## UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of Toward a coordinated understanding of hydro-biogeochemical root functions in tropical forests for application in vegetation models.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/211418/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

#### Article:

Cusack, D.F. orcid.org/0000-0003-4681-7449, Christoffersen, B. orcid.org/0000-0002-4890-9999, Smith-Martin, C.M. orcid.org/0000-0002-6557-1432 et al. (23 more authors) (2024) Toward a coordinated understanding of hydro-biogeochemical root functions in tropical forests for application in vegetation models. New Phytologist, 242 (2). pp. 351-371. ISSN 0028-646X

https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.19561

© 2024 The Authors New Phytologist © 2024 New Phytologist Foundation. This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Cusack, D.F., Christoffersen, B., Smith-Martin, C.M. et al. (23 more authors) (2024) Toward a coordinated understanding of hydrobiogeochemical root functions in tropical forests for application in vegetation models. New Phytologist, 242 (2). pp. 351-371. ISSN 0028-646X, which has been published in final form at https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.19561. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions. This article may not be enhanced, enriched or otherwise transformed into a derivative work, without express permission from Wiley or by statutory rights under applicable legislation. Copyright notices must not be removed, obscured or modified. The article must be linked to Wilev's version of record on Wilev Online Library and any **Replaced** ding, framing or otherwise making available the article or pages thereof by third bentisete forsine obla tionina Rose raissea rain of wiebsites other oth indigate the wise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

#### Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/ New Phytologist Supporting Information Notes S1

## Article title: Toward a coordinated understanding of tropical forest hydro-biogeochemical root functions for application to vegetation models

Authors:

Daniela F. Cusack<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Bradley Christoffersen<sup>3</sup>, Chris M. Smith-Martin<sup>4</sup>, Kelly M. Andersen<sup>5</sup>, Amanda L. Cordeiro<sup>1,2</sup>, Katrin Fleischer<sup>6</sup>, S. Joseph Wright<sup>2</sup>, Nathaly R. Guerrero-Ramírez<sup>7,8</sup>, Laynara F. Lugli<sup>9</sup>, Lindsay A. McCulloch<sup>10,11</sup>, Mareli Sanchez<sup>12</sup>, Sarah A. Batterman<sup>13,14,2</sup>, Caroline Dallstream<sup>15</sup>, Claire Fortunel<sup>16</sup>, Laura Toro<sup>17</sup>, Lucia Fuchslueger<sup>18</sup>, Michelle Y. Wong<sup>13,19</sup>, Daniela Yaffar<sup>20</sup>, Joshua B. Fisher<sup>21</sup>, Marie Arnaud<sup>22,23</sup>, Lee H. Dietterich<sup>1,24,25,26</sup>, Shalom D. Addo-Danso<sup>27</sup>, Oscar J. Valverde-Barrantes<sup>28</sup>, Monique Weemstra<sup>29</sup>, Jing Cheng Ng<sup>5</sup>, Richard J. Norby<sup>30</sup>

Article acceptance date: 10 January 2024

#### SI Figure 2: Data Sources and Processing

Data source and processing details for Figure 2:

Data are from an updated version of Global Root Trait (GRooT) database, which combines root trait observations from the Fine Root Ecology Database (FRED, ver. 3, (Iversen et al., 2017; Iversen et al., 2021), the Plant Trait Database (TRY ver. 5, Kattge et al., 2011; Kattge et al., 2020). Data were mobilized by the Tropical Root Trait Initiative (TropiRoot; https://tropiroottrait.github.io/TropiRootTrait/), and additional datasets (Guerrero-Ramirez et al., 2021); https://groot-database.github.io/GRooT/) were joined with the World Checklist of Vascular Plants (WCVP, Govaerts et al., 2021, POWO 2023) to obtain information about the species' climate description, described in the WCVP as "habitat type of the taxon, derived from published habitat information". The WCVP's climate description categories include desert and dry shrubland, desert or dry shrubland, montane tropical, seasonally dry tropical, subalpine or subarctic, subtropical, subtropical and tropical, temperate, temperate and tropical and wet tropical. In cases where species occur in multiple climate zones, the climate zone designed represents where most of the species occur naturally. Wet tropical includes species located in rainforests (lowland and highlands), seasonally dry tropical includes species located in pronounced wet and dry seasons, dry tropics where rainfall is less than 50 centimeters per year, and montane tropical represent species located in high-elevation mountains (above ~ 4000 m a.s.l) (personal communication Rafaël Govaerts). Species categorized as subtropical and tropical and temperate and tropical were not represented in GRooT.

## SI Table 2: Root trait survey in leading vegetation models: Methods for constructing Table 2.

**Methods for model survey:** We tallied traits rather than dynamic state or rate quantities, except for nutrient uptake baseline rate rates (yellow and orange columns in Table 2), to give an idea of how many unique root variables would need empirical (e.g., field) quantification for executing the model in a tropical forest. In the tally of above and below-ground traits for each model, wholeplant traits and ecosystem-level parameters were not tallied and correlated or closely related (e.g., parameters in photosynthesis calculations) plant traits were counted once. We found that most root traits with a role in support, storage, distribution, longevity, or stoichiometry are typically not dynamic in response to resource (water or nutrient) changes. Root traits which are dynamic in some models include leaf:fine-root area ratio, which some models adjust in response to water availability, and to a lesser extent nutrients (note letters m or n in the table), maximum rooting depth, which can change with tree size in a couple models (an indirect response to increased resource availability via tree growth), and the remaining water uptake- and nutrient uptake-related traits, which generally concern functional root traits that respond to resource changes and to plant requirements.

