



This is a repository copy of *Policy actions required to improve nutrition for brain health*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/219421/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Higgs, S. orcid.org/0000-0002-9225-7692, Aarts, K., Adan, R.A.H. et al. (7 more authors) (2025) Policy actions required to improve nutrition for brain health. *Nutrition Reviews*, 83 (3). nuae160. pp. 586-592. ISSN 0029-6643

<https://doi.org/10.1093/nutrit/nuae160>

© 2024 The Authors. Except as otherwise noted, this author-accepted version of a journal article published in *Nutrition Reviews* is made available via the University of Sheffield Research Publications and Copyright Policy under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here:

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

1 **Title: Policy actions required to improve nutrition for brain health.**

2
3
4 *Suzanne Higgs, School of Psychology, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK. s.higgs.1@bham.ac.uk -*

5
6 *Kristien Aarts, European Brain Council, Belgium. kris@braincouncil.eu*

7 *Roger A.H. Adan, Department of Translational Neuroscience, UMC Utrecht Brain Center,*
8 *Utrecht University, the Netherlands R.A.H.Adan@umcutrecht.nl*

9 *Jan K. Buitelaar, Department of Cognitive Neuroscience, Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition*
10 *and Behavior, Radboudumc, Nijmegen, The Netherlands. Jan.Buitelaar@radboudumc.nl*

11 *Francesca Cirulli, Center for behavioral Sciences and Mental Health, Istituto Superiore di*
12 *Sanità, Rome, Italy. francesca.cirulli@iss.it*

13 *John F. Cryan, Department of Anatomy & Neuroscience and APC Microbiome Ireland,*
14 *University College Cork, Ireland J.Cryan@ucc.ie*

15 *Suzanne L Dickson, Institute of Neuroscience and Physiology, The Sahlgrenska Academy at*
16 *the University of Gothenburg, Sweden. suzanne.dickson@gu.se / European Brain Council,*
17 *Belgium*

18 *Aniko Korosi, Swammerdam Institute for Life Sciences, Center for Neuroscience, Brain*
19 *Plasticity Group, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands. a.korosi@uva.nl*

20 *Eline M. van der Beek, Department of Pediatrics, University Medical Centre Groningen,*
21 *University of Groningen, the Netherlands. e.m.van.der.beek@umcg.nl*

22 *Louise Dye, Institute for Sustainable Food, School of Psychology, University of Sheffield,*
23 *Sheffield, UK L.dye@sheffield.ac.uk*

24
25
26 **Keywords: nutrition, brain health, health policy,**

27
28
29 *Corresponding author*

30 *Suzanne Higgs, School of Psychology, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK.*
31 *s.higgs.1@bham.ac.uk*

34 Abstract

35 Brain health is a pressing global concern. Poor diet quality is a recognized major
36 environmental risk factor for brain disorders and one of the few that is modifiable. There is
37 substantial evidence that nutrition impacts on brain development and brain health across the
38 life course. So why then is the full potential of nutrition not utilized to improve brain function?
39 This commentary, which is based on discussions of the European Brain Research Area
40 BRAINFOOD cluster¹, aims to highlight the most urgent research priorities concerning the
41 evidence base in the area of nutrition and brain health and identifies three major issues that
42 need to be addressed: 1) Increase causal and mechanistic evidence on the link between
43 nutrition and brain health; 2) Produce effective messages/education concerning the role of
44 food for brain health, and 3) Funding to support collaborative working across diverse
45 stakeholders.

46 47 **Costs associated with brain health conditions.**

48 Non-communicable diseases associated with brain health, including neurological and mental
49 health conditions such as dementia, depression, and obesity, are highly prevalent¹⁻³ and
50 translate into an immense burden on society. For example, neurological and psychiatric
51 disorders each account for more than 16 million disability-adjusted life years (DALYs), the loss
52 of the equivalent of one year of full health on average.³ The most debilitating conditions are
53 stroke, Alzheimer's disease and other forms of dementia.⁴ Stroke accounts for more than 1
54 million deaths per year and Alzheimer's disease (and other forms of dementia), and
55 Parkinson's disease are among the top 3 causes of death due to neurological disorders in
56 Europe.³ Additionally, neurodevelopmental conditions such as Attention-deficit Hyperactivity
57 Disorder (ADHD) and Autism Spectrum Disorder and mental health conditions such as
58 substance use disorders, depressive disorders, anxiety disorders and schizophrenia are the
59 leading causes of disability.³ Population ageing and growth is predicted to drive large
60 increases in the number of individuals affected by dementia globally.⁴ Treating brain health
61 conditions is also costly. For example, brain health conditions account for 35% of Europe's
62 total disease burden with a yearly cost of almost €800 billion, which likely is an
63 underestimation.⁵

