

This is a repository copy of *Constraints on late time violations of the equivalence principle in the dark sector*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/193129/</u>

Version: Submitted Version

Preprint:

Thomas, C.C. and van de Bruck, C. (Submitted: 2022) Constraints on late time violations of the equivalence principle in the dark sector. [Preprint - arXiv]

https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2210.09732

© 2022 The Author(s). For reuse permissions, please contact the Author(s).

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

arXiv:2210.09732v1 [hep-th] 18 Oct 2022

Constraints on Late Time Violations of the Equivalence Principle in the Dark Sector

Cameron C. Thomas and Carsten van de Bruck

Consortium for Fundamental Physics, School of Mathematics and Statistics,

University of Sheffield, Hounsfield Road, Sheffield S3 7RH, United Kingdom

(Dated: October 19, 2022)

If dark energy is dynamical due to the evolution of a scalar field, then in general it is expected that the scalar is coupled to matter. While couplings to the standard model particles are highly constrained by local experiments, bounds on couplings to dark matter (DM) are only obtained from cosmological observations and they are consequently weaker. It has recently be pointed out that the coupling itself can become non-zero only at the time of dark energy domination, due to the evolution of dark energy itself, leading to a violation of the equivalence principle (EP) in the dark sector at late times. In this paper we study a specific model and show that such late-time violations of the EP in the DM sector are not strongly constrained by the evolution of the cosmological background and by observables in the linear regime (e.g. from the cosmic microwave background radiation). A study of perturbations in non-linear regime is necessary to constrain late-time violations of the equivalence principle much more strongly.

I. INTRODUCTION

There are several reasons to study alternative theories to the cosmological constant as a model for dark energy (DE). Firstly, if DE is due to a non-vanishing cosmological constant, its value has to be very small to fit the data. The expected theoretical value, however, is much larger. This problem has not been solved, but there are attempts to address this problem (see [1-3] and references therein). Secondly, there are several tensions between data sets, providing tantalising hints that the standard model of cosmology, the Λ -Cold-Dark-Matter(Λ CDM) model, may be in need of corrections (we refer to [4] for a recent overview over the tensions and [5] for an overview of attempts to solve the tensions). Among the extensions of the ΛCDM model which remain the best motivated ones are scalarfield models of DE, in which the accelerated expansion is driven by a scalar field [6-8]. It is expected that, in general, the scalar is coupled to at least one species of matter, unless there is a symmetry which forbids such couplings [9]. Such a coupling results in an additional force mediated between the coupled species. Since the interaction between DE and ordinary matter is strongly constrained, in some models only the coupling to cold dark matter (CDM) is of cosmological significance [10, 11]. It is this type of theory which we consider in this paper.

It has recently been suggested, that the potential energy of scalar fields appearing in string theory cannot be arbitrarily flat [12, 13], see [14] for an overview of the swampland programme. If true, the accelerated expansion cannot be driven by a cosmological constant (de Sitter space is not realised in string theory) and the equation of state of dark energy is not constant and deviates potentially significantly from the value expected in the Λ CDM model. Additionally, a coupling of the scalar to some sectors in the theory are expected. Based on these observations, several phenomenological models have been proposed recently [15–20]. In this paper we study specific a model in which the coupling function between the dark energy field and dark matter has a minimum [15]. As a result of the minimum, the coupling switches on only at late times, at the beginning of the dark energy dominated epoch. One of our main results of this paper is that the regime in which linear perturbation theory is valid does not constrain the parameter of the model greatly. In other words, late time violations of the equivalence principle in the dark sector are not strongly constrained by studying the background evolution or CMB anisotropies. Instead, to obtain stronger constraints a study of the non-linear regime in considerable detail is needed.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section II we present the model and its parameter. In Section III we describe our methodology, describe the data sets used and present the constraints on the model. We conclude in Section IV.

