

This is a repository copy of X-shooting ULLYSES: massive stars at low metallicity.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/218174/</u>

Version: Published Version

Article:

Sana, H. orcid.org/0000-0001-6656-4130, Tramper, F., Abdul-Masih, M. et al. (33 more authors) (2024) X-shooting ULLYSES: massive stars at low metallicity. Astronomy & Astrophysics, 688. A104. ISSN 0004-6361

https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202347479

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

X-Shooting ULLYSES: Massive stars at low metallicity

II. DR1: Advanced optical data products for the Magellanic Clouds *,**,***

H. Sana¹, F. Tramper¹, M. Abdul-Masih^{2,3,4}, R. Blomme⁵, K. Dsilva^{1,6}, G. Maravelias^{7,8}, L. Martins⁹,
A. Mehner², A. Wofford¹⁰, G. Banyard¹, C. L. Barbosa¹¹, J. Bestenlehner¹², C. Hawcroft^{1,13}, D. John Hillier¹⁴,
H. Todt¹⁵, C. J. K. Larkin^{16,17}, L. Mahy⁵, F. Najarro¹⁸, V. Ramachandran¹⁶, M. C. Ramírez-Tannus¹⁹,
M. M. Rubio-Díez²⁰, A. A. C. Sander¹⁶, T. Shenar²¹, J. S. Vink²², F. Backs^{21,1}, S. A. Brands²¹, P. Crowther¹²,
L. Decin¹, A. de Koter^{21,1}, W.-R. Hamann¹⁵, C. Kehrig²³, R. Kuiper²⁴, L. Oskinova¹⁵, D. Pauli¹⁵, J. Sundqvist¹,
O. Verhamme¹, and the XSHOOT-U Collaboration

(Affiliations can be found after the references)

Received 14 July 2023 / Accepted 23 February 2024

ABSTRACT

Context. The XShootU project aims to obtain ground-based optical to near-infrared spectroscopy of all targets observed by the *Hubble* Space Telescope (HST) under the Director's Discretionary program ULLYSES. Using the medium-resolution spectrograph X-shooter, spectra of 235 OB and Wolf-Rayet (WR) stars in subsolar metallicity environments have been secured. The bulk of the targets belong to the Large and Small Magellanic Clouds, with the exception of three stars in NGC 3109 and Sextans A.

Aims. This second paper in the series focuses on the optical observations of Magellanic Clouds targets. It describes the uniform reduction of the UVB (300–560 nm) and VIS (550–1020 nm) XShootU data as well as the preparation of advanced data products that are suitable for homogeneous scientific analyses.

Methods. The data reduction of the RAW data is based on the ESO CPL X-shooter pipeline. We paid particular attention to the determination of the response curves. This required equal flat-fielding of the science and flux standard star data and the derivation of improved flux standard models. The pipeline products were then processed with our own set of routines to produce a series of advanced data products. In particular, we implemented slit-loss correction, absolute flux calibration, (semi-)automatic rectification to the continuum, and a correction for telluric lines. The spectra of individual epochs were further corrected for the barycentric motion, re-sampled and co-added, and the spectra from the two arms were merged into a single flux-calibrated spectrum covering the entire optical range with maximum signal-to-noise ratio.

Results. We identify and describe an undocumented recurrent ghost visible on the RAW data. We present an improved flat-fielding strategy that limits artifacts when the SCIENCE and FLUX standard stars are observed on different nights. The improved FLUX standard models and the new grid of anchor points limit artifacts of the response curve correction, for example on the shape of the wings of the Balmer lines, from a couple of per cent of the continuum level to less than 0.5%. We confirm the presence of a radial velocity shift of about 3.5 km s⁻¹ between the UVB and the VIS arm of X-shooter and that there are no short term variations impacting the RV measurements. RV precision better than 1 km s⁻¹ can be obtained on sharp telluric lines while RV precision on the order of 2 to 3 km s⁻¹ is obtained on data with the best S/N.

Conclusions. For each target observed by XShootU, we provide three types of data products: (i) two-dimensional spectra for each UVB and VIS exposure before and after correction for the instrument response; (ii) one-dimensional UVB and VIS spectra as produced by the X-shooter pipeline before and after response-correction, and applying various processing, including absolute flux calibration, telluric removal, normalization and barycentric correction; and (iii) co-added flux-calibrated and rectified spectra over the full optical range, for which all available XShootU exposures were combined. For the large majority of the targets, the final signal-to-noise ratio per resolution element is above 200 in the UVB and in the VIS co-added spectra. The reduced data and advanced scientific data products are made available to the community. Together with the HST UV ULLYSES data, they should enable various science goals, from detailed stellar atmosphere and stellar wind studies, and empirical libraries for population synthesis, to the study of the local nebular environment and feedback of massive stars in subsolar metallicity environments.

Key words. techniques: spectroscopic - atlases - stars: early-type - stars: massive - Magellanic Clouds

1. Introduction

Low-metallicity massive stars remain poorly understood. The lack of detailed understanding of the global, photospheric, and wind properties of these massive stars, and of the physical mechanisms operating in their interiors and atmospheres directly propagate to topics as diverse and important as the Universe's chemical enrichment in heavy elements (Kobayashi et al. 2006; Hansen et al. 2014), the formation of high-ionization emitting gas (Kashino et al. 2023), the feedback of massive stars on the

^{*} Full Tables 1, 2 and C.1 are available at the CDS via anonymous ftp to cdsarc.cds.unistra.fr (130.79.128.5) or via https:// cdsarc.cds.unistra.fr/viz-bin/cat/J/A+A/688/A104

^{**} The DR1 data and an accompanying release documentation are made available on Zenodo https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo. 11122188

^{***} Based on observations collected at the European Southern Observatory under ESO program ID 106.211Z.001.

				UVB ar	m		VIS arr	n	
Object	RA (HH:AM:AS.ss)	Dec (dDD:MM:SS.s)	Ν	Σt_{exp} (s)	S/N	Ν	$\sum t_{exp}$ (s)	S/N	ΔMJD (d)
2DFS-163	00:36:58.24	-73:23:33.2	2	3310	208	2	3450	101	0.02
2DFS-999	00:54:32.16	-72:44:35.6	2	3310	137	2	3450	93	0.02
2DFS-2266	01:07:14.27	-72:13:47.5	2	3310	170	2	3450	99	0.02
2DFS-2553	01:09:21.95	-73:15:41.9	2	3310	208	2	3450	105	0.04
2DFS-3689	01:24:31.74	-73:21:49.3	4	7840	201	4	8120	105	214.20
2DFS-3694	01:24:34.45	-73:09:08.9	1	1200	211	1	1270	106	0.00
2DFS-3780	01:26:35.29	-73:15:16.3	2	2100	204	2	2240	131	0.02
2DFS-3947	01:30:37.19	-73:25:14.4	2	3310	192	2	3450	118	0.02
2DFS-3954	01:30:43.11	-73:25:04.1	2	3920	200	2	4060	114	0.02

Table 1. XShootU target list.

Notes. The table provides the object identifier, its equatorial coordinates (RA, Dec), number of epochs (*N*), total exposure time (Σt_{exp}) and total S/N (per pixel of 0.02 nm) computed in the continuum of each arm (see Sect. 4.6). The last column provides the time difference between the start of the first and last observing epochs. The full table is available at CDS.

local environment (Doran et al. 2013), host galaxies and reionization of the early Universe (Codoreanu et al. 2018), and on the astrophysical interpretation of gravitational wave detections (Belczynski et al. 2010; Abbott et al. 2020). One of the reasons of the current limitations stems from the lack of a sufficiently high-quality observational database of a broad set of massive stars in various low-metallicity environments.

With typical metallicities of $0.5 Z_{\odot}$ (Hunter et al. 2009) and $0.2 Z_{\odot}$, respectively (Bouret et al. 2003; Rickard et al. 2022), the Large and Small Magellanic Clouds (LMC and SMC) are our closest windows to the subsolar metallicity environment. Furthermore, both galaxies are close enough for individual massive stars to be studied in great detail. In this context, the ULLYSES Director's Discretionary program (Roman-Duval et al. 2020, 2023) dedicated 500 orbits of the *Hubble* Space Telescope (HST) to obtain high-quality far-ultraviolet (FUV) spectroscopy of a representative set of OB and WR stars in the Magellanic Clouds. The initial ULLYSES sample was further complemented by archival HST observations to reach a grand total of 130 LMC and 124 SMC targets.

The X-Shooting ULLYSES project (XShootU) is an accompanying ESO Large Program whose aim is to provide groundbased spectroscopy of all ULLYSES targets (Vink et al. 2023, hereafter Paper I). Using the ESO X-shooter spectrograph (Vernet et al. 2011), XShootU complements the FUV HST observations with homogeneous intermediate-spectral resolution spectroscopy, covering the optical and near-infrared (NIR) domains. While a subset of the ULLYSES targets had existing optical spectroscopy prior to XShootU, the spectral coverage and data quality was far from homogeneous.

Optical spectra are needed to enable the full potential of the ULLYSES campaign. Stellar parameters such as surface gravity $(\log g)$ or projected rotation rates $(v \sin i)$ are difficult to reliably constrain from FUV spectra alone, which translates into significant uncertainties, for example on stellar wind parameters and surface abundances. These, however, are important quantities to constrain theories of stellar structure, evolution, and outflows which constitute some of the most fundamental building blocks of our current understanding of the multi-messenger Universe.

Similarly, high-quality libraries of massive star spectra and the physical parameters of the associated stars, are crucial ingredients for population synthesis tools that are used to analyze distant populations of massive stars observed in integrated light. Specifically, they are needed in the context of the current and upcoming generation of new telescopes that probe the distant, low-metallicity universe, such as the *James Webb* Space Telescope (JWST) and upcoming 30 m class telescopes.

The goals of the XShootU project have been described in Paper I, including a top-level description of the target sample and the observing campaign. In this paper we present the uniform reduction and calibration of the optical X-shooter data of the Magellanic Cloud stars. We provide advanced data products that can be used for numerous science applications, from detailed atmospheric analyses to the creation of stellar template libraries. The NIR data reduction requires specific attention and will be presented in a subsequent paper in the XShootU series.

We would like to provide a note on the naming convention used in this paper. While Table 1 in Paper I provides all target names, these are often not suitable for electronic filenames (e.g. they contain spaces or special characters). For this reason and for consistency with the FUV ULLYSES dataset, we opted to use the MAST target names for all fits files in the XShootU data release. An overview of Paper I names and corresponding MAST target names is given in Table A.1.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides a detailed description of the observing campaign and of the collected RAW data. Section 3 describes the data reduction process, including nonstandard procedures for the flat-fielding and instrument response correction. Slit-loss correction, absolute flux calibration, normalization, telluric correction, resampling and co-addition of the final science products are described in Sect. 4. Section 5 provides the radial velocities (RVs) while Sect. 6 describes the advanced data products that are made available to the community.

2. Observation campaign

2.1. Instrumental setup

The ESO X-shooter spectrograph uses two dichroics located after the focal plane. These dichroics split the light into three arms (UVB, VIS, and NIR), with a separation between the arms at $\lambda \approx 560$ nm and 1024 nm (Vernet et al. 2011). In this paper, we focus exclusively on the spectra obtained with the UVB and VIS arms. Both arms are equipped with 4k × 2k detectors, covering 12 and 15 spectral orders, respectively.

Table 2. Journal of the observations.

	UVI	3 arm		VIS	arm				
Object	MJD	<i>t</i> _{exp}	S/N	MJD	t _{exp}	S/N	Flux STD	Δt	Comment
		(s)			(s)			(d)	
2DFS-163	59150.059	1655	139	59150.059	1725	78	LTT3218	-0.3	
	59150.078	1655	166	59150.078	1725	70	LTT3218	-0.3	
2DFS-999	59157.207	1655	112	59157.207	1725	71	LTT7987	+0.2	
	59157.227	1655	106	59157.227	1725	64	LTT7987	+0.2	
2DFS-2266	59179.160	1655	125	59179.160	1725	72	GD 71	-0.1	
	59179.180	1655	129	59179.180	1725	73	GD 71	-0.1	
2DFS-2553	59161.117	1655	141	59161.117	1725	70	LTT3218	-0.2	
	59161.160	1655	145	59161.160	1725	84	LTT3218	-0.2	
2DFS-3689	59186.145	1960	145	59186.145	2030	63	FEIGE110	+0.1	
	59186.168	1960	115	59186.168	2030	60	FEIGE110	+0.1	
	59400.316	1960	78	59400.316	2030	45	EG274	+0.2	
	59400.340	1960	72	59400.340	2030	49	EG274	+0.3	
2DFS-3694	59147.297	1200	211	59147.297	1270	106	LTT3218	-1.1	
2DFS-3780	59195.172	1050	165	59195.172	1120	103	FEIGE110	+0.2	
	59195.188	1050	154	59195.188	1120	87	FEIGE110	+0.2	
2DFS-3947	59175.199	1655	147	59175.199	1725	83	EG21	+0.1	
	59175.219	1655	154	59175.219	1725	82	EG21	+0.1	
2DFS-3954	59174.191	1960	153	59174.191	2030	70	FEIGE110	+0.2	
	59174.215	1960	162	59174.215	2030	90	FEIGE110	+0.2	

Notes. The first column gives the target name. Columns 2–4 give the modified Julian date (MJD) at the start of the exposure, the exposure time and the (per pixel) S/N computed in the continuum (see Sect. 4.6) for the UVB arm of the rebin individual spectra ($\Delta \lambda = 0.02$ nm; see Sect. 4.5). Columns 5 to 7 give the same information for the VIS arm. Columns 8 and 9 provide the name of the FLUX standard star used to calibrate the response curve and the time difference (Δt) between the start of the SCIENCE and FLUX observations. The last column contains a flag for additional comments provided in the online version of the table. The full table is available at CDS.

The X-shooter spectral resolving power ($R = \lambda/\Delta\lambda$) is set by the width of the entrance slits. The XShootU campaign used slits of 0.'8 for the UVB arm and 0.'7 for the VIS arm, corresponding to $R \approx 6700$ and 11 400. In this setup, the point spread function (PSF) along the dispersion direction is well sampled, with 5 and 4.4 RAW pixels across the full width at half maximum of the UVB and VIS arms PSFs, respectively. Similarly, the UVB and VIS detectors provide a RAW pixel scale of $\approx 1.''6 \text{ pix}^{-1}$ in the spatial direction. The slow, high-gain readout mode was chosen for both detectors with no binning (readout mode: 100, 1x1, hg; gain $\approx 0.63 \text{ e}^- \text{ ADU}^{-1}$), delivering a low readout noise of 2.4 e⁻. The dark current level is extremely low (<2 e⁻ pix⁻¹ h⁻¹) and is neglected. Both UVB and VIS detectors have excellent cosmetics.

Each XShootU target was observed in STARE mode using sequences of observation (OBs) composed of one exposure or, for the fainter objects, two back-to-back exposures with identical exposure times. The individual exposure times vary between 100 s and 2955 s according to the brightness of the stars, with the aim of obtaining a signal-to-noise ratio per resolution element (S/N) of at least 100 at 490 nm and 600 nm for all LMC and SMC targets. No dedicated night-time calibrations were requested by the XShootU program, such that we rely on the standard X-shooter calibration plan. The latter typically offers one flux standard star and one telluric standard star observed during the same night as the scientific observations.

An overview of the observing campaign is provided in Table 1 while the full journal of observations is in Table 2. The observations were spread over 102 different nights from October 2020 to January 2022 and include 129 LMC and 103 SMC stars. In total, 358 UVB and 357 VIS individual exposures were obtained. Seventy-three objects have multi-epoch observations (i.e., two or more exposures), of which 34 have these observations spread over different nights. The main reasons for these repeated observations over two nights or more, are due to operators declaring that the weather conditions were below requirements or because part of the spectrum was saturated. These repeated observations provide a limited insight into the variability of these objects.

2.2. Quality control of the RAW data

We inspected each RAW data frame and checked for (near) saturation (>60 000 ADU). We identified one target with very strong saturation (VFTS 482) and 21 other targets where the H β emission line or nebular lines were saturated. For those targets, observations were repeated with reduced exposure times to record the full spectrum without saturation. However, all data were reduced in the same way and saturated parts of the spectra were masked in the co-addition (see Sect. 4.5).

During this quality control process, we identified the presence of an undocumented ghost that affects about 15% of our observations. The ghost appears as a bright, circular spot of typically 7 pix in diameter (Fig. 1, left panel). In most cases, the spot appears on an unused part of the detector (outer part, interorder regions). However, in 3% and 1% of the frames, it landed, respectively, on a region used for the sky or overlapped with the science spectrum. In the latter case, the spot produces a clear artifact in the reduced 1D spectrum (Fig. 1, right panel). In most cases, the ghost is saturated, so that it can easily be identified in the cumulative distribution of counts in each pixel. However, we have also visually identified frames where the ghost was not saturated, which made it more difficult to automatically flag. The origin of the ghost has not been identified. Some back-to-back



Fig. 1. Example of the ghost artifact identified in various 2D RAW images (left panel). Whenever the ghost position overlaps with the extraction region of the science target, it produces an artifact in the extracted 1D spectrum (right panel, at $\lambda \approx 910$ nm in this example). The insets are in the same units as the main panel.



