



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *Reply to Alves et al. (2022) discussion on “Stratigraphic record of continental breakup, offshore NW Australia” by Reeve et al. (2022)*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/195110/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Magee, C orcid.org/0000-0001-9836-2365, Reeve, MT, Jackson, CA et al. (2 more authors) (2023) Reply to Alves et al. (2022) discussion on “Stratigraphic record of continental breakup, offshore NW Australia” by Reeve et al. (2022). *Basin Research*, 35 (1). pp. 483-486. ISSN 0950-091X

<https://doi.org/10.1111/bre.12726>

© 2022 International Association of Sedimentologists and European Association of Geoscientists and Engineers and John Wiley & Sons Ltd. This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Magee, C., Reeve, M. T., Jackson, C.-L., Bell, R. E., & Bastow, I. D. (2023). Reply to Alves et al. (2022) discussion on “Stratigraphic record of continental breakup, offshore NW Australia” by Reeve et al. (2022). *Basin Research*, 35, 483– 486., which has been published in final form at <https://doi.org/10.1111/bre.12726>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions. This article may not be enhanced, enriched or otherwise transformed into a derivative work, without express permission from Wiley or by statutory rights under applicable legislation. Copyright notices must not be removed, obscured or modified. The article must be linked to Wiley’s version of record on Wiley Online Library and any embedding, framing or otherwise making available the article or pages thereof by third parties from platforms, services and websites other than Wiley Online Library is prohibited. Online posts in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

1 **Reply to Alves et al. (2022) Discussion on “Stratigraphic record of continental breakup,**
2 **offshore NW Australia” by Reeve et al. (2022)**

3
4 Craig Magee^{1*}, Matthew T. Reeve², Christopher A-L. Jackson², Rebecca E. Bell²,
5 Ian D. Bastow²

6
7 ¹School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK

8 ²Basins Research Group (BRG), Department of Earth Science and Engineering, Imperial
9 College London, SW7 2BP, England, UK

10
11 *corresponding author: Craig Magee (c.magee@leeds.ac.uk)

12
13 We welcome the Comment of Alves et al. (2022) as an opportunity to further discuss the
14 stratigraphic record of continental break-up, offshore NW Australia. We here summarise
15 Reeve et al. (2022), before discussing themes raised by Alves et al. (2022), specifically
16 classification of our analysed stratigraphic succession as a ‘Breakup Sequence’ (as originally
17 defined by Soares et al., 2012) and how we can interpret the processes driving unconformity
18 development during continental breakup, particularly offshore NW Australia.

19
20 **Summary of Reeve et al. (2022)**

21 We used a dense 165,000 km² grid of 2D seismic reflection surveys, 12 3D seismic volumes,
22 and 165 boreholes from across parts of the Exmouth Plateau, Exmouth Sub-basin, Carnarvon
23 Terrace, and Barrow Sub-basin to examine the stratigraphic record of continental breakup
24 offshore NW Australia. We specifically analysed the geological and geophysical expression
25 and distribution of three Early Cretaceous unconformities, as well as the sedimentology and

26 architecture of the related stratigraphic succession. These unconformities, located in the
27 proximal domain of the NW Australian rifted margin (see Peron-Pinvidic et al., 2019), have
28 previously been linked to continental breakup between Australia and Greater India (e.g.,
29 Arditto, 1993; Romine and Durrant, 1996; Tindale et al., 1998; Marshall and Lang, 2013;
30 Gard et al., 2016; Paumard et al., 2018).