We present a sample of current vegetation models based on a broad cross-section of land models at the forefront of representing how plant traits, climate, and community composition coevolve in forests within an Earth system model framework, while comparing to a sample of emerging traitflexible approaches. We additionally include (as outgroups) two extremes on a vegetation parameter richness spectrum - an ESM without dynamic vegetation (E3SM-ELM) and two parameter-rich forest individual-based gap models (IBM) that have been applied to tropical forests (FORMIND, TROLL). ESM-capable refers to models that can be coupled to a global earth system model to simulate vegetation-climate feedbacks. Models are grouped into three main approaches (PFT, cohort, or IBM) for assigning plant traits. Within these groups trait values are held constant over the lifespan of an individual; we are not aware of any approaches that explicitly allow for trait plasticity over ontogeny. "PFT" is plant functional type represented by an average individual with characteristic trait values; "Cohort" is an average individual within a tree size class, functional type, and in most cases, a particular canopy layer or light environment; "IBM" is individual based model modeling every tree within a patch. A subset of IBMs use spatially explicit locations of trees, termed gap models. NSC = non-structural carbohydrates in root exudates. P50 indicates 50% loss of hydraulic conductivity due to embolism.

Specific file or table source of trait information within each paper cited (identified by model # here and on Table 2):

#### # File and table within citation for source of information Table S1 in "ELM-CNP Yang.etal.2016 Supp.doc", Notes S1 (search for 'root') in "ELM 1 CNP Thornton.etal.2007 S1.txt" 2 Table S6, S15 in "LPJmL4.0 Schaphoff.etal.2018 Supp.pdf" default traits: Table S6, S15 in "LPJmL4.0 Schaphoff.etal.2018 Supp.pdf"; variable rooting depth 3 traits: Appendices A1.3, A1.5 in "LPJmL4.0 Sakschewski.etal.2021.pdf" Table 5 in "ED2.2 Longo.etal.2019 ED2.2-description GMD Supp.pdf"; Notes S1 in 4 "ED2 Xu.etal.2016\_Supp.pdf" aboveground traits see Table D1-D4 Massoud et al. (2019); belowground traits search for 'root' here: https://github.com/NGEET/fates/blob/main/parameter files/fates params default.cdl and https://fates-users-guide.readthedocs.io/projects/tech-doc/en/stable/fates tech note.html; also see 5 "All FATES root traits (Joe's notes)"

- Table S1 in "LM3PPA-TV\_Martinez-Cano.2021\_Supp.pdf"
   Appendix C Tables C1 and C2, see also Appendix A2 & B4 in "LM3\_Weng.etal.2015.pdf" (20 aboveground) /(10 belowground); Appendices A2, A2.1-A2.4, A4, A7, Tables D1 and D2 in
- 7 "LM4\_Kou-Giesbrecht.etal.2021.pdf" (1 new aboveground)/(21 new belowground)
- 8 Appendices B and C in Smith et al. (2014) default root and aboveground traits: Appendices B and C in Smith et al. (2014); new root traits:
- Notes S2.1 and Table S1 in "LPJ-GUESS-NTD\_DantasDePaula.etal.2021\_supp.pdf"
   Tables A1, A2 in "CABLE-POP Haverd.etal.2018.pdf" + root traits that all LSMs have (water
- stress factor, root distribution) + CASA CNP root traits (same as ELM-CNP)
   According to Thonicke et al. 2020 Section 2.1 "Each PFT is based on an earlier implementation of these PFTs in LPJmL-4 (Schaphoff, von Bloh, et al., 2018)". Therefore, use Table S6, S15 in
- 11 "LPJmL\_Schaphoff.etal.2018\_Supp.pdf" + 1 additional trait Narea

Scheiter et al. 2013, Langan et al. 2017. The supplement of Langan et al. (2017) contains a full

- 12 model description, which is the only published full description of aDGVM2.
- 13 Rius et al. 2023 Tables SM3, SM5
- 14 Appendices B through J in "FORMIND\_Fischer.etal.2016\_MARKEDsupplement.pdf"
- 15 Chave 1999, Maréchaux & Chave 2017

#### SI Boxes Methodological and Statistical Details and additional Results

### SI Box 1. Details of Methods and Results for Fine-Root Morphology and Variance Partitioning

A key need for representing fine-root function in ecosystem models is to disentangle the effects of phylogeny versus local site conditions on commonly measured traits. These new data from Panama and Puerto Rico support recent literature showing low phylogenetic control over root traits in tropical forests, where root morphology might respond more to resource conditions.

#### **Root Collection and Morphology**

We collected two sets of data in Panama and Puerto Rico with the objective of assessing the sources of fine-root trait variation. We collected fine-root trait data within individuals for the first three or four root orders separately, and then assessed variance partitioning among root segments within individuals, among individuals within the same species, and among species. The Panama study was focused on intra- and inter-individual variation, separating up to the 4th order roots and combining 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> orders (both considered absorptive), for three root segments per individual tree for 10 individuals each per two canopy species (*Trichilia tuberculata* and *Poulsenia armata*). These two species are relatively abundant on Barro Colorado Island, Panama. The Puerto Rico study was more focused on intra- and inter-specific variation. Fine roots were collected from six locally abundant species in the Luquillo Experimental Forest (*Dacryodes excelsa, Manilkara bidentata, Prestoea montana,* and *Ocotea leucoxylon* with three individuals per species, and *Drypetes glauca* and *Sloanea berteriana* with two individuals per species), separating roots into 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, and 3<sup>rd</sup> orders.

On BCI in Panama, we selected two relatively common tree species (*Trichilia tuberculata* and *Poulsenia armata*) as the focal tree species for this study. They have positive and negative phosphorus affinities respectively (Turner *et al.*, 2018) and are abundant species at the 50-ha plot in Barro Colorado Island (BCI) – Panama (abundances for the 2005 census data contributed by Dr. Joseph Wright). Beginning on 18 of July 2019, we identified ten mature individuals per species in BCI. We measured root morphological traits in all ten individuals per species on three root systems per individual and nutrient uptake in three individuals per species as described below.

We measured root morphology by excavating root systems from 10 individuals per species (*Trichilia tuberculata* and *Poulsenia armata*) and scanning three root systems per individual to assess variance within individuals, within species, and between species. We selected 10 individuals per species and three root systems per individual to measure root morphological traits. Roots from seven individuals per species were selected just to measure root morphological traits and the other three individuals were selected from the nutrient uptake experiment (described in the next section). For each individual from the stem to 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> order and depths of ~ 20 cm. We cut the root at its 5<sup>th</sup> order, gently placed in a Ziploc and stored into the fridge for no more than a week. For each 5<sup>th</sup> order root, we selected three 4<sup>th</sup> order roots at the top, middle and end of the 5<sup>th</sup> order root to understand variability of traits within individual. These roots were separated in orders (1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> order) and cleaned through a 0.25 mm mesh size to remove all the soil attached to the roots. Painting brushes were used to gently clean the roots when soil particles were still attached to them.