64
65 Overweight and obesity both provide a risk for metabolic health but also for brain health and
66 are increasing at a rapid rate globally.⁶ Moreover, overweight and obesity are increasingly
67 prevalent in children. Of particular concern is the rapidly growing number of women becoming
68 pregnant whilst having overweight or obesity which can have serious long-term consequences
69 for their children's metabolic and brain health.⁷ At the same time, the widespread increase in
70 food insecurity⁸ poses significant challenges for brain health due to its negative impact on
71 nutritional status and mental health.⁹ The triple burden of malnutrition (i.e., overnutrition and
72 obesity, undernutrition, and micronutrient deficiencies) reaches beyond health, since
73 individuals fail to reach their full potential in terms of wider economic and societal
74 contribution.¹⁰ These challenges extend beyond the responsibility of the individual and can
75 only be tackled by a serious overarching and sustained approach by governments, the food
76 industry, and society working together.¹¹

77 The potential of nutrition for brain health

78 Nutrition affects all aspects of brain development and brain function, which means that there
79 is the potential to modify the diet and/or use nutritional interventions to prevent and treat brain
80 health conditions. The field of nutritional psychiatry has taken off recently¹² and accumulating
81 results of observational and intervention studies support a role for diet in depression onset
82 and symptom management.¹³ In addition, trials on clinical depression and in non-clinical
83 populations suggest that addressing diet quality is an efficacious and cost-effective way to
84 reduce symptoms.¹⁴⁻¹⁷ Nutritional interventions have the potential to reduce cognitive decline
85 and change the course of neurodegenerative diseases. For example, while there is no overall
86 cure for dementia, there is a clear link between diet and the risk of dementia and dietary
87 interventions have been shown to delay the onset or progression of the disease. Moreover,
88 early intervention is more beneficial than late intervention.¹⁸⁻²¹ However, a recent review of
89 studies investigating the effect of consumption of food groups that are recommended as part
90 of a healthy sustainable diet (e.g. wholegrain, fruits and vegetables) found that high-quality,
91 strong *causal* evidence of the effects of these food groups on cognitive function across the life
92 course is lacking.²²

93
94 Nutritional interventions may hold great promise for intervention early in life.²³ The potential
95 for nutrition to affect brain health across the life course when intervening in early life is high
96 because important developmental processes are occurring, including neurogenesis and
97 myelination that set the ability to develop cognitive and behavioural functions and individual
98 resilience to later life challenges.²⁴ During pregnancy and lactation, nutritional interventions
99 can affect both the mother and her offspring: as an example, as well as its well-documented
100 effect on the prevention of neural tube disorders, supplementation with folic acid and
101 multivitamin products before and during pregnancy lowers the risk of the offspring
102 developing autism.^{25,26} Moreover, both micronutrients and omega-3 fatty acid
103 supplementation have been directly linked to a reduced likelihood of preterm birth, a known
104 risk factor for neurodevelopmental problems with lifelong consequences.^{27,28} However, more
105 needs to be done, as supplementation programs, in Europe, have not reached their full
106 potential.²⁸ For example, folic acid taken before pregnancy and in early pregnancy reduces
107 the risk of a neural tube disorders. Yet, despite Public Health Initiatives across Europe
108 recommending that women take 0.4 mg folic acid before becoming pregnant and during the
109 first trimester, the prevalence of neural tube disorder pregnancies has not materially
110 decreased in the EU since 1998. This result is in stark contrast to a dramatic fall observed in
111 the USA, where fortification of flour with folic acid has become mandatory, concurrent with
112 supplementation advice.²⁹ Also, there is generic advice, but no public health initiatives in
113 Europe to highlight the relevance of adequate intake of omega-3 fatty acids via the diet and
114 supplementation to reduce the risk of preterm birth, something that is currently being
115 implemented in health care systems across Australia.³⁰ There is compelling evidence
116 showing that the elimination of food additives, colorings and preservatives reduces
117 symptoms of ADHD and that supplementation with omega-3 fatty acids and vitamins may
118 decrease symptoms of ADHD and autism.^{31,32} The efficacy of early dietary interventions is
119 supported by pre-clinical studies. There is evidence that early dietary supplementation with
120 essential micronutrients and omega-3 fatty acids can protect against the negative
121 consequences of exposure to early-life adversity on brain structure and function.^{33,34} This is
122 key because early-life adversity is one of the main risk factors for developing
123 psychopathology and metabolic disorders later in life.³⁵

124 More broadly, early-life environment and experience have a major impact on the risk of
125 developing brain and metabolic disorders later in life suggesting that such risks have an early-
126 life origin. For example, there is an increasing prevalence of mothers suffering from mental
127 health problems (e.g. depression, addiction, trauma) as well as overweight and obese
128 pregnancies that are associated with a higher risk of pregnancy complications (including
129 preterm birth) and importantly, lasting consequences for the later life health risks of the
130 offspring.³⁶ These are a serious concern, as often such early environments cannot be avoided
131 and thus require a holistic approach to break the intergenerational cycle. These examples
132 emphasize the relevance of considering the interaction between nutrition and brain health from
133 a longer-term perspective. Many brain health problems are associated with diet and lifestyle
134 risk factors that have occurred or started much earlier in life.

