



Deposited via The University of Sheffield.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/187449/>

Version: Published Version

---

**Article:**

Pernaute, B., Pérez-Montero, S., Sánchez Nieto, J.M. et al. (2022) DRP1 levels determine the apoptotic threshold during embryonic differentiation through a mitophagy-dependent mechanism. *Developmental Cell*, 57 (11). pp. 1316-1330. ISSN: 1878-1551

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2022.04.020>

---

**Reuse**

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here:

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>


**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

# Developmental Cell

## DRP1 levels determine the apoptotic threshold during embryonic differentiation through a mitophagy-dependent mechanism

### Graphical abstract



### Authors

Barbara Pernaute,  
Salvador Pérez-Montero,  
Juan Miguel Sánchez Nieto, ...,  
Guy A. Rutter, Ivana Barbaric,  
Tristan A. Rodríguez

### Correspondence

tristan.rodriquez@imperial.ac.uk

### In brief

Pernaute et al. show that during the early stages of mouse embryo development, there is a decrease in the activity of the mechanisms that repair the mitochondria, which is the powerhouse of the cell. This helps eliminate abnormal cells and prevents them from contributing to the newborn organism.

### Highlights

- Upon differentiation, embryonic stem cells become hypersensitive to apoptosis
- DRP1 promotes mitophagy in pluripotent stem cells
- During the onset of differentiation, DRP1 levels and mitophagy activity decrease
- Decreased mitophagy during the onset of differentiation primes cells for apoptosis

Article

# DRP1 levels determine the apoptotic threshold during embryonic differentiation through a mitophagy-dependent mechanism

Barbara Pernaute,<sup>1,8,9,10</sup> Salvador Pérez-Montero,<sup>1,8</sup> Juan Miguel Sánchez Nieto,<sup>1,8,11</sup> Aida Di Gregorio,<sup>1</sup> Ana Lima,<sup>1</sup> Katerina Lawlor,<sup>1</sup> Sarah Bowling,<sup>1</sup> Gianmaria Liccardi,<sup>2</sup> Alejandra Tomás,<sup>3</sup> Pascal Meier,<sup>2</sup> Hiromi Sesaki,<sup>4</sup> Guy A. Rutter,<sup>3,5,6</sup> Ivana Barbaric,<sup>7</sup> and Tristan A. Rodríguez<sup>1,12,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College London, Hammersmith Hospital Campus, Du Cane Road, London W12 0NN, UK

<sup>2</sup>The Breast Cancer Now Toby Robins Research Centre, The Institute of Cancer Research, London SW7 3RP, UK

<sup>3</sup>Section of Cell Biology and Functional Genomics, Department of Metabolism, Digestion and Reproduction, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College London, Hammersmith Hospital Campus, Du Cane Road, London W12 0NN, UK

<sup>4</sup>Department of Cell Biology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA

<sup>5</sup>CR-CHUM, Université de Montréal, R08-420, 800 Rue St. Denis, Montreal, H2X 0A9 QC, Canada

<sup>6</sup>Lee Kong Chian School of Medicine, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore 639798, Singapore

<sup>7</sup>Department of Biomedical Science, The University of Sheffield, Western Bank, Sheffield S10 2TN, UK

<sup>8</sup>These authors contributed equally

<sup>9</sup>Present address: Centre for Genomic Regulation (CRG), The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Dr. Aiguader 88, Barcelona 08003, Spain

<sup>10</sup>Present address: Universitat Pompeu Fabra (UPF), Barcelona 08003, Spain

<sup>11</sup>Present address: Orchard Therapeutics Limited, 108 Cannon St., London EC4N 6EU, UK

<sup>12</sup>Lead contact

\*Correspondence: [tristan.rodriquez@imperial.ac.uk](mailto:tristan.rodriquez@imperial.ac.uk)

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2022.04.020>

## SUMMARY

The changes that drive differentiation facilitate the emergence of abnormal cells that need to be removed before they contribute to further development or the germline. Consequently, in mice in the lead-up to gastrulation, ~35% of embryonic cells are eliminated. This elimination is caused by hypersensitivity to apoptosis, but how it is regulated is poorly understood. Here, we show that upon exit of naive pluripotency, mouse embryonic stem cells lower their mitochondrial apoptotic threshold, and this increases their sensitivity to cell death. We demonstrate that this enhanced apoptotic response is induced by a decrease in mitochondrial fission due to a reduction in the activity of dynamin-related protein 1 (DRP1). Furthermore, we show that in naive pluripotent cells, DRP1 prevents apoptosis by promoting mitophagy. In contrast, during differentiation, reduced mitophagy levels facilitate apoptosis. Together, these results indicate that during early mammalian development, DRP1 regulation of mitophagy determines the apoptotic response.

## INTRODUCTION

The appropriate regulation of apoptosis during embryonic development is essential for maintaining the right balance between the elimination of suboptimal cells and the availability of sufficient cell numbers to sustain embryo growth (Bowling et al., 2019). The maintenance of this balance is most acutely evident in the lead-up to gastrulation, when the mouse epiblast not only undergoes the process of germ layer specification but also displays a significant expansion in cell numbers, from 150 at E5.5 to 15,000 cells at E7.5 (Snow, 1977). This rapid proliferation of cells is concomitant with the substantial cellular changes that accompany gastrulation, most notably extinction of the pluripotency network and activation of differentiation genes in a lineage-specific manner. Any abnormal or mis-specified cell, which cannot

perform these changes appropriately, needs to be removed from the embryo to prevent them from contributing to further development or the germline, which is also specified around E6.5 (Leitch et al., 2013). Hence, a wave of cell death takes place in the mouse embryo at E6.5, most likely reflecting the elimination of these abnormal cells (Manova et al., 1998; Spruce et al., 2010).

One way by which the embryo facilitates the elimination of aberrant cells is through lowering the apoptotic threshold as it proceeds from a pre-implantation to an early post-implantation stage of development. Indeed, although low doses of ionizing radiation do not induce apoptosis in mouse pre-implantation embryos, these same low doses lead to a strong apoptotic response in the post-implantation epiblast (Heyer et al., 2000). Similarly, although cells with a range of genetic defects,

including chromosome fragmentation or chromosome mis-segregation, survive pre-implantation development, they are efficiently eliminated by apoptosis during early post-implantation development (Bolton et al., 2016; Brown and Baltimore, 2000; Dobles et al., 2000; Kalitsis et al., 2000). Subsequently, it has been proposed that the post-implantation epiblast is primed for death and therefore is hyper-responsive to apoptotic signals (Heyer et al., 2000; Pernaute et al., 2014).

The mitochondrial apoptotic pathway is tightly regulated by a balance between pro- and anti-apoptotic factors belonging to the BCL-2 family. The binding of the anti-apoptotic proteins (e.g., BCL-2, BCL-XL, A1, or MCL-1) to their pro-apoptotic BCL-2 family counterparts (e.g., BIM, BID, PUMA, NOXA, or BAD) prevents the induction of apoptosis. When this balance is lost, BIM or BID bind to the apoptotic effector molecules BAX and BAK, which induce mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilization (MOMP) and caspase activation (Tait and Green, 2013). We have previously shown that in the post-implantation epiblast, this balance is at least in part maintained by microRNAs (miRNAs) of the miR-20, miR-92, and miR-302 families. These miRNAs target *Bim* (*Bcl2l11*) and maintain it in a state that is poised for activation (Pernaute et al., 2014). However, it is worth noting that mutation of *Bim* does not prevent the endogenous wave of cell death occurring during early mouse development, suggesting that other factors must be contributing to making the epiblast hypersensitive to death signals (Pernaute et al., 2014).

The balance between mitochondrial fusion and fission, termed mitochondrial dynamics, has emerged over the last few years as an important regulator of the apoptotic response. Mitochondrial dynamics are facilitated by proteins such as mitofusin 1 (MFN1), mitofusin 2 (MFN2), and mitochondrial dynamin like GTPase (OPA1), which promote fusion, and dynamin-related protein 1 (DRP1) or mitochondrial fission factor (MFF), which promote fission (Chen et al., 2003; Smirnova et al., 2001; Tilokani et al., 2018). During cell death, remodeling of the cristae network regulated by OPA1 (Frezza et al., 2006) and DRP1 (Estaquier and Arnoult, 2007; Otera et al., 2016) is required for release of cytochrome *c* and subsequent apoptosis. DRP1-induced mitochondrial fragmentation is also coupled to the later stages of apoptosis (Breckenridge et al., 2003; Frank et al., 2001; Karbowski et al., 2002; Montessuit et al., 2010). Finally, MFN1-induced fusion has been shown to promote a mitochondrial size that is permissive for BAX function (Renault et al., 2015). Therefore, the regulators of mitochondrial dynamics play a variety of different roles in the apoptotic process. In the developing embryo, mitochondrial shape undergoes substantial changes around the time of implantation (Lima et al., 2018). During pre-implantation stages, mitochondria are round and have a low cristae density (Stern et al., 1971), but later in development, mitochondria elongate, and cristae density increases (Lima et al., 2018). These observations raise the possibility that changes in mitochondrial dynamics may play roles in regulating the changes in apoptotic threshold that occur during early development.

Here, we have identified that a change in mitochondrial dynamics increases the sensitivity to cell death signals in cells of the post-implantation epiblast. For this, we combined experiments in the embryo with studies using embryonic stem cells (ESCs), which capture the naive pluripotent state found in the pre-implantation epiblast, and epiblast stem cells (EpiSCs),

which resemble the post-implantation epiblast (Nichols and Smith, 2009). We find that although post-implantation epiblast cells show high mitochondrial apoptotic priming, their increased sensitivity to cell death is not due to differential expression of members of the BCL-2 pro- and anti-apoptotic families. Instead, we observed that during the onset of differentiation, decreased mitochondrial fission facilitates apoptosis. We demonstrate that mechanistically, this regulation of apoptosis is mediated by increased DRP1 activity in naive pluripotent cells, which promotes mitophagy. Accordingly, inhibition of mitophagy in naive pluripotent cells facilitates the response to cell death stimuli. Together, these results demonstrate a key role for DRP1 in determining the apoptotic response of pluripotent cells.


## RESULTS

### Pluripotent cells become hypersensitive to cell death upon exit of naive pluripotency

We set out first to characterize the apoptotic sensitivity of the different pluripotent cell types found during early mouse development. Previous studies have shown that although cells from the pre-implantation embryo are relatively resistant to low doses of ionizing radiation, the early post-implantation cells respond to the same low doses of ionizing radiation by undergoing apoptosis (Heyer et al., 2000). In line with these findings, we observed no overt apoptosis in E3.5 mouse embryos treated with the DNA damage-inducing drug etoposide for 1.5 h, but we detected a strong apoptotic response when the etoposide treatment was applied to E6.5 embryos (Figures S1A and S1B).

We next tested whether the observed differential sensitivity to apoptosis is also apparent in ESCs and EpiSCs, the two *in vitro* counterparts of the pre- and post-implantation epiblast (Nichols and Smith, 2009). We have previously shown that loss of miRNAs leads to an upregulation of BIM expression and a consequent induction of apoptosis in EpiSCs (Pernaute et al., 2014). To test if miRNAs also regulate apoptosis in ESCs, we induced *Dicer* deletion in *Dicer*<sup>flx/flx</sup> ESCs by tamoxifen administration, as we had previously done for EpiSCs (Pernaute et al., 2014). This led to miRNA depletion (Figure S1C) and an upregulation of BIM expression similar to that found in EpiSCs (Figure 1A). However, in contrast to what was seen in EpiSCs, the increase in BIM expression did not cause apoptosis in ESCs (Figures 1B, 1C, and S1D). This suggests that mouse ESCs are more resistant to apoptosis than EpiSCs.

Importantly, we found that the differential apoptotic response of ESCs and EpiSCs was also evident upon exposing these cells to different sources of stress. Annexin V binding to phosphatidylserine residues that are exposed on the cell surface during apoptosis can be used to detect and quantify apoptotic cells. Induction of oxidative stress with 1  $\mu$ M sodium arsenate for 16 h produced a 3-fold increase in annexin V positive ESCs, but a 7-fold increase in annexin V positive EpiSCs (Figure 1D). Similarly, induction of endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress with increasing doses of thapsigargin for 16 h led to a small change in the basal levels of cleaved caspase-3 in ESCs, whereas the same treatment induced a robust apoptotic response in EpiSCs (Figure 1E). Overall, these results indicate that EpiSCs exhibit an increased sensitivity to apoptosis when compared



**Figure 1. The apoptotic threshold decreases upon pluripotent stem cell differentiation**

(A) Increase in BIM expression upon *Dicer* deletion in EpiSCs (day 6 post-deletion) and ESCs (day 7 post-deletion). Representative western blot and western blot quantification relative to  $\alpha$ -TUBULIN (TUB).