31 To assess the timing of unconformity development relative to recognised tectonic and
32 geodynamic events, we recalibrated the age of dinoflagellate zones recorded within strata
33 bounding the unconformities using calcareous nannofossil occurrences (see methodology in
34 Gard et al., 2016). Critically, calcareous nannofossils, although not commonly preserved in
35 Early Cretaceous sequences in our study boreholes, are well-calibrated to the global
36 chronostratigraphic timescale and magnetic chrons (Gard et al., 2016). Our recalibration thus
37 allowed us to use the abundant dinoflagellate microfossils found within the 165 studied
38 boreholes, along with an assessment of sediment reworking and interpretation of seismic-
39 stratigraphic relationships, to tie unconformity development to magnetic chrons in the
40 adjacent continent-ocean transition zone (COTZ) and oceanic crust. From these findings, we
41 suggested that the three unconformities may have formed in response to: (1) localisation of
42 magma-assisted rifting, linked to COTZ development and/or seafloor spreading, between
43 134.98–133.74 Ma (Intra-Valanginian Unconformity); (2) generation of magmatic crust in
44 COTZs between ~134–133 Ma (Top Valanginian Unconformity); and (3) full continental
45 lithospheric breakup between ~132.5–131 Ma (Intra-Hauterivian Unconformity) (Reeve et
46 al., 2022). Note that contrary to the claim made by Alves et al. (2022) (pXXX), we did not
47 suggest that the end of the syn-rift phase was defined by the formation of a single
48 unconformity. Instead, we recognised that breakup was “*represented by multiple*
49 *unconformities [and inherently the surrounding strata] reflecting a complex history of uplift*

50 *and subsidence during the transition from continental rifting to seafloor spreading*” (Reeve et
51 al., 2022).

52

53 **Breakup Unconformities and Breakup Sequences**

54 Previous work on the evolution of continental margins has shown that breakup is “*marked by*
55 *the deposition of a breakup sequence rather than a single stratigraphic surface [i.e. an*
56 *unconformity]*” (Soares et al., 2012). We reiterate that we analysed a deepening-upwards
57 (regressive) sedimentary succession (the deltaic Zeepaard Formation and overlying shoreface
58 Birdrong Sandstone) containing three unconformities; we thus examined a Breakup Sequence
59 (see Soares et al., 2012; Alves and Cunha, 2018; Alves et al., 2020), contrary to the assertion
60 by Alves et al. (2022) that we did “*not provide a coherent stratigraphic analysis of*
61 *continental breakup and its constituting sequences*” (pXXX). Of the three unconformities we
62 mapped and classified as angular or simply disconformable, we acknowledge they could
63 correspond to correlative conformities (i.e. surfaces that marks no deposition hiatus) away
64 from our borehole constraints and/or in areas of little or no uplift (Alves et al., 2022).
65 Critically, previous work (e.g., Soares et al., 2012; Alves and Cunha, 2018) and numerical
66 modelling (e.g., Cloetingh et al., 1989; Kooi and Cloetingh, 1989; Kooi and Cloetingh, 1992)
67 have shown that the geological characteristics of Breakup Sequences and their associated
68 unconformities, in proximal margin settings such as our study area, can be broadly linked to
69 spatial changes in uplift (and subsidence) during continental breakup. This lends confidence
70 to our interpretation that the stratigraphic record we analysed *can* be tied to syn-breakup
71 geodynamic processes.

72

73 **Interpreting unconformity development during breakup**

74 Alves et al. (2022) state that “*seismic-stratigraphic boundaries identified on the proximal*
75 *margin...[like our study area] ...cannot be tied to what are essentially protracted geodynamic*
76 *processes happening near the loci of continental breakup*” (pXXX). We agree that reading
77 the stratigraphic records of continental breakup is challenging and that the development of
78 associated unconformities can be related to myriad local and regional processes (e.g., Soares
79 et al., 2012; Gong et al., 2019; Monteleone et al., 2019; Peron-Pinvidic et al., 2019; Alves et
80 al., 2020; Pérez-Gussinyé et al., 2020). However, it is important to note that the statement by
81 Alves et al. (2022) at least partly emanates from analyses of the Iberia margin, where the
82 proximal domain was situated >100–350 km from the locus of breakup (e.g., Soares et al.,
83 2012; Alves and Cunha, 2018). It appears Alves et al. (2022) compare our study area to the
84 Iberia-Newfoundland margin because, it seems, they consider the Exmouth Plateau to be a
85 Type I margin, like the Iberian margin, as defined by the numerical models of Huisman and
86 Beaumont (2011). Type I margins involve narrow regions of crustal thinning, conjugate
87 margin asymmetry, rift flank uplift, exhumation of continental mantle, delayed formation of
88 oceanic crust, and limited magmatism (Huisman and Beaumont, 2011). Critically, as
89 Huisman and Beaumont (2011) themselves state, the Exmouth Plateau, NW Australia (i.e.,
90 our study area) is *not* a Type I margin; they instead define it as a Type II margin because it
91 comprises a wide zone of thinned crust (e.g., Stagg et al., 2004), contains largely undeformed
92 late syn-rift strata (e.g., Reeve et al., 2022), is not associated with mantle exhumation,
93 involved some syn-rift magmatism (e.g., Symonds et al., 1998), is partly underlain by an area
94 of magmatic underplating (e.g., Frey et al., 1998), and the progression from breakup to
95 seafloor spreading was relatively quick (e.g., Reeve et al., 2021). Given the limited amount of
96 syn-breakup faulting in our study area, which is a primary mechanism invoked in previous
97 work to explain localised uplift (e.g., Pérez-Gussinyé et al., 2020), we thus suggest it feasible

