



This is a repository copy of *A. thaliana hybrids develop growth abnormalities through integration of stress, hormone and growth signalling*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/186806/>

Version: Published Version

Article:

Sageman-Furnas, K., Nurmi, M., Contag, M. et al. (6 more authors) (2022) *A. thaliana hybrids develop growth abnormalities through integration of stress, hormone and growth signalling*. *Plant And Cell Physiology*, 63 (7). pcac056. ISSN 0032-0781

<https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcac056>

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here:

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

A. thaliana Hybrids Develop Growth Abnormalities through Integration of Stress, Hormone and Growth Signaling

Katelyn Sageman-Furnas¹, Markus Nurmi¹, Meike Contag¹, Björn Plötner¹, Saleh Alseekh ^{1,2}, Andrew Wiszniewski¹, Alisdair R. Fernie ¹, Lisa M. Smith ³ and Roosa A. E. Laitinen ^{1,4,*}

¹Max Planck Institute of Molecular Plant Physiology, Am Mühlenberg 1, Potsdam-Golm 14476, Germany

²Center of Plant Systems Biology and Biotechnology, Plovdiv 4000, Bulgaria

³School of Biosciences and Institute for Sustainable Food, University of Sheffield, Western Bank, Sheffield S10 2TN, UK

⁴Organismal and Evolutionary Research Programme, Faculty of Biological and Environmental Sciences, Viikki Plant Science Centre, University of Helsinki, Helsinki 00014, Finland

*Corresponding author: E-mail, Roosa.Laitinen@helsinki.fi

(Received 4 January 2022; Accepted 22 April 2022)

Hybrids between *Arabidopsis thaliana* accessions are important in revealing the consequences of epistatic interactions in plants. F₁ hybrids between the *A. thaliana* accessions displaying either defense or developmental phenotypes have been revealing the roles of the underlying epistatic genes. The interaction of two naturally occurring alleles of the *OUTGROWTH-ASSOCIATED KINASE* (*OAK*) gene in Sha and Lag2-2, previously shown to cause a similar phenotype in a different allelic combination in *A. thaliana*, was required for the hybrid phenotype. Outgrowth formation in the hybrids was associated with reduced levels of salicylic acid, jasmonic acid and abscisic acid in petioles and the application of these hormones mitigated the formation of the outgrowths. Moreover, different abiotic stresses were found to mitigate the outgrowth phenotype. The involvement of stress and hormone signaling in outgrowth formation was supported by a global transcriptome analysis, which additionally revealed that *TCP1*, a transcription factor known to regulate leaf growth and symmetry, was downregulated in the outgrowth tissue. These results demonstrate that a combination of natural alleles of *OAK* regulates growth and development through the integration of hormone and stress signals and highlight the importance of natural variation as a resource to discover the function of gene variants that are not present in the most studied accessions of *A. thaliana*.

Keywords: *Arabidopsis thaliana* • Epistasis • Hybrid incompatibility • Natural variation • *OAK* • Receptor-like kinase

Introduction

Reduced fitness in hybrids, due to epistatic interactions of parental genomes, is known as hybrid incompatibility. Hybrid incompatibilities have been reported in various plant species

and the phenotypes range from embryo lethality to less severe phenotypes later in development resulting in reduced fertility. In the past decade, studies taking advantage of the genetic and molecular tools in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (*Arabidopsis*) have greatly enhanced our understanding of the mechanisms leading to different hybrid incompatibilities. While the most common type of hybrid incompatibility in *Arabidopsis* is hybrid necrosis (connected to an enhanced defense response), hybrids with embryo lethality, developmental irregularities such as altered shoot growth and development (Bikard et al. 2009, Smith et al. 2011, Alhajturki et al. 2018) and reduced photosynthesis (Plotner et al. 2017, Vaid et al. 2020) have also been reported. Understanding the genetic and molecular mechanisms resulting in different hybrid incompatibility phenotypes provides insights into the impact of genome interactions on plant adaptation and evolution.