(B) Change in % cells with mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilization (MOMP) upon *Dicer* deletion in EpiSCs (day 5 post-deletion) and ESCs (day 6 post-deletion) relative to undeleted cells as measured by DiOC6 staining.

(C) Cleaved caspase-3 (c-CASP3) immunostaining in ESCs and EpiSCs upon *Dicer* deletion at days 5 and 6, respectively. Scale bar, 100  $\mu$ m.

(D) Change in % annexin V positive cells after 16 h treatment with 1  $\mu$ M sodium arsenate (NaAsO<sub>2</sub>) relative to untreated cells.

(E) Cleaved caspase-3 levels in ESCs and EpiSCs upon treatment for 16 h with increasing concentrations of thapsigargin (Tg). Representative western blot and graph showing average western blot quantification relative to  $\alpha$ -tubulin. An average of 3 (A and E) or 4 (B and D) experiments  $\pm$  SEM is shown. Student's t test (A, B, and D) or two-way ANOVA with Sidak correction (E) \* $p < 0.05$ , \*\* $p < 0.01$ , \*\*\* $p < 0.001$ . ns, not significant; MW, molecular weight.


See also Figure S1.

with ESCs, thus recapitulating the features observed in their *in vivo* counterparts.

### The mitochondrial apoptotic pathway is primed for cell death in primed pluripotent stem cells

We next addressed if the differences in apoptotic response between naive and primed pluripotent cells were reflected at the level of the mitochondrial apoptotic pathway. This pathway is regulated by the relative expression of pro-apoptotic and anti-

apoptotic BCL-2 family members (Tait and Green, 2013). This balance can be artificially changed, for example, by inhibiting BCL-2/XL activity with BH3 mimetics such as ABT-737. We observed that 24 h treatment of EpiSCs with ABT-737 led to a strong increase in the percentage of cells displaying (1) MOMP (Figure S1E), (2) annexin V positivity (Figure 2A), and (3) cleaved caspase-3 expression (Figure 2B). In contrast, treatment with ABT-737 failed to induce apoptosis in ESCs, even at the highest concentration used (Figures 2A, 2B, and S1E). These findings are



**Figure 2. Enhanced activation of the mitochondrial apoptotic pathway in primed pluripotent cells**

(A) Apoptosis quantified as % annexin V positive ESCs and EpiSCs treated for 24 h with increasing concentrations of ABT-737. Fold change versus DMSO-treated cells is shown.

(B) Cleaved caspase-3 (c-CASP3) levels relative to HEXOKINASE 2 (HK2) in ESCs and EpiSCs treated for 24 h with 5  $\mu$ M ABT-737. Cytochrome c (CYT-C) levels in the cytosolic and membrane fractions in ESCs and EpiSCs treated with 5  $\mu$ M ABT-737 for 24 h relative to ERK1/2 and ATP-b.

(C) Cleaved caspase-3 immunostaining in E3.5 and E6.5 embryos treated with DMSO (E3.5, n = 3; E6.5, n = 5) or 2  $\mu$ M ABT-737 (E3.5, n = 3; E6.5, n = 5) for 1.5 h. Scale bars: 50  $\mu$ m (E3.5) and 100  $\mu$ m (E6.5).

(D) Fold change in % annexin V positive EpiSCs transfected with control siRNA or Bim siRNA and treated with DMSO or 5  $\mu$ M ABT-737 for 24 h.

(E) % membrane depolarization in ESCs and EpiSCs treated with either the BIM (10  $\mu$ M), BID (10  $\mu$ M), or control (10  $\mu$ M) peptides for the indicated amounts of time. An average of 3 (A and E) or 4 (B) experiments  $\pm$  SEM (D) or  $\pm$  SD (E) is shown. (D) Student's t test or (A) two-way ANOVA with Sidák correction. \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01, or \*\*\*\*p < 0.00001. MW, molecular weight.

See also Figure S1.


strengthened by the observation that ABT-737 leads to cytochrome c release from the mitochondria in EpiSCs, but not ESCs (Figure 2B). To test the physiological significance of these observations, we treated pre- and post-implantation mouse embryos with 2  $\mu$ M ABT-737 for 1.5 h. Similar to what we observed *in vitro*, we found that this treatment induced apoptosis in epiblast and the overlying visceral endoderm cells of E6.5 embryos, but not in inner cell mass cells of E3.5 embryos (Figures 2C and S1F).

Next, we determined whether the enhanced sensitivity of EpiSCs to BCL2/BCLXL inhibition was dependent on BIM. For this, we transfected previously tested siRNAs targeting Bim (Pernaute et al., 2014) into EpiSCs and treated them with ABT-737. We observed that this was sufficient to suppress the apoptotic response induced by BCL2/BCL-XL inhibition (Figure 2D), further highlighting the importance of BIM for the apoptotic response of EpiSCs. Given that the differences in apoptotic response between ESCs and EpiSCs appear to be mediated by the mitochondrial apoptotic pathway, we measured the level of mitochondrial apoptotic priming of these cells by analyzing the kinetics of mitochondrial membrane depolarization induced by BIM and BID BH3 peptides

apoptotic threshold than naive cells due to enhanced sensitivity of the mitochondrial pathway.

### The relative expression of pro- and anti-apoptotic BCL2 family members does not explain the different apoptotic thresholds of ESCs and EpiSCs

To address if differences in the relative expression of pro- and anti-apoptotic BCL2 family members underpin the different apoptotic response of ESCs and EpiSCs, we compared the expression of these proteins in each cell type. We observed no significant difference in the expression of the pro-apoptotic activator proteins PUMA, BIM, BID, or BAD in either whole cell or mitochondrial extracts between these two cell types (Figure 3A). Similarly, we did not observe any difference in the expression of the pro-apoptotic effector proteins BAX or BAK in mitochondrial extracts, although the expression of BAX was lower in whole cell extracts from EpiSCs than that in those from ESCs (Figure 3B). In contrast, when anti-apoptotic proteins were analyzed, we found little difference in the expression of BCL-XL, MCL1, or A1 but significantly higher expression of BCL-2 in EpiSCs, in both whole cell and mitochondrial extracts (Figure 3C). Although a higher expression of anti-apoptotic protein expression may seem counterintuitive,




given the enhanced sensitivity to death of EpiSCs, this elevated BCL-2 expression is likely part of the adaptation of these cells to their low apoptotic threshold (Korsmeyer, 1992).

To determine if the balance of expression of pro- and anti-apoptotic factors changes differently in ESCs and EpiSCs upon induction of apoptosis, we analyzed the expression of key BCL-2 family members after treating these cells with 5  $\mu$ M ABT-737 for 24 h. In these experiments, we analyzed the expression of the anti-apoptotic BCL-2 family members as well as BIM expression, as BIM is required for the apoptotic response to ABT-737 (Figure 2D). However, we observed no significant change in the levels of expression of BCL-2, BCL-XL, A1, MCL-1, or BIM between ABT-737-treated samples and controls in either whole cell or mitochondrial extracts of ESCs or EpiSCs (Figures S1G and S1H). This suggests that the induction of apoptosis does not significantly shift the balance of pro- and anti-apoptotic BCL-2 family expressions. Together, these results indicate that the relative expression of BCL-2 family proteins is not the primary cause of the higher sensitivity to apoptosis of primed pluripotent cells.

**High levels of mitochondrial fission are observed in naive pluripotent cells when compared with primed cells**  
Mitochondrial dynamics have been suggested as a mechanism that contributes to the regulation of the mitochondrial apoptotic

threshold (Prudent and McBride, 2017). The mitochondria of ESCs and pre-implantation embryos have been shown to be rounded (Stern et al., 1971) and to elongate upon differentiation (Shepard et al., 2000; Zhou et al., 2012). Analysis of mitochondrial morphology in ESCs revealed rounded doughnut-shaped mitochondria in agreement with previous studies (Zhou et al., 2012). In contrast, the mitochondria of EpiSCs were more elongated (Figure 4A). Importantly, these differences were also seen *in vivo*, with E3.5 inner cell mass cells and E4.5 epiblast cells having rounded mitochondria and the mitochondria of E6.5 epiblast cells being elongated and forming networks (Figures 4B and 4C).

The differences in mitochondrial shape between naive and primed pluripotent cells suggest that these cell types have different mitochondrial dynamics. We, therefore, studied the expression of fusion and fission regulators in ESCs and EpiSCs. Although we observed no difference in the expression of the fusion regulators MFN1, MFN2, or OPA1 between these cell types, we noticed that ESCs had significantly higher levels of total DRP1 and p-DRP1 (S616), a phosphorylation form that induces fission (Knott et al., 2008; Figures 4D and 4E). Similarly, in E4.5 embryos, p-DRP1 was robustly expressed in the epiblast cells, but by E5.5, this expression was restricted to dividing cells (Figure S2A), where it is required to segregate the mitochondria



**Figure 4. Mitochondria fuse to form complex networks upon differentiation**

(A) ATP-b immunostaining showing mitochondrial morphology in ESCs and EpiSCs. Scale bars, 10  $\mu$ m.

(B) ATP-b immunostaining showing mitochondrial morphology in E3.5, E4.5, and E6.5 mouse embryos. 2 $\times$  magnification over ICM/Epiblast area. Scale bars, 5  $\mu$ m.

(C) Quantification of the mitochondria circularity and perimeter of E3.5, E4.5, and E6.5 embryos.

(D) Basal levels of mitochondrial fusion and fission proteins in ESC and EpiSCs.


(E) Quantification of (D). Protein levels are normalized against  $\alpha$ -TUBULIN (TUB). The average from 3 independent experiments  $\pm$  SEM is shown. Two-way ANOVA with Šidák correction. \*\* $p < 0.01$ , \*\*\* $p < 0.001$ , or \*\*\*\* $p < 0.00001$ . MW, molecular weight.

See also [Figure S2](#).

during mitosis (Tilokani et al., 2018). This indicates that naive pluripotent cells have higher fission activity. To determine if the higher p-DRP1 expression is responsible for the rounded mitochondrial shape of ESCs, we knocked out *Drp1* by CRISPR-Cas9. Although *Drp1*<sup>-/-</sup> ESCs remained pluripotent, their mitochondria became elongated and hyperfused (Figures 5A–5C and S3A–S3C). These results indicate that DRP1-induced mitochondrial fission is required for the fragmented mitochondrial shape observed in ESCs.

### DRP1 plays a key role in determining the apoptotic threshold of naive and primed pluripotent cells

To evaluate whether DRP1 levels determine the apoptotic threshold of pluripotent cells, we first analyzed the effects of loss of *Drp1*. In the first instance, we compared the apoptotic response of wild-type and *Drp1*<sup>-/-</sup> ESCs with ER stress or oxidative stress. We observed that a significantly higher proportion of *Drp1*<sup>-/-</sup> cells treated with thapsigargin or sodium arsenite shows MOMP (Figures 5D and 5E). We next analyzed the kinetics



**Figure 5. *Drp1* deletion facilitates early apoptotic events**


(A) ATP-b and NANOG immunostaining showing mitochondrial morphology in wild-type and *Drp1*<sup>-/-</sup> ESCs. Scale bars, 5  $\mu$ m.

(B) Quantitative RT-PCR showing gene expression levels of naive and primed pluripotency markers in wild-type and *Drp1*<sup>-/-</sup> ESCs. *Drp1*<sup>-/-</sup> 1, 2, and 3 represent three independent mutant lines. Gene expression normalized against *Gapdh*.

(C) Western blot analysis for OCT4, SOX2, and NANOG expression wild-type and *Drp1*<sup>-/-</sup> ESCs in pluripotency culture conditions and after they are induced to differentiate for 3 days.

(D) % of cells with MOMP detected by TMRM staining in wild-type and *Drp1*<sup>-/-</sup> ESCs untreated or treated with 1  $\mu$ M sodium arsenate (NaAsO<sub>2</sub>) for 16 h or (E) 1  $\mu$ M thapsigargin (Tg) for 16 h (F) % cells with MOMP in ESCs and EpiSCs treated with either BIM (0.5  $\mu$ M), BID (2.5  $\mu$ M), or control (1  $\mu$ M) peptides for the indicated amounts of time. Average of 3 (B and C), 4 (D), or 7 (E) independent experiments  $\pm$  SEM (C and D) or  $\pm$  SD (E) is shown. Two-way ANOVA with Sidak correction \**p* < 0.05, \*\**p* < 0.01, or \*\*\*\**p* < 0.00001. Where no significance is indicated, it is because no statistically significant difference was observed. MW, molecular weight.

See also [Figure S3](#).