Fig. 2. Comparison of a reduced spectrum of SK-68D137 without (left panels) and with (right panels) response-curve correction.

exposures separated by 10–15 min reveal that the ghost moves on a short timescale or even disappears. In a handful of cases, multiple ghosts could be identified in the RAW image.

3. Data reduction

3.1. General overview

The data reduction was performed using the ESO X-shooter pipeline v3.5.0 (Goldoni 2011). The following steps were applied using dedicated routines of the pipeline: bias subtraction, order guess position estimate, order tracing, flat-fielding, wavelength calibration, spectral extraction, sky-subtraction and response-curve correction. No dark correction was applied given the very low dark current of the UVB and VIS detectors. We decided early on to work with response-curve-corrected spectra as these provide better correction for the blaze functions and an overall smoother spectrum, which eases the rectification to the continuum (Fig. 2). For the vast majority of the observations, RAW calibration frames obtained in the mornings after each observation night were adopted. When these were not available, suitable calibration frames nearest in time were used.

While the general data reduction strategy closely follows the standard recommendation of ESO, the following sections detail issues that were identified and the mitigation strategies implemented. This section focuses on the data reduction with the ESO CPL pipeline recipes. Additional calibration steps, such as slitloss correction, absolute flux calibration, telluric correction, and normalization, are addressed in the next section.



Fig. 3. Comparison of reduced spectra of SK-68D137 obtained with the different flat-fielding strategies when the SCIENCE target and the FLUX standard star have been observed on different nights. Red indicates when different FF frames were used to reduce the observations of the SCIENCE and FLUX stars. Black indicates when the same FF frames were used.

3.2. Flat-fielding

Flat-field (FF) frames are used in the X-shooter calibration chain to correct for the pixel-to-pixel response variation and to determine the blaze functions of the échelle orders. Under the standard X-shooter calibration plan, the validity of these FF calibration frames is three days and they are thus not taken daily. The default reduction procedure further uses FF frames with matching slit widths to reduce the SCIENCE and FLUX observations (i.e., 0.8"/0.7" for the UVB/VIS SCIENCE frames in our case, and 5" for FLUX frames).

While this is a standard approach, the net result is that different FF frames are used to reduce the FLUX and the SCIENCE observations, so that any difference in the pixel-to-pixel or blazecorrection between the FLUX and the SCIENCE data reduction leaves a residual imprint in the flux-calibrated spectrum. On average, the effect is negligible but might become noticeable for some orders close to the edge of the detectors, especially when the FF-frames used to reduce the FLUX and SCIENCE observations are taken on different days (Fig. 3).

To investigate the most suitable flat-fielding strategy, we compared different approaches. In addition to the standard approach described above, we used the same broad-slit FF frames to reduce both the FLUX and the SCIENCE frames. We also used



Fig. 4. Radial velocity difference measured between the UVB and VIS arms after correction for known wavelength calibration offsets (Sect. 3.3). The panels show the values for different data quality (increasing from top to bottom). The UVB+VIS quality of a star is given by the sum of all points listed in Table 5. The median and 68.3% quantile are listed at the top of each plot.

the narrow-slit FF frames corresponding to the SCIENCE observations to reduce the FLUX data. The size of the slit has a negligible effect on the outcome of the procedure. However, using the same FF frames to reduce the FLUX and SCIENCE observations improves the determination of the blaze function (Fig. 3). Our final approach used the broad-slit 5" FF frames obtained for the FLUX observations to reduce both the FLUX and the SCIENCE frames. From our tests, using FF-corrections obtained with different slit widths has no significant impact as long as the FF slitwidths is at least as large as the smallest one used for either FLUX or SCIENCE. This is logical as the pixel-to-pixel sensitivity correction is performed at the pixel level on the 2D images. However, forcing the FLUX and SCIENCE frames to be corrected by the same FF calibration guarantees that the imprints of the FF correction residuals of the FLUX and SCIENCE frames cancels out when correcting for the response curve of the instrument (see Sect. 4.3).

3.3. Wavelength calibration

The wavelength calibration performed by the standard ESO pipeline uses a physical model (Moehler et al. 2010). The transformation from pixel to wavelength space is optimized through the analysis of multi(9)-pinhole mask ThAr (UVB, VIS) lamp frames, instead of ThAr observations using the science slits. The predicted positions of the lines obtained through the multi(9)-pinhole mask are fitted using a two-dimensional Gaussian to recover the actual positions on the frame. The resulting wavelength calibration is slightly offset in wavelength compared to observations through the science slits as different optical components are in the light path. These offsets can be recovered using quality control information of ThAr slit observations, present in

the ESO QC1 database¹. We found that we have to adjust² the UVB spectra by adding 4.477 km s⁻¹, and the VIS spectra by adding 1.001 km s⁻¹.

After correction for these offsets, RVs measured from the UVB and VIS arms come into much better agreement (see also Sect. 5). Figure 4 is based on a preliminary determination of the radial velocities that processed the UVB and VIS arms separately. It indeed shows that the systematic residual offset is well below 1 km s⁻¹, independent of the quality of the spectral lines of the object and of the S/N of the data. This amounts to about 10% of the statistical uncertainties on these measurements. The larger radial velocity differences for the lower-quality data are mainly due to emission lines, which are either stellar (in WR stars), or nebular.

3.4. Updated flux standard models and instrument response

Following the flat-fielding, the extracted spectra are corrected for the instrumental response curve using a set of seven X-shooter spectro-photometric white dwarf standard stars (GD 71, GD 153, EG 274, FEIGE 110, EG 21, LTT 3218, and LTT 7987) observed during the same or adjacent nights. The standard reduction procedure in the public ESO pipeline (Moehler et al. 2014) resulted in inconsistent spectral energy distribution slopes between the standard stars and small "wiggles" around the Balmer lines (on the order of a few percent), which can affect the measurement of vital stellar parameters such as temperature and surface gravity. We updated the reference models and the knot anchors points (Fig. 5) used in the corresponding pipeline recipe to reduce these wiggles and boost the consistency in the relative flux calibration (Fig. 6).

Of the seven stars used as X-shooter standards, two (GD 71 and GD 153) are also used as standards for the HST. For consistency with the flux-calibrated HST spectra, we used the HST reference models for GD 71 and GD 153 as inputs for the Xshooter pipeline (Bohlin et al. 2020). To correct the models of the remaining five X-shooter standards, we collected all their observed data between October 12, 2020 and April 21, 2021, and reduced them as if they were science frames, using the nearest GD 71 observations as the flux calibrator and the HST reference models for the reduction. In total, 110 such observations were available (4 for EG 274, 23 for FEIGE 110, 33 for EG 21, 38 for LTT 3218, and 12 for LTT 7987). We co-added all reduced spectra for each of these standards and performed an interpolated grid-based chi-square minimization to obtain the best-fitting synthetic white dwarf model for each.

Given the parameter range covered by these five standard stars ($T_{\rm eff} \approx [9,45]$ kK, $\log(g) \approx [5,8.5]$), the master grid used for the fitting consisted of models taken primarily from the WDGrid (Bohlin et al. 2020), supplemented by models from TMAPGrid2 (Werner & Dreizler 1999; Werner et al. 2003; Rauch & Deetjen 2003), and from a grid computed in Koester (2010). After the best fitting model was determined, we followed the procedure outlined in Moehler et al. (2014) to remove the large-scale bumps in the residuals that result from missing physics in the synthetic models using the SciPy UnivariateSpline method (Virtanen et al. 2020). Finally, we

¹ http://archive.eso.org/bin/qc1_cgi?action=qc1_

browse_instrume. The quality control parameter model_diffy_med for ThAr slit observations provides the difference to the model predictions.

 $^{^2}$ We provide here the exact correction values up to the third decimal place as we have applied them. This does not means that the precision of these correction is 0.001 km s⁻¹.



Fig. 5. Comparison of knot points between the original knots (in gray) and the new knots (in red) used for interpolation in determining the response curve for GD 71 in the UVB arm. The top panel shows the observed spectra as a function of wavelength for one observation, while the bottom panel shows the reference model divided by the observation in black and the resulting response curve after interpolation in orange.



Fig. 6. Comparison of new and old reference models for the EG 274 and EG 21 FLUX standard stars used by the XShootU program. The top panel of each star shows the observed co-added spectrum (in black) and the new and old reference models (in red and blue, respectively). The sub-panels show the residuals between the observation and each of the reference models using the same color scheme.

adjusted the knot points used in the flux calibration pipeline so that they are more symmetric around the Balmer lines in order to reduce the wiggles initially seen in the reduction. Figures 6 and 7 illustrate the improved consistency obtained with the new flux standard models. The updated static calibration files including the new flux models and anchor points is provided on Zenodo (files xsh_response_fit_waves_cat_UVB, and xsh_star_catalog_UVB and _VIS).

3.5. Sky-subtraction

The advanced products that we provide are sky-subtracted: the average flux level of an 'empty' region of the detector has been



Fig. 7. Comparison of the H γ (top) and H β (bottom) line profile of the target AV-238 for three different observations (Dec. 2020, May 2021, and June 2021) and calibrated with three different FLUX standard stars (black: EG 21, blue: LTT 9789, red: GD 153). The residual plots show the ratio of data calibrated with LTT 7987 (blue) and GD 153 (red) and those calibrated with EG 21. The 1 and 2% inconsistencies in the blue wing of H β and H γ , respectively, disappeared when using the new flux models. The remaining differences located in the core of the lines are likely of astrophysical origin (e.g., line profile or RV variations, or nebular correction residuals).

subtracted from the flux of the science object. According to this definition, the 'sky signal' contains the illumination of the night sky (moon, dark sky brightness) as well as any nebulosity or extended emission that will fall on the slit. We effectively work under the assumption that these latter components are not

Sana, H., et al.: A&A, 688, A104 (2024)



Fig. 8. 2D reduced spectrum of AV-251 (left) and AV-6 (right), revealing a faint companion 1" below the spectrum of AV6. The overlaid grid indicates the wavelength axis (horizontal, in nm) and the spatial axis (vertical, in arcsec from the center of the slit). The default science and sky extraction windows and those adopted for AV-6 in this work are overlaid on the 2D spectrum. The color bar indicates the ADU level in the images (in log scale). The cross sections along the spatial direction are in units of ADU (in linear scale).



Fig. 9. Comparison of the extracted spectra of AV-6 with the default (black) and manually selected extraction and sky regions for the components centered at +1.05'' (blue) and -0.8'' (red). The left and right panels correspond to the UVB and VIS arms, respectively. The insets focus on various spectral lines of interests and are in the same units as the main panels.

Table 3. Stars with multiple objects in the slit and adopted science and sky extraction regions, expressed in arcsec.

	a .	G1 4	<u> </u>				
Targets	Science	Sky I	Sky 2				
	[start: end]	[start: end]	[start: end]				
N	Iultiple object	s on slit					
AV-6	+0.0:+2.1	-3.0:-4.8	+3.2:+5.1				
NGC346-ELS-026	-2.0:+1.4	-5.0:-2.0	none				
N206-FS-170	-2.0:+2.0	-5.0:-2.0	+4.0:+4.8				
N11-ELS-048	-2.0:+1.7	-5.0:-2.0	none				
VFTS-482	-2.0:+2.0	-4.9:-3.7	none				
VFTS-542	-2.0:+2.0	-5.0:-2.0	none				
VFTS-545	-2.0:+2.0	-5.0:-2.0	none				
Non-standard extraction of isolated stars							
AV-324	-3.0:+3.0	-5.0:-3.0	+3.0:+5.0				
SK-67D106	-3.0:+3.0	-5.0:-3.0	+3.0:+5.0				
SK-191	-3.0:+3.0	-5.0:-3.0	+3.0:+5.0				

Notes. The Sky 1 region is always located below the science spectrum on the detector while the Sky 2 region is above (see Fig. 8).

variable. While all the advanced products provided are skysubtracted, we provide the sky spectrum used so that it is trivial for any user to reconstruct the original, non-sky-subtracted signal. We briefly describe below the sky-subtraction algorithm as well as the procedure applied when multiple objects were visible in the 2D non-sky-subtracted spectra.

The sky level is estimated from regions outside the object mask and away from the detector edges by more than 0.75 (approx. 3 pix). The object mask extends over $\pm 2^{\prime\prime}$, thus ≈ 25 pixels in width around the centre of the slit. This leaves two regions of approximately 3" above and below the science spectrum used estimating the level of the sky. The selected sky pixels are then tabulated as a function of wavelength and the 2D spectrum is then smoothed along the wavelength axis using a running median over 51 pixels.

For 10 targets, one or more additional objects are present in the slit beside the main target. These contaminants were identified by visual inspection of the RAW images and their cross-dispersion profile. For these objects, the sky regions were selected manually upon visual inspection of the reduced 2D spectra. The adopted sky regions are given in Table 3. An example is shown in Figs. 8 and 9. Additional comments are provided in Appendix B.

3.6. Cosmic ray hits

The ESO CPL pipeline allows cosmic ray hits to be flagged by using a Laplacian edge detection algorithm (van Dokkum 2001). The default detection threshold (-valueremovecrhsingle-sigmalim=20) resulted in a significant number of cosmic ray hits retained in the extracted 1D science spectra. Experimenting with different values, we opted for a detection threshold at 10σ (-valueremovecrhsingle-



Fig. 10. Comparison of reduced spectra of AV-251 obtained with the different cosmic rejection thresholds. Black: -valueremovecrhsinglesigmalim=20 (default). Red: -valueremovecrhsingle-sigmalim= 10 (adopted). The spectra are slightly shifted for clarity. The insets focus on spectral lines of interest and are in the same units as the main panel.

sigmalim=10). Figure 10 shows a comparison of the extracted 1D spectra with the default and with our adopted value.

3.7. Order re-connection

Order re-connection in échelle spectrographs are a frequent issue and we paid particular attention to check the quality of the extracted and merged 1D science spectra. Poor order re-connection not only complicates the normalization process, but may also induce significant biases in the science analysis if the impact on the shape or strength of spectral lines is unidentified. In the X-shooter pipeline, order reconnection is implemented as a weighted mean in the overlapping parts of the orders. The weight are defined as the inverse of the variance, hence $1/\sigma^2$ where σ is the signal-to-noise ratio. This approach is statistically correct in the case of a normally distributed noise and no systematic biases. In this context, we visually inspected each re-connection region (see Fig. 11 for an example) and concluded that the order reconnection in the response-corrected spectra was excellent and void of any systematics.

4. Advanced data products

4.1. Slitloss correction

The XShootU spectra were obtained with narrow slits (0'.8 and 0'.7 for the UVB and VIS arms, respectively). The width of the entrance slits is thus of the same order of magnitude as the median seeing value at Cerro Paranal (Sarazin et al. 2008) and thus a fraction of the star PSF does not enter the spectrograph slits. The FLUX standard star observations used to determine the response curve correction (Sect. 3.4) were observed with 5" wide slits such that the entire star PSF is transmitted through the slit. To obtain absolute flux-calibrated spectra, we corrected the response-curve-corrected spectra for slit losses due to seeing and image quality across the detector. The correction for slit-losses is wavelength dependent, because the seeing value (σ_{λ}) changes with wavelength.

The seeing in Paranal is measured in real time by a differential image motion monitor (DIMM). Using the DIMM values recorded in the ESO archive, we estimated the average seeing during each XShootU observation. As the DIMM seeing (σ_{DIMM}) is defined at 500 nm at zenith, this value needs to be corrected for the wavelength dependence and the airmass of each



Fig. 11. Examples from the reduced UVB spectrum of 2DFS-3694 illustrating the absence of order re-connection artifacts. The blue and orange lines correspond to the wavelength regions of the first and second orders (as presented at each panel). The vertical dashed lines indicate the locations of the order re-connections (highlighted as red lines, which is the overlapping of the blue and orange regions). The order numbers and the common wavelength range of the orders are indicated at the top of each panel.

observation (Fried 1966; Goodman 2000):

$$\sigma_{\lambda} = \sigma_{\text{DIMM}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{500 \text{ nm}}\right)^{-0.2} \times \text{airmass}^{0.6}.$$
 (1)

The slit transmission fraction (T) at each wavelength is given by:

$$T = \operatorname{erf}\left(\sqrt{\ln 2} \ \frac{w_{\rm slit}}{\sigma_{\lambda}}\right),\tag{2}$$

where w_{slit} is the slit width and erf(x) is the error function:

$$\operatorname{erf}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x e^{-t^2} dt.$$
 (3)

We then corrected the (relative) response-corrected spectrum for the slit losses (1 - T) at each wavelength bin. An example is given in Fig. 12.

4.2. Telluric correction

The slit-loss-corrected spectra of the VIS arm were corrected for telluric absorption using the MOLECFIT tool v3.0.3 (Smette et al. 2015; Kausch et al. 2015). The telluric model includes O_2 and H_2O absorption lines, appropriate for the VIS wavelength region. The standard wavelength regions used by MOLECFIT were adjusted to provide the best overall results for the full sample of stars. In particular, all wavelength regions with strong telluric features are included, while regions with stellar spectral lines are excluded. The adopted wavelength ranges are given in Table 4.

