



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *Fat-Free Mass and Total Daily Energy Expenditure Estimated Using Doubly Labeled Water Predict Energy Intake in a Large Sample of Community-Dwelling Older Adults*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/209667/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Hopkins, M. orcid.org/0000-0002-7655-0215, Casanova, N., Finlayson, G. orcid.org/0000-0002-5620-2256 et al. (2 more authors) (2023) Fat-Free Mass and Total Daily Energy Expenditure Estimated Using Doubly Labeled Water Predict Energy Intake in a Large Sample of Community-Dwelling Older Adults. *The Journal of Nutrition*, 152 (4). pp. 971-980. ISSN 0022-3166

<https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/nxab434>

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *Fat-Free Mass and Total Daily Energy Expenditure Estimated using Doubly Labelled Water Predict Energy Intake in a Large Sample of Community-Dwelling Older Adults*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/181930/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Hopkins, M orcid.org/0000-0002-7655-0215, Casanova, N, Finlayson, G orcid.org/0000-0002-5620-2256 et al. (2 more authors) (2021) Fat-Free Mass and Total Daily Energy Expenditure Estimated using Doubly Labelled Water Predict Energy Intake in a Large Sample of Community-Dwelling Older Adults. *The Journal of Nutrition*. ISSN 0022-3166

<https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/nxab434>

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

Fat-Free Mass and Total Daily Energy Expenditure Estimated using Doubly Labelled Water Predict Energy Intake in a Large Sample of Community-Dwelling Older Adults

Mark Hopkins^a, Nuno Casanova^b, Graham Finlayson^c, R James Stubbs^c and John E Blundell^c

^aSchool of Food Science and Nutrition, Faculty of Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom. ^b KinesioLab, Research Unit in Human Movement Analysis, Piaget Instituto, Almada, Portugal. ^cSchool of Psychology, Appetite and Energy Balance Research Group, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom.

Sources of Support for the Work:

None.

Conflict of Interest and Funding Disclosure:

Mark Hopkins- no conflicts of interest.

Nuno Casanova- no conflicts of interest.

Graham Finlayson- no conflicts of interest.

R James Stubbs- no conflicts of interest.

John E Blundell- no conflicts of interest.

Corresponding Author:

Dr Mark Hopkins,

School of Food Science and Nutrition,

Faculty of Environment,

University of Leeds,

Leeds,

United Kingdom.

Tel: +44 (0) 11334 36990

Email: M.Hopkins@Leeds.ac.uk

Word Count: 4932

Number of Figures: 2

Number of Tables: 3

Supplementary Material: 4

Running Heading: The drive to eat in older adults

Abbreviations: DLW, doubly labelled water; EI, energy intake; FM, fat mass; FFM, fat-free mass; PA, physical activity; RMR, resting metabolic rate; TDEE, total daily energy expenditure.

1 **ABSTRACT**

2 **BACKGROUND:** Up to 30% of community-based older adults report reduced appetite and energy intake
3 (EI), but previous research examining the underlying physiological mechanisms have focused on the
4 mechanisms that suppress eating rather than the hunger drive and EI.

5 **OBJECTIVE:** To examine the associations between fat-free mass (FFM), physical activity (PA), total
6 daily energy expenditure (TDEE) and self-reported EI in older adults.

7 **METHODS:** The present study was a secondary analysis of The Interactive Diet and Activity Tracking in
8 AARP Study. Body composition (deuterium dilution), PA (accelerometry) and TDEE (doubly labelled
9 water) were measured in 590 older adults (age = 63.1 ± 5.9 years; BMI = 28.1 ± 4.9 kg/m²). Total daily EI
10 was estimated from a single 24-hour dietary recall (EI_{single}; \pm one month of PA and TDEE measurement)
11 and the mean of up to six recalls over a 12-month period (EI_{mean}), with mis-reporters classified using the
12 95% confidence intervals between EI_{mean} and TDEE.

13 **RESULTS:** After controlling for age and sex, linear regression demonstrated that FFM and TDEE
14 predicted EI when estimated from a single 24-hour dietary recall ($p < 0.05$), the mean of up to six dietary
15 recalls ($p < 0.05$) and after the removal of those classified as under-reporters ($p < 0.001$). Age moderated
16 the associations between FFM and EI_{single} ($p < 0.001$), FFM and EI_{mean} ($p < 0.001$), and TDEE with EI_{single}
17 ($p = 0.016$), with associations becoming weaker across age quintiles.

18 **CONCLUSIONS:** These data suggest that total daily EI is proportional to FFM and TDEE, but not fat
19 mass, in older adults. These associations may reflect an underlying drive to eat that influences daily food
20 intake. While the associations between FFM or TDEE and EI existed across all age quintiles, these
21 associations weakened with increasing age.

22

23 **Trial Registration:** The Interactive Diet and Activity Tracking in AARP (IDATA) Study was registered
24 at clinicaltrials.gov as: [NCT03268577](https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT03268577) (<http://www.clinicaltrials.gov>).

25

26 **Key Words:** Fat-free mass, total daily energy expenditure, appetite, energy intake, older adults.

27 INTRODUCTION

28 Up to 30% of community based older adults over the age of 65 years experience a loss of appetite, termed
29 anorexia of aging, that increases their risk of malnutrition, sarcopenia, frailty, and mortality (1). Increased
30 concentrations of anorectic hormones such as cholecystokinin, pancreatic peptide YY, leptin and insulin
31 have been reported in studies comparing younger vs older adults (2), and when considered alongside
32 reduced gastric motility and emptying (3), provide a mechanistic account for why older adults display
33 earlier meal termination, reduced meal intake and greater post-prandial fullness (4). Importantly however,
34 these appetitive signals act post-prandially to suppress rather than to drive hunger and food intake. This
35 distinction is necessary as older adults also demonstrate losses in the motivational drive to eat, as evidenced
36 by reductions in perceived hunger. For example, a meta-analysis by Giezenaar et al. (4) reported that fasting
37 hunger ratings were 25% lower in older vs. young adults. Attenuated fasting hunger cannot be explained
38 by post-prandial satiety mechanisms, and a recent meta-analysis reported no differences between young
39 and older adults in fasted or post-prandial concentrations of the orexigenic 'hunger hormone' ghrelin (total
40 or acylated) (2). Consequently, while current scientific explanations provide a coherent account for the
41 increased post-prandial satiety seen in older adults, there is a need to examine the specific physiological
42 mechanisms that underlie sensations of hunger and the drive to eat in older adults.

43

44 Based on work conducted in young and middle-aged adults, we have proposed that the metabolic activity
45 of fat-free mass (FFM) creates a long-term (tonic) drive to eat that ensures the energetic demands of key
46 tissue-organs and metabolic processes are met (5-7). This model is based upon the existence of a
47 fundamental relationship between energy expenditure and energy intake (EI), and shifts scientific attention
48 from the mechanisms that suppress hunger to those that drive hunger. Ourselves and others have reported
49 positive associations between FFM, but not fat mass (FM), and subjective hunger, *ad libitum* meal intake
50 and total daily EI (8-12). Findings have been confirmed in free-living studies in which participants were
51 able to choose foods from their habitual diets (13, 14) and in a number of different populations (15-18). In

52 considering the mechanism underlying these associations, it is relevant that FFM is the strongest
53 determinant of resting metabolic rate (RMR), with RMR in turn, the strongest determinant of total daily
54 energy expenditure (TDEE). Accordingly, it has been demonstrated the effect of FFM on EI is mediated
55 statistically by RMR (19, 20) and TDEE (21), suggesting that energy expenditure *per se* may influence
56 daily EI.

