



This is a repository copy of *Contexts, behavioural mechanisms and outcomes to optimise therapeutic exercise prescription for persistent low back pain: a realist review.*

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/209625/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Wood, L. orcid.org/0000-0003-1039-1642, Foster, N.E., Dean, S.G. orcid.org/0000-0002-3682-5149 et al. (3 more authors) (2024) Contexts, behavioural mechanisms and outcomes to optimise therapeutic exercise prescription for persistent low back pain: a realist review. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 58 (4). pp. 222-230. ISSN 0306-3674

<https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2023-107598>

© 2024 The Authors. Except as otherwise noted, this author-accepted version of a journal article published in *British Journal of Sports Medicine* is made available via the University of Sheffield Research Publications and Copyright Policy under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here:

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

This article has been accepted for publication in BJSPORTS following peer review.

The definitive copyedited, typeset version is available online at [10.1136/bjsports-2023-107598](https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2023-107598)

Contexts, behavioural mechanisms and outcomes to optimise therapeutic exercise prescription for persistent low back pain: a realist review

Lianne Wood^{1,2}, Vicky Booth^{2,3}, Sarah Dean¹, Nadine E Foster⁴, Jill A Hayden⁵, Andrew Booth⁶

¹Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, University of Exeter, Exeter, United Kingdom

²Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust, Nottingham, United Kingdom

³University of Nottingham, Nottingham, United Kingdom

⁴STARS Education and Research Alliance, Surgical Treatment and Rehabilitation Service (STARS), The University of Queensland and Metro North Health, Brisbane, Australia

⁵Department of Community Health and Epidemiology, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Canada

⁶University of Sheffield, Sheffield, United Kingdom

Corresponding Author: Lianne Wood, l.wood2@exeter.ac.uk; Department of Public Health and Sports Science, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, St.Lukes Campus University of Exeter, 79 Heavitree Road, Exeter EX1 2LU United Kingdom. Tel: +44 7814819337

Contributorship

All authors contributed to the research protocol, funding award, research results and interpretation. AB performed all searches and acted as second reviewer with LW for title and abstract and full text review. LW extracted data from included texts, engaged with patient and public involvement groups, developed initial context-mechanism-outcome configurations and programme theory. LW drafted the manuscript, and all authors have contributed to and reviewed the final manuscript.

Abstract

Objective:

Therapeutic exercises are a core treatment for low back pain (LBP), but it is uncertain how rehabilitative exercise facilitates change in outcomes. Realist reviews explore how the context (C) of certain settings or populations and underlying mechanisms (M) create intended or unintended outcomes (O). Our objective was to explore and understand the behavioural mechanisms by which therapeutic exercise creates change in outcomes of adherence, engagement and clinical outcomes for patients with LBP.

Methods:

This was a realist review reported following the Realist and Meta-narrative Evidence Syntheses: Evolving Standards (RAMESES) guidance. We developed initial programme theories, modified with input from a steering group (experts, n=5), stakeholder group (patients and clinicians, n=10) and a scoping search of the published literature (n=37). Subsequently, an information specialist designed and undertook an iterative search strategy, and we refined and tested CMO configurations.

Results:

Of 522 initial papers identified, 75 papers were included to modify and test CMO configurations. We found that the patient-clinician therapeutic consultation builds a foundation of trust and was associated with improved adherence, engagement and clinical outcomes, and that individualised exercise prescription increases motivation to adhere to exercise and thus also impacts clinical outcomes. Provision of support such as timely follow-up and supervision can further facilitate motivation and confidence to improve adherence to therapeutic exercises for LBP.

Conclusions:

Engagement in and adherence to therapeutic exercises for LBP, as well as clinical outcomes, may be optimised using mechanisms of trust, motivation, and confidence. These CMO configurations provide a deeper understanding of ways to optimise exercise prescription for patients with LBP.

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN:

- Therapeutic exercises are widely recommended in the management of persistent low back pain.
- There is strong evidence that rehabilitative exercise is moderately effective in comparison to no treatment in improving pain and physical function.
- Realist reviews answer the question of 'what works for whom, in what circumstances, and how'.

WHAT ARE THE NEW FINDINGS:

- Adherence to and clinical outcomes following therapeutic exercise prescription are optimised when the mechanisms of trust, motivation and confidence are utilised.
- The therapeutic alliance and development of rapport are foundations to the development of trust, facilitate holistic assessment and the identification of individual needs and beliefs.
- Exercise prescribed in such a way that it is tailored to the individual's goals, with personalised advice and education to reassure and build confidence increases motivation and adherence.
- Timely follow-up, perceived benefit and support from peers, and supervision can further facilitate motivation to continue to adhere to exercise prescription, positively impacting clinical outcomes.

Contexts, behavioural mechanisms and outcomes to optimise therapeutic exercise prescription for persistent low back pain: a realist review

INTRODUCTION

Low back pain (LBP) is the leading cause of disability worldwide,(1,2) with more than 540 million people experiencing LBP at any one time.(3) Persistent LBP is a multifactorial condition with underpinning mechanism(s) that can vary for each individual, and is defined as LBP lasting more than three months.(3) Exercise is a core recommended treatment for persistent LBP in international guidelines.(4–6) However, exercise has been shown to have, at best, small to moderate effects on outcomes such as pain and physical function when compared to non-exercise controls. (7)

It is recommended that randomised controlled trials (RCTs) comparing exercise interventions versus other treatments use reporting checklists such as the Consensus on Exercise Reporting Template (CERT)(8) and the Template for Intervention Description and Replication (TIDieR).(9) Despite this, many RCTs are still incompletely reported,(10,11) and the rationale for how the prescribed exercise intervention is anticipated to ‘work’ is poorly described. (12,13) The specified treatment targets of prescribed exercise for LBP are rarely the primary outcome of these RCTs, a potential explanation for the modest effect sizes reported.(13) RCTs which do not include process evaluations and conventional systematic reviews are seldom designed to explain why an intervention ‘works’, or to identify the most important components and mechanisms underpinning the treatment effect.(14)

Realist methods aim to provide understanding about the fundamental components that render an intervention successful (14) and can enhance the evidence base. Interventions trigger certain mechanisms (M), according to the characteristics and circumstances of the participants (contexts) (C), leading to a variety of outcomes (O).(14,15) In realist research, causal connections are established by considering “CMO-configurations” (CMOCs). These CMOCs are, therefore, better able to explain detail and recognise the different mechanisms and contextual factors that may be linked to an outcome, compared to conventional systematic reviews.(14) This detail may help researchers and clinicians to better understand an intervention and the contextual factors needed to produce certain outcomes.

Therapeutic exercise has been proposed to reduce LBP through neuromuscular, behavioural, psychosocial, neurophysiological, cardiometabolic, or tissue healing mechanisms.(16) However, it is unclear how these mechanisms are triggered, whether all are at play in similar contexts and proportions, or whether certain contexts favour activation of different mechanisms. It is further unknown whether each of these alternate mechanisms leads to a different outcome of importance. A core outcome set for RCTs of LBP was agreed in 2019, including pain, physical function and quality of life.(17) We did not specify what outcomes of importance for people with persistent LBP were when this review began. To date, mediation analyses of exercise RCTs have found supporting evidence for psychosocial factors to mediate a change in outcomes such as pain and physical function,(18–20) suggesting that this may be an important area to focus on. Increasingly, the importance of contextual factors such as the therapeutic alliance, practitioner and patient beliefs, and other non-specific effects is recognised. However, limited value has traditionally been placed on these components. Their importance has been highlighted (21,22) but it remains unknown how much they contribute to changes in outcomes of importance.

The aim of this research was to understand how therapeutic exercise prescription creates change in outcomes of adherence, engagement and clinical outcomes for those with persistent LBP. The research questions for the review were:

- a. How does therapeutic exercise prescription create change in outcomes of adherence, engagement, and clinical outcomes for patients with persistent LBP?
- b. What are the key behavioural mechanisms of exercise prescription?
- c. Under what contexts is exercise prescription optimised?

METHODS

Study Design

This realist review followed the stages described by Pawson, (14) including i) articulating key initial programme theories, ii) searching for relevant evidence, iii) appraising quality of evidence, iv) extracting the data, and v) synthesising evidence. We have structured the methods to reflect the two key phases of the realist review: the development of programme theories, and the testing and refinement of initial theories. A full protocol was published (CRD42017072023) and the results are reported according to the Realist and Meta-narrative Evidence Syntheses: Evolving Standards (RAMESES)(23,24) guidance (see attached). In this paper, programme theory refers to the overarching theory or understanding of how therapeutic exercise prescription creates change in outcomes for those with LBP.

Phase 1: Programme theory development

Initial Theory Development

An initial programme theory was developed using three steps: 1) a logic model was developed as the output of a systematic review and international consensus workshop as part of the lead author's (LW) PhD program.(25) 2) An initial scoping search was undertaken by the team's information specialist (AB) to identify key papers to direct the search strategy. This search used the key terms of 'low back pain', 'exercise' and 'theory' and identified 20 candidate papers. A further 17 papers were added by the steering committee for review using their expert knowledge of the available literature. 3) Stakeholder group meetings included patients with lived experience of LBP, exercise prescribers (physiotherapists and personal trainers), and a behaviour change expert (see protocol for more information (26)). The author team informed the development of the research protocol, funding application and met quarterly to refine and discuss the research process and findings.

Equity, Diversity and Inclusion

The stakeholder group was recruited using advertisements through the local Patient and Public Involvement and Engagement groups, physiotherapy department, and approaching known experts in the field of chronic pain. Only four female patient members responded to the advertisements. The clinician respondents were mixed equally between genders. The author team was predominantly female with one male realist expert.

Identification and inclusion of studies

Search Processes

An electronic search was conducted on the 15 August 2022 of Ovid MEDLINE, Ovid PsycInfo, and Ovid Embase. The search strategy was developed and performed by the information specialist (AB). Keywords and controlled language terms were adapted to each database for the terms *exercise prescription*, *therapeutic alliance*, and *back pain* (see supplement for search strategies used) based on the initial programme theory. Two steps were performed in the search strategy (see Supplement 1).