II. INTERACTING DARK ENERGY

The model we consider consists of the gravitational sector described by the Einstein–Hilbert action without cosmological constant, a part which describes the standard model (SM) particles and a part for DE described by a scalar field ϕ with potential energy $V(\phi)$. Finally, the interaction between DE and DM is described by a conformal coupling. The full action reads

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{2} \mathcal{R} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi - V(\phi) \right) + \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM}(g, \Psi_i) + \int d^4x \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} \mathcal{L}_{\rm DM}(\tilde{g}, \chi)$$
(1)

where $M_{\rm Pl}$ is the reduced Planck mass, \mathcal{R} is the Ricciscalar, the SM fields are denoted by Ψ_i and χ is the DM field (assuming here for simplicity that dark matter consists of only one species). The metrics g and \tilde{g} are related by a conformal transformation $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = C(\phi)g_{\mu\nu}$. Such theories have been discussed in considerable length in the literature, but the new ingredient in this paper is that the function $C(\phi)$ has a minimum. That the coupling functions in string theory could possess a minimum due to nonperturbative effects was suggested in the works by Damour and Polyakov [21] and has been used in [22] to construct a dilaton-model of DE. Combining these theoretical developments motivated us in [15] to consider a specific model in which $C(\phi)$ has a minimum at some value scalar field value ϕ_* . To be concrete, in this paper we consider the following function for $C(\phi)$:

$$C(\phi) = \cosh\left(\sqrt{\alpha} \left(\phi - \phi_*\right)/M_{\rm Pl}\right),\tag{2}$$

where ϕ_* denotes the minimum of the function $C(\phi)$ and α is a constant. In this paper we choose $\phi_* = 1 M_{\rm Pl}$ without loss of generality. In [15] it was pointed out that even if the field starts away from the minimum in the very early universe, there are attractor mechanism at work in the early universe which drives the field towards the minimum quickly. Nevertheless, in our analysis below we allow the field to start away from the minimum value at ϕ_* to find constraints on the initial conditions of the DE field. In our analysis we choose an exponential potential with

$$V(\phi) = V_0 e^{-\lambda \phi/M_{\rm Pl}},\tag{3}$$

where λ denotes the slope of the potential, which in string theory according to [12] cannot be arbitrarily small and should be $\mathcal{O}(1)$. Finally, we assume in the following that the universe is spatially flat.

Because of the coupling, there is an exchange of energy between DM and the DE field. As a result, the evolution of the DM density and the modified Klein–Gordon equation are given by

$$\dot{\rho}_{\rm DM} + 3H\rho_{\rm DM} = \beta M_{\rm Pl}^{-1} \phi \rho_{\rm DM}$$

and

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + V_{\phi} = -\beta M_{\rm Pl}^{-1}\rho_{\rm DM}.$$

In these equations we have defined

$$\beta = \frac{M_{\rm Pl}}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}\ln C}{\mathrm{d}\phi}.$$

The effective gravitational constant between two DM particles is given by [15, 23]

$$G_{\text{eff}} = G_N \left(1 + 2\beta^2 \right). \tag{4}$$

The evolution of G_{eff} is shown in Figure 1 for various choices of the parameter α and λ . In general, the additional force between DM particles due to the scalar field only becomes significant at redshifts z < 1, when the DE field starts to evolve due to the influence of the potential, thereby displacing it from the minimum of the coupling function. We emphasize that the effective gravitational coupling (4) between DM particles depends on α as well as on the scalar field.

To summarize, the parameter of the model we seek to constrain are the slope of the potential λ , the parameter α , which is related to strength of the coupling between DM and DE and the initial field value $\phi_{\rm ini}$ deep inside the radiation dominated epoch.



FIG. 1. The evolution of the effective gravitational constant, defined in Equation (4), for models with a different value of α but same value of $\lambda = 0.1$ (top) and for models with a different value of λ but same value of $10^{-4}\alpha = 1$ (bottom). Where applicable, the values of our cosmological parameters are taken from the best fit values of a Λ CDM cosmology based on *Planck* TTTEEE+lowE, such as in Table 2 of [24].

III. METHODOLOGY, DATA AND RESULTS

Prior
$\begin{matrix} [0.005, 0.1] \\ [0.001, 0.99] \\ [0.5, 10.0] \\ [2.7, 4.0] \\ [0.9, 1.1] \\ [0.01, 0.8] \\ [0, 5] \\ [0, 50] \\ [-5, 5] \end{matrix}$

TABLE I. Flat priors for the cosmological parameters sampled in our analysis.

