

This is a repository copy of *Evaluation of the measurement properties and validation of the Skindex-16 among patients with acne.*

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/209911/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Shields, A., Chen, S.C., Kaur, M.N. et al. (5 more authors) (2024) Evaluation of the measurement properties and validation of the Skindex-16 among patients with acne. Journal of American Academy of Dermatology, 91 (1). pp. 144-146. ISSN 0190-9622

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2024.02.057

© 2024 The Authors. Except as otherwise noted, this author-accepted version of a journal article published in Journal of The American Academy of Dermatology is made available via the University of Sheffield Research Publications and Copyright Policy under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



Evaluation of the Measurement Properties and Validation of the Skindex-16 Among Patients with Acne

Ali Shields BS^{1,2}, Suephy C. Chen MD, MS³, Manraj N Kaur, PhD⁴, Donna Rowen, PhD, MSc⁵, Alison Layton, MBChB⁶, Diane Thiboutot, MD⁷, Jerry Tan, MD⁸, John Barbieri, MD, MBA¹

- 1. Department of Dermatology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA
- 2. Drexel University College of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA
- 3. Department of Dermatology, Duke University, Durham, NC; Division of Dermatology, Durham VAMC
- 4. Department of Surgery (Plastics), Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA
- 5. Sheffield Centre for Health and Related Research, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK
- 6. Affiliations; Skin Research Centre, University of York, UK. Department of Dermatology Harrogate and District NHS Trust, UK.
- 7. Department of Dermatology, The Pennsylvania State University College of Medicine, Hershey, PA
- 8. Western University and Windsor Clinical Research Inc, Windsor, Ontario, Canada

Corresponding author: John S. Barbieri, MD MBA Department of Dermatology, Brigham and Women's Hospital 41 Avenue Louis Pasteur, 317A Boston, MA 02115 Email: jbarbieri@bwh.harvard.edu

Funding: This work was supported by Acne Core Outcomes Research Network (ACORN) and International Dermatology Outcome Measures (IDEOM); Ali Shields receives partial salary support from ACORN administered by IDEOM. John S Barbieri is supported by the National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases of the National Institutes of Health under award number 1K23AR078930. Manraj Kaur is supported by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research Fellowship Award (2020-2024). The funders had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Conflicts of Interest: John S Barbieri has received consulting fees from Dexcel Pharma for work unrelated to the present study. Diane Thiboutot has received consulting fees from Biofrontera and Galderma for work unrelated to the present study. Jerry Tan has received consulting fees from Arcutis, Bausch, Boots Walgreens, Cutera, Galderma, L'Oreal, Pierre Fabre, and Sun for work unrelated to the present study. Alison Layton has received consulting fees from Almirrall, Beiersdorf, Galderma, Leo, L'Oreal, La Roche Posay, Novartis, and Origimm for work unrelated to the present study. Suephy Chen has received developer royalties for skin-specific quality of life instruments unrelated to the present study.

Patient consent: Not applicable. Manuscript word count: 496 Tables: 2 Figures: 0 Supplemental Content to be Uploaded to Mendeley.

Key Words: Acne, Skindex-16, patient-reported outcome measures, measurement properties, validation, validity

To the editor:

Patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs) assessing quality of life can complement clinician assessments in routine practice and clinical trials.¹ However, PROMs are infrequently used in acne trials.² The Skindex-16 is a dermatology-specific quality of life measure that is frequently used in clinical studies. Although there is evidence to support the content validity and measurement properties of the Skindex-16 for general dermatology patients, there is insufficient evidence regarding the measurement properties of the Skindex-16 among patients with acne, which might limit its use in this population.³

To assess the structural validity, internal consistency, and construct validity of Skindex-16 among patients with acne, patients \geq 18 years of age with a diagnosis of acne who were fluent in English were recruited from an outpatient clinic at Brigham and Women's Hospital in the United States. Patients completed self-administered questionnaires including CompAQ, Skindex-16, and a patient global assessment (PGA) (Supplement). Skindex-16 consists of 3 domains containing a total of 16 items (emotions [7 items], functioning [5 items], symptoms [4 items]).⁴ A dermatologist assessed acne severity using the Comprehensive Acne Severity Scale (CASS).⁵ Structural validity was evaluated using factor analysis. Internal consistency was evaluated using Cronbach alpha. Construct validity was evaluated using convergent and known groups validity. Statistical analyses were performed in Stata, version 17 (StataCorp LLC).

Between July 2022 and May 2023, 170 Skindex-16 records were collected for 113 unique patients (Table 1). Confirmatory factor analysis supported the structural validity of the symptoms, emotions, and functioning domains (Table 2). In addition, Cronbach's alpha (>0.70) supported good internal consistency for each of the domains (Table 2). Construct validity was supported by moderate correlations between the corresponding CompAQ domain scores (Table 2) and by known groups validity with increasing Skindex-16 domain scores with increasing levels of disease severity (Supplemental Figure 1).

