



Deposited via The University of Leeds.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/197694/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Ahmed, I, King, R, Akter, S et al. (2023) Determinants of antibiotic self-medication: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Research in Social and Administrative Pharmacy*, 19 (7). pp. 1007-1017. ISSN: 1551-7411

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sapharm.2023.03.009>

© 2023, Elsevier. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

1 Determinants of antibiotic self-medication: A systematic review
2 and meta-analysis

3

4 **Abstract**

5 Background

6 Decreasing the prevalence of antibiotic self-medication among the public requires proper understanding
7 of the risk factors involved. However, the determinants of antibiotic self-medication are not well defined.

8

9 Objectives

10 To identify patient and health system-related determinants of antibiotic self-medication among the public.

11

12 Methods

13 A systematic review of quantitative observational studies and qualitative studies was undertaken.
14 PubMed, Embase, and Web of Science were searched to identify studies on determinants of antibiotic
15 self-medication. The data were analyzed using Meta-analysis, descriptive analysis, and thematic
16 analysis.

17

18 Results

19 Sixty-eight studies were included in the review. From meta-analyses, male sex (pooled odds ratios [POR]:
20 1.52, 95% confidence intervals [CI]: 1.19—1.75), lack of satisfaction with healthcare services/physicians
21 (POR: 3.53, 95% CI: 2.26—4.75) were associated with antibiotic self-medication. In subgroup analysis,
22 lower age was directly associated with self-medication in high-income countries (POR: 1.61, 95% CI:
23 1.10—2.36). In low- and middle-income countries, people with greater knowledge of antibiotics were less
24 likely to self-medicate (POR: 0.2, 95% CI: 0.08—0.47). Patient-related determinants identified from
25 descriptive and qualitative studies included previous experience with antibiotics and similar symptoms,
26 perceived low severity of disease, intention to save time and get better quickly, cultural beliefs about
27 curative power of antibiotics, advice from family/friends, and having home stock of antibiotics. Health
28 system-related determinants included high cost of consulting physicians and low cost of self-medication,
29 lack of access to physician/medical care, lack of trust/confidence in physicians, greater trust in
30 pharmacists, long distance of physicians/healthcare facilities, long waiting time at healthcare facilities,
31 easy access to antibiotics from pharmacies, and convenience associated with self-medication.

32

33 Conclusions

34 Patient and health system-related determinants are associated with antibiotic self-medication.
35 Interventions to decrease antibiotic self-medication should incorporate community programs along with
36 appropriate policies and healthcare reforms targeting these determinants with specific attention to
37 population at high risk of self-medication.

38

39 Keywords: Antibiotics; Self-medication; Determinants; Systematic review

40

41

42 Introduction

43 Antibiotic resistance is considered to be one of the biggest threats to global health and food security by
44 the World Health Organization (WHO).¹ Globally, around 1.27 million people died from antibiotic
45 resistance in 2019,² and by 2050, it is estimated that more than 10 million people will die from
46 antimicrobial resistance every year.³ The problem of antibiotic resistance is further exacerbated by the
47 relatively slow development of new antibiotics which is failing to keep pace with the increasing rates of
48 antibiotic resistance development. Further, antibiotics in clinical development are insufficient to address
49 the resistance among the WHO priority pathogens.⁴

50

51 One of the many factors responsible for the spread of antibiotic resistance is misuse of antibiotics which
52 occurs through unnecessary prescriptions, self-medication, and non-adherence.⁵ This study primarily
53 concerns self-medication with antibiotics. The WHO defines self-medication as “the use of medicinal
54 products by the consumer to treat self-recognized disorders or symptoms, or the intermittent or continued
55 use of a medication prescribed by a physician for chronic or recurring diseases or symptoms”.⁶ In other
56 words, self-medication can be defined as taking medications without a prescription, rather by self-
57 initiative, or by the advice of family/friends and retail pharmacists. The prevalence of antibiotic self-
58 medication varies across countries. The prevalence is estimated to be 33.4% in low- and middle-income
59 countries (LMICs),⁷ 19-82% in the Middle East,⁸ and 0.1-21% in Europe.⁹ Antibiotic self-medication may
60 lead to the use of antibiotics for unrelated symptoms,¹⁰⁻¹³ inappropriate dose and duration of therapy,^{7, 12}
61 treatment failures and safety issues,¹⁴ and development of antibiotic resistance.¹⁴

62

63 Factors associated with antibiotic self-medication use are complex and may vary across regions or
64 countries. Previous systematic reviews have explored various determinants of antibiotic/antimicrobial

65 self-medication.^{7, 15-18} However, there are several gaps in the literature: 1) the impact of demographic
66 characteristics and health systems on antibiotic self-medication among the public has not been well-
67 defined; 2) the association between knowledge of antibiotics and antibiotic self-medication is not clearly
68 known; 3) the existing studies have covered specific regions or countries of certain income levels, or
69 studied antibiotic use in general (not specific to self-medication), or were not specific to the general public,
70 4) no meta-analysis has yet been conducted to identify the determinants of antibiotic self-medication
71 among the public. This study was conducted to address these gaps.

72

73 This study has two research questions: 1) what are the patient-related determinants of antibiotic self-
74 medication; 2) what are the health system-related determinants of antibiotic self-medication. Patient-
75 related determinants will be related to demographic characteristics, knowledge, beliefs, and awareness
76 regarding antibiotics, and health seeking behavior of patients. Health system-related determinants will be
77 related to quality, access, and cost of health services and health policies.

78

79

80 **Methods**

81 The systematic review has been reported following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews
82 and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement.¹⁹ The protocol for this study is registered in PROSPERO
83 (CRD42020190936).²⁰

84

85 **Search strategy**

86 Literature search was conducted in PubMed, Embase, and Web of Science databases to identify studies
87 published until June 2022. The detailed search strategy is in supplementary file 1. Studies conducted on
88 humans and published in the English language were searched. No restriction was applied on the year of
89 publication. Studies from all countries were included.

90

91 **Eligibility criteria**

92 **Selection of studies**

93 Studies were included if they 1) were quantitative (cross-sectional or cohort studies) and qualitative
94 studies, 2) studied patient-related determinants of antibiotic self-medication, 3) studied determinants
95 related to health system and policy that serves as facilitators of self-medication with antibiotics, and 4)
96 studied self-medication specifically with antibiotics. Studies were excluded if they 1) were review articles,
97 study protocols, abstract-only papers, case reports, and case series, 2) studied the effects of
98 interventions (i.e., public campaigns) on self-medication behavior of the public and 3) studied self-
99 medication with antiviral, antifungal, or anti-tuberculosis agents.

100

101 **Participants**

102 Studies were included if 1) the participants were the general public and 2) the users of self-medication
103 were compared with users of antibiotics with prescriptions. Studies were excluded if 1) the participants
104 were specific groups that do not represent the general population (students, healthcare providers,
105 parents, children, adolescents, or older adults) and 2) the comparison group consisted of nonusers of
106 antibiotics.

107

108 **Outcome measure**

109 Studies were included if the outcome of interest was self-medication with antibiotics. Self-medication was
110 defined as taking antibiotics without a prescription, rather by self-initiative, or by the advice of
111 family/friends, or retail pharmacists. Based on our literature review, this is the most commonly used
112 definition of self-medication, and studies had to use the same definition of self-medication for inclusion
113 in this review. In contrast, studies that discussed antibiotic use only with prescriptions were excluded.

114

115 **Data extraction**

116 The articles identified by the literature search were screened to select studies that met the inclusion
117 criteria. The title and abstracts of the studies were first screened. Studies included in this step were then
118 subjected to full-text review. The following data were extracted from each study: publication year, name
119 and characteristics of the country where the study was conducted, study design (sample size, quantitative
120 vs qualitative, cross-sectional vs other types), study setting, quantitative and qualitative data regarding
121 the various patient and health system-related determinants of self-medication. For data extraction,
122 studies were grouped into three categories: quantitative studies reporting odds ratios of the factors of
123 self-medication (odds ratios were extracted from these studies), quantitative studies reporting frequency
124 of various reasons for self-medication (frequencies were extracted from these studies), and qualitative
125 studies (themes were extracted from these studies). Study selection and data extraction were performed
126 by two reviewers (IA and SA).

