



This is a repository copy of *COVID-19: a catalyst for change in remote and rural advanced clinical practice - a qualitative study*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/201105/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

King, R.L. orcid.org/0000-0003-4012-0202, Carolan, C. and Robertson, S. (2024) COVID-19: a catalyst for change in remote and rural advanced clinical practice - a qualitative study. *Journal of Integrated Care: Practical evidence for service improvement*, 32 (1). ISSN 1476-9018

<https://doi.org/10.1108/JICA-03-2023-0014>

This author accepted manuscript is deposited under a Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial 4.0 International (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) licence. This means that anyone may distribute, adapt, and build upon the work for non-commercial purposes, subject to full attribution. If you wish to use this manuscript for commercial purposes, please contact permissions@emerald.com

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial (CC BY-NC) licence. This licence allows you to remix, tweak, and build upon this work non-commercially, and any new works must also acknowledge the authors and be non-commercial. You don't have to license any derivative works on the same terms. More information and the full terms of the licence here: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>



COVID-19: a catalyst for change in remote and rural advanced clinical practice. A qualitative study

Journal:	<i>Journal of Integrated Care</i>
Manuscript ID	JICA-03-2023-0014.R1
Manuscript Type:	Article
Keywords:	advanced clinical practice, remote and rural settings, Covid-19, remote consultations, online training, primary care

COVID-19: a catalyst for change in remote and rural advanced clinical practice. A qualitative study

Abstract

Purpose

To explore the sustainability of innovations introduced during the Covid-19 pandemic in remote and rural primary care advanced clinical practice.

Design/methodology/approach

An exploratory qualitative study of eight key stakeholders from Scottish remote and rural primary care advanced practice (three policy makers; five advanced practitioners). Data was collected using semi-structured interviews during 2022 and analysed thematically.

Findings

Advanced practice in remote and rural primary care is characterised by a shortage of doctors, close-knit communities and a broad scope of practice. Covid-19 catalysed changes in the delivery of healthcare. Innovations which participants wanted to sustain include hybrid working, triage, online training and development, and increased inter-professional support networks.

Originality

Given current healthcare workforce pressures, identifying and sustaining innovations which will support and retain staff is imperative. Hybrid consultations and online access to training, development and support should be sustained to support the remote and rural advanced practice workforce. Further research should explore the sustainability of innovations introduced during the Covid-19 pandemic in other care contexts.

Practical implications

Findings provide valuable insights into how best to support remote and rural advanced practice which may have implications for retaining healthcare professionals. They also identified useful innovations which could benefit from further investment.

Keywords

Advanced clinical practice, remote and rural settings, Covid-19, remote consultations, online training, primary care, qualitative interviews

Introduction

Primary care has been at the frontline of the response to the Covid-19 pandemic (Verhoeven *et al.*, 2020), with advanced clinical practitioners (ACP) integral to primary care teams (Torrens *et al.*, 2020). Organisations responded rapidly to implement changes in

1
2
3 service delivery to protect patients and staff from infection (Turner *et al.*, 2022). This study
4 explores innovations adopted during the Covid-19 pandemic and how they were sustained
5 in primary care advanced practice in remote and rural settings.
6

7 **Background**

8
9
10 ACPs working in primary care include clinicians from a variety of professional backgrounds;
11 such as nurses, physiotherapists and paramedics. In Scotland, ACPs are trained at Master's
12 level over two to three years with variations in study time and clinical support. The role
13 requires advanced knowledge, skills and attributes, including prescribing, and incorporates
14 the four pillars of clinical practice, education, leadership and research (Strachan and Hoskins
15 2019). In 2019, the remote and rural population of Scotland was just over 300,000 (6% of
16 the total population), inhabiting 70% of the total land mass (Scottish Government, 2019).
17 Data from Public Health Scotland (2019) shows that in 2018 there were 190 ACPs in the
18 North of Scotland (which incorporates the Highlands and Islands) out of a total of 611 ACPs
19 across Scotland.
20
21

22
23 Some of the challenges experienced by ACPs across the UK include increased clinical
24 responsibility and accountability and variable clinical supervision (Wood *et al.*, 2021a).
25 Moreover, studies of primary care ACPs in remote and rural settings have highlighted
26 further challenges such as managing complexity, working in multiple roles, isolation and the
27 need for greater specialist-generalist training, and inter-professional knowledge sharing
28 (McCullough, 2022, Strachan and Hoskins, 2019, Owens, 2019). There have been calls for
29 further research into the scope of practice of ACPs in remote and rural settings
30 (McCullough, 2022) and factors that aid transition into the role and promote continuing
31 education (Owens, 2019).
32
33

