

This is a repository copy of Why is pain management so difficult in the Emergency Department? A systematic mixed studies review and thematic synthesis of staff perceptions of enablers and barriers to pain management within the Emergency Department.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/200747/</u>

Version: Supplemental Material

Article:

Sampson, F.C. orcid.org/0000-0003-2321-0302 and Johnson, M. (2023) Why is pain management so difficult in the Emergency Department? A systematic mixed studies review and thematic synthesis of staff perceptions of enablers and barriers to pain management within the Emergency Department. Emergency Medicine Journal, 40 (8). pp. 606-613. ISSN 1472-0205

https://doi.org/10.1136/emermed-2022-212759

This article has been accepted for publication in Emergency Medicine Journal, 2023 following peer review, and the Version of Record can be accessed online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/emermed-2022-212759. © Authors (or their employer(s)) 2023. Reuse of this manuscript version (excluding any databases, tables, diagrams, photographs and other images or illustrative material included where a another copyright owner is identified) is permitted strictly pursuant to the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial (CC BY-NC) licence. This licence allows you to remix, tweak, and build upon this work non-commercially, and any new works must also acknowledge the authors and be non-commercial. You don't have to license any derivative works on the same terms. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/ Supplementary material: Table 1: characteristics of included studies.

| Author & | Research | Setting and | Participants | Methods | Data analysis | Comments | Overview of results (concepts, theories or themes identified) |
|-------------------------------|--|---|--|---|------------------------------|---|---|
| Country | question | patient group | | | | | |
| | | | Qualitative | | | Г | |
| Berben 2012 Netherlands | What are the facilitators and barriers to pain management for trauma patients in the chain of emergency care in the Netherlands | 2 EMS ambulance services, 3 EDs (1 academic trauma centre, 1 teaching hospital, 1 regional general hospital) Trauma patients | Interviews N=10 2 EMS medical managers, 2 EMS protocol stakeholders, 3 ED medical managers, 3 ED nurse managers. Focus groups N=23. 2 x focus groups with paramedics (n=4) 3x focus groups (n=4,5,6) with ED staff nurses, physician, trauma surgeons. | Interviews and focus groups. Professional and organisational perspective. | Thematic content analysis | Includes prehospital but presents some results for EDs separately. | Identified five concepts: knowledge, attitude, professional communication, organisation aspects and patient input. Barriers (and enablers +ve): 1) Knowledge. Knowledge deficits on pain management, pain assessment based on expert opinion, pain treatment based on experience, not on protocols, fear for adverse events when administering opioids, knowledge on physiology of pain, new developments and effect of under-treatment (+ve). Pain assessment based on validated instruments (+ve). 2) Attitude. Pain is not life-threatening for the patient, pain 'part of the deal' and minor priority, resistance to use of validated pain assessments, doubts of validity of pain experience, pain doesn't influence choice of treatment. 3) Professional communication Inadequate multidisciplinary communication on pain, professional feedback on pain management (+ve) 4) Organisational aspects. Organisational aspects. Organisational feedback lacking, inadequate EMS analgesia protocol, protocol not used in ED, intertwined triage assessment and pain assessment in ED, no shared perspective on pain management, lack of follow-up in chain of emergency care, ED culture not focussed on patient comfort, surgeon mainly focused on injury treatment, emergency physician in ED is a facilitator (+ve), one guideline on pain management for chain of emergency care (+ve) 5) Patient input: patient refused pharmacological pain treatment, patient input enhances effective pain management (+ve) |
| Bergman 2012 USA | What is the process emergency nurses use | 6 EDs in Northern Florida | Interviews N=15. All emergency nurses >1 year | Open-ended interviews | Grounded theory | | Identified three broad themes: overwhelmed, perceived non- cohesiveness and frustration. ED environment seen as barrier to process of helping nurses demonstrate caring when managing adult patient's pain. |
| | when managing adults pain. Perceived barriers to demonstrating | Adult patients | experience. | | | | 1) Overwhelmed with volumes of critically ill patients who need prioritising and lack of staff to support them. Patients place higher priority on pain than nursing staff, who are dealing with 'life-threatening or more serious or severe injuries". Perceived lack of control with chaotic, uncontrolled |