Here, we report a new combination of parental genomes, which in the F₁ hybrids results in non-parental shoot architecture and ectopic outgrowths on the leaf petioles. Shoot architecture is a combination of stem growth and lateral branch number and, by adjusting shoot habit, plants can adapt to limited light, different temperatures and nutrient availability. Shoot architecture is defined by the activity of the shoot apical meristem (SAM), the axillary meristems (AMs) and the vascular cambium (Ongaro and Leyser 2008, Stirnberg et al. 2010, Domagalska and Leyser 2011, Mueller and Leyser 2011, de Jong et al. 2014, Bennett et al. 2016, Shi et al. 2016). The SAM controls the vertical growth of the shoot, and the AMs support the growth of the lateral branches. The vascular cambium is responsible for the secondary thickening of the lateral growth. Plant hormones, mainly the interactions of auxin, strigolactones, cytokinins and gibberellic acid (GA; Teichmann and Muhr 2015, Wang et al. 2018), as well as sugars, are known to regulate the patterns of shoot branching (Barbier et al. 2015).

The hybrid incompatibility phenotype studied here is comparable to a reported hybrid incompatibility between *Arabidopsis* accessions Shahdara (Sha) and Blanes-1 (Bla-1). In Bla-1 × Sha F₁ hybrids, an allelic interaction of a single *OUTGROWTH-ASSOCIATED KINASE* (*OAK*) gene caused aberrant outgrowths and altered shoot architecture (Smith *et al.* 2011). *OAK* is a member of the receptor-like kinase (RLK) gene family, with more than 600 genes in *Arabidopsis* encoding RLKs. The RLK proteins have a myriad of roles in mediating growth, development and stress responses in plants (Jose *et al.* 2020). *OAK* is located in a highly variable tandem array of closely related RLK genes (Smith *et al.* 2011), which contains four genes in the Col-0 reference genome. One of the members of the array has been duplicated to form tandem genes *At5g59670a* and *At5g59670b*, with the latter gene encoding *OAK*. Since *OAK* is not present in the reference genome (Col-0), it has not been characterized via loss-of-function mutant lines available for the reference background. However, *OAK* is present in one-third of *Arabidopsis* accessions.

OAK contains a tandem duplication of a malectin-like domain. Therefore, the protein has a similar structure to the *Catharanthus roseus* RLK1-like (*CrRLK1L*, abbreviated to *CrRLK*) family of proteins. There are 17 *CrRLK* family proteins encoded in the *Arabidopsis* genome. Members of the *CrRLK* family are involved in growth, development and reproduction and have roles in both responses to abiotic stresses and immunity (Galindo-Trigo *et al.* 2016, Jose *et al.* 2020). Recently, members of this family such as *FERONIA* (*FER*), *ANXUR1* (*ANX1*), *ANXUR2* (*ANX2*), *THESEUS1* (*THE1*), *HERCULES RECEPTOR KINASE1* (*HERK1*) and *CURVY1* have been proposed to act as cell wall integrity sensors (Franck *et al.* 2018) and play a role in abiotic stress responses (Feng *et al.* 2018). For example, *FER*, one of the best-characterized members of this gene family, was recently shown to be involved in ABA and salt stress responses (Chen *et al.* 2016). In addition to the malectin-like domains, *OAK* contains a leucine-rich repeat (LRR) domain and therefore is also similar to the LRR-RLKs. *Arabidopsis* has 239 genes encoding LRR-type RLKs (Franck *et al.* 2018, Jose *et al.* 2020). LRR-RLKs contain tandem repeats of leucine residues that are involved in mediating growth, stress and immunity [reviewed in the work by Jose *et al.* (2020)]. LRR-RLKs are involved in abiotic stress response via the ABA pathway, such as *RECEPTOR DEAD KINASE1* (Kumar *et al.* 2017) or via a role in cell-wall integrity sensing, such as *LRR-RK MALE DISCOVERER 1-INTERACTING RECEPTOR-LIKE KINASE 2* (*MIK2*) (Van der Does *et al.* 2017). However, the function of *OAK* in development or stress signaling is not yet known.

Here, we present a detailed characterization of the F₁ hybrid incompatibility case mediated by an allelic interaction of *OAK* between the *Arabidopsis* accessions Sha and Lag2-2. Our findings revealed that naturally occurring *OAK* alleles could regulate growth and development through the integration of specific hormones and stress signaling.

