UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *Methods for Small Area Population Forecasts: State-of-the-Art and Research Needs*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/214449/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Wilson, T., Grossman, I., Alexander, M. et al. (2 more authors) (2022) Methods for Small Area Population Forecasts: State-of-the-Art and Research Needs. Population Research and Policy Review, 41. pp. 865-898. ISSN 0167-5923

https://doi.org/10.1007/s11113-021-09671-6

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature B.V. 2021. This version of the article has been accepted for publication, after peer review (when applicable) and is subject to Springer Nature's AM terms of use (https://www.springernature.com/gp/open-research/policies/accepted-manuscript-terms), but is not the Version of Record and does not reflect post-acceptance improvements, or any corrections. The Version of Record is available online at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11113-021-09671-6.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

Methods for small area population forecasts:

state-of-the-art and research needs

Tom Wilson¹ Irina Grossman² Monica Alexander³ Phil Rees⁴ Jeromey Temple⁵

 Corresponding author. Address: Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, The University of Melbourne, 207 Bouverie St, Melbourne, Vic 3010, Australia
 Email: <u>wilson.t1@unimelb.edu.au</u>
 ORCID: <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8812-7556</u>

2. Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, The University of Melbourne, Australia ORCID: <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5761-6194</u>

3. Departments of Statistical Science and Sociology, University of Toronto, Canada ORCID: <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8135-3435</u>

4. School of Geography, University of Leeds, UK ORCID: <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4276-9091</u> 5. Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, The University of Melbourne, Australia ORCID: <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2819-2863</u>

Abstract

Small area population forecasts are widely used by government and business for a variety of planning, research and policy purposes, and often influence major investment decisions. Yet the toolbox of small area population forecasting methods and techniques is modest relative to that for national and large subnational regional forecasting. In this paper we assess the current state of small area population forecasting, and suggest areas for further research. The paper provides a review of the literature on small area population forecasting methods published over the period 2001-2020. The key themes covered by the review are: extrapolative and comparative methods, simplified cohort-component methods, model averaging and combining, incorporating socioeconomic variables and spatial relationships, 'downscaling' and disaggregation approaches, linking population with housing, estimating and projecting small area component input data, microsimulation, machine learning, and forecast uncertainty. Several avenues for further research are then suggested, including more work on model averaging and combining, developing new forecasting methods for situations which current models cannot handle, quantifying uncertainty, exploring methodologies such as machine learning and spatial statistics, creating user-friendly tools for practitioners, and understanding more about how forecasts are used.

Key words

Population forecasts; small area; literature review; research needs

Introduction

Small area population forecasts are used by government and business for many purposes, including planning future service provision, infrastructure, housing, market analysis, policy development, and research. For example, they inform decisions about whether to build a new school or hospital, assist companies to determine where potential markets are expanding, provide input data for other models, such as those projecting transport use, water demand, or numbers of households, and in some countries directly influence the redrawing of electoral boundaries. Decisions making use of small area forecasts can involve substantial investments and affect the provision of essential services to local communities. In addition, in situations where the latest population estimates are out of date, forecasts sometimes have to be used as interim population estimates or nowcasts.

Despite these important uses, small area forecasts remain the poor cousin of forecasts at the national and large subnational region scales, which have benefitted from much more research on methods and data preparation (e.g., Booth, 2006; Mazzuco & Keilman, 2020). Unfortunately, existing cohort-component projection models for countries and large subnational regions, and accompanying fertility, mortality and migration forecasting methods (e.g., Li & Lee, 2005; Raymer et al., 2006; Shang & Booth, 2020), are often unsuitable for small areas. Difficulties include less detailed and poorer quality demographic data (due to geocoding inaccuracy, imputation, data adjustment/suppression, among others), short time series of datasets due to boundary changes, often erratic demographic trends, zero cell counts, and random noise in the data which masks underlying patterns. In addition, the populations of small areas located in

growing urban areas are strongly influenced by changes in the dwelling stock, which are not easily handled by standard cohort-component models. In practice, many small area forecasts are produced using methods which have undergone little development over the last few decades. Not surprisingly, given the challenges of data, methods, and the variability and randomness inherent in small area populations, forecasts for small areas tend to suffer from considerably higher population forecast errors than those for larger subnational regions and countries (e.g., Rayer, 2008; Tayman, 2011; Wilson & Rowe, 2011). Overall, errors tend to increase as population size decreases, with errors rising rapidly once population size declines below about 10,000 (Wilson et al., 2018).

Because of these challenges, and the importance of small area projections across the public and private sectors, it is useful to undertake an assessment of the current state of small area population forecast methodology, and offer some suggestions for research to extend and build on current methods, and fill important gaps. Existing reviews of population forecasting methods tend to focus mostly on the national scale (e.g., Alho, 2015; Booth, 2006), or national and subnational regional scales (e.g., Rees et al., 2015; Wilson & Rees, 2005), or do include small area forecasting but are in need of updating and extending (e.g., Bell, 1997; Rayer 2015; Wilson, 2011).

This paper presents a review of the literature on small area population forecasting methods, covering items published over the 20 years 2001 to 2020 inclusive. Primarily we focus on the academic literature, but also include published methodological reports by statistical offices where they contribute novel methods. The scope of the review is limited to small area population

forecasting models and methods for preparing forecast assumptions, but not associated topics, such as the geographical conversion of historical data to consistent boundaries prior to the preparation of forecasts (e.g., Norman et al, 2003; Simpson, 2002; Vasan et al., 2018), or the evaluation and quality assurance of forecasts (e.g., Rees et al., 2019; Wilson, 2017a). Nor do we cover derived small area forecasts or those for population sub-groups, such as school enrollment, ethnic group, or living arrangement and household type forecasts (e.g., Feng et al., 2020; GLA, 2018; Grip & Grip, 2020; Nawaz et al., 2020). These topics would benefit from separate dedicated reviews. Later in the paper we identify research needs and make some suggestions for research avenues which we believe are worth exploring to generate more accurate, informative, and useful small area population forecasts.

There is no widely accepted definition of a 'small area' in the demographic literature (Rayer, 2015). Sometimes the term is used to describe geographies for which there is limited demographic data available (however this might be defined); or a particular population threshold might be specified. We use the term to refer to areas with populations under 100,000, although this cut-off is to some extent arbitrary. We also include grid cells under 25 km^2 or 0.05×0.05 degrees latitude and longitude in area. Examples of small areas include census tracts (US and Canada), electoral wards (UK), and SA2 areas (Australia and New Zealand). Strictly, in geographically large countries with concentrated population distributions 'small areas' can be huge in area and might be more accurately described as geographical units containing small populations. Population is defined as the usually resident population, to be consistent with estimates and forecasts produced by national statistical offices, rather than de facto populations

(the number of people present in an area at a particular time irrespective of whether they are usual residents or visitors).

We also use the term 'forecasts' throughout the paper but acknowledge that some small area forecasts are labelled 'projections' by their creators who emphasise that their numbers are not intended to be forecasts but simply the outcome of selected assumptions and models. Projections are often defined as a calculation of future population based on chosen assumptions about the future drivers of population change (which may or may not be plausible), whereas a forecast is deemed the most likely future. However, for consistency and because most users tend to interpret projections as forecasts, we primarily use the term 'forecasts' here.

Following this introduction, we briefly describe the approach taken in undertaking this review. The next section sets out key themes of the surveyed literature in separate sub-sections followed by a summary of the main findings, while the following section outlines priority research areas and suggests potential avenues for further investigation. An annotated bibliography of literature included in the review is provided in the Supplementary Information accompanying the paper.

Literature search and selection

For this review, we searched for journal papers, book chapters, and reports which:

- (i) contained details on forecasting methods and approaches
- (ii) were for small areas, and
- (iii) were published between 1st January 2001 and 31st December 2020.

We included literature which focused on forecasting the components which directly feed into small area population forecasts, such as fertility, mortality and migration rates. We also included methods for quantifying the uncertainty of small area population forecasts.

Our approach to searching the literature on small area population forecasting methods made use of several information sources. First, we searched for papers on Google Scholar using Harzing's Publish or Perish (as it allows search results to be exported; Harzing, 2007), JSTOR, Scopus, Web of Science, Springer, Emerald, Wiley, Gale, PubMed, and the arXiv preprint server. Search terms used were: population projection, population forecast, population prediction; small area, local area, grid square, gridded, spatial, sub-national population, sub-regional population, small area population, ward-level population, county-level population, population project* (where * refers to any other letters), population predict*, and population forecast* and additional terms used to find articles on estimating the components of small area population projections included: age-specific migration, age-specific mortality, age-specific fertility, fertility, age-profile, estimating, and estimation. Second, we followed up references in selected papers which appeared to focus on small area population forecasts. Third, we added our own papers on small area forecasting which we have collected over the years. We created an annotated bibliography and drafted a brief summary of up to 100 words of the main points for each of the selected papers. Finally, we approached the corresponding authors of these papers and asked them to check over our draft summaries and correct them if necessary. We also asked if there were any other papers within the scope of the review which we may have missed. A total of 51 authors were contacted and 20 summaries were revised. Following consultations with the authors, a further 6 papers were added to the review and summarised in the annotated bibliography. The final total number of papers included in the review is 84.

Small area population forecasting methods, 2001-2020

The literature on small area population forecasting methods from the last two decades is presented in the following ten sub-sections, categorised by type of forecasting method or approach. Any categorisation such as this is to some extent subjective, and there is inevitably research which can be categorised under more than one theme.

Extrapolative and comparative methods

Simple extrapolative models are generally applied to project population totals rather than ageand sex-specific populations. Although atheoretical and based solely on past trends, their strengths include minimal data requirements, simple and quick calculation, and accuracy which is often comparable to, or better than, more detailed and complex methods (Smith et al. 2013: chapter 8). Comparative (or ratio) methods create a small area projection through a relationship with an independent projection for a larger geographical area (e.g., for a state, large region, or country), and are also generally applied just to population totals. Many of these simple methods date back many decades (Isserman, 1977; Openshaw & Van Der Knaap, 1983; Pearl & Reed, 1920; Pritchett, 1891; Schmitt & Crosetti, 1951; Smith, 1987; White, 1954) though they became less popular in the later decades of the 20th century as computing power increased and more complex models gained prominence. However, over the last decade or so, a number of studies have re-examined the properties of existing simple extrapolative and comparative methods for local and small area forecasts, and introduced some new variants. To create grid square population forecasts across the world, Hachadoorian et al. (2011) tested two simple methods, one which applied a projected share of national population (shift-share), and the other a constant share of national population growth. The forecasts covered the period 1995-2025. Over the long run both methods were found to suffer problems, such as negative populations and trend reversals. Xanthos et al. (2013) tested several share-of-population and share-of-population-growth models over 10 year historical periods to small areas in a region of Greece, finding that the lowest errors¹ were obtained from the constant share-of-population model.

Rayer (2008) conducted a comprehensive evaluation of simple methods for forecasting the total populations of 2,482 counties in the US. 'Forecasts' over historical periods were calculated for multiple jump-off years and projection horizons. Among the five individual extrapolative and comparative models tested, linear extrapolation proved marginally the most accurate, but the constant share-of-population model did not perform particularly well. At 10 and 20 year horizons mean errors did not vary greatly between methods; however, after 30 years the exponential extrapolation gave by far the highest mean errors. The accuracy and characteristics of several extrapolative and comparative methods were also analysed as part of a study by Wilson (2015). Retrospective small area forecasts were produced for a 10 year horizon and compared to actual population estimates in Australia, New Zealand, and England & Wales. Of the methods evaluated, the best models were found to be a modified share-of-growth model (calculated as shares of national base period growth using only positive shares, with negative shares set to

¹ We report relatively few quantitative error values in this paper. Unfortunately, there is inconsistency in error measures used, variation in forecast horizons, and often no overall summary error measure reported (instead, a large number of errors for different parts of the evaluation). We address this issue in the 'research needs' section later.

zero), and a constant share-of-population model. Methods susceptible to producing negative populations or runaway growth in the long run were identified (linear, projected share-of-population, constant share-of-growth, exponential, and constant growth rate difference).