Generally, the spectrum can be well fitted by the telluric model, with typical fit residuals on the order of 1-2% (Fig. 13). This results in a good telluric correction in most of the wavelength regions with weak telluric lines, with residuals well below 1% (see Fig. 14). Notable exceptions are the strongest molecular bands of O₂ at 760–770 nm and H₂O at 930–980 nm, both of which are close to or fully saturated (depending on weather conditions). Residuals in these regions typically reach up to 10% (see Fig. 14, insets), but can be much higher in rare cases with particularly poor weather conditions.

AV43 - Exposure 1



Fig. 12. Comparison of the spectrum of AV-43 before slit-loss correction (lower spectrum), after slit-loss and telluric absorption correction (middle spectrum), and after the scaling to the B magnitude and lining up the VIS to the UVB (upper spectrum). The corresponding V and R magnitudes are indicated with star symbols. The transmission curves are from Bessell (1990).

Table 4. Wavelength ranges used for fitting the telluric spectrum(in nm).

Included	Excluded
584.1-603.0 627.4-632.4 640.4-650.0 685.0-697.0 697.1-705.0 722.7-726.9 758.9-771.4 810.1-821.0 821.1-834.4	587.0–591.0 821.5–826.0 900.1–906.3 921.5–928.0 933.2–937.9 953.5–958.8 970.1–975.0
892.0–982.4	

4.3. Absolute flux calibration

After the response-curve and slitloss correction steps (Sects. 3.4 and 4.1), one might expect the relative scaling between the UVB and VIS arms to be correct, and the integration of absolute fluxes (e.g., over the *B* or *R*-band) to match the corresponding observed photometric magnitudes. However, despite considerable improvements, the agreement is generally not perfect and differences of about 5%, on average, between the two arms and with respect to published photometry remain. These likely arise from residuals from arm-dependent flux losses, sky-subtraction, and variations in the sky transparency, seeing, and airmass during the integration time. It might also result from differences between the DIMM seeing and the true seeing. We thus implemented two additional steps to further improve on the absolute flux calibration of the XShootU spectra.

In a first step, we scaled the slit-loss-corrected spectra to published photometric measurements of our targets. To do this, we collected *B* and *R* magnitudes for all our targets. All used *B* magnitudes and their references are listed in Table 1 of Paper I, with the exception of the magnitudes for AV-232, HV5622, LH58-496, NGC346-ELS-25, NGC346-ELS-51, VFTS-169, and

VFTS-586, which come from Massey (2002). All *R*-band photometry comes from Massey (2002), with the exception of AV264, which comes from Zacharias et al. (2013). The scaling factor was calculated using the *B* filter for the UVB arm and the *R* filter for the VIS arm as these filter's bandpasses are almost entirely contained within the wavelength range of the two arms (see Fig. 12). Transmission curves were adopted from Bessell (1990). The *U*- and *V*-band values were used to check the consistency of the applied scaling. Figure 15, top panel, shows the distribution of scaling factors for the UVB arm, per spectral type.

After this step, small shifts between the UVB and VIS arms remained for many observations (3% on average), for example due to uncertainties in the published photometry or target variability. To create a smooth SED across the two arms, we applied a second scaling. We calculated the average flux in each arm from 545 nm to 555 nm (the overlapping wavelength region), and applied a global scaling factor to the VIS arm to align it with the flux of the UVB arm. For most observations, this correction was less than 10%. For targets with no published photometry, we only applied this second step. For some targets, no R-band magnitudes are available. In this case, we scaled only the UVB spectra to published photometry and the second scaling step was used to align the UVB and VIS arms. Figure 15, bottom panel, shows the distribution of the additional scaling factors for the VIS arm, per spectral type. This figure only includes the stars for which R-band photometry was available. For both panels, no trend with spectral type is visible, and variations must be connected to seeing variations during observations, variable cloud coverage or the uncertainties in the photometry values. A comparison of spectra before and after slit-loss correction, and after the final scaling to the *B* magnitude, is shown in Fig. 12.

4.4. Rectification to continuum

The response-curve and slit-loss-corrected individual exposures were individually normalised by adjusting the continuum with a functional form. The rectification procedure follows the general algorithm described in Sana et al. (2013) but uses different



Fig. 13. Example of a telluric model fit to the strong O_2 (left, top) and H_2O (right, top) bands for one of the exposures of AV-43. The residuals of the fit (observation – model in $10^{-16} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ Å}^{-1}$) are shown in the sub-panels.



AV43 - Exposure 1

Fig. 14. Comparison of the spectrum of AV-43 before (SLC) and after (TAC) using the model from Fig. 13 to correct for telluric absorption. The insets show the two regions with the strongest absorption features; they are in the same units as the main panel.

functional forms. In short, the algorithm works in a pseudo wavelength range (centered on 0 and ranging from -1 to +1 for numerical stability) and proceeds in three steps: (i) an initial detrending of the flux-calibrated spectrum using a linear fit; (ii) the identification and removal of absorption and emission line regions using the ratios of a minimum or a maximum filter with to a median filter, and (iii) an iterative $\kappa - \sigma$ clipping to adjust a pre-defined function to the remaining continuum points. Three iterations were usually adopted, but this could be modified upon a visual inspection of the results.

To make the automatic rectification process more robust, we selected regions where no continuum points were allowed (e.g., regions around He II λ 4686 or H α) but also anchor continuum regions where the σ -clipping could not remove continuum points (see Fig. 16). These anchor regions help the rectification process

to more robustly converge in case the first step in the iterative cleaning of spectral lines is too aggressive.

The full spectral range was divided in four regions, two in each arm, that were separately rectified (see Fig. 16). For the UVB arm, the region blueward of the Balmer jump (310– 365 nm) was rectified using a quadratic function while the region redward of the Balmer jump (390–555 nm) uses a generalized Planck-function:

$$f_{\rm c}(\lambda) = a\lambda^b \left({\rm e}^{c/\lambda} - 1\right)^{-1},\tag{4}$$

with a, b and c being variables of the fit. Similarly, the VIS arm wavelength range was split into two sections. The range blueward of the Paschen jump (555–820 nm) was adjusted with Eq. (4)



Fig. 15. Scaling factors for absolute flux correction, as a function of spectral type. Top panel: scaling factors applied to the UVB arm to make the spectra match the B magnitude photometry. Bottom panel: extra scaling factors applied to the VIS arm, after matching them to the *R* photometry, to align the UVB and VIS arms. The dotted lines in both panels correspond to $\pm 3\sigma$ ranges.

while the range redward of the Paschen jump (800–1020 nm) was adjusted with a quadratic function.

The choice of the functions was motivated by the respective sizes of the wavelength ranges to fit and by our intention to avoid introducing high-order frequencies in the rectified signal. Such high-frequency fluctuations could impact shallow absorption or emission profiles that are of astrophysical significance (e.g., extended Balmer wing absorption, diffuse interstellar bands or extended wind line emission) that would be overcorrected using a local approach or a more aggressive normalization function (e.g., high-order polynomials, splines). In doing so, we provided a global high-quality normalization (within 0.5% of the continuum in most of the spectral range). Further local normalization may need to be applied, depending on the science case.

The regions around the Balmer and Paschen jumps were excluded from the rectification procedure because they cannot be adjusted without an atmospheric model. As described above, the overall result is quite satisfying given the large spectral ranges covered (up to 265 nm) and the limited number of free parameters (only three) in each region. Some limitations are, however, apparent:

- The bluest region (< 350 nm) displays only a pseudo continuum due to the high density of spectral lines. This prevented us from retaining continuum points so that the adopted continuum is an interpolation of the quadratic function used in the 324.64–364.0 nm region.
- There is a tendency to underestimate the continuum below 410 nm, while overestimating the continuum just redward of H δ (by ~0.5%); see Fig. 16, top-right panel.

- Small (~0.5%) dips in continuum blueward of the Balmer lines are often present.
- Broad bumps (~1%) in flux around 570 nm are caused by the O₂ model of the telluric absorption correction (see Sect. 4.2).
- There is a broad bump in flux from 900–960 nm. This is a result of the telluric absorption correction as the telluric lines are (close to) saturated in this region.

4.5. Co-addition and final products

The 1D flux-calibrated spectra corresponding to individual exposures of each arm were corrected for barycentric motion. They were also re-sampled to a common wavelength grid starting at 300 nm and 545 nm for the UVB and VIS arm, respectively, adopting a constant wavelength step of 0.02 nm (see Sect. 3). The re-binned spectra of all exposures were co-added using a weighted mean where the weight is given by the inverse of the square error spectrum provided by the pipeline. Regions of 1D spectra that were identified as saturated (Sect. 2.2) were masked in the co-addition process.

We did not co-add the normalised spectra of each epoch but re-did the normalization after co-addition of the flux-calibrated UVB and VIS spectra using the same procedures as described in Sect. 4.4. This prevents that any uncertainty on the rectification of the individual epochs from being propagated to the co-added data.

Finally, the co-added flux-calibrated spectra of the UVB and VIS arms were merged by stitching them together at $\lambda = 550$ nm. We chose to adopt a strict transition from one arm to another rather than, for example, a progressive transition over a small wavelength range. This choice was driven by the fact that each arm has a different spectral resolving power, such that it is clear for the user at which wavelength the change of resolution occurs. Data above 550 nm for the UVB arm and below 550 nm for the VIS arm are still made available in the data products of the individual epochs (see Sect. 6.3), but are ignored in the co-added data products (Sect. 6.4).

4.6. Overall quality: S/N distribution

We estimated the S/N obtained for each observation using continuum windows in the reduced and normalised spectra. For the UVB arm, the continuum region was taken from 475 to 480 nm while it ranged from 675 to 685 nm in the VIS arm. An exception occurs for broad emission line stars for which no automatic normalization was applied. In these cases, the VIS continuum region was restricted to the range of 683 to 685 nm and a local normalization was applied before computing the S/N. In each case, the S/N was computed per pixel as the ratio of the median to the 1 σ -dispersion. The resulting distributions are displayed in Fig. 17 and show a median (per pixel) S/N above 200 in the UVB arm and around 150 in the VIS arm of the co-added spectra. These correspond to a median S/N per resolution elements of above 350 and 250 in the UVB and VIS co-added spectra, respectively.

5. RV measurements

The radial velocities were determined by cross-correlating the observed spectra from the DR1 release with theoretical template spectra calculated with the PoWR model atmosphere code (Hamann & Gräfener 2003; Sander et al. 2015). We



Fig. 16. Example of automatic normalization of 2DFS-163 for each range in the UVB and VIS arms. Regions shaded in red and green are regions that are rejected or forcefully included as continuum regions.

used template spectra from the publicly available model grids³ (Hamann & Gräfener 2004; Todt et al. 2015; Hainich et al. 2019). In total, 3610 OB and WN model spectra were used. We did not employ the WC models, as their strong emission lines make radial velocity determinations difficult. For the SMC and LMC targets, we used the individual 1D rebinned spectra from the DR1. For each spectrum we take the column WAVELENGTH_AIR for the wavelength and the column SCI_FLUX_NORM for the normalized flux. The wavelength region over which we cross-correlate is limited to 400–890 nm. The blue limit avoids the Balmer jump, while the red limit avoids the increasing level of noise.

We exhaustively compared the observed spectra to all theoretical spectra, to determine the radial velocity for each observing epoch. A grid of ten projected rotational velocities was also tried ($v \sin i = 0, 20, 50, 100, 150, 200, 250, 300, 400, 500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) and all PoWR spectra were convolved with the rotational profile (Gray 2005). They were also convolved with a Gaussian instrumental profile (R = 6700 for the UVB spectrum and R = 11400 for the VIS spectrum).

For each observed target epoch, a loop is run over all $3610 \times$ 10 rotationally broadened PoWR spectra. Each PoWR spectrum is cross-correlated with the observed spectrum. We masked out a number of wavelength regions: the region around He II λ 4686, the interstellar Na I lines, the regions corrected for telluric lines, the gap around 636 nm (which has been interpolated over in the normalized spectra), and the Paschen P16 line (which has an incorrect wavelength in the PoWR spectra for grids computed before 2021; see bug warning on the PoWR website). We further masked the pixels that have a nonzero value in the SCI_FLUX_QUAL column. When there are repeated observations of one target, all spectra of that star are fit with the same theoretical spectrum and the same rotational broadening, but allowing for different radial velocities for each epoch. Our cross-correlation technique uses a velocity grid from -200 to +600 km s⁻¹ with a step-size of 10 km s⁻¹. The final value for the radial velocity is then found by a parabolic interpolation around the maximum of the cross-correlation function.

³ https://www.astro.physik.uni-potsdam.de/PoWR



Fig. 17. Distribution of S/N for the UVB (upper panel) and VIS (lower panel) part of the spectra.

The cross-correlation function is computed as (e.g., David et al. 2014)

$$C(v_{\rm s}) = \frac{\sum_{i} (F_{i} - \overline{F})(T_{i} - \overline{T})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i} \left(F_{i} - \overline{F}\right)^{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{i} \left(T_{i}(v_{\rm s}) - \overline{T(v_{\rm s})}\right)^{2}}},$$
(5)

where F_i are the observed fluxes, with the average observed flux \overline{F} , and $T_i(v_s)$ the theoretical fluxes, shifted by a velocity v_s , with the average theoretical flux $\overline{T(v_s)}$.

A parabolic fit is applied to find the maximum of the crosscorrelation function, and thus the corresponding radial velocity and radial velocity uncertainty. For the uncertainty σ_{RV} , we use:

$$\sigma_{\rm RV}^2 = -\left[N\frac{C''C}{1-C^2}\right]^{-1},\tag{6}$$

where C is the cross-correlation function at maximum, C'' its second derivative, and N the number of points in the spectrum (Zucker 2003).

Among all the PoWR spectra we chose the one that had the highest maximum in the cross-correlation function and used that one to determine the radial velocity. When there were repeated observations, we chose the PoWR spectrum that had the highest sum of the cross-correlation maxima. The resulting radial velocities are given in Table C.1. Table C.2 lists the stars for which we could not determine the radial velocity, including the reason.

Although we list the chosen PoWR spectrum for each star in Table C.1, we caution that its parameters are not necessarily the ones that best represent the properties of the star. The radialvelocity determination procedure focuses on other features of the spectrum than a stellar parameter determination procedure does. As one example, we recall that the He II λ 4686 line was excluded from the procedure. In addition, most of the chosen PoWR spectra we used turned out to have SMC metallicity, even for the LMC stars.

The formal uncertainty derived from Eq. (6) is small for most of the stars. As an alternative estimate of the uncertainty, we measured the short-term radial velocity behavior. Figure 18 shows the difference in radial velocity between observations of

 Table 5. Point system for the quality indicator of the radial velocity determination.

Arm	Criterion	Points
UVB	sharp lines	+1
	or very sharp lines	+2
	good fit	+1
	or very good fit	+2
	emission or nebular emission	-2
VIS	depth Paschen lines less than 0.05	0
	depth Paschen lines between 0.1 and 0.2	+1
	depth Paschen lines between 0.3 and 0.4	+2
	good fit Paschen lines	+1
	good fit H α	+1
	broad lines	-1



Fig. 18. Radial velocity difference between observations of the same star (listed on the x-axis) taken 1 h or less apart. The title lists the median and the dispersion. The dashed lines indicate the $\pm 2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ range.

the same star obtained up to 1 h apart. The differences are $\sim 2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, which is a more representative value of the radial velocity uncertainty.

As a further indication of the reliability of our radial velocity determination, we also determined a quality index. We introduced different quality criteria that give a weight to how well the theoretical spectrum fits certain observed spectral lines. For the VIS spectra, this includes judging the depth of the Paschen lines, which play an important role in the radial velocity determination. We judged by eye whether the observed lines are broad or narrow, and how well the theoretical spectrum fits the observed one. For each of these criteria we assigned points (positive or negative) and determined the final quality indicator by adding up the points. The details are given in Table 5.

For approximately half of our stars, Simbad also lists a radial velocity. Figure 19 compares our values to those of Simbad. The results are color-coded according to the quality of the RV determination. The comparison shows how the agreement between Simbad and our results improves as we go to higher quality measurements as defined in Table 5.



Fig. 19. Comparison between our radial velocities and those from Simbad. The color scale indicates the quality of our radial velocity determination. The formal errors on our results are, in most cases, smaller than the symbol.

6. Data release

The XShootU pipeline reduced data, and the 2D and 1D data products are released to the community using Zenodo⁴. A part of these products are also ingested into the ESO phase 3 archive⁵ and on the ULLYSES STScI website⁶. The UVB/VIS data release associated with this paper is dubbed DR1. It replaces nonpublic early releases (eDR) that have been distributed in the consortium for test and development purposes. We do not envision significant changes of the UVB/VIS data reduction beyond DR1, but the numbering will allow us to keep track of changes should they be required. DR2 should include the NIR but is not anticipated before 2025 because the ESO pipeline is currently undergoing significant improvement of the wavelength calibration using telluric lines. In addition, the HST ULLYSES target list has recently been expanded using archival data. The XShootU collaboration is currently seeking to obtain the corresponding X-shooter observations. Should they be acquired, they will be included in a subsequent data release.