| Author & | Research | Setting and | Participants | Methods | Data analysis | Comments | Overview of results (concepts, theories or themes identified) |
|-----------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------|----------|--|
| Country | question | patient group | | | | | |
| | caring when | | | | | | working environment. Juggling several patients at once whilst |
| | managing adult | | | | | | aware of pressures in the department. |
| | patients' pain. | | | | | | 2) Non-cohesiveness. Perception that some nurses may be |
| | | | | | | | more or less caring, and a lack of teamwork in working |
| | | | | | | | relationship with administrators, other nursing staff or |
| | | | | | | | emergency physicians. |
| | | | | | | | 3) Frustration at patients attending inappropriately or |
| | | | | | | | seeking narcotics. Distrust of patients and desensitization |
| | | | | | | | towards patients with pain. Frustration with patient inability |
| | | | | | | | to use pain scales or meet expectations for pain relief. |
| Bennetts | Understand | 6 EDs in | Interviews N=5 | Semi-structured | Open coding | | Themes were categorised into 4 sections, summarised below: |
| 2012 | current | Australia | (regional | interviews | process | | 1) Current pain management practices: Pain management not |
| Australia | practice, | | doctors). | | | | a top priority unless to expedite diagnosis. Doctors felt nurse- |
| | enablers and | All ED | Focus groups | | | | initiated protocols reduced their involvement in pain |
| | barriers to pain | patients | N=5 | | | | management. Recognised patients not getting optimal pain |
| | management in | | 2x focus groups | | | | management. Reliance on individual knowledge and skill |
| | Australian EDs | | with | | | | rather than guidelines. Few reviews of pain management |
| | | | metropolitan | | | | practice. |
| | | | doctors (n=8, | | | | 2) Enablers to implementing best-practice pain management: |
| | | | n=9) | | | | strong evidence for change needed to achieve 'buy-in' from |
| | | | 3 x focus | | | | staff and enable change to be driven from within. Pain |
| | | | groups with | | | | management champion key enabler to effecting change. |
| | | | nurses (n=8 | | | | Education seen as enabler by some staff groups. Multifaceted |
| | | | metropolitan, | | | | approach needed. 3) Barriers to implementing best practice. Lack of time and |
| | | | n=9 regional, n=8 | | | | |
| | | | metropolitan | | | | resources (staffing). Politically driven hospital policies and indicators are given higher priority. National opioid |
| | | | paediatric). | | | | administration legislation leads to delays in timely |
| | | | paeulatricj. | | | | administration registation leads to delays in timely administration. Requirement for patients to have a bed prior |
| | | | | | | | to having opioids. Organizational culture of hospital or ED |
| | | | | | | | resistant to change. Rapid staff turnover limits opportunity |
| | | | | | | | for guideline implementation. High level of emergency |
| | | | | | | | doctor's confidence in ability and passing on entrenched |
| | | | | | | | practices. Patient factors. |
| | | | | | | | 4) Participants' experiences of change in emergency |
| | | | | | | | department practices. Staff engagement important and |
| | | | | | | | change needs to be driven by clinical managers. Need to be |
| | | | | | | | driven from within the ED. |
| | | | L | | 1 | | |