All root orders were scanned for morphology analysis and then dried at 60 °C until constant weight to determine dry root mass (mg). Roots were scanned using the 9800XL plus - Microtek - TMA 1600II scanner at 1200 dpi. All images (n=180) were analyzed using WinRHIZO (WinRHIZO Regular, Regent Instruments, Canada) to determine specific root length [root length per dry mass (SRL - cm mg<sup>-1</sup>)], specific root area [root superficial area per dry mass (SRA- cm<sup>2</sup> mg<sup>-1</sup>)], root tissue density [root dry mass per volume (RTD - mg cm<sup>-3</sup>)] and mean root diameter (Metcalfe *et al.*, 2008). For Panama, the total number of root systems was 180 (2 species x 10 individuals × 3 root segments × 3 root orders (Order 1-2 merged, Order 3 and Order 4).

In Puerto Rico, we collected at least two root samples from each tree by tracing roots until fine roots were found (following Yaffar et al. 2021). We separated the first three root orders and scanned them separately using WinRHIZO (version 12; Regent Instruments Inc., Quebec City, Canada) to obtain total root length (cm) and average diameter (mm). Root traits measured were specific root length, root diameter and root tissue density for the first three root orders of two to three individuals nested within six common tree species. *Dacryodes excelsa, Manilkara bidentata, Prestoea montana* and *Ocotea leucoxylon* species had three individuals per species, while *Drypetes glauca* and *Sloanea berteriana* had two individuals per species. Total number of root systems was 51 root systems (6 species × 2/3 individuals × 3 root orders).

#### Statistical methods

We performed a partition variation analysis to analyze the percentage of variance explained (%) by each level of root trait variation (species, individuals within species, root segments within individuals within species and residuals). These analyses were performed just on the traits from absorptive root orders that are usually considered to be related to soil resources uptake. Variance partitioning analysis of fine-root morphological traits was completed for two tropical forests: A) Panama (2 species × 10 individuals × 3 root segments × 3 root orders = 180 root segments); B) Puerto Rico (6 species × 2 or 3 individuals × 3 root orders = 51 root segments). The percent of variance explained by species (blue), individual (orange), and root segment within individual (yellow, Panama only) are shown, as well as the residual unexplained variance (gray). Individuals were nested within species, and root segments were nested within individuals.

Models used were mixed models (lme) from lme4 R package with the following code: trait per order model ~ lme (trait per order ~ 1, random =  $\sim 1$  |Species/Individual, data = df, na.action = na.omit).

To ensure robustness and reliability of our variance partition analyses, outliers were identified using the Interquartile Range (IQR) method. This involved defining a 'reasonable' range of values based on the first and third quartiles (Q1 and Q3) of the data. Values that fell 1.5 times the IQR above Q3 or below Q1 were classified as outliers and excluded.

The variance partition analysis for Panama did not change too much after removing outliers for root diameter, SRA and SRL after removing outliers. On the other hand, RTD did have some changes, increasing the variance at species or individual tree scale and decreasing variance at the root segment scale. The Puerto Rico data had some more changes, especially with SRL and RTD.

#### Results

For Panama data, the largest source of variation among fine-root segments was within individuals for diameter and SRL, and lower variation among individuals or between the two species. For some traits, variation within individuals was general across all 10 individuals per species (e.g., SRL), whereas for other traits large variation within a few individuals drove the trend (e.g., RTD, see Box 1 Fig. SI1). Puerto Rico data exhibited substantial interspecific variation among the six species sampled for fine-root diameter and SRL, but not for RTD, and much lower

intra-specific variation than was apparent in the Panama data, but this data set lacked replication within individuals. This interspecific variation appeared driven primarily by one species in the Puerto Rico group (*D. excelsa*, see Box 1 Fig. SI2), and was strongest for fine-root diameter.

These data suggest that there can be large variation in fine-root morphology within individual trees and among individuals within species, pointing toward flexible responses to the soil environment at small scales. These data also indicate that some species in tropical forests likely have unique morphologies, which should be related to root function in future research for use in the development of tropical forest PFTs or trait groupings. Finally, these data point toward the importance of sampling across scales and combining morphology with direct functional measurements (see Box 3).

# *Box 1 Figure SI1: Comparison of fine-root diameter, SRL, SRA and RTD by species in Panama* Comparisons of root morphological traits for two species up to $4^{th}$ root order are shown. Left panels show average comparisons by species (n = 10 individuals per species), right panels show comparisons by individual per species (n = 3 root segments per individual). Note that some traits had broad variation among individuals (e.g. SRL panel B, diameter panel D), whereas for other traits just a few individuals had large variation (e.g. RTD panel F). Traits shown include diameter, specific root length (SRL), specific root area (SRA) and root tissue density (RTD).



#### Box 1 Figure SI2: Comparison of fine-root diameter and SRL by species in Puerto Rico

Species include: *Dacryodes excels* (DACEXC)), *Manilkara bidentate* (MANBID), *Prestoea montana* (PREMON) and *Ocotea leucoxylon* (OCOLEU) with three individuals per species, and *Drypetes glauca* (DRYGLA) and *Sloanea berteriana* (SLOBER) with two individuals per species). Both diameter and SRL differences among species are driven primarily by DACEXC, which had particularly small diameter across root orders, and high SRL for 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> root orders.



#### SI Box 2: Details of Methods and Results for Fine-Root Nutrient Uptake

#### <u>Methods</u>

#### Panama Methods

We measured potential fine-root uptake rates of relatively abundant lowland forest canopy tree species in Gigante Peninsula in the Barro Colorado Nature Monument (BCNM), Panama. We included 33 individual trees of *Protium picramnioides* (previously *Tetragastris panamensis*, Daly & Fine, 2018), and three individual trees each for *Trichilia tuberculata* and *Poulsenia armata* (one root system per tree). Across species, we used a nutrient-dilution method in the field on live fine-root tips still attached to parent trees, excavating, and placing intact, cleaned roots in vials of known nutrient solutions with bubbler aeration to maintain water oxygen. After field incubations of 4 to 24 hours we analyzed solutions to assess removal of nutrients by roots. A small subset of these roots was also measured for morphological characteristics.