In our analysis, the IDE model is described by a set of nine parameters whose priors are specified in Table I and where h is the reduced Hubble constant defined by $H_0 = 100 h {\rm km s^{-1} Mpc^{-1}}$. These parameters are the reduced baryon energy density $\Omega_b h^2$, the reduced CDM energy density $\Omega_{cdm} h^2$, the ratio of the sound horizon to the angular diameter distance at decoupling θ_s , the scalar amplitude of the primordial power spectrum A_s , the scalar spectral index n_s , the reionization optical depth τ_{reio} , the slope of the scalar field potential λ , the conformal coupling parameter α , and the initial value of the scalar field ϕ_{ini} .

In order to numerically study the evolution of the background and cosmological perturbations for the Interacting Dark Energy (IDE) model described above we use a modified version of the CLASS code [25, 26] to calculate the background evolution and the evolution of perturbations¹. For cosmological parameter exploration we use the Markov Chain Monte Carlo sampling package MontePython [27, 28], in conjunction with the data sets outlined below². In addition to this, the GetDist [29] Python package³ is used to analyse the chains and produce the values and plots of the parameters in Table II and Figure 2.

We use the following combination of recent observational data sets in order to analyse and constrain the IDE model:

• Cosmic Microwave Background:

We use the full TTTEEE+lowE Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) likelihood from the latest Planck 2018 release [24]. This includes temperature (TT) and polarisation (EE) anisotropy data as well as cross-correlation data between temperature and polarisation (TE) at high and low multipoles.

• Baryon Acoustic Oscillations:

We consider Baryon Acoustic Oscillations (BAO) measurements coming from BOSS DR12 [30], 6dFGS [31], and SDSS-MGS [32] for use in our analysis.

• Type Ia Supernovae:

We use the Pantheon data catalog consisting of 1048 points in the region $z \in [0.01, 2.3]$ of SNIa luminosity distance data as provided by [33].

• Redshift Space Distortions:

We employ the 'Gold 2018' Redshift Space Distortions (RSD) data set compilation consisting of 22 measurements as described in [34] and a likelihood code as detailed in [35].

• Cosmic Chronometers:

We use 31 measurements of H(z) in the redshift range $z \in [0.07, 1.965]$ as detailed in Table 4 of [36].

The results for our data analysis are shown in Table II where we compare constraints on Λ CDM with the IDE model and the uncoupled model using the full PL18+BAO+Pantheon+CC+RSD data set for all models, and also in Figure 2 where we plot marginalised posterior distributions for parameters in the IDE model. We utilise the full PL18+BAO+Pantheon+CC+RSD data set in order to obtain convergence for all the models, with all parameters achieving |R-1| < 0.03, where R is the Gelman-Rubin statistic [37]. In addition to Λ CDM and the IDE model, we also consider the uncoupled model (i.e. imposing $\alpha = 0$) for comparison and to illustrate the impact of including the coupling on the parameter constraints. For the uncoupled model, owing to the form of the potential, we fix the initial value of the scalar field, ϕ_{ini} , and do not allow it to vary in our analysis.

One of the first things to notice is the large values of the coupling constant, α , allowed by the data as can be seen in Figure 2. We are only able to attain an upper limit for α of $10^{-4}\alpha < 2.67$ at 2σ . As can be seen in the upper panel of Figure 1, the large values of α supported by the data actually do not have as drastic an impact on G_{eff} as one may be led to believe. We can see that a ten-fold increase in α (from $10^{-4}\alpha = 0.01$ to $10^{-4}\alpha = 0.1$) leads to an increase of 5% in $G_{\rm eff}$, but a ten-fold increase from $10^{-4}\alpha = 0.5$ to $10^{-4}\alpha = 5$ leads to only a sub-percentage increase in G_{eff} . G_{eff} appears to be far more sensitive to changes in the slope of the potential for the model, λ , as can be seen in the lower panel of Figure 1. The reason for this is that G_{eff} depends on α and on the value of ϕ . Larger values of α imply a steeper coupling function and as a consequence, the field is kept at the minimum more effectively. For the most extreme cases, we find that the field excursion is smaller than the Planck mass, i.e. $(|\phi - \phi_*| < M_{\rm Pl})$.