Results

OAK is necessary for the ectopic outgrowths observed in Sha × Lag2-2 F₁ hybrids

We identified that F₁ reciprocal hybrids between the *Arabidopsis* accessions Shahdara (Sha) (Tajikistan) and Lagodechi 2-2 (Lag2-2) (Georgia) have a bushy dwarf phenotype and ectopic outgrowths on the leaf petioles. This aberrant growth phenotype is similar to that previously observed in F₁ hybrids between *Arabidopsis* accessions Sha and Bla-1, which is caused by an allelic interaction of the *At5g59670b* gene encoding *OUTGROWTH-ASSOCIATED KINASE* (*OAK*) (Smith *et al.* 2011). The F₂ segregation ratio of 1 : 1 of individuals with and without the outgrowth phenotype confirmed the linkage of the outgrowth phenotype to a single locus (Supplementary Table S3). Hence, we first tested if an allelic interaction of *OAK* was responsible for the phenotype in the Sha × Lag2-2 F₁ hybrids. Using an artificial microRNA (*amiR*) approach (Schwab *et al.* 2006), we silenced *OAK* in the Sha parent (*amiR*_{*OAK*} Sha), crossed to Lag2-2 and examined the resulting hybrids. If an interaction of the two *OAK* alleles was needed for the outgrowth formation, disrupting this interaction by silencing at least one of the alleles should diminish the outgrowth phenotype in the hybrids. The *amiR*_{*OAK*} was designed to specifically target *OAK* and confirmed to reduce the expression of *OAK* in the hybrid (Supplementary Fig. S1). Indeed, progeny of *amiR*_{*OAK*} Sha crossed to Lag2-2 (*amiR*_{*OAK*} F₁) did not have any outgrowths and were restored a typical shoot architecture (Fig. 1A). From this, we conclude that *OAK* is also necessary for the hybrid phenotype in Sha × Lag2-2 hybrids.

OAK is part of tandem gene duplication present in one-third of *Arabidopsis* accessions (Smith *et al.* 2011). To investigate the *OAK* incompatibility in more detail, we performed crosses between the Sha accession and 16 additional accessions collected from Lagodechi, Georgia, that were available in the *Arabidopsis* seed bank (Nottingham *Arabidopsis* Stock Centre; NASC). From these crosses, 10 hybrids showed the incompatible phenotype with outgrowths and aberrant shoot habit, whereas six resembled the parents (Fig. 1B). This indicates that functionally different *OAK* alleles are present in the Lag population. Comparison of the Sha, Lag1-4 and Lag2-2 genomic *OAK* sequences revealed that the only non-synonymous polymorphisms encoding non-conserved residues unique to Lag2-2 were observed in the region encoding the malectin-like domain of the gene (Fig. 1C). Similarly, the malectin-like domain was previously indicated to be hypervariable between the Sha and Bla-1 alleles, which are also known to give the outgrowth phenotype (Smith *et al.* 2011). In the Sha × Bla-1 study, the region containing the malectin-like domain was found to be under positive selection suggesting an adaptive role in nature. To further investigate if the polymorphisms in the malectin-like domain were associated with the outgrowth phenotype in the Lag population, we sequenced a 1 kb region encompassing the domain in selected Lag-individuals known to either induce an




Fig. 1 The ectopic outgrowths and altered shoot architecture in *F₁* hybrids between *Sha* and *Lag2-2* are associated with *OAK*. (A) An example of ectopic outgrowth on the petiole adaxial side, plants at ~28-leaf stage (red circle shows an outgrowth position on a leaf), and an example of an *F₁* rosette expressing an *amiRNA* targeting *At5g59670b* (*amiROAK F₁*). Silencing *OAK* also rescues the shoot phenotype (below). (B) The causal *OAK* allele is common in the *Lagodechi* area. Stronger lines represent a cross that results in the hybrid phenotype while progeny of crosses indicated with weaker line appear normal. Crosses were done in a reciprocal manner and hybrids were phenotyped in control conditions. (C) Divergence of *OAK* nucleotide identity and predicted amino acid similarity of *Sha* to *Lag1-4* and *Lag2-2*, with sliding windows of 60 bp and 20 amino acids. (D) Alignment of *OAK* predicted protein sequences with amino acid change in non-synonymous SNPs for phenotype causing *Lag* accessions and *Bla-1* (*Blanes-1*).

outgrowth phenotype when crossed to *Sha* or not. Only two Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in this region showed a correlation with the outgrowth phenotype (Fig. 1D). At position 577 of the coding sequence, a change of T to G causing an amino acid change from tyrosine to aspartic acid (Y193D) was observed (or a T to X nucleotide substitution causing a Y193F change in *Bla-1*), along with a change of C to T at position 916 causing an amino acid change from proline to threonine

(P306T). We therefore speculate that these two amino acid changes may contribute to the hybrid incompatibility.