The data format and the various data products that we provide to the community are extensively described in dedicated documentation available on Zenodo. We provide here a brief overview of the available content.

6.1. Pipeline reduction products

Pipeline reduction products (PRPs) are produced by running the esorex pipeline cascade (Sect. 3.1). We collected all intermediate PRPs and master calibrations in addition to the reduced 1D and 2D spectra. For example, we obtained 20 PRP files from the reduction of each scientific frame. These PRPs are described extensively in the XSHOOTER pipeline manual that can be retrieved (e.g., from the instrument webpage⁷) and is not be repeated here.

- 6 https://ullyses.stsci.edu; https://archive.stsci.edu/ hlsp/ullyses
- 7 https://www.eso.org/sci/facilities/paranal/ instruments/xshooter/doc.html

We provide a subset of the most important 2D PRPs as consolidated 2D reduction products (CRP_2D). These are formed by one set of three multi-layer fits files for each star:

- XSHOOTU_<target>_FLUX_MERGE2D: flux-calibrated 2D science spectra;
- XSHOOTU_<target>_FADU_MERGE2D: non flux-calibrated 2D science spectra;
- XSHOOTU_<target>_SKYADU_MERGE2D: non fluxcalibrated 2D sky spectra.

These files provide, for each target, the reduced (flat-fielded, wavelength-calibrated, straightened, resampled and merged orders) 2D spectra corresponding to each science exposure. These are produced, respectively, from the SCI_SLIT_FLUX_ MERGE2D_<ARM>, SCI_SLIT _MERGE2D_<ARM> and SKY_SLIT _MERGE2D_<ARM> PRPs. Aside from the main extension (empty, though fits descriptors allow indentification of the target and DR version), each file thus contains $N_{\text{epoch}} \times N_{\text{arm}} \times 3$ layers, where N_{epoch} is the number of individual observing epochs and N_{arm} is the number of arms in the DR. The factor 3 comes from the fact that we provide FLUX, ERRS and QUAL layers for each exposure. Each extension is tagged by the ARM, observing time and nature (FLUX, ERRS, QUAL) of the data. ESO headers are preserved in the headers of the individual extensions. These CRP_2D files should be the entry points to investigate the spatial surroundings of the XShootU targets (e.g., nebulosity, nearby objects in the slit), or to perform a custom-made sky-subtraction or extraction of the science spectrum.

6.3. Consolidated 1D data products

All extracted 1D science and sky spectra (epochs, arms), and the advanced data products of each exposure are consolidated into a set of two fits files for each target:

- XSH-U_<target>_INDIV1D: non-barycentric-corrected 1D science and sky spectra;
- XSH-U_<target>_INDIV1D_REBIN: barycentric-corrected and resampled 1D science and sky spectra;

The INDIV1D and INDIV1D_REBIN files have the same structure and contain similar information, but the wavelength calibration scale (WLC) of the INDIV1D files is imported directly from the PRPs while the WLC of the INDIV1D_REBIN files has been corrected for the barycentric motion and the 1D data has been resampled onto a common WLC grid using a quadratic interpolation. This common grid starts at 300 and 545 nm for the UVB and VIS arm, respectively, and has a step of 0.02 nm, thus providing about 2 to 4 pixels per resolution elements. The WLC is provided in air (λ_{air}) and in vacuum (λ_{vac}) so that the data can be easily combined with the HST ULLYSES UV data that are in vacuum. Vacuum wavelengths were computed using the PyAstronomy⁸ (Czesla et al. 2019) routine airtovac2, which iteratively solves the equation:

$$\lambda_{\rm vac} = n\lambda_{\rm air},\tag{7}$$

where the refractive index *n* is taken from Ciddor (1996):

$$n = \frac{0.05792105}{238.0185 - \lambda_{\rm vac}^{-2}} + \frac{0.00167917}{57.362 - \lambda_{\rm vac}^{-2}} + 1.$$
(8)

Here λ_{vac} is the vacuum wavelength in μ m, and assuming standard air⁹. The flux-calibrated spectra were not resampled when

a CO_2 concentration of 450 ppm.

⁴ https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11122188

⁵ https://www.eso.org/sci/observing/phase3.html
⁶ https://ullvses.stsci.edu: https://archive.stsci.edu

⁸ https://github.com/sczesla/PyAstronomy

⁹ Standard air is at 15 °C, is dry, has a pressure of 101325 Pa, and has

moving from air to vacuum, leading to a small systematic error $\leq 10^{-3}$ relative to the flux level. This error is much smaller that the precision of our flux calibration.

As for the CRP_2D, separate extensions provides access to the spectra obtained at different epochs and for the different arms. Each extension is tagged by the ARM and observing time. ESO headers of the SCI_SLIT_FLUX_MERGE1D_<ARM> PRPs are preserved in the headers of the individual extensions. Each extension provides a fits table containing, among others, the response-curve-corrected science spectra, the science and sky spectra in ADU (non-response-corrected), as well as spectra corrected for slit-losses, telluric absorption and absolute flux-calibration. Correction functions (e.g., response-curve, wavelength-dependant slit-correction and normalization functions) are not included to limit the size of the data but can be reconstructed from the different columns. These files containing the individual epochs are thus the entry point to study variability, and to access the extracted but unprocessed 1D spectra of the science target or the sky.

6.4. Co-added one-dimensional (1D) data products

Our final data products result from the co-addition of all collected 1D spectra, and the connection of the UVB and VIS arms into a single spectrum. The result is provided in a single fits file per target:

XSH-U_<target>_COADD1D: barycentric-corrected, resampled and co-added 1D science and sky spectra.

As for the consolidated 1D data products, the COADD1D file provide the co-added and response-curve-corrected science spectra, the co-added science and sky spectra in ADU (non-responsecorrected), as well as the co-added spectra corrected for slitlosses, telluric absorptions and absolute flux-calibration. In case of multi-epoch observations, the co-addition ignores variability. The co-added 1D products should be suitable for atmosphere analysis or spectral library applications depending on whether the target is suitable for the science goals of the analysis (e.g., in terms of variability vs. average spectrum). In particular, we do not apply any correction for RV variations of the source, such as those expected from spectroscopic binaries.

6.5. Quality control figures and atlas

Our data release is accompanied by a number of figures that allow the community to quickly assess the quality of the spectra, of the telluric correction and of the normalization. Examples are given in Figs. 12, 14 and 16 and are available on Zenodo. An atlas of the flux-calibrated and normalized co-added spectra is also provided.

7. Summary

We reduced the UVB and VIS X-shooter data obtained by the XShootU large program in a consistent and systematic way, improving upon the standard reduction in a number of ways that include the flat-fielding strategy and the use of improved FLUX standard models. We provide advanced reduction products, including the correction for slit losses and telluric lines, and an absolute flux calibration. We also provide normalized spectra using smooth functions that should not have introduced high-order frequencies in the resulting spectra as the latter might modify the quantitative science content of the data. Various data

products are provided to the community, including 2D and 1D consolidated reduction products as well as barycentric-motioncorrected and co-added spectra for which all individual epochs and the data from the UVB and VIS are combined to optimize the S/N. We also provide quick-look figures that enable a first assessment of the quality of various steps in the data processing and of the final data products. The DR1 data should be suitable for immediate scientific exploitation.

Acknowledgments. We thank the referee for their constructive comments. This project has received funding from the KU Leuven Research Council (grant C16/17/007: MAESTRO). M.A. acknowledges support from "la Caixa" Foundation (ID 100010434) under the fellowship code LCF/BQ/PI23/11970035. L.M. thanks FAPESP (grant 2022/03703-1) and CNPQ (grant 307115/2021-6) for partial funding of this research. AACS and VR are supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG - German Research Foundation) in the form of an Emmy Noether Research Group - Project-ID 445674056 (SA4064/1-1, PI Sander). AACS and VR are further supported by funding from the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) and the Baden-Württemberg Ministry of Science as part of the Excellence Strategy of the German Federal and State Governments. JSV gratefully acknowledges support from STFC via grant ST/V000233/1. CJKL gratefully acknowledges support from the International Max Planck Research School for Astronomy and Cosmic Physics at the University of Heidelberg in the form of an IMPRS PhD fellowship. GM acknowledges funding support from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (Grant agreement No. 772086). F.N. acknowledges funding by grants PID2019-105552RB-C41 and MDM- 2017-0737-19-3 Unidad de Excelencia "María de Maeztu". C.K. acknowledges financial support from the Spanish Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad under grants AYA2016-79724-C4-4-P and PID2019-107408GB-C44, from Junta de Andalucía Excellence Project P18-FR-2664, and from the grant CEX2021-001131-S funded by MCIN/AEI/ 10.13039/501100011033. PAC and JB are supported by the Science and Technology Facilities Council research grant ST/V000853/1 (PI. V. Dhillon). R.K. acknowledges financial support via the Heisenberg Research Grant funded by the German Research Foundation (DFG) under grant no. KU 2849/9.

References

- Abbott, B. P., Abbott, R., Abbott, T. D., et al. 2020, ApJ, 892, L3
- Belczynski, K., Dominik, M., Bulik, T., et al. 2010, ApJ, 715, L138
- Bessell, M. S. 1990, PASP, 102, 1181
- Bohlin, R. C., Hubeny, I., & Rauch, T. 2020, AJ, 160, 21
- Bouret, J. C., Lanz, T., Hillier, D. J., et al. 2003, ApJ, 595, 1182
- Ciddor, P. E. 1996, Appl. Opt., 35, 1566
- Codoreanu, A., Ryan-Weber, E. V., García, L. Á., et al. 2018, MNRAS, 481, 4940
- Czesla, S., Schröter, S., Schneider, C. P., et al. 2019, Astrophysics Source Code Library [record ascl:1906.010]
- David, M., Blomme, R., Frémat, Y., et al. 2014, A&A, 562, A97
- Doran, E. I., Crowther, P. A., de Koter, A., et al. 2013, A&A, 558, A134
- Fried, D. L. 1966, J. Opt. Soc. Am., 56, 1372
- Goldoni, P. 2011, Astron. Nachr., 332, 227
- Goodman, J. W. 2000, Statistical Optics (Wiley)
- Gray, D. F. 2005, The Observation and Analysis of Stellar Photospheres (Cambridge University Press)
- Hainich, R., Ramachandran, V., Shenar, T., et al. 2019, A&A, 621, A85
- Hamann, W. R., & Gräfener, G. 2003, A&A, 410, 993
- Hamann, W. R., & Gräfener, G. 2004, A&A, 427, 697
- Hansen, C. J., Montes, F., & Arcones, A. 2014, ApJ, 797, 123
- Hunter, I., Brott, I., Langer, N., et al. 2009, A&A, 496, 841
- Kashino, D., Lilly, S. J., Simcoe, R. A., et al. 2023, Nature, 617, 261
- Kausch, W., Noll, S., Smette, A., et al. 2015, A&A, 576, A78
- Kobayashi, C., Umeda, H., Nomoto, K., Tominaga, N., & Ohkubo, T. 2006, ApJ, 653, 1145
- Koester, D. 2010, MmSAI, 81, 921
- Massey, P. 2002, ApJS, 141, 81
- Moehler, S., Bristow, P., Kerber, F., Modigliani, A., & Vernet, J. 2010, SPIE Conf. Ser., 7737, 77371G
- Moehler, S., Modigliani, A., Freudling, W., et al. 2014, A&A, 568, A9
- Rauch, T., & Deetjen, J. L. 2003, in ASP Conf. Ser., 288, Stellar Atmosphere Modeling, eds. I. Hubeny, D. Mihalas, & K. Werner, 103
- Rickard, M. J., Hainich, R., Hamann, W. R., et al. 2022, A&A, 666, A189
- Roman-Duval, J., Proffitt, C. R., Taylor, J. M., et al. 2020, RNAAS, 4, 205

- Roman-Duval, J., Taylor, J., Fullerton, A., Fischer, W., & Plesha, R. 2023, in Amer. Astron. Soc. Meeting Abstracts, 55, 223.02
- Sana, H., de Koter, A., de Mink, S. E., et al. 2013, A&A, 550, A107
- Sander, A., Shenar, T., Hainich, R., et al. 2015, A&A, 577, A13
- Sarazin, M., Melnick, J., Navarrete, J., & Lombardi, G. 2008, The Messenger, 132, 11
- Smette, A., Sana, H., Noll, S., et al. 2015, A&A, 576, A77
- Todt, H., Sander, A., Hainich, R., et al. 2015, A&A, 579, A75
- van Dokkum, P. G. 2001, PASP, 113, 1420
- Vernet, J., Dekker, H., D'Odorico, S., et al. 2011, A&A, 536, A105
- Vink, J. S., Mehner, A., Crowther, P. A., et al. 2023, A&A, 675, A154
- Virtanen, P., Gommers, R., Oliphant, T. E., et al. 2020, Nat. Methods, 17, 261
- Werner, K., & Dreizler, S. 1999, J. Computat. Appl. Math., 109, 65
- Werner, K., Deetjen, J. L., Dreizler, S., et al. 2003, in ASP Conf. Ser., 288, Stellar Atmosphere Modeling, eds. I. Hubeny, D. Mihalas, & K. Werner, 31 Zacharias, N., Finch, C. T., Girard, T. M., et al. 2013, AJ, 145, 44
- Zucker, S. 2003, MNRAS, 342, 1291
- ¹ Institute of Astronomy, KU Leuven, Celestijnlaan 200D, 3001 Leuven, Belgium
- e-mail: hugues.sana@kuleuven.be
- ² ESO European Organisation for Astronomical Research in the Southern Hemisphere, Alonso de Cordova 3107, Vitacura, Santiago de Chile, Chile
- ³ Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, C. Vía Láctea, s/n, 38205 La Laguna, Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Spain
- ⁴ Universidad de La Laguna, Dpto. Astrofísica, Av. Astrofísico Francisco Sánchez, 38206 La Laguna, Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Spain
- ⁵ Royal Observatory of Belgium, Avenue Circulaire/Ringlaan 3, 1180 Brussels, Belgium
- ⁶ Université Libre de Bruxelles, Av. Franklin Roosevelt 50, 1050 Brussels, Belgium
- ⁷ IAASARS, National Observatory of Athens, 15236 Penteli, Greece
- ⁸ Institute of Astrophysics FORTH, 71110, Heraklion, Greece
- ⁹ NAT Universidade Cidade de São Paulo, Rua Galvão Bueno 868, São Paulo, Brazil

- ¹⁰ Instituto de Astronomía, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Unidad Académica en Ensenada, Km 103 Carr. Tijuana–Ensenada, Ensenada, B.C., C.P. 22860, Mexico
- ¹¹ Centro Universitário da FEI, Dept. de Física. Av. Humberto Alencar de Castelo Branco, 3972, São Bernardo do Campo-SP, CEP 09850-901, Brazil
- ¹² Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of Sheffield, Hicks Building, Hounsfield Road, Sheffield S3 7RH, UK
- ¹³ Space Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San Martin Drive, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA
- ¹⁴ Department of Physics and Astronomy & Pittsburgh Particle Physics, Astrophysics and Cosmology Center (PITT PACC), University of Pittsburgh, 3941 O'Hara Street, Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA
- ¹⁵ Institut für Physik und Astronomie, Universität Potsdam, Karl-Liebknecht-Str. 24/25, 14476 Potsdam, Germany
- ¹⁶ Zentrum für Astronomie der Universität Heidelberg, Astronomisches Rechen-Institut, Mönchhofstr. 12–14, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany
- ¹⁷ Max-Planck-Insitut für Kernphysik, Saupfercheckweg 1, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany
- ¹⁸ Departamento de Astrofísica, Centro de Astrobiología, (CSIC-INTA), Ctra. Torrejón a Ajalvir, km 4, 28850 Torrejón de Ardoz, Madrid, Spain
- ¹⁹ Max Planck Institute for Astronomy, Königstuhl 17, 69117, Heidelberg, Germany
- ²⁰ Departamento de Física y Matématicas, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Alcalá, Ctra. Madrid-Barcelona km 33.6, Alcalá de Henares, Madrid, Spain
- ²¹ Anton Pannekoek Institute for Astronomy, University of Amsterdam, Postbus 94249, 1090 GE Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- ²² Armagh Observatory and Planetarium, College Hill, BT61 9DG Armagh, Northern Ireland, UK
- ²³ Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía CSIC, Glorieta de la Astronomía s.n., 18008 Granada, Spain
- ²⁴ Faculty of Physics, University of Duisburg-Essen, Lotharstraße 1, 47057 Duisburg, Germany

