERVENTION EFFECTS ACROSS THE AMR BURDEN

Time		The intervention will ha	ave:		
		to be colonised may re	or the cohort of individuals target aceive different care to minimise t sult in fewer infections, while othe n),	heir probability to pr	ogress to
		(2) medium-term indire transmission.	ect effects for the future cohorts o	f patients through de	ecreased
			er time associated with disease d setting features (further research		
Physical space		The intervention will have:			
			e patients targeted by the interver are that will result in fewer infectio the pathogen),		
			cts across wider physical space t spital settings and settings outsic nity.		
		pathways and distance the physical outline of	vsical space will depend on the fe e of movement of patients, staff, a the setting of interest. The effects trategies in place that might preve	and equipment acros will also depend or	s and outside existing other
Effects to different sectors (One Health)		Given the specific setting of interest, this will likely result in indirect effects to the community and other health care facilities. Unlikely to impact other sectors within One-health framework.			
Wider pathogen	pool	have effects on transm	get pathogen in focus for the inte nission on multiple types of pathog ransmission effects on other key	gens found in the se	tting. It might be
		ATIAL AND INTER-SEC TY/ PERMEABILITY CON	TORAL SCOPE OF SYSTEM OF	ANALYSIS GIVEN	THE WIDER
The purpose of a makes the choic			DNS for spatial and inter-sectoral	scope of analysis. S	ection below
System of analysis boundary description	Permea bility (L/M/H) *	Sources of spillover into the system of analysis (affects outcomes)	Sources of spillover out of the system of analysis (potentially relevant outcomes to include)	Relative impact of spillover (L/M/H) *	Note gaps in knowledge
1.Single unit	Н	Admissions to the unit; staff; equipment	Movement of patients outside of the unit; staff; visitors; equipment.	Н	Not addressed in this
2. Entire hospital	L	Admission of patients; food; staff, visitors	Discharge of patients; staff; visitors.	L	Not addressed in this
3. Hospital and connected health care facilities	L	Admission of patients; food; staff, visitors	Discharge of patients; staff; visitors.	L	Not addressed in this
What is the pre	ferred syste	m of analysis option giv	ven permeability and what are t	he resulting source	es of spillover?
			of the system; (2) choosing feasib scope/complexity of the system of		
System of analysis (SA)		Entire hospital			
Sources of spillover into SA		Admission of patients; food; staff, visitors			

Discharge of patients; staff; visitors



Time	(1) given that infection outcomes might result in death, lifelong individual time horizon should be considered; (2) Depending on the anticipated persistence of the effects, several time intervention time horizons should be considered; (3) given the indirect effects associated with decreased transmission, model time horizon past the intervention time horizon should be considered.
Physical space	In addition to direct effects, wider geographical effects of decreased transmission from discharged patients should be considered through (1) deciding on the geographical boundary that would be affected and (2) estimate changes to transmission and resulting health effects over chosen time horizon.
Effects to different sectors (One Health)	Given the specific setting and geographical region, reduction in hospital infection rates is not likely to have effects on other sectors but is likely to affect the community setting. An intervention is likely to decrease contribution to prevalence of pathogen in the community and prevent potential outbreaks in other settings (for example nursing homes and rehabilitation centres).
Wider pathogen pool	Effects on multiple pathogen transmission and resulting decrease in disease should be considered. Pathogens known to spread in the hospital of interest and expected to be prevalent in the isolated patient group should be considered for inclusion.
Sources of spillover into the system of analysis to consider	Admission of patients and underlying prevalence.

*L/M/H – refers to categories: low/medium/high. However, more detail is useful if available.

** Options for setting of interest: combination of urban/semi-urban/rural/remote and tertiary hospital/specific specialty or unit within a hospital/primary care/community health centre/community non-health/animal health or veterinary

sector/agriculture/wildlife/environment

*** System of analysis vs sources of spillover differentiate between the system that you are modelling the dynamics of and static sources of output/input.