**Figure 6. DRP1 overexpression inhibits the apoptotic response during the onset of pluripotent stem cell differentiation**

(A) ATP-b immunostaining showing mitochondrial morphology in wild-type and *Drp1<sup>OE</sup>* cells during differentiation. Scale bar, 10  $\mu$ m.  
 (B) Circularity measurement of mitochondrial particles from ATP-b immunostained images of wild-type and *Drp1<sup>OE</sup>* cells at day 3 of differentiation.  
 (C) Quantitative RT-PCR showing gene expression levels of naive and primed pluripotency markers in wild-type and *Drp1<sup>OE</sup>* ESCs cultured in pluripotency (Plurip.) or differentiation (Diff.) conditions. Gene expression normalized against *Gapdh*.  
 (D) Fold change in basal cleaved caspase-3 levels in wild-type and *Drp1<sup>OE</sup>* cells at day 3 of differentiation, quantified from [Figures S4D, S4F, and S4G](#).  
 (E) Fold change in cleaved caspase-3 levels in wild-type and *Drp1<sup>OE</sup>* cells untreated or treated with 1  $\mu$ M thapsigargin (Tg) for 5 h. Quantified from [Figure S4D](#).  
 (F) Fold change in cleaved caspase-3 levels in wild-type and *Drp1<sup>OE</sup>* cells untreated or treated with 1  $\mu$ M sodium arsenate (NaAsO<sub>2</sub>) for 5 h. Quantified from [Figure S4F](#).

(legend continued on next page)

of MOMP in response to BH3 peptides and observed that both BIM and BID BH3 peptides were more efficient at inducing mitochondrial outer membrane depolarization in *Drp1*<sup>-/-</sup> ESCs than wild-type cells (Figure 5F). This indicates that loss of *Drp1* is sufficient to lower the apoptotic threshold of ESCs. Importantly, this change in sensitivity to cell death induction was unlikely to be a secondary consequence of a disruption of the metabolism of mutant cells, as *Drp1*<sup>-/-</sup> ESCs did not show decreased glycolysis or oxidative phosphorylation rates when compared with wild-type cells (Figures S3D–S3G).

DRP1 has been shown to be involved in the remodeling of the mitochondrial cristae and the subsequent release of cytochrome *c* into the cytoplasm (Estaquier and Arnoult, 2007; Otera et al., 2016), an event downstream of MOMP. We, therefore, analyzed if cytochrome *c* release is compromised in *Drp1*<sup>-/-</sup> ESCs. We observed that *Drp1*<sup>-/-</sup> ESCs displayed lower levels of cytoplasmic cytochrome *c* upon thapsigargin treatment (Figure S3H). This suggests that DRP1 is likely to play at least two roles in the apoptotic response in pluripotent cells. First, it reduces the effect of the pro-apoptotic roles of BCL-2 family members, and second, it promotes cytochrome *c* release by helping the remodeling of the mitochondrial cristae.

Given the roles of DRP1 in determining the apoptotic response of ESCs, we next wanted to analyze its importance during ESCs differentiation. During differentiation, p-DRP1 levels decrease (Figures 4D, 4E, and S2), and for this reason, we analyzed the effects of *Drp1* overexpression (*Drp1*<sup>OE</sup>) in differentiating ESCs. We have previously shown that culturing ESCs for 3 days in N2B27 leads to a post-implantation epiblast-like state (Sancho et al., 2013). We, therefore, cultured *Drp1*<sup>OE</sup> cells for 3 days in N2B27 and found that this led to sustained DRP1 expression and an increase in p-DRP1 levels (Figures S4A–S4D). Importantly, this increase in p-DRP1 did not prevent exit of naive pluripotency but induced mitochondria to adopt a more rounded morphology (Figures 6A–6C, S4D, and S4E). We, therefore, tested the effect on the apoptotic response of a sustained increase in p-DRP1 during the onset of ESC differentiation. We found that after 3 days in differentiation conditions, *Drp1*<sup>OE</sup> cells displayed not only lower basal cleaved caspase-3 levels (Figures 6D and 4SD) but also significantly reduced levels of cleaved caspase-3 in response to treatment with thapsigargin, sodium arsenate, or ABT-737 (Figures 6E–6G, S4D, S4F, and S4G). Together with our findings in *Drp1*<sup>-/-</sup> ESCs, these results suggest that the decrease in DRP1 and p-DRP1 levels observed during exit of pluripotency plays an important role in sensitizing cells to apoptosis.

### DRP1 regulation of mitophagy levels determines the apoptotic response of naive pluripotent stem cells


Mitochondrial fission is required for efficient mitophagy and inhibition of *Drp1* has been shown to impair the execution of mitophagy (Cho et al., 2019; Gomes et al., 2011; Rambold et al., 2011). Given that a slow clearance of defective mitochondria could

cause an enhanced apoptotic response, we first analyzed if DRP1 regulates mitophagy levels in response to apoptotic stimuli in naive pluripotent cells. The recruitment of the E3 ubiquitin ligase PARKIN to the mitochondria is a key step in mitophagy (Narendra et al., 2008). We analyzed the localization of a GFP-PARKIN fusion protein (Trempe et al., 2013) in wild-type and *Drp1*<sup>-/-</sup> ESCs in normal conditions and after treatment with CCCP, which induces mitochondrial membrane depolarization and activates mitophagy, or treatment with thapsigargin. We observed that *Drp1* mutant ESCs displayed significantly reduced mitochondrial GFP-PARKIN levels compared with wild-type cells (Figure S5). A later step in mitophagy is the colocalization of mitochondria and lysosomes, as this reflects the targeting of mitochondria for degradation. When this colocalization was measured using lysotracker together with TOMM20 or ATPb immunostaining, we observed that there was a significant increase in the localization of the mitochondria to the lysosome after thapsigargin treatment in wild-type ESCs but not in *Drp1* mutant cells (Figures 7A and 7B). Together, these results suggest that DRP1 is regulating mitophagy in naive pluripotent cells.

We next analyzed if the lower levels of mitophagy observed in *Drp1*<sup>-/-</sup> ESCs and in wild-type ESCs induced to differentiate led to an accumulation of damaged mitochondria. It has been previously shown that in the absence of DRP1, mitophagy is decreased, leading to an accumulation of damaged and ubiquitinated proteins as well as to an accumulation of P62 (Kageyama et al., 2012, 2014; Yamada et al., 2016, 2018a, 2018b). In agreement with these observations, we observed that *Drp1*-mutant ESCs showed accumulation of P62 at the mitochondria (Figures S6A and S6B). We next analyzed how this affected mitochondrial health by studying mitochondrial membrane potential with TMRM. Again, we found that *Drp1*<sup>-/-</sup> ESCs had lower mitochondrial membrane potential than control cells (Figures S6C and S6D). These results suggest that the lower mitophagy of *Drp1* mutant cells leads to an increase in damaged mitochondria.

Given that DRP1 levels decrease during the onset of differentiation, we also analyzed if mitophagy levels also change in the transition from naive to primed pluripotency. We first treated ESCs and cells cultured for 3 days in N2B27 with CCCP and measured the levels of the mitochondrial DNA-encoded protein mt-CO1 to establish the rate of mitochondrial clearance. We found that although both low and high doses of CCCP reduced mt-CO1 levels in ESCs, only the highest concentration had this effect in cells cultured in N2B27 (Figures S6E and S6F). To further test if mitophagy levels are different between naive and primed pluripotent cells, we analyzed GFP-PARKIN localization in ESCs and in cells cultured in N2B27 for 3 days. We observed that both in the basal condition as well as when cells were treated with CCCP or thapsigargin, the mitochondrial localization of GFP-PARKIN was higher in cells cultured in ESC conditions than in cells induced to differentiate in N2B27 (Figure S5). Similarly, we also observed that thapsigargin treatment led to higher

(G) Fold change in cleaved caspase-3 levels in wild-type and *Drp1*<sup>OE</sup> cells untreated or treated with 1  $\mu$ M ABT-737 for 5 h at day 3 of differentiation. Quantified from Figure S4G. Protein levels in (D)–(G) are against  $\alpha$ -tubulin, and graphs show protein expression levels relative to wild-type cells normalized. Average of 3 (C, E, and F) or 5 (D) independent experiments  $\pm$  SEM is shown. Student's *t* test (D) or two-way ANOVA with Sidák correction (E–G) \*\*\*\* *p* < 0.0001. The statistical comparisons are made to untreated cells. *Drp1*<sup>OE</sup> 1 and 2 represent two independent *Drp1*<sup>OE</sup> lines. See also Figure S4.



**Figure 7. Mitophagy regulates the apoptotic response of pluripotent stem cells**

(A) Immunostaining showing mitochondrial (TOMM20 and ATPb) and lysosomal (LysoTracker) colocalization in wild-type (WT) and *Drp1* mutant cells cultured in pluripotency conditions and wild-type cells cultured in differentiation conditions and then left untreated or treated with 1  $\mu$ M thapsigargin for 5 h. Scale bars, 10  $\mu$ m.

(B) Quantification of colocalization area of LysoTracker and TOMM20 from whole images taken from (A).

(C) Immunostaining showing P62 expression in wild-type and *Drp1* mutant embryos at E4.5. Epi denotes epiblast localization. Scale bar, 10  $\mu$ m.

(D) TUNEL staining of wild-type and *Drp1* mutant embryos at E5.5. Scale bar, 10  $\mu$ m.

(E) Quantification of P62 expression seen in (C) n8 +/+; 8 +/-; and 7 -/- embryos.

(F) Quantification of TUNEL positive foci over the embryonic region area seen in (D) n = 4 +/+; 7 +/-; and 3 -/- embryos.

(legend continued on next page)

levels of mitochondria localization to the lysosomes in naive pluripotency culture conditions than during differentiation (Figures 7A, 7B, and S6G). This indicates that as occurs with DRP1 activity, mitophagy also decreases upon differentiation. To analyze the consequences of this lower mitophagy, we studied the mitochondrial localization of P62 and the mitochondrial membrane potential of cells cultured in pluripotency conditions compared with those induced to differentiate. We found that differentiating cells showed lower mitochondrial membrane potential (Figures S6C and S6D), lower mitophagy levels (Figures S6E and S6F), and an accumulation of P62 in the mitochondria (Figures S6A and S6B). These observations support that upon exit of naive pluripotency, there is a less efficient clear up of defective mitochondria.

We have shown that compared with *Drp1*<sup>-/-</sup> ESCs or differentiated cells, wild-type ESCs show lower levels of mitochondrial P62, and there is a lower proportion of cells with reduced mitochondrial membrane potential. In addition, higher mitochondria/lysosome and GFP-PARKIN/mitochondria colocalization is seen in wild-type ESCs after thapsigargin treatment, when compared with *Drp1* mutant cells or differentiated cells. Together, these results suggest that high expression of DRP1 in ESCs allows for a more efficient clearing of dysfunctional mitochondria in these cells.

To further explore the role of DRP1 in regulating mitophagy and the cell death response of pluripotent cells, we analyzed *Drp1* mutant embryos (Wakabayashi et al., 2009). We found that at E4.5, *Drp1*<sup>-/-</sup> embryos exhibited an accumulation in P62 protein levels (Figures 7C and 7E), pointing to impaired clearing of damaged proteins in the absence of DRP1. Furthermore, when we examined cell death, we found that by E5.5, *Drp1*<sup>-/-</sup> embryos displayed significantly higher apoptosis levels (Figures 7D and 7F). These results suggest that DRP1 is required to clear damaged mitochondrial proteins in naive pluripotent cells and that the failure to do so sensitizes embryonic cells to cell death.

Finally, to study the relationship between mitophagy and the apoptotic response, we analyzed how inhibition of mitophagy affects the apoptotic response of pluripotent cells. First, ESCs cultured in conditions that promote naive pluripotency or cultured for 3 days in N2B27 were left untreated or treated with thapsigargin. Bafilomycin was used to block the autophagic clearance of mitochondria in these cells. We observed that although bafilomycin increased the apoptotic response in naive pluripotency conditions, it had no effect on those cells cultured in N2B27 (Figures 7G and S7A). We also tested the effect of mutating *Atg5*, a critical component of the autophagy pathway (Qu et al., 2007). For this, we compared the apoptotic response of *Atg5*<sup>-/-</sup> ESCs cultured in naive pluripotency conditions with their response when cultured for 3 days in N2B27. We found that although *Atg5*<sup>-/-</sup> cells displayed an enhanced apoptotic response in ESC media, they showed lower apoptotic levels

than control cells in N2B27 (Figures S7B and S7C). Together, these results indicate that the autophagic clearance of defective mitochondria is promoting cell survival in naive pluripotent cells. In contrast to this, the observation that inhibiting autophagy in cells induced to differentiate has little effect on their apoptotic response suggests that the low mitophagy levels found in primed pluripotent cells likely accounts for the enhanced response to cell death stimuli of these cells.

## DISCUSSION

The removal of aberrant cells during development is important to prevent them from contributing to further development and the germline. To facilitate this elimination, cells become hypersensitive to apoptosis during the onset of differentiation (Heyer et al., 2000; Laurent and Blasi, 2015; Liu et al., 2013; Pernaute et al., 2014). Here, we show that the regulator of mitochondrial fission DRP1 regulates apoptotic priming in these cells via influencing mitochondrial dynamics. We show that upon exit of naive pluripotency, mitochondria form complex networks due to a decrease in mitochondrial fission induced by a loss of DRP1 phosphorylation. We also demonstrate that this loss of DRP1 activity changes the apoptotic threshold, as deletion of *Drp1* facilitates the early stages of apoptosis, and its overexpression protects against apoptosis. Together, these findings highlight the pivotal role that DRP1 plays in modulating the response to cell death during differentiation.