Hauer et al. (2015) employed a housing-unit model to forecast the total populations of subcounty areas of Georgia, USA, with the number of housing units being projected by a Linear/Exponential model (linear if base period growth was positive; exponential if it was negative) fitted to a 70 year base period of dwelling counts. The advantage of this composite model is that negative populations and runaway growth are avoided. Linear/Exponential extrapolation was also applied by Hauer et al. (2016) to forecast the populations of census block groups in US counties expected to be severely impacted by sea level rises in coming decades. These forecasts were then used in further work which modelled internal migration flows out of coastal areas which may occur due to sea level rise (Hauer, 2017). Baker et al. (2008) projected populations via the housing unit method, forecasting dwelling numbers using a logistic curve because it mimics the growth in dwelling stock as new housing estates are developed. In their application to urban census tract populations in New Mexico, USA, the authors concluded that this approach worked well over a short-term forecast horizon.

Also included under the general heading of extrapolation are time series models. An example can be found in Athukorala et al. (2010), who forecast the total populations of two local government areas in Queensland, Australia, using Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) models. Walters and Cai (2008) evaluated the Holt-Winters (exponential smoothing), ARIMA, and linear and exponential extrapolative models. They created several sets of retrospective

forecasts for US states and local areas in Virginia, USA. The authors conclude that both Holt-Winters and ARIMA models are roughly comparable in accuracy to cohort-component models, and that Holt-Winters is preferable to ARIMA because of its more intuitive nature. Nonetheless, time series models are more complex and time-consuming to implement than simple extrapolative or comparative models, and usually require more data (Tayman et al. 2007); they are not commonly used to forecast small area populations.

Simplified cohort-component methods

The simplified version of the cohort-component model, the Hamilton-Perry model (Hamilton & Perry, 1962), has experienced a revival for forecasting local and small area populations in recent years (Baker et al., 2017; Smith et al., 2013 pp. 176-179). In this model, the population is still projected by cohort, but not by demographic components. Instead, the population of a cohort at the start of a projection interval is multiplied by a Cohort Change Ratio to obtain the aged-on cohort population at the end of the interval. Young childhood populations can be forecast using Child/Woman Ratios. The model yields age-specific population forecasts but does not require fertility, mortality or migration input data, making it applicable in data-limited circumstances which prevent the use of regular cohort-component models. The consequent limitation of this model is that fertility, mortality and migration assumptions cannot be specified, and projected demographic components of change are not produced (although Baker et al. (2017, chapter 13) decompose cohort change into mortality and net migration components). Most published applications have been in the USA where small area demographic component data is limited.

The Hamilton-Perry model has been implemented in various ways, and with some interesting extensions. Swanson et al. (2010) used it to forecast the populations of census tracts and block groups, adjusting cohort change ratios to match population estimates early in the forecast horizon, and applying ceiling and floor limits to prevent growth becoming too high or too negative. Baker et al. (2014) used it to project the populations of urban census tracts with spatial weighting applied to preliminary forecasts. This was implemented by averaging preliminary forecasts for each census tract with those of its neighbours as defined by rook and queen contiguity matrices. The spatially-weighted forecasts were found to be more accurate than the original unweighted forecasts. In related work, Inoue (2017) tested alternative ways of smoothing small area cohort change ratios and child/woman ratios. In an application to small areas of Japan, it was found that smoothing which combined both local ratios and ratios from the larger prefecture in which it was located improved the quality of forecasts relative to not applying smoothing.

Tayman and Swanson (2017) evaluated several ways of adjusting cohort change ratios and child/woman ratios throughout the forecast horizon. One option averaged these ratios over two 10 year inter-censal intervals, while a second involved extrapolating the difference in ratios over the two 10 year intervals of the base period. A third option modified local ratios over time to the same extent as ratios calculated from independent forecasts for a larger region. When applied to counties in Washington State and census tracts in New Mexico, the third option gave the most accurate forecasts.

Studies have also assessed unconstrained versus constrained Hamilton-Perry forecasts. Baker et al. (2020) evaluated the Hamilton-Perry model for census tracts across the US, with one set constrained to linear extrapolations of total population, and another remaining unconstrained. The constrained set proved more accurate. Similarly, Wilson (2016) tested several forms of cohort-component model for local areas in Australia, including the standard Hamilton-Perry model and a version constrained to total populations from an extrapolative model. The latter proved more accurate. This constrained Hamilton-Perry model gave only slightly less accurate forecasts by age (except at the highest ages) than versions of the cohort-component model incorporating migration.

Hauer (2019) created population forecasts by age, sex and race for all counties of the US for 2020-2100 using the Hamilton-Perry model. He introduced one key variation: for county/race populations which were declining he applied cohort change ratios, but for growing populations he used cohort change differences (i.e., absolute numbers). This is similar to the linear/exponential composite model noted earlier. Cohort change ratios and differences were forecast using ARIMA(0,1,1) models, and all population forecasts were constrained to those from the Shared Socioeconomic Pathways for the US as a whole. An evaluation of forecasts from 2000 to 2015 revealed the composite cohort change ratio/difference approach to be slightly more accurate than using cohort change ratios or differences alone.

Dockery et al. (2020) proposed a type of cohort model to project small Indigenous populations in rural and remote regions by sex and five year age group. Although reference is not explicitly made to the Hamilton-Perry model, their approach is effectively a Hamilton-Perry model in

which the population is projected using cohort change differences. The unique feature is that cohort change is projected with a regression model which uses a range of demographic, social and geographic variables. A regression model is also used to project the number of 0-4 year olds based on population numbers in older childhood ages. The method was applied to produce five year horizon projections of small area Indigenous populations by age and sex in regional and remote parts of Australia.

Model averaging and combining

The benefits of model averaging and combining were highlighted in the statistics and forecasting literature several decades ago (e.g., Bates & Granger, 1969; Clemen, 1989) but until quite recently such approaches were uncommon in demographic forecasting (though see, for example, Isserman, 1977, and Smith & Shahidullah, 1995 for explorations of some of these techniques). Averaging and combining are often found to reduce errors. This is thought to be because they draw on a wider amount of information than any one model, and because errors in different directions from individual models offset one another to some extent (Goodwin, 2009).

For small area population forecasts, evaluations of averaged or composite models generally find that they perform quite well. Rayer (2008) created retrospective total population forecasts for US counties using 5 simple methods, and found that a mean of all five methods and a trimmed mean based on the three remaining forecasts after excluding the highest and lowest forecasts, produced mean errors comparable to the best individual methods, or better. For subcounty areas in Florida, Rayer and Smith (2010) similarly found that averaged forecasts and trimmed means performed well. Composite models, where different methods are applied to areas based on their population size and growth rate, were found to reduce errors a little further. The Bureau of Economic and Business Research at the University of Florida has employed a trimmed mean method to produce its annual county population forecasts in Florida for some time (e.g., Rayer and Wang, 2020).

Averages of extrapolative and comparative models for forecasting small area population totals were found to result in modest gains in accuracy in Australia, New Zealand, and England & Wales (Wilson, 2015). The best averaged models mostly contained a constant share-ofpopulation model (share of national population) and at least one type of share-of-growth model (national population growth), suggesting that a projection which takes an average of national and local trends is beneficial. The best composite models, based on population size and growth rate categories, also gave errors slightly better than the best individual models. Further assessment of the averaged constant share-of-population / share-of-growth model was reported in Wilson (2017b). It was applied at various geographical scales over several 10 year forecast horizons. Median Absolute Percentage Errors (MedAPE) were low, and consistently lower than those from linear extrapolation.

Reinhold and Thomsen (2015) evaluated several versions of cohort-component model applied to districts in Lower Saxony, Germany. Retrospective forecasts were created for a 10 year horizon and compared to population estimates. The models tested consisted of the cohort-component with and without migration, and the cohort-component model constrained to total populations from simple linear, no change, projected share-of-population, and constant share-of-population models. The mean of all forecasts gave slightly lower errors than any individual method for both

total population and age-specific populations. A similar evaluation of several versions of cohortcomponent model applied to local government areas in New South Wales, Australia, was undertaken (Wilson, 2016). The cohort-component models tested included those with bi-regional migration flows, net migration numbers, net migration rates, a composite model (using net migration numbers for positive net migration and rates if negative), and the Hamilton-Perry model. A second set of forecasts were produced where the cohort-component forecasts were constrained to total populations from an averaged extrapolative model. The constrained forecasts proved much more accurate than the unconstrained forecasts, and the bi-regional model produced the most accurate age-specific forecasts by a small margin, followed by the composite net migration model.

Incorporating socio-economic variables and spatial relationships

Researchers have argued that demography is an inherently spatial social science (e.g., Matthews and Parker, 2013; Voss, 2007), but small area demography is especially so. Demographic trends and patterns in small areas do not occur in isolation, but are geographically connected to other areas – most obviously through migration and short-term mobility, but also by possessing characteristics which are often similar or identical to nearby areas, such as social norms, culture, politics, housing types, environment, laws and regulations, etc. As summarised by Tobler (1970: p. 236) "everything is related to everything else, but near things are more related than distant things." In demography more generally, spatial modelling and analysis have grown in importance over the last decade or two (e.g., Chi and Zhu, 2008; Gu et al, 2020; Matthews and

Parker, 2013). Yet only a few contributions to small area population forecasting incorporate explicitly spatial relationships (other than migration flows) in their modelling.

Chi (2009) investigated whether forecasts of sub-county total populations could be improved by incorporating variables correlated with population change in the modelling. Four regression models were applied to forecast the populations of minor civil divisions in Wisconsin which included explanatory variables related to an area's demographic and socioeconomic characteristics, transport accessibility, natural amenities, and land development characteristics. Comparisons with four simple linear and exponential extrapolative models reveal the regression approach does not outperform extrapolation. Chi and Voss (2011) then created and evaluated regression models which incorporate variables from neighbouring areas. In their "spatiotemporal regression forecasting approach" they selected the seven nearest neighbouring areas and weighted variables according to inter-centroid distances. The neighbour variables included lagged population growth rates and a selection of social, economic and environmental characteristics. Although conceptually appealing, the regression models did not produce lower errors than a simple extrapolative model when applied to minor civil divisions in Wisconsin, over the 1990-2000 period. Chi et al. (2011) applied these regression models to census tracts in the city of Milwaukee to assess whether they worked better in an urban setting. In this study, Mean Absolute Percentage Errors were found to be slightly lower than those of several simple extrapolative models. In later work, a geographically-weighted regression approach was proposed and tested (Chi & Wang, 2017). When applied to minor civil divisions in Wisconsin, the geographically-weighted regression model was found to be slightly less accurate than several simple extrapolative forecasts.

Other researchers have applied spatial weighting to the results of population forecasts or to input assumptions. Baker et al. (2014) created three sets of census tract forecasts using the Hamilton-Perry model, two which used initial projections for each census tract averaged with those of its neighbours. This approach yielded lower errors than not applying spatial averaging. Inoue (2017), also using the Hamilton-Perry model, smoothed cohort change ratios and child/woman ratios for small areas by incorporating ratios for the prefecture in which each small area was located. This lowered errors relative to forecasts with no smoothing.

Housing-led population projections

At the small area scale, population size and composition are often closely associated with the number and types of dwellings (Bell, 1997; Dittgen & Dutreuilh, 2005; Franzén & Karlsson, 2010; Myers, 1990). The housing-unit method has long been used by demographers to create population estimates (Bryan, 2004; Swanson & Tayman, 2012) as well as forecasts (Bell, 1997; Foss, 2002). The total population is calculated as the number of private dwelling units multiplied by the proportion of dwellings occupied by usual residents multiplied by average household size, plus the number of people living in non-private (institutional) dwellings. The method is computationally simple and, with accurate estimates of the component terms, it provides accurate population numbers. A limitation is that it only generates population totals (i.e., no age and sex detail), although these totals can be produced for different dwelling types. In forecasting applications, the accuracy of the housing unit model depends on the reliability of recent data and assumptions made about each of the individual inputs to the model. In many urban areas,

planning policies and systems mean that the future number of private dwellings which will be built over the next 5 or 10 years is known with a reasonable degree of certainty. Future change in average household size and the proportion of dwellings occupied may be harder to estimate.