| Author & | Research | Setting and | Participants | Methods | Data analysis | Comments | Overview of results (concepts, theories or themes identified) |
|--|---|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| Author & Country Chafe et al 2016 Canada | Research question Explore current barriers to improving the assessment and treatment of pain within paediatric ED | Setting and patient group 1 paediatric ED | Participants Interviews N=17 (8 ED nurses, 9 ED physicians) Focus group with 14 triage nurses. | Methods Semi-structured interviews and focus group | Data analysis Thematic analysis | Comments Also interviewed parents and patients. Post- intervention | Identified list of factors impacting pain management: 1) Lack of awareness from staff that performance is still a problem – felt initiatives had been implemented and didn't recognise that department still underperforming. 2) Staffing/patient flow issues – staffing levels and busyness impact on ability to manage pain well. 3) Being too focussed on medication – too little attention given to non-pharmacological methods to reduce pain. 4) Type of medical condition impacting pain treatment – nurses have less confidence treating certain conditions and fear that medication may hamper diagnosis. 5) accuracy of pain assessment – staff questioned the value of relying solely on patient-reported scores. 6) current |
| Fry 2015 Australia | Understand the role of confidence and self-efficacy in nursing care to older people in pain after long bone fracture | 4 EDs in Sydney, Australia. Older patients with long bone fracture and cognitive impairment | Focus groups N=16. 80 ED nurses. | Focus groups | Thematic analysis | | medical directive which does not address severe pain – need for nurses to have protocols to access to wider range of analgesia. Confidence and self-efficacy through experience. Experience gives nurses confidence to give pain relief and give nurse initiated analgesia. Lack of experience, feedback mechanisms and knowledge makes pain management harder. Confidence and self-efficacy as a balancing act: complexity of delivering opiates to older people due to safety issues. Nurses have limited ability to initiate opioid analgesics in this population. Confidence and self-efficacy gained through practice, get to understand when patient is in pain. Lack of feedback from patients seen as limiting confidence and self-efficacy will influence care |
| Gauntlett- Gilbert 2015 UK | Explore ED clinicians' attitudes to patients with chronic pain in depth. | 1 ED Chronic pain patients | Focus groups N=3. 20 participants (10 nurses, 8 physicians, 1 physiotherapist, 1 ward manager) | Focus groups | Inductive thematic analysis | Not primary research question | practices and drive nursing action. Three main themes: 1) System failures – patients go to ED as nowhere else to go, ED staff don't feel ED is right place for them 2)Mismatch of individual vs institutional needs – ED is an acute environment, not set up for dealing with chronic conditions, patients see ED as panacea where they will get tests and access to specialists, ED staff want to fix people, staff struggled to understand the patient story without their history. 3) Clinical challenges – ED staff don't have time to hear full history, difficult to discern whether any new acute pain, don't |

| Author & Country | Research question | Setting and patient group | Participants | Methods | Data analysis | Comments | Overview of results (concepts, theories or themes identified) |
|-------------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| | 4 | Patient Stock | | | | | have time to deal with them and give them the empathy they deserve. |
| Gorowara- Bhat 2016 USA | Understand ED nurses perceptions of assessing older- patients' pain | 1 adult ED. Older patients | Interviews. N=20 ED nurses. No details of participant observation. | In-depth interviews and participant observation. | No specific methodology mentioned. Immersion, coding, categorizing, extracting themes, interpreting. | | "Challenge" statement of nurse reports of barriers faced in assessing pain: 1) reported pain of patients –subjective in nature 2) pain reporting in elderly – multifaceted and complex 3) reported pain and observed patient behaviour – mismatch 4)Pain rating scale – oversimplified assessment/treatment of pain 5) protocol guidelines/reassessment – difficult to implement. 6) Over-medication in the ED is rampant "Strategies" |
| Shaban 2011 Australia | What are the barriers and enablers to implementing interventions recommended for best practice pain management in ED | 9 EDs included in the National Emergency Care Pain Management Initiative in Australia Adults and paediatrics | Interviews. N=14 (11 nurses, 1 doctor, 1 pharmacist and 1 quality manager). | Semi-structured interviews and document analysis | Content analysis. | Not primary research question | Three main themes emerged: 1) Staff perceptions about existing practices and the need for change. Need for staff to recognise deficiencies in existing practice using audit as staff generally believe they are doing well. Feedback seen as important motivator in order for staff to see improvements. 2) Staff attitudes towards practice improvement. nurses not willing to accept pain score in absence of visible signs. Staff burnt out and desensitised to pain due to pressures of workload. 3) Organisational characteristics acting as enablers and barriers. Implementation of change easier with buy-in from all staff groups and organisational commitment. Time seen as most significant barrier, with high staff turnover rate and staff working long hours affecting pain management. Regular auditing and education seen as key to sustainability of pain management interventions. |
| Wilsey B 2008b USA | Impediments to care of patients with chronic pain in the ED. | 4 hospitals in Sacramento, CA. Chronic pain patients | Interviews N=24 Emergency physicians | Structured/semi- structured interviews | Constant comparison method of analysis. | Interview schedule very structured, based on literature outside the ED. | System barriers (time limitations, limited priority for treating chronic pain in the ED, frequent flyers perceived as opioid seekers and therefore ignored, patients attending ED due to insurance issues, patients attending ED due to not having primary care physician). Physician barriers (annoyance at having to care for patients with chronic pain, belief that patients with chronic pain are drug seekers, belief that chronic pain patients attending the ED are addicted to pain medication, belief in pathology, concern about diversion of opioids to black market, reluctance to prescribe opioids where pain is not explained). |