127

128 **Data Synthesis**

129 Similar to data extraction, three different types of data synthesis methods were used for the three
130 categories of studies. For quantitative studies reporting odds ratios of the factors of self-medication, meta-

131 analysis was performed. Studies reporting only frequency of various reasons for self-medication were
132 analyzed descriptively. For qualitative studies, thematic analysis was undertaken. For each type of
133 analysis, studies were grouped into two subgroups based on whether the studies were performed in high-
134 income countries (HICs) or LMICs. Countries were classified based on the World Bank country
135 classifications by income level.

136

137 **Meta-analysis**

138 First, crude odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were obtained for the predictor variables
139 (outcome: self-medication). Odds ratios (and 95% CIs) for each determinant obtained from different
140 studies were then pooled using a random-effects model. The overall effect size (pooled odds ratios) and
141 the effect sizes of subgroup analysis based on the income level of countries (HICs and LMICs) were
142 calculated. For nominal categorical variables with multiple groups, groups were combined to create a
143 dichotomous variable to enable a single pair-wise comparison (e.g., employment).²¹ Ordinal categorical
144 variables with multiple groups were defined as lower vs higher levels taking the two terminal categories
145 to create a dichotomous variable to enable a single pair-wise comparison (e.g., education, age, income,
146 knowledge); a similar approach was taken by another study published in 2018.²² The heterogeneity of
147 the meta-analysis was calculated and reported using the I^2 statistic. I^2 describes the percentage of total
148 variation across studies that is due to heterogeneity rather than chance.²³ Generally, the following cut-off
149 is used for determining heterogeneity using I^2 : less than 40% is low, 30-60% is moderate, 50-90% is
150 substantial, and 75-100% is considerable heterogeneity²⁴. In our study, although all of the variables were
151 included in the meta-analysis regardless of I^2 value, those with considerable heterogeneity ($I^2 >75%$)
152 should be interpreted with caution. The meta-analysis was performed using OpenMeta-Analyst
153 developed by Brown University.

154

155 **Descriptive Analysis**

156 Studies reporting the frequency of various reasons for self-medication were analyzed descriptively. Due
157 to high heterogeneity among the frequencies across different studies, they were not pooled. Instead, the
158 ranges of the frequencies for each determinant were reported (Table 3). Analysis was done separately
159 for HICs and LMICs subgroups. Determinants were included if they were reported by at least two studies
160 in each subgroup.

161

162 **Thematic Analysis**

163 Determinants of antibiotic self-medication were identified from qualitative studies using thematic
164 analysis.^{25, 26} Moreover, explanatory factors were identified, which begin to explore why members of the
165 public self-medicate with antibiotics. Studies were analyzed to identify a-priori themes, which had been
166 identified within the descriptive analysis (described above), and themes emerging from the qualitative
167 data. Analysis was done separately for HICs and LMICs subgroups. NVivo 12 was used to support the
168 analysis. The results of the thematic analysis are reported in Table 4.

169

170 **Risk of bias (quality) assessment**

171 To assess the quality of the quantitative observational studies, the NIH quality assessment tool for
172 observational cohort and cross-sectional studies was used.²⁷ Each study was rated 'good', 'fair', or 'poor'.
173 For the assessment of qualitative and mixed-method studies, the 16-item quality assessment tool for
174 studies with diverse design (QATSDD) was used.²⁸ Studies were not excluded based on their ratings.

175

176

177 **Results**

178 From the database search, 3547 unique studies were identified. After screening for titles and abstracts,
179 3351 studies were excluded. After full-text review of 200 studies, 68 studies were finally included in the
180 review.^{11-13, 29-93} Studies were excluded for the following reasons: did not study the determinants of
181 antibiotic self-medication (n=51), wrong outcome/not pertinent to antibiotic self-medication (n=33),
182 incomplete, inconsistent, or incompatible data (n=22), wrong comparison group (n=11), did not study the
183 general public (n=6), full texts were not available (n=5), and wrong study design (n=4). The PRISMA
184 flow diagram delineating the study selection process is presented in Figure 1.

185

186 **Study Characteristics**

187 The majority of the studies were conducted in the Middle East & North Africa (27.9%), followed by Sub-
188 Saharan Africa (25%) and South Asia (19.1%). Around 78% of the studies were performed in LMICs.
189 Fifty-two studies were quantitative, 11 were qualitative, and five were mixed-method studies. The studies
190 were conducted in various types of settings including households, healthcare facilities, pharmacies,
191 communities, etc. All of the studies relied on self-reported measures of self-medication, and the recall
192 period ranged from 2 weeks to 2 years. Detailed characteristics of the studies are provided in Table 1
193 and supplementary file 1.

194

195 **Risk of Bias within studies**

196 Of the 52 quantitative studies, 26 were rated as 'good' quality, 20 as 'fair' quality, and six as 'poor' quality.
197 Most of the qualitative and mixed method studies received a score between 60% and 80%
198 (Supplementary file 1).

199

200 **Patient-related determinants of antibiotic self-medication**

201

202 **Results from meta-analysis**

203 The results of the meta-analyses are presented in Table 2, and the forest plots are in supplementary file
204 1. Males were more likely than females to self-medicate (pooled Odds Ratios [POR]: 1.52, 95% CI:
205 1.19—1.75). For age, the overall estimate was not significant (POR: 0.98, 95% CI: 0.73—1.31), but
206 subgroup analysis revealed that in HICs lower age was directly associated with self-medication (POR:
207 1.61, 95% CI: 1.10—2.36). Factors not associated with self-medication were education (POR: 0.86, 95%
208 CI: 0.55—1.33) and residence (POR: 0.94, 95% CI: 0.46—1.95). Some meta-analyses could only be
209 performed for LMICs since there were not enough studies from HICs. Higher knowledge of antibiotics
210 was inversely associated with self-medication (POR: 0.2, 95% CI: 0.08—0.47) in LMICs. Employment
211 (POR: 1.49, 95% CI: 0.86—2.58) was not associated with antibiotic self-medication in LMICs.

212

213 **Results from descriptive and qualitative analysis**

214 The determinants identified from the descriptive and qualitative analysis are presented in Tables 3 and
215 4, respectively. While most of the following determinants were identified from both descriptive and
216 qualitative studies, the qualitative studies provided more information and helped explain the findings. In
217 HICs and LMICs, one of the most reported patient-related determinants of self-medication was previous
218 experience with antibiotics and similar symptoms (6-80.5% in LMICs, 20-69.2% in HICs). In the qualitative
219 studies, participants said that if the symptoms they experience resemble any past symptom for which
220 they were treated, instead of visiting a physician, they buy the same antibiotics that were prescribed
221 before. Having leftovers from past use or stock of antibiotics at home was identified as a factor of self-

222 medication in qualitative studies. Some participants used leftovers from previous prescriptions while
223 others always maintained stock of antibiotics at home and used them whenever needed. Another
224 determinant identified from the qualitative studies was the cultural beliefs about the curative power of
225 antibiotics. Some believed antibiotics can cure all types of diseases and that antibiotics work very quickly.
226 Such beliefs are deep-rooted in some communities and passed through generations. The other reasons
227 for self-medication were perceived low severity of disease (7-82% in LMICs, 36-38.9% in HICs), intention
228 to save time (9.3-55.7% in LMICs, 10.5-22.3% in HICs), and advice from family and friends (7.7-28.2%
229 in LMICs, 16.1-16.2% in HICs).

230

231 In LMICs, intention to get better quickly was found to be a factor of self-medication by 11.9-33.9% of the
232 participants. One participant said whenever he has sore throat, he goes to the pharmacy and asks for
233 antibiotics because he believes taking antibiotics will help him get better fast.

234

235 **Health system-related determinants of antibiotic self-medication**

236

237 **Results from meta-analysis**

238

239 The only health system-related determinant of antibiotic self-medication identified from meta-analysis
240 was lack of satisfaction with healthcare services or physicians. Two studies from LMICs and HICs
241 reported this determinant, those who were not satisfied with the healthcare services/physicians had 3.53
242 times higher odds of self-medicating compared to those who were satisfied (95% CI: 2.26—4.75).

