

This is a repository copy of Does Dynamic Assessment Offer An Alternative Approach to Identifying Reading Disorder? A Systematic Review.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/190933/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

#### Article:

Dixon, C, Oxley, E, Nash, H orcid.org/0000-0002-4357-945X et al. (1 more author) (2023) Does Dynamic Assessment Offer An Alternative Approach to Identifying Reading Disorder? A Systematic Review. Journal of Learning Disabilities, 56 (6). 423 -439. ISSN 0022-2194

https://doi.org/10.1177/00222194221117510

© Hammill Institute on Disabilities 2022. This is an author produced version of an article, published in Journal of Learning Disabilities. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

#### Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

#### Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



## DYNAMIC ASSESSMENT AND READING DISORDER

# Table 1

### Characteristics of Included Studies

							<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>
Study	Reference	Construct	Design	Grade/Age	Country	Ν	<u>Male</u>	<u>Bilingual</u>
1	Aravena et al. (2018)	Decoding	Cross-sectional	7;4 - 11;1	Netherlands	118	54%	0%
2	Cho et al. (2020)	Decoding	Longitudinal	Fall G1 to May G1	USA	104	55%	0%
3	Compton et al. (2010)	Decoding	Longitudinal	Start G1 to Spring G2	USA	355	53%	NR
4	Gellert and Elbro (2018)	Decoding	Longitudinal	End K, Nov G1, end G2	Denmark	158	NR	35%
5	Gellert and Elbro (2017b)	Decoding	Longitudinal	End K to end G1	Denmark	171	NR	36%
7	Petersen and Gillam (2015)	Decoding	Longitudinal	K to end G1	USA	63	46%	100%
6	Petersen et al. (2016)	Decoding	Longitudinal	Start K to end G1	USA	600	NR	39%
8	Petersen et al. (2018)	Decoding	Longitudinal	Start K to end G2 through end G5	USA	378	51%	43%
9	Gellert and Elbro (2017a)	PA	Longitudinal	Nov K, end K, Nov G1, end G1	Denmark	160	48%	37%
12	Krenca et al. (2020)	PA	Longitudinal	Fall G1 to Spring G1	Canada	57	42%	28%
10	Bridges and Catts (2011) Study 1	PA	Longitudinal	Start K to Apr K	USA	90	NR	NR
11	Bridges and Catts (2011) Study 2	PA	Longitudinal	Start K to Apr K	USA	96	NR	NR
13	O'Connor and Jenkins (1999)	PA	Longitudinal	Nov K, Oct G1, May G1	USA	215	NR	NR

## DYNAMIC ASSESSMENT AND READING DISORDER

14	Swanson (1994)	WM	Cross-sectional	10;9	USA	143	55%	0%
15	Swanson (1995) Study 2	WM	Cross-sectional	10;6	USA	506	49%	0%

*Note*: G1 = grade 1; K = kindergarten; NR = not reported; PA = phonological awareness; WM = working memory