Data Selection

Based on the initial programme theory, studies were included that focussed on *the therapeutic alliance*, *prescribed exercise for persistent LBP*, *the patient's perspective* and were published in English. Based on discussions with patients, and the results of our scoping search, we focussed our inclusion on studies exploring behavioural and/ or psychosocial changes. All titles and abstracts and full-text studies were screened by two reviewers, and where disagreement occurred, discussion mediated final inclusion. All potentially relevant full texts were obtained and reasons for exclusion were documented. Confirmation of full text selection was tested on a random sample of 10% of excluded studies with three members of the steering group, prior to proceeding with data extraction.

Quality Appraisal and Data extraction

Realist syntheses employ an approach to quality assessment that prioritises relevance alongside rigour and richness.⁽²⁷⁾ Studies were rated for relevance, richness and rigour on a five-point scale (where: 1 = none whatsoever, 2 = poor, 3 = fair, 4 = good, 5 = exceptional) resulting in three assessments as seen in Supplement 2.^(27–29) Studies were prioritised but no studies were excluded based on these assessments.

Data extraction was undertaken by one author using a table to document: author, year, country, aim, study design, participant characteristics, outcome measures. CERT (8) components were extracted as per supplement 5. Data were sought that substantiated, refined, or refuted the programme theories and described contextual characteristics. Data were extracted to explain contexts (e.g., settings), mechanisms (e.g., fear of movement) and outcomes (e.g., pain) and their influence on the CMOcs recorded through annotations. Relevant material was highlighted, labelled, and recorded using PDF annotation (Adobe Acrobat 2023), Microsoft Word and Excel (Microsoft 365) documents. Two reviewers pilot tested the data extraction table (LW and AB) with 10% of studies. Discrepancies were discussed and resolved before proceeding with data extraction from the remaining studies. Coding themes were developed using the pre-existing logic model and stakeholder engagement, namely therapeutic consultation, the exercise prescription, and follow-up/ monitoring.

Developing and Refining the Initial Programme Theory

Data were tabulated and synthesised for all included studies. A narrative approach was used to synthesise data using a data matrix.⁽²⁴⁾ One reviewer familiarised themselves with the results of included studies, systematically and comprehensively assessing each study's results, highlighting important study characteristics and findings. The data coding and mapping was checked and discussed with a further reviewer, and discrepancies discussed with the author team. Preliminary mapping was discussed with the stakeholder and author team. The data matrix documented CMOcs

under each of three key themes: therapeutic consultation, exercise prescription components, and follow-up and monitoring. Once data were extracted and cleaned for consistency, they were synthesised according to similarities within these categories and to the three identified outcome types, namely adherence, engagement and patient reported outcomes. We used these terms broadly, as reported in the literature and did not attempt to place meaning on them beyond what the text reported.

Phase 2: Testing the Theory

Testing Process Undertaken

The refined CMOcs were presented to both author and stakeholder groups for further input, modification, and refinement. We returned to the initial list of excluded papers for studies that might contain evidence to enable further exploration of components of the programme theory (for example a study may have been initially excluded for focusing on therapeutic alliance in a non-LBP population but subsequently included because the therapeutic alliance theory extends beyond LBP-specific populations). We also revisited the initial scoping review studies included in the programme theory development phase and checked the citations of key studies. (30–32) The refined CMOcs were then tested with quantitative and qualitative evidence, as well as identified theory to support or refute the statements. For quantitative data, we extracted the overall certainty of results where synthesis had incorporated Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluations (GRADE) framework recommendations.(33) We extracted meta-analysed between-group mean differences or odds ratios (with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) or credible intervals (CrIs) as reported) or single study data as available. The final programme theory used graphical presentation to illustrate the chain of reasoning underpinning how components of therapeutic exercise produce mechanisms that lead to outcomes and impacts.(34) The stakeholder and author groups were involved at key stages to assist with interpreting and analysing the results.

Confidence in results

We assigned an overall assessment of confidence in each of the CMOcs based on the rigour (methodological limitations), relevance, coherence and adequacy assessments of the individual and summative studies underpinning each statement where possible using the GRADE-CERQual (Confidence in the Evidence from Reviews of Qualitative research) series. (35–40) The GRADE-CERQual system criteria are listed in Supplement 3.

Changes to initial protocol

The initial research questions were refined to reflect a stronger realist perspective and to emphasise therapeutic exercise *prescription*, rather than exercise more generally. These changes were agreed by the author team. The GRADE-CERQUAL grading system was applied to each CMOc.

RESULTS

Search Results

A total of 522 unique papers were found with the initial systematic search. Confirmation of full-text exclusion was performed with 45 full-text papers, resulting in two papers recategorized as included (96% agreement). A total of 42 papers were included after screening for the programme theory development (see Figure 1). An additional 33 papers were added for theory testing (secondary searching (n=10), reference searching (n=6), and other methods (recommended by experts) (n=17)).

Included Studies

Twenty-two of the 75 included studies comprised qualitative research designs [12 patient perspective;(31,41–51) 5 physiotherapist perspective;(52–56) 5 mixed perspectives (57–61)], followed by quantitative designs (n=15) [longitudinal cohort studies n=11,(22,62–71) secondary analysis of randomised controlled trials n=4 (72–75)), randomised controlled trials (n=15)(76–90), systematic reviews (n=15)(21,32,47,91–102), mixed methods (n=3)(30,103,104), commentary (n=2)(105,106), case reports (n=2)(107,108) and narrative reviews (n=1)(109). See Supplement 4 for details of included studies and their quality appraisal. Data were extracted regarding exercise characteristics from 16 reports (15 studies) (See Supplement 5).

Data Synthesis: Building of Context-Mechanism-Outcome configurations.

The programme theory (see Figure 2) explains how exercise prescription is optimally delivered by considering therapeutic consultation, specific exercise prescription components and the provision of support through follow-up and monitoring. Therapeutic consultation was chosen as a more comprehensive term to encompass the sub-themes of include 'rapport', 'holistic assessment' and 'therapeutic alliance'. Three different key outcomes were grouped: engagement with exercise (including participation), adherence to exercise, and clinically important outcomes (including patient reported outcomes). For more detail regarding the data extraction and CMOC creation please see Supplement 6&7.

Mechanisms Associated with Exercise Adherence, Engagement, and Improved Outcomes

The key mechanisms identified underpinning change in outcomes related to exercise prescription were trust, motivation, and confidence.

Trust as a Mechanism

Trust emerged as a mechanism from 27 well-conducted studies (22,31,32,41,48,50–52,54,56,58,62,65,67,70,74,90,93,95,96,98,100,101,103,113,116,117) and was identified as a key mechanism to all identified outcomes (adherence, engagement, and clinical outcomes). Consistently high confidence underpinned the CMOCs as seen in Table 1, with moderate confidence in only three of the ten CMOCs. Trust is a component of the interpersonal bond, which is part of the therapeutic alliance. (118–120) Although few quantitative studies measured trust as a component of the therapeutic alliance (22,62,67,70,115) we found frequent reference to it, particularly within the qualitative studies(46,48,51,54,56,113).

Both the development and maintenance of trust were impacted by contexts and mechanisms related to communication, education and collaboration through individualised assessment and management. The development of trust was initially facilitated by the clinician's ability to develop rapport through communication skills, which enabled individualised holistic assessment and the provision of "specific explanations" to "reassure patients" and "win their trust". Trust was further

developed through collaboration which enabled individualised prescription of exercise. Time was seen as a key enabler to the development of trust, by allowing the development of the therapeutic alliance using holistic assessment and supervision, and patients felt their trust was validated when they had more opportunities for follow-up with the clinician.

Table 1: Summary of the context-mechanism-outcome configurations for trust as a key mechanism of exercise

| Subthemes of the Mechanism | Context Mechanism Outcome Configurations | Person related to | Confidence* in findings |
|-----------------------------------|---|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Win/ Build / Develop Trust | When clinicians and patients first meet, they develop a rapport (C) through use of a communication style (M) which establishes their initial therapeutic relationship (O) (46,48,51,56,95,96,113) | Clinician and patients | High confidence |
| | When rapport is established within the therapeutic relationship (C) there is a foundation from which to build trust (M) and the clinician can explore and understand the patients' beliefs and fears (O) (46,54-56,61,113,121) | Clinician | Moderate confidence |
| | When a clinician provides a holistic assessment (C) they build trust in the therapeutic relationship (M) as the patient feels heard and understood as a person (O) (43,44,47,48,54,56,60,102,103,122) | Clinician | High confidence |
| | When the clinician provides specific explanations tailored to the individual's beliefs and fears (C) they will build trust in the therapeutic relationship (M) and this will provide reassurance to the patient (O) (21,49,55,57,62,92,96,113) | Clinician | High confidence |
| | When a clinician provides individualised reassurance (C) using well-developed communication skills (M) this will reconcile unhelpful beliefs of the patient (O) (43,44,47-49,54,93,101,113) | Clinician | High confidence |
| | When the clinician can reconcile the patient's unhelpful beliefs (C) the physiotherapist will win trust (M) and the patient is more likely to engage with therapy (O) which will result in improved outcomes (O) (22,43,50,51,54,62,66,70,74,75,81,84,90,93,96,100,101,123) | Clinician and Patient | Moderate confidence |
| Maintain Trust | When there is facilitated disclosure in the therapeutic relationship (C) this allows opportunity to reconcile unhelpful patient beliefs (M) and will lead to enhanced adherence (O) (50,54,59) | Clinician and patient | High confidence |
| | When there is collaboration in the therapeutic relationship (C) the development of trust occurs (M) and leads to an individualised exercise prescription (M) which will improve adherence (O) (43,45,46,53,54,56-58,78,95,100,101,103,109) | Clinician and patient | High confidence |
| | When a clinician prescribes a holistic treatment plan (C) that is individualised to the patient (M) the patient's adherence is likely to be increased (O) (45,47,53,63,75,95,101) | Clinician and patient | Moderate confidence |
| | When a clinician provides regular feedback (C) then trust is facilitated through the development and maintenance of the therapeutic alliance (M) which will in turn support further engagement with exercise therapy (O) (51,61,113) | Clinician | High confidence |

*confidence derived by CERQual assessment (see Supplement 5)

Motivation as a Mechanism

Motivation was a key mechanism underpinning engagement and adherence to exercise prescription in 13 studies. (31,32,42,48,49,58,59,78,79,92,93,99,113) It appears that motivation to engage and adhere with exercise prescription comprised three main subthemes of motivation that could impact the CMOcs: a *feeling of support, individualised assessment and prescription, and the perceived benefit* (See Table 2). These CMOcs were underpinned by evidence; most at a high confidence level, two CMOcs with moderate confidence and one CMOc with low confidence. Motivation as a mechanism relied on the presence of an ongoing positive therapeutic relationship, holistic assessment, and individualised treatment plan. When these contexts were in place, the patient would feel supported, which increased motivation and allowed them to change their established behaviours and engage with self-management strategies.(48) Both the feeling of support and individualised assessment required clinician skill to facilitate the motivation.(48,61,103) Further, the perceived competence (confidence) of the clinician appears to influence the patient’s motivation which can impact clinical outcomes.(63) Perceived benefit relied on the patient’s perception of improvement, enjoyment, and safety to facilitate motivation and could act as a barrier or facilitator to the mechanism of motivation, with impact on resultant engagement and adherence.