243

244 **Results from descriptive and qualitative analysis**

245 In HICs and LMICs, high cost of consulting physicians and low cost of self-medication was one of the
246 most reported reasons for self-medication (2.5-88% in LMICs, 5.8-19% in HICs). In the qualitative studies,
247 many participants found it very expensive to visit physicians, and for minor or familiar symptoms they
248 deemed such expense unwarranted. As an alternative, participants preferred directly going to the
249 pharmacy which is a cheaper way to get an antibiotic. Lack of access to physician /medical care was
250 reported to be a reason for self-medication by 1.4-55.5% of participants in LMICs and 6.4-29.3% of
251 participants in HICs. Long distance of healthcare facilities/ physicians along with a lack of transportation
252 restricted access to healthcare for many. In those circumstances, people preferred to buy antibiotics from
253 pharmacies since pharmacies are usually closer to home and easily accessible. The third factor was long
254 waiting time at healthcare facilities. In the qualitative studies, some participants expressed frustration
255 towards the long waiting hours in hospitals or clinics and sought quicker alternatives such as going to the
256 pharmacy or using leftover antibiotics. The other reason for self-medication was easy access to
257 antibiotics over the counter (OTC) from pharmacies. Studies found that although there are laws against
258 the sale of antibiotics without prescriptions, such laws are not strictly enforced, and antibiotics are easily
259 obtainable OTC in many countries. Lack of trust and confidence in physicians/ healthcare services was
260 a very commonly reported reason for self-medication. Some participants in the qualitative studies said
261 that the doctors often contradict each other, write prescriptions by hit and trials, and often start writing
262 prescriptions before listening to the patients' conditions properly. On the contrary, participants said they
263 had more trust in pharmacists and therefore would visit the pharmacists first if they had any symptoms.

264

265 **Determinants with conflicting evidence**

266 For two determinants, conflicting findings were reported from meta-analysis vs the descriptive/qualitative
267 analysis. For health insurance, meta-analysis showed no association (POR: 0.63, 95% CI: 0.4- 1.0).

268 However, descriptive studies identified lack of health insurance as a determinant of self-medication.
269 Similarly, although participants' income was not a significant predictor in meta-analysis (POR: 0.97, 95%
270 CI: 0.73—1.27), poverty was mentioned as a reason for self-medication in descriptive studies.

271

272

273 **Discussion**

274 In this study, the patient-related and health system-related determinants of antibiotic self-medication
275 among the general population were identified (Figure 2). Patient-related factors directly associated with
276 self-medication are male sex, lower age (only HICs), lower knowledge of antibiotics (only LMICs), cultural
277 beliefs about the curative power of antibiotics, previous experience with antibiotics and similar symptoms,
278 perceived low severity of disease, intention to save time, intention to get better quickly (only LMICs),
279 advise from family/friends, and having home stock of antibiotics or left-overs. Health system-related
280 determinants of antibiotic self-medication are lack of satisfaction with physicians/healthcare services,
281 high cost of consulting physicians and low cost of self-medication, lack of access to physicians /medical
282 care, lack of trust/confidence in physicians, greater trust in pharmacists (only LMICs), long distance of
283 physicians or healthcare facilities, long waiting time at healthcare facilities, easy access to antibiotics
284 from pharmacies, and convenience associated with self-medication (only LMICs).

285

286 Although knowledge of antibiotics (based on knowledge score) was associated with self-medication in
287 LMICs, the evidence should be considered weak because of very high heterogeneity ($I^2=85\%$). Further,
288 there were not enough studies to conduct meta-analysis to identify any association between knowledge
289 of antibiotics in specific domains (knowledge regarding action and indications, adverse effects and
290 dangers, antibiotic resistance, or appropriate use) and self-medication. Previous studies reported

291 conflicting evidence on the association between knowledge of antibiotics and antibiotic self-medication.¹⁶⁻
292 ¹⁸ Therefore, much remains unknown about the impact of knowledge on antibiotic self-medication. This
293 has significant implications because most public campaigns on rational antibiotic use are designed and
294 implemented based on the Knowledge-Attitude-Behavior (KAB) model where the interventions primarily
295 focus on disseminating information to improve the knowledge of the public, and subsequent changes in
296 attitude and behavior are expected to follow naturally. However, the effectiveness of interventions based
297 on this KAB approach in lowering self-medication is not well known. Studies measuring the prevalence
298 of self-medication before and after running public campaigns reported that campaigns did not lead to a
299 significant change in antibiotic self-medication.^{94, 95} Moreover, studies have raised questions regarding
300 the validity of the traditional KAB framework with regard to antibiotic use, and the question of whether
301 greater knowledge of antibiotics always translates into appropriate antibiotic usage behavior has been
302 raised.^{96, 97} Even in areas other than antibiotic use, the correlation between knowledge and behavior is
303 generally weak.⁹⁸ However, information campaigns may help change the erroneous cultural beliefs or
304 misconceptions about antibiotics in communities which, when combined with health system and policy
305 interventions, may be effective in reducing self-medication with antibiotics.

306

307 The health system and policy of a country play a large role in determining people's health seeking and
308 medication-taking behavior. If antibiotics are only available with a prescription, then it is unlikely that
309 people will be able to self-medicate with antibiotics. However, it doesn't entirely prevent the use of leftover
310 antibiotics or accessing antibiotics from noninstitutional sources. For example, although antibiotics are
311 not available without a prescription in the USA, some Latinos living in the USA were found to acquire
312 antibiotics from neighboring Mexico without any prescriptions.⁷¹ Such behaviors can be explained by the
313 fact that in almost every case, getting an antibiotic from a pharmacy or other sources is less expensive
314 than consulting a physician. Overall, the cost difference between consulting a physician and self-
315 medication is a very strong enabling factor for antibiotic self-medication (high cost of consulting

316 physicians and low cost of self-medication was the highest reported reason for self-medication in our
317 study). This cost difference has been identified as a reason for antibiotic self-medication in other
318 systematic reviews conducted in the LMICs and the middle east.^{8, 16} In many countries (especially in
319 LMICs), there is usually very little government support for healthcare services and patients have to bear
320 high out-of-pocket spending.⁹⁹ Therefore, people who are incapable or unwilling to bear high healthcare
321 costs may be more likely to pursue self-medication.

322

323 A large number of studies from LMICs identified easy access to antibiotics OTC as a driver of self-
324 medication with antibiotics. Typically, in LMICs, people tend to have easy access to antibiotics from
325 pharmacies and many find it more convenient to self-medicate. Although there are laws in many LMICs
326 prohibiting the sale of antibiotics without a prescription, such laws are rarely implemented.⁵² Only one
327 study from HICs identified easy access to antibiotics from pharmacies as a determinant of self-medication
328 which suggests HICs generally have stricter policies with regards to antibiotic dispensing. Lack of health
329 insurance was reported to be a reason for self-medication in descriptive studies, but the result from meta-
330 analysis was not significant. Therefore, the impact of health insurance on self-medication remains
331 uncertain. Again, because of the variations that exist among the type and coverage of health insurance
332 in various countries, the effect of health insurance should be considered on a country-by-country basis.
333 According to studies included in this review, the percentage of people with health insurance ranged from
334 27% to 92% in the LMICs while no information was available for HICs.

335

336 **Implications for policy and practice**

337 The determinants of antibiotic self-medication identified in this review can inform future efforts to lower
338 the prevalence of antibiotic self-medication among the public. This study also demonstrates that
339 significant variations exist among countries regarding determinants of antibiotic self-medication. Hence,

340 any successful intervention should involve at least two steps. First, nuanced studies need to be
341 conducted to capture the key determinants of self-medication with antibiotics in a specific community or
342 country. Second, instead of relying on a one-size-fits-all strategy, community-based interventions should
343 target the high-risk groups while policies and healthcare reforms should emphasize the key health
344 system-related determinants identified in this study. Given the lack of strong evidence regarding the
345 impact of knowledge of antibiotics on self-medication, reliance on public information campaigns alone
346 may not be successful. An integrated approach that incorporates educational programs (i.e., campaigns)
347 and ensures the restriction of antibiotic access without prescriptions and better access to and affordability
348 of healthcare services is likely to be more successful. The issues with the lack of satisfaction and trust in
349 prescribers/ health services can be addressed by providing better training to prescribers and redesigning
350 healthcare systems to improve healthcare services.