| Author & Country | Research question | Setting and patient group | Participants | Methods | Data analysis | Comments | Overview of results (concepts, theories or themes identified) |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|----------|--|
| Shoqirat N 2019 Jordan. | Understand the nurses perspective of barriers to pain management in the ED. | ED in teaching hospital in Jordan. All patients | Interviews n=12 ED nurses with minimum 3 months ED experience | Semi-structured interviews. | Thematic analysis | | Identified two 'categories'. Focuses on patient as a barrier. Category 1: Patient types, subcategories patients who are violent, patients with relatives who are violent, patients whose expectations may not be realistic. Patients who are violent, or have violent relatives affect pain management by affecting the ED environment negatively and creating distractions. Some patients had unrealistic expectations of how long it could take for pain to be controlled. Category 2: The taxing emergency environment. Staff shortage and inappropriate skillmix to deal with pain management. Physician dominance of pain management. Perception that physicians should manage pain undermined nursing role in pain management. |
| Donnelly 2019 Australia | Understand the perceptions of emergency nurses in the management of acute abdominal pain | ED in a large tertiary public hospital in Australia. Patients with abdominal pain | Interviews n=9 ED nurses with minimum 2 years ED experience. | Semi-structured interviews | Thematic analysis (Braun & Clarke) | | Identified four main themes: centrality of diagnosis; busyness and patient management; systems issues; communication challenges. Developing and confirming a diagnosis is key to the management of patients with abdominal pain. Patient care plans depended upon the diagnosis. Patient volumes and flow affect pain management and capacity to manage pain relief and other care needs were affected by how busy the unit was. High quality communication and interprofessional practice are key enablers to good pain management. The nature of the ED is counter to good 'fundamental care' and pain management (physical environment, policies, procedures and staff mix) |
| Davidson et al 2021. Australia | Barriers and enablers ED clinicians face when providing care to patients with lower back pain. (Also other questions) | ED clinicians in one ED in Australia. Patients with lower back pain. | Interviews with 2 senior staff, 4 focus groups with total of 19 ED staff. | Semi-structured interviews and focus groups | Thematic analysis | | Separated barriers into patient, clinician and service level as follows: Patient level – chronicity of condition, patient co-morbidities, patient expectations of care (strong pain relief), emotions, limited function. Clinician level – expectations of care and outcomes, fear of exacerbating patient's condition, inappropriate referrals to different professions and poor communication between services. Service level – access to resources, bed availability, service priorities (higher-need patient presentations), ED set-up (spaces don't enable mobility or function assessment), lack of follow-up options, staffing , treatment limitations. |