57
58 For a number of reasons, it is theoretically and clinically important to investigate whether these associations
59 between FFM and EI exists in older adults. The loss of appetite with aging often exists in parallel with the
60 development of sarcopenia and declines in RMR (22), and when coupled with age-related reductions in
61 physical activity (PA), can lead to reductions in TDEE. Given the body of evidence described above, it can
62 be hypothesised that a decline in FFM with aging could contribute to the reduced drive to eat and EI seen
63 in this population. However, despite strong theoretical and logical appeal, there is little empirical evidence
64 demonstrating that TDEE and its main determinants (e.g., FFM, RMR and PA energy expenditure) exert
65 influence over food intake in older adults. An important first step is therefore to examine the relationships
66 between FFM (and FM) and EI in a large sample of older adults in which measures of body composition
67 and energy expenditure are available alongside measures of food intake. To this end, we analysed data from
68 The Interactive Diet and Activity Tracking in AARP (IDATA) Study, a biomarker validation study of self-
69 reported diet and PA measures in older adults (n = 1082; 50-74 years). The aim of our secondary analyses
70 was to examine the associations between gold-standard measures of FFM (deuterium dilution), PA (tri-
71 axial accelerometry), TDEE (doubly labelled water; DLW) and self-reported EI (with and without
72 adjustment for under-reporting) in a sub-sample of data where relevant outcomes were available (n = 590).

73

74 **METHODS**

75 **Participants and Study Design**

76 The present paper was a secondary analysis of 590 participants from a total of 1082 participants enrolled
77 in the IDATA Study (see **Table 1** for descriptive characteristics). The primary aim of the IDATA study

78 was to evaluate how well internet-based, self-report instruments measure EI and PA levels and their
79 relationship with disease, and it was registered at clinicaltrials.gov as: [NCT03268577](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT03268577)
80 (<http://www.clinicaltrials.gov>). In the present analyses, only participants with valid measures of body
81 composition (deuterium dilution), PA (tri-axial accelerometry), TDEE (DLW) and EI (24-hour recall) were
82 included. A participant flow diagram for the main IDATA study can be found in Supplementary Materials
83 Figure 1, while a flow diagram detailing the inclusion/exclusion of participants used in the present analyses
84 can be found in Supplementary Materials Figure 2. Participants were aged between 50-74 years, English
85 speaking, not following a weight loss diet, had internet access, and were free from mobility limitations and
86 major medical conditions (history of renal failure, congestive heart failure, or other conditions involving
87 disturbances in fluid balance). Participants enrolled in the IDATA study were randomly assigned to 1 of 4
88 study groups to reduce seasonal variation in diet and PA and completed a 12-month assessment period
89 (groups 1–4: $n = 183, 192, 240,$ and $460,$ respectively). Data collection was identical for each group except
90 that in Groups 1 and 3 TDEE and PA were measured during Month One while in Groups 2 and 4 these were
91 measured in Month Six (see **Table 2**). Anthropometric measures were taken during clinical laboratory visits
92 at Months One, Six and Twelve in all participants, while total daily EI was estimated bi-monthly using
93 online 24-hour dietary recalls. All participants provided written consent and the study was approved by the
94 National Cancer Institute Special Studies Institutional Review Board. Individuals who completed the study
95 received \$450. The present analyses were not part of the *a priori* outcomes of the IDATA study. Data were
96 accessed through the Cancer Data Access System (<https://cdas.cancer.gov/idata/>) after project proposal
97 approval by the National Cancer Institute (<https://cdas.cancer.gov/approved-projects/1916/>).

98 **Table 1 here**

99

100 **Total Daily Energy Expenditure**

101 Total daily energy expenditure was estimated over a 14-day period (month one for Groups 1 and 3, month
102 six for Groups 2 and 4) using DLW as previously described (23). Following an overnight fast (>8hrs),
103 participants provided a baseline urine sample and consumed orally a pre-prepared dose of $^2\text{H}_2^{18}\text{O}$ based on

104 their body mass (2 g of 10 atom percent ^{18}O labelled water and 0.12 g of 99.9 atom percent deuterium
105 labelled water per kg of estimated total body water). After consumption, participants provided an hourly
106 urine sample for four hours. One hour after dosing, an 8-ounce (240 mL) can of meal-replacement beverage
107 was provided. Participants could drink an additional 7 ounces (210 mL) of liquid over the next 4 h, with
108 liquid intakes recorded. Two final urine samples were collected 14 days after the initial dosing day. Total
109 daily energy expenditure was calculated using the equation of Racette et al. (24) and the modified Weir
110 equation, assuming a respiratory quotient of 0.86 for all participants. Urine samples were analysed at the
111 University of Wisconsin's Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometry Core by using the isotope measurement
112 method described in the OPEN study (23).

113 **Table 2 here**

114

115 **Body Composition and Anthropometry**

116 Total body water (kg) was determined by deuterium dilution with isotope dilution spaces (kg) calculated
117 according to Coward and Cole (25). Total body water was calculated as the average of the deuterium
118 dilution space divided by 1.041 and the oxygen dilution space divided by 1.007 to correct for in vivo isotope
119 exchange (24). Fat-free mass was estimated as FFM (kg) = total body water/0.732, assuming a hydration
120 factor of 0.732 and that total body fat is hydrophobic (26). Fat mass was estimated as body mass (kg) minus
121 FFM (kg). Anthropometric measurements were taken at Months One, Six and Twelve, with body mass
122 index calculated from height and weight (kg/m^2). For height and body mass measurements, the mean of
123 two repeat readings at each clinical visit was used, with a third reading taken if significant differences
124 between the first two readings existed.

125

126 **Physical Activity**

127 The measurement of PA, and its relationship to TDEE, have been described in detail elsewhere (27). Briefly,
128 PA was measured using hip worn tri-axial accelerometers (ActiGraph GT3X) with participants asked to
129 wear the device for seven consecutive days and only to remove it when showering, bathing, swimming and

130 immediately prior to bed at night. Two 7-day PA collection periods were conducted in the IDATA study,
131 but the present analysis only used the 7-day period corresponding to the month in which the DLW
132 measurement was taken (Month One for Groups 1 and 3; Month Six for Groups 2 and 4). Mean activity
133 counts per days (CPM/D) were calculated from the vector magnitude of the X, Y and Z axes using data
134 averaged over 60 second epochs. A minimum of 10 hours of wear time was needed to constitute a valid
135 measurement day (27), with the Choi algorithm (28) used to estimate non-wear time from vector magnitude
136 data using the ‘PhysicalActivity’ package in Rstudio version 1.4.1717. A minimum of 4 valid days were
137 required to be included in the present analysis, with participants having on average 6 valid days of
138 accelerometer data and a mean wear time of 14.8 hrs per day.