351

352 **Strengths and limitations**

353 To our best knowledge, this is the most comprehensive systematic review published so far that provides
354 information about the determinants of antibiotic self-medication on a global level. Further, inclusion of
355 studies from both HICs and LMICs allowed us to compare and contrast the various factors of self-
356 medication in HICs and LMICs. Integration of findings from both quantitative and qualitative studies and
357 use of three types of data synthesis allowed us to identify a large number of determinants. Inclusion of
358 qualitative studies provided us with contextual and in-depth understanding of why people self-medicate.
359 A limitation of the study involves the risk of recall bias within the included studies. The majority of the
360 studies included in this review used a recall period of six months or higher for measuring participants'
361 self-reported self-medication practices and 26 studies did not mention a recall period. Moreover, although
362 countries were grouped into HICs and LMICs to account for the differences in population and health
363 systems of the countries, there was still considerable differences between countries within each

364 subgroup. Statistical heterogeneity was also very high for many of the determinants analyzed through
365 meta-analysis.

366

367 **Conclusions**

368 Males are more likely than females to self-medicate with antibiotics. Other patient-related determinants
369 are related to patients' knowledge and beliefs regarding antibiotics, and health seeking behavior. Several
370 determinants related to dispensing policy, healthcare cost, access to healthcare, trust and satisfaction
371 with health services/providers facilitate self-medication. Overall, our study points to a range of
372 determinants of self-medication with antibiotics, and more nuanced research would be needed in any
373 given context to understand which particular determinants are important in different regions, countries,
374 or localities. Moreover, future studies should prioritize understanding the impact of knowledge of
375 antibiotics on self-medication since there are significant gaps in this area of research. Initiatives to
376 decrease antibiotic self-medication among the public should incorporate targeted community programs
377 and appropriate policies and healthcare reforms.

378

379 **Funding**

380 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-
381 for-profit sectors

382

383 **Transparency declarations**

384 None to declare.

385

386 Ethical approval

387 Ethical approval is not required since this study has retrieved and synthesized data from already
388 published studies

389

390 References

- 391 1. World Health Organization. Antibiotic resistance. [https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-](https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/antibiotic-resistance)
392 [sheets/detail/antibiotic-resistance](https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/antibiotic-resistance); 2020; Accessed 3-16-2023.
- 393 2. Murray CJL, Ikuta KS, Sharara F, et al. Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in
394 2019: a systematic analysis. *The Lancet*. 2022;399:629-655.
- 395 3. O'Neill J. Tackling drug-resistant infections globally: final report and recommendations. 2016.
- 396 4. World Health Organization. 2021 antibacterial agents in clinical and preclinical development: an
397 overview and analysis; 2022.
- 398 5. Barbosa TM, Levy SB. The impact of antibiotic use on resistance development and persistence.
399 *Drug resistance updates : reviews and commentaries in antimicrobial and anticancer*
400 *chemotherapy*. 2000;3:303-311.
- 401 6. World Health Organization. Guidelines for the regulatory assessment of medicinal products for
402 use in self-medication: World Health Organization; 2000;
- 403 7. Ocan M, Obuku EA, Bwanga F, et al. Household antimicrobial self-medication: a systematic
404 review and meta-analysis of the burden, risk factors and outcomes in developing countries.
405 *BMC public health*. 2015;15:742.
- 406 8. Alhomoud F, Aljamea Z, Almahasnah R, Alkhalifah K, Basalelah L, Alhomoud FK. Self-
407 medication and self-prescription with antibiotics in the Middle East—do they really happen? A
408 systematic review of the prevalence, possible reasons, and outcomes. *International Journal of*
409 *Infectious Diseases*. 2017;57:3-12.
- 410 9. Grigoryan L, Haaijer-Ruskamp FM, Burgerhof JGM, et al. Self-medication with antimicrobial
411 drugs in Europe. *Emerging infectious diseases*. 2006;12:452-459.
- 412 10. Berzanskyte A, Valinteliene R, Haaijer-Ruskamp FM, Gurevicius R, Grigoryan L. Self-
413 medication with antibiotics in Lithuania. *Int J Occup Med Environ Health*. 2006;19:246-253.
- 414 11. Ramay BM, Lambour P, Cerón A. Comparing antibiotic self-medication in two socio-economic
415 groups in Guatemala City: a descriptive cross-sectional study. *BMC Pharmacol Toxicol*.
416 2015;16:11.
- 417 12. Ateshim Y, Bereket B, Major F, et al. Prevalence of self-medication with antibiotics and
418 associated factors in the community of Asmara, Eritrea: a descriptive cross sectional survey.
419 *BMC Public Health*. 2019;19:726.
- 420 13. Biswas M, Roy MN, Manik MI, et al. Self medicated antibiotics in Bangladesh: a cross-sectional
421 health survey conducted in the Rajshahi City. *BMC Public Health*. 2014;14:847.
- 422 14. Morgan DJ, Okeke IN, Laxminarayan R, Perencevich EN, Weisenberg S. Non-prescription
423 antimicrobial use worldwide: a systematic review. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*. 2011;11:692-
424 701.
- 425 15. Lescure D, Paget J, Schellevis F, Van Dijk LJFiph. Determinants of self-medication with
426 antibiotics in European and Anglo-Saxon countries: a systematic review of the literature.
427 2018:370.

- 428 16. Torres N, Chibi B, Middleton L, Solomon V, Mashamba-Thompson TJPh. Evidence of factors
429 influencing self-medication with antibiotics in low and middle-income countries: a systematic
430 scoping review. 2019;168:92-101.
- 431 17. Sun R, Yao T, Zhou X, Harbarth S, Lin L. Non-biomedical factors affecting antibiotic use in the
432 community: a mixed-methods systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical Microbiology and*
433 *Infection*. 2022;28:345-354.
- 434 18. Zanichelli V, Tebano G, Gyssens IC, et al. Patient-related determinants of antibiotic use: a
435 systematic review. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*. 2019;25:48-53.
- 436 19. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline
437 for reporting systematic reviews. 2021;372:n71.
- 438 20. Ahmed I, King R, Aggarwal V. Determinants of antibiotic self-medication: a systematic review of
439 the factors related to knowledge, sociodemographic status, and health system. 2020.
440 https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display_record.php?ID=CRD42020190936)
- 441 21. Higgins JP, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, Welch VA, editors. Cochrane
442 handbook for systematic reviews of interventions. John Wiley & Sons; 2019 Sep 23..
- 443 22. Pathirana TI, Jackson CAJA, health NZjop. Socioeconomic status and multimorbidity: a
444 systematic review and meta-analysis. 2018;42:186-194.
- 445 23. Higgins JPT, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses.
446 2003;327:557-560.
- 447 24. Schünemann H, Brożek J, Guyatt G, Oxman A. Handbook for grading the quality of evidence
448 and the strength of recommendations using the GRADE approach. [https://handbook-5-](https://handbook-5-1.cochrane.org/front_page.htm)
449 [1.cochrane.org/front_page.htm](https://handbook-5-1.cochrane.org/front_page.htm)
- 450 25. Thomas J, Harden A. Methods for the thematic synthesis of qualitative research in systematic
451 reviews. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*. 2008;8:45.
- 452 26. Centre for Reviews and Dissemination. CRD's guidance for undertaking reviews in healthcare.
453 York Publ. Services; 2009.
- 454 27. NHLBI. Quality Assessment Tool for Observational Cohort and Cross-Sectional Studies.
455 <https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-topics/study-quality-assessment-tools>; 2021; Accessed 3-16-
456 2023
- 457 28. Sirriyeh R, Lawton R, Gardner P, Armitage GJJoeicp. Reviewing studies with diverse designs:
458 the development and evaluation of a new tool. 2012;18:746-752.
- 459 29. Abduelkarem AR, Othman AM, Abuelkhair ZM, Ghazal MM, Alzouobi SB, El Zowalaty ME.
460 Prevalence Of Self-Medication With Antibiotics Among Residents In United Arab Emirates.
461 *Infection and Drug Resistance*. 2019;12:3445-3453.
- 462 30. Abdulraheem IS, Adegboye A, Fatiregun AA. Self-medication with Antibiotics: Empirical
463 Evidence from a Nigerian Rural Population. *British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*.
464 2016;11.
- 465 31. Al-Azzam SI, Al-Husein BA, Alzoubi F, Masadeh MM, Al-Horani MAS. Self-medication with
466 antibiotics in Jordanian population. *International Journal of Occupational Medicine and*
467 *Environmental Health*. 2007;20:373-380.
- 468 32. Albawani SM, Bin Hassan Y, Abd-Aziz N, Gnanasan S. Self-medication with antibiotics in
469 Sana'a City, Yemen. *Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*. 2017;16:1195-1199.
- 470 33. Alghadeer S, Aljuaydi K, Babelghaith S, Alhammad A, Alarifi MN. Self-medication with
471 antibiotics in Saudi Arabia. *Saudi Pharmaceutical Journal*. 2018;26:719-724.
- 472 34. Al-Hazmi AM, Arafa A, Sheerah H, et al. Prevalence of and Risk Factors for Nonprescription
473 Antibiotic Use among Individuals Presenting to One Hospital in Saudi Arabia after the 2018
474 Executive Regulations of Health Practice Law: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Antibiotics-Basel*.
475 2021;10.
- 476 35. Alhomoud F, Aljamea Z, Basalelah L. "Antibiotics kill things very quickly" - consumers'
477 perspectives on non-prescribed antibiotic use in Saudi Arabia. *BMC Public Health*.
478 2018;18:1177.