| Author & | Research | Setting and | Participants | Methods | Data analysis | Comments | Overview of results (concepts, theories or themes identified) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|------------------------------------|---|
| Country | question | patient group | | | | | |
| | | | Quantitative dat | а | | | Interpretation relating to themes |
| Tanabe & Buschmann 2000 USA | What are the barriers to pain management identified by emergency nurses? | Illinois Emergency Nurses Association members. | 305/1000 Emergency Nurses | Questionnaire | Rated 13 potential barriers from 0-100% reflecting how frequently respondent feels it presents a barrier in their practice. | | See table below: comparison of staff surveys |
| Duignan & Dunn 2009 Republic of Ireland. | Determine what factors present barriers to pain management in EDs | 5 EDs in 4 counties of ROI. | 81 /105 Emergency Nurses | Questionnaire (based on Tanabe & Buschmann) and free text comments | As Tanabe & Buschmann. | | See table below: comparison of staff surveys |
| Pretorius 2015 New Zealand | What are the barriers or enablers to the ED nurses' ability to provide optimal pain management for their patients? | Emergency nurses. College of Emergency Nurses New Zealand members | States 172/197 as assumes survey had been sent to other nurses. | Questionnaire (based on Tanabe and Buschmann) and free text comments | | | See table below for summary of barriers. Enablers reported as follows (% agreeing with statements): Nurse-initiated analgesia protocols improve PM (97%) PM courses improve nursing management principles (95%) PM champion improve pain assessment, PM and nursing knowledge of pain (86%) Treating pain as 5 th vital sign contributes to optimal PM care (86%) Posters of pain assessment tools improve accuracy of PS assessment and documentation of PS (76%) Regular audits on PM motivate nurses to achieve goal of optimum PM (51%) |
| Tsai et al 2007 Taiwan | Explore perceived barriers to pain management among emergency nurses in Taiwan. | Emergency nurses in 9 EDS from 4 regions of Taiwan. | 249/328 emergency nurses | Questionnaire (modified version of Tanabe & Buschmann) | 14 item | | See table below: comparison of staff surveys |
| Wilsey 2008. USA | Assess the influence of several | 4 hospitals in Sacramento, CA. | 34 physicians, 44 nurses (1 did not respond.) | Questionnaire | Mean agreement score with 15 questions on | Questions taken from work on | Responses aimed to look at agreement between physicians, nurses and patients and are only reported graphically. |

| Author & | Research | Setting and | Participants | Methods | Data analysis | Comments | Overview of results (concepts, theories or themes identified) |
|--|---|--|--|---------------|---|---|---|
| Country | question recognized barriers from other venues to treating chronic pain as perceived by ED patients and providers | patient group | | | beliefs and experiences about the treatment of chronic pain in emergency departments. | barriers to chronic pain from other settings, and other issues considered unique to the ED | Questions related to chronic pain only. All agreed that treatment of chronic pain in the ED is not a priority. |
| Ali et al. 2013 Canada | Explore factors that facilitate or hinder pain management in paediatric ED | Physicians who were members of the Paediatric Emergency Research Canada's database. | 102/206 paediatric emergency physicians | Questionnaire | % reporting perceived barriers and ideal changes to optimize pain management | Unclear how questions were developed. | Main conclusions: (N=102) Main barrier lack of time/disruption of flow (55%), education issues, ED "culture" (37%), Staffing/human resources issues (31%) Difficulty identifying and quantifying pain (22%) Lack of access to medications (16%). Main enablers (N=79): Increased access to drugs (32%), improved policies and procedures (30%), Increased education for physicians and RNs (25%), Improved triage policies/procedures (14%), improved pain measurement (13%) |
| Rampanjato et al. 2007 Central Africa | Study various factors influencing the administration of analgesics | ED in large city hospital | 28/28 ED nurses. | Survey | Yes/no response to 5 questions regarding management of pain | Unclear how questions were developed | 5 Questions: n reporting yes/ N 1 Did you receive training in PM during your nursing studies at the nursing institute? 21/28 2) Are you capable of assessing pain? 5/28 3) Did you learn how to manage acute pain during your training? 26/28 4) Did you feel that cultural factors influenced your attitude towards pain management? 13/28 5) Are you for any reason afraid to administer morphine or other opioid compounds to patients? 19/28 |
| Louriz et al. 2016 Morocco | Explore barriers regarding pain management in EDs | ED physicians from 11 hospitals | 86/110 ED physicians | Questionnaire | Perceived barriers to pain management. Unclear what results indicate. 13 questions. | Unclear how questions were developed. Unclear what the meaning of many statements were | The most commonly cited barriers related to medical staff: inadequate pain assessment (93%), inadequate experience/ knowledge on pain control (91-93%), time constraints (81%) and reluctance to use opioids (80%) Barriers related to the health care system (strict regulation of opioids (83%), limited stock of different types of analgesics (79%) and inadequate staffing (78%)) were next, with patient related barriers lowest (reluctance to report pain (35%), reluctance to take analgesic (28%)). Interestingly, insufficient communication with patient was reported as a physician-related barrier in 48% of cases |