Our work has also uncovered one mechanism by which DRP1 affects the apoptotic response of pluripotent cells. We find not only that DRP1 is required for mitophagy in these cells but also that concomitant with the decrease in DRP1 activity that occurs during the onset differentiation, there is a paralleled decrease in mitophagy levels. This conclusion is supported by a number of lines of evidence. For example, we observe low levels of PARKIN recruitment to the mitochondria and low levels of mitochondria/lysosome colocalization in cells cultured in N2B27 and in *Drp1* mutant cells treated with thapsigargin compared with cells cultured in ESC media. We also find that during differentiation or after *Drp1* mutation, there is an increase in the proportion of cells showing P62 accumulation in the mitochondria and low mitochondrial membrane potential. This indicates that upon exit of naive pluripotency, there is a less efficient clearance of defective mitochondria due to the decrease in DRP1 activity. Our finding that DRP1 is promoting mitophagy in pluripotent cells is consistent with the observations made in several other tissues (Gomes et al., 2011; Kageyama et al., 2014; Rambold et al., 2011; Tanaka et al., 2010; Twig et al., 2008). In contrast to this, other reports find that *Drp1* is either not required for mitophagy (Mendl et al., 2011; Song et al., 2015; Yamashita et al., 2016) or that *Drp1* mutant cells display constitutive recruitment of PARKIN to the mitochondria and increased mitophagy (Burman et al., 2017). Thus, it is likely that the role of DRP1 in mitophagy is

(G) Fold change in basal cleaved caspase-3 levels in wild-type cells cultured in pluripotency or differentiation conditions and then left untreated or treated with 1  $\mu$ M thapsigargin (Tg) +/- 10 nM bafilomycin (Baf.) for 5 h. This is a quantification of Figure S7C. Protein levels are normalized against  $\alpha$ -TUBULIN, and the graph shows protein expression levels relative to wild-type cells.

(A–C and G) An average of 3 independent experiments  $\pm$  SEM is shown. Two-way ANOVA with Šidák correction. For (E) and (F), a Tukey's correction was used. \* $p < 0.05$ , \*\* $p < 0.01$ , \*\*\* $p < 0.001$ , or \*\*\*\* $p < 0.0001$ . The statistical comparisons are made to untreated cells.

See also Figures S5, S6, and S7.

cell type/context dependent and that additional factors determine if it promotes or inhibits mitophagy.

Here, we provide evidence for a role of DRP1 in promoting mitophagy that fits with the notion that DRP1-dependent fission serves as a mitochondrial quality surveillance system by providing selective pressure for eliminating “bad sectors” of the mitochondrial network (Cho et al., 2019; Twig et al., 2008). Furthermore, our data indicate that the levels of mitophagy that are set by DRP1 activity determine the apoptotic response of pluripotent cells, therefore providing a physiological consequence for this surveillance system. We show that upon exit from naive pluripotency, the decrease in mitophagy levels caused by the lowering of DRP1 activity contribute to sensitizing the cells to apoptosis, allowing the elimination of cells that may have accumulated defects during the onset of differentiation.

Regarding the mechanism by which DRP1 could promote PARKIN recruitment to the mitochondria, we speculate that DRP1 may regulate mitophagy at multiple points. By ensuring the fission of damaged parts of the mitochondria, it is possible that DRP1 also has roles upstream of this step. DRP1 has been shown to interact with ZIP1, a Zn<sup>2+</sup> transporter, and this interaction has been demonstrated to be important for PARKIN recruitment to the mitochondria (Cho et al., 2019). As increased mitochondrial Zn<sup>2+</sup> has also been shown to promote PINK1/PARKIN mitophagy (Bian et al., 2018), we propose that the interaction of DRP1 and ZIP1 promotes the influx of Zn<sup>2+</sup> into the mitochondria, and this in turn promotes PINK1/PARKIN recruitment (Cho et al., 2019).

Our observations do not preclude other roles for mitochondrial fission in setting the apoptotic threshold. For example, fission would promote a rounded mitochondrial shape that has been found to be refractory to BAX permeabilization of the outer mitochondrial membrane (Renault et al., 2015). It could also determine the degree of contact between the mitochondria and endoplasmic reticulum (Csordás et al., 2018; Prudent and McBride, 2017), and this affect cell death by modulating the entry of calcium into the mitochondria.

Our results contrast with the roles that others have identified for mitochondrial dynamics in pluripotency. For example, overexpression of *Mff*, a fission regulator, inhibits the expression of neural markers during pluripotent stem cell differentiation (Zhong et al., 2019), suggesting that inhibiting mitochondrial elongation disrupts differentiation. Similarly, mutation of *Mtch2*, a potential fusion regulator, delays exit from naive pluripotency (Bahat et al., 2018), leading to the suggestion that mitochondrial fusion promotes differentiation. In contrast to this, we find that *Drp1* null ESCs, which have hyperfused mitochondria, show normal pluripotency gene expression, and overexpression of *Drp1* does not affect exit from naive pluripotency. What these results suggest is that mitochondrial elongation *per se* is not linked to exit of pluripotency, but rather, it is likely that other mitochondrial processes regulated by *Mff* and *Mtch2* have an impact on the onset of differentiation.

Finally, our work has implications that transcend early mammalian development as DRP1 has been shown to play roles in tumor progression. For example, in glioblastoma, DRP1 activation is correlated with poor prognosis. Mechanistically, this is explained as brain tumor-initiating cells have fragmented mitochondria and require high p-DRP1 levels for their survival (Xie

et al., 2015). Similarly, in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinomas, oncogenic *Ras* mutations induce mitochondrial fragmentation. Reversion of this phenotype by knockdown of *Drp1* inhibits tumor growth (Kashatus et al., 2015; Nagdas et al., 2019), further highlighting the potential importance of DRP1 for tumor progression. Our findings that in embryonic stem cells, DRP1 promotes cell survival raises the possibility that part of this oncogenic role is through the regulation of the apoptotic response. Understanding the players downstream of DRP1 will therefore likely open new avenues for our understanding of transformation.

### Limitations of the study

In this study, mitophagy was analyzed by studying GFP-PARKIN localization to the mitochondria or colocalization of mitochondrial markers (TOMM20 or ATPb) with the lysosomal marker lysotracker. A more comprehensive analysis of mitophagy could involve using the mtKeima mitophagy reporter and inhibiting the activity of PARKIN by CRISPR-Cas9 or siRNA approaches.

### STAR★METHODS

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- **KEY RESOURCES TABLE**
- **RESOURCE AVAILABILITY**
  - Lead contact
  - Materials availability
  - Data and code availability
- **EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS**
  - Stem cell culture
  - Mice husbandry and embryo collection
- **METHOD DETAILS**
  - Stem cell differentiation and treatments
  - Flow cytometry analysis: Annexin V staining and MMP measurement
  - Stem cells immunofluorescence
  - Western blot analysis
  - Embryo culture, treatment and immunofluorescence
  - BH3 profiling
  - Generation of *Drp1* knock-out and *Drp1* overexpressing cells
  - RNA extraction and quantitative RT-PCR
  - Seahorse analysis
- **QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental information can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2022.04.020>.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Massimo Signore and Juan Pedro Martinez Barbera for critical discussion. We thank Stephen Rothery for guidance and advice with confocal microscopy. Gratitude also goes to James Elliot for performing cell sorting. Research in the T.A.R. lab was supported by an MRC project grant (MR/N009371/1 and MR/T028637/1) and by the BBSRC project grant (BB/S008284/1). B.P. was supported by an EMBO Long Term Fellowship (1340-2010) and a Marie Curie Intra-European Fellowship (FP7-PEOPLE-2010-IEF no. 273884). S.P.-M. has been a recipient of an EMBO Long-Term Fellowship

(846-2015) and a Marie Curie Intra-European Fellowship (H2020-MSCA-IF-2015 no. 709010). A.L. was supported by a BHF Center for Research Excellence PhD Studentship. K.L. was supported by an NHLI PhD studentship. S.B. was supported by an MRC-DTP PhD Studentship. Work in the Meier lab is funded by Breast Cancer Now (CTR-QR14-007). G.A.R. was supported by Wellcome Trust Senior Investigator (WT098424AIA) and Investigator Awards (212625/Z/18/Z), MRC Programme grants (MR/R022259/1, MR/J0003042/1, and MR/L020149/1) and Experimental Challenge Grant (DIVA, MR/L02036X/1), MRC (MR/N00275X/1), and Diabetes UK (BDA/11/0004210, BDA/15/0005275, and BDA 16/0005485) grants. This project has received funding from the European Union via the Innovative Medicines Initiative 2 Joint Undertaking under grant agreement no 115881 (RHAPSODY) to G.A.R. We acknowledge NHS funding to the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre. A.T. is funded by the MRC project grant MR/R010676/1. H.S. is funded by the NIH grant number (GM144103).

### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

J.M.S.N., B.P., and S.P.-M. are equally contributing first authors. J.M.S.N. analyzed the differences in mitochondrial dynamics and characterized the apoptotic response (including BH3 profiling) in naive and primed pluripotent stem cells (*in vivo* and *in vitro*), and in *Drp1*<sup>-/-</sup> cells. B.P. analyzed the apoptotic response of naive and primed pluripotent cells (*in vivo* and *in vitro*), the expression of pDRP1 in the embryo, and generated *Drp1*<sup>-/-</sup> cell lines. S.P.-M. generated the *Drp1*<sup>OE</sup> cell lines, performed the DRP1 overexpression experiments and the *in vitro* mitophagy assays. A.D.G. analyzed the expression of p62 and apoptosis in *Drp1*<sup>-/-</sup> embryos. A.L. performed the metabolic profile analysis of *Drp1*<sup>-/-</sup> ESCs and contributed to the *in vitro* mitophagy assays. K.L. and S.B. helped with the characterization of mutant cells. G.L. and P.M. provided advice on how to perform the BH3 profile. A.T. and G.A.R. contributed to critical discussions. H.S. provided the *Drp1*<sup>Fx/Fx</sup> mice. I.B. reviewed the manuscript and contributed to critical discussions. B.P., S.P.-M., J.M.S.N., and T.A.R. directed and designed the research and analyzed and interpreted results. T.A.R. wrote the manuscript.

### DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: April 3, 2020

Revised: September 20, 2021

Accepted: April 28, 2022

Published: May 20, 2022

### REFERENCES

Bahat, A., Goldman, A., Zaltsman, Y., Khan, D.H., Halperin, C., Amzallag, E., Krupalnik, V., Mullokandov, M., Silberman, A., Erez, A., et al. (2018). MTCH2-mediated mitochondrial fusion drives exit from naive pluripotency in embryonic stem cells. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 5132. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-07519-w>.

Bian, X., Teng, T., Zhao, H., Qin, J., Qiao, Z., Sun, Y., Liun, Z., and Xu, Z. (2018). Zinc prevents mitochondrial superoxide generation by inducing mitophagy in the setting of hypoxia/reoxygenation in cardiac cells. *Free Radic. Res.* 52, 80–91. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10715762.2017.1414949>.

Bolton, H., Graham, S.J.L., Van der Aa, N., Kumar, P., Theunis, K., Fernandez Gallardo, E., Voet, T., and Zernicka-Goetz, M. (2016). Mouse model of chromosome mosaicism reveals lineage-specific depletion of aneuploid cells and normal developmental potential. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 11165. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11165>.

Bowling, S., Lawlor, K., and Rodríguez, T.A. (2019). Cell competition: the winners and losers of fitness selection. *Development* 146, dev167486. <https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.167486>.

Breckenridge, D.G., Stojanovic, M., Marcellus, R.C., and Shore, G.C. (2003). Caspase cleavage product of BAP31 induces mitochondrial fission through endoplasmic reticulum calcium signals, enhancing cytochrome c release to the cytosol. *J. Cell Biol.* 160, 1115–1127. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200212059>.

Brons, I.G., Smithers, L.E., Trotter, M.W., Rugg-Gunn, P., Sun, B., Chuva de Sousa Lopes, S.M., Howlett, S.K., Clarkson, A., Ahrlund-Richter, L., Pedersen, R.A., and Vallier, L. (2007). Derivation of pluripotent epiblast stem cells from mammalian embryos. *Nature* 448, 191–195. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05950>.

Brown, E.J., and Baltimore, D. (2000). ATR disruption leads to chromosomal fragmentation and early embryonic lethality. *Genes Dev.* 14, 397–402.