135
136 Across all age groups, maintaining balanced energy intake is essential to avoid both harmful
137 energy deficits from malnutrition and the negative impacts of obesity resulting from
138 overnutrition. However, each age group has distinct nutritional needs that are vital in regulating
139 cognitive function and it is recommended to employ different interventions at different life
140 stages, as each stage is characterized by specific physiological changes and related health
141 targets. For instance, in midlife supplementation via nutraceutical compounds might be useful
142 to prevent low-grade inflammation and in older adulthood, combining a healthier lifestyle with
143 energy restriction presents a practical approach to slowing cognitive decline.³⁷

144
145 Nutritional interventions have the potential to be employed throughout life, and in some cases,
146 they appear perhaps even preferable to classic medication in treating emerging conditions,
147 with greater consumer acceptance and lower side effects. However, there are still some major
148 gaps in our understanding of the potential for specific nutritional strategies at each life stage
149 ³³ and many questions that are yet to be answered, including which interventions work, for
150 whom and how best to translate the results of observational studies and experimental models
151 into effective trials that provide more high-quality causal evidence for a role of nutrition in
152 improving brain health.

153 How best to demonstrate the impact of nutrition on brain health?

154 There are many methodological challenges associated with the design and implementation of
155 studies to test the effects of nutritional interventions on brain health, including issues with
156 ensuring intervention adherence and blinding as well as the specific composition, and mode
157 of diet delivery, that have been discussed in detail elsewhere. ¹² Here we outline some specific
158 issues for consideration as the evidence base builds.

159 Importance of understanding mechanisms.

160 The mechanisms of action associating diet with mental health outcomes are complex,
161 interrelated and impinge on multiple biological pathways. Diet can have an important effect on
162 several processes and mechanisms involving inflammatory markers, oxidative stress,
163 mitochondrial function, and neuroendocrine effectors.³⁸ Evaluating which dietary components
164 are beneficial for an individual requires greater mechanistic insight into individual genetic and
165 environmental contexts to exploit the potential for food e.g. to reduce inflammation and
166 oxidative stress while improving neuroendocrine function in response to everyday challenges.

167 Furthermore, epigenetic mechanisms are potentially involved in the long-term effects of dietary
168 intake as well as in the intergenerational transmission of basic mechanisms and behaviors
169 underlying food choices and susceptibility to mental disorders.³⁹ In addition, there is a growing
170 appreciation of the role of the microbiome and microbial metabolites in shaping brain and
171 behavior and the pathways of gut-brain signalling are being resolved.⁴⁰⁻⁴² Conversely, diet is
172 one of the key factors that shape the microbiome composition across the lifespan.⁴³ The
173 breadth of action of each specific nutrient and the complexity of interactions of various
174 nutrients with the microbiome make disentangling the direct and indirect effects of nutrition on
175 brain function and the specific neurobiological mechanisms involved challenging.^{37,44} A novel
176 framework to develop and evaluate the evidence base of how nutrients impact the brain is
177 thus required.

178 Importance of stratification.

179 Studies to investigate possible beneficial effects of specific nutrients need to address
180 perceived or anticipated nutrient gaps due to health issues, the diet or the environment as well
181 as investigate the effects of specific nutrient levels above the recommended intake. This
182 ideally should be studied in the context of the background diet that may be adequate or not in
183 providing these nutrients. For example, in a gestational diabetes mellitus study, differences in
184 the effect sizes of interventions were related to the background diet, which varied considerably
185 in carbohydrate intake levels.^{45,46} Many of the nutrients that may benefit brain health may
186 already be an integral part of our diet, yet, depending on the habitual diet, intake levels may
187 vary considerably. It has recently been shown that individuals with a balanced diet pattern, as
188 estimated from a large data set of food preferences across a range of food categories, show
189 better mental health and superior cognitive functions relative to other dietary patterns e.g. high
190 protein and low fibre dietary pattern.⁴⁷ Nutrient requirements vary due to individual health, life
191 stage, and lifestyle. Thus, individual nutrient needs are driven by physical and psychological
192 health, habitual diet and lifestyle, and differ across the life course and according to the
193 environment and challenges encountered.⁴⁸⁻⁵⁰ In addition, nutrients serve as building blocks
194 as well as acting as signaling molecules, providing the energy to perform daily tasks whilst
195 maintaining body homeostasis. Recommendations for adequate intake of nutrients
196 (recommended dietary allowance) are designed to cover the needs of 97% of the population,
197 but given the normal distribution of individual requirements consequently overestimate the
198 required intakes for most individuals to prevent deficiency at a population level. NHANES data
199 suggests that actual sufficiency is closer to 70% of the population, highlighting the need to
200 focus on deficiencies pertinent to specific target groups rather than at population level.⁵¹
201 Specific subgroups (e.g., individuals with specific genetic vulnerabilities) may show a different
202 response to a particular intervention compared to others and effects on a specific brain health
203 domain may only be visible in a vulnerable population. This vulnerability could be determined
204 by nutritional status (e.g., deficiency or insufficiency) or health status e.g., supplementation is
205 most effective in treating deficiency in disease states. Ultimately, some recommendations may
206 need to be stratified and personalized, while other recommendations may be beneficial for
207 larger groups of people. However, personalisation is not without challenges including the
208 implementation of (widespread) screening that may have ethical limitations and prove costly
209 to implement.