The results of this study support that the Skindex-16 is a reliable and valid instrument for measuring quality of life among patients with acne. Although some floor effects were noted for the symptoms and

functioning domain, these were less common among those with active acne. However, it is also possible that items in these domains may have less relevance for patients with acne or may be less sensitive among those with milder severity.

In routine clinical practice, the 1-page Skindex-16 could serve as an efficient and useful PROM for assessing quality of life for patients with acne as well as those with other skin diseases. In clinical trials, collecting data on the Skindex-16 could enable comparisons with other studies among patients with acne and other skin diseases.

This study has several strengths, including the large sample size and conduct within the rigorous COSMIN framework. Limitations include that patients were recruited from one outpatient clinic at Brigham and Women's Hospital in the United States and treated by a single clinician. Additional studies are needed to evaluate whether these findings generalize to other populations and to examine content validity and other measurement properties such as test-retest reliability, responsiveness, and interpretability.

References

- Barbieri JS, Gelfand JM. Patient-Reported Outcome Measures as Complementary Information to Clinician-Reported Outcome Measures in Patients With Psoriasis. *JAMA Dermatol.* 2021;157(10):1236. doi:10.1001/jamadermatol.2021.3341
- Ly S, Miller J, Tong L, Blake L, Mostaghimi A, Barbieri JS. Use of Patient-Reported Outcomes in Acne Vulgaris and Rosacea Clinical Trials From 2011 to 2021: A Systematic Review. JAMA Dermatol. 2022 Dec 1;158(12):1419-1428. doi: 10.1001/jamadermatol.2022.3911.
- Hopkins ZH, Thiboutot D, Homsi HA, Perez-Chada LM, Barbieri JS. Patient-Reported Outcome Measures for Health-Related Quality of Life in Patients With Acne Vulgaris. *JAMA Dermatol*. 2022;158(8):900. doi:10.1001/jamadermatol.2022.2260
- 4. Chren MM, Lasek RJ, Sahay AP, Sands LP. Measurement properties of skindex-16: A brief quality-of-life measure for patients with skin diseases. *J Cutan Med Surg*. 2001;5(2):105-110. doi:10.1007/BF02737863
- 5. Tan JKL, Tang J, Fung K, et al. Development and Validation of a Comprehensive Acne Severity Scale. *J Cutan Med Surg.* 2007;11(6):211-216. doi:10.2310/7750.2007.00037

Age, mean (SD)	25.1 (5.7)
Age, n (%)	
18-25	108 (63.5)
26-35	50 (29.4)
36-45	8 (4.7)
>45	1 (0.6)
Did not disclose	3 (1.8)
Female, n (%)	118 (69.4)
Race, n (%)	
White	107 (62.9)
Asian	35 (20.6)
Black or African American	9 (5.3)
American Indian or Alaska Native	1 (0.6)
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0 (0)
More Than One Race	9 (5.3)
Other	7 (4.1)
Prefer Not to Disclose	2 (1.2)
Hispanic, n (%)	15 (8.8)
Current Treatment, n (%)	
Benzoyl Peroxide	59 (34.7)
Topical Retinoid	59 (34.7)
Topical Antibiotics	61 (35.9)
Clascoterone	8 (4.7)
Oral Antibiotics	21 (12.4)
Spironolactone	28 (16.5)
Isotretinoin	56 (32.9)
No Treatment	25 (14.7)
PGA, n (%)	
Clear (0)	8 (4.7)
Almost Clear (1)	55 (32.4)
Mild (2)	50 (29.4)
Moderate (3)	46 (27.1)
Severe (4)	11 (6.5)
CASS Max Score, n (%)	
Clear (0)	26 (15.3)
Almost Clear (1)	46 (27.1)
Mild (2)	63 (37.1)
Moderate (3)	33 (19.4)
Severe (4)	2 (1.2)
Very Severe (5)	0 (0)

Table 1: Demographics (N=170)

Abbreviations: PGA - patient global assessment, CASS - Comprehensive Acne Severity Scale.