For the Gigante Peninsula, Panama study, *P. pricramnioides* is a shade tolerant, mid and upper canopy species with heights ranging from 10-35 m, and root biomass accounts for roughly 25% of total plant C storage, as indicated in individuals from a Costa Rican lowland rainforest (Becker & Castillo, 1990; Uriarte *et al.*, 2004; Calvo-Alvarado *et al.*, 2008). We excavated live root systems from thirty-three individual trees and kept root intact and attached to the tree. Nutrient uptake rates for *P. picramnioides* were measured on 33 individual trees. Nutrient uptake was expressed in µmol of nutrient per g of dry root weight per hour. Each individual had up to 12 roots for each individual tree (n =14, 26, 22 and 26 for K<sup>+</sup>, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup> respectively). We used twelve root tips from three separate root branches per tree. Each root tip was immersed for 3-4 hours in aerated vials of known nutrient concentration, after which depletion of N, P and K were measured, and average nutrient uptake rates were calculated for each tree on a root dry-weight (g) basis, as described below. Tree DBH was recorded as a covariate, and ranged from 84 – 564 cm across the 33 individuals.

On BCI, we selected two species (*Trichilia tuberculata* and *Poulsenia armata*) and three individuals per species to measure root nutrient and water uptake in the field (nutrient or water uptake per root mass per time) on July 2019. We placed clean roots to nutrient solutions in a 1-litre bottle with aeration changing solutions every day up to 5 days recording the time roots were inside solutions. We analyzed a subset of samples for ammonium ( $NH_4^+$ ), nitrate ( $NO_3^-$ ) and

phosphorus ( $PO_{4^{3^{-}}}$ ) in control solutions (without roots) and in solutions with roots and calculated nutrient uptake as µmol nutrient uptake per root mass per minute. We also recorded bottle weights before and after field collection to calculate water uptake. In the end of the experiment, we scanned and weighed all roots in solutions by root order.

We selected three individuals per species and three root systems per individual for nutrient uptake measurements. All 18 root systems were gently excavated to 1st order roots. We kept roots in-tact and attached to the main stem, cleaned them with distilled water until totally clean and then exposed the 5<sup>th</sup> order root with all subsequent orders (1<sup>st</sup> to 4<sup>th</sup>) to three different nutrient solutions in a pre-filled 1-litre Nalgene bottle with aeration apparatus using the nutrient dilution method in Lucash et al. (2007) and McFarlane and Yanai (2006) with modifications. Nutrient solutions consisting of distilled water, ammonium (NH4<sup>+</sup>), nitrate (NO3<sup>-</sup>), potassium (K<sup>+</sup>), and phosphorus  $(PO_4^{3-})$  were mixed together at three different concentrations (DI water, 5x and 10x) in order to assess nutrient uptake with variation in nutrient concentration. We covered the top of the Nalgene with parafilm to avoid any contamination and recorded the "start time". After approximately 24 hours, the solutions were replaced with a new Nalgene of fresh nutrient solution, or DI water. We repeated this procedure for additional time points up to 5 days and recorded all the start and end time that roots were placed and removed from solutions. We weighed all Nalgene vials before and after adding solutions and also after they were retrieved from the field to measure changes in solution mass and calculate water uptake. All solution samples were filtered in the lab through prerinsed Whatman Grade 1 filters (>11 µm particle retention) immediately after they were collected in the field then were frozen for posterior analysis. We also included a control vial (without roots) at each concentration. For this study, just a subset of the 10x solution concentration was analyzed for ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>), nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) and phosphorus (PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup>). The subset consisted of 10x treatment solutions with roots collected at two timepoints (day two and day three) from a total of 12 individuals from the two species and also two nutrient-control samples (10x solution without roots). There was no significant difference of nutrient uptake between timepoints (ANOVA:  $F_{1,15}=0.34$ ; P=0.57), so they were pooled for statistical analysis. At the end of the final field incubation, we removed the roots from the vials by clipping at the point of entry to the solution, removed the root, and stored in a Ziploc to return to the lab for cleaning and scanning. We kept root samples in the refrigerator until they could be processed for no more than 1 week. In the lab,

we carefully rinsed all the roots to remove nutrient solution, separated them by orders (1-2, 3, 4 and 5).

For this experiment we used root biomass and root morphology as described in the SI for Box 1, using average morphology across orders 1-4. For morphological scans, orders 1 and 2 were scanned together because of the challenges to separate them, while higher orders were scanned alone. All the roots were scanned, dried and weighed using the same method described in SI for Box 1. All the roots from the three different treatments (DI, 5x and 10x) were considered for root morphological traits data in this study, and biomass was summed for each vial.

**Table 1:** Target simulated soil solution concentrations for *Trichilia tuberculata* and *Poulsenia armata* at Barro Colorado Island

		Target	solution	Ion
Ion	Fertilizer	Concentration (umol L <sup>-1</sup> )		
		5x	10x	
$\mathrm{NH_4^+}$	NH <sub>4</sub> Cl	610	1220	
NO <sub>3</sub> -	NaNO <sub>3</sub>	85	170	
PO4 <sup>3-</sup>	$Ca_3(PO_4)_2$	40	80	
Ca <sub>2</sub> <sup>+</sup>	$Ca_3(PO_4)_2$	60	120	
<b>K</b> <sup>+</sup>	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	160	320	
Na <sup>+</sup>	NaNO <sub>3</sub>	85	170	

Table 2: Target simulated soil solution concentrations for Protium picramnioides at Gigante

Ion	Fertilizer	Target solt (μmol L <sup>-1</sup> )	tion Ion Concentration	
		1x	5x	10x
NH4 <sup>+</sup>	NH4Cl	25	125	250
NO <sub>3</sub> -	NaNO <sub>3</sub>	15	75	150
PO4 <sup>3-</sup>	K <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub>	10	50	100
K <sup>+</sup>	K <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub>	20	100	200

#### Nutrient Uptake Calculations

Net uptake rates were calculated as the difference in nutrient mass content ( $\mu$ mol nutrient) between the nutrient-control (5x or 10x solution without roots) vial, and the experimental vial (5x or 10x solution with roots), divided by the dry root weight in grams (g dw) and experiment duration in hours (hr). The final units for net nutrient uptake are in  $\mu$ mol of nutrient uptake per gram dry weight of roots per hour (mg nutrient g<sup>-1</sup>dw h<sup>-1</sup>). For BCI nutrient uptake data we calculated nutrient uptake by root biomass using orders 1 to 3, for Gigante this was calculated just for order 1 (root tips). We considered the negative values as inactive roots, so we used just the positive values in our analysis to represent nutrient uptake.