211 Foods are not drugs.

212 Research into the efficacy of specific nutrients on brain health outcomes is more complex than
213 studying drug efficacy.^{52,53} The expectation that the research meets the standards set by
214 regulatory bodies required for claims on the benefits of foods and nutrients does not
215 acknowledge that the business models and the possible return on investment are entirely
216 different from those of the pharmaceutical industry. With rare exceptions for specific nutrients
217 and bioactives, food products cannot be commercially protected in the same way that new
218 pharmaceutical molecules, designed to a specific receptor or mechanism of action, although
219 safety assessment may require similar investments as for pharmaceutical compounds.
220 Moreover, food manufacturers need to incorporate the nutrients of interest in an attractive and
221 tasty product that can be marketed directly to the consumer and needs to be bought and
222 consumed voluntarily regularly to achieve the beneficial effect. The required investment in
223 research that is needed to test and show the efficacy of a specific product is substantial and
224 unlikely to be matched by the potential financial return on investment. For example, improving
225 intake of dietary fibre could have beneficial effects on many aspects of brain health, but the
226 costs of running large-scale clinical trials that are not likely to lead to any proprietary
227 knowledge may be prohibitive. The food industry has a role to play in building the evidence
228 base but cannot act alone. An important consideration here is that for the food industry/private
229 sector there is limited return on investment for research in this area, except for specific
230 nutrients and bioactives or combinations thereof, where an application for a health claim is
231 possible. Generation of the scientific evidence requires public funding. Harvesting the
232 enormous health and cost-saving potential of nutritional interventions to maintain brain health
233 and reduce the risk of brain diseases is a public health issue that is impossible to address
234 without relevant public funding support, although the food industry has a moral obligation to
235 work towards developing and providing healthy foods to the market. These challenges and
236 complexities mean that nutrition in the context of brain health is an under-investigated scientific
237 area, but also that it is under-researched as it has not (yet) been prioritized by funding bodies.

238 Importance of effective messaging.

239 Following dietary recommendations for health can be difficult but adherence may be improved
240 if, in addition to knowing about distal benefits to physical health, people are aware that dietary
241 change can have more immediate effects on mental and brain health. Nearly 9 in 10 adults
242 said they would eat a healthier diet if they knew it would lower the risks of cognitive decline
243 (87%), heart disease (88%), and diabetes (88%).⁵⁴ More than 60% of adults aged 40 and older
244 said that they would eat more fish, less red meat, and lower their dairy fat intake if they knew
245 it was good for their brain health.⁵² A focus on the brain health benefits that accompany an
246 improvement in diet quality rather than focusing on the benefits of weight loss may also be
247 helpful for encouraging behavior change because a focus on weight can be stigmatizing.

248
249 Yet an issue is that consumers and patients are often faced with a barrage of conflicting and
250 inconsistent findings about the potential (proximal) health effects of foods. This results in a
251 lack of trust. In 2018, 80% of consumers reported coming across conflicting information about
252 food and nutrition, which made them doubt their choices.⁵⁵ Social influencers are now a
253 popular source of nutritional information, yet the advice provided is rarely founded on solid
254 scientific evidence: at best it may be incomplete and incorrect, and at worst, harmful. The
255 public may also receive differing advice from health-care providers on nutrition and health.

256 This may be in part because nutritional education in the medical curriculum is sparse, and the
257 role of dietitians in the prevention and management of brain disorders is also limited.^{56,57}

258 BRAINFOOD Priorities.

259 Recently there have been a number of initiatives in the US, Europe and Australia that testify
260 the fact that diet and nutrition are important priorities for public health. Just as an example, in
261 the US the “Food is medicine” Institute has been developed at Tufts University. The aim is to
262 develop a set of food-based nutrition programs and interventions integrated into the healthcare
263 system to advance specific health needs and health equity in different populations. Also, they
264 are aiming to overcome one of the major drawbacks so far, which is the lack of large
265 randomized clinical trials in different patient populations as well as estimating costs, cost-
266 effectiveness, and effects on disparities of specific programs in addition to assessing public
267 perceptions of the public for the subject. In Australia, the Food & Mood Centre has been
268 founded by researchers also animating a specific Scientific Society: The International Society
269 for Nutritional Psychiatry Research (ISNPR). In Europe, the initiative “Healthy Diet, Healthy
270 Life (HDHL)” has been set up bringing together 17 countries that align research programming
271 and fund new research to prevent or minimize diet-related chronic diseases
272 (<https://www.healthydietforhealthylife.eu/>). Although not being directly linked to brain health,
273 these programs have the merit to put diet and nutrition under the spotlight as major
274 determinants of health. Within the European College of Neuropsychopharmacology (ECNP) a
275 specific section on nutrition and mental health (Nutrition network) is present made up by many
276 of the authors of this article. Overall, there is a surge of initiatives, both linked to scientific
277 societies or Institutions or funding bodies and legislators that are attempting to align research
278 education and policy in nutrition for brain health.