98 that unconformity development may be tied to regional geodynamic processes rather than
99 purely local processes, such as fault-driven uplift.

100

101 **Proposed continental breakup events offshore NW Australia**

102 Based on the data presented in Reeve et al. (2022), previous studies, and their own work on
103 other continental margins, Alves et al. (2022) offer their own interpretation of continental
104 breakup offshore NW Australia, involving: (1) a phase of lithospheric breakup, implied
105 mantle exhumation, and seafloor spreading along the Argo Abyssal Plain in the Oxfordian
106 (~156 Ma), which produced a margin-wide Lithospheric Breakup Surface (i.e. an
107 unconformity; Marshall and Lang, 2013); (2) formation of the Intra-Valanginian
108 Unconformity in response to lithospheric breakup in the Cuvier Abyssal Plain, and the
109 implied transition from continental rifting to mantle exhumation, and eventually seafloor
110 spreading; (3) deposition of a conformable, net-regressive sedimentary sequence with no time
111 gap at our proposed Top Valanginian Unconformity; and (4) full continental breakup only
112 occurred in the Aptian (i.e. >10 Myr later than proposed by Reeve et al., 2022), with the
113 Australian plate remaining pinned to Greater India and Antarctica until this time.

114 We agree with Alves et al. (2022) that lithospheric breakup in the Argo Abyssal Plain
115 may also have instigated formation of a substantially older, margin-wide unconformity in the
116 Oxfordian, although exploring this was beyond the scope of Reeve et al. (2022). Our
117 interpretation that the Intra-Valanginian Unconformity formed due to localisation of magma-
118 assisted rifting (Reeve et al., 2022) is also consistent with the suggestion of Alves et al.
119 (2022) that it marks lithospheric breakup in the Cuvier Abyssal Plain. We acknowledge that
120 the origin of Top Valanginian Unconformity is difficult to decipher and could be a local
121 expression of faulting or some other process (Alves et al., 2022), although it could also
122 represent generation of magmatic (not oceanic) crust in COTZs (Reeve et al., 2022). Yet we

123 maintain it is plausible that the Intra-Hauterivian Unconformity (i.e. the top of the Breakup
124 Sequence in the proximal margin domain), which coincided with the onset of seafloor
125 spreading in the Gascoyne Abyssal Plain, marks full continental lithospheric rupture (Reeve
126 et al., 2022). Here we note that Hauterivian and Barremian magnetic chrons within the
127 oceanic crust of the Gascoyne Abyssal Plain and plate reconstructions support full
128 lithospheric breakup of the NW Australian margin prior to the Aptian (e.g., Heine and
129 Müller, 2005; Robb et al., 2005; Gibbons et al., 2012). Finally, we emphasise that our
130 interpretations presented here and in Reeve et al. (2022) are hypotheses to be tested.

131

132 **Concluding remarks**

133 Variations in the style and diachroneity of continental breakup produce complex stratigraphic
134 signatures. Although interpretations may differ, it is promising to see the overlap in ideas
135 emanating from Reeve et al. (2022) and the discussion raised by Alves et al. (2022). We
136 agree with Alves et al. (2022) that more work is required to test the hypotheses we advanced
137 and to better understand the stratigraphic record of continental breakup offshore NW
138 Australia, as well as other continental margins. For example, because biostratigraphic marker
139 and magnetic chron ages are constantly being refined (e.g., Robb et al., 2005; Casellato and
140 Erba, 2021), improving the resolution of these data provides a way to test our interpretations.
141 Overall, we emphasise that our work supports a growing consensus “*that the integration of*
142 *seismic reflection and well-calibrated biostratigraphic data is critical to reading rocks that*
143 *record the processes driving continental breakup*” (Reeve et al., 2022). Critically, there is a
144 vast array of geological, geophysical, and biostratigraphic data publically available from
145 offshore NW Australia, and we strongly encourage its use.