The housing-unit model regularly proves a useful tool for researchers, often in combination with other models. As noted earlier, Baker et al. (2008) used it for census tract population forecasts, employing a logistic curve to model the growth of dwellings, while Hauer et al. (2015) used it for sub-county area forecasts, applying a Linear/Exponential model to forecast dwelling numbers. The housing-unit model is also regularly used by government, academic, and private sector demographers to produce local and small area population forecasts (e.g., Portland State University Population Research Center, 2015; QGSO, 2018).

A mixed housing-unit/cohort-component approach was taken by Dittgen and Dutreuilh (2005) in preparing population forecasts for Paris. Although details of the model are not provided, the description suggests that they created a cohort-component model which separates out migration affecting existing dwellings from the population moving into new dwellings. The number of new dwellings is multiplied by a projected average household size, and then disaggregated using an assumed age-sex profile. The additional population is then added to the population produced by the cohort-component model. A similarly adapted cohort-component model, which handles population in existing and new dwellings separately, is described by Hansen (2010).

An alternative is to create dwelling-led forecasts which combine forecasts of future dwelling numbers with a headship rate or propensity household model together with a cohort-component model. The dwelling input consists of future numbers of dwellings occupied on a usual residence basis plus the number of persons living in institutional accommodation. However, average household size is not an input assumption, but one of the model outputs. This allows changes in population age structure to influence average household size. Marshall and Simpson (2009) and Simpson (2017) describe how dwelling-led projections for local areas in the UK-based POPGROUP software are produced in this way. Migration is adjusted in volume (but not age pattern) so that population and household forecasts are consistent with assumed dwelling numbers. The POPART local area population forecasting program takes a similar approach, adjusting inward and outward migration flows in an iterative calculation scheme (and indirectly adjusting numbers of births and deaths as well as populations-at-risk change) to match future occupied private dwelling numbers (Wilson, 2014).

Franzén and Karlsson (2010) propose quite a different approach in which small area migration rate age profiles for the cohort-component model are not based on noisy local data, but derived from national data and the housing mix of a small area. The key assumption is that people living in certain dwelling type/tenure categories share the same demographic characteristics throughout the country, so that national data on internal migration by dwelling type can be used at the small area scale. In an application to Sweden, the authors identified 33 housing categories using cluster analysis, all with specific in- and out-migration age profiles. For small area forecasts, the authors suggest weighting the housing-specific migration age profiles by the dwelling composition of each small area.

'Downscaling' and disaggregation approaches

Research on 'downscaling' (or disaggregating) national or large regional population forecasts to small areas has emerged over recent years, primarily as part of the literature on the Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (scenarios of macro-scale global social, economic and natural systems change to facilitate studies of adaptation to climate change; O'Neill et al. 2014). In practice, the methods often involve a mixture of national forecast disaggregation and small area forecasting, but always with the application of top-down constraining. This stream of research appears to be relatively distinct from other work in small area population forecasting, and is generally more focused on 'big picture' global patterns of population with spatial detail for many decades into the future, whereas most other small area forecasting studies are more geographically and temporally limited in extent and have an applied planning and policy focus. Many of the downscaling methods start with national-level population forecasts produced by the United Nations Population Division, the International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA), or national statistical offices, and small area grid square population estimates produced as part of the Gridded Population of the World datasets (https://sedac.ciesin.columbia.edu/). Given the 'big picture' emphasis and huge amount of data involved in these studies, most use assumptions which considerably simplify reality. Many models in the 'downscaling' literature have not undergone comprehensive forecast accuracy assessments.

Some studies apply relatively simple methods. For example, Breidenbach et al. (2019) created age-sex population forecasts for 1 km² grid squares of Germany for the period 2015 to 2050. They applied a cohort-component model for each grid square, assuming national mortality rates for each area, area-specific fertility rates, zero internal migration, and net international migration

distributed according to the population distribution. Merkens et al. (2016) created small grid square forecasts of coastal population totals across the world from 2010 to 2100. Their method divided each country into 4 'zones' (coastal-urban, coastal-rural, inland-urban, and inland-rural) and assumed recent growth rate differences between zones would continue, with forecasts constrained to independent national population and urbanisation forecasts. Grid square forecasts were created by assuming the grid square population will grow at the same rate as the zonal population.

Other studies have used more complex methods involving multiple models. McKee et al. (2015) prepared small grid square population forecasts for the contiguous USA. First, they created county forecasts by age and sex using a cohort-component model with net migration rates. Second, constraining to US Census Bureau State and national forecasts was applied. And then the county forecasts were disaggregated to grid squares according to a "potential development coefficient" based on a set of variables which included land use, roads, urban accessibility, and current population. Boke-Olén et al. (2017) took a similar approach to create gridded population forecasts for Africa. National scale forecasts from IIASA were disaggregated using spatially detailed data on roads, water bodies, country borders, and distance to urban centres. Chen et al. (2020) applied various machine learning algorithms to forecast the populations of tiny 100m² grid squares for China for the period 2015 to 2050.

Zoraghein and O'Neill (2020a, 2020b) also created small area population forecasts for the USA, though for 1 km² grid squares and for population totals only. Existing State population forecasts were downscaled to grid squares using a gravity-type model, specified separately for urban and

rural areas. Forecast population growth was allocated to each grid square proportional to its assumed 'suitability for growth' based on several socio-economic, land use and environmental variables, while forecast population decrease was distributed according to the inverse of suitability.

Some other studies involving disaggregation to small areas fall outside the climate-themed literature. They take a variety of approaches. Stimson et al. (2012) describe the creation of a large-scale urban model to forecast population, housing, employment and other socio-economic variables for the region of South East Queensland, Australia. Small area population forecasts are closely related to the future pattern of dwelling growth. Future dwelling growth for the region as a whole is allocated to small grid squares using a model which mimics the land development process and considers residential land availability, proximity to existing development, and the accessibility of local areas to services and transport. In New Zealand, Cameron and Cochrane (2017) also used a detailed land-use model, the Waikato Integrated Scenario Explorer (WISE), to disaggregate population forecasts for larger regions created by a cohort-component model to smaller area units. Several regression models were fitted to distribute population. An evaluation over the recent past compared the forecasts with those of two simple models, finding the authors' forecasts were competitive with one of the simple models and slightly less accurate than the other.

Other approaches apply a variety of disaggregation techniques. Li and Corcoran (2011) evaluated alternative dasymetric methods to distribute population forecasts for the region of South East Queensland, to small areas based on their dwelling density category, with each

density category assigned a proportion of regional population growth. They concluded that a method involving four density classes produced the best results. The classic shift-share method was adapted by Alonso González et al. (2015) to disaggregate regional age-sex population forecasts in Spain to small areas. Local area population growth is modelled as the function of three effects: regional total population growth (regional effect), regional change by age group (age effect), and local area age-specific growth (local effect). The data requirements are modest and the calculations relatively simple.

Several studies involve the disaggregation of outputs of cohort-component models applied to demographic data from higher geographies. In Kanaroglou et al. (2009), the authors develop a model which combines a multiregional cohort-based demographic model and an aggregated spatial multinomial logit model to forecast small area populations. Migration flows are estimated by disaggregating migration data available at the municipal level by using migrant and destination area characteristics (e.g., migrant's age, number of schools, distance to city centre). Jiang et al. (2007) present a Hidden-Markov model based small area population forecasting method which incorporates a hierarchical framework, such that data from larger geographies can be used to support small area forecasts. Compared to the cohort-component model, the proposed method has lower data requirements, is suited to producing longer-term forecasts, and can output prediction intervals. Jannuzzi (2005) couples a regional-level cohort-component method with a system of differential equations, based on models of population dynamics used in ecology, to disaggregate population forecasts to municipalities.

Small area microsimulation

In contrast to most small area population forecasting methods, microsimulation models, by definition, operate at the scale of individuals rather than populations. Consequently, they require considerably more input data and data preparation than macro-scale models, but possess several beneficial features, including rich output detail across many population characteristics (van Imhoff & Post, 1998). They also avoid the need for constraining between geographical scales because all output is aggregated from the individual scale. Two broad categories of spatial microsimulation model may be distinguished: *dynamic* models in which transitions between demographic states are modelled explicitly, and *static* models in which base period data are re-weighted to aggregate constraints from macro-scale population estimates and projections (Ballas, Rossiter, et al. 2005; Tanton, 2014). Because they do not explicitly model demographic processes "static spatial microsimulation models are best for 'next day' analyses" based on what-if? policy scenarios (Tanton & Edwards, 2013 p. 4). A pedagogical example of small area microsimulation is given in Lomax & Smith (2017).

Examples of dynamic spatial microsimulation models can be found in Ballas, Rossiter, et al. (2005), Marois and Bélanger (2014, 2015), Wu and Birkin (2013), and Wu et al. (2011). We briefly describe two models here. Ballas, Clarke, et al. (2005) created SMILE (Simulation Model for the Irish Local Economy) to produce short-term population forecasts for small areas of Ireland for the periods 1991-1996 and 1996-2002. In the model, mortality probabilities are based on age, sex and location, while fertility probabilities are dependent on age, marital status and location. Probabilities of internal migration are obtained by randomly sampling from census data based on an individual's age, sex and county. International migration is excluded due to data

limitations. The mean absolute percentage error for the total populations of small areas was 6.4% for the 1991-1996 projections. Marois and Bélanger (2014, 2015) describe the development and application of a microsimulation model for forecasting the populations of municipalities within the metropolitan region of Montreal, Canada. A key feature is the use of conditional logistic regression to allocate internal and international migrants to municipalities based on distance to the city centre, current municipality size, municipality development potential, population composition as well as geographic location. The model was applied to produce forecasts from 2011 to 2031. Validation over the 2006-11 period revealed a Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE) of 3.4% for municipality total populations.

An example of a static spatial microsimulation model for small area population forecasting is described by Harding et al. (2011) and Vidyattama and Tanton (2010). The model SpatialMSM forecasts population and household characteristics for Statistical Local Areas (SLAs) of Australia (Harding et al. 2011). It takes independent macro-level forecasts of SLA populations by age and sex from 2007 to 2027, disaggregates them by labour force status, and then re-weights the base period small area micro-level dataset to achieve consistency with the area/age/sex/labour force forecasts.

Machine learning

Machine learning methods have proved very successful in a range of fields, such as speech recognition (Nassif et al., 2019), translation (Y. Wu et al., 2016), computer vision (Voulodimos et al., 2018), bioinformatics (Y. Li et al., 2019), and in games such as chess (Silver et al., 2018).

However, some research suggests that these methods are often less accurate than traditional statistical methods for forecasting work. Makridakis et al. (2018) set out to evaluate the accuracy of forecasts produced by machine learning methods (including Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) models, Bayesian Neural Networks, and Regression Trees) against traditional statistical methods (such as Exponential Smoothing, ARIMA, and an average of Simple Exponential Smoothing, Holt, and Damped exponential smoothing). They found that traditional methods were more accurate and had lower computational requirements than machine learning methods. The authors suggest that the reasons why many articles claim superior machine learning performance is that they do not include a comparison with a suitable benchmark method (particularly a traditional statistical model).

Riiman et al. (2019) evaluated an artificial neural network method known as the Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) model for forecasting the total population of Alabama counties at 10 year horizons, and compared them with forecasts from a cohort-component model, which produced a MAPE of 6.5% at 10 years. They produced machine learning forecasts using two data types (annual population estimates and decennial census populations) and two training regimes (training models on data from all counties and training a separate model for each county), giving four forecasts in total. When models were trained on one county at a time, they produced lower errors than when models were trained on data from all counties (6.3% MAPE compared to 16.7% MAPE for annual population data, and 5.0% compared to 6.1% for decennial census populations). Thus, the LSTM model produced a significantly better forecast than the cohort-component model when a model was trained for each county and decennial data was used. The Alabama counties had relatively long time series available to train the models (decennial census data from 1910 to 2000, and mid-year population estimates from 1969 to 2000), a time series length not always available for small area populations.