279
280 Given the potential of nutrition to support brain health, further investment in research to build
281 a robust evidence base, in addition to education of health care professionals on this topic is
282 urgently required. The link between a healthy, balanced diet and brain health calls for
283 substantial action from policymakers to enable knowledge-building on diets that support brain
284 health to make these accessible for all. The lack of consensus on the effects of diets and
285 nutrients for brain health is in part related to the limited evidence base, since the number of
286 high-quality studies that have been published to date is relatively small. With a few exceptions,
287 most nutrient-brain health associations are driven by diet and lifestyle, meaning that the
288 question of whether the effects of nutrition on the brain are independent or correlate of other
289 healthy behaviours remains open. There is also a strong need for holistic, appropriate
290 nutritional recommendations tailored to individual needs and age. Both the quality and
291 strength of evidence need to be improved and disseminated in a clear, consistent, and
292 accessible manner.

293
294 **The most urgent research priorities in the BRAINFOOD area are:**

- 295
296 **1. Identify nutrients and nutritional interventions that impact on brain health.**
297 The transfer of findings from basic biomedical research into medical application is one
298 of the major challenges in nutrition research. For specific nutrients or nutritional
299 interventions where there is already a substantial evidence base, further trials are
300 required to confirm the impact on brain health. The use of more stringent statistical

301 approaches in analyzing data from observational studies, such as Mendelian
302 Randomization to control for hidden confounders would allow for (cautious)
303 conclusions about causality to be drawn. Cohort research on clinical nutrition should
304 systematically collect clinical data in databases and registries that are standardized
305 and accessible at the individual level. Relevant and measurable patient-centered
306 outcomes and appropriate study designs are needed, and international cooperation
307 and multi-stakeholder engagement are key for success. The power of omics strategies
308 (genetics, metabolomics, microbiomics, nutriomics) needs to be utilized to understand
309 how individual differences in nutritional status and intake impact brain health.
310 Systematic and interoperable data curation way would allow integration and scaling up
311 of current levels of analysis, but this needs to be grounded in advances in technologies
312 for a more robust and reliable assessment of diet to ensure data quality and reliability.
313 The development of a Nutrition Research Infrastructure or Knowledge Platform
314 enforcing FAIR principles, harmonization and standardization of study designs and
315 data curation would strengthen networking between researchers and deliver relevant
316 information to stakeholders, policymakers and the public in accessible and usable
317 form.

318 **2. Identify and explore the neuronal circuits, cells and molecules linking nutrition**
319 **with brain health.**

320 We need to unravel which effects of nutrition are direct or indirect and additionally
321 determine the relative contribution of hormonal, immune and microbiome systems. In
322 addition, the contributions of single nutrients and how they act in combination need to
323 be elucidated. For which nutrients or combinations are there sufficient molecular and
324 cellular insights to explain the mechanism of action on brain health? We need to
325 identify truly innovative approaches to better understand the relationship between
326 nutrients and brain health and to integrate this with research on food palatability and
327 taste in order to direct human food choice towards beneficial nutritional intake. A
328 mechanistic understanding of how food impacts brain health will not only assist in
329 identifying those at risk but also more convincingly explain why a healthy and balanced
330 diet providing nutrients in adequate amounts is important for brain health.

331
332 **3. Bridge basic science mechanisms to clinical outcomes by identifying**
333 **biomarkers.**

334 While a large literature based on preclinical animal studies already exists, intervention
335 studies investigating markers related to clinical outcomes are needed. Experimental
336 Medicine studies in humans involve assessing the effects of controlled exposure to an
337 intervention and identifying early-stage markers that predict clinical outcomes. Markers
338 can include biological measures e.g., neurotransmitter levels, or neurocognitive
339 measures e.g. brain imaging measures. Such studies should be employed to optimize
340 the selection of nutrients that can then be tested in lengthy and more expensive
341 randomized controlled trials.

342
343 **Conclusion**

344
345 Addressing the challenges and priorities in the brain food field will result in tremendous
346 benefits for society but cannot be achieved without the support of policymakers. We, the
347 BRAINFOOD cluster, therefore, ask the policymakers to act and call for more research
348 funding. We have identified three major issues that need to be addressed:

349

350 **1. Increase causal and mechanistic evidence on the link between nutrition and brain**
351 **health.** Intuitively, people know that food is important for health but the current scientific
352 evidence causally linking a selected type of diet and/or specific nutraceuticals with
353 protective/beneficial effects on brain health is not yet based on extensive randomised clinical
354 trials.

355

356 **2. Produce effective messages/education concerning the role of food for brain health.**
357 There is poor understanding of how nutrition supports and maintains brain health both by the
358 general population and by health professionals. The lack of evidence-based advice is further
359 complicated by confusing and exaggerated messaging in the popular press. Nutritional
360 education should be much higher on the agenda of healthcare professionals and
361 governmental bodies.

362

363 **3. Funding to support collaborative cross-sector working.** Healthy nutrition for the brain
364 requires access to safe, nutritious, affordable and culturally appropriate diets, throughout life,
365 for all citizens. This cannot be achieved without public, private, and community sectors working
366 together to improve the food environment and strengthen the link between food and health for
367 consumers.

368

369 ¹ Footnote

370 BRAINFOOD Cluster Description: The European Brain Research Area project — EBRA, led
371 by the European Brain Council - EBC - and together with 3 other EU initiatives (ERANET-
372 NEURON, JPND, and Human Brain Project) was created as a catalysing initiative for brain
373 research stakeholders to streamline and better coordinate brain research across Europe.
374 BRAINFOOD is an EBRA cluster that aims to positively impact brain health by improving the
375 nutrition of European citizens based on fundamental insights into the bidirectional links
376 between brain health and nutrition. The Cluster has been built in the framework of the Nutrition
377 Network of the European College of Neuropsychopharmacology (ECNP).