- 479 **36.** Alotaibi A, AlShubaili S, Ballal R, Almfarij W, Alarkey N, Aihassan K. Attitude and Knowledge of
480 Self-medication with Antibiotics among the Public in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. *Asian Journal of*
481 *Pharmaceutics*. 2019;13:103-109.
- 482 **37.** Al-Qahtani MA, Amin HS, Al-Qahtani AA, et al. Self-medication with Antibiotics in a primary care
483 setting in King Khalid University Hospital, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Family and*
484 *Community Medicine*. 2018;25:95-101.
- 485 **38.** Alrasheed AA. The impact of waiting time in primary care clinics on self-medication with
486 antibiotics: A hospital based study in Saudi Arabia. *Biomedical Research-India*. 2017;28:3119-
487 3124.
- 488 **39.** Aponte-Gonzalez J, Gonzalez-Acuna A, Lopez J, Brown P, Eslava-Schmalbach J. Perceptions
489 in the community about the use of antibiotics without a prescription: Exploring ideas behind this
490 practice. *Pharmacy Practice-Internet*. 2019;17.
- 491 **40.** Aslam A, Zin CS, Ab Rahman NS, Gajdács M, Ahmed SI, Jamshed S. Self-medication practices
492 with antibiotics and associated factors among the public of malaysia: A cross-sectional study.
493 *Drug, Healthcare and Patient Safety*. 2021;13:171-181.
- 494 **41.** Atif M, Asghar S, Mushtaq I, et al. What drives inappropriate use of antibiotics? A mixed
495 methods study from Bahawalpur, Pakistan. *Infection and Drug Resistance*. 2019;12:687-699.
- 496 **42.** Ayana H, Sileshi T, Bule MH, Chaka EE. Non-Prescription Antibiotics Use and Associated
497 Factors Among Drug Retail Outlets in Ambo, Ethiopia: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Patient*
498 *Preference and Adherence*. 2021;15:2739-2747.
- 499 **43.** Baldin Tiguman GM, Silva MT, Galvao TF. Use and self-medication with antibiotics among
500 adults in the Brazilian Amazon: a panel of two cross-sectional studies, 2015 and 2019. *Expert*
501 *Rev Anti Infect Ther*. 2020;18:1263-1270.
- 502 **44.** Barker AK, Brown K, Ahsan M, Sengupta S, Safdar N. Social determinants of antibiotic misuse:
503 a qualitative study of community members in Haryana, India. *BMC public health*. 2017;17:333.
- 504 **45.** Bin Nafisah S, Bin Nafesa S, Alamery AH, Alhumaid MA, AlMuhaidib HM, Al-Eidan FA. Over-
505 the-counter antibiotics in Saudi Arabia, an urgent call for policy makers. *J Infect Public Health*.
506 2017;10:522-526.
- 507 **46.** Bogale AA, Amhare AF, Chang J, et al. Knowledge, attitude, and practice of self-medication
508 with antibiotics among community residents in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *Expert Rev Anti Infect*
509 *Ther*. 2019;17:459-466.
- 510 **47.** Borg MA, Scicluna EA. Over-the-counter acquisition of antibiotics in the Maltese general
511 population. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2002;20:253-257.
- 512 **48.** Cantarero-Arevalo L, Nørgaard LS, Sporrang SK, et al. A Qualitative Analysis of the Culture of
513 Antibiotic Use for Upper Respiratory Tract Infections Among Patients in Northwest Russia.
514 *Frontiers in Pharmacology*. 2022;13.
- 515 **49.** Cheaito L, Azizi S, Saleh N, Salameh P. Assessment of self-medication in population buying
516 antibiotics in pharmacies: a pilot study from Beirut and its suburbs. *Int J Public Health*.
517 2014;59:319-327.
- 518 **50.** Dache A, Dona A, Ejeso A. Inappropriate use of antibiotics, its reasons and contributing factors
519 among communities of Yirgalem town, Sidama regional state, Ethiopia: A cross-sectional study.
520 *Sage Open Medicine*. 2021;9.
- 521 **51.** Demissie F, Ereso K, Paulos G. Self-Medication Practice with Antibiotics and Its Associated
522 Factors Among Community of Bule-Hora Town, South West Ethiopia. *Drug Healthcare and*
523 *Patient Safety*. 2022;14:9-18.
- 524 **52.** Do NTT, Vu HTL, Nguyen CTK, et al. Community-based antibiotic access and use in six low-
525 income and middle-income countries: a mixed-method approach. *The Lancet. Global health*.
526 2021;9:e610-e619.
- 527 **53.** El-Hawy RM, Ashmawy MI, Kamal MM, et al. Studying the knowledge, attitude and practice of
528 antibiotic misuse among Alexandria population. *European Journal of Hospital Pharmacy-*
529 *Science and Practice*. 2017;24:349-354.