We obtain an upper bound on the slope of the potential of $\lambda < 0.192$ at 2σ for the coupled IDE model and an upper bound of $\lambda < 0.406$ at 2σ for the uncoupled model. We can see that this IDE model does not help to alleviate the swampland requirement of $|V'/V| \ge c \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ and in fact exacerbates the requirement when compared to the uncoupled model. We also note that the coupled model breaks the degeneracy in the (H_0, λ) -plane and the (σ_8, λ) plane, as it can be seen in Figure 3.

From Figure 2, it is clear that there also exists a negative correlation between the conformal coupling constant, α , and slope of the scalar field potential, λ , with lower values of α preferring higher values of λ .

We justify the prior for the initial value of the scalar field, $\phi_{\rm ini}$, to be centred around the minimum of the coupling function, ϕ_* , owing to the attractor mechanisms aforementioned. We allow $\phi_{\rm ini}$ to vary as a cosmological parameter in our analysis and find that it is tightly constrained about the minimum of the coupling function with a mean of $\phi_{\rm ini}/M_{\rm Pl} = 1.0004^{+0.0082}_{-0.0092}$, lending credence to the attractor mechanism discussed in [15].

The IDE model is in strong agreement with Λ CDM for this data set combination, as can be seen in Table II, with no significant tensions observed in the cosmological parameters between the two models. This is to be expected as the model behaves like standard uncoupled quintessence (with an exponential potential) up until $z \sim 1$, when the field switches on, at which point it begins to deviate from an

¹ https://github.com/lesgourg/class_public

² https://github.com/brinckmann/montepython_public

³ https://github.com/cmbant/getdist

uncoupled model.

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have studied a specific IDE model, in which a fifth force between DM particles switches on at the onset of DE domination. This is achieved by considering a coupling function which possesses a minimum at a certain field value ϕ_* . The DE field evolves away from the minimum due to the influence of the potential energy. In contrast to other interacting DE models, the fifth force between DM particles becomes only important at the end of matter domination, if the field does sit at the minimum of the coupling function initially. We used several data sets to constrain ϕ_* , the coupling parameter α and the slope of the potential energy λ .

Our findings can be summarized as follows:

- The initial value of the field, deep inside the radiation dominated epoch, is constrained to be very near the minimum of the coupling function.
- Our best fit value for the slope λ of the potential is lower than other IDE models, see e.g. [38], although different data sets have been used in this study.
- The coupling parameter α is only weakly constrained $(10^{-4}\alpha \leq 2.6)$.
- Since the effective coupling depends also on the value of the DE field ϕ , the effective gravitational constant is determined by the dynamics of DE and hence by both α and λ . The dependence on the effective gravitational coupling on λ and α is illustrated in 1.

Our study shows that equivalence principle violations in the dark sector at the present epoch are much less constrained than previously thought. This is because such new interactions may result from the dynamics of DE itself and only become important rather late in the cosmic history. The data sets used in this paper, which measure the evolution of the cosmological background and cosmological perturbations at the linear level, do not constrain the fifth force strongly and the model is, for a wide range of parameter, indistinguishable from the Λ CDM model. We do expect the model to differ from ACDM at much smaller length scales, for which linear perturbation theory is no longer adequate. The fifth force is likely to leave an imprint on the evolution of non-linear perturbations and affect, for example, the matter power spectra on small scales. It would also be interesting to study the tidal tail test [39] in this model, since the coupling switches on only at late times. We do expect this test to constrain this model further. We leave these studies for future work.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Ed Copeland and Adam Moss for useful remarks during the early stages of this work. CCT is supported by a studentship from the School of Mathematics and Statistics at the University of Sheffield. CvdB is supported (in part) by the Lancaster–Manchester–Sheffield Consortium for Fundamental Physics under STFC grant: ST/T001038/1.