139

140 **Total Daily Energy Intake**

141 Total daily EI was estimated in the IDATA study using six bi-monthly 24-hour dietary recalls during the
142 12-month study period (see Table 2). In the present analysis, total daily EI was estimated from a single
143 dietary recall performed \pm one month of PA and TDEE measurement (EI_{single}), and the mean of the six
144 recalls (EI_{mean}) as the use multiple recalls has been shown to better reflect habitual food intake (29). For
145 EI_{mean} , participants completed an average of 5.6 ± 0.8 dietary recalls. The ASA24, a web-based dietary
146 assessment tool modelled on the USDA’s Automated Multiple-PASS Method for 24-hour dietary recalls
147 (30), was used to estimate EI. Participants were asked via e-mail to complete six ASA24s, each
148 unannounced and on a randomly assigned day, approximately every other month. If a participant did not
149 complete the requested ASA24 within 24 hours of e-mail notification, a reminder e-mail was sent on a new
150 randomly selected day. Participants were provided 3 attempts to complete each of the required 6 dietary
151 recalls. Nutrient and food group intakes in the ASA24 were estimated by using the USDA’s Food and
152 Nutrient Database for Dietary Studies, version 4.1; MyPyramid Equivalent Database, version 2.0; and the
153 NHANES Dietary Supplement Database 2007–2008. The IDATA study also included 4-day food records
154 and a food frequency questionnaire, but these were not closely aligned with PA or TDEE measurement and

155 the ASA24 has previously been shown to provide the best estimate of total daily EI relative to TDEE in
 156 these data (31).

157

158 **Mis-Reporting of Total Daily Energy Intake**

159 Dietary mis-reporting was examined using the 95% confidence intervals between EI_{mean} and DLW-derived
 160 TDEE based on the methods proposed by Black et al. (32):

161

162 • $95\% \text{ CL} = 2 \times \text{sqrt}[(CV_{\text{wEI}}^2/d) + CV_{\text{wEE}}^2 - 2r \cdot (CV_{\text{wEI}}/d) \cdot CV_{\text{wEE}}]$

163

164 Where d is the number of days of diet assessment, r is the Pearson's correlation coefficient between DLW-
 165 derived TDEE and EI_{mean} , the mean coefficient of EI variation (CV_{wEI}) was assumed to be 23% and the
 166 coefficient of variation for DLW energy expenditure (CV_{wEE}) was assumed to be 8.2% based on the values
 167 proposed by Black et al. (32). Acceptable reporters were defined as having an EI:TDEE ratio between 0.77-
 168 1.23, under-reporters as having a ratio EI:TDEE <0.77, and over-reporters as an EI:TDEE ratio >1.23.

169

170 **Statistical Analysis**

171 Statistical analyses were performed using Rstudio version 1.4.1717 and data are reported as mean \pm SD.

172 Independent two sample Welch's t-tests were used to examine for differences between males and female.

173 Paired t-tests were used to examine for differences between EI_{single} and EI_{mean} and between EI and TDEE.

174 Bland and Altman plots were also used to compare the difference between EI_{single} or EI_{mean} and TDEE using

175 the 'blandr' package in Rstudio. Pearson's correlations were used to examine the associations between body

176 composition, PA and TDEE with EI_{single} or EI_{mean} . As age-related changes in FFM, PA and TDEE may

177 accelerate during later life (33, 34), moderation analyses using PROCESS for SPSS (version 3.2) (35) was

178 used to examine whether age moderated the associations between FFM or TDEE and EI, with Pearson's

179 correlations repeated in each separate age quintile (see Supplementary Materials Table 1 for descriptive

180 characteristics by age quintiles). Linear regression was used to examine for predictors of EI based on our

181 previous findings in young and middle aged adults (5-7). In Models 1-3 EI_{single} was used as the dependent
182 variable, with FM and FFM entered as independent variables in Model 1, FM, FFM and PA in Model 2,
183 and FM, FFM, PA and TDEE in Model 3. The same models were repeated using EI_{mean} as the dependent
184 variable (models 4-6), and following removal of participants classified as under-reporters (models 7-9).
185 Given their known effects on FFM, TDEE and EI, sex and age were included in all regression models. As
186 body weight was measured on three occasions during the study (months 1, 6 and 12; mean change = -0.22
187 ± 3.59 kg), weight change was also initially added as a predictor of EI. However, weight change did not
188 predict EI or influence the associations between the remaining predictors, and therefore was not included.
189 Visual inspection of model residual Q-Q plots confirmed no serious violations of normality, while linearity
190 was confirmed via visual inspection of residual plots and homoscedasticity via Scale-Location plot
191 inspection. Multicollinearity was assessed using the variance inflation factor (VIF), which indicated that
192 there was no instability in any of the models with VIF scores <6.43 for all predictors included (36).
193 Examination of Cook's Distance indicated the presence of 4 influential outliers in 1 or more of regression
194 models 1-6 (Cook's Distance > 0.5 and a standardised residual >4.0). Therefore, these individuals were
195 removed and regression analyses conducted in 586 individuals in these models. Their removal did not alter
196 interpretation of model estimates or outcomes, but did result in a small increase in explained variance
197 (increase in adj R^2 between 0.6 to 1.5%). To account for differences in FM and FFM due to height,
198 regression analyses were also repeated using the FM ($FM/height^2$) and FFM ($FFM/height^2$) indexes, but
199 main outcomes again did not differ (see Supplementary Materials Table 2).

200

201 RESULTS

202 Participant descriptive characteristics can be found in Table 2. As would be expected, FFM was higher in
203 males than females ($t_{(529.5)} = 28.2$, $p < 0.001$), but FM did not differ by sex ($t_{(588)} = 1.8$, $p = 0.064$). Males
204 were less active than female ($t_{(586.3)} = 3.1$, $p = 0.002$), but TDEE was higher in males ($t_{(550.1)} = 17.5$, $p <$
205 0.001). Total daily EI did not differ when estimated from a single 24-hour dietary recall (EI_{single}) or based
206 on the mean of six recalls (EI_{mean} ; 9.3 ± 587 kcal/day; $t_{(589)} = 0.4$, $p = 0.699$). Both EI_{single} ($t_{(578.9)} = 6.4$, $p <$

0.001) and EI_{mean} ($t_{(568.5)} = 10.2$, $p < 0.001$) were higher in males than females. When EI was compared to TDEE, EI_{single} was -321 ± 841 kcal/day ($t_{(589)} = 9.3$, $p < 0.001$) lower than TDEE, while EI_{mean} was -312 ± 622 kcal/day lower ($t_{(589)} = 12.2$, $p < 0.001$). Limits of agreement between EI and TDEE were smaller with EI_{mean} (**Figure 1**), with the lower and upper limits of agreement for EI_{single} -1327 (95% CI = -1443 to -1211) and 1971 kcal/day (95% CI = 1854 to 2087), respectively, as compared to -907 (95% CI = -821 to -993) and 1532 (95% CI = 1446 to 1618), respectively.