#### Net nutrient uptake: μmol nutrient uptake per root mass per minute (X Nutrient mass Blank – WaterBlank) – (X Nutrient mass RootVial – WaterBlank) RootWeight × ExperimentTime

#### Panama Statistical Methods

We first used analysis of variance (ANOVA) models with the two species from BCI to assess species (n = 2), time points (n = 4) and solution concentration (n = 3) effects on nutrient uptake or water uptake. The cleanest data (normal distribution of uptake rates with few zero values) was for the 5x concentration data *Protium picramnioides*, and the 10x concentration for the other two Panamanian species (not these were also similar to each other in each data set, Tables 1 and 2). We used data produced using these concentrations for all statistical analyses. We then used ANOVA to assess effects of the two BCI species and the type of nutrient (n = 3) on root nutrient uptake, including the interaction of species×nutrient type. We conducted this same analysis across all individuals (n = 33) the one species from Gigante for the four nutrients measured there (see figure in Box 3). For BCI data, nutrient uptake data were averaged across two time points because there were no differences among time points. For the BCI data, we also performed linear models to test for correlation between nutrient uptake or water uptake and environmental variables (soil moisture, soil temperature), as well as root morphological traits (SRL, SRA, RTD, root diameter, root weight, total root length), and tree diameter at breast height (dbh). All data were analyzed in R studio Version 1.4.1103 (R Core Team, 2021).

#### **Singapore Methods**

In Singapore, we measured fine-root uptake rates of ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>), nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>), and phosphate (PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup>) of mature lowland forest canopy tree species in Bukit Timah Nature Reserve, Singapore. Bukit Timah is a coastal hill dipterocarp forest developed on highly weathered, low nutrient soils (Grubb et al., 1994; Ngo et al., 2013). We selected four individuals > 30 cm DBH in old-growth and four individuals > 30 cm DBH in secondary forests of two representative species found in both forest types, *Shorea curtisii* and *Campnosperma auriculatum*, to examine species and forest type differences in nutrient uptake rates. *Shorea curtisii* (Dipterocarpaceae) is the most common tree species in the Bukit Timah ForestGEO old-growth plot comprising 24% of the aboveground biomass of trees > 30 cm DBH in the 2-hectare plot (Ngo et al. 2013; Ngo et al. 2017) and is associated with ectomycorrhizal fungi. *Campnosperma auriculatum* (Anacardiaceae) is the most abundant tree species in the Bukit Timah ForestGEO secondary forest plot with 228 individuals >30 cm DBH occurring in the secondary 2-hectare plot (Ngo et al. 2013) and associated with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi.

We used a simple hydroponic medium, Hoagland's No. 2 Basal Salt Mixture (hereafter referred to as Hoagland's solution) in 50 mL falcon tubes to estimate nutrient uptake rates of  $PO_{4}^{3}$ , NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. The hydroponic medium minimizes plant-microbe competition for nutrients to measure inherent tree physiological nutrient uptake capacity, allowing for standardized comparisons across trees (Zhu et al., 2021). Hoagland's solution (and modified versions of it) is widely used in the horticultural applications and studies (Reverchon et al., 2014), including studies on root exudates (Phillips et al., 2009) and ion uptake (Dickson et al., 2016). Two separate concentrations of Hoagland's solution will be used based on soil nutrient availability at Bukit Timah Nature Reserve (Ngo et al. 2013) and 10x the natural concentrations and a third treatment is pure Milli-Q water (Table 3). Uptake rates will be measured by submerging entire fine root sections in either high or low strength Hoagland's solution, then taking the difference in nutrient concentration from the initial stock. This allows for measurements independent of the heterogeneity of ambient soil nutrient and moisture conditions (Lucash et al., 2005).

Fine roots were excavated from under each tree to ensure species identification. Fine roots were defined as < 2mm and represented the first three root orders associated with nutrient uptake (McCormack et al. 2015). Sixteen trees were sampled in total, located, and identified by their tags from the Bukit Timah Big Tree Census (Ngo et. al., 2017). For each tree, three intact root segments

were identified by tracing coarse roots from the tree trunk until terminal roots ends are reached (Lucash et al., 2005; Pierick et al., 2021). Root segments were rinsed with deionized water and gently cleaned of soils. While cleaning roots may cause physical damage (Oburger & Jones, 2018), it is necessary to reduce the effects of any microbes or contamination. Each root segment was submerged in one of three tubes containing 50ml of one of the following: high concentration Hoagland's solution, low concentration Hoagland's solution, and Milli-Q water. After immersion, roots and tubes were wrapped in parafilm to reduce evaporation and contamination and incubated for 1 to 5 hours. After incubation, roots were excised at the water level mark, removed, and rinsed in a new tube containing distilled water to capture any remaining nutrients clinging on to the surface of the roots. Rinsing was not necessary for roots submerged in Milli-Q water for exudation measurements. All roots were then stored individually in airtight bags. The roots were collected for morphological characteristics, and the vials were taken to the Forest Ecology Laboratory at Nanyang Technological University for chemical analysis. Fine roots were rinsed with Milli-Q water, scanned for morphological traits, dried at 60°C for three days and weighed for root dry biomass.

**Table 1:** Target simulated soil solution concentrations for *Campnosperma auriculatum* and

 *Shorea curtisii* at Bukit Timah Nature Reserve, Singapore.