Burman, J.L., Pickles, S., Wang, C., Sekine, S., Vargas, J.N.S., Zhang, Z., Youle, A.M., Nezhich, C.L., Wu, X., Hammer, J.A., and Youle, R.J. (2017). Mitochondrial fission facilitates the selective mitophagy of protein aggregates. *J. Cell Biol.* 216, 3231–3247. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201612106>.

Certo, M., Del Gaizo Moore, V., Nishino, M., Wei, G., Korsmeyer, S., Armstrong, S.A., and Letai, A. (2006). Mitochondria primed by death signals determine cellular addiction to antiapoptotic BCL-2 family members. *Cancer Cell* 9, 351–365. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2006.03.027>.

Chambers, I., Colby, D., Robertson, M., Nichols, J., Lee, S., Tweedie, S., and Smith, A. (2003). Functional expression cloning of Nanog, a pluripotency sustaining factor in embryonic stem cells. *Cell* 113, 643–655.

Chen, H., Detmer, S.A., Ewald, A.J., Griffin, E.E., Fraser, S.E., and Chan, D.C. (2003). Mitofusins Mfn1 and Mfn2 coordinately regulate mitochondrial fusion and are essential for embryonic development. *J. Cell Biol.* 160, 189–200. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200211046>.

Cho, H.M., Ryu, J.R., Jo, Y., Seo, T.W., Choi, Y.N., Kim, J.H., Chung, J.M., Cho, B., Kang, H.C., Yu, S.W., et al. (2019). Drp1-Zip1 interaction regulates mitochondrial quality surveillance system. *Mol. Cell* 73, 364–376.e8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2018.11.009>.

Csordás, G., Weaver, D., and Hajnóczky, G. (2018). Endoplasmic reticulum-mitochondrial contactology: Structure and signaling functions. *Trends Cell Biol.* 28, 523–540. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2018.02.009>.

Dobles, M., Liberal, V., Scott, M.L., Benezra, R., and Sorger, P.K. (2000). Chromosome missegregation and apoptosis in mice lacking the mitotic checkpoint protein Mad2. *Cell* 101, 635–645.

Estaquier, J., and Arnould, D. (2007). Inhibiting Drp1-mediated mitochondrial fission selectively prevents the release of cytochrome c during apoptosis. *Cell Death Differ.* 14, 1086–1094. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.cdd.4402107>.

Frank, S., Gaume, B., Bergmann-Leitner, E.S., Leitner, W.W., Robert, E.G., Catez, F., Smith, C.L., and Youle, R.J. (2001). The role of dynamin-related protein 1, a mediator of mitochondrial fission, in apoptosis. *Dev. Cell* 1, 515–525.

Frezza, C., Cipolat, S., Martins de Brito, O., Micaroni, M., Beznoussenko, G.V., Rudka, T., Bartoli, D., Polishuck, R.S., Danial, N.N., De Strooper, B., and Scorrano, L. (2006). OPA1 controls apoptotic cristae remodeling independently from mitochondrial fusion. *Cell* 126, 177–189. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.06.025>.

Gomes, L.C., Di Benedetto, G., and Scorrano, L. (2011). During autophagy mitochondria elongate, are spared from degradation and sustain cell viability. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 13, 589–598. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb2220>.

Guo, G., Yang, J., Nichols, J., Hall, J.S., Eyres, I., Mansfield, W., and Smith, A. (2009). Klf4 reverts developmentally programmed restriction of ground state pluripotency. *Development* 136, 1063–1069.

Hayashi, S., Lewis, P., Pevny, L., and McMahon, A.P. (2002). Efficient gene modulation in mouse epiblast using a Sox2Cre transgenic mouse strain. *Mech. Dev.* 119, S97–S101. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0925-4773\(03\)00099-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0925-4773(03)00099-6).

Heyer, B.S., MacAuley, A., Behrendtsen, O., and Werb, Z. (2000). Hypersensitivity to DNA damage leads to increased apoptosis during early mouse development. *Genes Dev.* 14, 2072–2084.

Kageyama, Y., Hoshijima, M., Seo, K., Bedja, D., Sysa-Shah, P., Andrabi, S.A., Chen, W., Höke, A., Dawson, V.L., Dawson, T.M., et al. (2014). Parkin-independent mitophagy requires Drp1 and maintains the integrity of mammalian heart and brain. *EMBO J.* 33, 2798–2813. <https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.201488658>.

Kageyama, Y., Zhang, Z., Roda, R., Fukaya, M., Wakabayashi, J., Wakabayashi, N., Kensler, T.W., Reddy, P.H., Iijima, M., and Sesaki, H. (2012). Mitochondrial division ensures the survival of postmitotic neurons by

- suppressing oxidative damage. *J. Cell Biol.* 197, 535–551. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201110034>.
- Kalitsis, P., Earle, E., Fowler, K.J., and Choo, K.H. (2000). Bub3 gene disruption in mice reveals essential mitotic spindle checkpoint function during early embryogenesis. *Genes Dev.* 14, 2277–2282.
- Karbowski, M., Lee, Y.J., Gaume, B., Jeong, S.Y., Frank, S., Nechushtan, A., Santel, A., Fuller, M., Smith, C.L., and Youle, R.J. (2002). Spatial and temporal association of Bax with mitochondrial fission sites, Drp1, and Mfn2 during apoptosis. *J. Cell Biol.* 159, 931–938. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200209124>.
- Kashatus, J.A., Nascimento, A., Myers, L.J., Sher, A., Byrne, F.L., Hoehn, K.L., Counter, C.M., and Kashatus, D.F. (2015). Erk2 phosphorylation of Drp1 promotes mitochondrial fission and MAPK-driven tumor growth. *Mol. Cell* 57, 537–551. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2015.01.002>.
- Knott, A.B., Perkins, G., Schwarzenbacher, R., and Bossy-Wetzel, E. (2008). Mitochondrial fragmentation in neurodegeneration. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 9, 505–518. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2417>.
- Korsmeyer, S.J. (1992). Bcl-2 initiates a new category of oncogenes: regulators of cell death. *Blood* 80, 879–886.
- Laurent, A., and Blasi, F. (2015). Differential DNA damage signalling and apoptotic threshold correlate with mouse epiblast-specific hypersensitivity to radiation. *Development* 142, 3675–3685. <https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.125708>.
- Leitch, H.G., Tang, W.W., and Surani, M.A. (2013). Primordial germ-cell development and epigenetic reprogramming in mammals. *Curr. Top. Dev. Biol.* 104, 149–187. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-416027-9.00005-X>.
- Lima, A., Burgstaller, J., Sanchez-Nieto, J.M., and Rodríguez, T.A. (2018). The mitochondria and the regulation of cell fitness During early mammalian development. *Curr. Top. Dev. Biol.* 128, 339–363. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.ctdb.2017.10.012>.
- Liu, J.C., Guan, X., Ryan, J.A., Rivera, A.G., Mock, C., Agrawal, V., Letai, A., Lerou, P.H., and Lahav, G. (2013). High mitochondrial priming sensitizes hESCs to DNA-damage-induced apoptosis. *Cell Stem Cell* 13, 483–491. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2013.07.018>.
- Manova, K., Tomihara-Newberger, C., Wang, S., Godelman, A., Kalantry, S., Witty-Blease, K., De Leon, V., Chen, W.S., Lacy, E., and Bachvarova, R.F. (1998). Apoptosis in mouse embryos: elevated levels in pregastrulae and in the distal anterior region of gastrulae of normal and mutant mice. *Dev. Dyn.* 213, 293–308. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0177\(199811\)213:3<293::AID-AJA6>3.0.CO;2-D](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0177(199811)213:3<293::AID-AJA6>3.0.CO;2-D).
- McCloy, R.A., Rogers, S., Caldon, C.E., Lorca, T., Castro, A., and Burgess, A. (2014). Partial inhibition of Cdk1 in G2 phase overrides the SAC and decouples mitotic events. *Cell Cycle* 13, 1400–1412. <https://doi.org/10.4161/cc.28401>.
- Mendl, N., Occhipinti, A., Müller, M., Wild, P., Dikic, I., and Reichert, A.S. (2011). Mitophagy in yeast is independent of mitochondrial fission and requires the stress response gene WHI2. *J. Cell Sci.* 124, 1339–1350. <https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.076406>.
- Montessuit, S., Somasekharan, S.P., Terrones, O., Lucken-Ardjomande, S., Herzig, S., Schwarzenbacher, R., Manstein, D.J., Bossy-Wetzel, E., Basañez, G., Meda, P., and Martinou, J.-C. (2010). Membrane remodeling induced by the dynamin-related protein Drp1 stimulates Bax oligomerization. *Cell* 142, 889–901. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2010.08.017>.
- Nagdas, S., Kashatus, J.A., Nascimento, A., Hussain, S.S., Trainor, R.E., Pollock, S.R., Adair, S.J., Michaels, A.D., Sesaki, H., Stelow, E.B., et al. (2019). Drp1 promotes KRas-driven metabolic changes to drive pancreatic tumor growth. *Cell Rep.* 28, 1845–1859.e5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2019.07.031>.
- Narendra, D., Tanaka, A., Suen, D.F., and Youle, R.J. (2008). Parkin is recruited selectively to impaired mitochondria and promotes their autophagy. *J. Cell Biol.* 183, 795–803. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200809125>.
- Nesterova, T.B., Popova, B.C., Cobb, B.S., Norton, S., Senner, C.E., Tang, Y.A., Spruce, T., Rodriguez, T.A., Sado, T., Merckenschlager, M., and Brockdorff, N. (2008). Dicer regulates Xist promoter methylation in ES cells indirectly through transcriptional control of Dnmt3a. *Epigenetics Chromatin* 1, 2. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-8935-1-2>.
- Nichols, J., and Smith, A. (2009). Naive and primed pluripotent states. *Cell Stem Cell* 4, 487–492. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2009.05.015>.
- Otera, H., Miyata, N., Kuge, O., and Mihara, K. (2016). Drp1-dependent mitochondrial fission via MiD49/51 is essential for apoptotic cristae remodeling. *J. Cell Biol.* 212, 531–544. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201508099>.
- Pernaute, B., Spruce, T., Smith, K.M., Sánchez-Nieto, J.M., Manzanera, M., Cobb, B., and Rodríguez, T.A. (2014). MicroRNAs control the apoptotic threshold in primed pluripotent stem cells through regulation of BIM. *Genes Dev.* 28, 1873–1878. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.245621.114>.
- Prudent, J., and McBride, H.M. (2017). The mitochondria-endoplasmic reticulum contact sites: a signalling platform for cell death. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 47, 52–63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceb.2017.03.007>.
- Qu, X., Zou, Z., Sun, Q., Luby-Phelps, K., Cheng, P., Hogan, R.N., Gilpin, C., and Levine, B. (2007). Autophagy gene-dependent clearance of apoptotic cells during embryonic development. *Cell* 128, 931–946. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.12.044>.
- Rambold, A.S., Kostecky, B., Elia, N., and Lippincott-Schwartz, J. (2011). Tubular network formation protects mitochondria from autophagosomal degradation during nutrient starvation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 108, 10190–10195. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1107402108>.
- Ramsby, M., and Makowski, G. (2011). Differential detergent fractionation of eukaryotic cells. *Cold Spring Harb. Protoc.* 2011, prot5592.
- Ran, F.A., Hsu, P.D., Wright, J., Agarwala, V., Scott, D.A., and Zhang, F. (2013). Genome engineering using the CRISPR-Cas9 system. *Nat. Protoc.* 8, 2281–2308. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2013.143>.
- Renault, T.T., Floros, K.V., Elkholi, R., Corrigan, K.A., Kushnareva, Y., Wieder, S.Y., Lindtner, C., Serasinghe, M.N., Ascioia, J.J., Buettner, C., et al. (2015). Mitochondrial shape governs BAX-induced membrane permeabilization and apoptosis. *Mol. Cell* 57, 69–82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2014.10.028>.
- Sancho, M., Di-Gregorio, A., George, N., Pozzi, S., Sánchez, J.M., Pernaute, B., and Rodríguez, T.A. (2013). Competitive interactions eliminate unfit embryonic stem cells at the onset of differentiation. *Dev. Cell* 26, 19–30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2013.06.012>.
- Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., Preibisch, S., Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., et al. (2012). Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat. Methods* 9, 676–682. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2019>.
- Shepard, T.H., Muffley, L.A., and Smith, L.T. (2000). Mitochondrial ultrastructure in embryos after implantation. *Hum. Reprod.* 15, 218–228.
- Smirnova, E., Griparic, L., Shurland, D.L., and van der Bliek, A.M. (2001). Dynamin-related protein Drp1 is required for mitochondrial division in mammalian cells. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 12, 2245–2256. <https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.12.8.2245>.
- Snow, M.H.L. (1977). Gastrulation in the mouse: growth and regionalization of the epiblast. *J. Embryol. Exp. Morphol.* 42, 293–303.
- Song, M., Mihara, K., Chen, Y., Scorrano, L., Dorn, G.W., and 2nd. (2015). Mitochondrial fission and fusion factors reciprocally orchestrate mitophagic culling in mouse hearts and cultured fibroblasts. *Cell Metab.* 21, 273–286. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2014.12.011>.
- Spruce, T., Pernaute, B., Di-Gregorio, A., Cobb, B.S., Merckenschlager, M., Manzanera, M., and Rodríguez, T.A. (2010). An early developmental role for miRNAs in the maintenance of extraembryonic stem cells in the mouse embryo. *Dev. Cell* 19, 207–219. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2010.07.014>.
- Stern, S., Biggers, J.D., and Anderson, E. (1971). Mitochondria and early development of the mouse. *J. Exp. Zool.* 176, 179–191. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.1401760206>.
- Tait, S.W., and Green, D.R. (2013). Mitochondrial regulation of cell death. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* 5, a008706. <https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a008706>.
- Tanaka, A., Cleland, M.M., Xu, S., Narendra, D.P., Suen, D.F., Karbowski, M., and Youle, R.J. (2010). Proteasome and p97 mediate mitophagy and degradation of mitofusins induced by Parkin. *J. Cell Biol.* 191, 1367–1380. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201007013>.