Figure 1 here

Associations between Body Composition, Physical Activity and Total Daily Energy Expenditure

EI_{single} and EI_{mean} were positively associated with FFM ($r = 0.29$, $p < 0.001$; $r = 0.45$, $p < 0.001$, respectively;) and TDEE ($r = 0.31$, $p < 0.001$; $r = 0.42$, $p < 0.001$, respectively), but not FM ($r = -0.01$, $p = 0.814$; $r = -0.05$, $p = 0.427$, respectively) or PA ($r = 0.07$, $p = 0.075$; $r = 0.03$, $p = 0.470$, respectively; see **Figure 2**). To test whether the strength of these associations varied with age, moderation analysis was conducted. An interaction was found in which age ($\beta = -0.001$, $p = 0.002$; $\beta = 0.001$, $p = 0.045$, respectively) moderated the association between FFM and EI_{single} ($F_{(3, 586)} = 21.57$, $R^2 = 0.10$, $p < 0.001$) or EI_{mean} ($F_{(3, 586)} = 50.69$, $R^2 = 0.21$, $p < 0.001$), with the strength of associations between FFM and EI_{single} becoming weaker across age quintile 1 ($r = 0.45$; $p < 0.001$), quintile 2 ($r = 0.33$; $p < 0.001$), quintile 3 ($r = 0.23$; $p = 0.013$), quintile 4 ($r = 0.22$; $p = 0.018$) and quintile 5 ($r = 0.21$; $p = 0.022$). Similarly, the association between FFM and EI_{mean} was also weaker across age quintile 1 ($r = 0.56$; $p < 0.001$), quintile 2 ($r = 0.44$; $p < 0.001$), quintile 3 ($r = 0.42$; $p < 0.001$), quintile 4 ($r = 0.40$; $p < 0.001$) and quintile 5 ($r = 0.38$; $p < 0.001$). An interaction was also found in which age ($\beta = -0.009$, $p = 0.016$) moderated the association between TDEE and EI_{single} ($F_{(3, 586)} = 23.96$, $R^2 = 0.11$, $p < 0.001$), with the strength of association with EI_{single} again weakening across age quintile 1 ($r = 0.42$; $p < 0.001$), quintile 2 ($r = 0.35$; $p < 0.001$), quintile 3 ($r = 0.25$; $p = 0.007$), quintile 4 ($r = 0.28$; $p = 0.002$) and quintile 5 ($r = 0.23$; $p = 0.012$). Age did not moderate ($\beta = -0.005$, $p = 0.146$) the association between TDEE and EI_{mean} ($F_{(3, 586)} = 44.74$, $R^2 = 0.19$, $p < 0.001$), although the associations between TDEE and EI_{mean} did become weaker across age quintile 1 ($r = 0.52$; $p < 0.001$), quintile 2 ($r =$

233 0.41; $p < 0.001$), quintile 3 ($r = 0.42$; $p < 0.001$), quintile 4 ($r = 0.37$; $p < 0.001$) and quintile 5 ($r = 0.38$; p
 234 < 0.001).

235 **Figure 2 here**

236

237 **Body Composition, Physical Activity and Total Daily Energy Expenditure as Predictors of Total**
 238 **Daily Energy Intake**

239 To examine the relationships between body composition, PA, TDEE and EI_{single} , three linear regression
 240 models were examined (**Table 3**). In Model 1 ($F_{(4, 581)} = 16.15$, $p < 0.001$; $\text{adj } R^2 = 0.093$), FFM ($\beta = 0.30$;
 241 $p < 0.001$) and FM ($\beta = -0.10$; $p = 0.044$) predicted EI_{single} , but age and sex were not predictors. The addition
 242 of PA in Model 2 explained a further 0.8% of variance ($F_{(5, 580)} = 14.14$, $p < 0.001$; $\text{adj } R^2 = 0.101$), with
 243 FFM ($\beta = 0.33$; $p < 0.001$) and PA ($\beta = 0.10$; $p = 0.018$), but not FM, independently predicting EI_{single} . When
 244 TDEE was added (Model 3; $F_{(6, 579)} = 12.74$, $p < 0.001$; $\text{adj } R^2 = 0.105$), FFM ($\beta = 0.19$; $p = 0.049$) and
 245 TDEE ($\beta = 0.15$; $p = 0.022$) predicted EI_{single} . When these models were repeated using EI_{mean} as the
 246 dependent variable (Models 4-6), FFM ($\beta = 0.46$; $p < 0.001$) and FM ($\beta = -0.10$; $p = 0.026$) were again
 247 found to predict EI_{mean} , but not age and sex (Model 4; $F_{(4, 581)} = 43.88$, $p < 0.001$; $\text{adj } R^2 = 0.227$). Similarly,
 248 when PA was added, FFM ($\beta = 0.48$; $p < 0.001$) and PA ($\beta = 0.09$; $p = 0.033$) predicted EI_{mean} (Model 5;
 249 $F_{(4, 580)} = 36.23$, $p < 0.001$; $\text{adj } R^2 = 0.231$), but with the addition of TDEE (Model 6; $F_{(6, 579)} = 30.99$, $p <$
 250 0.001 ; $\text{adj } R^2 = 0.235$), only FFM ($\beta = 0.37$; $p < 0.001$) and TDEE ($\beta = 0.12$; $p = 0.049$) predicted EI_{mean} .

251

252 **Table 3 here**

253

254 **Mis-Reporting of Total Daily Energy Intake**

255 Based on the 95% confidence limits of agreement between EI_{mean} and TDEE, 205 individuals were classified
 256 as under-reporters. Removal of under-reporters did not alter the associations between body composition,
 257 PA, TDEE and EI_{mean} (Table 3; Models 7-9), with FFM ($\beta = 0.39$; $p < 0.001$) and TDEE ($\beta = -0.18$; $p <$

258 0.001) remaining independent predictors of EI_{mean} when included alongside age, sex, FM and PA ($F_{(6, 378)} =$
259 58.49, $p < 0.001$; $\text{adj } R^2 = 0.473$; Model 9).

260

261 **DISCUSSION**

262 This study examined the associations between body composition, PA, TDEE and EI in a large sample of
263 older adults to provide insight into the factors that drive rather than suppress food intake in this population.
264 Consistent with our work in younger adults (5-7), FFM and TDEE, but not FM, were positively associated
265 with self-reported EI. These associations between FFM and TDEE with EI remained consistent across
266 models based on EI estimates from a single 24-hour dietary recall (Model 3), the mean of up to six dietary
267 recalls (Model 6), and after the removal of those classified as under-reporters (Model 9). While FFM and
268 TDEE were associated with EI across all age quintiles, the strength of these associations was moderated by
269 age and decreased in strength across age quintiles. Our findings provide evidence that FFM and TDEE are
270 associated with EI in older adults, and suggest that daily EI is proportional to the amount of FFM and
271 TDEE, but not FM, in older adults.