Ion	Fertilizer	TargetsolutionConcentration (umol L <sup>-1</sup> )	
		1x	10x
$\mathrm{NH_4^+}$	NH <sub>4</sub> Cl	8	78
NO <sub>3</sub> -	NaNO <sub>3</sub>	32	316
PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3-</sup>	$Ca_3(PO_4)_2$	3	33

Nitrate-N and Nitrate-N, and Ammonia-N were analysed through a segmented flow analyser (SEAL Analytical AutoAnalyzer 500). Ortho-phosphate-P was measured via spectroscopy using the TECAN Spark microplate reader at 880 nm using the molybdate method (Murphy & Riley, 1962; Vogt et al., 2015; Watanabe & Olsen, 1965). Each sample was measured as an average of three microplate well replicates.

#### Nutrient Uptake Calculations

Data post processing was done in R (R Core Team, 2019). Concentrations were adjusted for blanks, and negative concentrations measured were rounded off to zero. Net uptake rates were calculated as the difference in nutrient mass content (umol nut) between the nutrient-control (10x solution without roots) vial, and the experimental vial (10x solution with roots) plus the wash vial, divided by the dry root weight in grams (g dw) and experiment duration in hours (h). The final units for net nutrient uptake are in  $\mu$ mol of nutrient uptake per gram dry weight of roots per hour (mg nutrient g<sup>-1</sup> dw h<sup>-1</sup>).

#### Net nutrient uptake: umol nutrient uptake per root mass per minute

(X Nutrient mass Blank–WaterBlank)–((X Nutrient mass RootVial X Nutrient mass WashVial)–WaterBlank) RootWeight\*ExperimentTime

#### Singapore Statistical methods

We used linear mixed models to determine whether uptake rates differed by the nutrient, species, forest type, and their combinations with focal trees as a random factor. We used the 10x dataset for all statistical analyses reported here. Pearson correlations were conducted to examine relationships between nutrient uptake rates and fine root morphological traits. Full methodological details, protocols, and data are available in Ng et al. (2022) and maintained on <u>Github</u>.

#### <u>Results</u>

#### Panama Results

For *P. picramnioides* in Panama, there was generally high variation among individuals in K and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> uptake rates, and less variation for NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup> uptake rates (see Box 3 in text). Box plot midlines are means, box edges are first and third quartiles, and whiskers are the minimums and maximums. Grey points represent data points. Letters at the top of box plots indicate significant (P < 0.05) differences among groups based on Tukey's HSD tests. Nutrient uptake was significantly different among nutrient types (F3,87=6.78; *P*=0.022). We didn't measure morphological root traits for *P. picramnioides*. For the two Panamanian tree species measured separately (*T. tuberculata* and *P. armata*), uptake rates were correlated to some fine-root morphological traits (SI Figure Box3.1), and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> uptake rates were higher than NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and

PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup> uptake rates (K uptake was not measured for these, SI Figure Box3.2). Also, nutrient uptake rates were generally positively correlated with each other (SI Figure Box3.3).



SI Figure Box2.1 Correlations between nutrient uptake rate from absorptive roots (orders 1,2,3) and morphological root traits for each root system, as well as aboveground dbh of each of two tree species sampled on BCI in Panama (*T. tuberculata* and *P. armata*). Colors represent where there was significant correlation with  $P \le 0.1$ . Boxes without colors do not show significant correlation between variables. Numbers inside the colorful balls are  $r^2$ . If  $r^2$  are in bold it means that  $P \le 0.05$ , and if  $r^2$  are not in bold, it means that  $P \le 0.1$ . Red balls represent negative correlation and blue balls represent positive correlation. n = 4 root systems for nitrate and ammonia and n = 5 for phosphate.



SI Figure Box2.2. Nutrient uptake for two different species (*T. tuberculata* and *P. armata*) were measured on BCI in Panama, using three mature forest individuals (n = 3 per species). Nutrient uptake is expressed in µmol of nutrient per g of dry root weight per hour. Box plot midlines are means, box edges are first and third quartiles, and whiskers are the minimums and maximums. Grey points represent data points. In ANOVA tests, there was no difference between species (F 1,9 =0.90; *P* =0.52) or between nutrient types (F 2,9 =2.34; *P*=0.15) and no interaction between nutrients and species.



SI Figure Box2.3. A correlation matrix is presented for the uptake rates of different nutrients for *P. picramnioides* in Panama (n = 60). Colors represent where there was significant correlation (P  $\leq 0.05$ ). Numbers inside the balls are Pearson *r* coefficients. Blue symbols represent positive correlation. Nutrient uptake rates for PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup>, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and K are in µmol per g dry root mass per hour.

#### Singapore Results

In Singapore, we found a significant difference in uptake rates for the interaction between nutrient and species (F2,26 = 10.94; P < 0.001), as well as for the main effects of nutrient (F2, 26 = 41.78; P < 0.0001) and species (F1,13 = 7.14, P < 0.05) (SI Figure Box3.4). For both species, nutrient uptake rates were highest for NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and lowest for NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>. *S. curtisii* had higher overall nutrient uptake rates compared to *C. auriculatum*, which was driven by the significantly higher NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> uptake of *S. curtisii* compared to *C. auriculatum*. However, unlike the Panama data, we found little correlation between nutrient uptake rates and root morphological traits (only a negative relationship between diameter and PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup> uptake rates, SI Figure Box3.5). Rather, there were strong correlations amongst morphological variables as well as strong positive correlations amongst nutrient uptake rates.



**SI Figure Box2.4** Nutrient uptake rates for two coexisting tree species located in old-growth (darker shading) and secondary (lighter shading) forests in Bukit Timah Nature Reserve, Singapore. Boxes represent medians and 25th and 75th percentiles, with whiskers representing the data minimum and maximum excluding outliers. Grey symbols represent the nutrient uptake rate for four individual trees of each species per forest type. Linear mixed models demonstrated an

interaction between nutrient and species, where  $NO_3^-$  was highest for both species and *S. curtisii* had a significantly greater  $NO_3^-$  uptake rate than *C. auriculatum*. There were no effects of forest type or interactions with forest type for any nutrient.