34
35 Covid-19 has exacerbated work challenges for ACPs, with concerns about lack of resources
36 to protect staff, and increased workload (Wood *et al.*, 2021a). However, amidst these
37 pressures, there have been opportunities for innovative practice. One notable innovation
38 was the immediate transition from face-to-face, to remote consultations (telephone, video
39 and e-consultation) to mitigate infection risk (Greenhalgh *et al.*, 2021).
40
41

42
43 Pre-pandemic, Scotland was an early adopter of remote consultations via a national strategy
44 to reduce service inequality and carbon emissions (Wherton *et al.*, 2021). This proved timely
45 as, within four months of the pandemic (March-June 2020), video consultations increased
46 from around 330 to 17,000 per week in Scotland (Wherton *et al.*, 2021). Problems
47 implementing remote consultations for clinicians and organisations included cost, impacts
48 on the consultation and staff wellbeing through working in isolation and reduced access for
49 digitally excluded patients (Greenhalgh *et al.*, 2021, Turner *et al.*, 2022).
50

51
52 Opportunities for innovation through the Covid-19 pandemic have been highlighted
53 internationally, for example in outpatient settings (Driver *et al.* 2021), and elderly care in the
54 community (Rasiah *et al.* 2021, Franzosa *et al.* 2021). However, less is known about how
55 remote and rural primary care ACPs have experienced new ways of working, in light of their
56 unique scope of practice and support needs (McCullough, 2022, Owens, 2019). This study
57 explored the sustainability of innovations introduced during the Covid-19 pandemic in
58 remote and rural primary care advanced practice.
59
60

Methods

A descriptive, qualitative exploratory study design, appropriate when eliciting people's experiences and perspectives (Seale, 2018), was used. Snowball sampling (Seale, 2018) enabled recruitment of key stakeholders, in education, policy and practice, involved in remote and rural primary care advanced practice in the Highlands and Islands of Scotland. Initial contact was made via two gatekeepers based in higher education in the Highlands and islands who sent emails, with participant information sheets and consent forms to 11 individuals in their advanced practice networks. Those interested, contacted the research team directly to ask questions and arrange an interview. Eight agreed to take part and three did not respond.

Semi-structured interviews were undertaken by a nurse researcher with clinical and research experience in advanced practice. Key issues in policy and research informed topic guide development, which was piloted with an ACP to ensure clarity (see Box 1).

Eight participants were interviewed at a time and place convenient to them; face-to-face (n=6), virtually via google meet (n=2). Data was collected July-August 2022. Interviews were audio recorded (duration: 38-90 mins, average 52 mins), transcribed and anonymised by the research team prior to data analysis.

Data was managed using Quirkos software (version 2.5.3) and analysed using Braun and Clarke's (2021) six steps of reflexive thematic analysis; familiarisation; data coding; generating initial themes; developing and reviewing themes; refining, defining and naming themes; and writing the report. RK developed initial codes and categories then sense-checked these with CC and SR prior to generating the final themes.

Malterud *et al.*'s (2016) concept of information power rather than data saturation was applied to the sample size of eight. This takes account of the study aim, sample specificity, application of established theory, quality of dialogue and method of analysis when considering adequacy of the sample and data.

Rigour was enhanced through the provision of a detailed description of the study design and research team discussions, including those relating to data discrepancy during data analysis. Reporting follows the consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ) (Tong *et al.*, 2007).

Ethical considerations

Written informed consent was obtained prior to data collection. Participants were given a choice of online or face-to-face interviews and the researcher adhered to local Covid-19 guidance. Ethical approval was obtained from the University Research Ethics Committee [Ref: 046867].