Altered growth and vascular organization in *F₁* hybrids

To understand the functional role of *OAK* in the hybrids, we first examined the shoot phenotype of the hybrids and parents more in detail. The hybrids had an average of 57% more branches than the parents (Fig. 2A). Furthermore, the lateral and basal branches of the *F₁* hybrids grew taller than the main shoot resembling a loss of apical dominance phenotype, as quantified by the shoot : height ratio (Fig. 2B).

Next, to find out if altered shoot growth is associated with altered shoot vasculature, we analyzed the cellular patterning of the stems. Transverse sections of the hybrid and parents' stems, stained with toluidine blue to highlight lignin, revealed that the cellular patterning of the hybrid stem was disorganized with vascular bundles and lignification occasionally occurring in the pith (Fig. 2C, Supplementary Fig. S2). Moreover, the increased number of vascular bundles in hybrids compared to the parents (Fig. 2D) suggests altered vascular development in the hybrids. Furthermore, the vascular bundles of the *amiROAK Sha* × *Lag2-2* resemble the *Sha* parent, indicating that *OAK* is required for the change in vascular patterning in *Sha* × *Lag2-2* hybrids.

Secondly, we inspected the ectopic outgrowths. In long-day (LD) conditions (16 h/8 h; 21°C/17°C, which unless otherwise stated are referred to as control conditions), the first outgrowth appeared after the production of 16 leaves. To investigate if the initiation of outgrowth formation was connected to the transition to flowering, we grew the hybrids and the parents under short-day (SD) (8 h/16 h) and lower temperature (17°C constant) conditions, which both are known to delay flowering time. In both conditions, the first outgrowth appeared later than in the control condition. The first outgrowth appeared in SD conditions at 28 ± 3 leaves and at 22 ± 1 leaves in 17°C conditions (Fig. 2E, Supplementary Table S5). In addition, the hybrids grown in SD conditions or at a lower temperature had a significantly reduced total number of outgrowths than the plants grown in LD conditions (Fig. 2E, F). These results suggest that the initiation of outgrowth formation is associated with the developmental switch from vegetative to reproductive growth while the total number of outgrowths depends on the growth rate. Altogether, we conclude that the *OAK*-mediated hybrid incompatibility phenotype in the *Sha* × *Lag2-2 F₁* hybrids is controlled by both environmental and developmental cues.

Stress mitigates the outgrowth formation in hybrids

Receptor-like kinases typically mediate both growth and stress responses (Jose et al. 2020). Therefore, we asked if the outgrowth formation of the *F₁* hybrid is affected by stress. First, we observed that none of the common pathogen markers were expressed in the hybrids indicating that the phenotype is not due to induced defense responses (Supplementary Fig. S4).




Fig. 2 Detailed characterization of the Sha × Lag2-2 F₁ shoots. (A) Total average number of basal and lateral branches of parents and hybrid. (B) The ratio of main stem height (centimeters) to lateral and basal branch height (centimeters). Plants were grown at 21°C, LD conditions following a 4-week vernalization period for Lag2-2. For (A) and (B), N = 5, 6 and 6 plants. Letters represent Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD) adjusted *P*-values < 0.05 from a one-way ANOVA. (C) Visualization of vascular structure with 0.1% toluidine blue. (D) Number of vascular bundles in mature stems, counted from sections stained with 0.1% toluidine blue. N = 12, 7, 8 and 13. Letters represent Tukey's HSD adjusted *P*-values < 0.05 from a one-way ANOVA. (E) Outgrowth appearance in LD and SD conditions. N = 13 and 14. Lines represent linear regression with 95% confidence shaded bands. (F) Hybrid plants grown at 17°C and 23°C constant conditions. N = 14 and 22. Letters represent a *P*-value < 0.001 from a two-tailed *t*-test. In all subfigures, bars represent the standard error of the mean (SEM).

Then, we subjected the F₁ hybrids and parents to three mechanical stresses: touch, brush and wind. For touching and brushing, the leaves were patted with a gloved hand or brushed with a 2-cm paintbrush 10 times daily for 2 weeks starting from when they had 6–8 leaves. For wind stress, plants were exposed to a 2.3 m/s wind generated by a fan and applied horizontally to the plants for 16 hours per day. To minimize technical variation, all experiments included at least 14 replicates. The number of outgrowths was scored every other day. We found that all three

mechanical stresses reduced the total number of outgrowths by at least 50% (**Fig. 3A**). The wind treatment had the most potent effect, with no outgrowths on 80% of the plants and the remaining 20% of plants only produced a single outgrowth.