- Tilokani, L., Nagashima, S., Paupe, V., and Prudent, J. (2018). Mitochondrial dynamics: overview of molecular mechanisms. *Essays Biochem.* 62, 341–360. <https://doi.org/10.1042/EBC20170104>.
- Trempe, J.F., Sauvé, V., Grenier, K., Seirafi, M., Tang, M.Y., Ménade, M., Al-Abdul-Wahid, S., Krett, J., Wong, K., Kozlov, G., et al. (2013). Structure of parkin reveals mechanisms for ubiquitin ligase activation. *Science* 340, 1451–1455. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1237908>.
- Twig, G., Elorza, A., Molina, A.J., Mohamed, H., Wikstrom, J.D., Walzer, G., Stiles, L., Haigh, S.E., Katz, S., Las, G., et al. (2008). Fission and selective fusion govern mitochondrial segregation and elimination by autophagy. *EMBO J.* 27, 433–446. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.emboj.7601963>.
- Wakabayashi, J., Zhang, Z., Wakabayashi, N., Tamura, Y., Fukaya, M., Kensler, T.W., Iijima, M., and Sesaki, H. (2009). The dynamin-related GTPase Drp1 is required for embryonic and brain development in mice. *J. Cell Biol.* 186, 805–816. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200903065>.
- Xie, Q., Wu, Q., Horbinski, C.M., Flavahan, W.A., Yang, K., Zhou, W., Dombrowski, S.M., Huang, Z., Fang, X., Shi, Y., et al. (2015). Mitochondrial control by DRP1 in brain tumor initiating cells. *Nat. Neurosci.* 18, 501–510. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3960>.
- Yamada, T., Adachi, Y., Fukaya, M., Iijima, M., and Sesaki, H. (2016). Dynamin-related Protein 1 deficiency leads to receptor-interacting protein kinase 3-mediated necroptotic neurodegeneration. *Am. J. Pathol.* 186, 2798–2802. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajpath.2016.06.025>.
- Yamada, T., Adachi, Y., Yanagawa, T., Iijima, M., and Sesaki, H. (2018a). p62/sequestosome-1 knockout delays neurodegeneration induced by Drp1 loss. *Neurochem. Int.* 117, 77–81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuint.2017.05.012>.
- Yamada, T., Murata, D., Adachi, Y., Itoh, K., Kameoka, S., Igarashi, A., Kato, T., Araki, Y., Haganir, R.L., Dawson, T.M., et al. (2018b). Mitochondrial stasis reveals p62-mediated ubiquitination in parkin-independent mitophagy and mitigates nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Cell Metab.* 28, 588–604.e5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2018.06.014>.
- Yamashita, S.I., Jin, X., Furukawa, K., Hamasaki, M., Nezu, A., Otera, H., Saigusa, T., Yoshimori, T., Sakai, Y., Mihara, K., and Kanki, T. (2016). Mitochondrial division occurs concurrently with autophagosome formation but independently of Drp1 during mitophagy. *J. Cell Biol.* 215, 649–665. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201605093>.
- Zhong, X., Cui, P., Cai, Y., Wang, L., He, X., Long, P., Lu, K., Yan, R., Zhang, Y., Pan, X., et al. (2019). Mitochondrial dynamics is critical for the full pluripotency and embryonic developmental potential of pluripotent stem cells. *Cell Metab.* 29, 979–992.e974. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2018.11.007>.
- Zhou, W., Choi, M., Margineantu, D., Margaretha, L., Hesson, J., Cavanaugh, C., Blau, C.A., Horwitz, M.S., Hockenbery, D., Ware, C., and Baker, H.R. (2012). HIF1alpha induced switch from bivalent to exclusively glycolytic metabolism during ESC-to-EpiSC/hESC transition. *EMBO J.* 31, 2103–2116. <https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2012.71>.

## STAR★METHODS

### KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
<b>Antibodies</b>		
Cleaved Caspase-3 (Asp175) Antibody	Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA, United States of America)	Cat#9661; RRID: AB_2341188
Nanog Monoclonal Antibody	eBioscience	Cat#14-5761; RRID: AB_763613
anti ATP-b	Abcam	Cat# Ab14730; RRID: AB_301438
anti-SQSTM1/p62	BD	Cat#610833; RRID: AB_398152
Bim/BOD polyclonal antibody	Enzo	Cat#ADI-AAP-330; RRID: AB_10616974
Anti-PUMA antibody	Abcam	Cat#ab9643; RRID: AB_296537
BID Antibody (Mouse Specific)	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat#2003; RRID:A B_10694562
Bad Antibody (C-20)	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	Cat#sc-943; RRID: AB_630896
Bax (N-20)	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	Cat#sc-493; RRID: AB_2227995
Purified anti-Bcl-2 Antibody	BioLegend	Cat#633501; RRID: AB_961017
Bcl-xS/L Antibody (S-18)	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	Cat#sc-634; RRID: AB_630917
anti- MCL1	Santa Cruz	Cat#sc-819; RRID: AB_2144105
Mouse Bcl-2 related protein A1 Antibody	R&D Systems	Cat#MAB851; RRID: AB_2064577
Hexokinase II (C64G5) Rabbit mAb	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat#2867; RRID: AB_2232946
$\alpha$ -Tubulin Antibody	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat#2144; RRID: AB_2210548
Actin Antibody (C-2)	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	Cat#sc-47778; RRID: AB_2714189
Purified Mouse Anti-Cytochrome C	BC Pharmigen	Cat#556433; RRID: AB_396417
Anti-MAP Kinase (ERK-1, ERK-2) antibody produced in rabbit	Sigma Aldrich	Cat#M5670; RRID: AB_477216
anti-BAK	Merck Millipore	Cat#ABC12; RRID: AB_10563091
Anti-Oct-3/4 Antibody (C-10)	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	Cat#sc-5279; RRID: AB_628051
TOM20 Antibody (FL-145)	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	Cat#sc-11415; RRID: AB_2207533
anti-DRP1	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat#8570S; RRID: AB_10950498
Phospho-DRP1 (Ser616) (D9A1) Rabbit mAb	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat#4494; RRID: AB_11178659
anti-OPA1	BD	Cat#612606; RRID: AB_399888
anti-MFN1	Abcam	Cat#Ab57602; RRID: AB_2142624
anti-MFN2	Abcam	Cat#Ab56889; RRID: AB_2142629
anti-mt-CO1	Abcam	Cat#Ab14705; RRID: AB_2084810
anti-VINCULIN	Sigma Aldrich	Cat#V9131; RRID: AB_477629
Anti-PCNA antibody	Abcam	Cat#ab18197; RRID: AB_444313
anti-SOX2	Abcam	Cat#ab79351; RRID: AB_10710406
<b>Bacterial and virus strains</b>		
NEB 5-alpha Competent E. coli (High Efficiency)	New England Biolabs	Cat# C2987
<b>Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins</b>		
LIF	Home made	N/A
Gelatine Solution	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# G1393
G-MEM BK-21 (1x)	Gibco	Cat# 21710025
Embryonic Stem Cell-tested Foetal Calf Serum	Seralab	Cat# EU-000-H
Neurobasal medium (1x)	Gibco	Cat# 21103049
DMEM/F12 (1:1) 1x	Gibco	Cat# 21331020
MEM NEAA (100x)	Gibco	Cat# 11140050
B27 Supplement minus Vitamin A	Gibco	Cat# 12587010
M16 Medium	Sigma Aldrich	Cat# M7292

(Continued on next page)

**Continued**

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
N2 Supplement	Gibco	Cat# 17502048
Sodium Pyruvate	Gibco	Cat# 11360070
2-Mercaptoethanol	Gibco	Cat# 31350010
L-Glutamine 200mM (100x)	Gibco	Cat# 25030024
Basic Fgf	R&D Systems	Cat# 3139-FB-025
Activin A	R&D Systems	Cat# 338-AC-050
Puromycin	Sigma Aldrich	Cat# P8833
HiPerFect Transfection Reagent	Qiagen	Cat# 301705
Lipofectamine 2000 Transfection Reagent	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# 11668027
4-OH Tamoxifen	Sigma	Cat# H7904
ABT-737	Selleck Chemicals	Cat# S1002
Sodium Arsenite	Sigma Aldrich	1062771000
Thapsigargin	Sigma Aldrich	T9033-1MG
Etoposide	Sigma Aldrich	Cat# E1383
Bafilomycin	Sigma Aldrich	C2759-100MG
DMEM, no glucose, no glutamine, no pyruvate, no carbonate, no phenol red	Sigma Aldrich	D5030-10X1L
CCCP	Sigma Aldrich	C2759-100MG
Rotetone	Sigma Aldrich	R8875-1G
Antimycin	Sigma Aldrich	A8674-25MG
FCCP	Sigma Aldrich	C2920-10MG
Oligomycin	Sigma Aldrich	75351-5MG
2-DG	Sigma Aldrich	D3179-250MG
Glucose	Sigma Aldrich	G8644
Propidium Iodide	Sigma Aldrich	P4864-10ML
Annexin V-APC	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# R37176
DiOC6	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# D273
TMRM	Sigma Aldrich	Cat# T5428-25MG
Vectashield with Dapi	Vector Laboratories	Cat# H-1200
Paraformaldehyde	Sigma Aldrich	Cat# 158127
Formaldehyde	Sigma Aldrich	Cat# F8775
Triton X-100	Sigma Aldrich	Cat# T8787
Bovine Serum Albumin (lyophilized powder, ≥ 96%)	Sigma Aldrich	Cat# A2153
Horse serum	Sigma Aldrich	Cat# H1270
BIM peptide: Ac-MRPEIWIQAQLRRIGDEFNA-NH2	Peptide Protein Research Ltd	Newly synthesized
BID peptide: Ac-EDIIRNIARHLAQVGDSDRY-NH2	Peptide Protein Research Ltd	Newly synthesized
BMF peptide: Ac-HQAEVQIARKLQLIADQFHRY- NH2	Peptide Protein Research Ltd	Newly synthesized
Control peptide: Ac-EQWAREIGAQRMAADLNA- NH2	Peptide Protein Research Ltd	Newly synthesized

**Critical commercial assays**

LysoTracker Red DND-99	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#L7528
ApopTag Plus Peroxidase In Situ Apoptosis Kit	Sigma Aldrich	Cat#S7100
RNeasy mini kit	Qiagen	Cat#74106
SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#18080044
Lightcycler 480 SYBR green Master	Roche	Cat#04707516001
mirVana miRNA isolation kit	Ambion	Cat#AM1560
TaqMan miRNA reverse transcription kit	Applied Biosystems	Cat#4366596
TaqMan™ Universal PCR Master Mix	Applied Biosystems	Cat#4326708

(Continued on next page)