Several papers have also applied machine learning methods to the demographic component inputs of small area population forecasts. Weber (2020) used several machine learning methods and data from 2005-2009 to predict net migration rates at the municipal level in Germany for 'education migration' (ages 18-24) and 'family migration' (ages 0-17 and 30-49) for the period 2011-2015. The author reported forecasting performance by correlating observed and predicted values. Net migration rates for education migration achieved $R^2 > 0.5$ but $R^2 = 0.25$ was the best result for family migration. This suggests that machine learning methods with short time series could not adequately support forecasts of migration.

Several studies have applied such methods in forecasting related to the Shared Socioeconomic Pathways population scenarios. Chen et al. (2020) applied machine learning techniques to predict residential land use, and subsequently to forecast small grid square populations from 2015 to 2020, with forecasting constraints taken from the population scenarios. The methods used included two decision tree based ensemble methods, XGBoost (Georganos et al., 2018) and random forest (Belgiu et al., 2016), and a neural network algorithm as implemented in Hu et al. (2019). Striessnig et al. (2019) prepared county population forecasts by broad age group for the USA consistent with various Shared Socioeconomic Pathway scenarios for the period 2000 to 2100. Instead of relying on the cohort-component model, they used regression trees to forecast the share of a county's population in each broad age group based on past demographic characteristics. Census population data from 1980 to 2000 were used for model training. The age

group shares were then applied to separate county population totals and constrained to national forecasts by age. Forecasts were compared against actual data during the 2000–2010 period, revealing an R^2 of about 0.9.

Estimating and projecting small area component input data

Another area of the small area population modelling literature focuses on estimating and forecasting the separate components of population change: fertility, mortality and migration. As is the case with small area estimation in general, one of the main challenges in obtaining estimates of components of population change at the local level is dealing with small or zero event counts, which make the underlying trends or patterns are unclear. Additionally, data on components may come from multiple sources, each having their own measurement issues and biases, that need to somehow be reconciled within the same estimation framework.

Because data in small areas is often sparse, many of the methods used for larger areas are unreliable. Scherbov and Ediev (2011) demonstrated the difficulty of creating robust life table statistics for small areas. They showed that traditional life table construction methods often give unacceptably high estimation bias and standard errors for population sizes below 5,000. Several approaches have been proposed to deal with this problem. Anson (2018) describes a multilevel model which uses national mortality rates to support the estimation of subnational mortality rates and applies it to 87 subnational units in Belgium to construct age-sex-specific mortality rates. Congdon (2014) produced county-level life expectancy estimates in the US based on a structured random effects model with a regression extension. This method allows for the consideration of various area characteristics, such as the ethnic mix, population density, and socio-economic

indices, which enable stable life expectancy estimates to be formed with minimal amalgamation of counties. Dyrting (2020) and Gonzaga and Schmertmann (2016) both describe estimation of small area demographic rates based on TOPALS, a relational model developed by de Beer (2012) which can be used to smooth and project various age-specific demographic rates. It assumes that an age schedule can be represented as a combination of a standard age schedule and spline-smoothed ratios of local to standard rates. Gonzaga and Schmertmann (2016) developed a TOPALS-based method to estimate small area age-specific mortality rates for small areas with incomplete death registrations in Brazil. Dyrting (2020) extended this method using a penalizedsplines approach, where the smoothness of the fit is controlled with a single parameter, and then applied the method to estimate small area age-specific migration schedules in the Northern Territory, Australia (Dyrting, 2020). Simpson and Snowling (2017) evaluated three methods for preparing input data for small area cohort-component forecasts where input data was not available (or affordable). Methods evaluated included a No Local Variation approach which uses the same fertility, mortality, and migration inputs for all small areas within a wider region. The Local Calibration option constrains the No Local Variation assumptions by using total recorded births and deaths in each area, and estimates age-sex specific migration indirectly from two sets of population estimates. The Local Direct comparison projection uses small area fertility, mortality and migration age profiles of rates, directly estimated from available data. The authors conclude that the Local Calibration option gives the most plausible forecasts.

Whilst there are several approaches to support the estimation of population components in small areas, a large part of the recent literature has focused on developing Bayesian methods for estimation and forecasting. Bayesian methods have gained traction in demographic estimation

since the United Nations Population Division began to utilize a Bayesian framework to produce its regular national and global population forecasts (Raftery et al., 2012). These methods have since been employed to estimate fertility, mortality, and migration at the global, regional, national and subnational levels (e.g., Alexander et al., 2017; Alkema & New, 2014; Alkema et al., 2011; Assunção et al., 2005; Azose & Raftery, 2015; Leknes & Løkken, 2020; Schmertmann et al., 2013; Schmertmann & Hauer, 2019). Bryant and Zhang (2018) describe a Bayesian statistical framework which uses multiple, often unreliable, data sources to estimate and forecast entire demographic systems, including births, deaths, internal migration and international migration) with age, sex, area and time details.

In brief, Bayesian methods allow population processes to be conceptualised in a statistical framework which can combine both information from observed data and also prior beliefs about likely patterns in demographic processes. These methods are particularly suited to modelling demographic components, because they facilitate combining multiple data sources and dealing with missing data, and allow prior information on likely patterns across age and time to be incorporated. The use of statistical models allows patterns to be readily smoothed across time and information to be shared across space, and automatically accounts for and estimates uncertainty in forecasts.

Bayesian methods for small area demographic estimation first appeared in Peter Congdon's work on estimating local level life expectancies in the UK (Congdon, 2009). This approach of using Bayesian multilevel models has been extended by others studying mortality and other demographic processes. For example, Wakefield et al. (2019) use a spatio-temporal Bayesian

model to estimate child mortality at the subnational model in data sparse contexts. Alexander et al. (2017) build on classical demographic model lifetable techniques to estimate small-area age specific mortality schedules. Jonker et al. (2012) show that a Bayesian Random Effects approach outperforms traditional life expectancy estimations. Traditional life expectancy estimations tend to generate unacceptably large biases and standard errors for populations of fewer than 5,000 person years, conversely the Bayesian approach allows estimates to be produced down to 2,000 person years (Jonker et al., 2012). Bryant and Zhang show how these types of methods can be employed to estimate small-area internal migration rates in both New Zealand and Iceland (Bryant & Zhang, 2016; Zhang & Bryant, 2020). A model providing a fuller demographic account for subnational regions of New Zealand is presented in Bryant and Graham (2013), where estimates are created using multiple data sources, including census and administrative data (e.g., tax system data, school enrollments, and electoral roll). In a different application of Bayesian methods, Schmertmann and Hauer (2019) develop methods to estimate fertility rates given population age structures. While not explicitly dealing with small populations, these methods are potentially useful in the small population context because often only population counts may be available.

Forecast uncertainty

Over the last three decades, the literature on quantifying population forecast uncertainty has grown considerably in scope and complexity. Methods have become increasingly sophisticated, probabilistic forecasts have been published for many case study countries, and several software packages made publicly available (Keilman, 2018, 2020). A few statistical agencies have now

adopted probabilistic methods to prepare their official population forecasts (e.g., Statistics Netherlands, 2020; Statistics New Zealand, 2020; UN, 2019). Some researchers have focused on uncertainty for large subnational regions (e.g., Bertino et al., 2014; Rees & Turton, 1998; Swanson & Tayman, 2014; Wilson & Bell, 2007; Wisniowski & Raymer, 2016) but very little attention has been devoted to small area population forecasts, where errors tend to be highest and the need for quantifying uncertainty the greatest. It is not due to a lack of interest from users. In a recent survey, the majority of subnational population forecast users stated that they would like to receive information about population forecast uncertainty (Wilson & Shalley, 2019). Among the methods which quantify uncertainty, Tayman (2011) makes a broad distinction between empirically-based prediction intervals based on analyses of historical forecast errors, and modelbased intervals generated by probabilistic and statistical models. Model-based intervals are quite data-hungry and complex to produce but provide an almost unlimited set of prediction intervals; empirically-based intervals provide less information but require less data and avoid complex model estimation.

Few papers describe probabilistic methods for quantifying local and small area forecast uncertainty. Bayesian methods for population forecasting estimate uncertainty as a natural byproduct of the estimation process (Bryant & Zhang, 2018; Bryant & Graham, 2013). Of the non-Bayesian methods, one early contribution, due to Gullickson and Moen (2001), presented probabilistic population forecasts for one county in Minnesota and the rest of the State. A cohortcomponent model was run 1,000 times using fertility rates from a random walk with drift model, Lee-Carter mortality forecasts, and migration rates obtained by sampling randomly from recent net migration rates. Although relatively simple by today's standards, this was an innovative

contribution at the time. A probabilistic cohort-component model was also created by Cameron and Poot (2011) to produce forecasts of district populations in the Waikato region of New Zealand. To keep the model relatively simple, they multiplied age-specific rates by factors drawn randomly from separate distributions for fertility, mortality, and net migration. These factors were maintained for all age-specific rates throughout the forecast horizon.

In contrast to this simulation approach, Rayer et al. (2009) created empirically-based prediction intervals. To do so, they prepared retrospective forecasts of total population for 2,482 counties in the US over many past decades using seven trend extrapolation methods. Final forecasts were created from a trimmed mean of the five remaining forecasts after excluding the highest and lowest for each area, and their errors were used to estimate 90th percentile predictive intervals for use in later forecasts. The authors found that in most circumstances, the 90th percentile error from one period proved quite accurate in predicting the 90% range of absolute percentage errors obtained in a subsequent period. This empirical approach is applied by the Bureau of Economic and Business Research at the University of Florida to create 75% prediction intervals for county population forecasts in Florida (Rayer & Wang, 2020). The prediction intervals are allocated according to population size and recent growth rate.

Similarly, Wilson et al. (2018) created empirical prediction intervals for total population forecasts from an evaluation of 30 years' worth of local area population forecasts in Australia. 80th percentile intervals were estimated from historical errors, smoothed across population size categories, and then applied to contemporary local area population forecasts based on jump-off year population sizes. Past error distributions were also used to estimate the 'shelf life' of a

36

population forecast to indicate far into the future the forecast could be regarded as still 'suitable for consumption'. It was defined in this case as the number of years into the forecast horizon the 80% prediction interval would remain within 10% absolute percentage error. Up to 10% error was regarded as a reasonable quality forecast.

Summary

We briefly summarise the key contributions of the literature reviewed in the sub-sections above

in Table 1 below.

Methods	Key contributions
Simple extrapolative & comparative methods	 Several old and new extrapolative and comparative methods for forecasting total small area populations work well, e.g., the Linear/Exponential model (linear for +ve growth; exponential for -ve) Others perform poorly and/or are susceptible to generating impossible or implausible forecasts, e.g., the constant growth rate difference model. Findings are not completely consistent between studies.
Hamilton-Perry & other simplified cohort- component methods	 The Hamilton-Perry model generally produces respectable small area age-sex population forecasts for relatively little input data. Forecasting accuracy improves by averaging forecasts with neighbouring small areas, constraining to total population extrapolative forecasts, using a mix of cohort change ratios (for declining populations) and cohort change numbers (for growing populations), and modifying cohort change ratios over time in line with those from a larger population.
Model averaging & composite models	 Several studies show that averaged or composite models for small area population forecasts generally achieve comparable or better forecast accuracy than individual models. Cohort-component models constrained to extrapolative total population forecasts tend to be yield more accurate small area forecasts than unconstrained versions.
Models incorporating socio-economic variables and	• Regression models for forecasting small area total populations from a set of independent variables generally do not produce substantially more accurate forecasts than simple extrapolative models.