Table 2: Summary of the context-mechanism-outcome configurations for motivation as a key mechanism of exercise

| Subthemes of the Mechanism | Context Mechanism Outcome Configurations | Person related to | Overall Confidence* |
|----------------------------|---|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Feeling of Support | When the clinician and patient develop a positive therapeutic relationship built on trust (C) this leads to collaboration (M) which allows the patient to feel supported (O)(43,54,69) | Clinician and patient | High confidence |
| | When the clinician and patient develop a positive therapeutic relationship (C) the patient feels supported (M) to engage with treatment (O)(48,51,54,92,113) | Clinician and patient | High confidence |
| | When the patient feels supported (C) they feel motivated (M) to continue to self-manage (O) (48,61,103) | Patient | Moderate confidence |
| | When the clinician puts a collaborative plan in place (C) the patient feels supported (M) and is more likely to perform the exercises (O)(31,42,48,49,58,61,65,69) | Clinician | High confidence |
| | When the clinician allocates timely monitoring and follow-up(C) this increases the patient’s motivation to perform the exercises (M) which increases adherence (O)(31,42,48,49,57,58,61,95) | Clinician | High confidence |
| | When the clinician allocates timely monitoring and follow-up(C) this motivates patients (M) to change established habits (O)(31,42,48,49,58,61,124) | Clinician | High confidence |
| | When a clinician provides individualised support to help the patient fit the exercise into their daily life | Clinician | High confidence |

| | | | |
|---|---|--------------------|---------------------|
| | (C) they will be more motivated to routinely prioritise the exercise (M) which will increase their adherence (O)(31,48,49,54,56–58,63,65,73,102,113,124) | | |
| | When a clinician undertakes a holistic assessment (C) then individual goals can be assessed and agreed-(M)and clinicians are more likely to recommend a group setting with similar interests/ goals (O)(48,54,56,61,92,93,102,103,113) | Clinician | High confidence |
| | When members of a therapeutic exercise group have similar interests and or goals (C) this provides social support to the patient (M) and there is a sense of accountability and commitment to the group (M) which increases motivation to adhere to the exercise prescription (O) (76,80,99,102) | Clinician/Patient | High confidence |
| Individualised assessment and explanations | When a positive therapeutic trusting relationship has been developed between patient and clinician (C)then a holistic assessment can take place (M) for individual needs identified (O)(48,54,56,61,103,113) | Clinician /Patient | Moderate confidence |
| | When the patient’s individual needs are identified and there is an individualised prescription of exercise (C) and the purpose of the exercise program is communicated to the patient in understandable terms(M) then they are motivated to engage with exercise (O)(31,45,48,49,54,56,57,99,103,109,113) | Clinician | High confidence |
| | When the clinician provides an explanation of the patient’s clinical condition and justifiable treatment options (C) which is understandable to the patient (M) then they can partake in individualised goal setting (O)(31,47–49,54,57,93,109) | Clinician | High confidence |
| | When individualised goal setting occurs with clinician direction (C) this can facilitate the patient’s motivation (M) which will increase the patient’s engagement and adherence and outcomes (O)(32,56,58,63,80,83,93,99) | Clinician | High confidence |
| Perceived benefit | When patients do their prescribed exercises, and they recognise an improvement in their condition (immediate or short-term) (C) this will increase their motivation (M) to adhere to the exercises (O)(31,42,50,53,66,113) | Patient | High confidence |
| | When patients enjoy doing their exercise (C) they are more motivated to routinely prioritise (M) which leads to increased engagement and adherence (O)(46,48,53) | Patient | High confidence |
| | However, if patients perceive that the exercise is too difficult to complete or patients do not enjoy | Patient | High confidence |

| | | | |
|--|---|-----------|-----------------|
| | doing them (C)they will be less motivated to perform (M) and thus less adherent to the prescription (O)(22,31,42,48,59,61,65,95,102) | | |
| | When patients do their exercises and experience an increase in pain during or after performing the exercises (C) they may be less motivated to do the exercise (M) and thus become non-adherent (O)(31,42,66) | Patient | Low confidence |
| | If clinicians prescribe exercises in a space wherein patients feel safe (C) they will have increased motivation (M) to perform exercise and adhere (O)(22,43,58,92) | Clinician | High confidence |

*confidence derived by CERQual assessment (see Supplement 5)

Confidence as a Mechanism

Confidence featured as a mechanism only in the outcome of adherence to exercise and was mentioned in eight studies.(31,42,46,65,68,69,92,113) Six CMOcs were created all with high underpinning confidence (see Table 3). Confidence appeared to be linked to either the clinician or the patient. We included the terms of mastery and self-efficacy under the umbrella term of confidence. There was evidence that the clinician’s confidence in managing LBP, and their perceived expertise and credibility, impacted the provision of information, reassurance, and individualised prescription of exercise, which influenced the development and maintenance of positive therapeutic alliance.(68,72,105,125) This was both in part due to patients perceiving greater attention from the clinicians, as well as the reinforcement of trust through patient’s perceptions of clinicians being highly credible. Clinicians who were more confident, and thus more credible, due to greater experience or knowledge were able to provide tailored delivery of education and treatment (exercise prescription), as well as detailed goal planning to facilitate longer-term management, which impacted on patient’s confidence and mastery in performing the prescribed exercises. Patient confidence was further facilitated by familiarity with the exercise environment, therapeutic exercise prescription or physiotherapy treatment more generally.(43,93,102) Support was considered to include aspects of follow-up, monitoring, supervision, education, and reassurance. The success of these supportive strategies was tied to the knowledge and clinical experience of the clinician delivering these components, as well as the patient’s self-reported confidence in managing LBP.

Table 3: Summary of the context-mechanism-outcome configurations for confidence as a key mechanism of exercise

| Subthemes of the Mechanism | Context Mechanism Outcome Configurations | Person related to | Overall Confidence* |
|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| Previous Positive Experience | When a patient has had a previous positive experience with exercise or physiotherapy (C) they have an increased familiarity and confidence with exercise environment (M) which improves engagement (O) (22,55,92,93,102) | Patient | High confidence |
| | When a patient is fearful of performing an exercise (C) then they have opportunity to increase their confidence through practice (M) which will increase their engagement and | Patient | High confidence |

| | | | |
|-------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------|
| | (31,43,92,93,95,102,109) | | |
| Education | When patients feel that the prescribed exercise are aligned to their goals(C) because the connections between them were well explained (M) then patients had increased confidence to perform the exercises (O)(42,43,48,54,57,95,109) | Patient | High confidence |
| Supervision | When patients have opportunity to perform exercises with a clinician present (C) then there is opportunity for individual correction (M)and when the clinician provides support and reassurance (M) led to increased confidence of the patient to perform the exercise (O)(31,32,42,92,99,109,113) | Patient | High confidence |
| | When clinicians supervise patients performing prescribed exercise, and this leads to correction and progression of exercises (C) this increases the patient's confidence in performing the exercise (M) which increases their adherence and can lead to improved outcomes (O)(31,42–44,87,92,113) | Clinician | High confidence |
| Credibility | When clinicians are more confident in prescribing exercise within a biopsychosocial model, they are perceived to be more credible (C) which increases the confidence of the patient (M) and can impact their adherence (O)(51,57,68,72) | Clinician and Patient | High confidence |

*confidence derived by CERQual assessment (see Supplement 6)

Stakeholder Group Involvement

The stakeholder group met on four occasions (range n=4-8), discussing and considering initial versions and processes of the programme theory and the CMOcs. For example, in discussions about holistic assessment, stakeholders felt that this needed to be individualised. Points were raised regarding co-design and how to define enjoyment – linking these back to the individual and their specific needs. Similar discussions refined the use of ‘regular’ follow-up which is routinely found in the literature, and the word ‘timely’ was agreed to replace this.

DISCUSSION

Summary of findings

This realist review found that the development and maintenance of trust, motivation and confidence are the key mechanisms involved in therapeutic exercise prescription bringing about changes for those with persistent LBP. These mechanisms impact the engagement, adherence to exercise and clinically important outcomes. Trust appeared to underpin the therapeutic exercise prescription as a marker and measure of the therapeutic alliance and was necessary for the development of confidence and motivation. A holistic assessment, initial development of rapport and therapeutic alliance, and consideration of the individual's previous experiences was important to facilitate a trusting relationship. When trust was established, an individualised exercise prescription was possible, and when this was prescribed in a way that was perceived to be beneficial, enjoyable, and tailored to the individual's preferences (both in difficulty, setting and exercise type) then motivation was likely to be enhanced. When clinicians provide tailored education and reassurance, supervision of exercises, timely follow-up, and peer support (when necessary), this facilitates confidence, motivation to engage and adhere to the exercise prescription. Further, clinician confidence (and

credibility) can impact the support provided, development of a trusting relationship, as well as the patient's motivation through individualised exercise prescription.