SI Figure Box2.5 Correlation matrix for the Singapore nutrient uptake rates and root morphological traits for *S. curtisii* and *C. auriculatum*. Colors represent where there was significant correlation with  $P \le 0.05$ . Boxes without colors do not show significant correlation between variables. Numbers inside the colorful balls are Pearson *r* coefficients. Red symbols represent negative correlation and blue symbols represent positive correlation. Note: SRL = specific root length; AvgDiam = mean diameter (mm); RTD = root tissue density; SRSA = specific

root surface area; Nutrient uptake rates for  $PO_4^{3-}$ ,  $NH_4^+$ , and  $NO_3^-$  are in  $\mu$ mol per g dry root mass per hour.

#### **Box 2 Summary**

These data suggest different preferences among nutrients and species and suggest that some morphological traits might be valuable surrogates for nutrient uptake rates among individuals or species. The findings comparing uptake of different nutrients are consistent with other tropical N uptake studies, where species were found to have different preferences of various N forms across diverse plant forms (Andersen & Turner, 2013; Russo *et al.*, 2013; Andersen *et al.*, 2017; Templer et al., 2008). The relationship between soil nutrient availability and uptake rates at the root surface will require additional information describing the diffusion of nutrient from bulk soil to the root (e.g., McMurtrie & Näsholm, 2018).

#### SI Box 3: Details of Methods and Results for Rooting Depth

Here, we present a new analysis of data from a dry forest in Costa Rica where aboveground phenology has been linked to rooting depth (Smith-Martin *et al.*, 2020).

#### **Root Depth Field Methods**

Phylogenetically diverse species of tropical dry forest trees and lianas were planted in a common garden to be harvested as juveniles (1-year-old and 2-year-old), and mature individuals were harvested in surrounding forest. Plants were divided into functional groups based on aboveground deciduousness and life form: deciduous trees, evergreen trees, and deciduous lianas. Two cohorts of plants were sampled that differ in ontogenetic stage: juveniles and adults. We harvested a total of 47 juveniles and 33 mature individuals of common Costa Rican tropical dry forest species. Each individual was cut at ground level using a chainsaw, all belowground biomass was dug up with shovels and picks; all coarse roots down to diameters of 2–5 mm were excavated and maximum rooting depth of all of the excavated individuals was measured with a tape measure (methodological details in Smith-Martin *et al.*, 2020).

#### Results

Maximum rooting depth of: (a) one-year old, (b) two-year old, and (c) mature deciduous liana (orange), deciduous tree (yellow), and evergreen tree (blue) species from Costa Rican dry forests are shown in Box 2. Box plot midlines are means, box edges are first and third quartiles, and whiskers are the minimums and maximums. Grey points represent data points. Letters at the top of box plots indicate significant (P < 0.05) differences among groups based on Tukey's HSD tests. Raw data provided in SI.

#### SI Box4. Details of Methods and Results for Fine-Root Stoichiometry

#### Linking Fine-Root Stoichiometry to Functional Activity

We hypothesized that N fixing plants would separate from non-N fixing arbuscular and ectomycorrhizal root symbiont types based on root N and P content due to higher demands for P to fix N.

#### **Methods**

Tree roots were collected from Barro Colorado Nature Monument (BCNM), Panama. Nine coexisting species with known differences in root symbionts were chosen to explore the breadth of the root stoichiometry within different root symbiont types and to determine whether symbiont type could be related to differences in root N or P. These included (arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM), ectomycorrhizal (EM), and N-fixing (Nfix). Roots were traced from the base of focal trees, collecting only fine roots (<2 mm diameter). N was measured by combustion on an Elemental Analyzer, and P was measured after dry-ash acid digestion on a Lachat, both in the STRI soils lab. Species list and raw data are provided in Dataset S6. Linear models were conducted in R, with nutrient as the dependent variable, symbiont type the fixed factor, and species within symbiont type the replicate.

#### Results

Overall, root nutrient content did not vary by symbiont type. The mean root N content for the nine species co-occurring at BCNM was 16.45 ( $\pm$  1.81) mg N g<sup>-1</sup>, with no differences among the three root symbiont types ( $F_{2,6} = 1.36$ , P = 0.33). Similarly, the mean root P content for the nine species co-occurring at BCNM was 0.53 ( $\pm$  0.06) mg P g<sup>-1</sup>, with no differences among the three root symbiont types ( $F_{2,6} = 0.51$ , P = 0.62). It is worth noting the high interspecific variation in root nutrient content within each root symbiont type, particularly for the ectomycorrhizal species. Further investigation comparing root stoichiometry across root symbiont type and other functional groupings would be useful to understanding if the results for the nine species from Panama reflect general patterns across tropical forests.