Table 1: Key contributions in small area population forecasting methods, 2001-2020

spatial relationships	• Two studies which applied spatial weighting to forecast assumptions or results were found to improve accuracy.
Models linking population & housing	 The data-efficient housing-unit model continues to be used for small area population forecasts by researchers and practitioners. Recent work illustrates how it can be connected to the cohort-component model and a headship rate household model (or similar) to provide internally consistent population and household forecasts. Other approaches link population (or migration) age-sex profiles to housing types, though this work has yet to be fully developed.
'Downscaling' models and disaggregation approaches	 Many studies have applied various 'downscaling' approaches to disaggregate national and large regional population forecasts to small areas, particularly small grid squares. Methods vary from simple extrapolation to complex models which estimate small area development potential. Many use assumptions which considerably simplify reality. Many models have not undergone forecast accuracy assessments.
Small area microsimulation	 Over the last decade a few small area dynamic microsimulation models have been created. They provide rich output detail but at the cost of considerable input data requirements. Short-term forecast accuracy has been reported as reasonable.
Machine learning methods	 Machine learning methods are just beginning to be used in small area demographic forecasting. The few examples to date present mixed results. Further evaluations are required to determine the most appropriate machine learning methods for small area forecasts and the circumstances in which they do better than traditional methods.
Methods for estimating and projecting small area component input data	 Several papers describe how robust small area component rate assumptions can be prepared by borrowing information from elsewhere. Relational models, indirect estimation approaches, and several Bayesian methods have been shown to provide good estimates.
Methods for quantifying small area forecast uncertainty	 Only a few studies have created estimates of forecast uncertainty for small area populations. Some are probabilistic in nature; others are empirically-based with prediction intervals for total population based on past error distributions (which have been shown to provide good estimates of forecast errors).

Source: authors' assessment

Research needs

The review of small area population forecasting methods above documents many useful and novel contributions over the last two decades. But it also underlines the modest size of the literature on this topic, the many gaps and limitations, and the need for more research to enable the production of more accurate and informative small area population forecasts. Table 2 summarises what we consider to be the most important research needs in small area population forecasting.

No.	Research needs
1	More complete, reliable, timely, and geographically consistent past demographic data for small areas to provide a solid foundation for forecasts
2	More research on methods for estimating input fertility, mortality and migration rates for forecasts, especially where there are modified/suppressed cell counts in published data
3	Comprehensive evaluation of forecast accuracy and plausibility of new methods when they are proposed, preferably across many countries, and regular monitoring of previous forecasts
4	Publicly available dataset of small area population data on consistent boundaries for many countries to enable researchers to test new methods in different demographic environments
5	Methods for forecasting the populations of small areas containing zero populations in part of the base period, e.g., new greenfield housing areas
6	Methods for forecasting the changing age-sex structure of small area populations as they undergo major change, e.g., re-development, densification, gentrification, long-run decline, etc.
7	Methods for dealing with major demographic shocks (e.g., COVID-19) in small area forecasts
8	Research to improve forecast accuracy, including by combining and averaging models
9	Investigation of machine learning, spatial statistics, and other recent advances in quantitative social science, and how they might be integrated with existing demographic methods
10	Research on the best ways of obtaining forecasts which are consistent between geographical scales
11	Investigation of the best ways to output consistent forecasts for multiple custom geographies
12	Quantification of forecast uncertainty, and user-friendly approaches for communicating it
13	A better understanding of how forecasts are used in order to improve the usefulness of forecasts and information provided to users

Table 2: Some key research needs in small area population forecasting

14	User-friendly tools/packages/websites for practitioners and researchers	
15	More researchers and forecasting research from countries other than the usual English-	
	speaking western countries, and more researchers from non-demography backgrounds to	
	cross-fertilise ideas.	
Source: authors' assessment		

Source: authors' assessment

Small area population forecasts depend crucially on past demographic data being accurate, complete, and up-to-date. Unfortunately, this is often not the case. Accuracy tends to be lower for small areas than large subnational regions, some small area demographic data are unavailable (often the most important component, migration), and population estimates and components are regularly not published until 1-2 years after the reference date. Small area data also needs to be based on a consistent set of geographical boundaries, preferably the current set, so that recorded demographic change is real and not just an artefact of boundary change (Norman et al., 2003; Rees et al., 2004). Although past demographic data was outside the scope of our review, a prerequisite for improving small area population forecasting is to have accurate, complete and timely past and current small area demographic data. Much of this will require efforts from national statistical offices (perhaps including greater use of linked administrative datasets), but researchers can also contribute methods for geographical data conversion and more up-to-date estimation and nowcasting.

Where small area component data are available, a common challenge is dealing with sparse, noisy, and/or inaccurate data. For forecasting purposes, it is best to forecast smooth underlying rates, not noisy base period data. Our review notes several contributions to estimating and smoothing small area rates, particularly through the application of Bayesian methods. The next step is to refine methods and make user-friendly tools available. An additional challenge arises when available data is perturbed or suppressed in some manner by data providers for

40

confidentiality reasons. More research is needed to devise strategies and methods to recover or estimate data where this issue exists.

It would also be helpful if historical validation routinely occurred when new forecasting methods are proposed. A key question to ask is: if a forecasting method was applied *n* years ago, how well would it have forecast the population for the most recent year we have a population estimate for? And would it have done better than existing methods? While many studies address these questions, some of the forecasting methods covered in the review did not undergo any validation, or were subject to only a very limited evaluation. This was particularly the case for some of the downscaling methods and microsimulation models. Evaluations of new methods can reveal important information about their forecast characteristics, typical forecast accuracy, and whether they improve on existing methods. In addition to historical validation, there has been limited evaluation of 'real' small area population forecasts produced by statistical offices and researchers. This too can reveal important findings about methods that work well, and those that do not. It would be helpful if all such evaluations used a consistent set of error measures to enable comparisons to be made easily between different studies. Ideally, demographers would agree on a recommended standard set of error measures to be applied in all forecast evaluations.

The historical validation of methods would be made much easier if there were publicly available small area demographic datasets. Ideally, the datasets would include population estimates for many commonly used spatial units (e.g., census tracts, wards, SA2 areas) and many countries so that that forecasting methods could be evaluated in a variety of demographic environments. Ideally, the datasets would span several decades of population data on a consistent set of

41

geographical boundaries, and include not just population estimates but also the demographic components of change. Data for several countries might shed light on why different extrapolative and comparative small area forecasting methods proved most accurate in different countries (section 3.1). Small area demographic data covering a useful period of time is currently quite difficult to obtain². We therefore wish to invite researchers and statistical offices to share small area population estimates (where permitted), and accompanying component data time series if available, to be uploaded to the github page at https://demographic-datasets-network.github.io/.

Most small area forecasting methods require some base period data, either to extrapolate past population numbers into the future, or to estimate recent fertility, mortality and migration rates or patterns. But sometimes a small area starts the forecast horizon with a population of zero and no demographic history. This is the case for small areas on a city fringe where construction will begin on a new housing estate in the near future. The housing-unit model could be used to forecast total population numbers, but any age-sex detail will need to be provided by additional data or another model, such as the cohort-component model (along with fertility, mortality and migration assumptions). The literature currently provides little guidance on how such forecasts are best prepared (especially migration rate age profiles), so further research to enable good quality forecasts to be produced for these types of areas would be helpful.

Similarly, many current methods are poorly suited to forecasting the populations of small areas undergoing major changes which are likely to re-shape the area's population size and age structure, such as major housing redevelopment projects or the construction of large-scale

² though large datasets containing grid square population counts do exist, such as the Gridded Population of the World at <u>https://sedac.ciesin.columbia.edu/</u> and the WorldPop project at <u>https://www.worldpop.org/</u>.

institutional accommodation. In addition, many existing modelling approaches cannot easily incorporate major shocks to demographic trends, such as those caused by COVID-19 or the closure of the local area's main employer. Methods which are able to handle these sorts of changes would likely improve the quality and plausibility of small area population forecasts. A related challenge is how to deal with those small area populations, often in rural and remote regions, experiencing sustained long-term decline. Should their populations be forecast to decline for many decades ahead, possibly reaching zero at some point? It would be useful to develop methods which forecast a plausible minimum population size based on theory and past experience.

Forecast accuracy is one of the key concerns of demographers creating new forecasting methods, and our review documents numerous efforts to improve forecast accuracy using a variety of methods. While this is challenging at the small area scale, several studies show that averaging or combining models often lowers forecast errors. Further research along these lines to investigate model combinations not yet tested would seem sensible. In addition, further exploration of machine learning methods, spatial statistics, and Bayesian methods, which are growing in popularity in the social sciences, would be valuable to determine whether forecast accuracy could be improved further. But it is important not to 'throw the baby out with the bathwater'. Our view is that these newer methods are best *combined* with proven existing demographic models, to draw on the strength of both new and established methods.

One of the themes absent in the literature review is how best to produce forecasts which are consistent between geographical scales (Rees, 1994). Small area population forecasts are often

produced as part of a wider set of forecasts at multiple geographical scales (e.g., small area, State/province, and national). A common approach is to apply top-down constraining to the projected subnational demographic components of change in which components for each area are scaled by the same factor. Where components are not projected, an alternative is to adjust population growth using the plus-minus technique for example (Smith et al. 2013). But sometimes considerable amounts of constraining are required, effectively revising assumptions by a substantial margin. An alternative is to apply a bottom-up method in which higher geography forecasts are simply the sum of smaller area forecasts. Although a few methods for ensuring forecast consistency exist (e.g., van Imhoff & Keilman, 1991; Li & Hyndman, 2021), research is needed to find optimal, and ideally simple, ways of creating assumptions and forecasts which are consistent between geographical scales.

Another geography-related challenge is to investigate the best ways to output consistent forecasts for multiple custom geographies. While geographical conversion is relatively straightforward for historical data using various spatial interpolation methods (Comber & Zeng, 2019), the equivalent for forecasts is more complex because population growth is unlikely to be uniform across a small area and ancillary data for interpolation is required for future years. In addition, the geographical conversion of internal migration projections is far from simple because some inter-area flows become intra-area flows, and vice versa. One approach would be to create forecasts for ultra-small areas which can be aggregated on a best-fit basis to most custom geographies, but forecasting ultra-small area populations presents a huge challenge.

44

Also requiring further research is the quantification of forecast uncertainty (Tayman, 2011). Estimates of uncertainty are provided for a few sets of small area forecasts, as the review shows, but mostly uncertainty is not considered. Where measures of uncertainty *are* provided, they are generally empirically-based intervals for total populations only. Much remains to be done to provide users with comprehensive estimates of uncertainty for small population by age and sex and for projected components. A challenge exists in adapting probabilistic methods to cope with the more limited data environment for small areas, and to prevent the forecasting process from becoming very complex and time-consuming. Also needed are user-friendly methods of communicating uncertainty to non-technical users of forecasts (Wilson, 2018).

We hope at least some of the above suggestions for further research are realised in coming years, but it is just as important to translate new research into practical tools. Doing so hugely increases the value of the research. Recent years have seen the creation of many useful demography tools and software packages for practitioners and researchers, some of which are available via the very useful Applied Demography Toolbox website at https://applieddemogtoolbox.github.io/. The development of additional user-friendly small area population forecasting tools and packages, based on new research, would be most helpful.

Another issue which has received little attention is understanding how forecasts are used (Diamond et al, 1990). If demographers know how forecasts are interpreted and used in planning and decision-making, then it should be possible for information about the forecasts, including their strengths and limitations, to be tailored to key user groups. And it might result in greater attention being given to particular aspects of the forecasts when preparing input data and

assumptions, and validating outputs. Research on understanding more about how small area population forecasts are used and interpreted could lead to improvements which enhance their value to users.

Finally, it would be a positive development if more subnational population forecasting research was undertaken in countries other than the usual English-speaking Western countries, and by researchers from those countries. It is certainly the case that our review is dominated by research from North America, the UK and Australia. It might also be beneficial if small area population forecasting research involved more researchers from non-demography backgrounds to crossfertilise ideas from other disciplines.

Conclusions

In this paper we have reviewed the literature on small area population forecasting from the last two decades, and suggested several areas suitable for further research. The scope of our review was fairly tightly defined in terms of small area size, forecasting methods, and publication dates, so we did not include related topics such as derived forecasts, quality assurance, and small area population estimation. Even within the narrow scope of the review, there are inevitably some papers we have missed. However, the limited scope of the review enabled us to cover small area forecasting methods in some detail, and we hope this is of use to researchers and practitioners.

A key conclusion from this review is that there is a discrepancy between the importance of small area population forecasting for planning purposes on the one hand, and the state of the small area forecasting methods toolbox on the other. Small area population forecasts are widely used for planning purposes and influence many substantial investment decisions. Although many important methodological contributions have been made over the last 20 years, research funding and progress lags behind that for national level, and large subnational level, population forecasting. We hope that further research, particularly along the lines of the suggestions made in Table 2, will lead to the development of better forecasting methods, and therefore more accurate and useful small area population forecasts, in the future.