- 530 **54.** Elmasry AAG, Bakr ASM, Kolkailah D, et al. Pattern of antibiotic abuse - a population based
531 study in Cairo. *Egyptian Journal of Chest Diseases and Tuberculosis*. 2013;62:189-195.
- 532 **55.** Elong Ekambi GA, Okalla Ebongue C, Penda IC, Nnanga Nga E, Mpondo Mpondo E,
533 Eboumbou Moukoko CE. Knowledge, practices and attitudes on antibiotics use in Cameroon:
534 Self-medication and prescription survey among children, adolescents and adults in private
535 pharmacies. *PLoS One*. 2019;14:e0212875.
- 536 **56.** Gebeyehu E, Bantie L, Azage M. Inappropriate Use of Antibiotics and Its Associated Factors
537 among Urban and Rural Communities of Bahir Dar City Administration, Northwest Ethiopia.
538 *PLoS One*. 2015;10:e0138179.
- 539 **57.** Geta K, Kibret M. Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices of Patients on Antibiotic Resistance and
540 Use in Public Hospitals of Amhara Regional State, Northwestern Ethiopia: A Cross-Sectional
541 Study. *Infection and Drug Resistance*. 2022;15:193-209.
- 542 **58.** Hadi U, Duerink DO, Lestari ES, et al. Survey of antibiotic use of individuals visiting public
543 healthcare facilities in Indonesia. *International journal of infectious diseases : IJID : official
544 publication of the International Society for Infectious Diseases*. 2008;12:622-629.
- 545 **59.** Hassali MA, Arief M, Saleem F, et al. Assessment of attitudes and practices of young Malaysian
546 adults about antibiotics use: a cross-sectional study. *Pharmacy Practice-Internet*. 2017;15.
- 547 **60.** Horumpende PG, Said SH, Mazuguni FS, et al. Prevalence, determinants and knowledge of
548 antibacterial self-medication: A cross sectional study in North-eastern Tanzania. *PLoS One*.
549 2018;13:e0206623.
- 550 **61.** Horvat OJ, Tomas AD, Paut Kusturica MM, et al. Is the level of knowledge a predictor of rational
551 antibiotic use in Serbia? *PLoS One*. 2017;12:e0180799.
- 552 **62.** Hu J, Wang Z. Non-prescribed antibiotic use and general practitioner service utilisation among
553 Chinese migrants in Australia. *Aust J Prim Health*. 2016;22:434-439.
- 554 **63.** Irawati L, Alrasheedy AA, Hassali MA, Saleem F. Low-income community knowledge, attitudes
555 and perceptions regarding antibiotics and antibiotic resistance in Jelutong District, Penang,
556 Malaysia: a qualitative study. *Bmc Public Health*. 2019;19.
- 557 **64.** Jamhour A, El-Kheir A, Salameh P, Hanna PA, Mansour H. Antibiotic knowledge and self-
558 medication practices in a developing country: A cross-sectional study. *Am J Infect Control*.
559 2017;45:384-388.
- 560 **65.** Kaae S, Ghazaryan L, Pagava K, et al. The antibiotic knowledge, attitudes and behaviors of
561 patients, doctors and pharmacists in the WHO Eastern European region - a qualitative,
562 comparative analysis of the culture of antibiotic use in Armenia, Georgia, Kazakhstan, Moldova,
563 Russia and Tajikistan. *Research in Social & Administrative Pharmacy*. 2020;16:238-248.
- 564 **66.** Khan SJ, Khan AS, Khan S, Shah N. SELF-MEDICATION WITH ANTIBIOTICS IN URBAN
565 AREAS OF PESHAWAR. *Gomal Journal of Medical Sciences*. 2011;9:19-22.
- 566 **67.** Kianmehr M, Koneri R. A Prospective Study on Prevalence of Self-Medication of Antibiotics and
567 their Dispensing Patterns in Community of Bengaluru North. *Pakistan Journal of Medical &
568 Health Sciences*. 2019;13:1069-1073.
- 569 **68.** Kotwani A, Joshi J, Lamkang AS, Sharma A, Kaloni D. Knowledge and behavior of consumers
570 towards the non-prescription purchase of antibiotics: An insight from a qualitative study from
571 New Delhi, India. *Pharmacy Practice-Granada*. 2021;19.
- 572 **69.** Kretchy JP, Adase SK, Gyansa-Lutterodt M. The prevalence and risks of antibiotic self-
573 medication in residents of a rural community in Accra, Ghana. *Scientific African*. 2021;14.
- 574 **70.** Kurniawan, Posangi J, Rampengan N. Association between public knowledge regarding
575 antibiotics and self-medication with antibiotics in Teling Atas Community Health Center, East
576 Indonesia. *Medical Journal of Indonesia*. 2017;26:62-69.
- 577 **71.** Mainous AG, 3rd, Diaz VA, Carnemolla M. Factors affecting Latino adults' use of antibiotics for
578 self-medication. *Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine : JABFM*. 2008;21:128-134.
- 579 **72.** Mate I, Come CE, Gonçalves MP, Cliff J, Gudo ES. Knowledge, attitudes and practices
580 regarding antibiotic use in Maputo City, Mozambique. *PLoS One*. 2019;14:e0221452.

- 581 **73.** Moise K, Bernard JJ, Henrys JH. Evaluation of antibiotic self-medication among outpatients of
582 the state university hospital of Port-Au-Prince, Haiti: a cross-sectional study. *The Pan African*
583 *medical journal*. 2017;28:4.
- 584 **74.** Muhammed RA, Al-Ani A, Yassen AO. Self-Medication with Antibiotic among Public Population
585 in Erbil City. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International*. 2021;33:25-33.
- 586 **75.** Muras M, Krajewski J, Nocun M, Godycki-Cwirko M. A survey of patient behaviours and beliefs
587 regarding antibiotic self-medication for respiratory tract infections in Poland. *Archives of Medical*
588 *Science*. 2013;9:854-857.
- 589 **76.** Nabaweesi I, Olum R, Sekite AB, et al. Antibiotic Practices, Perceptions and Self-Medication
590 Among Patients at a National Referral Hospital in Uganda. *Infection and Drug Resistance*.
591 2021;14:2155-2164.
- 592 **77.** Nazir S, Azim M. Assessment of antibiotic self-medication practice among public in the
593 northwestern region of Pakistan. *European Journal of Hospital Pharmacy-Science and Practice*.
594 2017;24:200-203.
- 595 **78.** Negarandeh R, Poortaghi S, Shayan SJ. Prevalence and patterns of self-medication with
596 antibiotics among visitors of central polyclinic of Kabul, Afghanistan. *Journal of Pharmaceutical*
597 *Health Services Research*. 2021;12:271-274.
- 598 **79.** Nusair MB, Al-azzam S, Alhamad H, Momani MY. The prevalence and patterns of self-
599 medication with antibiotics in Jordan: A community-based study. *International Journal of Clinical*
600 *Practice*. 2021;75.
- 601 **80.** Otaibi NN, Almutairi M, Al-Namshan YZ, Al Dhohayan MA, Alotibi RD. FACTORS
602 INFLUENCING MISUSE OF ANTIBIOTIC THERAPY IN AL-QASSIM REGION, SAUDI ARABIA.
603 *International Journal of Life Science and Pharma Research*. 2019;9:1-6.
- 604 **81.** Rajendran A, Kulirankal KG, Rakesh PS, George S. Prevalence and Pattern of Antibiotic Self-
605 Medication Practice in an Urban Population of Kerala, India: A Cross-sectional Study. *Indian*
606 *Journal of Community Medicine*. 2019;44:S42-S45.
- 607 **82.** Rathish D, Wickramasinghe ND. Prevalence, associated factors and reasons for antibiotic self-
608 medication among dwellers in Anuradhapura: a community-based study. *International Journal of*
609 *Clinical Pharmacy*.
- 610 **83.** Rodrigues CF. Self-medication with antibiotics in Maputo, Mozambique: practices, rationales
611 and relationships. *Palgrave Communications*. 2020;6.
- 612 **84.** Roien R, Bhandari D, Hosseini SMR, et al. Prevalence and determinants of self-medication with
613 antibiotics among general population in Afghanistan. *Expert Review of Anti-Infective Therapy*.
614 2022;20:315-321.
- 615 **85.** Russom M, Bahta M, Debesai M, et al. Knowledge, attitude and practice of antibiotics and their
616 determinants in Eritrea: an urban population-based survey. *Bmj Open*. 2021;11.
- 617 **86.** Sawair FA, Baqain ZH, Abu Karaky A, Abu Eid R. Assessment of self-medication of antibiotics
618 in a Jordanian population. *Medical principles and practice : international journal of the Kuwait*
619 *University, Health Science Centre*. 2009;18:21-25.
- 620 **87.** Senadheera G, Ranganathan S, Gunawardane N, Fernando G, Fernandopulle B. Practice of
621 self-medication with antibiotics in the Colombo district, Sri Lanka. 2017.
- 622 **88.** Shembo AKP, Musumari PM, Srithanaviboonchai K, Tangmunkongvorakul A, Dalleur O. A
623 qualitative study on community use of antibiotics in Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of Congo.
624 *PLoS One*. 2022;17:e0267544.
- 625 **89.** Sihavong A, Lundborg CS, Syhakhang L, Akkhavong K, Tomson G, Wahlström R. Antimicrobial
626 self medication for reproductive tract infections in two provinces in Lao People's Democratic
627 Republic. *Sexually transmitted infections*. 2006;82:182-186.
- 628 **90.** Torres NF, Solomon VP, Middleton LE. "Antibiotics heal all diseases"; the factors influencing the
629 practices of self-medication with antibiotics in Maputo City, Mozambique. *Journal of Public Health*
630 *(Germany)*. 2021.