FIG. 2. Marginalised posterior distributions for the IDE model using PL18+BAO+Pantheon+CC+RSD.



FIG. 3. Marginalised posterior distributions for the IDE and uncoupled quintessence ($\alpha = 0$) models using PL18+BAO+Pantheon+CC+RSD.

TABLE II. Observational constraints at a 68% confidence level on the independent and derived cosmological parameters for ACDM and the IDE model using PL18+BAO+Pantheon+CC+RSD. Where 68% confidence limits could not be found, an upper 95% confidence limit was used, i.e. for parameters $10^{-4}\alpha$ and λ . For comparison we also calculated the constraints for an uncoupled quintessence model (last column). The quantities in the second half of this table are the derived parameters of our analysis which are the redshift of reionization z_{reio} , the Helium fraction Y_{He} , the Hubble constant H_0 , the absolute magnitude of SN1a as inferred from the data sets used M, and the present day mass fluctuation amplitude at $8h^{-1}$ Mpc σ_8 .

Parameter	ΛCDM	IDE	Uncoupled quintessence $(\alpha = 0)$
$egin{aligned} \Omega_b h^2 & & \ \Omega_{cdm} h^2 & & \ \Omega_{cdm} h^2 & & \ 100 heta_s & & \ \ln \left(10^{10} A_s ight) & & \ n_s & & \ au_{reio} & & \ 10^{-4} lpha & & \ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02247 \pm 0.00013 \\ 0.11875 \pm 0.00094 \\ 1.04199 \pm 0.00028 \\ 3.041 \pm 0.016 \\ 0.9685 \pm 0.0037 \\ 0.0538 \pm 0.0077 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02247 \pm 0.00013 \\ 0.11864 \pm 0.00098 \\ 1.04202 \pm 0.00028 \\ 3.041 \pm 0.016 \\ 0.9687 \pm 0.0039 \\ 0.0540 \pm 0.0080 \\ < 2.67 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02248 \pm 0.00013\\ 0.11856 \pm 0.00096\\ 1.04201 \pm 0.00028\\ 3.042 \pm 0.016\\ 0.9690 \pm 0.0037\\ 0.0545 \pm 0.0076\\ \end{array}$
$\lambda \ \phi_{ m ini}$	-	$< 0.109 \\ 1.0004^{+0.0082}_{-0.0092}$	< 0.406
$egin{array}{c} z_{reio} \ Y_{He} \ H_0 \ M \ \sigma_8 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7.59 \pm 0.78 \\ 0.247880 \pm 0.000057 \\ 67.96 \pm 0.42 \\ -19.411 \pm 0.012 \\ 0.8055 \pm 0.0068 \end{array}$	7.60 ± 0.82 0.247882 ± 0.000056 68.02 ± 0.44 -19.409 ± 0.012 0.8066 ± 0.0071	$\begin{array}{c} 7.64 \pm 0.77 \\ 0.247885 \pm 0.000057 \\ 67.67 \substack{+0.56 \\ -0.45} \\ -19.415 \pm 0.013 \\ 0.8019 \substack{+0.0080 \\ -0.0072} \end{array}$