Declarations

Funding

This research was funded by the Australian Government through the through the Australian Research Council's Discovery Projects funding scheme (project DP200101480).

Conflicts of interest

None declared.

Availability of data and material

Not applicable

Code availability

Not applicable

Ethics approval

Ethics approval for this project was granted by the Melbourne School of Population and Global Health (MSPGH) Human Ethics Advisory Group (HEAG), Reference Number: 2020-20575-13335-2.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to authors of papers included in our review for reading over our brief summaries of their papers in the annotated bibliography and making corrections where necessary. Jack

Baker and Eddie Hunsinger kindly provided helpful comments on an earlier draft of the paper.

All errors and omissions, however, remain the authors' responsibility.

References

- Alexander, M., Zagheni, E., & Barbieri, M. (2017). A Flexible Bayesian Model for Estimating Subnational Mortality. *Demography*, 54(6), 2025-2041. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13524-017-0618-7
- Alho, J. M. (2015). Population Forecasts. In J. D. Wright (Ed.), *International Encyclopedia of the Social & Behavioral Sciences (Second Edition)* (pp. 593-596). Oxford: Elsevier.
- Alkema, L., & New, J. R. (2014). Global estimation of child mortality using a Bayesian B-spline bias-reduction model. *The Annals of Applied Statistics*, 8(4), 2122-2149. https://doi.org/10.1214/14-AOAS768
- Alkema, L., Raftery, A. E., Gerland, P., Clark, S. J., Pelletier, F., Buettner, T., & Heilig, G. K.
 (2011). Probabilistic projections of the total fertility rate for all countries. *Demography*, 48(3), 815-839. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13524-011-0040-5
- Alonso González, M. L., Fernández Vázquez, E., & Rubiera Morollón, F. (2015). A methodological note for local demographic projections: A shift-share analysis to disaggregate official aggregated estimations. *Revista Electrónica de Comunicaciones y Trabajos de Asepuma, 16*(1), 43-50.
- Anson, J. (2018). Estimating local mortality tables for small areas: An application using Belgian sub-arrondissements. *Quetelet Journal*, 6(1), 73-97.
 https://doi.org/10.14428/rqj2018.06.01.04
- Assunção, R. M., Schmertmann, C. P., Potter, J. E., & Cavenaghi, S. M. (2005). Empirical Bayes estimation of demographic schedules for small areas. *Demography*, 42(3), 537-558. https://doi.org/doi.org/10.1353/dem.2005.0022

- Athukorala, W., Neelawela, P., Wilson, C., Miller, E., Sahama, T., Grace, P., Hefferan, M.,
 Dissanayake, P., & Manawadu, O. (2010). Forecasting Population Changes and Service
 Requirements in the Regions: A Study of Two Regional Councils in Queensland,
 Australia. *Economic Analysis and Policy*, 40(3), 327-349. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0313-5926(10)50033-X
- Azose, J. J., & Raftery, A. E. (2015). Bayesian Probabilistic Projection of International Migration. *Demography*, 52(5), 1627-1650. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13524-015-0415-0
- Baker, J., Alcántara, A., Ruan, X., Watkins, K., & Vasan, S. (2014). Spatial weighting improves accuracy in small-area demographic forecasts of urban census tract populations. *Journal* of Population Research, 31(4), 345-359. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12546-014-9137-1
- Baker, J., Ruan, X., Alcantara, A., Jones, T., Watkins, K., McDaniel, M., Frey, M., Crouse, N., Rajbhandari, R., Morehouse, J., Sanchez, J., Inglis, M., Baros, S., Penman, S., Morrison, S., Budge, T., & Stallcup, W. (2008). Density-dependence in urban housing unit growth: An evaluation of the Pearl-Reed model for predicting housing unit stock at the census tract level. *Journal of Economic and Social Measurement, 33*(2-3), 155-163. https://doi.org/10.3233/JEM-2008-0301
- Baker, J., Swanson, D. A., Tayman, J., & Tedrow, L. M. (2017). Cohort Change Ratios and their Applications. Springer International Publishing.
- Baker, J., Swanson, D., & Tayman, J. (2020). The Accuracy of Hamilton–Perry Population Projections for Census Tracts in the United States. *Population Research and Policy Review*, 1-14. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11113-020-09601-y

- Ballas, D., Clarke, G. P., & Wiemers, E. (2005). Building a dynamic spatial microsimulation model for Ireland. *Population, Space and Place, 11*(3), 157-172. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/psp.359</u>
- Ballas, D., Rossiter, D., Thomas, B., Clarke, G., & Dorling, D. (2005). *Geography Matters:* Simulating the Local Impacts of National Social Policies. Joseph Rowntree Foundation.
- Bates, J. M., & Granger, C. W. (1969). The combination of forecasts. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 20(4), 451-468. https://doi.org/10.1057/jors.1969.103
- Belgiu, M., Drăguţ, L. J. (2016). Random forest in remote sensing: A review of applications and future directions. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 114, 24-31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2016.01.011
- Bell, M. (1997). Small Area Forecasting for Infrastructure Planning: Towards a Better Practice.Department of Industry, Science and Tourism, Canberra.
- Bertino, S., Casacchia, O., & Crisci, M. (2014). Stochastic population projections: an application to the Rome Metropolitan Area. In M. Marsili & G. Capacci (Eds.) *Proceedings of the* 6th Eurostat/UNECE Work Session on Demographic Projections (pp. 216-229). National Institute of Statistics, Rome, Italy.
- Boke-Olén, N., Abdi, A. M., Hall, O., & Lehsten, V. (2017). High-resolution African population projections from radiative forcing and socio-economic models, 2000 to 2100. *Scientific Data*, 4(1), 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2016.130
- Booth, H. (2006). Demographic forecasting: 1980 to 2005 in review. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 22(3), 547-581. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijforecast.2006.04.001

- Breidenbach, P., Kaeding, M., & Schaffner, S. (2019). Population projection for Germany 2015–2050 on grid level (RWI-GEO-GRID-POP-Forecast). *Jahrbücher für Nationalökonomie und Statistik, 239*(4), 733-745. https://doi.org/10.1515/jbnst-2017-0149
- Bryan, T. (2004). Chapter 20 Population estimates. In J. S. Siegel & D. A. Swanson (Eds.), *The Methods and Materials of Demography* (2 ed., pp. 523-560): Elsevier Academic Press.
- Bryant, J., & Zhang, J. L. (2016). Bayesian forecasting of demographic rates for small areas: emigration rates by age, sex, and region in New Zealand, 2014-2038. *Statistica Sinica* 26, 1337-1363. https://doi.org/10.5705/ss.2014.200t
- Bryant, J., & Zhang, J.L. (2018). *Bayesian Demographic Estimation and Forecasting (1st ed.)*. CRC Press. https://doi.org/10.1201/9780429452987
- Bryant, J., R., & Graham, P., J. (2013). Bayesian Demographic Accounts: Subnational
 Population Estimation Using Multiple Data Sources. *Bayesian Analysis*, 8(3), 591-622.
 https://doi.org/10.1214/13-BA820
- Cameron, M. P., & Cochrane, W. (2017). Using land-use modelling to statistically downscale population projections to small areas. *Australasian Journal of Regional Studies*, 23(2), 195.
- Cameron, M. P., & Poot, J. (2011). Lessons from stochastic small-area population projections: The case of Waikato subregions in New Zealand. *Journal of Population Research*, 28(2-3), 245-265. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12546-011-9056-3
- Chen, Y., Li, X., Huang, K., Luo, M., & Gao, M. (2020). High-Resolution Gridded Population Projections for China Under the Shared Socioeconomic Pathways. *Earth's Future*, 8(6), e2020EF001491. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020EF001491

- Chi, G. (2009). Can knowledge improve population forecasts at subcounty levels? *Demography*, *46*(2), 405-427. https://doi.org/10.1353/dem.0.0059
- Chi, G., & Voss, P. R. (2011). Small-area population forecasting: Borrowing strength across space and time. *Population, Space and Place, 17*(5), 505-520. https://doi.org/10.1002/psp.617
- Chi, G., & Wang, D. (2017). Small-area population forecasting: a geographically weighted regression approach. In D. A. Swanson (Ed.), *The Frontiers of Applied Demography* (pp. 449-471). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-43329-5_21
- Chi, G., & Zhu, J. (2008). Spatial Regression Models for Demographic Analysis. *Population Research and Policy Review*, 27(1), 17-42. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11113-007-9051-8
- Chi, G., Zhou, X., & Voss, P. R. (2011). Small-area population forecasting in an urban setting: a spatial regression approach. *Journal of Population Research*, 28(2-3), 185-201. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12546-011-9053-6
- Clemen, R. T. (1989). Combining forecasts: A review and annotated bibliography. *International Journal of Forecasting*, *5*(4), 559-583. https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-2070(89)90012-5
- Comber, A., & Zeng, W. (2019). Spatial interpolation using areal features: A review of methods and opportunities using new forms of data with coded illustrations. *Geography Compass* 13(10), e12465. https://doi.org/10.1111/gec3.12465
- Congdon, P. (2009). Life expectancies for small areas: A Bayesian random effects methodology. *International Statistical Review*, 77(2), 222-240. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-5823.2009.00080.x

- Congdon, P. (2014). Estimating life expectancies for US small areas: a regression framework. *Journal of Geographical Systems, 16*(1), 1-18. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10109-013-0177-4
- de Beer, J. (2012). Smoothing and projecting age-specific probabilities of death by TOPALS. *Demographic Research*, 27(20), 543-592. https://doi.org/10.4054/DemRes.2012.27.20
- Diamond, I., Tesfaghiorghis, H., & Joshi, H. (1990). The uses and users of population projections in Australia. *Journal of the Australian Population Association*, 7(2), 151-170. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03029362
- Dittgen, A., & Dutreuilh, C. (2005). Housing and household size in local population dynamics. *Population (English Edition, 2002-), 60*(3), 259-298. https://doi.org/10.2307/4148195
- Dockery, A. M., Harris, M. N., Holyoak, N., & Singh, R. B. (2020). A methodology for projecting sparse populations and its application to remote Indigenous communities. *Journal of Geographical Systems*, 1-25. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10109-020-00329-z
- Dyrting, S. (2020). Smoothing migration intensities with P-TOPALS. *Demographic Research*, 43, 1527-1570. https://doi.org/10.4054/DemRes.2020.43.55
- Feng, Q., Wang, Z., Choi, S., & Zeng, Y. (2020). Forecast Households at the County Level: An Application of the ProFamy Extended Cohort-Component Method in Six Counties of Southern California, 2010 to 2040. *Population Research and Policy Review*, 39(2), 253-281. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11113-019-09531-4

Foss, W. (2002). Small area population forecasting. *The Appraisal Journal*, 70(2), 163-172.

Franzén, M., & Karlsson, T. (2010). Using national data to obtain small area estimators for population projections on sub-national level. Paper presented at the Conference of

European Statisticians, Lisbon, Portugal.

https://www.unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/stats/documents/ece/ces/ge.11/2010/sp.2.e.pdf

- Georganos, S., Grippa, T., Vanhuysse, S., Lennert, M., Shimoni, M., Kalogirou, S., & Wolff, E.
 (2018). Less is more: optimizing classification performance through feature selection in a very-high-resolution remote sensing object-based urban application. *GIScience & Remote Sensing*, 55(2), 221-242. https://doi.org/10.1080/15481603.2017.1408892
- GLA. (2018). Projected Demand for School Places. London: Greater London Authority. Retrieved from https://data.london.gov.uk/dataset/pan-london-school-place-demand
- Gonzaga, M. R., & Schmertmann, C. P. (2016). Estimating age-and sex-specific mortality rates for small areas with TOPALS regression: an application to Brazil in 2010. *Revista Brasileira de Estudos de População, 33*(3), 629-652. https://doi.org/10.20947/S0102-30982016c0009
- Goodwin, P. (2009). New evidence on the value of combining forecasts. *Foresight: The International Journal of Applied Forecasting*(12), 33-35.
- Grip, R. S., & Grip, M. L. (2020). Using Multiple Methods to Provide Prediction Bands of K-12 Enrollment Projections. *Population Research and Policy Review*, 39(1), 1-22. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11113-019-09533-2
- Gu, H., Lao, X., & Shen, T. (2020). Research Progress on Spatial Demography. In X. Ye & H.
 Lin (Eds.), *Spatial Synthesis: Computational Social Science and Humanities* (pp. 125-145). Springer International Publishing.
- Gullickson, A., & Moen, J. (2001). The use of stochastic methods in local area population forecasts. Paper prepared for the annual meeting of the Population Association of America, Washington DC.