Appendix A: Target names

SMC Targets 2dFS 163 2dFS-163 2DFS-163 2dFS 163 AzV 6 AzV 6 AzV 6 AzV 6 AzV 11 AzV-14 AzV 15 AzV 14 AzV 15 AzV-14 AzV 15 AzV 15 AzV 16 AzV-16 AzV 15 AzV 15 AzV 18 AzV-18 AZV 22 AzV 22 AzV 22 AzV-22 AzZ 2 AzV 39a AzV-39a AZ-39A AzV 43 AZV-47 AZV 47 AzV 41 AzV-47 AZV 47 AzV 60 AZV-61 AZV 69 AzV 70 AzV-70 AZV 70 AzV 75 AZV 75 AZV 70 AzV 75 AZV 75 AZV 70 AzV 80 AZV-80 AZV 80 AZV 80 AZV 80 AZV 80 AZV 80 AZV 80 AZV 80 AZV 80	XShootU ^a	OB ^b	MAST ^c	Simbad
2dFS 163 2dFS-163 2dFS-163 2dFS-163 2dFS 163 AzV 6 AzV 6 AZV 6 AZV 6 AZV 6 AZV 6 AzV 14 AZV-14 AV-14 AZV 15 AZV 15 AZV 15 AzV 15 AZV-15 AZV 15 AZV 15 AZV 15 AZV 18 AZV-18 AZV-18 AZV 18 AZV 22 AZV-22 AZV 22 AZV 22 AZV 39a AZV-33a AV-39A AZV 39a AZV 47 AZV-47 AZV-47 AZV 47 AZV 47 AZV-47 AZV-47 AZV 47 AZV 43 AZV 47 AZV-47 AZV 47 AZV 41 AZV-61 AV-61 AZV 47 AZV 41 AZV-81 AZV 47 AZV 47 AZV 41 SK-41 AZV 81 SK-41 AZV 80 AZV-80 AZV 80 AZV 80 AZV 81 SK-41 AZV 81 SK<41 AZV 82 AZV 83 AZV 83 AZV 83 AZV 80 AZV-80		SMC Ta	rgets	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2dFS 163	2dFS-163	2DFS-163	2dFS 163
AzV 14 AzV-14 AZV 14 AZV 14 AzV 15 AZV-15 AZV-16 AZV 16 AZV 16 AzV 18 AZV-16 AV-16 AZV 18 AzV 22 AZV-22 AZV 22 AZV 22 AZV 39a AZV-39a AV-39A AZV 33a AZV 43 AZV-47 AV-43 AZV 43 AZV 47 AZV-47 AZV 47 AZV 47 AZV 46 AZV-47 AZV 47 AZV 47 AZV 47 AZV-47 AZV 47 AZV 47 AZV 60 AV-69 AV-69 AZ-60 AZV 75 AZV 75 AZV 75 AZV 75 AZV 81 SK-41 AV-81 SK 41 AZV 83 AZV-83 AZV 83 AZV 83 AZV 84 AZV-85 AZV 85 AZV 85 AZV 85 AZV 85 AZV 85 AZV 85 AZV 85 AZV 85 AZV 85 AZV 85 AZV 84 AZV-184 AZV 144 AZV 104 AZV 95 AZV-95 <t< td=""><td>AzV 6</td><td>AzV-6</td><td>AV-6</td><td>AzV 6</td></t<>	AzV 6	AzV-6	AV-6	AzV 6
AzV 15AzV-15AV-16AzV 15AzV 16AzV-16AV-16AzV 18AzV 18AzV-18AV-18AzV 18AzV 22AzV-22AV-22AzV 22AzV 39aAzV-39aAZV 39aAzV-30AzV-39aAZV 43AzV 43AzV 43AzV-47AV-47AzV 47AzV 61AZV-61AZV 61AzV 60AzV-60AV-69AzV 75AzV-77AV-47AzV 80AzV-70AZV 70AzV 75AzV-75AV-75AzV 80AZV-80AV-80AzV 80AZV-80AZV 80AzV 80AzV-80AZV 80AzV 80AzV-80AZV 80AzV 80AZV-85AZV 80AzV 80AZV-85AZV 80AzV 80AZV-85AZV 80AzV 95AZV-96AZV 96AzV 96AzV 96AzV 96AzV 104AZV-104AZV 104AzV 104AZV-104AZV 104AzV 104AZV-104AZV 104AzV 104AZV-104AZV 104AzV 104AZV 104AZV 104AzV 104AZV 104AZV 104AzV 105AZV-177AZV 177AZV 175AZV 177AZV 177AZV 186AZV-186AV-186AZV 177AZV 177AZV 177AZV 176AZV 177AZV 177AZV 186AZV-180AV-177AZV 177AZV 177AZV 177AZV 186AZV-180AV-180AZV 177 <td< td=""><td>AzV 14</td><td>AzV-14</td><td>AV-14</td><td>AzV 14</td></td<>	AzV 14	AzV-14	AV-14	AzV 14
AzV 16 AzV-16 AV-16 AzV 16 AzV 18 AzV-18 AV-18 AzV 18 AzV 22 AzV-22 AzV 22 AzV 39a AzV-32 AzV 22 AzV 39a AzV-32 AzV 33 AzV 43 AzV-43 AzV 43 AzV 47 AzV-47 Av-41 AzV 47 AzV-47 Av-41 AzV 47 AzV-47 AzV 47 AzV 61 AzV-69 AzV 69 AzV 70 AzV-75 AzV 70 AzV 75 AzV-75 AzV 75 AzV 80 AzV-80 AzV 80 Azv 81 SK-41 Av-81 AzV 83 AzV-83 AzV 83 AzV 84 AzV-83 AzV 80 AzV 95 AzV 95 AzV 95 AzV 96 AzV-96 AzV 96 AzV 104 AzV-104 AvV 104 AzV 104 AzV-104 AvV 104 AzV 105 AzV 180 AzV 180 AzV 106 AzV-177 Av-175 <td>AzV 15</td> <td>AzV-15</td> <td>AV-15</td> <td>AzV 15</td>	AzV 15	AzV-15	AV-15	AzV 15
AzV 18 $AzV-18$ $AV-18$ AzV 18 $AzV 22$ $AzV-22$ $AV-22$ AzV 39a $AzV 39a$ $AzV-39a$ $AV-39A$ AzV 39a $AzV 43$ $AzV-39a$ $AV-39A$ $AzV 39a$ $AzV 43$ $AzV-33$ $AV-43$ $AzV 43$ $AzV 47$ $AzV-47$ $AzV 47$ $AzV 47$ $AzV-47$ $AzV 47$ $AzV 61$ $AzV-61$ $AV-61$ $AzV 60$ $AzV-60$ $AV-69$ $AzV 70$ $AzV-70$ $AzV 70$ $AzV 75$ $AzV.75$ $AzV 75$ $AzV 80$ $AzV-75$ $AzV 75$ $AzV 80$ $AzV-83$ $AV-83$ $AzV 83$ $AzV-83$ $AV-83$ $AzV 85$ $AzV 85$ $AzV 85$ $AzV 85$ $AzV-85$ $AzV 85$ $AzV 86$ $AzV-85$ $AzV 85$ $AzV 96$ $AzV-96$ $AzV 96$ $AzV-96$ $AzV 96$ $AzV 96$ $AzV-96$ $AzV 96$ $AzV 104$ $AzV 104$ $AzV-104$ $AV-104$ $AzV 104$ $AzV 104$ $AzV 104$ $AzV 104$ $AzV 104$ $AzV 104$ $AzV 177$ $AzV 186$ $AzV-177$ $AzV 177$ $AzV 186$ $AzV-186$ $AV-189$ $AzV 186$ $AzV-180$ $AzV 189$ $AzV 186$ $AzV-180$ $AzV 189$	AzV 16	AzV-16	AV-16	AzV 16
$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	AzV 18	AzV-18	AV-18	AzV 18
AzV AzV-39a AzV-39A AzV 39a AzV 43 AzV-43 AzV 43 AzV 43 AzV 47 AzV-47 AzV 47 AzV 47 AzV 60 AzV-69 AzV 60 AzV 60 AzV 70 AzV-70 AV-70 AzV 70 AzV 81 SK-41 AV-81 SK 41 AzV 80 AzV-83 AzV 83 AzV 83 AzV 81 SK-41 AV-81 SK 41 AzV 83 AzV 83 AzV 83 AzV 83 AzV 85 AzV-83 AzV 83 AzV 85 AzV 95 AzV-95 AzV 95 AzV 95 AzV 104 AzV-104 AzV 104 AzV 104 AzV 104 AzV-104 AZV 104 AzV 104 AzV 117 AzV-1175 AzV 117 AzV 117 AzV 117 AzV-1175 AzV 117 AzV 117 AzV 117 AzV-117 AV-117 AzV 117 AzV 118 AzV-117 AV-117 AzV 117 AzV 117 AzV-117 A	AzV 22	AzV-22	AV-22	AzV 22
AzVA	AzV 39a	AzV-39a	AV-39A	AzV 39a
AzV AzV <td>AzV 43</td> <td>AzV-43</td> <td>AV-43</td> <td>AzV 43</td>	AzV 43	AzV-43	AV-43	AzV 43
AzV 60AzV-61AV-61AzV 60AzV 60AzV-69AV-69AzV 69AzV 70AzV-70AV-70AzV 70AzV 71AzV-75AV-75AzV 70AzV 81SK-41AV-81SK 41AzV 80AzV-83AZV 80AzV 80AzV 85AzV-85AzV 83AzV 83AzV 85AzV-85AZV 85AzV 85AzV 96AzV-96AZV-96AZV 96AzV 97AzV-95AV-95AzV 96AzV 98AzV-96AZV-96AZV 96AzV 99AzV-96AZV 96AZV 96AzV 104AzV-104AV-104AzV 104AzV 104AzV-104AV-104AzV 104AzV 118AzV-148AV-148AZV 148AzV 15AzV-175AV-175AZV 175AzV 175AzV-177AV-177AZV 175AzV 175AzV-177AV-177AZV 175AzV 186AzV-186AV-180CL* NGC 330 ELS 013AzV 186AzV-186AV-186CL* NGC 346 ELS 26NGC346 ELS 26Gaia-DR2-NGC346-ELS-023CL* NGC 346 ELS 26NGC346 ELS 43Gaia-DR2-NGC346-ELS-024CL* NGC 346 ELS 26NGC346 ELS 43Gaia-DR2-NGC346-ELS-024CL* NGC 346 ELS 26NGC346 ELS 26Gaia-DR2-NGC346-ELS-025CL* NGC 346 ELS 26NGC346 ELS 43Gaia-DR2-NGC346-ELS-026CL* NGC 346 ELS 26NGC346 ELS 50Gaia-DR2-NGC346-ELS-026CL* NGC 346 ELS 26NGC346 ELS 43 <td>AzV 47</td> <td>AzV-47</td> <td>AV-47</td> <td>AzV 47</td>	AzV 47	AzV-47	AV-47	AzV 47
AzV 69 AzV-69 AzV-69 AzV 70 AzV-70 AzV 70 AzV 75 AzV-75 Av-70 AzV 75 AzV 75 AzV 81 SK-41 AV-81 SK 41 AzV 80 AzV-80 AzV 80 AzV 83 AzV-83 AzV 83 AzV 85 AzV-85 AV-85 AzV 95 AzV 96 AzV-95 AzV 96 AzV 96 AzV 104 AzV-104 AV-104 AzV 104 AzV 148 AzV-148 Av-148 AzV 104 AzV 148 AzV-148 Av-148 AzV 104 AzV 104 AzV-148 Av-148 AzV 148 AzV-175 AzV-175 AzV 148 AzV-175 AzV 175 AzV-175 AzV 175 AzV 175 AzV-177 Av-177 AzV 177 AzV 177 AzV 175 AzV-177 Av-177 AzV 177 AzV 175 AzV-177 Av-177 AzV 177 AzV 186 AzV-189 AzV 189 AzV 189 AzV 200	AzV 61	AzV-61	AV-61	AzV 61
Azv No Azv-70 Av-70 Av-70 Azv S Azv-75 Av-75 Azv No Azv S Azv-80 Av-80 Azv 80 Azv 83 Azv-83 Azv83 Azv83 Azv83 Azv83 Azv 85 Azv-95 Av-95 Azv95 Azv96 Azv 96 Azv-96 Azv96 Azv96 Azv 104 Azv-104 Av-104 Azv104 Azv118 Av-118 Av-148 Av-148 OBC330 ELS 4 2dFS-909 SMC AB 9 NGC330 ELS 2 Gaia-DR2- Azv 175 Azv-175 Azv-175 Azv177 Azv177 Azv 177 Azv-177 Av-177 Azv177 Azv 177 Azv-176 Av-177	AzV 69	AzV-69	AV-69	AzV 69
Azv No. Azv. /s Azv. /s Azv 81 SK.41 AV-81 SK 41 Azv 80 AzV-80 AV-81 SK 41 Azv 83 AzV-83 AV-83 AzV 83 Azv 85 AzV-85 AzV 85 AzV 85 Azv 95 AzV-95 AV-95 AzV 95 Azv 104 AzV-104 AV-104 AzV 104 AzV 148 AzV-104 AV-104 AzV 104 AzV 148 AzV-118 AzV-104 AV-104 AzV 175 AzV-185 AV-186 AzV 148 AzV 175 AzV-175 AV-175 AzV 148 AzV-175 AzV-175 AzV 175 AzV 175 AzV 175 AzV-175 AzV 175 AzV 175 AzV 175 AzV-177 AzV-175 AzV 175 AzV 177 AzV-177 AzV-177 AzV 177 AzV 177 AzV-176 AV-177 AzV 177 AzV 177 AzV-177 AzV-177 AzV 175 AzV 176 AzV-177	AzV 70	AzV-70	AV-70	AzV 70
Azv 81 SK-41 AV-81 SK 41 Azv 80 AzV-80 AV-80 AzV 80 Azv 83 AzV-83 AV-83 AzV 85 Azv 85 AzV-85 AV-85 AzV 85 Azv 96 AzV-95 AV-95 AzV 95 Azv 96 AzV-96 AV-96 AzV 96 Azv 104 AzV-104 AV-104 AzV 104 Azv 104 AzV-1148 AV-148 AzV 148 AzV 118 AV-148 AzV 148 AzV 148 Ages 999 2dFS-999 SMC AB 9 SMC 30 ELS 4 NGC330 ELS 2 Gaia-DR2- NGC330-ELS-002 CL* NGC 330 ELS 4 AzV 175 AzV-175 AzV-177 AV-177 AzV 177 AzV 186 AzV-186 AV-189 AzV 189 AzV 180 AzV 200 AzV-200 AV-200 AzV 200 AzV 200 NGC346 ELS 26 2dFS-1299 NGC346-ELS-026 CL* NGC 346 ELS 26 NGC346 ELS 28 2MASS-J00583173-7210580 NGC346-ELS-028 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 028	AzV 75	AzV-75	AV-75	AzV 75
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	AzV 81	SK-41	AV-81	SK 41
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	AZV 80	AZV-80	AV-80	AZV 80
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	AZV 85	AZV-83	AV-83	AZV 83
Azv 95 Azv 95 Azv 95 Azv 95 Azv 95 Azv 96 Azv-96 Av-96 Azv 96 Azv 104 Azv-104 Av-104 Azv 104 Azv 148 Azv-148 Azv 148 Azv 148 2dFS 999 2dFS-999 SMC AB 9 NGC330 ELS 2 Gaia-DR2- NGC330-ELS-002 CL* NGC 330 ELS 2 Azv 175 Av-175 Av-175 Azv 177 Azv 186 Azv-177 Av-177 Azv 177 Azv 186 Azv-186 Av-189 Azv 189 Azv 189 Azv-186 Av-189 Azv 189 Azv 189 Azv-180 Av-200 Av-200 NGC346 ELS 26 2dFS-1299 NGC346-ELS-043 CL* NGC 346 ELS 26 NGC346 ELS 26 2dFS-1299 NGC346-ELS-028 CL* NGC 346 ELS 26 NGC346 ELS 28 2MASS-100583173-7210580 NGC346-ELS-028 CL* NGC 346 ELS 26 NGC346 ELS 50 Gaia-DR2- NGC346-ELS-050 CL* NGC 346 ELS 7 NGC346 ELS 51 GAX-207 AzV 207 AzV 207	AZV 85	AZV-85	AV-85	AZV 85
Azv 104 Azv-104 Azv-104 Azv-104 Azv 104 Azv 104 Azv-148 Azv 104 Azv 104 Azv 104 Azv 148 Azv-148 Azv 104 Azv 104 Azv 104 Azv 148 Azv-148 Azv 104 Azv 104 Azv 104 Azv 148 Azv-148 Azv 104 Azv 104 Azv 104 Azv 12 QdFS-5090 NGC330-ELS-004 CL* NGC 330 ELS 4 NGC330 ELS 2 Gaia-DR2- NGC330-ELS-002 CL* NGC 330 ELS 2 4688993475604539520 Av-175 Azv 177 Azv 177 Azv 177 Azv-177 Av-177 Azv 177 Azv 186 Azv-186 Av-186 Cl* NGC 330 ELS 013 Azv 189 Azv-180 Av-184 Azv 189 Azv 200 Azv-200 Av-200 Azv 189 Azv 200 Azv-200 Av-200 Azv 200 NGC346 ELS 26 2dFS-1299 NGC346-ELS-026 CL* NGC 346 ELS 26 NGC346 ELS 26 2dFS-1299 NGC346-ELS-026 CL* NGC 346 ELS 26 N	AZV 95 AzV 06	AZV-95 AzV 06	AV-95 AV 06	AZV 93
AzV 104 AzV 104 AzV 148 AzV 148 AzV 148 2dFS 999 2dFS-999 2DFS-999 SMC AB 9 NGC330 ELS 4 2dFS-5090 NGC330-ELS-002 CL* NGC 330 ELS 4 NGC330 ELS 2 Gaia-DR2- NGC330-ELS-002 CL* NGC 330 ELS 2 4688993475604539520 AzV 175 AzV 177 AzV 177 AzV 186 AzV-177 AV-177 AzV 177 AzV 189 AzV-186 AV-189 AzV 189 AzV 200 AzV-200 AV-200 AzV 200 NGC346 ELS 43 Gaia-DR2- NGC346-ELS-043 CL* NGC 346 ELS 43 4689019245413731840 NGC346-ELS-026 CL* NGC 346 ELS 26 NGC346 ELS 26 2dFS-1299 NGC346-ELS-028 CL* NGC 346 ELS 26 NGC346 ELS 28 2MASS-J00583173-7210580 NGC346-ELS-028 CI* NGC 346 ELS 26 NGC346 ELS 20 Gaia-DR2- NGC346-ELS-007 CL* NGC 346 ELS 050 AzV 210 AzV-207 AzV 210 AzV 210 NGC346 ELS 50 Gaia-DR2- NGC346-ELS-007 CL* NGC 346 ELS 050 N	AZV 90 AzV 104	A = V + 30	AV-90 AV 104	AZV 30 AzV 104
ALY 140	$\Delta \tau V 1/4$	$\Delta zV_{-1/4}$	$\Delta V_{-1/4}$	$\Delta z V 1/4$
NGC 330 ELS 4 2dfS 5:5090 NGC 330-ELS-004 CL* NGC 330 ELS 2 Agamma	2dFS 999	2dFS-999	2DFS-999	SMC AB 9
NGC330 ELS 1 Zin D 5050 NGC330 ELS 001 CL* NGC 330 ELS 1 AZV 175 AZV-175 AZV-175 AZV-175 AZV 175 AZV 175 AZV 175 AZV 175 AZV 177 AZV 186 Cl* NGC 330 ELS 013 AZV 189 AZV 189 AZV 189 AZV 189 AZV 189 AZV 200 CL* NGC 346 ELS 26 CL*	NGC330 ELS 4	2dFS-5090	NGC330-ELS-004	CL*NGC 330 FLS 4
Azv 175 Azv-175 Av-175 Azv 175 Azv 177 Azv-177 Av-177 Azv 177 Azv 186 Azv-186 Av-186 Cl* NGC 300 ELS 013 Azv 180 Azv-189 Av-186 Cl* NGC 300 ELS 013 Azv 200 Azv-189 Av-189 Azv 189 Azv 200 Azv-200 Av-200 Azv 200 NGC346 ELS 23 Gaia-DR2- NGC346-ELS-043 CL* NGC 346 ELS 26 NGC346 ELS 28 2dFS-1299 NGC346-ELS-026 CL* NGC 346 ELS 028 Azv 207 Azv-207 Av-207 Azv 207 Azv 210 Azv-210 Av-210 Azv 210 NGC346 ELS 50 Gaia-DR2- NGC346-ELS-050 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 050 Ack 207 Azv-207 Azv 210 Azv 210 NGC346 ELS 50 Gaia-DR2- NGC346-ELS-050 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 050 Ack 215 Azv-215 Av-215 Azv 210 NGC346 ELS 50 Gaia-DR2- NGC346-ELS-050 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 050 NGC346 ELS 51 Azv-215 Azv-215 Azv 215 NGC346 ELS 51 MASS-J00590446-7210248 NGC346-MPG-368 <td>NGC330 ELS 2</td> <td>Gaia-DR2-</td> <td>NGC330-ELS-002</td> <td>CL* NGC 330 ELS 2</td>	NGC330 ELS 2	Gaia-DR2-	NGC330-ELS-002	CL* NGC 330 ELS 2
AzV 175 AzV-175 AV-175 AzV 175 AzV 175 AzV 177 AzV-177 AzV-177 AzV 177 AzV 177 AzV 186 AzV-186 AV-177 AzV 177 AzV 189 AzV-189 AV-189 AzV 189 AzV 200 AzV-200 AzV 200 AzV 200 NGC346 ELS 43 Gaia-DR2- NGC346-ELS-043 CL* NGC 346 ELS 26 NGC346 ELS 28 2MASS-J00583173-7210580 NGC346-ELS-026 CL* NGC 346 ELS 028 AzV 207 AzV-207 AzV 207 AzV 207 AzV 210 AzV-210 AV-210 AzV 210 NGC346 ELS 50 Gaia-DR2- NGC346-ELS-026 CI* NGC 346 ELS 028 AzV 210 AzV-210 AzV-210 AzV 210 NGC346 ELS 50 Gaia-DR2- NGC346-ELS-050 CI* NGC 346 ELS 050 4289016530994364672 AzV 215 AzV 215 AzV 210 NGC346 ELS 7 ZMASS-J0059075-7210282 NGC346-ELS-050 CI* NGC 346 ELS 7 NGC346 MPG 355 NGC346-MPG-368 NGC346-MPG-368 CI* NGC 346 MPG 368 NGC346 ELS 51 2MASS-J00590075-7210248 NGC346-MPG-368 CI* NGC		4688993475604539520		
AzV 177 AzV-177 AZV-177 AZV-177 AzV 177 AzV 186 AzV-186 AV-186 Cl* NGC 330 ELS 013 AzV 189 AzV-189 AzV-189 AzV 189 AzV 200 AzV-200 AzV 200 AzV 200 NGC346 ELS 43 Gaia-DR2- NGC346-ELS-043 CL* NGC 346 ELS 43 4689019245413731840	AzV 175	AzV-175	AV-175	AzV 175
AzV 186 AzV-186 AV-186 Cl* NGC 330 ELS 013 AzV 189 AzV-189 AV-189 AzV 189 AzV 200 AzV-200 AV-200 AzV 200 NGC346 ELS 43 Gaia-DR2- 4689019245413731840 NGC346-ELS-043 CL* NGC 346 ELS 43 NGC346 ELS 26 2dFS-1299 NGC346-ELS-026 CL* NGC 346 ELS 028 NZV 207 AzV-207 AzV 207 AzV 207 AzV 210 AzV-210 Av-210 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 050 Gaia-DR2- NGC346 ELS 50 Gaia-DR2- 6489016530994364672 NGC346-ELS-050 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 050 AzV 210 AzV-210 Av-210 AzV 210 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 050 AzV 215 AzV-215 Av-215 AzV 215 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 7 NGC346 ELS 7 2MASS-J00585738-721036 NGC346-MPG-355 Cl* NGC 346 MPG 355 NGC346 MPG 355 NGC-346-MPG-368 NGC346-MPG-356 Cl* NGC 346 MPG 368 NGC346 MPG 435 2MASS-J0059046-7210248 NGC346-MPG-356 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 051 NGC346 ELS 51 2MASS-J00590866-7210142 NGC346-ELS-051 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 051	AzV 177	AzV-177	AV-177	AzV 177
AzV 189 AzV-189 AV-189 AzV 189 AzV 200 AzV-200 AV-200 AzV 200 NGC346 ELS 43 Gaia-DR2- 4689019245413731840 NGC346-ELS-043 CL* NGC 346 ELS 43 NGC346 ELS 26 2dFS-1299 NGC346-ELS-026 CL* NGC 346 ELS 028 NGC346 ELS 28 2MASS-J00583173-7210580 NGC346-ELS-028 CL* NGC 346 ELS 028 AzV 207 AzV-207 AzV 207 AzV 207 AzV 210 AzV-210 AV-210 AzV 210 NGC346 ELS 50 Gaia-DR2- 6aia-DR2- 4689016530994364672 NGC346-ELS-050 CI* NGC 346 ELS 050 AzV 215 AzV-215 AV-215 AzV 215 NGC346 ELS 7 2MASS-J00585738-7210360 NGC346-ELS-007 CL* NGC 346 ELS 7 NGC346 MPG 355 2MASS-J00590446-7210248 NGC346-MPG-368 CI* NGC 346 MPG 368 NGC346 MPG 435 2MASS-J00590446-7210248 NGC346-ELS-051 CI* NGC 346 ELS 051 AzV 224 AzV-224 AzV 224 AzV 224 AzV 224 HD 5980 HD-5980 HD 5980 HD 5980 CI* NGC 346 ELS 013 NGC346 ELS 46 2MASS-J00593188-7213352 NGC346-ELS-035 CI* NGC 346 ELS	AzV 186	AzV-186	AV-186	Cl* NGC 330 ELS 013
AzV 200 AzV-200 AV-200 AzV 200 NGC346 ELS 43 Gaia-DR2- 4689019245413731840 NGC346-ELS-043 CL* NGC 346 ELS 43 NGC346 ELS 26 2dFS-1299 NGC346-ELS-026 CL* NGC 346 ELS 26 NGC346 ELS 28 2MASS-J00583173-7210580 NGC346-ELS-026 CL* NGC 346 ELS 028 AzV 207 AzV-207 AzV 207 AzV 207 AzV 210 AzV-210 AV-210 AzV 210 NGC346 ELS 50 Gaia-DR2- NGC346-ELS-050 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 050 4689016530994364672 AzV 215 AzV 210 AzV 215 NGC346 ELS 7 2MASS-J00585738-7210326 NGC346-ELS-007 CL* NGC 346 ELS 7 NGC346 MPG 355 2MASS-J0059075-7210282 NGC346-MPG-356 Cl* NGC 346 MPG 355 NGC346 MPG 355 2MASS-J0059046-7210248 NGC346-MPG-368 Cl* NGC 346 MPG 365 NGC346 ELS 51 2MASS-J00590866-7210142 NGC346-ELS-051 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 051 AzV 224 AzV-224 AzV 224 AzV 224 HD 5980 HD-5980 HD 5980 HD 5980 NGC346 ELS 13 OGLE-SMC108.3-14355 NGC346-ELS-013 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 013 NG	AzV 189	AzV-189	AV-189	AzV 189