| Author & | Research | Setting and | Participants | Methods | Data analysis | Comments | Overview of results (concepts, theories or themes identified) |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| Country | question | patient group | | | | | and insufficient communication with medical staff reported as a patient-related barrier in 63%. |
| Thomas et al. 2015 Canada | Describe perceived barriers and attitudes toward implementation of pain treatment protocols at triage | Triage nurses at 3 paediatric EDs | 126/147 triage nurses | Questionnaire | | | Perceived barriers and facilitators to triage initiated pain protocol implementation (n=125 respondents, % reporting as barrier (B) or facilitator (F): monitoring capability – 78% B, 10% F time – 74% B, 18% F access to medications - 66% B 39% F physicians 38% B space - 36% B administrators - 22% B Other nurses - 19% B 50% F Own comfort level 11% B 47% F Own knowledge 2% B 46% F |
| Admassie et al. 2022. Ethiopia | Assess emergency nurse's perceived barriers to pain management | Volunteer nurses from 8 EDs | 153/188 volunteer nurses | Questionnaire | 20 perceived barriers to pain management. 5 point scale of frequency (never – routinely) | Unclear how questions were developed. | Most frequently reported barriers reported were 1) ED overcrowding (3.24 on 5 point frequency scale), 2) nursing workload in ED (3.16), lack of pain assessment protocols (2.5) and lack of protocols/guidelines for pain assessment (2.14). |
| Hamalainen et al 2022. Finland | What challenges in acute pain management are perceived by emergency nurses (also other questions) | Registered nurses working in ED at 5 University hospitals. | 101/320 nurses | Questionnaire | 36 pain management questions with 5- point Likert scale of strongly agree to strongly disagree | Stated questions 'based on previous research and literature'. | Difficult to identify which of the 36 questions relate to challenges. Questions discussed as challenges by the author include: Factors that complicate or affect pain management (% responding strongly agree or agree, n=101)) Workload (80%) Patient reluctance to take analgesics (81%) Conflict with patients (56%) Missing IV line (88%) Lack of allergy information (68%) Doctor delay (88%) Doctor reluctance to prescribe analgesics (66%). Doctor inexperience (73%) Nurse lack of knowledge (55%) |
| Lea Mortnesen | Potential barriers and facilitators | Health care professionals | 134/170 nurses and doctors | | Unclear. Methods state that nine survey questions | Survey questions developed | Most important reasons for suboptimal treatment of pain or anxiety. (n=134) Unclear how results correspond to Likert scale. |

| Author & | Research | Setting and | Participants | Methods | Data analysis | Comments | Overview of results (concepts, theories or themes identified) |
|----------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|---------|---------------------|-------------|---|
| Country | question | patient group | | | | | |
| 2021. | among | (HCPs) in two | working in the | | were used but | following | HCPs are reluctant because of fear of overdose (58.9% |
| Denmark | healthcare | EDs | ED | | this was not | preliminary | HCPs lack knowledge to the different treatment possibilities |
| | professionals | | | | reflected in the | qualitative | (56.7%) |
| | for treating | | | | results tables. | interviews | Children or parents cannot co-operate (55.2%) |
| | paediatric pain | | | | Used 5 point or 7 | with 9 ED | HCPs lack clinical acquaintance to recognize pain/anxiety |
| | & anxiety. | | | | point Likert scale. | staff. | among children (48.5%) |
| | | | | | | | Administration of medication is too time consuming (14.9%) |
| | | | | | | | Other patients have greater need for treatment (13.4%) |
| | | | | | | | Top 3 enablers: more education (69.4%), more time to every |
| | | | | | | | patient (55.2%), standardized treatment regime (50%) |