- Hachadoorian, L., Gaffin, S. R., & Engelman, R. (2011). Projecting a gridded population of the world using ratio methods of trend extrapolation. In R. P. Cincotta, & L. J. Gorenflo (Eds.) *Human Population: Its Influences on Biological Diversity* (pp. 13-25). Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.
- Hamilton, C. H., & Perry, J. (1962). A short method for projecting population by age from one decennial census to another. *Social Forces*, 41(2), 163-170. https://doi.org/10.2307/2573607
- Hansen, H.S. (2010). Small-Area Population Projections A Key Element in Knowledge Based
 e-Governance. In K.N. Andersen, E. Francesconi, A. Grönlund, & T.M. van Engers
 (Eds.), EGOVIS 2010. Lecture Notes in Computer Science: Vol. 6267. Electronic
 Government and the Information Systems Perspective (pp. 32-46). Springer, Berlin,
 Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-15172-9_4
- Harding, A., Vidyattama, Y., & Tanton, R. (2011). Demographic change and the needs-based planning of government services: Projecting small area populations using spatial microsimulation. *Journal of Population Research*, 28(2-3), 203-224. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12546-011-9061-6
- Harzing, A. (2007). Publish or perish software [Computer software]. Retrieved from https://harzing.com/resources/publish-or-perish
- Hauer, M. E. (2017) Migration induced by sea-level rise could reshape the US population landscape. *Nature Climate Change*, 7, 321-325. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3271
- Hauer, M. E. (2019). Population projections for US counties by age, sex, and race controlled to shared socioeconomic pathway. *Scientific Data*, 6(1), 1-15. https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2019.5

- Hauer, M. E., Evans, J. M., & Alexander, C. R. (2015). Sea-level rise and sub-county population projections in coastal Georgia. *Population and Environment*, 37(1), 44-62. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11111-015-0233-8
- Hauer, M. E., Evans, J. M., & Mishra, D. R. (2016). Millions projected to be at risk from sealevel rise in the continental United States. *Nature Climate Change*, *6*, 691-695. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2961
- Hu, L., He, S., Han, Z., Xiao, H., Su, S., Weng, M., & Cai, Z. (2019). Monitoring housing rental prices based on social media: An integrated approach of machine-learning algorithms and hedonic modeling to inform equitable housing policies. *Land Use Policy*, 82, 657-673. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2018.12.030
- Inoue, T. (2017). A new method for estimating small area demographics and its application to long-term population projection. In D. A. Swanson (Ed.), *The Frontiers of Applied Demography* (pp. 473-489). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-43329-5_22
- Isserman, A. M. (1977). The accuracy of population projections for subcounty areas. *Journal of the american Institute of Planners*, 43(3), 247-259. https://doi.org/10.1080/01944367708977786
- Jiang, B., Jin, H., Liu, N., Quirk, M., and Searle, B. (2007). A HMM-based hierarchical framework for long-term population projection of small areas. In M. A. Orgun and J. Thornton (Eds.), *AI 2007: Advances in Artificial Intelligence*. AI 2007. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 4830 (pp. 694-698). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-76928-6_77
- Jonker, M. F., van Lenthe, F. J., Congdon, P. D., Donkers, B., Burdorf, A., & Mackenbach, J. P. (2012). Comparison of Bayesian Random-Effects and Traditional Life Expectancy

Estimations in Small-Area Applications. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 176(10), 929-937. https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kws152

- Kanaroglou, P. S., Maoh, H. F., Newbold, B., Scott, D. M., & Paez, A. (2009). A demographic model for small area population projections: an application to the Census Metropolitan Area of Hamilton in Ontario, Canada. *Environment and Planning A: Economy and Space*, *41*(4). 964-979. https://doi.org/10.1068/a40172
- Keilman, N. (2018). Probabilistic demographic forecasts. Vienna Yearbook of Population Research, 16, 25-36. https://doi.org/10.1553/populationyearbook2018s025
- Keilman, N. (2020). Uncertainty in Population Forecasts for the Twenty-First Century. Annual Review of Resource Economics, 12(1), 449-470. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurevresource-110319-114841
- Leknes, S., & Løkken, S. A. (2020). Empirical Bayes estimation of local demographic rates. An application using Norwegian registry data. Statistics Norway. https://www.ssb.no/en/befolkning/artikler-og-publikasjoner/empirical-bayes-estimationof-local-demographic-rates
- Li, H., & Hyndman, R. J. (2021). Assessing mortality inequality in the U.S.: What can be said about the future? *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, 99, 152-162. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.insmatheco.2021.03.014

Li, N., & Lee, R. (2005). Coherent mortality forecasts for a group of populations: An extension of the Lee-Carter method. *Demography*, 42(3), 575-594. https://doi.org/10.1353/dem.2005.0021

- Li, T., & Corcoran, J. (2011). Testing dasymetric techniques to spatially disaggregate the regional population forecasts for South East Queensland. *Journal of Spatial Science*, 56(2), 203-221. https://doi.org/10.1080/14498596.2011.623343
- Li, Y., Huang, C., Ding, L., Li, Z., Pan, Y., & Gao, X. (2019). Deep learning in bioinformatics: Introduction, application, and perspective in the big data era. *Methods*, 166, 4-21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2019.04.008
- Lomax, N., & Smith, A. (2017). Microsimulation for demography. *Australian Population Studies*, 1(1), 73-85. https://doi.org/10.37970/aps.v1i1.14
- Makridakis, S., Spiliotis, E., & Assimakopoulos, V. (2018). Statistical and Machine Learning forecasting methods: Concerns and ways forward. *PloS One*, *13*(3), e0194889. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0194889
- Marois, G., & Bélanger, A. (2014). Microsimulation Model Projecting Small Area Populations
 Using Contextual Variables: An Application to the Montreal Metropolitan Area, 2006-2031. *International Journal of Microsimulation*, 7(1), 158-193.
 https://doi.org/10.34196/ijm.00097
- Marois, G., & Bélanger, A. (2015). Analyzing the impact of urban planning on population distribution in the Montreal metropolitan area using a small-area microsimulation projection model. *Population and Environment*, 37(2), 131-156. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11111-015-0234-7
- Marshall, A., & Simpson, L. (2009). Population sustainability in rural communities: The case of two British national parks. *Applied Spatial Analysis and Policy*, 2(2), 107-127. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12061-008-9017-1

- Matthews, S. A., & Parker, D. M. (2013). Progress in spatial demography. *Demographic Research*, 28, 271. https://doi.org/10.4054/DemRes.2013.28.10
- Mazzuco, S., & Keilman, N. (Eds.) (2020). *Developments in Demographic Forecasting*: Springer, Cham.

McKee, J. J., Rose, A. N., Bright, E. A., Huynh, T., & Bhaduri, B. L. (2015). Locally adaptive, spatially explicit projection of US population for 2030 and 2050. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *112*(5), 1344-1349.
 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1405713112

- Merkens, J. L., Reimann, L., Hinkel, J., & Vafeidis, A. T. (2016). Gridded population projections for the coastal zone under the Shared Socioeconomic Pathways. *Global and Planetary Change*, 145, 57-66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2016.08.009
- Myers, D. (1990). *Housing demography: Linking demographic structure and housing markets:* University of Wisconsin Press.
- Nassif, A. B., Shahin, I., Attili, I., Azzeh, M., & Shaalan, K. (2019). Speech recognition using deep neural networks: A systematic review. *IEEE access*, 7, 19143-19165. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2896880
- Nawaz, R., Rees, P., Clark, S., Mitchell, G., McDonald, A., Kalamandeen, M. Lambert, C., & Henderson, R. (2019). Long-term projections of domestic water demand: a case study of London and the Thames Valley. *Journal of Water Resource Planning & Management*, 2019, 145(11): 05019017. DOI: 10.1061/(ASCE)WR.1943-5452.0001088
- Norman, P., Rees, P., & Boyle, P. (2003). Achieving data compatibility over space and time: creating consistent geographical zones. *International Journal of Population Geography* 9(5), 365-386. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijpg.294

- O'Neill, B., Kriegler, E., Riahi, K., Ebi, K., Hallegatte, S., Carter, T., Mathur, R., van Vuuren, D. (2014). A new scenario framework for climate change research: the concept of shared socioeconomic pathways. *Climate Change* 122, 387–400.
- Openshaw, S., & Van Der Knaap, G. (1983). Small area population forecasting: some experience with British models. *Tijdschrift voor economische en sociale geografie*, *74*(4), 291-304. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9663.1983.tb00976.x
- Pearl, R., & Reed, L. J. (1920). On the rate of growth of the population of the United States since 1790 and its mathematical representation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 6(6), 275. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.6.6.275
- Portland State University Population Research Center. (2015). *Methods and Data for Developing Coordinated Population Forecasts*. Retrieved from https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/prc_pub/32/
- Pritchett, H. S. (1891). A formula for predicting the population of the United States. *Publications of the American Statistical Association*, 2(14), 278-286. https://doi.org/10.1080/15225437.1891.10504009
- QGSO. (2018). Queensland Government population projections, 2018 edition: Methodology and assumptions. Queensland: Queensland Treasury Retrieved from https://www.qgso.qld.gov.au/issues/2676/qld-government-population-projections-methodology-assumptions-2018-edn.pdf
- Raftery, A. E., Li, N., Ševčíková, H., Gerland, P., & Heilig, G. K. (2012). Bayesian probabilistic population projections for all countries. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 109*(35), 13915-13921. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1211452109

- Rayer, S. (2008). Population Forecast Errors: A Primer for Planners. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, 27(4), 417-430. https://doi.org/10.1177/0739456x07313925
- Rayer, S. (2015). Demographic Techniques: Small-area estimates and projections. In J. D Wright (Ed.), *International Encyclopedia of the Social & Behavioral Sciences (2nd ed., pp. 162-169)*. Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-097086-8.31015-7

Rayer, S., & Smith, S. K. (2010). Factors affecting the accuracy of subcounty population forecasts. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, 30(2), 147-161. https://doi.org/10.1177/0739456X10380056

Rayer, S., & Wang, Y. (2020). Projections of Florida Population by County, 2020–2045, with Estimates for 2019. *Bureau of Economic and Business Research*, 53(186), 1 - 9.

