

This is a repository copy of 1598 A typology of community-based complex interventions to sustain independence in older people.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/206526/

Version: Accepted Version

Proceedings Paper:

Crocker, T. orcid.org/0000-0001-7450-3143, Jordão, M., Lam, N. et al. (9 more authors) (2023) 1598 A typology of community-based complex interventions to sustain independence in older people. In: Age and Ageing. British Geriatrics Society Spring Meeting 2023, 17-19 May 2023, Edinburgh and Online. Oxford University Press

https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afad104.082

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.





A typology of community-based complex interventions to sustain independence in older people

TF Crocker; M Jordão; N Lam; A Ellwood; L Mirza; I Patel; E Patetsini; R Ramiz; A Forster; A Clegg; J Gladman; on behalf of the complex interventions review team

Introduction

Community-based health services to sustain the independence of older people are often delivered in complex configurations yet the evidence base lacks clarity. Service provision and research would be improved by a typology of these complex interventions, enabling the existing evidence to be synthesised and effective intervention configurations to be identified. We aimed to produce such a typology to enable a systematic review and network meta-analysis.

Methods

We conducted a qualitative synthesis to develop a typology based upon published descriptions of these interventions. This involved four stages:

- (1) systematic identification of relevant RCTs;
- (2) the extraction of descriptions of the interventions (including control/comparison) using the Template for Intervention Description and Replication (TIDieR);
- (3) a qualitative synthesis generating categories of key intervention features; and(4) grouping the interventions based on the categories.

Components Action Tailoring Multifactorial-**Formal** Physical exercise action from care homecare planning Routine review Health education ADL training (follow-on) Providing aids Nutritional Medication and adaptations support review Technology for **Psychological** Routine risk communication therapy screening and engagement **Engagement in** Cognitive meaningful Monitoring training activities Care voucher Alternative medicine provision Social skills Welfare rights advice training

Results

Our search identified 496 reports of 129 RCTs involving 266 intervention arms. Nineteen core intervention components were generated, forming our typology. Action components aimed to change the person or context, tailoring components configured whether and what action components were planned. Multifactorial-action from care planning is the label we gave to a process of individualised, multidomain assessment and management whereby a tailored selection of action components are chosen, as in comprehensive geriatric assessment. Additionally, we labelled the absence of any of these components 'Available care'. 63 different intervention types (combinations) were identified.

Conclusions

The typology provides an empirical basis for service planning and evidence synthesis. Target populations are not explicitly integrated and should be considered separately. The components, being broad actions, are likely to endure; further components may be identified. However, the huge potential number of intervention types constitutes a challenge to typical approaches to effectiveness research. We recommend better reporting about organisational aspects of interventions and usual care.