**Continued**

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
<b>Experimental models: Cell lines</b>		
Dicer Floxed mouse ESC	(Nesterova et al., 2008)	N/A
Dicer Floxed mouse EpiSCs	(Pernaute et al., 2014)	N/A
E14 mouse ESCs	Gift from Prof. A. Smith (Exeter Univ.).	RRID: CVCL_C320
E14 mouse EpiSCs	This study	N/A
Atg5 <sup>-/-</sup> mouse ESCs	(Qu et al., 2007)	N/A
Drp1 <sup>-/-</sup> mouse ESCs	This study	N/A
<b>Experimental models: Organisms/strains</b>		
Drp1 <sup>fx/fx</sup> mice	(Wakabayashi et al., 2009)	N/A
Sox2Cre mice	(Hayashi et al., 2002)	N/A
<b>Oligonucleotides</b>		
Mm_Bcl2l11_2 FlexiTube siRNA	Qiagen	Cat#1027417
Flexi-Tube Negative Control siRNA	Qiagen	Cat#1022076
Drp1 genotyping primer F: 5' GGATACCCCAAGATTCTGGA 3'	This study	N/A
Drp1_genotyping primer R: 5' AGTCAGTAATCGGAGGAAA 3'	This study	N/A
qPCR primer Gapdh F: 5'CATGGCCTCCGTGTTCCCTA 3'	This study	N/A
qPCR primer Gapdh R: 5' GCGGCACGTCAGATCCA 3'	This study	N/A
qPCR primer Fgf5 F: 5' AAAGTCAATGGCTCCACGAA 3'	This study	N/A
qPCR primer Fgf5 R: 5' CTTCACTGTACTTCACTGG 3'	This study	N/A
qPCR primer Esrrb F: 5' GGACACACTGCTTTGAAGCA 3'	This study	N/A
qPCR primer Esrrb R: 5' ACAGATGTCTCTCATCTGGC 3'	This study	N/A
qPCR primer Nanog F: 5'CTTACAAGGGTCTGCTACTGAGATGC 3'	This study	N/A
pPCR primer Nanog R: 5' TGCTTCTGGCAAGGACCTT 3'	This study	N/A
qPCR primer Rex1 F: 5' CGAGTGGCAGTTTCTTCTGG 3'	This study	N/A
qPCR primer Rex1 R: 5' GACTCACTTCCAGGGGGCAC 3'	This study	N/A
TaqMan™ MicroRNA Assay: mmu-miR-291-3p	Applied Biosystems	Cat# 001135
TaqMan™ MicroRNA Assay: mmu-miR-292-3p	Applied Biosystems	Cat# 001054
TaqMan™ MicroRNA Assay: mmu-miR-294	Applied Biosystems	Cat# 001056
TaqMan™ MicroRNA Assay: snoRNA135	Applied Biosystems	Cat# 001230
<b>Recombinant DNA</b>		
pEGFP-parkin WT	(Trempe et al., 2013)	Addgene Cat# 45875; RRID: Addgene_458_75
pSpCas9(BB)-2A-Puro (PX459)	(Ran et al., 2013)	Addgene Cat# 48139; RRID: Addgene_481_39
PX459-Drp1_exon2_upstream_sgRNA	This study	N/A
PX459-Drp1_exon2_downstream_sgRNA	This study	N/A
pEYFP-C1-Drp1	(Frank et al., 2001)	Cat# 45160; RRID: Addgene_451_60
pCAG-Drp1 plasmid	This study	N/A
pyCAGIP plasmid	(Chambers et al., 2003)	N/A
<b>Software and algorithms</b>		
FlowJo™ Software	BD Life Sciences	<a href="https://www.flowjo.com/solutions/flowjo">https://www.flowjo.com/solutions/flowjo</a> ; RRID: SCR_008520
Fiji Software	(Schindelin et al., 2012)	<a href="https://fiji.sc">https://fiji.sc</a> ; RRID: SCR_002285
Huygens Software	Scientific Volume Imaging, Hilversum, Netherlands	<a href="https://svi.nl/HuygensSoftware">https://svi.nl/HuygensSoftware</a> ; RRID: SCR_014237

(Continued on next page)

**Continued**

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Seahorse assay report generator	Agilent Technologies, UK	<a href="https://www.agilent.com/en/product/cell-analysis/real-time-cell-metabolic-analysis/xf-software/seahorse-xf-cell-mito-stress-test-report-generators-740899">https://www.agilent.com/en/product/cell-analysis/real-time-cell-metabolic-analysis/xf-software/seahorse-xf-cell-mito-stress-test-report-generators-740899</a> <a href="https://www.agilent.com/en/product/cell-analysis/real-time-cell-metabolic-analysis/xf-software/seahorse-xf-glycolytic-Rate-Assay-Report-generators-740900">https://www.agilent.com/en/product/cell-analysis/real-time-cell-metabolic-analysis/xf-software/seahorse-xf-glycolytic-Rate-Assay-Report-generators-740900</a>
GraphPad Prism Software	GraphPad Software, Inc.	<a href="http://www.graphpad.com/">http://www.graphpad.com/</a> ; RRID: SCR_002798

**RESOURCE AVAILABILITY**

**Lead contact**

Further information and reagents' requests should be addressed to the lead contact, Tristan Rodriguez ([tristan.rodriguez@imperial.ac.uk](mailto:tristan.rodriguez@imperial.ac.uk)).

**Materials availability**

All unique materials generated in this paper are available upon request to the [lead contact](#) without restriction.

**Data and code availability**

- All data reported in this paper will be shared by the [lead contact](#) upon request.
- There is no original code reported in this paper.
- Any additional information required to reanalyse the data reported in this paper is available from the [lead contact](#) upon request.

**EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS**

**Stem cell culture**

All cells were cultured at 37°C in an atmosphere with 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Reagents used for tissue culture were obtained from Invitrogen unless otherwise stated. Mouse embryonic stem cells (ESCs) were cultured on 0.1% gelatin-coated flasks (Nunc, Thermo Fisher) in GMEM containing with 10% (v/v) foetal calf serum (FCS; Seralab), 1X non-essential amino acids, 2 mM L-glutamine, 0.1 mM β-mercaptoethanol and supplemented with homemade leukaemia inhibitory factor (1:500, LIF). ESCs were routinely dissociated with trypsin and cryopreserved in 10% DMSO in FCS. *Atg5*<sup>-/-</sup> ESCs (Qu et al., 2007), *Dicer*<sup>fx/fx</sup> ESCs and EpiSCs (Nesterova et al., 2008; Pernaute et al., 2014), E14 ESCs (a kind gift from Prof. Austin Smith, Univ. Exeter) and *Drp1*<sup>-/-</sup> ESCs (this study) were used.

EpiBlast Stem Cells (EpiSCs) were cultured on FCS coated dishes in N2B27 medium (100mL DMEM F12, 100mL Neurobasal, 1mL N2, 2mL B27 without retinoic acid, 2mM L-Glutamine, 50mM -mercaptoethanol) containing 20 ng/ml Activin A (R&D Systems) and 12ng/ml bFGF (R&D Systems). Cells were passaged by mechanical disruption as previously described (Brons et al., 2007). E14 EpiSC were derived from E14 mESCs as previously described (Guo et al., 2009). All experiments were performed using cells in passage between 20 and 30.

**Mice husbandry and embryo collection**

Mice were maintained and treated in accordance with the Home Office's Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 and covered by the Home Office project license PBBEBDCDA. All mice were housed on a 10 hr-14 hr light-dark cycle with access to water and food *ad libitum*. Matings were generally set up in the afternoon. Noon of the day of finding a vaginal plug was designated embryonic day 0.5 (E0.5). Embryo dissection was performed at appropriate timepoints in M2 media (Sigma), using Dumont No.5 forceps (11251-10, FST). No distinction was made between male and female embryos during the analysis. *Drp1* mutant embryos were generated by crossing *Drp1*<sup>Fx/Fx</sup> conditional animals (Wakabayashi et al., 2009) to Sox2-Cre mice (Hayashi et al., 2002).

**METHOD DETAILS**

**Stem cell differentiation and treatments**

To induce ESCs differentiation cells were seeded onto plates coated with fibronectin (Merk) and cultured in N2B27 media (Neurobasal media; DMEM F12 media, 0.5 x B27 supplement; 0.5 x N2 supplement; 0.1mM 2-mercaptoethanol; 2mM glutamine; all Thermo Fisher Scientific) during 3 days to allow differentiation.

To induce *Dicer* deletion *Dicer*<sup>fx/fx</sup> ESCs and EpiSCs (Nesterova et al., 2008; Pernaute et al., 2014) were cultured in the presence of 0.3 mM 4-OH-Tamoxifen for three days and left untreated from the third day onwards as previously described (Pernaute et al., 2014). *Atg5*<sup>-/-</sup> ESCs have been previously described (Qu et al., 2007).

For BCL2/BCL-XL inhibition ABT-737 (Selleck Chemicals) was added to the media for 24 h at the stated concentration. Oxidative stress and ER stress were induced by adding sodium arsenite (Sigma Aldrich) or Thapsigargin (Sigma Aldrich) for 16 h at the stated concentrations. Bafilomycin (Sigma) was used at a 10nM concentration. To induce *Bim* knockdown, a previously tested *Bim* siRNA (Mm\_Bcl2l1\_2 FlexiTube siRNA, Qiagen) (Pernaute et al., 2014) was transfected into EpiSCs at a final concentration of 75nM using HiPerFect transfection reagent (Qiagen) according to manufacturers' instructions. Transfection of Flexi-Tube Negative Control siRNA (Qiagen) at a final concentration of 75nM was used as negative control.

### Flow cytometry analysis: Annexin V staining and MMP measurement

For apoptosis detection by flow cytometry, Annexin V-APC (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used in combination with Propidium Iodide (Sigma) according to manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, approximately  $2 \times 10^5$  cells were stained in 100  $\mu$ l of Annexin V Binding Buffer (0.1% BSA in 10 mM HEPES, 140 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, pH7.4) containing APC conjugated Annexin V for 15 minutes in the dark, after which 0.1 mg/ml propidium iodide was added and the samples immediately analysed by flow cytometry. Loss of mitochondrial membrane potential was measured using the fluorescent dyes DiOC6 or TMRM. Briefly,  $2 \times 10^5$  cells were re-suspended in PBS containing 40 nM DiOC6 (Sigma) or 100nM TMRM (T668, ThermoFisher Scientific), incubated for 15 min at 37°C and analyzed by flow cytometry. Data was acquired with a BD LSRII cytometer and analyzed with the FlowJo software (BD).

### Stem cells immunofluorescence

For immunostaining ESCs and EpiSCs were fixed for 10min in 4%PFA at room temperature, permeabilised in 0,4% Triton-X100/PBS for 5 minutes at room temperature, blocked in 10%BSA/ 0,1% Triton X-100/PBS and incubated overnight at 4°C in primary antibody diluted in 1%BSA/0,1%Triton X-100 (anti-Cleaved Caspase 3 Asp175, Cell Signalling, 1:100; anti-NANOG (eBioscience, 1:100), anti ATP-b (Abcam, 1:200), anti-P62 (BD, 1:100). Alexa-Fluor conjugated secondary antibodies (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 1:600) were used at 1/500 dilution in 1%BSA/0,1%Triton X-100. Cells were mounted for visualization in Vectashield with DAPI (Vector Laboratories). Images were acquired with a Zeiss confocal microscope and analysed with the Fiji software (Schindelin et al., 2012).

### Mitochondrial staining

Cells were washed with PBS and fixed with 3.7% formaldehyde diluted in serum free media for 15 min at 37°C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Cells were washed two times with PBS and incubated with pre-cooled acetone for 5 min at -20°C. Cells were washed two times with PBS and incubated with blocking/permeabilization (5% BSA, 0.4% Triton-X in PBS) solution for 30 min at RT before incubating with the primary antibody O/N at 4°C. Excess antibody was removed and cells washed three times in PBS, then incubated with the secondary antibody for 45 min at RT. Before mounting with Vectashield with DAPI, secondary antibody was removed and cell washed again three times in PBS.

Images were acquired in a LSM Z800 Confocal microscope and processed with Fiji. Images for deconvolution were acquired with the same microscope and further processed with the software Huygens. Deconvolution analysis was performed with the support and advice from Mr. Stephen Rothery from the Facility for Imaging by Light Microscopy (FILM) at Imperial College London.

Mitochondria circularity measurements were done with a plugin from Fiji that calculates object circularity according to the formula  $\text{circularity} = 4\pi(\text{area}/\text{perimeter}^2)$ . A circularity value of 1.0 indicates a perfect circle. As the value approaches 0.0, it indicates an increasingly elongated polygon. The calculations were done on ATP-b immunostained images.

To generate cells transiently expressing GFP-PARKIN, ESCs were transfected with 2  $\mu$ g of a pEGFP-Parkin plasmid (pEGFP-PARKIN WT was a gift from Edward Fon -Addgene plasmid # 45875) using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer's instructions. GFP-PARKIN was detected by direct GFP fluorescence. For lysosome staining cells were treated for 4h with 60nM LysoTracker Red DND-99 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Co-localization of mitochondria and lysosomes was measured using Fiji as the area shared by lysotracker and TOMM20 in square pixels (px<sup>2</sup>) divided by the total area occupied by both proteins. P62 mitochondrial accumulation was measured using Fiji as the integrated density of P62 staining where it co-localizes with TOMM20 staining. For both types of quantifications several images were quantified in 3 independent experiments.