272

273 **Fat-Free Mass and Total Daily Energy Expenditure as Predictors of Energy Intake**

274 In line with previous work in young and middle-aged adults (8-12), we demonstrate here that FFM and
275 TDEE, but not FM, were positively associated with EI in this sample of older adults, suggesting that the
276 amount of FFM and TDEE is proportional to daily EI in older adults. We have previously proposed that
277 such findings reflect an underlying long-term or tonic drive to eat that ensures the energetic demands of
278 key tissue-organs (e.g., FFM) and metabolic processes (e.g., RMR) are met through daily food intake (5-
279 7). As an important determinant of RMR and TDEE, this positions FFM as a key feature of homeostatic
280 appetite control that operates alongside adipose and gastro-intestinal satiety signals in the overall expression
281 of appetite and food intake. It has been suggested that the lower levels of hunger and EI seen in older adults
282 may reflect age-related changes in energy requirements subsequent to reductions in FFM, RMR and PA
283 energy expenditure (37). While this implies some form of ‘coupling’ between the demand for energy arising

284 from the biological processes and behavioural activities of daily living and EI, there is currently little
285 empirical evidence that TDEE or its main determinants influence appetite or food intake in older adults.
286 Indeed, we are aware of one study in which direct associations between FFM and appetite and EI have been
287 demonstrated in older adults, with Johnson et al. (38) reporting that the change in FFM (+1.2 kg) following
288 12 weeks of resistance exercise and protein supplementation was associated with the change in EI (+119
289 kcal) during an *ad libitum* test meal ($r = 0.53$). Limited cross-sectional evidence also exists linking impaired
290 appetite in older adults to reduced lean tissue mass and/or sarcopenia (39-42), but such studies are typically
291 reliant on questionnaires (often with dichotomous yes/no questions) designed to quantify malnutrition (e.g.,
292 SNAQ, MUST, MNA-SF) rather than objective measures of appetite or food intake. However, as age-
293 related appetite impairment reflects multi-factorial biological, psycho-social, environmental factors, and
294 disease states and associated treatment (1), longitudinal studies that track changes in body composition and
295 energy expenditure alongside appetite and EI are needed to establish a causal role for FFM and TDEE in
296 the control of appetite of older adults and its dysregulation with aging.

297
298 In the present study PA was also found to predict EI, but its effect was modest and only explained 1-4% of
299 the variance in EI when included alongside age, sex, FM and FFM. Further, when TDEE was added to these
300 regression models, TDEE typically displaced PA as a predictor of EI. These findings, which are in
301 agreement with our previous work in which activity energy expenditure predicted daily EI in free-living
302 adults (accounting for 3% of the variance in total daily EI) (14), suggest that the energetic cost of PA may
303 also influence EI via its contribution to TDEE, albeit more modestly than FFM or RMR. This modest
304 contribution is perhaps not surprising given the smaller and more variable contribution of PA energy
305 expenditure to TDEE as compared to FFM or RMR (43). In a recent publication using the present IDATA
306 dataset examining Pontzer's hypothesis of constrained TDEE (44), a positive linear relationship was found
307 between PA and TDEE across the entire cohort (27). It has previously been suggested that increasing PA
308 would be a viable means of increasing appetite and EI in older adults, but the effect of exercise and/or PA
309 on the mechanisms that control appetite in older adults is unclear (45).

310

311 Differences in the Strength of the Associations with Increasing Age

312 The associations between FFM and TDEE with EI were found to exist across all age quintiles, but the
313 strength of these associations was moderated by age and decreased between the youngest and oldest age
314 quintiles (~55 vs 71 years). These data suggest that while daily EI is proportional to TDEE and its main
315 determinants in older adults, the influence of FFM and TDEE on daily food intake may weaken with
316 increasing age. In keeping with these findings, age-related changes in FFM, RMR and TDEE are non-linear
317 and may accelerate during later life (33, 34), and these physiological and functional changes are exacerbated
318 by energy-protein malnutrition. When considered alongside our previous studies (5-7), the present findings
319 raise the question of whether a bi-directional relationship exists between FFM loss and appetite impairment
320 in older adults, with FFM loss potentially weakening the homeostatic drive to eat and further exaggerating
321 FFM loss due to under-nutrition. Again however, causation cannot be inferred from the present data. It
322 should also be noted that while the associations between FFM or TDEE weakened across age quintiles, no
323 differences in EI existed between quintiles (either in the whole dataset or after removal of under-reporters).
324 This lack of difference in EI might be because the proportion of males, who on average have higher EIs,
325 increased in the older age quintiles (34% in the youngest quintile vs 62% in the oldest). Participants in the
326 IDATA study were also free from major disease and comparatively active (PAL = 1.66 x RMR in males
327 and 1.69 x RMR in females). Therefore, the clinical importance of any weakening in the associations
328 between FFM or TDEE and EI remains to be determined. In the present data FM was not a predictor of EI
329 when included alongside FFM, PA and TDEE, which is consistent with previous studies that report positive
330 association between FFM and EI but a weak negative or no associations between FM and EI (9, 11, 13, 14).
331 It has been suggested that adipose tissue accumulation may disrupt the coupling between FFM and EI, with
332 associations between FFM and EI weaker in those living with obesity than lean individuals (12, 18, 21, 46).
333 While age moderated the associations between FFM or TDEE and EI in the present study, FM was not a
334 moderator of these associations (data not reported). This may be because participants in the current study
335 were non-obese and that FM did not differ between age quintiles.

336

337 Under-Reporting of Total Daily Energy Intake

338 As multiple 24-hour dietary recalls provide a better approximation of habitual EI than single recalls (29),
339 we estimated EI from a single recall closely aligned to the measures of PA and TDEE, and the mean of up
340 to six recalls over the 12-month study period. Total daily EI did not differ between these two estimates, but
341 the between-subject variation in EI was lower when using multiple recalls (e.g., smaller SD and limits of
342 agreement). This may account for why the explained variance in EI was modest when EI was estimated
343 from a single 24-hour dietary recall (adj $R^2 = 11\%$; Model 3), but increased when EI was estimated using
344 the mean of six dietary recalls (adj $R^2 = 24\%$; Model 6). This magnitude of explained variance is consistent
345 with previous studies using self-reported EI to examine the associations between FFM and EI (47). When
346 those classified as under-reporters were removed, the amount of explained variance further increased (adj
347 $R^2 = 47\%$; Model 9) and was consistent with that reported in studies examining these associations using
348 laboratory-based measures of EI (19). It should be noted though that the classification of individuals as
349 under-reporters was in part based on the strength of association between TDEE and EI (alongside the
350 coefficient of variation for EI and TDEE). This classification approach therefore likely resulted in a stronger
351 association between EI and TDEE in the remaining sample, contributing to the increase in explained
352 variance in models 7-9 alongside the removal of implausible EIs. It has been suggested that excluding
353 participants based on statistical cut-offs of mis-reporting may introduce selection biases that alter the nature
354 of the underlying associations examined (47). In the present data FFM and TDEE predicted EI across
355 models based on both single or multiple 24-hour dietary recalls and with or without removal of under-
356 reporters. This consistency across models suggests the nature of the associations between FFM and TDEE
357 with EI were robust, but findings need to be replicated using objective laboratory measure of EI.