Findings

Due to the small sample size and risk of identifying participants, we have provided limited demographic data to ensure anonymity. Of the eight participants, most were nurses, and one was a paramedic. Five were ACPs; two with management responsibilities (ACP-M1 and ACP-M2) and three with mainly clinical responsibilities (ACP-1, ACP-2 and ACP-3), one was a

1
2
3 part-time lecturer. Three participants were policy makers in primary care advanced practice
4 education and policy development (Policy-1, Policy-2, and Policy-3). Most were female
5 (female=7, male=1) and all were white British.
6
7

8 Thematic analysis generated two key themes (see Table I).
9

10 **Theme 1. Context of remote and rural advanced practice**

11
12 Remote and rural advanced practice is shaped by policy changes and medical workforce
13 shortages. The context is characterised by close-knit communities and difficult access to
14 resources, with implications for ACPs' scope of practice, training and support.
15
16

17 ***Drivers for introducing ACPs in remote and rural settings***

18
19 Changes in healthcare policy, alongside workforce pressures, have driven the introduction
20 and advancement of ACP roles in primary care in the Highlands and Islands of Scotland:
21
22

23
24 *'There's been a big push to increase advanced practitioner numbers, a shift in skill mix ...in*
25 *the last 5-10 years there has been a move away from having GPs to having ANPs in remote*
26 *and rural areas... Shetland has 17 inhabited Islands, some have ANPs on them, and they're it.*
27 *They have really gone for the model of having the ANP as the main health provider on the*
28 *Island, there would have traditionally been a GP."* Policy-1
29
30

31 The new primary care policy on investment in advanced roles was recognised as timely as
32 the pandemic put significant pressure on services:
33
34

35 *"The new GP contract was timely with Covid-19. It has served to create advanced practice*
36 *pharmacists, advanced physiotherapists, and nurses. This has provided resilience and*
37 *leadership, wider use of the roles, greater supervision."* Policy-3
38
39

40 However, enthusiasm for widespread advancement of ACP roles was not uniformly shared:
41
42

43 *"My concern is that ANPs are the new shiny penny, we don't need ANPs everywhere. We*
44 *have PNs [practice nurses] who are really valuable, we don't need them all to become*
45 *ANPs.... That's what the GPs want because they need help with the same day stuff because*
46 *they're swamped."* Policy-3
47
48

49 ***Relational Closeness: everyone knows everyone***

50
51 Working and living in remote and rural settings in small close-knit communities poses
52 unique challenges. Participants described how it can blur boundaries between life at work
53 and life outside of work. It was evident that clinical decisions could become a topic of
54 discussion in the local community and could potentially impact on professional reputation:
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 *"I worry about what they [patients] think of me. You don't want to lose rapport, but we*
4 *need to be firm. They go and tell the whole community about you, we know that happens.... I*
5 *think we worry too much about what patients think."* ACP-1
6
7

8 In the past, very easy access to GPs existed and one ACP described that those expectations
9 were difficult to change:

11 *"I came here years ago and the whole of the [islands] were split into a few GP practices, they*
12 *were a small, a very informal system where people would just turn up at the surgery. In more*
13 *rural areas, it was even more informal; if you needed help at 3am you'd bang on the doctor's*
14 *door."* ACP-2
15
16
17

18 This context was identified as posing unique challenges to ACPs working in remote and rural
19 areas; and subsequently has been included in a bespoke ACP training programme:

21 *"We have identified additional competencies that people need. One additional competency*
22 *is the values and principles of working in a remote and rural area. All the social aspects of*
23 *working in a small community."* Policy-2
24
25
26

27 **Scope: additional risk related to remoteness**

28
29 All participants described the unique challenges faced by ACPs from the finite nature of
30 available resources and harsh geographical environments.

31
32
33 *"Good support is a long way away and we have three ambulances on the whole island. A car*
34 *crash today used up two of those ambulances."* ACP-2
35
36

37 *"We often hear concern from rural practitioners, they like to be trained for the 'what if';*
38 *'what if the helicopter can't get to them and I'm with a sick baby'. They will be supported at*
39 *a distance, but you could be alone for a day or two days."* Policy-1
40
41

42 Furthermore, ACPs reported preparedness to work outside their usual workplaces to
43 support other services when required. For example, some described supporting nearby
44 ambulance services, in addition to usual clinical workload:

45
46 *"We're 40 mins from the nearest acute hospital and would be on call to respond to anything*
47 *alongside the ambulance service; cardiac arrests, traffic accidents, anaphylactic children, all*
48 *sorts of things, just because it's such a huge area to cover. Back at the surgery we would be*
49 *doing unscheduled care, minor injuries and on-call for home visits and care homes, so it's a*
50 *huge role."* ACP-3
51
52
53