- 631 **91.** Vazquez-Cancela O, Souto-Lopez L, Vazquez-Lago JM, Lopez A, Figueiras A. Factors
632 determining antibiotic use in the general population: A qualitative study in Spain. *Plos One*.
633 2021;16.
- 634 **92.** Wun YT, Lam TP, Lam KF, Ho PL, Yung WH. The public's perspectives on antibiotic resistance
635 and abuse among Chinese in Hong Kong. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf*. 2013;22:241-249.
- 636 **93.** Zawahir S, Lekamwasam S, Halvorsen KH, Rose G, Aslani P. Self-medication Behavior with
637 antibiotics: a national cross-sectional survey in Sri Lanka. *Expert Review of Anti-Infective*
638 *Therapy*. 2021;19:1341-1351.
- 639 **94.** McNulty CAM, Nichols T, Boyle PJ, Woodhead M, Davey P. The English antibiotic awareness
640 campaigns: did they change the public's knowledge of and attitudes to antibiotic use? *Journal of*
641 *Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*. 2010;65:1526-1533.
- 642 **95.** Mainous AG, Diaz VA, Carnemolla M. A community intervention to decrease antibiotics used for
643 self-medication among Latino adults. *The Annals of Family Medicine*. 2009;7:520-526.
- 644 **96.** Edgar T, Boyd SD, Palamé MJ. Sustainability for behaviour change in the fight against antibiotic
645 resistance: a social marketing framework. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*. 2008;63:230-
646 237.
- 647 **97.** McNulty CAM, Boyle P, Nichols T, Clappison P, Davey P. The public's attitudes to and
648 compliance with antibiotics. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*. 2007;60:i63-i68.
- 649 **98.** Bettinghaus EPJpm. Health promotion and the knowledge-attitude-behavior continuum.
650 1986;15:475-491.
- 651 **99.** Ataguba JE-OJHE, Policy, Law. Reassessing catastrophic health-care payments with a Nigerian
652 case study. 2012;7:309-326.

653

654

655

656
657
658

Table 1: Characteristics of the studies included in the systematic review (n=68).

Characteristics	Frequency (%)
Publication year	
2002-2012	7 (10.3)
2013-2017	21 (30.9)
2018-2022	40 (58.8)
Region of study	
East Asia & Pacific	8 (11.8)
Europe & Central Asia	5 (7.4)
Latin America & Caribbean	4 (5.9)
Middle East & North Africa	19 (27.9)
North America	1 (1.5)
South Asia	13 (19.1)
Sub-Saharan Africa	17 (25)
Multiple regions	1 (1.5)
Income level of countries	
Low income	17 (25)
Lower middle income	18 (26.5)
Upper middle income	16 (23.5)
High income	15 (22.1)
Multiple income levels (LMICs)	2 (2.9)
Type of study	
Quantitative	52 (76.5)
Qualitative	11 (16.2)
Mixed method	5 (7.4)
Study Setting	
Community	11 (16.2)
Healthcare facility	14 (20.6)
Household	18 (26.5)
Pharmacy	10 (14.7)
Online survey	3 (4.4)
Mixed	8 (11.8)
Not available	4 (5.9)
Recall period for self-reported self-medication	
≤1 month	8 (11.8)
3 months	9 (13.2)
6 months	9 (13.2)
1 year	15 (22.1)
2 years	1 (1.5)
Not available/not applicable	26 (38.2)

659 LMICs, Low- and middle-income countries

660 Table 2: Results of random effect meta-analysis showing the pooled odds ratios of self-
661 medication with antibiotics. The overall effect size and the effect sizes of subgroup analysis
662 based on income level of countries have been presented.

663

Determinants		Groups	No. of studies	Pooled odds ratios (95% CI)	Heterogeneity, I ² (%)
Patient-related determinants	Sex (female* vs male)	LMICs	17	1.44 (1.19, 1.75)	76.12
		HICs	2	2.23 (1.72, 2.90)	0
		Both groups	19	1.52 (1.26, 1.83)	78.22
	Age (higher age* vs lower age)	LMICs	15	0.89 (0.65, 1.22)	59.36
		HICs	2	1.61 (1.10, 2.36)	0
		Both groups	17	0.98 (0.73, 1.31)	62.67
	Education (lower education* vs higher education)	LMICs	12	0.81 (0.51, 1.28)	76.66
		HICs	1	2.07 (0.56, 7.65)	—
		Both groups	13	0.86 (0.55, 1.33)	74.94
	Employment (unemployed* vs employed)	LMICs	8	1.49 (0.86, 2.58)	85.4
		HICs	0	—	—
		Both groups	—	—	—
	Income (high income* vs low income)	LMICs	9	0.97 (0.73, 1.27)	61.82
		HICs	0	—	—
		Both groups	—	—	—
	Residence (urban* vs rural)	LMICs	3	0.8 (0.33, 1.96)	89.72
		HICs	1	1.63 (0.75, 3.54)	—
		Both groups	3	0.94 (0.46, 1.95)	85.83
Health insurance (not having insurance* vs having insurance)	LMICs	6	0.63 (0.4, 1.0)	78.71	
	HICs	0	—	—	
	Both groups	—	—	—	
Knowledge of antibiotics (based on knowledge score) (lower knowledge* vs higher knowledge)	LMICs	3	0.2 (0.08, 0.47)	84.54	
	HICs	0	—	—	
	Both groups	—	—	—	
Health system-related determinants	Satisfaction with Healthcare services/physicians (satisfied* vs unsatisfied)	LMICs	1	3.53 (2.24, 5.54)	—
		HICs	1	2.81 (1.46, 5.41)	—
		Both groups	2	3.28 (2.26, 4.75)	0

664 * reference category
665 LMICs, Low- and middle-income countries
666 HICs, High-income countries

667 Table 3: Determinants of antibiotic self-medication identified from descriptive studies.

668

Determinants		Low- and middle-income countries		High-income countries	
		Number of studies	Range of frequency (%)	Number of studies	Range of frequency (%)
Patient related determinants	Previous experience with antibiotics and similar symptoms ^{12, 13, 29-31, 33, 34, 36-38, 42, 46, 47, 50, 51, 55, 57, 66, 67, 69, 77-79, 82, 85, 87, 89, 93}	21	6-80.5	7	20-69.2
	Perceived low severity of disease ^{11, 12, 33, 38, 46, 59, 66, 69, 73, 78, 81, 85, 87, 89, 93}	13	7-82	2	36-38.9
	Lack of time/Intention to save time ^{11, 29, 32, 33, 36, 49, 51, 53, 57, 73, 78, 81, 84, 85, 89, 93}	13	9.3-55.7	3	10.5-22.3
	Advise from others (family/friends) regarding antibiotic use ^{13, 34, 37, 59, 67, 72, 89}	5	7.7-28.2	2	16.1-16.2
	Poverty ^{12, 31, 77}	3	0.4-5	—	—
	Need for emergency usage/intention to get better quickly ^{12, 46, 57, 60, 66, 69, 85}	7	11.9-33.9	—	—
Health system-related determinants	High cost of consulting physicians and low cost of self-medication ^{11-13, 29, 30, 32, 36, 40, 42, 49, 50, 53, 55, 57, 59, 60, 66, 67, 69, 78, 80, 81, 87, 89, 93}	22	2.5-88	3	5.8-19
	Lack of access to physicians /medical care ^{12, 30-32, 34, 36, 37, 77, 79, 82, 84}	8	1.4-55.5	3	6.4-29.3
	Easy access to antibiotics from pharmacies ^{11, 30, 69, 72, 87}	5	3-68.4	—	—
	Convenience associated with self-medication ^{11, 12, 74, 78, 81, 82, 84, 87}	8	5-87.8	—	—
	Long distance of healthcare facilities/physicians ^{42, 55, 87, 89}	4	3.2-22	—	—
	Long waiting time at healthcare facilities ^{30, 42, 50, 51, 60, 72}	6	4.3-55.1	—	—
	Lack of health insurance ^{32, 49, 57}	3	2.9-20.4	—	—
	Lack of trust/confidence in physicians/healthcare services ^{11-13, 40, 67, 84}	6	1.7-17	—	—