- Steven Weinberg, "The Cosmological Constant Problem," Rev. Mod. Phys. 61, 1–23 (1989).
- [2] T. Padmanabhan, "Cosmological constant: The Weight of the vacuum," Phys. Rept. 380, 235–320 (2003), arXiv:hepth/0212290.
- [3] Jerome Martin, "Everything You Always Wanted To Know About The Cosmological Constant Problem (But Were Afraid To Ask)," Comptes Rendus Physique 13, 566–665 (2012), arXiv:1205.3365 [astro-ph.CO].
- [4] Elcio Abdalla et al., "Cosmology intertwined: A review of the particle physics, astrophysics, and cosmology associated with the cosmological tensions and anomalies," JHEAp 34, 49–211 (2022), arXiv:2203.06142 [astro-ph.CO].
- [5] Eleonora Di Valentino, Olga Mena, Supriya Pan, Luca Visinelli, Weiqiang Yang, Alessandro Melchiorri, David F. Mota, Adam G. Riess, and Joseph Silk, "In the realm of the Hubble tension—a review of solutions," Class. Quant. Grav. 38, 153001 (2021), arXiv:2103.01183 [astro-ph.CO].
- [6] C. Wetterich, "Cosmology and the Fate of Dilatation Symmetry," Nucl. Phys. B 302, 668–696 (1988), arXiv:1711.03844 [hep-th].
- [7] Bharat Ratra and P. J. E. Peebles, "Cosmological Consequences of a Rolling Homogeneous Scalar Field," Phys. Rev. D 37, 3406 (1988).
- [8] R. R. Caldwell, Rahul Dave, and Paul J. Steinhardt, "Cosmological imprint of an energy component with general equation of state," Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 1582–1585 (1998), arXiv:astro-ph/9708069.
- Sean M. Carroll, "Quintessence and the rest of the world," Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 3067–3070 (1998), arXiv:astroph/9806099.
- [10] Christof Wetterich, "The Cosmon model for an asymptotically vanishing time dependent cosmological 'constant'," Astron. Astrophys. **301**, 321–328 (1995), arXiv:hepth/9408025.
- [11] Luca Amendola, "Coupled quintessence," Phys. Rev. D 62, 043511 (2000), arXiv:astro-ph/9908023.
- [12] Georges Obied, Hirosi Ooguri, Lev Spodyneiko, and Cumrun Vafa, "De Sitter Space and the Swampland," (2018), arXiv:1806.08362 [hep-th].
- [13] Prateek Agrawal, Georges Obied, Paul J. Steinhardt, and Cumrun Vafa, "On the Cosmological Implications of the String Swampland," Phys. Lett. B 784, 271–276 (2018), arXiv:1806.09718 [hep-th].
- [14] Eran Palti, "The Swampland: Introduction and Review," Fortsch. Phys. 67, 1900037 (2019), arXiv:1903.06239 [hepth].
- [15] Carsten van de Bruck and Cameron C. Thomas, "Dark energy, the swampland, and the equivalence principle," Physical Review D 100 (2019), 10.1103/physrevd.100.023515.
- [16] Prateek Agrawal, Georges Obied, and Cumrun Vafa, " H_0 tension, swampland conjectures, and the epoch of fading dark matter," Phys. Rev. D **103**, 043523 (2021), arXiv:1906.08261 [astro-ph.CO].
- [17] Yessenia Olguin-Trejo, Susha L. Parameswaran, Gianmassimo Tasinato, and Ivonne Zavala, "Runaway Quintessence, Out of the Swampland," JCAP 01, 031 (2019), arXiv:1810.08634 [hep-th].
- [18] Philippe Brax, Carsten van de Bruck, and Anne-Christine Davis, "Swampland and screened modified gravity," Phys. Rev. D 101, 083514 (2020), arXiv:1911.09169 [hep-th].