	Symptoms Domain	Emotions Domain	Functioning Domain
Item #s	1-4	5-11	12-16
Mean ± Standard	21.1 ± 23.0	42.7 ± 28.7	22.9 ± 28.2
Deviation			
Median (IQR)	12.5 (29.2)	42.9 (50.0)	13.3 (36.7)
Floor	22.9%†	9.4%	33.5%‡
Ceiling	0.6%	1.8%	1.18%
Confirmatory Factor			
Analysis			
RMSEA	0.28	0.16	0.29
CFI	0.94	0.96	0.93
TLI	0.83	0.93	0.86
SRMR	0.04	0.03	0.03
Internal Consistency			
Cronbach's alpha	0.89	0.96	0.96
Known Groups Validity			
(Linear Regression Coef			
[95% CI])			
PGA	10.67 [7.67, 13.68]	14.13 [10.44, 17.81]	7.64 [3.61, 11.67]
CASS Max	9.23 [6.03, 12.43]	11.69 [7.70, 15.67]	5.86 [1.66, 10.06]
Correlations (r)			
PGA	0.48	0.50	0.28
CASS Max	0.40	0.41	0.21
CompAQ Symptoms	0.64	0.73	0.55
CompAQ Emotions	0.50	0.78	0.67
CompAQ Social	0.45	0.69	0.74
Judgement			
CompAQ Social	0.40	0.63	0.84
Interaction			

 Table 2: Measurement Properties of Skindex-16 Domains

Abbreviations: IQR – Interquartile Range, RMSEA – Root Mean Squared Error of Approximation, CFI – Comparative Fit Index, TLI – Tucker-Lewis Index, SRMR - Standard Root Mean Squared Residual, PGA - patient global assessment, CASS - Comprehensive Acne Severity Scale

*CompAQ data available for 116/170 encounters

[†] The frequency of floor effects for the symptoms domain decreased to 12.2% if those whose acne was rated as clear or almost clear were excluded

‡ The frequency of floor effects for the functioning domain decreased to 24.5% if those whose acne was rated as clear or almost clear were excluded

Supplement Methods: Outcome Measures

Skindex-16

Skindex-16 was used to capture the dermatology-specific health-related quality of life. It consists of 3 domains containing a total of 16 items (emotions [7 items], functioning [5 items], symptoms [4 items]).⁷ Each item was rated on a 7-point (0 = never bothered to 6 = always bothered) Likert-type scale. The recall period is 7 days. All responses are transformed to a linear 0-100 scale and the total score for each domain is calculated as the mean of the respondent's responses to each item in that domain. Higher scores indicate greater effects for each domain.

CompAQ

CompAQ was used to measure acne-specific health-related quality of life. It consists of 5 domains containing a total of 20 items (psychological/emotional [4 items], social-judgment [4 items], social-interactions [4 items], treatment concerns [4 items], and symptoms [4 items]).⁶ Each item was rated on a 9-point (0 = never, 2 = rarely, 4 = sometimes, 6 = often, 8 = all the time) Likert-type scale. The recall period is 30 days. A total score is calculated for each domain which could range from 0 to 32, with greater scores indicating greater effects for each domain.

DermSat-7

DermSat-7 was used to measure treatment satisfaction in patients currently on prescription treatment regimens for acne. It consists of 3 domains containing a total of 7 items (effectiveness [3 items], convenience [3 items], and overall satisfaction [1 item]).⁵ Each item is rated on a 5-point (1 = not satisfied, 2 = slightly satisfied, 3 = somewhat satisfied, 4 = mostly satisfied, 5 = completely satisfied) scale. The recall period is 7 days. The total score for each domain is transformed to a linear 0-100 scale, with higher scores representing greater satisfaction.

Patient Global Assessment

Patient global assessment (PGA) captured the patient's assessment of their acne severity. It was defined as: Clear (0) = no blackheads or whiteheads, no raised acne bumps, Almost Clear (1) = rare blackheads or whiteheads, no more than one or two raised acne bumps, Mild (2) = worse than almost clear some

blackheads or whiteheads, no more than a few raised acne bumps, Moderate (3) = worse than mild there may be many blackheads or whiteheads and some raised acne bumps but no more than one or two deeper acne spots under the skin, Severe (4) = worse than moderate there may be many blackheads or whiteheads and raised acne bumps, many deeper acne spots under the skin.

Anchor

The anchor was used to assess how patients felt that their acne severity had changed since their last visit.⁸ It was rated as 3 = much better, 2 = somewhat better, 1 = a little better, 0 = no difference, -1 = a little worse, -2 = somewhat worse, and -3 = much worse.

Comprehensive Acne Severity Scale

The Comprehensive Acne Severity Scale (CASS) score served as an investigator global assessment.⁹ Patients received a CASS score which ranged from: Clear (0), Almost Clear (1), Mild (2), Moderate (3), Severe (4), and Very Severe (5) for the face, chest, and back.

Supplement Figure 1:



Figure 1a: Known-Groups Validity Assessment of Skindex-16 Domain Scores and Patient Global Assessment in Patients with Acne



Figure 1b: Known-Groups Validity Assessment of Skindex-16 Domain Scores and Comprehensive Acne Severity Scale in Patients with Acne