Comparison with Existing Literature: Mechanisms of exercise prescription

Trust as Mechanism:

Within this review, the emergent key themes highlighted the importance of the therapeutic alliance for successful exercise prescription and our findings are consistent with recent literature. Other realist reviews have similarly highlighted the importance of trusting relationships in different populations.(126) The importance of trusting, therapeutic relationships as a platform from which a co-designed, individualised treatment can grow through use of collaboration, has been previously emphasised in other health service settings.(127–129) In patient-centred care, enhanced communication skills are key, resulting in proximal outcomes of improved trust and recall of clinician advice, with temporal consequences of improved health outcomes.(130) Recently, mechanisms enhancing the development of the therapeutic alliance in health care have been explored. (131,132) However, the relationship is complex, and requires multiple simultaneous components to be met for a positive alliance to be established.(30) Some of the features that predict positive therapeutic alliance (namely communication, individualisation, collaborative goal setting, relationship building and empathy, and patient education) were identified within this review, highlighting the importance of the therapeutic alliance for successful therapeutic exercise prescription.(30) In the field of LBP, the therapeutic relationship is recognised as an important component of treatment, however, it remains poorly operationalised.(52,101) The therapeutic alliance is a dynamic construct, influenced by both the person seeking care and the clinician, moderated by communication and time.(130,131) The importance of addressing the 'psychosocial' elements of their pain experience have been documented in the literature for several decades,(133–135) yet, despite this, many clinicians report feeling inadequately trained and lack confidence to manage psychosocial barriers to recovery, even though they have undergone dedicated training.(122,136,137) There remains a need for clinicians to understand how best to define the therapeutic alliance within musculoskeletal consultations, and further, to operationalise it into clinical practice.(52)

Motivation as a Mechanism

This review found that motivation was facilitated by the specific exercise prescription components, including, individualised prescription, perceived benefit, and enjoyment of exercise. Motivation, and the contexts of support and perceived benefit have previously been described in a realist review of exercise for older adults with dementia.(138) However, in that study, the focus was on the carer, their perceived benefit and the carer's provision of support to enable participation in exercise, in contrast to this study which focussed on individuals with persistent LBP. Individualised exercise prescription, delivered alongside a psychological intervention (such as cognitive behavioural therapy) has been shown to be more effective than passive or active controls, with statistically significant effects on pain in the short term. (139) This supports the findings of this review and suggests clinicians should consider individualised exercise prescription wherever possible.

Motivation may be considered within many different frameworks and theories. One is the Self-Determination Theory framework, wherein three motivational types exist: autonomous (most self-determined), controlled, and amotivation (least self-determined).(63,140) Within this theory, they posit that when individuals experience satisfaction with their basic psychological needs (autonomy,

competence and relatedness) then they are able to foster autonomous motivation.(140) Motivation can be internally or externally driven and can be catalysed by different social environments (or contexts).(140) For example, long-term motivation can be facilitated within the therapeutic setting through co-designed, goal relevant exercise prescription that is enjoyable. Goal setting theory is another framework that may enhance motivation, through the premise that conscious goals affect action.(141) Exercise interventions paired with motivational strategy have been shown to improve long-term adherence and outcomes at 5-years follow-up, suggesting this may be an important consideration for clinicians to include in treatment. (142) Another useful theory is Self-Efficacy. (143) A mediation analysis of an observational study demonstrated that perceived mastery influenced motivation, which impacted outcomes over a 6-week period.(63) However, there are no long-term studies of perceived mastery and outcomes beyond this time period. Perceived mastery may be a necessary context to trigger motivation, thus, the presence of both trust and mastery activates motivation.

Confidence as a Mechanism

In this review we found that clinician confidence was related to support provision, education, individualisation of care and exercise prescription. Cognitive functional therapy is one example of an individualised LBP treatment, coupled with exercise and education to support an individual to return to function.(80,144) A recent evaluation of a cognitive functional therapy training program (>16 hours training) found that clinicians reported improved confidence in using new assessment styles, communication strategies and functional approaches, with greater appreciation of the therapeutic alliance.(145) Importantly, a mediation analysis of one cognitive functional therapy trial demonstrated that most of the effect was mediated through pain self-efficacy. (146) These results support the findings of this review, suggesting that patient self-efficacy (or belief in their own ability to get on with life despite the LBP) is an important component of exercise prescription.

Patient confidence appears to be directly linked to clinician confidence, through provision of support. Perceived self-efficacy is defined as “people’s judgement of their capabilities to organise and execute courses of action required to attain designated types of performances”.(147) It focusses less on the actual skills one has than with the judgements, or beliefs, of what one can do with the skills one has.(147) Self-efficacy is specific to situations, thus may relate to exercise or pain management, in contrast to self-esteem or self-confidence or locus of control (143) which are general characteristics of an individual.(148) The Self-Efficacy Theory was established by Bandura in 1977, as a component of Social Cognitive Theory.(143) This three-way reciprocal causation model suggests that the behaviour of a person, the characteristics of that person, and the environment within which the behaviour is performed, are constantly interacting. This theory suggests that people are motivated to perform behaviour that will produce desired outcomes, however, the behaviour is more reliant on mastery (efficacy expectations) which predict performance better than outcome expectations. (143,149) What has been less explored in terms of Self-Efficacy Theory is the notion of needing optimal physiological arousal to gain mastery, and this may be important when considering the impact of fear (often associated with LBP) which may interfere with or hinder the cognitive processing of information (such as exercise instructions).

Relationship of Outcomes

This review found many literature sources exploring the role of contexts and mechanisms of exercise prescription to enhance exercise adherence and engagement, with fewer studies exploring the contexts and mechanisms for exercise related to clinical outcomes. It may be that engagement and adherence are used interchangeably within the literature and encompass a wider umbrella term for adherence including compliance or concordance. However, our searches were constructed to retrieve items regardless of the specific use of these terms. Further, few quantitative studies explored the relationship between mechanisms and contexts and clinical outcomes. Although exercise adherence is poorly defined in studies of exercise for musculoskeletal pain (150), and poorly reported in RCTs (in a sample of 100 RCTs only 28% measured and reported adherence), (10) most of our included studies considered the contexts and mechanisms of exercise prescription relevant to adherence. However, although we know it is therapeutic to prescribe exercise for persistent LBP, (7) we do not know what the therapeutic dose is. (11) Other studies have identified predictors of adherence, and barriers and facilitators to adherence to exercise for LBP, (32,44,99,151,152) but few studies to date have explored the impact of adherence on clinical outcomes. (63) One study that has explored this relationship reported that competence perceptions and motivations may impact patient outcomes through their influence on rehabilitation adherence. (63)

Strengths and Limitations

Realist reviews and evaluations add depth of understanding regarding which interventions may work best for whom, and in what contexts, whilst also highlighting the active ingredients of interventions. This realist review, focused on exercise and LBP, used an iterative search strategy that was comprehensive and focussed on the psychosocial components relating to patient experience of exercise prescription. The large number of included studies, with different methodological perspectives and participant perspectives, adds strength to the review findings, and the addition of further studies is unlikely to change the conclusions of this review. The initial programme theories were developed with input from people with lived experience of LBP, clinicians prescribing exercise, behaviour change specialists, and published literature. However, this review did not explore biomedical, mechanical, or other physiological mechanisms of how exercise may impact LBP and relevant outcomes and thus may be limited in its conclusions. The aim of realist inquiry is to prioritise an overarching programme theory and not to explore each line of inquiry to exhaustion. The review sought to provide an overview of the literature and starting point for further realist inquiry and to stimulate this line of thinking regarding mechanisms and contexts within exercise for LBP, and how they might be applied within clinical practice and research.

Clinical and Research Implications

We identified possible mechanisms to support prescription of therapeutic exercise. Further research could explore how to better activate these mechanisms (of trust, motivation, and confidence) through adequate training and skills development of the clinicians. Future research is required to test whether these proposed mechanisms do mediate the effects of exercise through targeted exercise interventions and a priori specified mediation analyses. This review was not able to explore temporal relationships between the identified outcomes. Further research into the association between the outcomes of adherence, engagement, and clinical outcomes in those with persistent LBP is needed. To develop and harness the benefits of a strong therapeutic alliance, (67) clinicians

may wish to consider performing a holistic assessment to build and win the patient's trust, to co-design and individualise the exercise prescription around the patient's own goals, accounting for their previous experience, preferences, and available time to perform exercises. The ability to check in with patients, through timely follow-up to supervise or progress exercise may be restricted by service level factors, but where possible will facilitate the maintenance of trust and motivation. Not all clinicians, regardless of confidence or knowledge of LBP, have the service flexibility required to offer long-term follow up, or ongoing monitoring. This service constraint may act as a barrier for long-term adherence to exercise prescription.

CONCLUSIONS

Engagement with, adherence to, and outcomes following therapeutic exercise prescription might be optimised when the mechanisms of trust, motivation and confidence are activated. The therapeutic alliance and development of rapport are foundational to the development of trust, and facilitate holistic assessment, and identification of individual needs and beliefs. Exercise that is tailored to the individual's goals, with personalised advice and education to reassure and build confidence, increases motivation to adhere to exercise. Timely follow-up, the perception of benefit and support from peers and from supervision can further facilitate motivation to adhere to exercise prescription, and these positively impact clinical outcomes. Further research is required to explore the relationship between adherence and clinical outcomes, and how to implement and optimise these mechanisms in clinical practice.

Acknowledgments: This review has been presented in poster format at the International Forum for Back and Neck Pain Research at Groningen (2023). We would like to thank the clinicians, patients and exercise experts who contributed to the development and refinement of this project.

Competing Interests: None

Funding: L Wood and A Booth were funded by Orthopaedic Research UK for this realist review. The views and opinions expressed therein do not necessarily reflect those of Orthopaedic Research UK. S. Dean's time is supported by the National Institute of Health and Care Research (NIHR) Applied Research Collaboration in the Southwest Peninsula. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, Orthopaedic Research UK, the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care. NE Foster is supported by a National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Investigator Grant Leadership 2 (#2018182).