358

359 Strengths and Limitations

360 This study used a large sample of older adults to examine the associations between body composition, PA
361 and TDEE with EI using gold standard measures of FFM, PA and TDEE. Findings that FFM and TDEE

362 were predictors of EI in these data are consistent with previous findings and provide further evidence that
363 the relationship between FFM and EI exists across the entire age spectrum from birth (16), through
364 childhood and adolescence (15) and into adulthood (8-12). It has been previously reported that FFM is
365 associated with subjective ratings of hunger and fullness (10, 11), but measures of appetite were not
366 available in the current dataset. Instead, findings are based on self-reported EI, and as would be expected,
367 our analysis indicated that under-reporting of EI was present. Importantly however, FFM and TDEE
368 consistently predicted EI across models with and without removal of under-reporters, and such findings are
369 consistent with studies using laboratory measured EI (8-12). While intake-balance methods can be used to
370 calculate objective estimates of EI, this approach was not appropriate here as the input variables needed to
371 calculate EI (e.g., TDEE and changes in FFM/FM or body weight) were the same as those used as predictors
372 of EI in the current analyses. The testing schedule employed in the IDATA study meant that the time-period
373 in which the measures were taken did not always coincide (see Table 2). In the present analyses we selected
374 measures that most closely aligned with one another, with PA, body composition and TDEE measured in
375 the same month while EI_{single} was assessed \pm one month of these measures. It also is important to note that
376 these analyses were cross-sectional with body composition and TDEE measured on a single occasion.
377 Therefore, these data cannot provide insight into the temporal patterns or causal relationships between age-
378 related losses in FFM or TDEE and appetite and EI. The sample used in the present analysis also had a
379 greater proportion of males than females ($n = 301$ vs. 289 , respectively), and this was further exacerbated
380 with the removal of under-reporters ($n = 221$ vs 164 , respectively). While the original IDATA was balanced
381 in terms of sex, a greater number of females were removed in the present analysis due to missing or
382 incomplete PA and EI data (see Supplementary Figure 2).

383

384 **Conclusions**

385 Our findings provide evidence that FFM and TDEE are associated with EI in older adults, and consistent
386 with previous findings in young adults, suggest that total daily EI is proportional to the amount of FFM and
387 TDEE (but not FM) in older adults. These associations between FFM and TDEE with EI may reflect an

388 underlying drive to eat that influences daily food intake in older adults. While the associations between
389 FFM or TDEE and EI were found to exist across all age quintiles, these associations weakened with
390 increasing age and future research should examine the potential for a bi-directional relationship between
391 FFM loss and appetite impairment with aging. When considered alongside existing understanding of age-
392 related changes in post-prandial satiety signalling and gastric motility/emptying, such data would provide
393 a stronger account of the physiological mechanisms that underlie the drive and inhibition of appetite in
394 older adults and its dysregulation with aging.

395

396 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

397 MH analysed the data, wrote the initial manuscript, and had primary responsibility for final content. NC,
398 RJS, GF and JEB contributed to the interpretation of data and edited the manuscript. All authors read and
399 approved the final manuscript.

400

401 Data described in the manuscript are publicly and freely available upon request via the National Cancer
402 Institute Access System (<https://cdas.cancer.gov/idata/>).

REFERENCES

1. Malafarina V, Uriz-Otano F, Gil-Guerrero L, Iniesta R. The anorexia of ageing: physiopathology, prevalence, associated comorbidity and mortality. A systematic review. *Maturitas*. 2013;74:293-302.
2. Johnson KO, Shannon OM, Matu J, Holliday A, Ispoglou T, Deighton K. Differences in circulating appetite-related hormone concentrations between younger and older adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Aging & clinical experimental research*. 2020;32:1233-44.
3. Rémond D, Shahar DR, Gille D, Pinto P, Kachal J, Peyron M-A, Dos Santos CN, Walther B, Bordoni A, Dupont D. Understanding the gastrointestinal tract of the elderly to develop dietary solutions that prevent malnutrition. *J of Oncotarget*. 2015;6:13858.
4. Giezenaar C, Chapman I, Luscombe-Marsh N, Feinle-Bisset C, Horowitz M, Soenen S. Ageing Is Associated with Decreases in Appetite and Energy Intake—A Meta-Analysis in Healthy Adults. *Nutrients*. 2016;8:28.
5. Blundell JE, Caudwell P, Gibbons C, Hopkins M, Naslund E, King N, Finlayson G. Role of resting metabolic rate and energy expenditure in hunger and appetite control: a new formulation. *Disease Models & Mechanisms*. 2012;5:608-13.
6. Blundell JE, Gibbons C, Beaulieu K, Casanova N, Duarte C, Finlayson G, Stubbs RJ, Hopkins M. The drive to eat in homo sapiens: Energy expenditure drives energy intake. *Physiology and behavior*. 2020;219:112846.
7. Hopkins M, Blundell JE. Energy balance, body composition, sedentariness and appetite regulation: pathways to obesity. *Clinical Science*. 2016;130:1615-28.
8. Weise C, Hohenadel M, Krakoff J, Votruba S. Body composition and energy expenditure predict ad-libitum food and macronutrient intake in humans. *International journal of obesity*. 2013;38:243-51.

9. Blundell JE, Caudwell P, Gibbons C, Hopkins M, Näslund E, King NA, Finlayson G. Body composition and appetite: fat-free mass (but not fat mass or BMI) is positively associated with self-determined meal size and daily energy intake in humans. *British journal of nutrition*. 2011;107:445-49.
10. Caudwell P, Finlayson G, Gibbons C, Hopkins M, King N, Naslund E, Blundell J. Resting metabolic rate is associated with hunger, self-determined meal size, and daily energy intake and may represent a marker for appetite. *American journal of clinical nutrition*. 2013;97:7-14.
11. McNeil J, Lamothe G, Cameron JD, Riou M-È, Cadieux S, Lafrenière J, Goldfield G, Willbond S, Prud'homme D, Doucet É. Investigating predictors of eating: is resting metabolic rate really the strongest proxy of energy intake? *American journal of clinical nutrition*. 2017;106:1206-12.
12. Grannell A, Al-Najim W, Mangan A, Kapoor N, Martin WP, Murphy JC, Docherty NG, le Roux CW, Davenport CJA. Fat free mass is positively associated with hunger and energy intake at extremes of obesity. *Appetite*. 2019;143:104444.
13. Hopkins M, Finlayson G, Duarte C, Gibbons C, Johnstone AM, Whybrow S, Horgan GW, Blundell JE, Stubbs RJ. Biological and psychological mediators of the relationships between fat mass, fat-free mass and energy intake. *International journal of obesity*. 2019;43:233-42.
14. Hopkins M, Duarte C, Beaulieu K, Finlayson G, Gibbons C, Johnstone AM, Whybrow S, Horgan GW, Blundell JE, Stubbs RJ. Activity energy expenditure is an independent predictor of energy intake in humans. *International journal of obesity*. 2019;43:1466-74.
15. Cameron JD, Sigal RJ, Kenny GP, Alberga AS, Prud'Homme D, Phillips P, Doucette S, Goldfield G. Body Composition and Energy Intake—Skeletal Muscle Mass is the Strongest

Predictor of Food Intake in Obese Adolescents: The HEARTY Trial. *Applied physiology, nutrition, and metabolism*. 2016;41:611-7.

16. Wells JC, Davies PS, Hopkins M, Blundell JE. The "drive to eat" hypothesis: energy expenditure and fat-free mass but not adiposity are associated with milk intake and energy intake in 12 week infants. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*. 2021;114:505-14.