669 Table 4: Determinants of antibiotic self-medication identified by thematic analysis of the
 670 qualitative studies.

671

	Themes identified from qualitative studies	Excerpts from studies related to the themes (LMICs)	Excerpts from studies related to the themes (HICs)
Patient-related determinants	Previous experience with antibiotics and similar symptoms	<p>“When I have a sore throat, doctors always give me amoxicillin. I already know! Then, when I have the symptoms, I go to buy the same. It always works!”³⁹</p> <p>“If infection is similar to the previous one then I use the medicines of previous prescription.”⁴¹</p> <p>“We almost always went to the hospital in case of tonsillitis. And it was always the same medication, it was always the same thing.....So, it was also from experience. Then I began to realize the medication was that one.”⁸³</p> <p>“I did not go to the health care center, surely they would prescribe me this...I know these medicines, I had similar problem weeks ago went there...they prescribed me azithromycin tablets and cotrimoxazole cream.”⁹⁰</p>	<p>“I also think that sometimes when you get sick and don’t know what medication to take, you go to the doctor and get some medication. You see this medication worked for you, and there were no side effects. Then next time you have the same problem you don’t go to the doctor. Right? You don’t go the doctor. You return to the pharmacy.”⁷¹</p> <p>“I used to go to the doctor but later I treat myself by my own experience. Let’s say that I went to a doctor once and he gave me an antibiotic and I found it effective, I use it again if needed. No need to go to the doctor again.”³⁵</p> <p>“I think they self-medicate because they had -or think they had- the same illness, and they still have some drugs left over from last time.”⁹¹</p>
	Perceived low severity of disease	<p>“So, usually for a small thing I go directly to a medical store.”⁴⁴</p> <p>Our family members did not like to go see a doctor, except when we got a serious sickness. If someone has a fever or cough, we buy Yaa Chud [non-prescribed poly-pharmaceutical packs containing antibiotics] from the drug store.”⁵²</p>	
	Advise from others (family/friends) regarding antibiotic use	<p>“Sometimes we are suggested by a friend or relative to use an antibiotic for a condition they also had experienced.”⁴¹</p> <p>“I take an antibiotic given by my mum. She said the medicine is effective against colds. I try it and it works”⁶³</p>	

		<p>"Yes, I told to my friend and she said I needed an antibiotic." ⁴⁸</p>	
	Having home stock of antibiotics or leftovers from past use*	<p>"I know a lady that was sick and I told her to visit the doctor. She answered that she had leftovers of a previous prescription. That she would take them because in the medical center she would receive the same drug." ³⁹</p> <p>"Mostly we use without prescription. Most of the times, these are available at home as home stock, left from previous illness." ⁴¹</p> <p>"We keep them so that we can use them again when we get ill." ⁵²</p>	<p>"No need to see a doctor over a minor thing that I experienced before. Well, I can't remember exactly what the antibiotic is but my whole family use it and [it] is always available at home; its' a big box with white and purple colours [Augmentin]." ³⁵</p> <p>"We don't throw anything anyway; who doesn't have a medicine cabinet at home?" ⁹¹</p>
	Intention to save time/lack of time	<p>"I was working and did not have time to go to the doctor for consultation, so I went straight to the pharmacy." ⁶⁵</p> <p>"I did not have time for a doctor at all; I worked from 10 a.m. to 10-11 p.m." ⁴⁸</p>	
	Intention to get better quickly	<p>"When I have a sore throat, I go to a [community] pharmacy and ask for antibiotics as I want to get better quickly." ⁶³</p> <p>"Antibiotics, they (pharmacist/dispenser at pharmacy shop) give when we want quick relief." ⁶⁸</p>	
	Cultural beliefs about curative power of antibiotics*	<p>"What I've learned from my mother who was a nurse that antibiotics heal all types of diseases...everything, and I believe so, they are strong, and they fight against microbes." ⁹⁰</p>	<p>"Antibiotics kill things very quickly, take [them] and in two days you will be fine." ³⁵</p> <p>"Augmentin can be used for everything." ³⁵</p>
Health system-related determinants	Lack of trust/confidence in physicians	<p>"[I visit] another doctor, and he says 'why did that other doctor prescribe you this?' And they start to contradict each other, and that has produced distrust in me. This makes me feel unconfident." ³⁹</p> <p>"Doctors write medicines by hit and trials and write 2-4 medicines that any one of them will work. Doctors just want to get rid from patients in government hospitals;" ⁴¹</p> <p>"When you come in [the consultation room] you say: 'I'm feeling pain here'. And he's already writing. Already writing, they are fast! So it brings doubts...'But is he actually writing what</p>	<p>"The doctor doesn't say much; he would only prescribe the medicine and that's it! He does not look at my medical file. Even when I try to discuss something with him, he just doesn't care or pay attention. So, my family and I decided to go directly to the pharmacy and get what we need from there." ³⁵</p>

		I really feel? In fact, are they working well, these guys?' There's that doubt." ⁸³	
	Greater trust in pharmacists*	<p>"When I have mild symptoms, I always go to the drug store first. I don't even know the name [of the medications] and their effect because I trust them completely."⁵²</p> <p>"In my neighborhood, he [the pharmacy storekeeper] was the savior. (...) Anytime you have symptoms, you visit him and get an injection. (...) I think half the neighborhood had contact with antibiotics because of him."³⁹</p> <p>"They [pharmacy storekeepers] learn every day."³⁹</p>	
	High cost of consulting physicians and low cost of self-medication	<p>"In Pakistan a poor man cannot even think as he is asked for the tests which are too expensive. At second, in outdoor clinics, there are too many patients and senior doctors do not come. For private clinics, Rs.1,500–2,000 is the fees so a poor person cannot afford that."⁴¹</p> <p>"If someone feels something in their body, what do they do? Instead of going to the doctor, because this is a small issue and the doctor will charge a more expensive fee, they will go directly to the medical store. The pharmacist will give them a tablet, etc., as per their understanding."⁴⁴</p>	<p>"If I already know my symptoms and what medicine heals me, I'm not going to go to the doctor so they can give me a prescription there. If I go, they'll charge me just for the visit, and then I have to go buy the prescription and that's more money, another cost. If I know what medication I'm going to take and will work for me, I'll go buy it and it's cheaper for me." ⁷¹</p> <p>"I'm not going to go to the physician, if I already know my symptoms and what medicine heals me. If I go, they will charge me just for the consultation, and then I have to buy the prescription and that's another cost with more money. It is easier and cheaper to go and buy an antibiotic straightway, if I know what medication I'm going to take and will work for me."³⁵</p> <p>"I had urinary problems years ago. You know, seeing the urologist was expensive, so this time, I was smart enough to keep one tablet of each medication and later bought them from the drug store."⁹²</p>
	Long distance of physicians or healthcare facilities	<p>"If you are not close to a medical center, then, why do you have to go to a clinic? Better, let's go to the closest pharmacy. This is an alternative for you."³⁹</p> <p>"I usually take medicine by myself. Doctor is far away from my house. We</p>	<p>"Since I live in Qatif and the hospital is in Khobar and I don't have transportation, I usually buy the antibiotic from the pharmacy that is beside my home."³⁵</p>

		live in a village. Doctor is far from village.” ⁴¹	
	Long waiting time at healthcare facilities	<p>“They examine you and two hours later they attend to you. Then, they come back to you, examine you again, and ask you to wait again. I tell you this because this has happened to me. I have had to wait almost seven hours to receive attention. That’s the reason I do not go to see a doctor.”³⁹</p> <p>“If I have similar symptoms, I don’t want to see a doctor because of a long wait in the clinic. I would rather take leftover antibiotics prescribed for my past illness.”⁶³</p> <p>“If we will go to government hospitals to seek medicines for minor ailments like cold or cough; then we have to wait in queues and our daily wage will be affected, so it is better to take medicine of INR 10 - 15 (USD 0.1 - 0.2) from the medical store (pharmacy).”⁶⁸</p>	<p>“When you go to the government hospitals, you need a long time to see a doctor, either waiting during or waiting for the appointment. Sometimes, [it takes] one or two months to see a doctor.”³⁵</p>
	Easy (OTC) access to antibiotics from pharmacies	<p>“I have a pharmacy close to my house. They sell them [the antibiotics] to me without any kind of prescription.”³⁹</p> <p>It is not difficult at all, I bought these medicines today, and I asked for those two-color medicines (amoxicillin), the red and yellow capsules, they gave me.”⁹⁰</p>	<p>“They are available everywhere and you can get them easily. The law is not strictly enforced to prevent [the] selling [of] antibiotics without prescriptions.”³⁵</p>

672 *new themes emerging from qualitative studies that were not found in the descriptive studies

673

674