54 There was an emphasis on the importance of having a breadth of knowledge and experience
55 to manage any healthcare condition:

56
57
58 *"In nurse training you are very much streamlined into adult or mental health nursing, so*
59 *when you go out to the remote and rural areas, it puts people off, particularly in the small*
60

1
2
3 *communities, if you're not able to treat a sick child.... The same with mental health, they*
4 *don't see themselves as mental health practitioners." Policy-2*
5

6
7 However, despite the breadth of the scope of practice described by ACPs, those in
8 management and policy stressed the importance of boundaries:
9

10 *'There has to be a ceiling of care somewhere because they're not GPs, they're advanced*
11 *practitioners with advanced skills' ACP-M2*
12

13
14 *'From an employer point of view there's a limit and there's a job description and there have*
15 *to be boundaries.'* Policy-1
16

17
18 This poses a tension for ACPs; on the one hand their scope of practice has boundaries, but
19 on the other hand they may have to manage a wide range of eventualities with limited
20 support and resources.
21

22 ***Training requirements for remote and rural advanced practice***

23
24 Those involved in designing training for remote and rural ACPs recognised the breadth of
25 knowledge and skills required for clinicians to manage a wide range of potential scenarios:
26

27 *"They might only see something once every 5 years and they need to know what to do with*
28 *it... One of the things that was highlighted to us was paediatrics, clinicians didn't feel they*
29 *had a lot of experience in effective assessment and clinical decision making.'* Policy-1
30
31

32
33 *"We've identified core additional skills required to have confidence to practice in these*
34 *remote and rural areas... for example; palliative care, emergency care across the age*
35 *spectrum, older people, managing comorbidities, prescribing safely."* Policy 2
36
37

38
39 ACPs in remote and rural settings have been introduced to support the medical workforce.
40 They work in a unique context which brings a range of challenges to the role. These are a
41 consequence of both a closeness to community members and also an isolation from support
42 and resources.
43

44 **Theme 2. Sustaining innovations introduced during Covid-19**

45
46 Examples of how the pandemic has expedited new ways of working for ACPs in remote and
47 rural primary care include increased remote consultations, triage, remote access to training
48 and changes to support networks. Participants reflected on their hopes to sustain these
49 innovations.
50
51

52 ***Covid-19; a catalyst for change***

53
54 Participants agreed that changes in the delivery of primary care, and professional
55 acceptance of change, were expedited by the pandemic. This included policy level changes,
56 such as ACPs ability to sign fitness for work notes:
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 *“Covid actually made everybody more open and enthusiastic about change, they weren’t so*
4 *reluctant to change. That’s the one thing I noticed about the pandemic, we were all*
5 *changing the flow of where patients went and it used to be ‘that’s not our job, that’s not our*
6 *area’, but suddenly there was a collective responsibility about how we could do this.” ACP-*
7 *M2*

8
9
10
11 *“There have been a lot of positives, even such as the legislation change for sick notes [fit*
12 *notes]; Covid is partly to thank for that.” Policy-3*

13
14
15 *“You always think there’ll be a resistance to change but people seem to be getting on with*
16 *it.” ACP 2*

17 18 **Hybrid models of care delivery**

19
20 Remote consultations were implemented at the start of the pandemic to avoid infection
21 transmission. Although there was a choice to undertake these via video or telephone, ACPs
22 in this study preferred telephone consultations due to a lack of reliability of video
23 conferencing technology.

24
25
26
27 *“The first thing was telephone clinics which we never did before, although you might have*
28 *spoken to patients on the phone. That was scary to start with because it's so much easier to*
29 *see patients.” ACP-1*

30
31
32 *“Video consultations weren’t successful, due to IT connections being so variable, so it wasn’t*
33 *worth it. So, we have phone clinics booked in.” ACP-M1*

34
35 For some in managerial or policy positions, this reluctance to use video consultation was
36 seen to be more about clinician preference than reliability:

37
38
39 *“There is still a tendency in primary care not to use video, they want to use the phone*
40 *instead”. ACP-M2*

41
42
43 *“Covid exponentially increased the use of video conferencing, but telephone has been the*
44 *preferred choice of primary care practitioners”. Policy-3*

45
46 Challenges engaging with remote consultations existed for some patients. ACPs found it
47 easier or preferable to offer face-to-face appointments if there was significant
48 dissatisfaction or problems undertaking a comprehensive verbal assessment:

49
50
51 *“Some patients get annoyed when you talk on the phone, but we tell them we’ve been doing*
52 *this a while now. Sometimes I just give in and say ok come in.” ACP-1*

53
54
55 *“You might ask over the phone, what’s been happening?they [relatives]reply, ‘he’s not*
56 *right’, what do you mean not right? ‘He’s nay well. He’s not been well a while’. Then you ask*
57 *to speak to the patient ‘I’m nay well’.” ACP-2*

1
2
3 Hybrid working allowed clinicians and patients to choose the most appropriate method of
4 consultation. There were instances where, due to issues around risk and prescribing
5 stewardship, it was felt that face-to-face consultation would be the best option:
6
7

8 *“At the beginning of lockdown, it was all remote. I found that quite difficult because, as a*
9 *nurse prescriber, I want to assess my patients before I prescribe for them. I was always*
10 *taught as a nurse prescriber, never ever prescribe for a patient unless you’ve personally*
11 *assessed them...Yesterday I had seven infected leg bites. I prescribed for every single one, ...I*
12 *probably wouldn’t have prescribed for them all if I’d see them face-to-face”.* ACP-3
13
14
15

16 Remote consultations were so successful that they became the first choice for some
17 clinicians. There was also recognition by ACPs and those in policy roles that, for some
18 patients, remote consultations are preferred due to convenience, saving both time and
19 travel:
20
21

22 *“I recently shadowed a very experienced ANP in the Highlands...He does all of his*
23 *consultations over the phone... He said they had changed their way of working during Covid*
24 *and decided not to go back”.* ACP-3
25
26
27

28 *“We found that people like not having to go to the surgery, those who are working and don’t*
29 *feel the need. We have more opportunity to have different types of conversation, also e-*
30 *consults which are asynchronous, makes it more convenient for some.”* Policy-3
31
32

33 **Triage; creating certainty**

34 Non-clinical reception staff were trained in ‘triage’, following developed protocols.
35
36

37 *“The plan for triage came up overnight, the protocol is very clear, and there was a rota.*
38 *When patients phone up, they are asked for clinical information.”* ACP-1
39
40

41 Telephone triage provided ACPs with valuable insight into the reason for consultation,
42 removing some uncertainty, and providing a sense of control.
43
44

45 *“The phone clinic list has already gone through triage, so you have some information about*
46 *what you’re doing before you phone.”* ACP-M1
47
48

49 However, some concerns about patients’ perspectives of telephone triage were voiced:
50
51

52 *“Some patients don’t like it, we hear in the hairdresser and supermarket- “you can’t see a*
53 *doctor”, but we know they can see a nurse or doctor if they want to. A lot of patients are fine*
54 *with it and are getting used to it.”* ACP-1
55
56

57 All participants were keen to retain telephone triage and the associated sense of certainty it
58 created by informing the consultation:
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

“Now you only see a patient face-to-face if it’s deemed appropriate or if patients insist on it, which some of them do. You’re never going to change that, but at least we have an idea of why they’re coming in. I wouldn’t like to go back to not knowing. Nobody would like that. We’re really happy with it”. ACP-1

Supportive relational networks

Isolation through home working and clinical uncertainty during the pandemic, exposed the importance of good inter-professional support. Some participants described anxieties caused by a lack of face-to-face clinical assessment, and the need to discuss concerning symptoms with colleagues.

“I found it quite scary at first because people had lots of respiratory symptoms. I was phoning the GPs a few times. We had to make decisions without seeing them and give worsening advice.” ACP-1

There was recognition that, in some areas, clinical support was not so well developed or readily available, creating challenges for ACP decision-making, further highlighting the importance of support networks:

“In remote and rural settings, prescribing in particular, it’s really difficult because some of these ACPs in very remote places are not supported by GPs, they’re completely independent and having to think on their feet.” ACP-3

Others valued being part of local online support networks for ACPs developed at the start of the pandemic:

“A support network is important. That’s something that’s come about since the start of Covid, well the group I’m involved in, for exchanging ideas etc.” ACP-3

Access to online training and development

Pre-pandemic, ACPs from remote and rural areas faced long journey times to access relevant in-person training, limiting educational opportunities. However, this changed during imposed travel restrictions:

“There’s been a shift in culture in terms of delivering online courses. The islands previously used remote learning for years, but pre-pandemic, other areas did not use it so much...A meeting in Glasgow, for someone in the Shetland or the Western Isles, would be a two-day trip, a day to travel and a day to get back. That’s absolutely changed overnight. The door has just opened. Previously if it was done remotely, it was done poorly, but that has changed literally overnight.” Policy-1

For one participant involved in teaching, the benefits of adapting to remote education were clear; they planned to sustain these changes to provide equitable access to training:

1
2
3 *"I was sent home with a laptop and two big monitors and told to get on with it*
4 *basically...The students are from all over Scotland and some are from the Islands which*
5 *makes it difficult to attend so we've decided to continue it online, it works really well as it is.*
6 *What would happen if we went back to face-to-face is that some would attend in person and*
7 *others would attend remotely, so it wouldn't be the same experience for everybody". ACP-3*
8
9

10
11 Clinical training supervision also moved to a remote service, not only protecting clinicians
12 from infection, but also enabling equitable access across Scotland:
13

14
15 *"Supervision is now online, so people don't have a long commute, and now the remote and*
16 *rural people can access supervision, which has helped in terms of equity. Some from the*
17 *Highland and Islands would never have attended. Nothing like a pandemic to get you into*
18 *the right place!" Policy-3*
19

20 21 Discussion

22
23 Findings reveal that ACPs in remote and rural settings work in a unique context,
24 experiencing both a relational closeness to their communities and a physical distance from
25 specialist resources and support. Similarly, **previous studies** of remote and rural healthcare
26 found that clinicians **often provided care for friends and neighbours, lacking anonymity and**
27 experienced isolation, challenging cultural contexts and a lack of resources (**Owens, 2019,**
28 **Martin et al., 2019**).
29

30
31
32 **Participants emphasised the need for ACPs to undertake bespoke training to develop** an
33 extensive range of skills and knowledge to prepare them for a wide range of eventualities.
34 Strachan *et al* (2019), **and Hubbard et al (2019)**, similarly identified that ACPs in rural areas
35 manage more complex cases and often undertake multiple roles, with the centralisation of
36 specialist services exacerbating the lack of access caused by poor transport and bad
37 weather. Hence, ACPs broad scope of practice and unique social and geographical context
38 underscores the need **to develop specialist-generalist remote and rural postgraduate**
39 **educational pathways; a strategy previously found to promote job satisfaction and retention**
40 **(Owens, 2019)**.
41
42
43
44
45

46 **This study also revealed a desire by participants to sustain positive changes introduced**
47 **during the pandemic. They described key innovations introduced at the start of the**
48 **pandemic including a triage system, remote consultations, and online learning**
49 **opportunities; impressive changes in a context previously identified as difficult to achieve**
50 **workplace transformation (Hubbard et al., 2019)**. Although ACPs adapted to remote
51 consultations, they found the lack of physical assessment and prescribing challenging, with
52 resistance from some patients and disadvantages for others. This aligns with previous
53 research demonstrating that support for remote consultations waned during the pandemic
54 due to missed diagnoses, lack of a therapeutic relationship, and digital inequalities (Mroz *et*
55 *al.*, 2021, Verhoevan *et al.*, 2020).
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 There was a desire **by participants** to continue providing hybrid options for consultations, a
4 transformation dependent on several factors such as digital infrastructure, fair distribution
5 of human and financial resources, training, and data security (Wherton *et al.*, 2021).
6 Participants also valued the introduction of a triage system, which provided increased
7 certainty to consultations in the context of complex clinical decision-making (Greenhalgh
8 and Wieringa, 2011).
9
10