NGC346 ELS 43 Gaia-DR2- 4689019245413731840 NGC346-ELS-043 CL* NGC 346 ELS 43 NGC346 ELS 26 2dFS-1299 NGC346-ELS-026 CL* NGC 346 ELS 26 NGC346 ELS 28 2MASS-J00583173-7210580 NGC346-ELS-028 CL* NGC 346 ELS 028 AzV 207 AzV-207 AV-207 AzV 207 AzV 210 AzV-210 AV-210 AzV 210 NGC346 ELS 50 Gaia-DR2- NGC346-ELS-050 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 050 4689016530994364672 AZV-215 AzV 210 AzV 210 NGC346 ELS 7 2MASS-J00585738-721036 NGC346-ELS-007 CL* NGC 346 ELS 7 NGC346 MPG 355 2MASS-J00590075-7210282 NGC346-MPG-355 Cl* NGC 346 MPG 355 NGC346 MPG 368 NGC-346-MPG-368 NGC346-MPG-368 Cl* NGC 346 MPG 368 NGC346 ELS 51 2MASS-J00590866-7210142 NGC346-ELS-051 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 051 AzV 224 AzV-224 AzV 224 AzV 224 HD 5980 HD 5980 HD 5980 HD 5980 NGC346 ELS 13 OGLE-SMC108.3-14355 NGC346-ELS-013 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 013 NGC346 ELS 46 <td>AzV 200</td> <td>AzV-200</td> <td>AV-200</td> <td>AzV 200</td>	AzV 200	AzV-200	AV-200	AzV 200
4689019245413731840 NGC346 ELS 26 2dFS-1299 NGC346-ELS-026 CL* NGC 346 ELS 26 NGC346 ELS 28 2MASS-J00583173-7210580 NGC346-ELS-028 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 028 AzV 207 AzV-207 AZV 207 AzV 207 AzV 210 AzV-210 AZV 210 AzV 210 NGC346 ELS 50 Gaia-DR2- NGC346-ELS-050 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 050 - 4689016530994364672 - - AzV 215 AZV-215 AV-215 AzV 215 NGC346 ELS 7 2MASS-J00585738-7210336 NGC346-ELS-007 CL* NGC 346 ELS 7 NGC346 MPG 355 2MASS-J00590075-7210282 NGC346-MPG-358 Cl* NGC 346 MPG 355 NGC346 MPG 368 NGC-346-MPG-368 NGC346-MPG-368 Cl* NGC 346 MPG 368 NGC346 ELS 51 2MASS-J00590866-7210142 NGC346-ELS-051 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 051 AzV 224 AzV-224 AzV 224 AzV 224 AzV 224 HD 5980 HD-5980 HD 5980 HD 5980 HD 5980 NGC346 ELS 46 2MASS-J00593188-7213352 NGC346-ELS-013 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 013 NGC346 ELS 46 2MASS-J00593188-7213352 NGC346-ELS	NGC346 ELS 43	Gaia-DR2-	NGC346-ELS-043	CL* NGC 346 ELS 43
NGC346 ELS 26 2dFS-1299 NGC346-ELS-026 CL* NGC 346 ELS 26 NGC346 ELS 28 2MASS-J00583173-7210580 NGC346-ELS-028 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 028 AzV 207 AzV-207 AV-207 AzV 207 AzV 210 AzV-210 AzV 210 AzV 210 NGC346 ELS 50 Gaia-DR2- NGC346-ELS-050 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 050 4689016530994364672 AzV 215 AzV 215 AzV 215 NGC346 ELS 7 2MASS-J00585738-721036 NGC346-ELS-007 CL* NGC 346 ELS 7 NGC346 MPG 355 2MASS-J00590075-7210282 NGC346-MPG-355 Cl* NGC 346 MPG 355 NGC346 MPG 368 NGC-346-MPG-368 NGC346-MPG-368 Cl* NGC 346 MPG 368 NGC346 MPG 368 NGC-346-MPG-368 NGC346-MPG-368 Cl* NGC 346 MPG 368 NGC346 ELS 51 2MASS-J0059046-7210248 NGC346-ELS-051 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 051 AzV 224 AzV-224 AzV 224 AzV 224 AzV 224 HD 5980 HD-5980 HD 5980 HD 5980 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 051 NGC346 ELS 46 2MASS-J00593188-7213352 NGC346-ELS-046 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 013 NGC346 ELS 46 2MASS-J00593188-7213352 NG		4689019245413731840		
NGC346 ELS 28 2MASS-J00583173-7210580 NGC346-ELS-028 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 028 AzV 207 AzV-207 AzV-207 AzV 207 AzV 207 AzV 210 AzV-210 AzV-210 AzV 210 AzV 210 NGC346 ELS 50 Gaia-DR2- NGC346-ELS-050 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 050 4689016530994364672 AzV-215 AzV 215 AzV 215 AzV-215 AzV-215 AzV-215 AzV 215 NGC346 ELS 7 2MASS-J00585738-7210336 NGC346-ELS-007 CL* NGC 346 ELS 7 NGC346 MPG 355 2MASS-J00590075-7210282 NGC346-MPG-355 Cl* NGC 346 MPG 355 NGC346 MPG 368 NGC-346-MPG-368 NGC346-MPG-368 Cl* NGC 346 MPG 368 NGC346 MPG 435 2MASS-J00590446-7210248 NGC346-ELS-051 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 051 NGC346 ELS 51 2MASS-J00590866-7210142 NGC346-ELS-051 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 051 NGC346 ELS 13 OGLE-SMC108.3-14355 NGC346-ELS-051 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 013 NGC346 ELS 46 2MASS-J00593188-7213352 NGC346-ELS-046 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 046 AzV 232 AzV-232 AzV 232	NGC346 ELS 26	2dFS-1299	NGC346-ELS-026	CL* NGC 346 ELS 26
AzV 207 AzV-207 AzV-207 AzV-207 AzV 207 AzV 210 AzV-210 AzV-210 AzV-210 AzV 210 NGC346 ELS 50 Gaia-DR2- NGC346-ELS-050 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 050 4689016530994364672 AzV-215 AzV 215 AzV 215 NGC346 ELS 7 2MASS-J00585738-7210336 NGC346-ELS-007 CL* NGC 346 ELS 7 NGC346 MPG 355 2MASS-J00590075-7210282 NGC346-MPG-355 Cl* NGC 346 MPG 355 NGC346 MPG 368 NGC-346-MPG-368 NGC346-MPG-368 Cl* NGC 346 MPG 368 NGC346 ELS 51 2MASS-J00590446-7210248 NGC346-ELS-051 Cl* NGC 346 MPG 368 NGC346 ELS 51 2MASS-J00590866-7210142 NGC346-ELS-051 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 051 AzV 224 AzV-224 AzV-224 AzV 224 HD 5980 HD-5980 HD-5980 HD 5980 NGC346 ELS 46 2MASS-J00593188-7213352 NGC346-ELS-013 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 013 NGC346 ELS 46 2MASS-J00593188-7213352 NGC346-ELS-046 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 046 AzV 232 AzV-232 AzV-232 AzV 232 AzV 234 AzV 232 AzV-234 AzV 234 AzV 23	NGC346 ELS 28	2MASS-J00583173-7210580	NGC346-ELS-028	Cl* NGC 346 ELS 028
AzV 210 AzV-210 AzV 210 AzV 210 NGC346 ELS 50 Gaia-DR2- 4689016530994364672 NGC346-ELS-050 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 050 AzV 215 AzV-215 AzV-215 AzV 215 NGC346 ELS 7 2MASS-J00585738-7210336 NGC346-ELS-007 CL* NGC 346 ELS 7 NGC346 MPG 355 2MASS-J00590075-7210282 NGC346-MPG-355 Cl* NGC 346 MPG 355 NGC346 MPG 368 NGC-346-MPG-368 NGC346-MPG-368 Cl* NGC 346 MPG 368 NGC346 ELS 51 2MASS-J00590446-7210248 NGC346-ELS-051 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 051 AzV 224 AzV-224 AzV 224 AzV 224 HD 5980 HD-5980 HD 5980 HD 5980 NGC346 ELS 13 OGLE-SMC108.3-14355 NGC346-ELS-013 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 013 NGC346 ELS 46 2MASS-J00593188-7213352 NGC346-ELS-046 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 046 AzV 232 AzV-232 AzV-232 AzV 232 AzV 232 AzV 235 AzV-235 SK 82 NGC346 ELS 035 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 035 NGC346 ELS 25 Gaia-DR2- NGC346-ELS-025 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 035 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 035	AzV 207	AzV-207	AV-207	AzV 207
NGC346 ELS 50 Gaia-DR2- 4689016530994364672 NGC346-ELS-050 CI* NGC 346 ELS 050 AzV 215 AzV-215 Av-215 AzV 215 NGC346 ELS 7 2MASS-J00585738-7210336 NGC346-ELS-007 CL* NGC 346 ELS 7 NGC346 MPG 355 2MASS-J00590075-7210282 NGC346-MPG-355 CI* NGC 346 MPG 355 NGC346 MPG 368 NGC-346-MPG-368 NGC346-MPG-368 CI* NGC 346 MPG 368 NGC346 ELS 51 2MASS-J00590866-7210142 NGC346-ELS-051 CI* NGC 346 ELS 051 AzV 224 AzV-224 AzV 224 AzV 224 HD 5980 HD-5980 HD 5980 HD 5980 NGC346 ELS 13 OGLE-SMC108.3-14355 NGC346-ELS-013 CI* NGC 346 ELS 013 NGC346 ELS 46 2MASS-J00593188-7213352 NGC346-ELS-046 CI* NGC 346 ELS 046 AzV 232 AzV-232 AzV-232 AzV 232 AzV 232 AzV 234 AzV-234 AzV 234 AzV 234 AzV 234 AzV 235 AzV-235 SK 82 NGC346 ELS 035 CI* NGC 346 ELS 035 NGC346 ELS 25 Gaia-DR2- NGC346-ELS-025 CI* NGC 346 ELS 025	AzV 210	AzV-210	AV-210	AzV 210
AzV 215AzV-215AV-215AzV 215NGC346 ELS 72MASS-J00585738-7210336NGC346-ELS-007CL* NGC 346 ELS 7NGC346 MPG 3552MASS-J00590075-7210282NGC346-MPG-355Cl* NGC 346 MPG 355NGC346 MPG 368NGC-346-MPG-368NGC346-MPG-368Cl* NGC 346 MPG 368NGC346 ELS 512MASS-J00590446-7210248NGC346-MPG-435Cl* NGC 346 MPG 368NGC346 ELS 512MASS-J00590866-7210142NGC346-ELS-051Cl* NGC 346 ELS 051AzV 224AzV-224AV-224AzV 224HD 5980HD-5980HD-5980HD 5980NGC346 ELS 13OGLE-SMC108.3-14355NGC346-ELS-013Cl* NGC 346 ELS 013NGC346 ELS 462MASS-J00593188-7213352NGC346-ELS-046Cl* NGC 346 ELS 046AzV 232AzV-232AzV-232AzV 232AzV 234AzV-234Av-234AzV 234AzV 235AzV-235SK 82NGC346 ELS 352dFS-1418NGC346-ELS-035Cl* NGC 346 ELS 035NGC346 ELS 25Gaia-DR2-NGC346-ELS-025Cl* NGC 346 ELS 025	NGC346 ELS 50	Gaia-DR2- 4680016530004364672	NGC346-ELS-050	CI* NGC 346 ELS 050
NGC 346 ELS 7 2MASS-J00585738-7210336 NGC 346-ELS-007 CL* NGC 346 ELS 7 NGC 346 MPG 355 2MASS-J00590075-7210282 NGC 346-MPG-355 CL* NGC 346 MPG 355 NGC 346 MPG 368 NGC-346-MPG-368 NGC 346-MPG-368 CL* NGC 346 MPG 368 NGC 346 MPG 435 2MASS-J00590446-7210248 NGC 346-MPG-368 Cl* NGC 346 MPG 368 NGC 346 ELS 51 2MASS-J00590866-7210142 NGC 346-ELS-051 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 051 AzV 224 AzV-224 AV-224 AzV 224 HD 5980 HD-5980 HD-5980 HD 5980 NGC 346 ELS 13 OGLE-SMC108.3-14355 NGC 346-ELS-013 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 013 NGC 346 ELS 46 2MASS-J00593188-7213352 NGC 346-ELS-046 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 046 AzV 232 AzV-232 AzV-232 AzV 232 AzV 232 AzV 234 AzV-234 AzV 234 AzV 234 AzV 234 AzV 235 AzV-235 AV-235 SK 82 NGC 346 ELS 035 NGC 346 ELS 25 Gaia-DR2- NGC 346-ELS-025 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 025	$\Delta_7 V 215$	4089010550994504072 Δ 7V-215	AV-215	47V 215
NGC346 MPG 355 2MASS-J00590075-7210282 NGC346-MPG-355 Cl* NGC 346 MPG 355 NGC346 MPG 368 NGC-346-MPG-368 NGC346-MPG-368 Cl* NGC 346 MPG 368 NGC346 MPG 435 2MASS-J00590446-7210248 NGC346-MPG-368 Cl* NGC 346 MPG 368 NGC346 ELS 51 2MASS-J00590866-7210142 NGC346-ELS-051 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 051 AzV 224 AzV-224 AzV-224 AzV 224 HD 5980 HD-5980 HD-5980 HD 5980 NGC346 ELS 13 OGLE-SMC108.3-14355 NGC346-ELS-013 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 013 NGC346 ELS 46 2MASS-J00593188-7213352 NGC346-ELS-046 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 046 AzV 232 AzV-232 AzV-232 AzV 232 AzV 232 AzV 235 AzV-234 AzV-234 AzV 234 AzV 234 AzV 235 AzV-235 SK 82 NGC346-ELS-035 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 035 NGC346 ELS 35 2dFS-1418 NGC346-ELS-025 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 035 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 025	NGC346 ELS 7	2MASS-100585738-7210336	NGC346-ELS-007	CL*NGC 346 FLS 7
NGC346 MPG 368 NGC-346-MPG-368 NGC346-MPG-368 NGC346-MPG-368 Cl* NGC 346 MPG 368 NGC346 MPG 435 2MASS-J00590446-7210248 NGC346-MPG-368 Cl* NGC 346 MPG 368 NGC346 ELS 51 2MASS-J00590866-7210142 NGC346-ELS-051 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 051 AzV 224 AzV-224 AzV-224 AzV 224 HD 5980 HD-5980 HD-5980 HD 5980 NGC346 ELS 13 OGLE-SMC108.3-14355 NGC346-ELS-013 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 013 NGC346 ELS 46 2MASS-J00593188-7213352 NGC346-ELS-046 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 046 AzV 232 AzV-232 AzV-232 AzV 232 AzV 232 AzV 235 AzV-234 AzV-234 AzV 234 AzV 235 AzV-235 SK 82 NGC346-ELS-035 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 035 NGC346 ELS 35 2dFS-1418 NGC346-ELS-035 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 035 NGC346 ELS 25 Gaia-DR2- NGC346-ELS-025 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 025	NGC346 MPG 355	2MASS-100590075-7210282	NGC346-MPG-355	C1* NGC 346 MPG 355
NGC346 MPG 435 2MASS-J00590446-7210248 NGC346-MPG-435 Cl* NGC 346 W 1 NGC346 ELS 51 2MASS-J00590866-7210142 NGC346-ELS-051 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 051 AzV 224 AzV-224 AzV-224 AzV 224 HD 5980 HD-5980 HD-5980 HD 5980 NGC346 ELS 13 OGLE-SMC108.3-14355 NGC346-ELS-013 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 013 NGC346 ELS 46 2MASS-J00593188-7213352 NGC346-ELS-046 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 046 AzV 232 AzV-232 AV-232 AzV 232 AzV 232 AzV 234 AzV-234 AV-234 AzV 232 AzV 235 AzV-235 SK 82 SK 82 NGC346 ELS 25 Gaia-DR2- NGC346-ELS-025 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 035	NGC346 MPG 368	NGC-346-MPG-368	NGC346-MPG-368	Cl* NGC 346 MPG 368
NGC346 ELS 51 2MASS-J00590866-7210142 NGC346-ELS-051 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 051 AzV 224 AzV-224 AV-224 AzV 224 HD 5980 HD-5980 HD-5980 HD 5980 NGC346 ELS 13 OGLE-SMC108.3-14355 NGC346-ELS-013 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 013 NGC346 ELS 46 2MASS-J00593188-7213352 NGC346-ELS-046 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 046 AzV 232 AzV-232 AV-232 AzV 232 AzV 234 AzV-234 AzV 234 AzV 235 AzV-235 SK 82 NGC346 ELS 25 Gaia-DR2- NGC346-ELS-035 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 035	NGC346 MPG 435	2MASS-100590446-7210248	NGC346-MPG-435	Cl* NGC 346 W 1
AzV 224AzV-224AV-224AzV 224HD 5980HD-5980HD-5980HD 5980NGC346 ELS 13OGLE-SMC108.3-14355NGC346-ELS-013Cl* NGC 346 ELS 013NGC346 ELS 462MASS-J00593188-7213352NGC346-ELS-046Cl* NGC 346 ELS 046AzV 232AzV-232AzV-232AzV 232AzV 234AzV-234AZV 234AzV 234AzV 235AzV-235SK 82NGC346 ELS 352dFS-1418NGC346-ELS-035Cl* NGC 346 ELS 035NGC346 ELS 25Gaia-DR2-NGC346-ELS-025Cl* NGC 346 ELS 025	NGC346 ELS 51	2MASS-J00590866-7210142	NGC346-ELS-051	Cl* NGC 346 ELS 051
HD 5980HD-5980HD-5980HD 5980NGC346 ELS 13OGLE-SMC108.3-14355NGC346-ELS-013Cl* NGC 346 ELS 013NGC346 ELS 462MASS-J00593188-7213352NGC346-ELS-046Cl* NGC 346 ELS 046AzV 232AzV-232AzV-232AzV 232AzV 234AzV-234AV-234AzV 234AzV 235AzV-235SK 82NGC346 ELS 352dFS-1418NGC346-ELS-035Cl* NGC 346 ELS 035NGC346 ELS 25Gaia-DR2-NGC346-ELS-025Cl* NGC 346 ELS 025	AzV 224	AzV-224	AV-224	AzV 224
NGC346 ELS 13 NGC346 ELS 46 OGLE-SMC108.3-14355 2MASS-J00593188-7213352 NGC346-ELS-013 NGC346-ELS-046 C1* NGC 346 ELS 013 C1* NGC 346 ELS 046 AzV 232 AzV-232 AzV-232 AzV 232 AzV 234 AzV-234 AzV-234 AzV 234 AzV 235 AzV-235 AzV-235 SK 82 NGC346 ELS 35 2dFS-1418 NGC346-ELS-035 C1* NGC 346 ELS 035 NGC346 ELS 25 Gaia-DR2- NGC346-ELS-025 C1* NGC 346 ELS 025	HD 5980	HD-5980	HD-5980	HD 5980
NGC346 ELS 46 2MASS-J00593188-7213352 NGC346-ELS-046 C1* NGC 346 ELS 046 AzV 232 AzV-232 AV-232 AzV 232 AzV 234 AzV-234 AzV 234 AzV 234 AzV 235 AzV-235 SK 82 NGC346 ELS 35 2dFS-1418 NGC346-ELS-035 C1* NGC 346 ELS 035 NGC346 ELS 25 Gaia-DR2- NGC346-ELS-025 C1* NGC 346 ELS 025	NGC346 ELS 13	OGLE-SMC108.3-14355	NGC346-ELS-013	Cl* NGC 346 ELS 013
AzV 232AzV-232AV-232AzV 232AzV 234AzV-234AV-234AzV 234AzV 235AzV-235AV-235SK 82NGC346 ELS 352dFS-1418NGC346-ELS-035Cl* NGC 346 ELS 035NGC346 ELS 25Gaia-DR2-NGC346-ELS-025Cl* NGC 346 ELS 025	NGC346 ELS 46	2MASS-J00593188-7213352	NGC346-ELS-046	Cl* NGC 346 ELS 046
AzV 234 AzV-234 AV-234 AzV 234 AzV 235 AzV-235 AV-235 SK 82 NGC346 ELS 35 2dFS-1418 NGC346-ELS-035 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 035 NGC346 ELS 25 Gaia-DR2- NGC346-ELS-025 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 025	AzV 232	AzV-232	AV-232	AzV 232
AzV 235 AzV-235 AV-235 SK 82 NGC346 ELS 35 2dFS-1418 NGC346-ELS-035 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 035 NGC346 ELS 25 Gaia-DR2- NGC346-ELS-025 Cl* NGC 346 ELS 025	AzV 234	AzV-234	AV-234	AzV 234
NGC346 ELS 35 2dFS-1418 NGC346-ELS-035 C1* NGC 346 ELS 035 NGC346 ELS 25 Gaia-DR2- NGC346-ELS-025 C1* NGC 346 ELS 025	AzV 235	AzV-235	AV-235	SK 82
NGC346 ELS 25 Gaia-DR2- NGC346-ELS-025 C1* NGC 346 ELS 025	NGC346 ELS 35	2dFS-1418	NGC346-ELS-035	Cl* NGC 346 ELS 035
	NGC346 ELS 25	Gaia-DR2-	NGC346-ELS-025	Cl* NGC 346 ELS 025