146

147 **Acknowledgements**

148 All authors contributed to writing and editing this reply. We thank Kerry Gallagher for
149 editorial handling.

150

151 **References**

152 Alves, T., Fetter, M., Busby, C., Gontijo, R., Cunha, T. A., and Mattos, N. H., 2020, A tectono-
153 stratigraphic review of continental breakup on intraplate continental margins and its impact
154 on resultant hydrocarbon systems: *Marine Petroleum Geology*, v. 117, p. 104341.
155 Alves, T. M., and Cunha, T. A., 2018, A phase of transient subsidence, sediment bypass and
156 deposition of regressive–transgressive cycles during the breakup of Iberia and
157 Newfoundland: *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, v. 484, p. 168-183.
158 Alves, T. M., Fetter, M., Busby, C., Cunha, T. A., and Mattos, N., 2022, Stratigraphic record of
159 continental breakup, offshore NW Australia – Discussion: *Basin Research*.
160 Arditto, P. A., 1993, Depositional sequence model for the post-Barrow Group Neocomian succession,
161 Barrow and Exmouth sub-basins, Western Australia: *The APPEA journal*, v. 33, no. 1, p. 151-
162 160.
163 Cloetingh, S., Tankard, A., Welsink, H., and Jenkins, W., 1989, Vail's Coastal Onlap Curves and Their
164 Correlation with Tectonic Events, Offshore Eastern Canada: Chapter 18: North American
165 Margins, *in* Tankard, A., and Balkwill, H., eds., *Extensional Tectonics and Stratigraphy of the*
166 *North Atlantic Margins*, Volume 46, AAPG Special Volumes, p. 283-293.
167 Frey, Ø., Planke, S., Symonds, P. A., and Heeremans, M., 1998, Deep crustal structure and rheology
168 of the Gascoyne volcanic margin, western Australia: *Marine Geophysical Researches*, v. 20,
169 no. 4, p. 293-311.
170 Gard, G., Backhouse, J., and Crux, J., 2016, Calibration of Early Cretaceous dinoflagellate zones from
171 the NWS of Australia to the global time scale through calcareous nannofossils: *Cretaceous*
172 *Research*, v. 61, p. 180-187.
173 Gibbons, A. D., Barckhausen, U., den Bogaard, P., Hoernle, K., Werner, R., Whittaker, J. M., and
174 Müller, R. D., 2012, Constraining the Jurassic extent of Greater India: Tectonic evolution of
175 the West Australian margin: *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, v. 13, no. 5, p.
176 Q05W13.
177 Gong, Y., Lin, C., Zhang, Z., Zhang, B., Shu, L., Feng, X., Hong, F., Xing, Z., Liu, H., and Su, E., 2019,
178 Breakup unconformities at the end of the early Oligocene in the Pearl River Mouth Basin,
179 South China Sea: significance for the evolution of basin dynamics and tectonic geography
180 during rift–drift transition: *Marine Geophysical Research*, v. 40, no. 3, p. 371-384.
181 Heine, C., and Müller, R., 2005, Late Jurassic rifting along the Australian North West Shelf: margin
182 geometry and spreading ridge configuration: *Australian Journal of Earth Sciences*, v. 52, no.
183 1, p. 27-39.
184 Huismans, R., and Beaumont, C., 2011, Depth-dependent extension, two-stage breakup and cratonic
185 underplating at rifted margins: *Nature*, v. 473, no. 7345, p. 74-78.
186 Kooi, H., and Cloetingh, S., 1989, Intraplate Stresses and the Tectono-Stratigraphic Evolution of the
187 Central North Sea: Chapter 35: North Sea and Barents Shelf, *in* Tankard, A., and Balkwill, H.,
188 eds., *Extensional Tectonics and Stratigraphy of the North Atlantic Margins*, Volume 46, AAPG
189 Special Volumes, p. 541-558.
190 Kooi, H., and Cloetingh, S., 1992, Lithospheric necking and regional isostasy at extensional basins 2.
191 Stress-induced vertical motions and relative sea level changes: *Journal of Geophysical*
192 *Research: Solid Earth*, v. 97, no. B12, p. 17573-17591.