11
12 The transition to online training and supervision provided a more accessible and equitable
13 experience to support ACP learning needs. In the context of working in isolation, ACPs
14 valued the opportunity to discuss their decisions with experienced colleagues and valued
15 the development of online networks. As a relatively new role which blurs the boundaries
16 with medicine, it is crucial for ACPs to access relevant training and continuing development
17 to inform decision making (Torrens *et al.*, 2020; King *et al.*, 2021). This is consistent with
18 previous research which identified the importance of effective clinical supervision for
19 healthcare professionals in remote and rural areas (Martin *et al.*, 2019, Owens, 2019).
20 Torrens *et al* (2020) similarly emphasised the importance of building collaborative
21 relationships in the success of the primary care ACP role, while others highlight the impact
22 of variable supervision on ACP-wellbeing (Wood *et al.*, 2021b). The autonomous nature of
23 advanced practice requires efficient access to information and inter-professional support,
24 particularly when making quick decisions about patient care (King *et al.*, 2021).
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 **This study has identified a range of workplace transformations introduced during the Covid-19**
32 **pandemic, viewed positively by the remote and rural ACP workforce in Scotland. Future**
33 **research should explore this important issue in other remote and rural contexts; with the**
34 **aim of sustaining positive innovations that can promote job satisfaction and retention of**
35 **primary care ACPs. It is also important to evaluate future initiatives that promote inter-**
36 **professional knowledge sharing.**
37
38
39
40

41 **Limitations**

42
43 Despite the small sample size, interviews enabled an in-depth understanding of experiences
44 and views of participants in practice, education and policy. These findings, while not
45 generalizable, will be transferable to similar remote and rural contexts.
46
47

48 **Conclusion**

49
50 National and international primary healthcare systems adapted care delivery in response to
51 the Covid-19 pandemic. Findings suggest that, for remote and rural primary care advanced
52 practice in Scotland, some innovations introduced during the pandemic were viewed
53 positively. Hybrid consultations, triage, and online access to training, development and
54 support should be sustained to enable the remote and rural ACP workforce to flourish and
55 mitigate current pressures. Further research should explore the sustainability of innovations
56
57
58
59
60

introduced during the Covid-19 pandemic in other health and social care contexts and professional groups and their role in promoting workforce retention.

References

- Braun, V. and Clarke, V. (2021). One size fits all? What counts as quality practice in (reflexive) thematic analysis? *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, DOI: 10.1080/14780887.2020.1769238
- Driver, J.A., Strymish, J., Clement, S., Hayes, B., Craig, K., Cervera, A., Morreale-Karl, M., Linsenmeyer, K., Grudberg, S., Davidson, H. and Spencer, J. (2021). Front-Line innovation: rapid implementation of a nurse-driven protocol for care of outpatients with COVID-19. *Journal of clinical nursing*, 30(11-12), pp.1564-1572.
- Franzosa, E., Gorbenko, K., Brody, A.A., Leff, B., Ritchie, C.S., Kinosian, B., Ornstein, K.A. and Federman, A.D., (2021). "At home, with care": lessons from New York City home-based primary care practices managing COVID-19. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 69(2), pp.300-306.
- Greenhalgh, T., Rosen, R., Shaw, S.E., Byng, R., Faulkner, S., Finlay, T., Grundy, E., Husain, L., Hughes, G., Leone, C. and Moore, L., (2021). Planning and evaluating remote consultation services: a new conceptual framework incorporating complexity and practical ethics. *Frontiers in Digital Health*, p.103.
- Greenhalgh, T. and Wieringa, S., (2011). Is it time to drop the 'knowledge translation' metaphor? A critical literature review. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine*. 104(12), pp.501-509.
- Hubbard, G, Smith, A, Carolan, C, Stephen, K, MacGillie Eathain, R (2019). *Evaluation of new models of primary care in Scotland. Highlands and Islands Case study*. Scottish School of Primary Care.
- King, R., Sanders, T. and Tod, A., (2021). Shortcuts in knowledge mobilization: an ethnographic study of advanced nurse practitioner discharge decision-making in the emergency department. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 77(7), pp.3156-3167.
- Malterud, K, Siersma, V. D, Guassorra, A. D (2016). Sample size in qualitative interview studies: guided by information power. *Qualitative Health Research*. 26(13), pp.1753-1760.
- Martin, P., Kumar, S., Lizarondo, L. and Baldock, K., (2019). Debriefing about the challenges of working in a remote area: a qualitative study of Australian allied health professionals' perspectives on clinical supervision. *PloS one*, 14(3), p.e0213613.
- Mroz, G., Papoutsis, C., Rushforth, A. and Greenhalgh, T., (2021). Changing media depictions of remote consulting in COVID-19: analysis of UK newspapers. *British Journal of General Practice*, 71(702), pp.e1-e9.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

McCullough, K. *et al.* (2022) 'Nursing in a different world: Remote area nursing as a specialist-generalist practice area', *The Australian journal of rural health*, 30(5), pp. 570–581. doi: 10.1111/ajr.12899

Owens, R.A., (2019). Nurse practitioner role transition and identity development in rural health care settings: a scoping review. *Nursing Education Perspectives*, 40(3), pp.157-161.