 Table A.1. Overview of target names used in XShootU, MAST, and Simbad.

4690504994818102016 **Notes.** ^(a) Target name from Table B.1 of Paper I. ^(b) OB name, as stored in the OBJECT keywords of the RAW data files. ^(c) MAST identifier, used for filenames and OBJECT keywords of the HLSPs.

XShootU ^a	OB^b	MAST ^c	Simbad
NGC346 ELS 31	2MASS-J00595407-7204316	NGC346-ELS-031	Cl* NGC 346 ELS 031
AzV 238	AzV-238	AV-238	AzV 238
AzV 243	AzV-243	AV-243	AzV 243
AzV 242	AzV-242	AV-242	AzV 242
AzV 251	AzV-251	AV-251	AzV 251
AzV 255	AzV255	AV-255	AzV 255
AzV 264	AzV-264	AV-264	AzV 264
AzV 266	AzV-266	AV-266	AzV 266
AzV 267	AzV-267	AV-267	AzV 267
AzV 296	AzV-296	AV-296	AzV 296
AzV 304	AzV-304	AV-304	AzV 304
AzV 307	AzV-307	AV-307	AzV 307
AzV 314	AzV-314	AV-314	AzV 314
AzV 321	AzV-321	AV-321	AzV 321
AzV 324	AzV-324	AV-324	AzV 324
AzV 326	AzV-326	AV-326	AzV 326
AzV 327	AzV-327	AV-327	AzV 327
AzV 332	SK-108	AV-332	SK 108
AzV 343	AzV-343	AV-343	AzV 343
AzV 362	AzV-362	AV-362	AzV 362
AzV 372	AzV-372	AV-372	AzV 372
AzV 374	AzV-374	AV-374	AzV 374
AzV 377	AzV-377	AV-377	AzV 377
AzV 388	AzV-388	AV-388	AzV 388
AzV 393	SK-124	AV-393	SK 124
AzV 410	AzV-410	AV-410	AzV 410
2dFS 2266	2dFS-2266	2DFS-2266	2dFS 2266
AzV 423	AzV-423	AV-423	AzV 423
AzV 435	AzV-435	AV-435	AzV 435
AzV 440	AzV-440	AV-440	AzV 440
AzV 445	AzV-445	AV-445	AzV 445
2dFS 2553	2dFS-2553	2DFS-2553	2dFS 2553
AzV 446	AzV-446	AV-446	AzV 446
AzV 456	AzV-456	AV-456	AzV 456
AzV 468	AzV-468	AV-468	AzV 468
AzV 469	AzV-469	AV-469	AzV 469
AzV 476	AzV-476	AV-476	AzV 476
AzV 479	AzV-479	AV-479	AzV 479
AzV 488	AzV-488	AV-488	SK 159
AzV 490	AzV-490	AV-490	AzV 490
AzV 506	AzV-506	AV-506	AzV 506
[M2002] SMC 81469	Gaia-DR2-	M2002-SMC-81469	[M2002] SMC 81469
	4686450580031889536		
2dFS 3689	2dFS-3689	2DFS-3689	2dFS 3689
2dFS 3694	2dFS-3694	2DFS-3694	2dFS 3694
SK 173	SK-173	SK-173	2dFS 3747
2dFS 3780	2dFS-3780	2DFS-3780	2dFS 3780
SK 179	SK-179	SK-179	SK 179
SK 183	SK-183	SK-183	SK 183
2dFS 3947	2dFS-3947	2DFS-3947	2dFS 3947
2dFS 3954	2dFS-3954	2DFS-3954	2dFS 3954
SK 187	SK-187	SK-187	SK 187
SK 191	SK-191	SK-191	SK 191
	LMC Tars	gets	
SK -67° 2	SK67-02	SK-67D2	SK -67 02
SK -67° 5	SK67-05	SK-67D5	SK -67 05
BI 13	BI-13	BI-13	BI 13
SK -68° 8	SK68-8	SK-68D8	SK -68 8
SK -70° 13	SK70-13	SK-70D13	SK -70 13

Table A.1. continued.