### Western blot analysis

Western blot was performed according to established protocols described elsewhere (Pernaute et al., 2014). Briefly, protein samples were collected in Laemmli buffer and denatured for 10 minutes at 95°C. All samples were run in polyacrylamide gels and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. Blocking was performed in 5% milk in TBST buffer and primary antibody incubation was done overnight at 4°C in TBST containing 5% BSA. The following antibodies were used at the stated concentration: anti-BIM/BOD (Enzo, 1:1000), anti-PUMA (Abcam, 1:1000), anti- BID (Cell Signaling, 1:1000), anti- BAD (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, 1:500), anti-BAX N20 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, 1:1000), anti-BCL2 (BioLegend, 1:500), anti-BCL-XL (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, 1:1000), anti- MCL1 (Rockland, 1:10000), anti-Bcl2A1 (R&D Systems, 1:500), anti-HEXOKINASE II (Cell Signaling, 1:1000), anti-ATP-b (Abcam, 1:1000), anti-CYTOCHROME C (BD Pharmingen, 1:1000), anti-ERK1/2 (Sigma, 1:20000), anti-Cleaved CASPASE 3 Asp175 (Cell Signaling, 1:000), anti-alpha TUBULIN (Cell Signaling, 1:2000), anti- beta ACTIN (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, 1:1000), anti-BAK (Millipore, 1:1000) anti-OCT3/4 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, 1:1000), anti-NANOG (eBiosciences, 1:1000), anti-TOM20 (Santa Cruz Biotechnologies, 1:1000), anti-DRP11 (Cell Signalling, 1:1000) anti- pDRP1 (S616) (Cell Signaling 1:1000), anti-MFN1 (Abcam, 1:1000),

anti-MFN2 (Abcam, 1:1000), anti-OPA1 (BD, 1:1000), anti-mt-CO1 (Abcam, 1:1000), anti-VINCULIN (Sigma, 1:100), anti-PCNA (Abcam, 1:5000), anti-SOX2 (Abcam, 1:1000). Western blot quantification was performed using the Fiji software (Schindelin et al., 2012).

#### Mitochondria purification and cytochrome C release assay

For mitochondria purification cells were trypsinized and washed twice in 10 packed cell volumes of 1mM TrisHCl pH 7.4, 0.13M NaCl, 5mM KCl, 7.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> followed by centrifugation at 370g for 10 minutes. After the second wash pelleted cells were re-suspended in 6 packed cell volumes of homogenization buffer (10mM TrisHCl pH6.7, 10mM KCl, 0.15 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1mM PMSF, 1mM DTT) and incubated for 10 minutes on ice. Cells were homogenized in a glass homogenizer until achieving approximately 60% cell breakage. Homogenate was poured into a tube containing 1 packed cell volume of 2M sucrose solution, mixed and centrifuged at 1200g for 5 minutes to pellet unbroken cells, nuclei and debris. This treatment was repeated twice discarding the pellet, followed by 10minutes centrifugation at 7000g in order to pellet the mitochondria. Mitochondrial pellet was re-suspended in 3 packed cell volumes of mitochondrial suspension buffer (10mM TrisHCl pH6.7, 0.15mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.25% sucrose, 1mM PMSF, 1mM DTT) and centrifuged for 5 minutes at 9500g. Final mitochondrial pellet was re-suspended in 1x Laemmli Buffer and boiled at 95°C for 10 minutes for western blot analysis.

For the separation of cytosolic and membrane fractions in order to investigate cytochrome C release, cells were washed twice with ice cold PBS and incubated for 10 minutes rocking on ice in Digitonin Buffer (20mM HEPES/KOH pH7.5, 100mM sucrose, 2.5mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 100mM KCL, 1mM DTT, 0.0025% digitonin) supplemented with Complete protease inhibitors (Roche). The supernatant was collected as cytosolic fraction and Triton X-100 Extraction Buffer (Ramsby and Makowski, 2011) was added to the plates followed by 30 minute incubation rocking on ice. The resulting supernatant was taken as membrane fraction.

#### Embryo culture, treatment and immunofluorescence

Wild-type E3.5 embryos were obtained by flushing of the uterus at 3.5 days post coitum and cultured in M16 media containing DMSO, 1μM Etoposide (Sigma) or 2μM ABT-737 (Selleckchem). E6.5 embryos were dissected from pregnant females at 6.5 days post coitum and cultured in N2B27 media (see Epiblast Stem Cell culture conditions) containing DMSO, 1μM Etoposide or 2μM ABT-737.

For immunostaining, embryos were fixed in 4% PFA/0.1% Tween/0.01% Triton X-100/PBS (10 min for E3.5 and E4.5, 15min for E5.5 and 20min for E6.5) at room temperature, permeabilized in 0.5% Triton X-100/PBS for 15min (E3.5 and E4.5) or 20min (E5.5 and E6.5), washed in 0.1% Triton X-100/PBS and blocked in 2% Horse serum in 0.1% Triton X-100/PBS for 45 minutes at room temperature. Primary antibodies: anti-Cleaved Caspase 3 (Asp175) Cell Signaling 1/100, anti ATP-b, (Ab14730) Abcam 1:200, anti-pDRP1 (S616) Cell Signalling 1/2000, anti-P62 BD 1:200, were incubated overnight at 4°C in 0.2% Horse serum in 0.01% Triton X-100/PBS. Embryos were incubated with Alexa-Fluor conjugated secondary antibodies (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 1h at 4°C and counterstained with 6ug/ml Hoechst for nuclear visualization. Images from the p-DRP1 immunostaining in embryos were acquired with a Leica SP8 inverted confocal microscope. All other images were acquired with a Zeiss confocal microscope. All images were analysed with the Fiji software (Schindelin et al., 2012).

Whole-mount TUNEL staining was carried out using the ApopTag Plus Peroxidase In Situ Apoptosis Kit (Sigma Aldrich).

#### Embryo image quantification

Corrected Total Cellular Fluorescence (CTCF) was measured using (Fiji, Image J) as previously described (reference below). An outline was drawn around each embryo. Area, mean fluorescence and integrated density were measured. In addition, adjacent areas were also selected and measured as background readings. Corrected total cellular fluorescence was calculated using the formula: CTCF = integrated density – (area of selected cell × mean fluorescence of background readings). Cleaved Caspase 3 signal was normalised to DAPI (McCloy et al., 2014). The percentage of P62 positive cells at E4.5 was calculated by counting the number of P62 positive epiblast cells and dividing it by the total epiblast number. Apoptotic levels at E5.5 was calculated by counting the number of TUNEL positive foci and dividing it by the embryonic region area.

#### BH3 profiling

The assay was performed following the protocol described by Anthony Letai's laboratory (Certo et al., 2006). Briefly, 15μL of 2x peptides diluted in DTEB buffer (135mM threalose, 10mM HEPES KOH pH 7.5, 50mM KCl, 20μM EGTA, 20μM EDTA, 0.10% BSA, 5mM succinic acid) were added to each well of a dark 384 well plate (Nunc). Cells were dissociated with trypsin, counted and resuspended at 2.67x10<sup>6</sup> cells/mL. Equal volume of cells and dying mix (4x digitonin (0.01%), 4x JC-1 (4mM) and Oligomycin (40μg/mL)) were incubated for 10 minutes at room temperature protected from light. 15μL of this mix was added per well of the 384 well plate. DMSO and CCCP (Sigma) were used as no-depolarization and full depolarization controls. BID, BIM, BMF and a peptide control were tested in this profile. Each sample was loaded by triplicate and at least three biological replicates were analysed. Fluorescence was measured every 5 minutes for a period of 70 minutes, with a 544/590 filter in a FluoStar Omega plate reader (BMG Omega). Percentage of depolarization was calculated by normalizing the data to the membrane potential of cells that have not been exposed to peptides but have been treated with DMSO (vehicle) or CCCP, a protonophore that causes complete mitochondrial depolarization (Certo et al., 2006).

Peptide Sequences: BIM Ac-MRPEIWI AQELRRIGDEFNA-NH<sub>2</sub>; BID Ac-EDIIRNIARHLAQVGDSDRY-NH<sub>2</sub>; Control Ac-EQWAREIGA QARRMAADLNA-NH<sub>2</sub>.

#### Generation of *Drp1* knock-out and *Drp1* overexpressing cells

*Drp1* knockout ESCs were generated by CRISPR-Cas9 mediated deletion of *Drp1* exon 2. sgRNA guides flanking *Drp1* exon2 were cloned into the PX459 vector (Addgene) (Ran et al., 2013) : *Drp1* exon 2 upstream sgRNA: 5' TGGAACGGTTCACAGCTGCAC 3'; *Drp1*

exon 2 downstream sgRNA: 5' TGGTCGCTGAGTTTGAGGCC 3'. E14 ESCs were co-transfected with 1ug of each sgRNA expression using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer's instructions. As control E14 ESCs were transfected in parallel with equal amount of empty PX459 plasmid. Following 6 days of Puromycin selection, single colonies were picked from both *Drp1* sgRNA and empty vector transfected ESCs and screened for mutations. *Drp1* exon 2 deletion was confirmed by PCR genotyping using the following primers: *Drp1*\_genot F: 5' GGATACCCCAAGATTTCTGGA 3'; *Drp1*\_genot R: 5' AGTCAGGTAATCGGGAGGAAA 3', followed by Sanger Sequencing.

*Drp1* overexpressing cells were generated by transfecting ESCs with a pCAG-*Drp1* plasmid. To generate this plasmid the *Drp1* cDNA (Addgene plasmid 45160) was cloned into a pyCAGIP mammalian expression plasmid (Chambers et al., 2003). E14 ESCs were transfected with 2 ug of the pCAG-*Drp1* plasmid using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer's instructions. Following 6 days of Puromycin selection, single colonies were picked and analysed for DRP1 expression by western blot.

### RNA extraction and quantitative RT-PCR

RNA was extracted with the RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen) and SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used for cDNA synthesis according to manufacturer's instructions. Quantitative RT-PCR was performed by amplification with Lightcycler 480 SYBR Green Master (Roche). The primers used are listed in the key resources table. RNA samples from wild type and mutant clones were collected from 3 independent experiments.

For miRNA q-PCR total RNA was extracted using the *mirVana* miRNA isolation kit (Ambion) and cDNA was synthesized with the TaqMan miRNA reverse transcription kit (Applied Biosystems). qPCR for individual miRNAs was performed using TaqMan probes and TaqMan universal PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems). miRNA expression was normalised against sno135.

### Seahorse analysis

The metabolic function of cells was assessed by extracellular flux analysis using Seahorse XF24 (Agilent Technologies, UK). On the day prior to the assay,  $5 \times 10^4$  cells per well were seeded on 0.1% gelatin-coated (Sigma, UK) 24-well plates and grown in 300  $\mu$ L of pluripotency maintenance conditions. Cells were washed, just before the assay, with the assay media and left with a final volume of the 600  $\mu$ L per well. The plate was then equilibrated on a non-CO<sub>2</sub> incubator at 37°C for 30 min. The assay media consisted in unbuffered DMEM (D5030 – Sigma, UK), reconstituted with 1.83 g.L<sup>-1</sup> of NaCl in dH<sub>2</sub>O, that was supplemented on the day of the assay according to the test performed. For the OCR measurements the assay media was supplemented with 0.5 g.L<sup>-1</sup> of glucose (Sigma, UK) and 2 mM of L-glutamine (Life Technologies, UK), while for the ECAR measurements the media was supplemented with 1 mM of Sodium Pyruvate and 2 mM of L- glutamine (both from Life Technologies, UK), pH 7.4 at 37°C.

Assays were performed with 5 biological replicates of each cell type and 4 wells were left without cells for background correction measurements. Both ECAR and OCR measurements were performed on the same plate. The assay parameters for both tests were calculated following the Seahorse assay report generator (Agilent Technologies, UK).

The protocol for the assay consisted of 4 baseline measurements and 3 measurements after each compound addition. Compounds (all from Sigma, UK) used in OCR and ECAR tests were prepared in the supplemented assay media. For the OCR, test the following compounds were added: 2.5  $\mu$ M oligomycin (OM), 300 nM Carbonyl cyanide-4-(trifluoromethoxy) phenylhydrazone (FCCP) and a mixture of rotenone and antimycin A at 6  $\mu$ M each (R&A). For the ECAR test, the following compounds were added: 2.5 mM and 10 mM of glucose, 2.5  $\mu$ M of oligomycin (OM), and a 50 mM of 2-deoxyglucose (2-DG).

At the end of the assay, cells were fixed and stained with Hoechst. Both OCR and ECAR were normalised to cell number, determined by manual cell counts using Fiji software. The normalisation of the data was processed on Wave Desktop software (Agilent Technologies, UK) and data exported to Prism 8 (GraphPad) for statistical analysis.

### QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Quantification was performed using Microsoft excel and GraphPad Prism software. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism software. Statistical methods are indicated in the relevant figure legends, including details of the statistical tests used and sample size (n). No randomization or blinding was used in the experiments. Sample size (n) represents independent replicate experiments and is described in each figure legend. Quantifications are shown as the average +/- SEM, where the average is measured as the sum of quantified values divided by the sample size (n); standard deviation (SD) accounts for the dispersion of data points relative to the average and is measured as the square root of the variance; standard error of the mean (SEM) is calculated as the SD divided by the square root of n.