We then asked if OAK affects outgrowth formation by sensing and signaling of the touch stress or whether the touch stress signaling affects outgrowth formation downstream of OAK. We reasoned that if OAK was upstream of the touch stress signaling, induction of the expression of the known touch sensing genes would be abolished in the ami_{OAK}F₁ line in which OAK is silenced. However, if touch sensing and signaling were upstream of OAK, then touch stress would be induced in both F₁ and ami_{OAK}F₁. To investigate these scenarios, we measured transcript levels of 6 known touch-responsive genes in F₁ and in ami_{OAK}F₁ plants that had been touched with a gloved palm 10 times for three days. Our results showed that several of the known touch responsive genes were induced in both lines upon touching in comparison to non-touched control plants (**Supplementary Fig. S3**) suggesting that OAK acts downstream in touch response signaling events.

Next, we tested the role of other abiotic stresses on the outgrowth formation. We exposed the hybrids to a cold non-freezing temperature (+4°C) for 4 weeks, whereafter they were grown at the control conditions (LD, 23°C). The cold-exposed hybrids had 76% fewer outgrowths than those grown only in the control conditions (**Fig. 3B**). Third, we tested the effect of osmotic stress on the outgrowths. We watered the hybrids with 125 mM mannitol for 2 weeks. Mannitol-treated plants had 80% fewer outgrowths in comparison to the untreated control plants (**Fig. 3C**). Altogether, these results indicate that reduction in OAK-induced outgrowth formation in the hybrids is a general stress response rather than a specific response to a particular type of stress.

Outgrowths are associated with reduced levels of hormones

To investigate the role of hormone signaling in the outgrowth formation, we quantified the endogenous levels of indole-3-acetic acid (IAA; auxin), abscisic acid (ABA), salicylic acid (SA) and jasmonic acid (JA) in F₁ petioles with outgrowths and in ami_{OAK}F₁ petioles without outgrowths. We found that the levels of all measured plant hormones (IAA, ABA, SA and JA) were significantly reduced in the outgrowth tissue compared to the control tissue (**Fig. 3D**).

We reasoned that if reduced hormone levels in hybrids are required for the outgrowth phenotype, the exogenous application of these hormones should reduce the number of outgrowths. In addition to auxin (1-naphthalene acetic acid NAA), ABA, SA and JA, we included GA and epibrassinolide in our experiment. We sprayed the F₁ plants for 2 weeks starting at the 4-leaf stage and counted the number of outgrowths per 10 leaves. Control plants were sprayed with an equivalent amount of Tween-20 and ethanol carrier. Application of NAA (1 mM), GA (100 μM) and BR (1 μM) did not affect the number of




Fig. 3 The role of stress and hormone signaling in the outgrowth phenotype. (A) The number of outgrowths in F₁ hybrid plants when grown in control greenhouse conditions and touched with a gloved hand or brushed with a 2-cm brush 10 times per day or had 2.3 m/s wind applied to them with a fan. Letters represent Tukey's (HSD) adjusted *P*-values < 0.05 from a one-way ANOVA, *N* = 14, 12, 15 and 14. (B) Outgrowth number in plants that were grown at 21 °C after 4 weeks of 4 °C treatment, compared to plants grown at 21 °C under our control conditions without cold treatment. *N* = 15, 16. (C) The effect of mannitol stress on outgrowth number. F₁ hybrids grown in pots were subjected to watering with 125 mM mannitol once per day for two weeks after the 4-leaf stage and compared to plants watered without mannitol. *N* = 7 and 8. Letters from B and C represent a *P*-value < 0.001 from a two-tailed *t*-test. (D) Abundance of hormones measured in petiole and outgrowth tissue, shown as a ratio of F₁ hybrids to amiR_{OAK} F₁. *N* = 4. (E) Reduction of the number of outgrowths in the hybrids that were sprayed with GA, NAA, BR, ABA, JA and SA for 2 weeks. *N* > 16 for each treatment. In D and E, asterisks represent *P*-values of < 0.05 (*), < 0.01 (**) and < 0.001 (***) as calculated from a one-tailed *t*-test of each treatment against the control group. In all subfigures, bars represent SEM.

outgrowths (Fig. 3E), whereas the application of SA (1 and 10 μM), JA (1 and 10 μM) and ABA (100 μM) mitigated the outgrowth phenotype. The number of outgrowths was reduced by ~50% when sprayed with 10 μM SA and by ~35% when sprayed with 10 μM JA and 100 μM ABA in comparison to the control-treated hybrids (Fig. 3E). A dosage response effect was noted for ABA, JA and SA (Fig. 3E). These data support that the reduction of hormones in hybrid petioles underlies the outgrowth phenotype, further suggesting that the OAK regulates development and growth via hormone signaling.

