

This is a repository copy of *Self-funders Navigating Social Care*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/188800/>

Version: Published Version

Conference or Workshop Item:

Wilberforce, Mark Robson orcid.org/0000-0001-6977-4483, Jasper, Rowan Elaine orcid.org/0000-0003-1328-2812, Baxter, Kate orcid.org/0000-0002-3094-9546 et al. (6 more authors) (2022) *Self-funders Navigating Social Care*. In: *The Curiosity Partnership*, 23 Jun 2022.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

SELF-FUNDERS NAVIGATING SOCIAL CARE

The problem?

Older people and family members paying privately for social care ('self-funders') say they want help when navigating the system. Currently, information on websites and leaflets is all that many people draw upon.

When making care choices, often in distressing circumstances, people may need extra support.

We do not know what sort of help self-funders actually want.


The **PRESENCE** study conducted an experiment of preferences for hypothetical "care navigation services" (like a travel agents, but for social care) with **182 people**, and undertook **follow-up interviews with 37 people** to ask how they approach decisions about care.

Findings

- Generally, most self-funders were willing to pay for help with care navigation.
- Self-funders valued *what* navigation support was on offer, more than *how* it was provided.
- Information about quality, and help to 'think things through', were the most important features of navigation support.

The study found that people's preferences for care navigation fell into one of four categories:

- **48%** wanted comprehensive help and were willing to pay
- **20%** wanted fast access to information
- **18%** wanted affordable help to 'think things through'
- **14%** just wanted information from their local council.



Managing the process was far easier where people had 'navigation capital' such as:



The Team

The PRESENCE study team comprised Mark Wilberforce, Rowan Jasper, Kate Baxter, Kate Gridley and Yvonne Birks (University of York), Sarah Tonks and Rich Morfitt (Hull City Council), Stephen Rogers (expert by experience) and Stuart Wright (University of Manchester). For more information, please contact mark.wilberforce@york.ac.uk.

Acknowledgement

This document presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR) School for Social Care Research. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

Curious?

For information about The Curiosity Partnership, and an exciting new research programme in adult social care, please follow us on Twitter. [@YHCuriosity](https://twitter.com/YHCuriosity).