Conflicts of interest: None

References

1. Hoy D, March L, Brooks P, Woolf A, Blyth F, Vos T, et al. Measuring the global burden of low back pain. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* [Internet]. 2010 [cited 2017 May 8];24:155–65. Available from: http://ac.els-cdn.com/S1521694209001259/1-s2.0-S1521694209001259-main.pdf?_tid=0b6d76d6-33d7-11e7-aeaf-0000aacb361&acdnat=1494238616_6497fd0f79f478f83dc8c6ba8b79f12b
2. James SL, Abate D, Abate KH, Abay SM, Abbafati C, Abbasi N, et al. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 354 Diseases and Injuries for 195 countries

- and territories, 1990-2017: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *The Lancet*. 2018;392(10159):1789–858.
3. Hartvigsen J, Hancock MJ, Kongsted A, Louw Q, Ferreira ML, Genevay S, et al. What low back pain is and why we need to pay attention. *The Lancet*. 2018;391(10137):2356–67.
 4. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Back pain - low (without radiculopathy) [Internet]. 2022 Nov [cited 2023 Feb 27]. Available from: <https://cks.nice.org.uk/topics/back-pain-low-without-radiculopathy/management/management/>
 5. Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care. The Low Back Pain Clinical Care Standard [Internet]. Sydney; 2022 [cited 2023 Feb 27]. Available from: www.safetyandquality.gov.au
 6. American Academy Family Physicians. Clinical Practice Guideline Low Back Pain | [Internet]. 2017 Apr [cited 2023 Mar 23]. Available from: <https://www.aafp.org/family-physician/patient-care/clinical-recommendations/all-clinical-recommendations/back-pain.html>
 7. Hayden JA, Ellis J, Ogilvie R, Malmivaara A, van Tulder MMW. Exercise therapy for chronic low back pain. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2021;CD009790:in press.
 8. Kent P, O’Sullivan PB, Keating J, Slade SC. Evidence-based exercise prescription is facilitated by the Consensus on Exercise Reporting Template (CERT). *Br J Sports Med* [Internet]. 2018 Feb 1;52(3):147 LP – 148. Available from: <http://bjsm.bmj.com/content/52/3/147.abstract>
 9. Hoffmann TC, Glasziou PP, Boutron I, Milne R, Perera R, Moher D, et al. Better reporting of interventions: template for intervention description and replication (TIDieR) checklist and guide. *BMJ* [Internet]. 2014 Mar 7 [cited 2017 Jul 7];348:1687. Available from: <http://www.bmj.com/content/348/bmj.g1687>
 10. Davidson SRE, Kamper SJ, Haskins R, Robson E, Gleadhill C, da Silva PV, et al. Exercise interventions for low back pain are poorly reported: a systematic review. *J Clin Epidemiol* [Internet]. 2021;139(November):279–86. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2021.05.020>
 11. Hansford HJ, Wewege MA, Cashin AG, Hagstrom AD, Clifford BK, McAuley JH, et al. If exercise is medicine, why don’t we know the dose? An overview of systematic reviews assessing reporting quality of exercise interventions in health and disease. *Br J Sports Med* [Internet]. 2022 Jun 1 [cited 2023 Feb 2];56(12):692–700. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35168956/>
 12. Wood L, Ogilvie R, Hayden JA. Specifying the treatment targets of exercise interventions: do we? *Br J Sports Med* [Internet]. 2020 Oct;54(20):1235–6. Available from: <https://bjsm.bmj.com/lookup/doi/10.1136/bjsports-2020-101981>
 13. Wood L, Foster NE, Lewis M, Bishop Annette. Exercise interventions for persistent non-specific low back pain – does matching outcomes to treatment targets make a difference? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Pain*. 2021;22(2):107–26.
 14. Pawson R. *Evidence-based Policy. A Realist Perspective*. Sage Publications Ltd; 2006.
 15. Pawson R, Tilley N. *Realistic Evaluation*. London: Sage Publications; 1997. 256 p.
 16. Wun A, Kollias P, Jeong H, Rizzo RR, Cashin AG, Bagg MK, et al. Why is exercise prescribed for people with chronic low back pain? A review of the mechanisms of benefit proposed by clinical trialists. *Musculoskelet Sci Pract* [Internet]. 2021;51(November 2020):102307. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msksp.2020.102307>

17. Chiarotto A, Deyo RA, Terwee CB, Boers M, Buchbinder R, Corbin TP, et al. Core outcome domains for clinical trials in non-specific low back pain. *European Spine Journal* [Internet]. 2015 Jun 5 [cited 2017 May 16];24(6):1127–42. Available from: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00586-015-3892-3>
18. Wood L, Bejarano G, Csiernik B, Miyamoto GC, Mansell G, Hayden JA, et al. Pain catastrophising and kinesiophobia mediate pain and physical function improvements with Pilates exercise in chronic low back pain: a mediation analysis of a randomised controlled trial. *J Physiother*. 2023 Jul;69(3):168–74.
19. Smeets RJEM, Vlaeyen JWS, Kester ADM, Knottnerus JA. Reduction of Pain Catastrophizing Mediates the Outcome of Both Physical and Cognitive-Behavioral Treatment in Chronic Low Back Pain. *J Pain* [Internet]. 2006 Apr [cited 2017 Nov 8];7(4):261–71. Available from: <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,url,uid,shib&db=psyh&AN=2006-05129-005&site=ehost-live> NS -
20. Mansell G, Hill JC, Main C, Vowles KE, van der Windt D. Exploring What Factors Mediate Treatment Effect: Example of the STarT Back Study High-Risk Intervention. *The journal of pain : official journal of the American Pain Society* [Internet]. 2016 Nov [cited 2017 Jun 30];17(11):1237–45. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27565304>
21. Darlow B, Fullen BM, Dean S, Hurley D a, Baxter GD, Dowell a, et al. The association between health care professional attitudes and beliefs and the attitudes and beliefs, clinical management, and outcomes of patients with low back pain: a systematic review. *Eur J Pain* [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2015 Feb 10];16(1):3–17. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21719329>
22. Bishop F, Al-Abbadey M, Roberts L, MacPherson H, Stuart B, Carnes D, et al. Direct and mediated effects of treatment context on low back pain outcome: A prospective cohort study. *BMJ Open*. 2021 May 18;11(5).
23. Wong G, Westhorp G, Manzano A, Greenhalgh J, Jagosh J, Greenhalgh T. RAMESES II reporting standards for realist evaluations. *BMC Med* [Internet]. 2016;14(1):1–18. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12916-016-0643-1>
24. Wong G, Greenhalgh T, Westhorp G, Buckingham J, Pawson R. RAMESES publication standards: realist syntheses. *BMC Med*. 2013;11(21).
25. Wood L. Treatment targets and outcomes in randomised controlled trials of exercise for non-specific low back pain [Internet]. Keele University. 2021 [cited 2023 Jan 25]. Available from: <https://eprints.keele.ac.uk/id/eprint/9714/>
26. Wood L, Booth V, Dean S, Foster NE, Hayden JA, Booth A. Understanding how exercise changes outcomes of importance in patients with low back pain: a protocol of a realist review of prioritised treatment targets of exercise. *Syst Rev*.
27. Booth A, Briscoe S, Wright JM. The “realist search”: A systematic scoping review of current practice and reporting. *Res Synth Methods*. 2020;11(1):14–35.
28. O’Cathain A, Connell J, Long J, Coster J. ‘Clinically unnecessary’ use of emergency and urgent care: A realist review of patients’ decision making. *Health Expectations*. 2020;23(1):19–40.
29. Dada S, Dalkin S, Gilmore B, Hunter R, Mukumbang FC. Applying and reporting relevance, richness and rigour in realist evidence appraisals: Advancing key concepts in realist reviews. *Res Synth Methods* [Internet]. 2023 Mar 14; Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/jrsm.1630>

30. Bucci M, Haynes D, Cundiff M. The Effect of a Standardized Training Program to Enhance the Therapeutic Alliance in Patients with Chronic Low Back Pain: A Mixed Methods Analytical Approach [Internet]. [Florida]: University of Central Florida; 2022. Available from: <http://library.ucf.edu>
31. Escolar-Reina P, Medina-Mirapeix F, Gascón-Cánovas JJ, Montilla-Herrador J, Jimeno-Serrano FJ, De Oliveira Sousa SL, et al. How do care-provider and home exercise program characteristics affect patient adherence in chronic neck and back pain: a qualitative study [Internet]. Vol. 10, Health Services Research. 2010. Available from: <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1472-6963/10/60>
32. Beinart NA, Goodchild CE, Weinman JA, Ayis S, Godfrey EL. Individual and intervention-related factors associated with adherence to home exercise in chronic low back pain: A systematic review. Vol. 13, Spine Journal. Elsevier Inc.; 2013. p. 1940–50.
33. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, Kunz R, Falck-Ytter Y, Alonso-Coello P, et al. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ*. 2008;336(924).
34. Ford JA, Wong G, Jones AP, Steel N. Access to primary care for socioeconomically disadvantaged older people in rural areas: A realist review. *BMJ Open*. 2016;6(5):1–14.
35. Lewin S, Booth A, Glenton C, Munthe-Kaas H, Rashidian A, Wainwright M, et al. Applying GRADE-CERQual to qualitative evidence synthesis findings: Introduction to the series. *Implementation Science*. 2018 Jan 25;13.
36. Colvin CJ, Garside R, Wainwright M, Munthe-Kaas H, Glenton C, Bohren MA, et al. Applying GRADE-CERQual to qualitative evidence synthesis findings-paper 4: How to assess coherence. *Implementation Science*. 2018 Jan 25;13.
37. Munthe-Kaas H, Bohren MA, Glenton C, Lewin S, Noyes J, Tunçalp Ö, et al. Applying GRADE-CERQual to qualitative evidence synthesis findings-paper 3: How to assess methodological limitations. *Implementation Science*. 2018 Jan 25;13.
38. Glenton C, Carlsen B, Lewin S, Munthe-Kaas H, Colvin CJ, Tunçalp Ö, et al. Applying GRADE-CERQual to qualitative evidence synthesis findings-paper 5: How to assess adequacy of data. *Implementation Science*. 2018 Jan 25;13.
39. Noyes J, Booth A, Lewin S, Carlsen B, Glenton C, Colvin CJ, et al. Applying GRADE-CERQual to qualitative evidence synthesis findings-paper 6: How to assess relevance of the data. *Implementation Science*. 2018 Jan 25;13.
40. Lewin S, Bohren M, Rashidian A, Munthe-Kaas H, Glenton C, Colvin CJ, et al. Applying GRADE-CERQual to qualitative evidence synthesis findings-paper 2: How to make an overall CERQual assessment of confidence and create a Summary of Qualitative Findings table. *Implementation Science*. 2018 Jan 25;13.
41. Dean SGerard. Adherence to physiotherapy for low back pain : an illness perception approach. Kings College London; 2003.
42. Sokunbi O, Cross V, Watt P, Moore A. Experiences of individuals with chronic low back pain during and after their participation in a spinal stabilisation exercise programme - A pilot qualitative study. *Man Ther*. 2010 Apr;15(2):179–84.
43. Slade SC, Molloy E, Keating JL. People with non-specific chronic low back pain who have participated in exercise programs have preferences about exercise: a qualitative study [Internet]. Vol. 55, From: Australian Journal of Physiotherapy. 2009. Available from:

<https://go.gale.com/ps/i.do?p=HRCA&u=googlescholar&id=GALE|A208452761&v=2.1&it=r&sid=googleScholar&asid=a8727bb0>

44. Saner J, Bergman EM, de Bie RA, Sieben JM. Low back pain patients' perspectives on long-term adherence to home-based exercise programmes in physiotherapy. *Musculoskelet Sci Pract*. 2018;
45. Moore AJ, Holden MA, Foster NE, Jinks C. Therapeutic alliance facilitates adherence to physiotherapy-led exercise and physical activity for older adults with knee pain: a longitudinal qualitative study. *J Physiother*. 2020 Jan 1;66(1):45–53.
46. Harman K, MacRae M, Vallis M, Bassett R. Working with People to Make Changes: A Behavioural Change Approach Used in Chronic Low Back Pain Rehabilitation. *Physiotherapy Canada*. 2014;66(1):82–90.
47. Fu Y, McNichol E, Marczewski K, Closs SJ. Patient-professional partnerships and chronic back pain self-management: A qualitative systematic review and synthesis. Vol. 24, *Health and Social Care in the Community*. Blackwell Publishing Ltd; 2016. p. 247–59.
48. Fu Y, McNichol E, Marczewski K, José Closs S. The Management of Chronic Back Pain in Primary Care Settings: Exploring Perceived Facilitators and Barriers to the Development of Patient–Professional Partnerships. *Qual Health Res*. 2018 Jul 1;28(9):1462–73.
49. Calner T, Isaksson G, Michaelson P. “I know what I want but I’m not sure how to get it”—Expectations of physiotherapy treatment of persons with persistent pain. *Physiother Theory Pract*. 2017 Mar 4;33(3):198–205.
50. Bunzli S, Mcevoy S, Dankaerts W, O’sullivan P, O’sullivan K. Patient Perspectives on Participation in Cognitive Functional Therapy for Chronic Low Back Pain [Internet]. 2016. Available from: <https://academic.oup.com/ptj/article/96/9/1397/2865017>
51. Unsgaard-Tøndel M, Söderstrøm S. Therapeutic Alliance: Patients’ Expectations Before and Experiences After Physical Therapy for Low Back Pain-A Qualitative Study With 6-Month Follow-Up. *Phys Ther*. 2021 Nov 1;101(11).
52. Unsgaard-Tøndel M, Söderstrøm S. Building therapeutic alliances with patients in treatment for low back pain: A focus group study. *Physiotherapy Research International*. 2022 Jan 1;27(1).
53. Stenner R, Swinkels A, Mitchell T, Palmer S. Exercise prescription for patients with non-specific chronic low back pain: a qualitative exploration of decision making in physiotherapy practice. *Physiotherapy (United Kingdom) [Internet]*. 2016;102(4):332–8. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physio.2015.05.004>
54. Cowell I, O’Sullivan P, O’Sullivan K, Poyton R, McGregor A, Murtagh G. Perceptions of physiotherapists towards the management of non-specific chronic low back pain from a biopsychosocial perspective: A qualitative study. *Musculoskelet Sci Pract*. 2018 Dec 1;38:113–9.
55. Cosgrove J, Hebron C. ‘Getting them on board’: Musculoskeletal physiotherapists conceptions of management of persons with low back pain. *Musculoskeletal Care*. 2021 Jun 1;19(2):199–207.
56. Grimus J, Horler C, Hebron C. Building bespoke exercise: The clinical reasoning processes of physiotherapists when prescribing exercise for persons with musculoskeletal disorders. *Musculoskeletal Care*. 2022;

57. Stilwell P, Harman K. 'I didn't pay her to teach me how to fix my back': a focused ethnographic study exploring chiropractors' and chiropractic patients' experiences and beliefs regarding exercise adherence. *J Can Chiropr Assoc.* 2017;61(3):219–30.
58. Meade LB, Bearne LM, Godfrey EL. "It's important to buy in to the new lifestyle": barriers and facilitators of exercise adherence in a population with persistent musculoskeletal pain. *Disabil Rehabil.* 2021;43(4):468–78.
59. Jensen GM, Lorish CD. Promoting patient cooperation with exercise programs: Linking research, theory, and practice. Vol. 7, *Arthritis and Rheumatism.* John Wiley and Sons Inc.; 1994. p. 181–9.
60. Cowell I, McGregor A, O'Sullivan P, O'Sullivan K, Poyton R, Schoeb V, et al. Physiotherapists' Approaches to Patients' Concerns in Back Pain Consultations Following a Psychologically Informed Training Program. *Qual Health Res.* 2021 Nov 1;31(13):2486–501.
61. Alkasir A. Telehealth for Musculoskeletal Rehabilitation & Pain Care: Applying Qualitative Methods and the Six-Forces Innovation Framework to Assess and Address a Prevalent US Healthcare Need [Internet]. Harvard ; 2021. Available from: <https://nrs.harvard.edu/URN-3:HUL.INSTREPOS:37367927>
62. Zimney KJ. Correlation of Trust and Outcomes Following Physical Therapy for Correlation of Trust and Outcomes Following Physical Therapy for Chronic Low Back Pain Chronic Low Back Pain [Internet]. 2021. Available from: https://nsuworks.nova.edu/hpd_pt_stueta
63. Podlog L, Burns R, Dimmock JA, Jackson B, Hall MS, Fritz JM. Does motivation mediate the relationship between competence perceptions and patient outcomes among individuals with chronic low back pain? A multiple mediation analysis. *Disabil Rehabil.* 2021;43(7):953–9.
64. Cecchi F, Pasquini G, Paperini A, Boni R, Castagnoli C, Pistrutto S, et al. Predictors of response to exercise therapy for chronic low back pain: result of a prospective study with one year follow-up. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med.* 2014;50:143–51.
65. Medina-Mirapeix F, Escolar-Reina P, Gascán-Cnovas JJ, Montilla-Herrador J, Jimeno-Serrano FJ, Collins SM. Predictive factors of adherence to frequency and duration components in home exercise programs for neck and low back pain: An observational study. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* 2009;10(1).
66. L'Heureux J, Coutu MF, Berbiche D, Larivière C. Adherence to a home exercise programme following a clinical programme for non-acute non-specific low back pain: an exploratory study. *Eur J Physiother.* 2020 Sep 2;22(5):299–308.
67. Farin E, Gramm L, Schmidt E. The patient-physician relationship in patients with chronic low back pain as a predictor of outcomes after rehabilitation. *J Behav Med.* 2013 Jun;36(3):246–58.
68. Brunner E, Dankaerts W, O'Sullivan K, Meichtry A, Bauer C, Probst M. Associations between alliance, physiotherapists' confidence in managing the patient and patient-reported distress in chronic low back pain practice. *Eur J Physiother.* 2021;23(3):196–200.
69. Beneciuk JM, Brown-Taylor L, Alodaibi F, Kareha S, Holmes R, Fritz J. Patient- and Physical Therapist-Level Predictors of Patient-Reported Therapeutic Alliance: An Observational, Exploratory Study of Cohorts With Knee and Low Back Pain. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2021 Dec 1;102(12):2335–42.
70. Alodaibi F, Beneciuk J, Holmes R, Kareha S, Hayes D, Fritz J. The Relationship of the Therapeutic Alliance to Patient Characteristics and Functional Outcome during an Episode of Physical Therapy Care for Patients with Low Back Pain: An Observational Study. *Phys Ther.* 2021 Apr 1;101(4).

71. Perreault K, Dionne CE. Does patient-physiotherapist agreement influence the outcome of low back pain? A prospective cohort study. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2006 Sep 20;7.
72. Lewis M, Morley S, Van Der Windt DAWM, Hay E, Jellema P, Dziedzic K, et al. Measuring practitioner/therapist effects in randomised trials of low back pain and neck pain interventions in primary care settings. *European Journal of Pain*. 2010 Nov;14(10):1033–9.
73. Owen PJ, Main LC, Miller CT, Ford JJ, Hahne AJ, Belavy DL. Protection motivation theory screening tool for predicting chronic low back pain rehabilitation adherence: Analysis of a randomised controlled trial. *BMJ Open*. 2022 Feb 3;12(2).
74. Ferreira PH, Ferreira ML, Maher CG, Refshauge KM, Latimer J, Adams RD. The Therapeutic Alliance Between Clinicians and Patients Predicts Outcome in Chronic Low Back Pain [Internet]. 2013. Available from: <https://academic.oup.com/ptj/article/93/4/470/2735348>
75. Cheing G, Vong S, Chan F, Ditchman N, Brooks J, Chan C. Testing a Path-Analytic Mediation Model of How Motivational Enhancement Physiotherapy Improves Physical Functioning in Pain Patients. *J Occup Rehabil*. 2014 Dec 1;24(4):798–805.
76. Wippert PM, Niederer D, Drießlein D, Beck H, Banzer W, Schneider C, et al. Psychosocial Moderators and Mediators of Sensorimotor Exercise in Low Back Pain: A Randomized Multicenter Controlled Trial. *Front Psychiatry*. 2021;12(July).
77. Wajswelner H, Metcalf B, Bennell K. Clinical pilates versus general exercise for chronic low back pain: Randomized trial. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2012 Jul;44(7):1197–205.
78. Vong SK, Cheing GL, Chan F, So EM, Chan CC. Motivational enhancement therapy in addition to physical therapy improves motivational factors and treatment outcomes in people with low back pain: A randomized controlled trial. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2011 Feb;92(2):176–83.
79. Descarreaux M, Normand MC, Laurencelle L, Dugas C. Evaluation of a specific home exercise program for low back pain. *J Manipulative Physiol Ther*. 2002 Oct 1;25(8):497–503.
80. O’Keeffe M, O’Sullivan P, Purtill H, Bargary N, O’Sullivan K. Cognitive functional therapy compared with a group-based exercise and education intervention for chronic low back pain: A multicentre randomised controlled trial (RCT). *Br J Sports Med*. 2020 Jul 1;54(13):782–9.
81. Miyamoto GC, Fagundes FRC, Do Espírito Santo CDM, de Luna Teixeira FM, Tonini TV, Prado FT, et al. Education with therapeutic alliance did not improve symptoms in patients with chronic low back pain and low risk of poor prognosis compared to education without therapeutic alliance: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Orthopaedic and Sports Physical Therapy*. 2021 Aug 1;51(8):392–400.
82. Hurley DA, Jeffares I, Hall AM, Keogh A, Toomey E, McArdle D, et al. Feasibility cluster randomised controlled trial evaluating a theory-driven group-based complex intervention versus usual physiotherapy to support self-management of osteoarthritis and low back pain (SOLAS). *Trials*. 2020 Sep 23;21(1).
83. de Campos TF, Pocovi NC, Maher CG, Clare HA, da Silva TM, Hancock MJ. An individualised self-management exercise and education program did not prevent recurrence of low back pain but may reduce care seeking: a randomised trial. *J Physiother*. 2020 Jul 1;66(3):166–73.
84. Lonsdale C, Hall AM, Murray A, Williams GC, McDonough SM, Ntoumanis N, et al. Communication Skills Training for Practitioners to Increase Patient Adherence to Home-Based Rehabilitation for

Chronic Low Back Pain: Results of a Cluster Randomized Controlled Trial. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2017 Sep 1;98(9):1732-1743.e7.