378

379 **Funding**

380 The BRAINFOOD cluster is supported by the European Brain Research Area project. EBRA
381 has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation
382 programme under grant agreement number 825348 JB has been supported by the
383 Horizon2020 supported programmes CANDY Grant No. 847818 and PRIME Grant No.
384 847879. SLD is supported by the Swedish Research Council (2022-00713), NovoNordisk
385 Fonden (NNF0078215), Hjärfonden (FO2023-0437) and Swedish Government and the
386 county councils in the ALF agreement (ALFGBG-965364). RA is supported by ERANET-
387 NEURON 2018, grant number MIGBAN FKZ: 01EW1906A, the Swedish Research Council for
388 Medicine and Health (2018–02588), Vrienden van het UMC and the Netherlands Organisation
389 for Scientific Research (ALWOP.137, OCENW.M.22.111, Gravitation grant 024.004.012). AK
390 is funded by Alzheimer Nederland, ZonMW and JPND.

391

392 **Conflict of Interest**

393

394 JB has been a consultant to / member of advisory board of / and/or speaker for Takeda, Roche,
395 Medice, Angelini, Janssen, and Servier. EvdB is an employee of Nestlé Research, Produit de
396 Nestlé SA, Lausanne, Switzerland. JC has received research funding from 4D Pharma,

397 Cremo, Dupont, Mead Johnson, Nutricia, and Pharmavite; has been an invited speaker at
398 meetings organized by Alimentary Health, Alkermes, Ordesa, and Yakult; and has served as
399 a consultant for Alkermes and Nestle. LD has Current funding from: UKRI and WBANA;
400 Consultancy with Hass Avocado Board, Mars; Positions: ILSI Europe Board member; ILSI
401 Global Board member. All other authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

402

403 **Author Contribution**

404 All authors contributed to the conceptualization and writing of the manuscript.

405

406 **References**

407

- 408 1. Roth GA, Abate D, Abate KH, et al. Global, regional, and national age-sex-specific
409 mortality for 282 causes of death in 195 countries and territories, 1980–2017: a
410 systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *The Lancet*.
411 2018;392:1736-1788..
- 412 2. Dye L, Boyle NB, Champ C, Lawton C. The relationship between obesity and
413 cognitive health and decline. *Proceedings of the nutrition society*. 2017;76:443-454.
- 414 3. Vos T, Lim SS, Abbafati C, et al. Global burden of 369 diseases and injuries in 204
415 countries and territories, 1990–2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of
416 Disease Study 2019. *The Lancet*. 2020;396:1204-1222.
- 417 4. Nichols E, Steinmetz JD, Vollset SE, et al. Estimation of the global prevalence of
418 dementia in 2019 and forecasted prevalence in 2050: an analysis for the Global
419 Burden of Disease Study 2019. *The Lancet Public Health*. 2022;7:e105-e125.
- 420 5. European Commission. Available at: [https://ec.europa.eu/info/research-and-](https://ec.europa.eu/info/research-and-innovation/research-area/health-research-and-innovation/brain-research_en)
421 [innovation/research-area/health-research-and-innovation/brain-research_en](https://ec.europa.eu/info/research-and-innovation/research-area/health-research-and-innovation/brain-research_en)
422 (accessed on 21/08/2023)
- 423 6. EUROSTAT. Available at: [https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/products-eurostat-](https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/products-eurostat-news/-/ddn-20210721-2)
424 [news/-/ddn-20210721-2](https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/products-eurostat-news/-/ddn-20210721-2) (accessed on 21/08/2023).
- 425 7. Cirulli F, Musillo C, Berry A. Maternal obesity as a risk factor for brain development
426 and mental health in the offspring. *Neuroscience*. 2020;447:122-135.
- 427 8. Loopstra R, Reeves A, Stuckler D. Rising food insecurity in Europe. *Lancet*.
428 2015;385:2041.
- 429 9. Pourmotabbed A, Moradi S, Babaei A, et al. Food insecurity and mental health: a
430 systematic review and meta-analysis. *Public health nutrition*. 2020;23:1778-1790
- 431 10. Marmot M. The health gap: the challenge of an unequal world. *The Lancet*.
432 2015;386:2442-2444.
- 433 11. Dentoni D, Waddell S, Waddock S. Pathways of transformation in global food and
434 agricultural systems: implications from a large systems change theory perspective.
435 *Current opinion in environmental sustainability*. 2017;29:8-13.
- 436 12. Adan RA, van der Beek EM, Buitelaar JK, et al. Nutritional psychiatry: Towards
437 improving mental health by what you eat. *European Neuropsychopharmacology*.
438 2019;29:1321-1332.
- 439 13. Marx W, Lane M, Hockey M, et al. Diet and depression: exploring the biological
440 mechanisms of action. *Molecular psychiatry*. 2021;26:134-150.
- 441 14. Jacka FN, O’Neil A, Opie R, et al. A randomised controlled trial of dietary
442 improvement for adults with major depression (the ‘SMILES’ trial). *BMC medicine*.
443 2017;15:1-13.
- 444 15. Chatterton ML, Mihalopoulos C, O’Neil A, et al. Economic evaluation of a dietary
445 intervention for adults with major depression (the “SMILES” trial). *BMC Public Health*.
446 2018;18:1-11. .
- 447 16. Segal L, Twizeyemariya A, Zarnowiecki D, et al. Cost effectiveness and cost-utility
448 analysis of a group-based diet intervention for treating major depression—the
449 HELFIMED trial. *Nutritional neuroscience*. 2020;23:770-778.