17. Bi X, Forde CG, Goh AT, Henry CJN. Basal Metabolic Rate and Body Composition Predict Habitual Food and Macronutrient Intakes: Gender Differences. *Nutrients*. 2019;11:2653.

18. Casanova N, Beaulieu K, Oustric P, O'Connor D, Gibbons C, Duarte C, Blundell J, Stubbs RJ, Finlayson G, Hopkins M. Body Fatness Influences Associations of Body Composition and Energy Expenditure with Energy Intake in Healthy Women. *Obesity*. 2021;29:125-32.

19. Hopkins M, Finlayson G, Duarte C, Whybrow S, Horgan GW, Blundell J, Stubbs R. Modelling the Associations between Fat-free Mass, Resting Metabolic Rate and Energy Intake in the Context of Total Energy Balance. *International journal of obesity*. 2015;40:312-8.

20. Hopkins M, Finlayson G, Duarte C, Gibbons C, Johnstone A, Whybrow S, Horgan G, Blundell J, Stubbs J. Biological and psychological mediators of the relationships between fat mass, fat-free mass and energy intake. *International journal of obesity*. 2018.

21. Piaggi P, Thearle MS, Krakoff J, Votruba SB. Higher daily energy expenditure and respiratory quotient, rather than fat free mass, independently determine greater ad libitum overeating. *Journal of clinical endocrinology & metabolism*. 2015;jc. 2015-164.

22. Witard OC, McGlory C, Hamilton DL, Phillips SM. Growing older with health and vitality: a nexus of physical activity, exercise and nutrition. *Biogerontology*. 2016;17:529-46.

23. Subar AF, Kipnis V, Troiano RP, Midthune D, Schoeller DA, Bingham S, Sharbaugh CO, Trabulsi J, Runswick S, Ballard-Barbash R. Using intake biomarkers to evaluate the extent of

dietary misreporting in a large sample of adults: the OPEN study. *American journal of epidemiology*. 2003;158:1-13.

24. Racette SB, Schoeller DA, Luke A, Shay K, Hnilicka J, Kushner RF, Metabolism. Relative dilution spaces of 2H-and 18O-labeled water in humans. *American journal of physiology-endocrinology*. 1994;267:E585-E90.

25. Cole T, Coward W. Precision and accuracy of doubly labeled water energy expenditure by multipoint and two-point methods. *American journal of physiology-Endocrinology metabolism*. 1992;263:E965-E73.

26. Wang Z, Deurenberg P, Wang W, Pietrobelli A, Baumgartner RN, Heymsfield SB. Hydration of fat-free body mass: review and critique of a classic body-composition constant. *American journal of clinical nutrition*. 1999;69:833-41.

27. Willis EA, Creasy SA, Saint-Maurice PF, Keadle SK, Pontzer H, Schoeller D, Troiano RP, Matthews CE. Physical Activity and Total Daily Energy Expenditure in Older US Adults: Constrained versus Additive Models. *Medicine science in sports exercise and sport sciences reviews*. 2021.

28. Choi L, Liu Z, Matthews CE, Buchowski MS. Validation of accelerometer wear and nonwear time classification algorithm. *Medicine science in sports exercise and sport sciences reviews*. 2011;43:357.

29. Shim J-S, Oh K, Kim HC. Dietary assessment methods in epidemiologic studies. *Epidemiology health*. 2014;36.

30. Subar AF, Kirkpatrick SI, Mittl B, Zimmerman TP, Thompson FE, Bingley C, Willis G, Islam NG, Baranowski T, McNutt S. The Automated Self-Administered 24-hour dietary recall

(ASA24): a resource for researchers, clinicians, and educators from the National Cancer Institute.

Journal of the academy of nutrition dietetics. 2012;112:1134-7.

31. Park Y, Dodd KW, Kipnis V, Thompson FE, Potischman N, Schoeller DA, Baer DJ, Midthune D, Troiano RP, Bowles H. Comparison of self-reported dietary intakes from the Automated Self-Administered 24-h recall, 4-d food records, and food-frequency questionnaires against recovery biomarkers. *American journal of clinical nutrition*. 2018;107:80-93.

32. Black AE. Critical evaluation of energy intake using the Goldberg cut-off for energy intake: basal metabolic rate. A practical guide to its calculation, use and limitations. *International Journal of obesity*. 2000;24:1119-30.

33. Westerterp KR, Yamada Y, Sagayama H, Ainslie PN, Andersen LF, Anderson LJ, Arab L, Baddou I, Bedu-Addo K, Blaak EE. Physical activity and fat-free mass during growth and in later life. *American journal of clinical nutrition*. 2021.

34. Pontzer H, Yamada Y, Sagayama H, Ainslie PN, Andersen LF, Anderson LJ, Arab L, Baddou I, Bedu-Addo K, Blaak EE. Daily energy expenditure through the human life course. *Science*. 2021;373:808-12.

35. Hayes AF, Rockwood NJ. Regression-based statistical mediation and moderation analysis in clinical research: Observations, recommendations, and implementation. *Behaviour research and therapy*. 2017 Nov;98:39-57.

36. Hair JF, Tatham RL, Anderson RE, Black W. *Multivariate data analysis*. New Jersey: Pearson Prentice Hall; 2006.

37. Wysokiński A, Sobów T, Kłoszewska I, Kostka T. Mechanisms of the anorexia of aging—a review. *Age*. 2015;37:81.

38. Johnson KO, Holliday A, Mistry N, Cunniffe A, Howard K, Stanger N, O'Mahoney LL, Matu J, Ispoglou T. An increase in fat-free mass is associated with higher appetite and energy intake in older adults: a randomised control trial. *Nutrients*. 2021;13:141.
39. Chang M, Geirsdottir OG, Launer LJ, Gudnasson V, Visser M, Gunnarsdottir IJEJoA. A poor appetite or ability to eat and its association with physical function amongst community-dwelling older adults: age, gene/environment susceptibility-Reykjavik study. *European journal of ageing*. 2021;18:405-15.
40. İlhan B, Bahat G, Erdoğan T, Kilic C, Karan M. Anorexia is independently associated with decreased muscle mass and strength in community dwelling older adults. *The journal of nutrition, health aging*. 2019;23:202-6.
41. Landi F, Liperoti R, Russo A, Giovannini S, Tosato M, Barillaro C, Capoluongo E, Bernabei R, Onder G. Association of anorexia with sarcopenia in a community-dwelling elderly population: results from the ilSIRENTE study. *European journal of nutrition*. 2013;52:1261-8.
42. Van Dronkelaar C, Tieland M, Aarden JJ, Reichardt LA, Van Seben R, Van Der Schaaf M, Van Der Esch M, Engelbert RH, Twisk JW, Bosch JA. Decreased appetite is associated with sarcopenia-related outcomes in acute hospitalized older adults. *Nutrients*. 2019;11:932.
43. Johnstone A, Rance K, Murison S, Duncan J, Speakman J. Additional anthropometric measures may improve the predictability of basal metabolic rate in adult subjects. *European journal of clinical nutrition*. 2006;60:1437-44.
44. Pontzer H, Durazo-Arvizu R, Dugas LR, Plange-Rhule J, Bovet P, Forrester TE, Lambert EV, Cooper RS, Schoeller DA, Luke A. Constrained Total Energy Expenditure and Metabolic Adaptation to Physical Activity in Adult Humans. *Current biology*. 2016;26:410–7.