Supplementary material. Table 2: comparison of staff surveys where questions were comparable

| | Author, year, country | Duignan 2009 ROI | | Tanabe 2 USA | Tanabe 2000 USA | | 7 | Pretoriu NZ | s 2015 | Louriz 2016 Morocco | | Mapped theme |
|---|--|----------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|--------|------------------------|----|------------------------------|
| | | Mean score ¹ | Rank /13 | Mean score ¹ | Rank/1 3 | Mean score ² | Rank/1 4 | % saying yes ³ | | | | |
| 1 | Responsibility of caring for other acutely ill patients in addition to a patient with pain | 51.5 | 3 | 47 | 3 | 4.3 | 1 | 83% | 1 | | | Culture/context |
| 2 | Lack of time to adequately assess and control pain | 52.59 | 2 | 44 | 4= | 3.4 | 4 | 81% | 2 | 81% | 1 | Culture/context |
| 3 | Patients' reluctance to report pain | 39.93 | 7 | 33 | 6= | 2.5 | 10= | 77% | 3 | | | Attitude/belief |
| 4 | Patients' reluctance to take opioids | 22.96 | 11 | NR | NR | 2.5 | 10= | 62% | 7 | | | Attitude/belief |
| 5 | Inadequate assessment of pain and pain relief | 45.49 | 6 | 48 | 2 | 3.5 | 3 | 65% | 6 | | | |
| 6 | Inadequate staff knowledge of pain management principles | 39.62 | 8 | 44 | 4= | 2.8 | 6 | 67% | 5 | 93% | 1= | Knowledge/ organisational |
| 7 | Nursing staff reluctance to give opioids | 26.23 | 10 | NR | NR | 2.4 | 13= | 26% | 13 | | | Knowledge/ organisational |
| 8 | The inability to medicate until a diagnosis is made | 56.85 | 1 | 53 | 1 | 2.7 | 7= | 35% | 9 | | | Knowledge/ organisational |
| 9 | The inability to determine an adequate history/allergies | 31.23 | 9 | | | 2.5 | 10= | 24% | 14 | | | Culture/context |

| 10 | Lack of IV access | 16.62 | 13 | NR | NR | 2.1 | 14 | 34% | 10 | | Other |
|----|---|-------|----|----|----|-----|----|-----|----|--|------------------------------|
| 11 | Inability to monitor for side effects when patients leave the department for diagnostic procedures | 45.92 | 4 | NR | NR | 3.0 | 5 | 33% | 11 | | Other (safety) |
| 12 | Time to find narcotic keys | 21.48 | 12 | 7 | 13 | 2.6 | 9 | 27% | 12 | | Organisational |
| 13 | Need for frequent monitoring post-IV opioids* | - | - | - | - | - | - | 37% | 8 | | Other |
| 14 | The use of alcohol or other recreational drugs | 45.5 | 5 | 33 | 6= | 3.6 | 2 | 68% | 4 | | Other |
| 15 | Doctors reluctance to order opioids* | - | - | - | - | 2.7 | 7= | - | - | | Knowledge/ organisational |

Values reported in the columns are not like-for-like. Definitions of values reported are highlighted in individual columns.

NR – not recorded

*Additional questions added to modified questionnaire

¹ How frequently you feel it presents a barrier, using the Likert scale (0= never interferes, 100-always interferes with pain management)

² How frequently you feel it presents a barrier, using the Likert scale (0= never happens, 5-always happens)

³ Do the following statements describe barriers for you in providing optimal pain management?