85. Long A, Donelson R, Fung T. Does it Matter Which Exercise? A Randomized Control Trial of Exercise for Low Back Pain [Internet]. Vol. 29, *SPINE*. Available from: <http://journals.lww.com/spinejournal>
86. Frih ZBS, Fendri Y, Jellad A, Boudoukhane S, Rejeb N. Efficacy and treatment compliance of a home-based rehabilitation programme for chronic low back pain: A randomized, controlled study. *Ann Phys Rehabil Med* [Internet]. 2009;52(6):485–96. Available from: <http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=emed12&AN=50529126>
<http://nhs4315962.on.worldcat.org/atoztitles/link?sid=OVID:embase&id=pmid:19473905&id=doi:10.1016%2Fj.rehab.2009.04.002&issn=1877-0657&isbn=&volume=52&issue=6&spage=48>
87. Friedrich M, Cermak T, Maderbacher P. The Effect of Brochure Use Versus Therapist Teaching on Patients Performing Therapeutic Exercise and on Changes in Impairment Status. *Phys Ther* [Internet]. 1996;76(10):1082–8. Available from: <https://academic.oup.com/ptj/article/76/10/1082/2632951>
88. Kjaer P, Kongsted A, Ris I, Abbott A, Rasmussen CDN, Roos EM, et al. GLA:D[®] Back group-based patient education integrated with exercises to support self-management of back pain - Development, theories and scientific evidence - Development, t. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2018;19(1):1–21.
89. Little P, Lewith G, Webley F, Evans M, Beattie A, Middleton K, et al. Randomised controlled trial of Alexander technique lessons, exercise, and massage (ATEAM) for chronic and recurrent back pain. *BMJ*. 2008 Aug 23;337(7667):438–41.
90. Fuentes Contreras JP. *Therapeutic Contextual Factors in Physiotherapy: Magnitude, Mechanisms and Contributors of Placebo Mediated Analgesia in Chronic Low Back Pain*. [Edmonton]: University of Alberta; 2013.
91. Manzoni ACT, de Oliveira NTB, Cabral CMN, Ricci NA. The role of the therapeutic alliance on pain relief in musculoskeletal rehabilitation: A systematic review. *Physiother Theory Pract*. 2018;34(12):901–15.
92. Slade SC, Patel S, Psychol C, Underwood M, Keating JL. What are patient beliefs and perceptions about exercise for nonspecific chronic low back pain?: A systematic review of qualitative studies. Vol. 30, *Clinical Journal of Pain*. Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 2014. p. 995–1005.
93. Sherriff B, Clark C, Killingback C, Newell D. Impact of contextual factors on patient outcomes following conservative low back pain treatment: systematic review. Vol. 30, *Chiropractic and Manual Therapies*. BioMed Central Ltd; 2022.
94. O’Keeffe M, Hayes A, McCreesh K, Purtill H, O’Sullivan K. Are group-based and individual physiotherapy exercise programmes equally effective for musculoskeletal conditions? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Vol. 51, *British Journal of Sports Medicine*. BMJ Publishing Group; 2017. p. 126–32.
95. McLeod G, Morgan E, McMillan S, McCahon S, Sanna N. Why Are Patients Not Doing Their Prescribed Home-Based Exercises? An Updated Review of the Factors Affecting Adherence to Prescribed Home-Based Exercise in Patients With Chronic Low Back Pain. *Home Health Care Management and Practice*. SAGE Publications Inc.; 2022.

96. Kinney M, Seider J, Beaty AF, Coughlin K, Dyal M, Clewley D. The impact of therapeutic alliance in physical therapy for chronic musculoskeletal pain: A systematic review of the literature. Vol. 36, *Physiotherapy Theory and Practice*. Taylor and Francis Ltd; 2020. p. 886–98.
97. Nicolson PJA, Bennell KL, Dobson FL, Ginckel A Van, Holden MA, Hinman RS. Interventions to increase adherence to therapeutic exercise in older adults with low back pain and/or hip/knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. [cited 2023 Mar 23]; Available from: <http://bjsm.bmj.com/>
98. Miller CT, Owen PJ, Than CA, Ball J, Sadler K, Piedimonte A, et al. Attempting to Separate Placebo Effects from Exercise in Chronic Pain: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Vol. 52, *Sports Medicine*. Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH; 2022. p. 789–816.
99. Meade LB, Bearne LM, Sweeney LH, Alageel SH, Godfrey EL. Behaviour change techniques associated with adherence to prescribed exercise in patients with persistent musculoskeletal pain: Systematic review. *Br J Health Psychol*. 2019 Feb 1;24(1):10–30.
100. Hall AM, Ferreira PH, Maher CG, Latimer J, Ferreira ML. The Influence of the Therapist-Patient Relationship on Treatment Outcome in Physical Rehabilitation: A Systematic Review. *Phys Ther* [Internet]. 2010 Aug 1 [cited 2017 Sep 4];90(8):1099–110. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20576715>
101. Babatunde F, MacDermid J, MacIntyre N. Characteristics of therapeutic alliance in musculoskeletal physiotherapy and occupational therapy practice: a scoping review of the literature. *BMC Health Serv Res* [Internet]. 2017 May 30 [cited 2017 Aug 3];17(1):375. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28558746>
102. Essery R, Geraghty AWA, Kirby S, Yardley L. Predictors of adherence to home-based physical therapies: a systematic review. *Disabil Rehabil* [Internet]. 2017 Mar 13 [cited 2023 Mar 23];39(6):519–34. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27097761/>
103. Fu Y, Yu G, McNichol E, Marczewski K, Closs SJ. The association between patient–professional partnerships and self-management of chronic back pain: A mixed methods study. *European Journal of Pain (United Kingdom)*. 2018 Aug 1;22(7):1229–44.
104. Ogwumike OO, Bashir-Bello F, Kaka B. Patients’ Experiences About Exercise Prescription and Education in the Physiotherapy Management of Nonspecific Low-Back Pain. *J Patient Exp*. 2020 Dec;7(6):1458–65.
105. Stilwell P, Harman K. Contemporary biopsychosocial exercise prescription for chronic low back pain: questioning core stability programs and considering context. *J Can Chiropr Assoc* [Internet]. 2017 Mar [cited 2017 Aug 17];61(1):6–17. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28413219>
106. Shiple BJ. Treating low-back pain: exercise knowns and unknowns. *Phys Sportsmed* [Internet]. 1997 Aug [cited 2023 Feb 6];25(8):51–66. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20086926/>
107. Hooper H, Ong BN. When Harry met Barry, and other stories: A partner’s influence on relationships in back pain care. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13648470500049842> [Internet]. 2010 Apr [cited 2023 Jan 25];12(1):47–60. Available from: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/13648470500049842>

108. Ong BN, Hooper H. Comparing clinical and lay accounts of the diagnosis and treatment of back pain. *Social Health Illn.* 2006 Mar;28(2):203–22.
109. Cashin AG, Booth J, Mcauley JH, Jones MD, Traeger AC. Making exercise count: Considerations for the role of exercise in back pain treatment. 2021;(October):1–12.
110. Alodaibi F, Beneciuk J, Holmes R, Kareha S, Hayes D, Fritz J. The Relationship of the Therapeutic Alliance to Patient Characteristics and Functional Outcome during an Episode of Physical Therapy Care for Patients with Low Back Pain: An Observational Study. *Phys Ther.* 2021 Apr 1;101(4).
111. Frih ZBS, Fendri Y, Jellad A, Boudoukhane S, Rejeb N. Efficacy and treatment compliance of a home-based rehabilitation programme for chronic low back pain: A randomized, controlled study. *Ann Phys Rehabil Med.* 2009 Jul;52(6):485–96.
112. Hall AM, Ferreira PH, Maher CG, Latimer J, Ferreira ML. The Influence of the Therapist-Patient Relationship on Treatment Outcome in Physical Rehabilitation: A Systematic Review [Internet]. 2010. Available from: <https://academic.oup.com/ptj/article/90/8/1099/2737932>
113. Holopainen R, Piirainen A, Heinonen A, Karppinen J, O’Sullivan P. From “Non-encounters” to autonomic agency. Conceptions of patients with low back pain about their encounters in the health care system. *Musculoskeletal Care.* 2018 Jun 1;16(2):269–77.
114. Hurley DA, Hall AM, Currie-Murphy L, Pincus T, Kamper S, Maher C, et al. Theory-driven group-based complex intervention to support self-management of osteoarthritis and low back pain in primary care physiotherapy: protocol for a cluster randomised controlled feasibility trial (SOLAS). Available from: <http://bmjopen.bmj.com/>
115. L’Heureux J, Coutu MF, Berbiche D, Larivière C. Adherence to a home exercise programme following a clinical programme for non-acute non-specific low back pain: an exploratory study. *Eur J Physiother.* 2020 Sep 2;22(5):299–308.
116. Miyamoto GC, Fagundes FRC, Do Espírito Santo CDM, de Luna Teixeira FM, Tonini TV, Prado FT, et al. Education with therapeutic alliance did not improve symptoms in patients with chronic low back pain and low risk of poor prognosis compared to education without therapeutic alliance: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Orthopaedic and Sports Physical Therapy.* 2021 Aug 1;51(8):392–400.
117. Slade S, Molloy E, Keating J. People with non-specific chronic low back pain who have participated in exercise programs have preferences about exercise: a qualitative study. *Australian Journal of Physiotherapy.* 2009;55:115–21.
118. Harman K, MacRae M, Vallis M, Bassett R. Working with People to Make Changes: A Behavioural Change Approach Used in Chronic Low Back Pain Rehabilitation. *Physiotherapy Canada.* 2014;66(1):82–90.
119. Bordin ES. The generalizability of the psychoanalytic concept of the working alliance. *Psychotherapy: Theory, Research & Practice.* 1979 Autumn;16(3):252–60.
120. Miciak MA. Bedside Matters: A Conceptual Framework of the Therapeutic Relationship in Physiotherapy [Internet]. University of Alberta; 2015 [cited 2023 Mar 6]. Available from: <https://era.library.ualberta.ca/items/e89d2884-cac8-44da-a76b-7d579d2e71b8>