#### **SI References**

- Andersen KM, Mayor JR, Turner BL. 2017. Plasticity in nitrogen uptake among plant species with contrasting nutrient acquisition strategies in a tropical forest. *Ecology* **98**: 1388-1398.
- Andersen KM, Turner BL. 2013. Preferences or plasticity in nitrogen acquisition by understorey palms in a tropical montane forest. *Journal of Ecology* 101: 819-825.
- Becker P, Castillo A. 1990. Root architecture of shrubs and saplings in the understory of a tropical moist forest in lowland Panama. *Biotropica* 22: 242-249.
- Calvo-Alvarado JC, McDowell NG, Waring RH. 2008. Allometric relationships predicting foliar biomass and leaf area:sapwood area ratio from tree height in five Costa Rican rain forest species. *Tree Physiology* 28: 1601-1608.
- **Daly DC, Fine PVA. 2018.** Generic limits re-visited and an updated sectional classification for Protium (tribe Protieae). Studies in Neotropical Burseraceae XXV. *Brittonia* **70**: 418-426.
- **Dickson RW, Fisher PR, Argo WR, Jacques DJ, Sartain JB, Trenholm LE, Yeager TH. 2016.** Solution ammonium: Nitrate ratio and cation/anion uptake affect acidity or basicity with floriculture species in hydroponics. *Scientia Horticulturae* **200**: 36-44.
- Govaerts R, Lughadha EN, Black N, Turner R, Paton A. 2021. The world checklist of vascular plants, a continuously updated resource for exploring global plant diversity. *Scientific Data* 8.
- Iversen CM, McCormack ML, Baer JK, Powell AS, Chen W, Collins C, Fan Y, Fanin N, Freschet GT, Guo D, et al. 2021. Fine-Root Ecology Database (FRED): A Global Collection of Root Trait Data with Coincident Site, Vegetation, Edaphic, and Climatic Data, Version 3. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, TES SFA, U.S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, U.S.A. Access on-line at: https://doi.org/10.25581/ornlsfa.014/1459186.
- Iversen CM, McCormack ML, Powell AS, Blackwood CB, Freschet GT, Kattge J, Roumet C, Stover DB, Soudzilovskaia NA, Valverde-Barrantes OJ, et al. 2017. A global fineroot ecology database to address below-ground challenges in plant ecology. New Phytologist 215: 15-26.
- Kattge J, Bonisch G, Diaz S, Lavorel S, Prentice IC, Leadley P, Tautenhahn S, Werner GDA, Aakala T, Abedi M, et al. 2020. Try plant trait database enhanced coverage and open access. *Global Change Biology* 26: 119-188.
- Kattge J, Diaz S, Lavorel S, Prentice C, Leadley P, Bonisch G, Garnier E, Westoby M, Reich PB, Wright IJ, et al. 2011. TRY a global database of plant traits. *Global Change Biology* 17: 2905-2935.
- Lucash MS, Eissenstat DM, Joslin JD, McFarlane KJ, Yanai RD. 2007. Estimating nutrient uptake by mature tree roots under field conditions: challenges and opportunities. *Trees-Structure and Function* **21**: 593-603.
- Lucash MS, Joslin JD, Yanai RD. 2005. Temporal variation in nutrient uptake capacity by intact roots of mature loblolly pine. *Plant and Soil* 272: 253-262.
- McCormack ML, Dickie IA, Eissenstat DM, Fahey TJ, Fernandez CW, Guo DL, Helmisaari HS, Hobbie EA, Iversen CM, Jackson RB, et al. 2015. Redefining fine roots improves understanding of below-ground contributions to terrestrial biosphere processes. *New Phytologist* 207: 505-518.
- McFarlane KJ, Yanai RD. 2006. Measuring nitrogen and phosphorus uptake by intact roots of mature Acer saccharum Marsh., Pinus resinosa Ait., and Picea abies (L.) Karst. *Plant and Soil* 279: 163-172.

- McMurtrie RE, Nasholm T. 2018. Quantifying the contribution of mass flow to nitrogen acquisition by an individual plant root. *New Phytologist* 218: 119-130.
- Metcalfe DB, Meir P, Aragao L, da Costa ACL, Braga AP, Goncalves PHL, Silva JD, de Almeida SS, Dawson LA, Malhi Y, et al. 2008. The effects of water availability on root growth and morphology in an Amazon rainforest. *Plant and Soil* 311: 189-199.
- Murphy J, Riley JP. 1962. A modified single solution method for determination of phosphate in natural waters. *Analytica Chimica Acta* 26: 31-&.
- Ngo KM, Turner BL, Muller-Landau HC, Davies SJ, Larjavaara M, Hassan NFB, Lum S. 2013. Carbon stocks in primary and secondary tropical forests in singapore. *Forest Ecology and Management* 296: 81-89.
- **Oburger E, Jones DL. 2018.** Sampling root exudates mission impossible? *Rhizosphere* **6**: 116-133.
- Phillips RP, Bernhardt ES, Schlesinger WH. 2009. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increases root exudation from loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*) seedlings as an N-mediated response. *Tree Physiology* 29: 1513-1523.
- Pierick K, Leuschner C, Homeier J. 2021. Topography as a factor driving small-scale variation in tree fine root traits and root functional diversity in a species-rich tropical montane forest. *New Phytologist* 230: 129-138.
- POWO 2023. Plants of the World Online. https://powo.science.kew.org/
- Reverchon F, Flicker RC, Yang H, Yan GJ, Xu ZH, Chen CR, Bai SH, Zhang DK. 2014. Changes in  $\delta^{15}$ N in a soil-plant system under different biochar feedstocks and application rates. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* **50**: 275-283.
- Russo SE, Kochsiek A, Olney J, Thompson L, Miller AE, Tan S. 2013. Nitrogen uptake strategies of edaphically specialized bornean tree species. *Plant Ecology* 214: 1405-1416.
- Smith-Martin CM, Xu XT, Medvigy D, Schnitzer SA, Powers JS. 2020. Allometric scaling laws linking biomass and rooting depth vary across ontogeny and functional groups in tropical dry forest lianas and trees. *New Phytologist* 226: 714-726.
- Templer PH, Silver WL, Pett-Ridge J, DeAngelis KM, Firestone MK. 2008. Plant and microbial controls on nitrogen retention and loss in a humid tropical forest. *Ecology* 89: 3030-3040.
- Turner BL, Brenes-Arguedas T, Condit R. 2018. Pervasive phosphorus limitation of tree species but not communities in tropical forests. *Nature* 555(7696): 367-+.
- Uriarte M, Condit R, Canham CD, Hubbell SP. 2004. A spatially explicit model of sapling growth in a tropical forest: does the identity of neighbours matter? *Journal of Ecology* 92: 348-360.
- Watanabe F, Olsen S. 1965. Test of an ascorbic acid method for determining phosphorus in water and NaHCO<sub>3</sub> extracts from soil. *Soil Science Society Proceedings* **29**: 677-678.
- Yaffar D, Defrenne CE, Cabugao KG, Kivlin SN, Childs J, Carvajal N, Norby RJ. 2021. Trade-offs in phosphorus acquisition strategies of five common tree species in a tropical forest of Puerto Rico. *Frontiers in Forests and Global Change* 4.
- Zhu FF, Dai LM, Hobbie EA, Qu YY, Huang D, Gurmesa GA, Zhou XL, Wang A, Li YH, Fang YT. 2021. Quantifying nitrogen uptake and translocation for mature trees: An in situ whole-tree paired <sup>15</sup>N labeling method. *Tree Physiology* 41: 2109-2125.