- 450 17. Francis HM, Stevenson RJ, Chambers JR, Gupta D, Newey B, Lim CK. A brief diet
451 intervention can reduce symptoms of depression in young adults—A randomised
452 controlled trial. *PloS one*. 2019;14:e0222768.
- 453 18. Flanagan E, Lamport D, Brennan L, et al. Nutrition and the ageing brain: Moving
454 towards clinical applications. *Ageing research reviews*. 2020;62:101079.
- 455 19. Ngandu T, Lehtisalo J, Solomon A, et al. A 2 year multidomain intervention of diet,
456 exercise, cognitive training, and vascular risk monitoring versus control to prevent
457 cognitive decline in at-risk elderly people (FINGER): a randomised controlled trial.
458 *The Lancet*. 2015;385:2255-2263.
- 459 20. Scarmeas N, Luchsinger JA, Schupf N, et al. Physical activity, diet, and risk of
460 Alzheimer disease. *Jama*. 2009;302:627-637.
- 461 21. Soininen H, Solomon A, Visser PJ, et al. 24-month intervention with a specific
462 multinutrient in people with prodromal Alzheimer's disease (LipiDiDiet): a
463 randomised, double-blind, controlled trial. *The Lancet Neurology*. 2017;16:965-975.
- 464 22. Dalile B, Kim C, Challinor A, et al. The EAT–Lancet reference diet and cognitive
465 function across the life course. *The Lancet Planetary Health*. 2022;6(9):e749-e759.
- 466 23. Schneider N, Hartweg M, O'Regan J, et al. Impact of a Nutrient Formulation on
467 Longitudinal Myelination, Cognition, and Behavior from Birth to 2 Years: A
468 Randomized Clinical Trial. *Nutrients*. 2023;15:4439.
- 469 24. Georgieff MK, Brunette KE, Tran PV. Early life nutrition and neural plasticity.
470 *Development and psychopathology*. 2015;27:411-423.
- 471 25. Li M, Francis E, Hinkle SN, Ajarapu AS, Zhang C. Preconception and prenatal
472 nutrition and neurodevelopmental disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis.
473 *Nutrients*. 2019;11:1628.
- 474 26. Godfrey KM, Barton SJ, El-Heis S, et al. Myo-inositol, probiotics, and micronutrient
475 supplementation from preconception for glycemia in pregnancy: NiPPeR International
476 Multicenter Double-Blind Randomized Controlled Trial. *Diabetes Care*.
477 2021;44:1091-1099.
- 478 27. Morris, J.K.; Addor, M.-C.; Ballardini, E.; Barisic, I.; Barrachina-Bonet, L.; Braz, P.;
479 Cavero-Carbonell, C.; Den Hond, E.; Garne, E.; Gatt, M. Prevention of neural tube
480 defects in Europe: a public health failure. *Frontiers in Pediatrics* 2021; 513.
- 481 28. Ong KK, Kennedy K, Castañeda-Gutiérrez E, et al. Postnatal growth in preterm
482 infants and later health outcomes: a systematic review. *Acta Paediatr*. 2015;104:974-
483 86.
- 484 29. Morris JK, Addor M-C, Ballardini E, et al. Prevention of neural tube defects in Europe:
485 a public health failure. *Frontiers in Pediatrics*. 2021; 513.
- 486 30. Yelland LN, Sullivan TR, Gibson RA, et al. Identifying women who may benefit from
487 higher dose omega-3 supplementation during pregnancy to reduce their risk of
488 prematurity: exploratory analyses from the ORIP trial. *BMJ open*. 2023;13:e070220.
- 489 31. Sonuga-Barke EJ, Brandeis D, Cortese S, et al. Nonpharmacological interventions
490 for ADHD: systematic review and meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials of
491 dietary and psychological treatments. *American journal of psychiatry*. 2013;170:275-
492 289.
- 493 32. Fraguas D, Díaz-Caneja CM, Pina-Camacho L, et al. Dietary interventions for autism
494 spectrum disorder: a meta-analysis. *Pediatrics*. 2019;144: e20183218.
- 495 33. Yam K-Y, Schipper L, Reemst K, et al. Increasing availability of omega-3 fatty acid in
496 the early-life diet prevents the early-life stress-induced cognitive impairments without
497 affecting metabolic alterations. *FASEB Journal*. 2019;33:5729-5740.
- 498 34. Naninck EF, Oosterink JE, Yam KY, et al. Early micronutrient supplementation
499 protects against early stress-induced cognitive impairments. *The FASEB Journal*.
500 2017;31:505-518.
- 501 35. Reemst K, Ruigrok SR, Bleker L, et al. Sex-dependence and comorbidities of the
502 early-life adversity induced mental and metabolic disease risks: Where are we at?
503 *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*. 2022;138:104627.