Rayer, S., Smith, S. K., & Tayman, J. (2009). Empirical prediction intervals for county population forecasts. *Population Research and Policy Review*, 28(6), 773. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11113-009-9128-7

- Raymer, J., Bonaguidi, A., & Valentini, A. (2006). Describing and projecting the age and spatial structures of interregional migration in Italy. *Population, Space and Place, 12*(5), 371-388. https://doi.org/10.1002/psp.414
- Rees, P. (1994). *Key issues in subnational projection models*. University of Leeds, School of Geography.
- Rees, P., & Turton, I. (1998). Geocomputation: solving geographical problems with new computing power. *Environment and Planning A*, *30*, 1835-1838.
- Rees, P., Clark, S., Wohland, P., & Kalamandeen, M. (2019). Evaluation of Sub-National
 Population Projections: a Case Study for London and the Thames Valley. *Applied Spatial Analysis and Policy*, *12*(4), 797-829. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12061-018-9270-x

- Rees, P., Norman, P., & Brown, D. (2004). A framework for progressively improving small area population estimates. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series A (Statistics in Society)*, 167(1), 5-36. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-985X.2004.00289.x
- Rees, P., Wohland, R., Norman, P., & Lomax, N. (2015). Sub-national projection methods for Scotland and Scottish areas: A review and recommendations. In A Consultancy for National Records of Scotland, Edinburgh.
- Reinhold, M., & Thomsen, S. L. (2015). Subnational population projections by age: An evaluation of combined forecast techniques. *Population Research and Policy Review*, 34(4), 593-613. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11113-015-9362-0
- Riiman, V., Wilson, A., Milewicz, R., & Pirkelbauer, P. (2019). Comparing Artificial Neural Network and Cohort-Component Models for Population Forecasts. *Population Review*, 58(2), 100-116. https://doi.org/10.1353/prv.2019.0008
- Scherbov, S., & Ediev, D. (2011). Significance of life table estimates for small populations: Simulation-based study of standard errors. *Demographic Research*, 24(22), 527-550. https://doi.org/10.4054/DemRes.2011.24.22
- Schmertmann, C. P., & Hauer, M. E. (2019). Bayesian estimation of total fertility from a population's age–sex structure. *Statistical Modelling*, *19*(3), 225-247. https://doi.org/10.1177/1471082X18801450
- Schmertmann, C. P., Cavenaghi, S. M., Assunção, R. M., & Potter, J. E. (2013). Bayes plus
 Brass: estimating total fertility for many small areas from sparse census data. *Population Studies*, 67(3), 255-273. https://doi.org/10.1080/00324728.2013.795602
- Schmitt, R. C., & Crosetti, A. H. (1951). Accuracy of the ratio method for forecasting city population. *Land Economics*, 27(4), 346-348. https://doi.org/10.2307/3159671

- Shang, H. L., & Booth, H. (2020). Synergy in fertility forecasting: improving forecast accuracy through model averaging. *Genus*, 76(1), 1-23. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41118-020-00099y
- Silver, D., Hubert, T., Schrittwieser, J., Antonoglou, I., Lai, M., Guez, A., . . . Hassabis, D.
 (2018). A general reinforcement learning algorithm that masters chess, shogi, and Go through self-play. *Science*, *362*(6419), 1140-1144.
 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aar6404
- Simpson, L. (2002). Geography conversion tables: a framework for conversion of data between geographical units. *International Journal of Population Geography*, 8(1), 69-82. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijpg.235
- Simpson, L. (2017). Integrated local demographic forecasts constrained by the supply of housing or jobs: Practice in the UK. In Swanson, D. A. (ed.) *The Frontiers of Applied Demography* (pp. 329-350): Springer.
- Simpson, L., & Snowling, H. (2011). Estimation of local demographic variation in a flexible framework for population projections. *Journal of Population Research*, 28(2-3), 109-127. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12546-011-9060-7
- Smith, S. K. (1987). Tests of forecast accuracy and bias for county population projections. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 82(400), 991-1003. https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1987.10478528
- Smith, S. K., & Shahidullah, M. (1995). An evaluation of population projection errors for census tracts. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 90(429), 64-71. https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1995.10476489

- Smith, S. K., Tayman, J., & Swanson, D. A. (2013). A Practitioner's Guide to State and Local Population Projections. Springer.
- Statistics Netherlands. (2020). Forecast: Population growth unabated in the next 50 years. Retrieved from https://www.cbs.nl/en-gb/news/2020/51/forecast-population-growthunabated-in-the-next-50-years
- Statistics New Zealand. (2020). National population projections: 2020(base)–2073. Retrieved from https://www.stats.govt.nz/information-releases/national-population-projections-2020base2073
- Stimson, R., Bell, M., Corcoran, J., & Pullar, D. (2012). Using a large scale urban model to test planning scenarios in the Brisbane-South East Queensland region. *Regional Science Policy & Practice*, 4(4), 373-392. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1757-7802.2012.01082.x
- Striessnig, E., Gao, J., O'Neill, B. C., & Jiang, L. (2019). Empirically based spatial projections of US population age structure consistent with the shared socioeconomic pathways. *Environmental Research Letters*, 14(11), 114038. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab4a3a
- Swanson, D. A., & Tayman, J. (2012). Housing Unit Method. In Subnational Population Estimates (pp. 137-163). Springer.
- Swanson, D. A., & Tayman, J. (2014). Measuring uncertainty in population forecasts: a new approach. In M. Marsili & G. Capacci (Eds.) *Proceedings of the 6th Eurostat/UNECE Work Session on Demographic Projections* (pp. 203-215). National Institute of Statistics, Rome, Italy.

- Swanson, D. A., Schlottmann, A., & Schmidt, B. (2010). Forecasting the population of census tracts by age and sex: An example of the Hamilton–Perry method in action. *Population Research and Policy Review*, 29(1), 47-63. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11113-009-9144-7
- Tanton, R. (2014). A review of spatial microsimulation methods. *International Journal of Microsimulation*, 7(1), 4-25.
- Tanton, R., & Edwards, K. (2013). Spatial Microsimulation: A Reference Guide for Users (Vol.6): Springer Science & Business Media.
- Tayman, J. (2011). Assessing uncertainty in small area forecasts: State of the practice and implementation strategy. *Population Research and Policy Review*, 30(5), 781-800. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11113-011-9210-9
- Tayman, J., & Swanson, D. A. (2017). Using modified cohort change and child-woman ratios in the Hamilton–Perry forecasting method. *Journal of Population Research*, 34(3), 209-231. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12546-017-9190-7
- Tayman, J., Smith, S. K., & Lin, J. (2007). Precision, bias, and uncertainty for state population forecasts: An exploratory analysis of time series models. *Population Research and Policy Review*, 26(3), 347-369. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11113-007-9034-9
- Tobler, W. R. (1970). A computer movie simulating urban growth in the Detroit region. *Economic Geography*, *46*(sup1), 234-240. https://doi.org/10.2307/143141

UN. (2019). World Population Prospects 2019. New York: United Nations.

Van Imhoff, E., & Keilman, N. (1991). LIPRO 2.0: an application of a dynamic demographic projection model to household structure in the Netherlands. Amsterdam: Swets & Zeitlinger. https://www.uio.no/studier/emner/sv/oekonomi/ECON1730/h10/undervisningsmateriale/ nidicbgs-publ-23.pdf

- van Imhoff, E., & Post, W. (1998). Microsimulation Methods for Population Projection. *Population: An English Selection*, *10*(1), 97-138.
- Vasan, S., Baker, J., & Alcántara, A. (2018). Use of Kernel Density and Raster Manipulation in GIS to Predict Population in New Mexico Census Tracts. *Review of Economics Finance*, 14, 25-38.
- Vidyattama, Y., & Tanton, R. (2010). Projecting Small Area Statistics with Australian Microsimulation Model (SPATIALMSM). *Australasian Journal of Regional Studies*, 16(1), 99-126.
- Voss, P. R. (2007). Demography as a Spatial Social Science. *Population Research and Policy Review*, 26(5), 457-476. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11113-007-9047-4
- Voulodimos, A., Doulamis, N., Doulamis, A., & Protopapadakis, E. (2018). Deep learning for computer vision: A brief review. *Computational intelligence and neuroscience*, 2018. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/7068349
- Wakefield, J., Fuglstad, G.-A., Riebler, A., Godwin, J., Wilson, K., & Clark, S. J. (2019).
 Estimating under-five mortality in space and time in a developing world context. *Statistical Methods in Medical Research*, 28(9), 2614-2634.
 https://doi.org/10.1177/0962280218767988

Walters, A., & Cai, Q. (2008). Investigating the use of holt-winters time series model for forecasting population at the state and sub-state levels. Paper prepared for the Population Association of America 2008 Annual Meeting Program, New Orleans, LA. https://paa2008.princeton.edu/papers/80184

- Weber, H. (2020). How Well Can the Migration Component of Regional Population Change be Predicted? A Machine Learning Approach Applied to German Municipalities. *Comparative Population Studies*, 45. https://doi.org/10.12765/CPoS-2020-08
- White, H. R. (1954). Empirical study of the accuracy of selected methods of projecting state populations. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 49(267), 480-498. https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1954.10483516
- Wilson, T. (2011). *A review of sub-regional population projection methods*. Queensland Centre for Population Research, The University of Queensland.
- Wilson, T. (2014). Simplifying Local Area Population and Household Projections with POPART. In M. N. Hoque & L. B. Potter (Eds.), *Emerging Techniques in Applied Demography* (pp. 25-38). Springer Netherlands. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-8990-5_3
- Wilson, T. (2015). New evaluations of simple models for small area population forecasts. *Population, Space and Place, 21*(4), 335-353. https://doi.org/10.1002/psp.1847
- Wilson, T. (2016). Evaluation of alternative cohort-component models for local area population forecasts. *Population Research Policy Review*, 35(2), 241-261. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11113-015-9380-y
- Wilson, T. (2017a). A checklist for reviewing draft population projections. Charles Darwin University. https://researchers.cdu.edu.au/en/publications/a-checklist-for-reviewing-draftpopulation-projections
- Wilson, T. (2017b). Does averaging yield more accurate local and regional population forecasts? *Applied Spatial Analysis and Policy*, *10*(4), 497-513. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12061-016-9194-2

 Wilson, T. (2018). Communicating population forecast uncertainty using perishable food terminology. *Research Briefs*, (RB03/2018), 1-15.
 http://www.cdu.edu.au/sites/default/files/research-brief-2018-03_0.pdf

- Wilson, T., & Bell, M. (2007). Probabilistic regional population forecasts: The example of Queensland, Australia. *Geographical Analysis*, 39(1), 1-25. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1538-4632.2006.00693.x
- Wilson, T., & Rees, P. (2005). Recent developments in population projection methodology: A review. *Population, Space and Place, 11*(5), 337-360. https://doi.org/10.1002/psp.389
- Wilson, T., & Rowe, F. (2011). The forecast accuracy of local government area population projections: a case study of Queensland. *The Australasian Journal of Regional Studies*, 17(2), 204-243
- Wilson, T., & Shalley, F. (2019). Subnational population forecasts: Do users want to know about uncertainty? *Demographic Research*, 41, 367-392. https://doi.org/10.4054/DemRes.2019.41.13
- Wilson, T., Brokensha, H., Rowe, F., & Simpson, L. (2018). Insights from the evaluation of past local area population forecasts. *Population Research Policy Review*, 37(1), 137-155. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11113-017-9450-4
- Wisniowski, A., & Raymer, J. (2016). Bayesian multiregional population forecasting: England. Joint Eurostat/UNECE Work Session on Demographic Projections, Geneva, 18-20.
- Wu, B. M., Birkin, M. H., & Rees, P. H. (2011). A Dynamic MSM With Agent Elements for Spatial Demographic Forecasting. *Social Science Computer Review*, 29(1), 145-160. https://doi.org/10.1177/0894439310370113

- Wu, B., & Birkin, M. (2013). Moses: A Dynamic Spatial Microsimulation Model for Demographic Planning. In R. Tanton & K. Edwards (Eds.), *Spatial Microsimulation: A Reference Guide for Users* (pp. 171-193). Springer Netherlands.
- Wu, Y., Schuster, M., Chen, Z., Le, Q., Macherey, W., Krikun, M., Cao, Y., Gao, Q., Macherey, K., Klingner, J., Shah, A., Johnson, M., Liu, X., Kaiser, Ł., Gouws, S., Kato, Y., Kudo, T., Kazawa, H., Stevens, K., . . . Dean, J. (2016). Google's Neural Machine Translation System: Bridging the Gap between Human and Machine Translation. *arXiv preprint*, https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.08144.
- Xanthos, G., Ladias, C. A., & Genitsaropoulos, C. (2013). A method for forecasting population changes in alpine, semi-alpine and lowland communities of Epirus region in Greece. *Regional Science Inquiry Journal*, 1, 173-179.
- Zhang, J. L., & Bryant, J. (2020). Bayesian disaggregated forecasts: internal migration in Iceland. In S. Mazzuco, & N. Keilman (Eds.), *Developments in Demographic Forecasting* (pp. 193-215). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-42472-5_10
- Zoraghein, H., & O'Neill, B. C. (2020b). US State-level Projections of the Spatial Distribution of Population Consistent with Shared Socioeconomic Pathways. *Sustainability*, 12(8), 3374. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12083374
- Zoraghein, H., & O'Neill, B. C. (2020a). A spatial population downscaling model for integrated human-environment analysis in the United States. *Demographic Research*, 43, 1563-1606. https://doi.org/10.4054/DemRes.2020.43.54