193 Marshall, N., and Lang, S., A new sequence stratigraphic framework for the North West Shelf,
194 Australia, *in* Proceedings The Sedimentary Basins of Western Australia 4: Proceedings PESA
195 Symposium. Perth2013, p. 1-32.

196 Monteleone, V., Minshull, T. A., and Marin-Moreno, H., 2019, Spatial and temporal evolution of
197 rifting and continental breakup in the Eastern Black Sea Basin revealed by long-offset seismic
198 reflection data: *Tectonics*, v. 38, no. 8, p. 2646-2667.

199 Paumard, V., Bourget, J., Payenberg, T., Ainsworth, R. B., George, A. D., Lang, S., Posamentier, H. W.,
200 and Peyrot, D., 2018, Controls on shelf-margin architecture and sediment partitioning during
201 a syn-rift to post-rift transition: Insights from the Barrow Group (Northern Carnarvon Basin,
202 North West Shelf, Australia): *Earth-Science Reviews*, v. 177, p. 643-677.

203 Pérez-Gussinyé, M., Andrés-Martínez, M., Araújo, M., Xin, Y., Armitage, J., and Morgan, J., 2020,
204 Lithospheric Strength and Rift Migration Controls on Synrift Stratigraphy and Breakup
205 Unconformities at Rifted Margins: Examples From Numerical Models, the Atlantic and South
206 China Sea Margins: *Tectonics*, v. 39, no. 12, p. e2020TC006255.

207 Peron-Pinvidic, G., Manatschal, G., and Participants, a. t. I. R. W., 2019, Rifted margins: state of the
208 art and future challenges: *Frontiers in Earth Science*, v. 7, p. 8.

209 Reeve, M. T., Magee, C., Bastow, I. D., McDermott, C., Jackson, C. A.-L., Bell, R. E., and Prytulak, J.,
210 2021, Nature of the Cuvier Abyssal Plain crust, offshore NW Australia: *Journal of the*
211 *Geological Society*.

212 Reeve, M. T., Magee, C., Jackson, C. A. L., Bell, R. E., and Bastow, I. D., 2022, Stratigraphic record of
213 continental breakup, offshore NW Australia: *Basin Research*, v. 34, no. 3, p. 1220-1243.

214 Robb, M. S., Taylor, B., and Goodliffe, A. M., 2005, Re-examination of the magnetic lineations of the
215 Gascoyne and Cuvier Abyssal Plains, off NW Australia: *Geophysical Journal International*, v.
216 163, no. 1, p. 42-55.

217 Romine, K., and Durrant, J., 1996, Tectonic events, sequence stratigraphy and prediction of
218 petroleum play elements in the Cretaceous and Tertiary of the northern Carnarvon Basin,
219 north west shelf, Australia: *AAPG Bulletin*, v. 5, no. CONF-960527--.

220 Soares, D. M., Alves, T. M., and Terrinha, P., 2012, The breakup sequence and associated lithospheric
221 breakup surface: Their significance in the context of rifted continental margins (West Iberia
222 and Newfoundland margins, North Atlantic): *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, v. 355, p.
223 311-326.

224 Stagg, H., Alcock, M., Bernardel, G., Moore, A., Symonds, P., and Exon, N., 2004, Geological
225 framework of the outer Exmouth Plateau and adjacent ocean basins, *Geoscience Australia*.

226 Symonds, P. A., Planke, S., Frey, O., and Skogseid, J., 1998, Volcanic evolution of the Western
227 Australian Continental Margin and its implications for basin development: *The Sedimentary*
228 *Basins of Western Australia 2: Proc. of Petroleum Society Australia Symposium*, Perth, WA.

229 Tindale, K., Newell, N., Keall, J., and Smith, N., Structural evolution and charge history of the
230 Exmouth Sub-basin, northern Carnarvon Basin, Western Australia, *in* Proceedings The
231 *Sedimentary Basins of Western Australia 2: Proc. of Petroleum Society Australia Symposium*,
232 Perth, WA1998, p. 473-490.