- 504 36. Marchi J, Berg M, Dencker A, Olander E, Begley C. Risks associated with obesity in
505 pregnancy, for the mother and baby: a systematic review of reviews. *Obesity*
506 *reviews*. 2015;16:621-638.
- 507 37. Kim C, Schilder N, Adolphus K, et al. The dynamic influence of nutrition on prolonged
508 cognitive healthspan across the life course: a perspective review. *Neuroscience*
509 *Applied*. 2024:104072.
- 510 38. Horn J, Mayer D, Chen S, Mayer E. Role of diet and its effects on the gut microbiome
511 in the pathophysiology of mental disorders. *Translational psychiatry*. 2022;12(1):164.
- 512 39. Stevens AJ, Rucklidge JJ, Kennedy MA. Epigenetics, nutrition and mental health. Is
513 there a relationship? *Nutritional Neuroscience*. 2018;21:602-613.
- 514 40. Dinan TG, Stanton C, Long-Smith C, et al. Feeding melancholic microbes:
515 MyNewGut recommendations on diet and mood. *Clinical Nutrition*. 2019;38:1995-
516 2001.
- 517 41. Berding K, Vlckova K, Marx W, et al. Diet and the microbiota–gut–brain axis: sowing
518 the seeds of good mental health. *Advances in Nutrition*. 2021;12:1239-1285.
- 519 42. Ratsika A, Codagnone MC, O’Mahony S, Stanton C, Cryan JF. Priming for life: early
520 life nutrition and the microbiota-gut-brain axis. *Nutrients*. 2021;13:423.
- 521 43. Wastyk HC, Fragiadakis GK, Perelman D, et al. Gut-microbiota-targeted diets
522 modulate human immune status. *Cell*. 2021;184:4137-4153. e14.
- 523 44. Berding, K.; Bastiaanssen, T.F.; Moloney, G.M.; Boscaini, S.; Strain, C.R.; Anesi, A.;
524 Long-Smith, C.; Mattivi, F.; Stanton, C.; Clarke, G. Feed your microbes to deal with
525 stress: a psychobiotic diet impacts microbial stability and perceived stress in a
526 healthy adult population. *Molecular psychiatry* 2023; 28:601-610.
- 527 45. Yamamoto JM, Kellett JE, Balsells M, et al. Gestational diabetes mellitus and diet: a
528 systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials examining the
529 impact of modified dietary interventions on maternal glucose control and neonatal
530 birth weight. *Diabetes Care*. 2018;41:1346-1361.
- 531 46. García-Patterson A, Balsells M, Yamamoto JM, et al. Usual dietary treatment of
532 gestational diabetes mellitus assessed after control diet in randomized controlled
533 trials: subanalysis of a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acta diabetologica*.
534 2019;56:237-240.
- 535 47. Zhang R, Zhang B, Shen C, et al. Associations of dietary patterns with brain health
536 from behavioral, neuroimaging, biochemical and genetic analyses. *Nature Mental*
537 *Health*. 2024;2:535-552.
- 538 48. Schwarzenberg SJ, Georgieff MK, Daniels S, et al. Advocacy for improving nutrition
539 in the first 1000 days to support childhood development and adult health. *Pediatrics*.
540 2018;141
- 541 49. Georgieff MK, Ramel SE, Cusick SE. Nutritional influences on brain
542 development. *Acta Paediatr*. 2018;107:1310-21.
- 543 50. Lutter CK, Grummer-Strawn L, Rogers L. Complementary feeding of infants and
544 young children 6 to 23 months of age. *Nutr Rev*. 2021;79:825-46.
- 545 51. You, A. Dietary guidelines for Americans. *US department of health and human*
546 *services and US department of agriculture* 2015; 7.
- 547 52. Sorkin BC, Kuszak AJ, Williamson JS, Hopp DC, Betz JM. The challenge of
548 reproducibility and accuracy in nutrition research: resources and pitfalls. *Advances in*
549 *Nutrition*. 2016;7:383-389.
- 550 53. Laville M, Segrestin B, Alligier M, et al. Evidence-based practice within nutrition: what
551 are the barriers for improving the evidence and how can they be dealt with? *Trials*.
552 2017;18:1-9.
- 553 54. Brain Health and Nutrition Survey. Available online:
554 <https://doi.org/10.26419/res.00187.001> (accessed on 21/08/2023).
- 555 55. Vijaykumar S, McNeill A, Simpson J. Associations between conflicting nutrition
556 information, nutrition confusion and backlash among consumers in the UK. *Public*
557 *Health Nutrition*. 2021;24:914-923.

- 558 56. Bassin SR, Al-Nimr RI, Allen K, Ogrinc G. The state of nutrition in medical education
559 in the United States. *Nutr Rev.* 2020;78:764-80.
560 57. Broad J, Wallace M. Nutrition and public health in medical education in the UK:
561 reflections and next steps. *Public Health Nutr.* 2018;21:2523-5.
562
563