Notes. ^(a) Target name from Table B.1 of Paper I. ^(b) OB name, as stored in the OBJECT keywords of the RAW data files. ^(c) MAST identifier, used for filenames and OBJECT keywords of the HLSPs.

Table A.1. continued.

XShootU ^a	OB^b	MAST ^c	Simbad
SK -67° 14	SK67-14	SK-67D14	SK -67 14
SK -70° 16	SK70-16	SK-70D16	SK -70 16
SK -67° 20	HD-32109	SK-67D20	HD 32109
SK -66° 17	SK66-17	SK-66D17	[EL\$2006] N11 011
SK -66° 18	SK66-18	SK-66D18	SK -66 18
SK -60° 43	SK69-43	SK-69D43	SK -69 /3
N11 FLS 33	N11-033	N11_FL S_033	IFL \$20061 N11 033
N11 ELS 55	N11-049	N11-ELS-033	[ELS2000] N11 035
N11 ELS 47	N11 051	N11 ELS 051	[ELS2000] N11 049
N11 ELS JI	DCMW 2052	$\frac{111-EL3-031}{111-ELS-031}$	[ELS2000] N11 051 [ELS2006] N11 018
N11 ELS 16	N11 060	N11 ELS-010	[ELS2000] N11 018
DCMW 2070	DCMW 2070	DCMW 2070	[ELS2000] N11 000
	FGMW-5070	FUWW-5070	FOINIW 5070
NII ELS 40	N11-040	N11-ELS-040	[ELS2006] N11 046
NII ELS 38	N11-038	NII-ELS-038	[ELS2006] N11 038
PGWM 1303	PGMW-1303	PGMW-1303	PGMW 1363
PGMW 3120	PGMW-3120	PGMW-3120	PGMW 3120
LMCe055-1	UGLE-LMC-ECL-3548	LMCE055-1	[MNM2015] LMCe055-1
NII ELS 20	N11-020	NII-ELS-020	[ELS2006] N11 020
SK -65° 2	SK65-2	SK-65D2	SK -65 2
N11 ELS 32	PGMW-3168	N11-ELS-032	[ELS2006] N11 032
N11 ELS 48	PGMW-3204	N11-ELS-048	[ELS2006] N11 048
N11 ELS 13	BI-42	N11-ELS-013	[ELS2006] N11 013
SK -66° 35	SK66-35	SK-66D35	SK -66 35
SK -69° 50	SK69-50	SK-69D50	SK -69 50
SK -68° 15	BAT99-11	SK-68D15	BAT99 11
SK -67° 22	SK67-22	SK-67D22	SK -67 22
SK -68° 16	SK68-16	SK-68D16	SK -68 16
SK -69° 52	SK69-52	SK-69D52	SK -69 52
SK -70° 32	SK70-32	SK-70D32	SK -70 32
SK -68° 23a	SK68-23A	SK-68D23A	SK -68 23A
SK -65° 22	SK65-22	SK-65D22	SK -65 22
SK -68° 26	SK68-26	SK-68D26	SK -68 26
SK -66° 50	SK66-50	SK-66D50	SK -66 50
SK -70° 50	SK70-50	SK-70D50	SK -70 50
SK -70° 60	SK70-60	SK-70D60	SK -70 60
SK -70° 69	SK70-69	SK-70D69	SK -70 69
SK -68° 41	SK68-41	SK-68D41	SK -68 41
SK -70° 79	SK70-79	SK-70D79	SK -70 79
SK -68° 52	SK68-52	SK-68D52	SK -68 52
SK -71° 8	SK71-8	SK-71D8	SK -71 8
HV 5622	MACHO-79 4779 34	HV-5622	MACHO 79 4779 34
SK -67° 51	SK67-51	SK-67D51	SK -67 51
SK -67° 69	SK67-69	SK-67D69	SK -67 69
SK -69° 83	SK69-83	SK-69D83	SK -69.83
BI 128	BI-128	BI_128	BI 128
SK -69° 104	SK69-104	SK-69D104	SK -69 104
SK -07 104 SK 67° 78	SK 67 78	SK-07D104 SK 67D78	SK -07 104 SK -67 78
SK -07 78	SK 65 47	SK-07D78	SK -07 78 SK 65 47
SK -03 47 SV 65° 55	DAT00 20	SK-03D47	DAT00 20
SK -05 55	DA199-30	SK-05D55	DA199 50 SV 71 10
SK -/1 19 SK -11 01	SK/1-17 DATOO 22	SK-/1D19	SIX - / 1 17 DATIO 22
$SK - /1^{2} 21$	DA199-32	SK-/1D21	DA199 32
SK -08 /3	5K 08-/3 SK (7 101	SK-08D/3	SK -08 /3 SK (7 101
SK -0/° 101	SK0/-101 SK (7.105	5K-0/D101	SK -0/ 101 SK -7 105
SK -6/° 105	SK0/-105	SK-6/D105	SK -6/ 105
SK -6/° 106	SK0/-106	SK-0/D106	SK -0/ 100
SK -67° 107	SK67-107	SK-67D107	SK -67 107
SK -67° 108	SK6/-108	SK-6/D108	SK -67 108
LH 58-496	LH-58-496	LH-58-496	[L72] LH 58-496
SK -67° 111	SK67-111	SK-67D111	SK -67 111

Notes. ^(a) Target name from Table B.1 of Paper I. ^(b) OB name, as stored in the OBJECT keywords of the RAW data files. ^(c) MAST identifier, used for filenames and OBJECT keywords of the HLSPs.

Sana, H., et al.: A&A, 688, A104 (2024)

i abie i i i i continueu.

XShootU ^a	OB^b	MAST ^c	Simbad
BI 173	BI-173	BI-173	BI 173
SK -67° 118	SK67-118	SK-67D118	SK -67 118
SK -69° 140	SK69-140	SK-69D140	SK -69 140
SK -66° 100	SK66-100	SK-66D100	SK -66 100
SK -71° 35	SK71-35	SK-71D35	SK -71 35
BI 184	BI-184	BI-184	BI 184
SK -71° 41	SK71-41	SK-71D41	SK -71 41
° NGC2004 ELS 3	RMC-109	NGC2004-ELS-003	Cl* NGC 2004 ELS 3
BI 189	BI-189	BI-189	BI 189
N206-FS 170	Gaia-DR2-	N206-FS-170	[RHH2018] 170
	4657849288998781440		
SK -71° 45	SK71-45	SK-71D45	SK -71 45
SK -67° 166	SK67-166	SK-67D166	SK -67 166
SK -71° 46	SK71-46	SK-71D46	SK -71 46
SK -67° 167	SK67-167	SK-67D167	SK -67 167
SK -67° 168	SK67-168	SK-67D168	SK -67 168
SK -69° 178	SK69-178	SK-69D178	SK -69 178
LMC X-4	X-LMC-X-4	LMCX-4	X LMC X-4
SK -67° 191	SK67-191	SK-67D191	SK -67 191
SK -67° 195	SK67-195	SK-67D195	SK -67 195
SK -67° 197	SK67-197	SK-67D197	SK -67 197
SK -66° 152	SK66-152	SK-66D152	SK -66 152
SK -69° 191	BAT99-61	SK-69D191	BAT99 61
W61 28-5	W61-28-5	W61-28-5	W61 28-5
W61 28-23	W61-28-23	W61-28-23	W61 28-23
SK -67° 207	SK67-207	SK-67D207	SK -67 207
SK -67° 211	SK67-211	SK-67D211	SK -67 211
MCPS 083.91120-69.69685	MCPS-083.91120-69.69685	GAIA-DR3-	MCPS 083.91120-69.69685
		4657277616048732544	
SK -67° 216	SK67-216	SK-67D216	SK -67 216
SK -69° 212	SK69-212	SK-69D212	SK -69 212
BI 237	BI-237	BI-237	BI 237
SK -68° 133	SK68-133	SK-68D133	SK -68 133
SK -66° 171	SK66-171	SK-66D171	SK -66 171
LMCe078-1	MCPS-084.37367-69.24772	LMCE078-1	[MNM2015] LMCe078-1
VFTS 66	VFTS-66	VFTS-66	VFTS 66
VFTS 72	VFTS-72	VFTS-72	BI 253
SK -68° 135	SK68-135	SK-68D135	SK -68 135
VFTS 169	VFTS-169	VFTS-169	VFTS 169
VFTS 180	BAT99-93	VFTS-180	VFTS180
VFTS 190	VFTS-190	VFTS-190	VFTS 190
VFTS 244	VFTS-244	VFTS-244	VFTS 244
VFTS 267	VFTS-267	VFTS-267	VFTS 267
SK -68° 137	SK68-137	SK-68D137	SK -68 137
VFTS 355	VFTS-355	VFTS-355	VFTS 355
VFTS 404	VFTS-404	VFTS-404	VFTS 404
VFTS 406	VFTS-406	VFTS-406	VFTS 406
VFTS 482	VFTS-482	VFTS-482	Cl* NGC 2070 MEL 39
VFTS 506	VFTS-506	VFTS-506	VFTS 506
BAT99 105	BAT99-105	BAT99-105	Cl* NGC 2070 MEL 42
VFTS 542	VFTS-542	VFTS-542	Cl* NGC 2070 MEL 30
VFTS 545	VFTS-545	VFTS-545	Cl* NGC 2070 MEL 35
VFTS 586	VFTS-586	VFTS-586	VFTS 586
SK -68° 140	SK68-140	SK-68D140	SK -68 140
HD 269927C	BAT99-120	HD-269927C	[ST92] 5-68
[ST92] 5-52	W61-3-14	ST92-5-52	[ST92] 5-52
[ST92] 5-27	W61-3-24	ST92-5-27	[ST92] 5-27
[ST92] 4-18	W61-4-4	ST92-4-18	W61 4-4
BI 265	BI-265	BI-265	BI 265

Notes. ^(a) Target name from Table B.1 of Paper I. ^(b) OB name, as stored in the OBJECT keywords of the RAW data files. ^(c) MAST identifier, used for filenames and OBJECT keywords of the HLSPs.

Sana, H., et al.: A&A, 688, A104 (2024)

XShootU ^a	OB^b	MAST ^c	Simbad
Farina 88	MCPS-085.03420-69.65476	FARINA-88	[FBM2009] 88
SK -71° 50	SK71-50	SK-71D50	SK -71 50
SK -69° 279	SK69-279	SK-69D279	SK -69 279
SK -68° 155	SK68-155	SK-68D155	SK -68 155
LH 114-7	LH-114-7	LH-114-7	[L72] LH 114-7
BI 272	BI-272	BI-272	BI 272
SK -67° 261	SK67-261	SK-67D261	SK -67 261
SK -70° 115	SK70-115	SK-70D115	SK -70 115

Table A.1. continued.

Notes. ^(a) Target name from Table B.1 of Paper I. ^(b) OB name, as stored in the OBJECT keywords of the RAW data files. ^(c) MAST identifier, used for filenames and OBJECT keywords of the HLSPs.

Appendix B: Individual notes

AV-324

Observations in poor seeing conditions (up to 2''). We adopted a broader extraction window for the central object and smaller windows for sky regions.

BAT99 105

The faint companion on the lower part of the slit has no impact on the sky estimate, probably because of the median approach used in the pipeline to construct the sky spectrum.

HD269927C (≡ BAT99 120)

We note a very faint companion in the lower part of the slit, contributing less that 1% of the flux of the central object. We also note variable nebulosity across the slit. The standard extraction windows, where the companion is included in the sky regions, result in a better nebular subtraction than when only using the upper sky region ('Default Sky 2' in Fig. 8). We opted for the former approach even though the companion probably contributes to $\sim 0.5\%$ of the extracted flux. The shapes of the Balmer lines (strong wind lines) in the extracted spectrum of HD269927C do not seem to be different in the two approaches.

SK-67D106

Observations in poor seeing conditions (up to 2''). We adopted a broader extraction window for the central object and smaller windows for the sky regions.

SK-191

Observations in poor seeing conditions (up to 2''). We adopted a broader extraction window for the central object and smaller windows for the sky regions.

VFTS-482

The slit contains at least three other objects, at approximate positions of -2.4, +2.2 and +5.0. Only a ≈ 1.2 window toward the bottom of the slit is available for the estimate of the sky background. The brightest of the three companions is too close to the edge of the slit for a meaningful extraction while the other two are too faint.

VFTS-506

Both edges of the slit seem contaminated by nearby sources, but this is not affecting the 2D sky-subtracted spectra thanks to the limited contamination and the median approach used. The sky level seems higher than for other stars in the 30 Dor region, possibly due to nearby faint companions that are not clearly resolved. The level of contamination, if present, is likely faint or even negligible so that we used the standard extraction window for VFTS 506.

VFTS-542

A nearby visual companion is identified at +4.5" in the upper part of the slit. Another much fainter companion is also present at +2.2" so that we do not use the upper part of the slit to estimate the background and sky levels. Finally, there is a possible very faint companion at about -3" (i.e., within the adopted sky region). Its trace is only visible in the reddest part of the VIS arm on the raw image and its contribution is probably completely negligible, and so we have ignored it. The companion at +4.5" presents clear signatures of Balmer lines, and of He I $\lambda\lambda$ 4443, 4471 and He II λ 4686 absorption.

Appendix C: Radial velocity results

Object	MJD	RV	Err	Template	<i>v</i> sin <i>i</i>	Qual.	Comment
		$({\rm km}{\rm s}^{-1})$	$({\rm km}{\rm s}^{-1})$	PoWR model	$({\rm km}{\rm s}^{-1})$		
AV-6	59149.305	154.9	0.4	smc-ob-i_33-38	50	5	
AV-14	59156.195	144.1	0.8	smc-ob-i_43-40	150	5	XB (Simbad)
AV-15	59155.047	128.5	0.5	smc-ob-ii_38-36	100	5	
AV-18	59155.058	152.2	0.4	smc-ob-iii_20-26	50	6	
AV-22	59155.012	140.4	0.3	smc-ob-i_16-22	50	5	
AV-43	59157.252	168.7	1.0	smc-ob-i_24-32	250	3	
	59157.261	168.2	1.0	smc-ob-i_24-32	250	3	
				_			

Table C.1. Radial velocity results.

Notes. Column 1: object identifier (a given star can have multiple entries if there are multiple observations more than 15 min apart). Col 2: Modified Julian Date (MJD) of the start of observation; Col. 3: Radial velocity (RV); Col. 4: RV uncertainty (an underestimate in most cases); Col. 5: Code of the template PoWR model used; Col. 6: Projected rotational velocity ($v \sin i$) used in the cross-correlation; Col. 7: Quality of the fit (range 0 – 8), where low values indicate a bad quality and high values a good quality; Col. 8: Comments about possible binarity, mostly from Simbad. EB=eclipsing binary, XB=X-ray binary. The full table is available at CDS.

Table C.2. Objects for which we do not provide a radial velocity.

Object	Comment
2DFS-163	binary
AV-16	bad fit+emission
AV-39A	bad fit
AV-81	bad fit+emission
AV-83	bad fit + emission UVB arm
AV-189	SB2?
NGC346-ELS-051	bad fit + nebular emission UVB arm
HD-5980	bad fit+emission
AV-255	bad fit+emission VIS
2DFS-2553	SB2
2DFS-3689	eclipsing binary (Simbad); SB2
SK-173	emission
SK-67D20	emission
PGMW-3070	bad fit + emission VIS arm
SK-68D15	emission
SK-67D22	bad fit+emission
SK-65D55	bad fit+emission
SK-71D21	bad fit+emission
SK-68D73	bad fit+emission
SK-67D105	SB2?
SK-67D118	SB2?
SK-71D35	emission
BI-184	bad fit+emission VIS
SK-69D191	emission
VFTS-506	emission
BAT99-105	bad fit
VFTS-542	bad fit+emission
VFTS-545	emission
HD269927C	bad fit+emission
SK-69D279	bad fit