Public Health Scotland (2019) Workforce data tables. Available from: <https://www.isdscotland.org/Health-Topics/Workforce/Publications/data-tables2017.asp> [Accessed on 28.06.23]

Rasiah, J., O'Rourke, T., Dompé, B., Rolfson, D., Mansell, B., Pereira, R., Chan, T., McDonald, K. and Summach, A. (2021). Customizing a Program for Older Adults Living with Frailty in Primary Care. *Journal of Primary Care & Community Health*, 12
<https://doi.org/10.1177/21501327211034807>

Seale, C. (2018) *Researching Society & Culture* 4th Edition. Sage Publications, London

Scottish Government (2021). *Rural Scotland Key facts 2021*. Available from: <https://www.gov.scot/publications/rural-scotland-key-facts-2021/pages/2/> [Accessed 28.06.23]

Strachan, H and Hoskins, G (2019) *Evaluation of new models of primary care in Scotland. Advanced Nurse Practitioner Case Study*. Scottish School of Primary Care.

Tong, A., Sainsbury, P., and Craig, J. (2007). Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*, 19(6), pp.349–357. <https://doi.org/10.1093/intqhc/mzm042>

Torrens, C., Campbell, P., Hoskins, G., Strachan, H., Wells, M., Cunningham, M., Bottone, H., Polson, R. and Maxwell, M., (2020). Barriers and facilitators to the implementation of the advanced nurse practitioner role in primary care settings: a scoping review. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 104, p.103443.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0020748919302500>

Turner, A., Morris, R., Rakhra, D., Stevenson, F., McDonagh, L., Hamilton, F., Atherton, H., Farr, M., Blake, S., Banks, J., Lasseter, G., Ziebland, S., Hyde, E., Powell, J., & Horwood, J. (2021). Unintended consequences of online consultations: a qualitative study in UK primary care. *The British Journal of General Practice*, 72, pp.e128–e137.

Verhoeven, V., Tsakitzidis, G., Philips, H., & Van Royen, P. (2020). Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the core functions of primary care: will the cure be worse than the disease? A qualitative interview study in Flemish GPs. *British Medical Journal Open*, 10, e039674.
<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-039674>

Wherton, J., Greenhalgh, T. and Shaw, S.E., (2021). Expanding video consultation services at pace and scale in Scotland during the COVID-19 pandemic: national mixed methods case study. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 23(10), p.e31374.

1
2
3 Wood. E., King. R., Robertson. S. Ryan. T. (2021a) Sources of satisfaction, dissatisfaction and
4 well-being for UK Advanced Practice Nurses: a qualitative study. *Journal of Nursing*
5 *Management*. 29(5):pp.1073-1080. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33404130/>
6
7

8 Wood. E., King. R., Senek, M., Robertson. S. Taylor. B., Tod. A., Ryan. T. (2021b). UK
9 advanced practice nurses' experiences of the COVID-19 pandemic: a mixed-methods cross-
10 sectional study. *BMJ Open*, 11:e044139. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-044139
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Journal of Integrated Care

Box 1. Interview topic guide

1. What is the role of ACPs in primary care in your area?
2. How has the scope of ACPs been determined?
3. How has the pandemic impacted care delivery?
4. How have primary care ACPs adapted their ways of working to meet patient need through the Covid-19 pandemic?
5. How have those changes impacted clinical practice?
6. How have those changes impacted patient care?
7. What would enable/support ACPs to sustain these innovations in practice?
8. Is there anything else you'd like to say about your role during the pandemic that hasn't already been covered?

Table I. Themes and sub themes

Theme		Sub themes
Theme 1	Context of remote and rural advanced practice	Drivers for introducing ACPs in remote and rural settings
		Relational closeness: everyone knows everyone
		Scope: additional risk related to remoteness
		Training requirements for remote and rural practice
Theme 2	Sustaining innovations introduced during Covid-19	Covid-19: a catalyst for change
		Hybrid models of care delivery
		Triage: creating certainty
		Supportive relational networks
		Remote access to training and development

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Acknowledgements

The study has been funded by the Florence Nightingale Foundation, Stephanie Thompson Memorial Fund.

Journal of Integrated Care