- [19] Bruno Valeixo Bento, Dibya Chakraborty, Susha L. Parameswaran, and Ivonne Zavala, "Dark Energy in String Theory," PoS CORFU2019, 123 (2020), arXiv:2005.10168 [hep-th].
- [20] Max Brinkmann, Michele Cicoli, Giuseppe Dibitetto, and Francisco G. Pedro, "Stringy multifield quintessence and the Swampland," (2022), arXiv:2206.10649 [hep-th].
- [21] T. Damour and Alexander M. Polyakov, "The String dilaton and a least coupling principle," Nucl. Phys. B 423, 532–558 (1994), arXiv:hep-th/9401069.
- [22] Philippe Brax, Carsten van de Bruck, Anne-Christine Davis, and Douglas Shaw, "The Dilaton and Modified Gravity," Phys. Rev. D 82, 063519 (2010), arXiv:1005.3735 [astro-ph.CO].
- [23] Luca Amendola, "Linear and non-linear perturbations in dark energy models," Phys. Rev. D 69, 103524 (2004), arXiv:astro-ph/0311175.
- [24] N. Aghanim *et al.* (Planck), "Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters," Astron. Astrophys. **641**, A6 (2020), [Erratum: Astron.Astrophys. 652, C4 (2021)], arXiv:1807.06209 [astro-ph.CO].
- [25] Julien Lesgourgues, "The cosmic linear anisotropy solving system (class) i: Overview," (2011), arXiv:1104.2932 [astro-ph.IM].
- [26] Diego Blas, Julien Lesgourgues, and Thomas Tram, "The cosmic linear anisotropy solving system (CLASS). part II: Approximation schemes," Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics **2011**, 034–034 (2011).
- [27] Thejs Brinckmann and Julien Lesgourgues, "MontePython 3: boosted MCMC sampler and other features," (2018), arXiv:1804.07261 [astro-ph.CO].
- [28] Benjamin Audren, Julien Lesgourgues, Karim Benabed, and Simon Prunet, "Conservative Constraints on Early Cosmology: an illustration of the Monte Python cosmological parameter inference code," JCAP 1302, 001 (2013), arXiv:1210.7183 [astro-ph.CO].
- [29] Antony Lewis, "GetDist: a Python package for analysing Monte Carlo samples," (2019), arXiv:1910.13970 [astroph.IM].
- [30] S. Alam et al., "The clustering of galaxies in the completed SDSS-III Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey: cosmological analysis of the DR12 galaxy sample," Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society 470, 2617– 2652 (2017), https://academic.oup.com/mnras/articlepdf/470/3/2617/18315003/stx721.pdf.
- [31] Florian Beutler, Chris Blake, Matthew Colless, D. Heath Jones, Lister Staveley-Smith, Lachlan Campbell, Quentin Parker, Will Saunders, and Fred Watson, "The 6dF Galaxy Survey: baryon acoustic oscillations and the local Hubble constant," Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society 416, 3017– 3032 (2011), https://academic.oup.com/mnras/articlepdf/416/4/3017/2985042/mnras0416-3017.pdf.
- [32] Ashley J. Ross, Lado Samushia, Cullan Howlett, Will J. Percival, Angela Burden, and Marc Manera, "The clustering of the SDSS DR7 main Galaxy sample – I. A 4 per cent distance measure at z = 0.15," Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society 449, 835–847 (2015), https://academic.oup.com/mnras/articlepdf/449/1/835/13767551/stv154.pdf.

- [33] D. M. Scolnic *et al.* (Pan-STARRS1), "The Complete Light-curve Sample of Spectroscopically Confirmed SNe Ia from Pan-STARRS1 and Cosmological Constraints from the Combined Pantheon Sample," Astrophys. J. 859, 101 (2018), arXiv:1710.00845 [astro-ph.CO].
- [34] Bryan Sagredo, Savvas Nesseris, and Domenico Sapone, "Internal Robustness of Growth Rate data," Phys. Rev. D 98, 083543 (2018), arXiv:1806.10822 [astro-ph.CO].
- [35] Rubén Arjona, Juan García-Bellido, and Savvas Nesseris, "Cosmological constraints on non-adiabatic dark energy perturbations," (2020), arXiv:2006.01762 [astro-ph.CO].
- [36] Michele Moresco, Lucia Pozzetti, Andrea Cimatti, Raul Jimenez, Claudia Maraston, Licia Verde, Daniel Thomas, Annalisa Citro, Rita Tojeiro, and David Wilkinson, "A

6% measurement of the hubble parameter at $z \sim 0.45$: direct evidence of the epoch of cosmic re-acceleration," Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics **2016**, 014–014 (2016).

- [37] Andrew Gelman and Donald B. Rubin, "Inference from Iterative Simulation Using Multiple Sequences," Statistical Science 7, 457 – 472 (1992).
- [38] Carsten van de Bruck and Jurgen Mifsud, "Searching for dark matter - dark energy interactions: going beyond the conformal case," Phys. Rev. D **97**, 023506 (2018), arXiv:1709.04882 [astro-ph.CO].
- [39] Michael Kesden and Marc Kamionkowski, "Tidal Tails Test the Equivalence Principle in the Dark Sector," Phys. Rev. D 74, 083007 (2006), arXiv:astro-ph/0608095.