



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *European Society for Radio-therapy and Oncology and European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer consensus on re-irradiation: definition, reporting, and clinical decision making*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/208224/>

Version: Supplemental Material

Article:

Andratschke, N., Willmann, J., Appelt, A.L. orcid.org/0000-0003-2792-9218 et al. (16 more authors) (2022) European Society for Radio-therapy and Oncology and European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer consensus on re-irradiation: definition, reporting, and clinical decision making. *The Lancet Oncology*, 23 (10). e469-e478. ISSN 1470-2045

[https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045\(22\)00447-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(22)00447-8)

© 2022, Elsevier. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

Subject I: Definition of re-irradiation

Baseline assessment

April, 2021

Open question: How do you define re-irradiation? Identification of potential specifications of re-irradiation by the core group.



Round 1 – Consensus formation

May, 2021

Rating the agreement to include items in the definition of re-irradiation on 5-point Likert-scale (strongly agree – strongly disagree). Discussion of potential scenarios that could constitute re-irradiation.



Round 2 – Consensus formation

June, 2021

Confirmation to include only items with consensus in the definition. Voting on overall structure of decision tree. Voting on initial nomenclature and discussion of alternative naming. Voting and discussing specifications.



Round 3 – Consensus formation

December, 2021

Voting and discussion on alternative nomenclature. Discussion of final wording on the definition.

Subject II : Reporting guidelines for clinical studies

Baseline assessment

April, 2021

Open question: What clinical and biological factors should be considered for re-irradiation? Identification of key topics by the core group.



Round 1 – Consolidating topics

May, 2021

Rating the agreement with the key topics as requirement for reporting on 5-point Likert-scale (strongly agree – strongly disagree). Group discussion on how to consolidate the key topics into items for reporting guidelines. Definition of items by the core group.



Round 2 – Prioritization for reporting

October, 2021

Rating the priority of items for reporting (required, recommended, optional, not relevant). Discussion and proposal of additional items.



Round 3 – Prioritization for reporting

December, 2021

Rating the priority of new and undecided items for reporting.

Subject III: Recommendations for decision making in clinical practice

Baseline assessment

April, 2021

Open question: What are minimum technical requirements and best practices for re-irradiation? Identification of key topics by the core group.



Round 1 – Consolidating topics

May, 2021

Rating the agreement with the key topics as requirements for performing re-irradiation on 5-point Likert-scale (strongly agree – strongly disagree). Group discussion on how to consolidate key topics into items for whom recommendations statements can be determined.



Round 2 – Consensus formation

October, 2021

Rating the agreement with items and recommendation statements on 5-point Likert-scale (strongly agree – strongly disagree). Feedback on statements, proposal for adjustments. Adjustment of statements that did not reach consent by core group.



Round 3.1 and 3.2 – Consensus formation

December, 2021

Rating the agreement with adjusted statements. Discussion and proposal of rewording for clarification of statements that reached consent. Adjustment of statements that did not reach consent by core group, followed by a vote on the adjusted statements.