121. Dean SG, Smith JA, Payne S, Weinman J. Managing time: An interpretative phenomenological analysis of patients' and physiotherapists' perceptions of adherence to therapeutic exercise for low back pain. *Disabil Rehabil.* 2005 Jun 3;27(11):625–36.
122. Holopainen R, Simpson P, Piirainen A, Karppinen J, Schütze R, Smith A, et al. Physiotherapists' perceptions of learning and implementing a biopsychosocial intervention to treat musculoskeletal pain conditions: A systematic review and metasynthesis of qualitative studies. Vol. 161, *Pain*. Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 2020. p. 1150–68.
123. Farin E, Gramm L, Schmidt E. The patient-physician relationship in patients with chronic low back pain as a predictor of outcomes after rehabilitation. *J Behav Med.* 2013 Jun;36(3):246–58.
124. Nicolson PJA, Bennell KL, Dobson FL, Van Ginckel A, Holden MA, Hinman RS. Interventions to increase adherence to therapeutic exercise in older adults with low back pain and/or hip/knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med [Internet]*. 2017 May [cited 2017 Aug 25];51(10):791–9. Available from: <http://bjsm.bmj.com/lookup/doi/10.1136/bjsports-2016-096458>
125. Unsgaard-Tøndel M, Söderstrøm S. Building therapeutic alliances with patients in treatment for low back pain: A focus group study. *Physiotherapy Research International.* 2022;27(1).
126. McGrath D, O'halloran P, Prue G, Brown M, Millar J, O'donnell A, et al. Exercise Interventions for Women with Ovarian Cancer: A Realist Review. Vol. 10, *Healthcare (Switzerland)*. MDPI; 2022.
127. Hartley S, Redmond T, Berry K. Therapeutic relationships within child and adolescent mental health inpatient services: A qualitative exploration of the experiences of young people, family members and nursing staff. *PLoS One.* 2022 Jan 1;17(1 January 2022).
128. Brighton LJ, Evans CJ, Man WDC, Maddocks M. Improving exercise-based interventions for people living with both COPD and frailty: A realist review. *International Journal of COPD.* 2020;15:841–55.
129. Greene J, Ramos C. A Mixed Methods Examination of Health Care Provider Behaviors That Build Patients' Trust. *Patient Educ Couns.* 2021 May 1;104(5):1222–8.
130. Hong H, Oh HJ. The Effects of Patient-Centered Communication: Exploring the Mediating Role of Trust in Healthcare Providers. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1041023620191570427> [Internet]. 2019 Mar 20 [cited 2023 Jun 19];35(4):502–11. Available from: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/10410236.2019.1570427>
131. Søndena P, Dalusio-King G, Hebron C. Conceptualisation of the therapeutic alliance in physiotherapy: is it adequate? *Musculoskelet Sci Pract.* 2020 Apr 1;46.
132. Manzoni ACT, de Oliveira NTB, Cabral CMN, Ricci NA. The role of the therapeutic alliance on pain relief in musculoskeletal rehabilitation: A systematic review. *Physiother Theory Pract.* 2018;34(12):901–15.
133. Foster NE, Anema JR, Cherkin D, Chou R, Cohen SP, Gross DP, et al. Prevention and treatment of low back pain: evidence, challenges, and promising directions. *The Lancet.* 2018;391(10137):2368–83.
134. O'Sullivan P, Caneiro JP, O'Keeffe M, O'Sullivan K. Unraveling the Complexity of Low Back Pain. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy [Internet]*. 2016;46(11):932–7. Available from: <http://www.jospt.org/doi/10.2519/jospt.2016.0609>

135. Kendall NAS. Psychosocial approaches to the prevention of chronic pain: the low back paradigm. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol*. 1999 Sep 1;13(3):545–54.
136. Driver C, Lovell GP, Oprescu F. Physiotherapists' views, perceived knowledge, and reported use of psychosocial strategies in practice. *Physiother Theory Pract*. 2021;37(1):135–48.
137. Zangoni G, Thomson OP. "I need to do another course" - Italian physiotherapists' knowledge and beliefs when assessing psychosocial factors in patients presenting with chronic low back pain. *Musculoskelet Sci Pract* [Internet]. 2017 Feb 1 [cited 2023 Jun 19];27:71–7. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28637605/>
138. Booth V, Harwood R, Hancox JE, Hood-Moore V, Masud T, Logan P. Motivation as a mechanism underpinning exercise-based falls prevention programmes for older adults with cognitive impairment: A realist review. *BMJ Open*. 2019;9(6):5–7.
139. Fleckenstein J, Floessel P, Engel T, Krempel L, Stoll J, Behrens M, et al. Individualized Exercise in Chronic Non-Specific Low Back Pain: A Systematic Review with Meta-Analysis on the Effects of Exercise Alone or in Combination with Psychological Interventions on Pain and Disability. *J Pain* [Internet]. 2022 Nov 1 [cited 2023 Jun 21];23(11):1856–73. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35914641/>
140. Ryan RM, Deci EL. Self-Determination Theory and the Facilitation of Intrinsic Motivation, Social Development, and Well-Being Self-Determination Theory. *American Psychologist*. 2000;55(1):68–78.
141. Locke EA, Latham GP. A Theory of Goal Setting and Task Performance. *The Academy of Management Review*. 1991 Apr;16(2):480.
142. Friedrich M, Gittler G, Arendasy M, Friedrich KM. Long-term effect of a combined exercise and motivational program on the level of disability of patients with chronic low back pain. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* [Internet]. 2005 May 1 [cited 2023 Jun 19];30(9):995–1000. Available from: https://journals.lww.com/spinejournal/Fulltext/2005/05010/Long_Term_Effect_of_a_Combined_Exercise_and.2.aspx
143. Bandura A. Self-efficacy: Toward a Unifying Theory of Behavioural Change.
144. Vibe Fersum K, Smith A, Kvåle A, Skouen JS, O'Sullivan P. Cognitive functional therapy in patients with non-specific chronic low back pain—a randomized controlled trial 3-year follow-up. *European Journal of Pain (United Kingdom)*. 2019;23(8):1416–24.
145. Synnott A, O'Keeffe M, Bunzli S, Dankaerts W, O'Sullivan P, Robinson K, et al. Physiotherapists report improved understanding of and attitude toward the cognitive, psychological and social dimensions of chronic low back pain after Cognitive Functional Therapy training: a qualitative study. *J Physiother* [Internet]. 2016 Oct 1 [cited 2023 Jun 19];62(4):215–21. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27634160/>
146. O'Neill A, O'Sullivan K, O'Sullivan P, Purtill H, O'Keeffe M. Examining what factors mediate treatment effect in chronic low back pain: A mediation analysis of a Cognitive Functional Therapy clinical trial. *European Journal of Pain (United Kingdom)*. 2020;24(9):1765–74.
147. Bandura A. The Explanatory and Predictive Scope of Self-Efficacy Theory. <https://doi.org/10.1521/jscp198643359> [Internet]. 1986 Jan 19 [cited 2023 Mar 29];4(3):359–73. Available from: <https://guilfordjournals.com/doi/10.1521/jscp.1986.4.3.359>

148. Maibach E, Murphy DA. Self-efficacy in health promotion research and practice: Conceptualization and measurement. *Health Educ Res.* 1995 Mar;10(1):37–50.
149. van der Bijl JJ, Shortridge-Baggett LM. The Theory and Measurement of the Self-Efficacy Construct. In: Lenz E, Shortridge-Baggett L, editors. *Self-efficacy in Nursing: Research and measurement Perspectives* [Internet]. Springer Publishing Company; 2002 [cited 2023 Mar 29]. p. 9–11. Available from: https://books.google.co.uk/books?hl=en&lr=&id=6bKAQG-KXuMC&oi=fnd&pg=PA9&dq=summary+bandura+self+efficacy&ots=_cc5vZWOTV&sig=i8PpvWloe___5LNmrlxNf-o-qu3M&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=summary%20bandura%20self%20efficacy&f=false
150. Bailey D, Holden MA, Foster NE, Quicke JG, Haywood KL, Bishop A, et al. Defining adherence to therapeutic exercise for musculoskeletal pain: A systematic review. *Br J Sports Med.* 2020;54:326–31.
151. Aitken D, Buchbinder R, Jones G, Winzenberg T. Interventions to improve adherence to exercise for chronic musculoskeletal pain in adults. *Aust Fam Physician.* 2015;44(1):39–42.
152. Palazzo C, Klinger E, Dorner V, Kadri A, Thierry O, Boumenir Y, et al. Barriers to home-based exercise program adherence with chronic low back pain: Patient expectations regarding new technologies. *Ann Phys Rehabil Med* [Internet]. 2016 Apr 1 [cited 2023 Feb 26];59(2):107–13. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27050664/>

Legend

Figure 1: PRISMA flow diagram summarising the systematic search and included studies for programme theory development and testing.

Figure 2: Proposed programme theory of how therapeutic exercise prescription affects change in outcomes of importance for those with persistent LBP

In this figure, each theme of the programme theory is represented by a circle (therapeutic consultation is green, provision of support is orange and exercise specific considerations in blue) with sub-themes in boxes within. Where these circles intersect, the outcomes of adherence, engagement and clinical outcomes are optimised, and the mechanisms of trust, motivation, and confidence (in yellow) support the translation of the contexts into outcomes.