45. Clegg M, Godfrey A. The relationship between physical activity, appetite and energy intake in older adults: A systematic review. *Appetite*. 2018.
46. Cugini P, Salandri A, Cilli M, Ceccotti P, Di Marzo A, Rodio A, Fontana S, Pellegrino A, De Francesco G, Coda S. Daily hunger sensation and body compartments: II. Their relationships in obese patients. *Eating and Weight Disorders-Studies on Anorexia, Bulimia and Obesity*. 1999;4:81-8.
47. Vainik U, Konstabel K, Latt E, Maestu J, Purge P, Jurimae J. Diet misreporting can be corrected: confirmation of the association between energy intake and fat-free mass in adolescents. *The British journal of nutrition*. 2016 Oct;116:1425-36.

FIGURE LABELS

Figure 1: Bland and Altman plot illustrating the difference between total daily energy intake estimated from a single 24-hour recall (Panel A) or the mean of six 24-hour recalls (Panel B) and total daily energy expenditure (doubly labelled water) against the mean of the two measures (n = 590).

TDEE, total daily energy expenditure; EI_{single} , total daily energy intake estimated from a single dietary 24-hour recall; EI_{mean} , total daily energy intake estimated from the mean of up to six 24-hour dietary recalls. The dashed black horizontal line represents the mean bias between the two methods, and the two solid grey horizontal lines represent the lower and upper 95% limits of agreement. For Panel A, the mean bias was -321 kcal/day, with the lower and upper limits of agreement -1327 and 1971 kcal/day, respectively. For Panel B, the mean bias was -312 kcal/day, with the lower and upper limits of agreement -907 and 1532 kcal/day, respectively.

Figure 2: Associations between total daily energy intake estimated from the mean of up to six 24-hour dietary recalls and fat mass (Panel A), fat-free mass (Panel B), physical activity (Panel C) and total daily energy expenditure (Panel D) (n = 590).

Note, total daily energy intake estimated from the mean of up to six 24-hour dietary recalls. Linear regression line with 95% confidence intervals (shaded bands) added to illustrate the association between variables.

Table 1: Participant characteristics (mean \pm SD) for the whole sample and after removal of those classified as under-reporters based on the 95% confidence intervals between EI_{mean} and total daily energy expenditure.

	Whole sample	Males	Females	After Removal of under-reporters	Males	Females
N =	590	301	289	385	221	164
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)
Age (yrs)	63.1 \pm 5.9	64.2 \pm 5.6	61.9 \pm 5.9	63.6 \pm 6.0	64.5 \pm 5.73	62.6 \pm 6.1
Height (m)	1.70 \pm 0.9	1.76 \pm 0.07	1.63 \pm 0.06	1.70 \pm 0.8	1.76 \pm 0.07	1.63 \pm 0.07
Body mass (kg)	81.6 \pm 17.2	89.0 \pm 16.3	73.9 \pm 14.7	80.0 \pm 16.3	87.2 \pm 14.7	72.3 \pm 14.4
BMI (kg/m²)	28.1 \pm 4.9	28.5 \pm 4.6	27.6 \pm 5.1	27.76 \pm 4.6	28.1 \pm 4.2	27.1 \pm 5.0
Physical Activity (CPM/D)	683 \pm 248	653 \pm 258	715 \pm 234	674 \pm 245	638 \pm 258	712 \pm 226
Fat mass (kg)	30.6 \pm 10.5	29.9 \pm 10.7	31.5 \pm 12.0	29.7 \pm 9.6	29.0 \pm 9.2	30.5 \pm 10.1
Fat-free mass (kg)	51.0 \pm 11.1	59.2 \pm 8.5	42.4 \pm 9.7	50.3 \pm 10.5	58.2 \pm 7.4	41.9 \pm 5.47
TDEE (kcal/day)	2476 \pm 520	2773 \pm 481	2167 \pm 353	2386 \pm 467	2665 \pm 399	2088 \pm 330
EI_{single} (kcal/day)	2154 \pm 841	2364 \pm 878	1936 \pm 743	2366 \pm 835	2559 \pm 860	2160 \pm 756
EI_{mean} (kcal/day)	2164 \pm 627	2401 \pm 639	1916 \pm 508	2406 \pm 578	2641 \pm 591	2156 \pm 443

N; number of participants, BMI; body mass index, TDEE; total daily energy expenditure, CPM/D; counts per minute per day, EI_{single} ; total daily energy intake estimated from a single 24-hour dietary recall, EI_{mean} , total daily energy intake estimated from the mean of up to six 24-hour dietary recalls.

Table 2: Timing of measurements in Groups 1 to 4 during the 12-month data collection period of the IDATA study.

	Month 1	Month 2	Month 3	Month 4	Month 5	Month 6	Month 7	Month 8	Month 9	Month 10	Month 11	Month 12
Groups One & Three												
TDEE (DLW)												
Physical Activity												
Energy Intake												
Anthropometry												
Groups Two & Four												
TDEE (DLW)												
Physical Activity												
Energy Intake												
Anthropometry												

DLW; doubly labelled water, TDEE; total daily energy expenditure. Groups 1–4: $n = 183, 192, 240,$ and $460,$ respectively. Of note, EI_{single} was

calculated from the 24-hour dietary recall performed in Month 1 for Groups One and Three, and Month 5 for Groups Two and Four.

Intercept	869.4	338.7		0.010	269.8	360.5		0.455	141.9	336.7		0.674
Age (yrs)	-5.0	4.1	-0.05	0.229	-2.0	4.1	-0.02	0.623	-1.8	3.8	-0.01	0.645
Sex	-221.3	94.1	-0.20	0.019	-200.9	92.2	-0.17	0.029	-212.1	86.0	-0.18	0.015
FM (kg)	-6.8	3.1	-0.11	0.029	-3.9	3.1	-0.07	0.202	-4.4	2.9	-0.07	0.131
FFM (kg)	43.2	4.7	0.78	<0.001	43.8	4.6	0.79	<0.001	21.4	5.2	0.39	<0.001
PA (CPM/D)					0.4	0.1	0.18	<0.001	0.1	0.1	0.05	0.240
TDEE (kcal/day)									0.6	0.1	0.49	<0.001

FM; fat mass, FFM; fat-free mass, PA; physical activity, TDEE; total daily energy expenditure, CPM/D; counts per minute per day, EI_{single}; total daily energy intake estimated from a single 24-hour dietary recall, EI_{mean}, total daily energy intake estimated from the mean of up to six 24-hour dietary recalls. Linear regression used to examine the effects of age, sex, body composition, physical activity and total daily energy expenditure on daily energy intake. In Models 1-3 EI_{single} used as the dependent variable, with FM and FFM entered as independent variables in Model 1, FM, FFM and PA in Model 2, and FM, FFM, PA and TDEE in Model 3. The same models were repeated using EI_{mean} as the dependent variable (models 4-6), and following removal of under-reporters (models 7-9). Given their known effects on FFM, TDEE and EI, sex and age were included in all regression models.