mRNA-seq reveals transcriptional changes associated with the outgrowth phenotype

To gain further insights into the role of OAK in the outgrowth phenotype, we conducted an mRNA-sequencing (mRNA-seq)

analysis comparing pooled petiole tissue of F₁ hybrids containing outgrowths and pooled petioles from the amiR_{OAK} F₁ with no outgrowths. Using the amiR_{OAK} F₁ line in the comparison, which has reduced OAK transcript levels, allows us to control for effects of hybridization that are not associated with the OAK-caused phenotype. We sampled at two different time points; firstly, when the rosettes had 22–24 leaves (early), and secondly when the plants had 26–28 leaves (late). At the early time point, the outgrowths were starting to form but visible enough to allow reliable excision of outgrowth tissue. It was selected to capture the gene expression changes that potentially reflect to both changes causal for outgrowth formation and changes that are a result of outgrowth formation. At the late time point, it is expected that most gene expression changes will reflect the process of outgrowth formation rather than causal changes that initiate outgrowth formation. Differentially expressed genes




Fig. 4 Transcriptome analysis of F₁ and amiR_{OAK} F₁ leaf petioles. (A) Venn diagram of DEGs in the F₁ hybrid samples in comparison to the amiR_{OAK} F₁ line at the two time-points (\log_2 Fold Change > 1, P_{adj} < 0.05). (B) GO enrichment of all DEGs. Fold enrichment calculated using the background reference dataset in the AgrigoV2 database, significance level < 0.01 Fischer's exact test. (C) Validation of selected genes from the mRNA sequencing experiment. Fold change of selected genes (F₁ to amiR_{OAK} F₁). Fold change calculated from four biological replicates with two technical replicates, *** represents a P -value of < 0.001 from a two-tailed t -test.

(DEGs) were identified by comparing mRNA transcript levels in the petiole tissue of Sha × Lag2-2 F₁ plants with outgrowths to the control amiR_{OAK} F₁ plant independently at both time points (\log_2 Fold Change > 1.0, adjusted P_{adj} < 0.05). In the early outgrowth tissue, 30 genes were upregulated and 5 downregulated compared to the early control tissue. 351 DEGs were upregulated and 503 were downregulated in the late outgrowth tissue compared to the late control tissue (Fig. 5A, full DEG list Supplementary Table S6).

To gain a better insight into which pathways OAK may be involved in, we did a gene ontology (GO) analysis for the 363 upregulated and 507 downregulated DEGs in either the early or late time point. Among the upregulated DEGs, we found an enrichment of 32 GO terms with key child terms being: response to abiotic stress, response to oxidative stress, organic cyclic compound metabolism, lipid transport, cell wall organization, hydrogen peroxide catabolic process and xyloglucan metabolic process (Fig. 5B, Agrigo V2, enrichment value < 0.01, complete list of GO terms in Supplementary Table S4). This suggests that altered cell wall growth could play a role in the outgrowth formation. In the downregulated transcripts, 22 GO terms, including response to chitin, response to hormones, secondary metabolic processes, response to oxidative stress, response to cold and response to water deprivation were found. Chitin is associated with defense responses against fungi (Antolin-Llovera et al. 2012, Monaghan and Zipfel 2012) but

chitin signaling has also been linked to abiotic stresses, particularly to salt stress (Espinoza et al. 2017).

Our analysis identified 20 genes that were differentially expressed in both early and late outgrowth samples in comparison to their controls (Supplementary Table S7). Of these, 19 were upregulated and only one was downregulated. Six of the 19 upregulated genes encode lipid transfer family proteins of unknown function. Other genes that were upregulated in both the early and late outgrowth time-points are AT5G64110 (PEROXIDASE 70), AT5G58390 (unknown peroxidase family gene) and AT5G57240 (OXYSTEROL BINDING PROTEIN-RELATED PROTEIN 4C, ORP4C), AT1G36060 (TRANSLUCENT GREEN3, TG/WOUND INDUCED DEDIFFERENTIATION 3, WIND3), AT1G73260 (KUNITZ INHIBITOR1, KT11) and AT4G02700 (SULFATE TRANSPORTER 3.2). ORP4C and TG/WIND3 are associated with abiotic stress response in plants (Huang et al. 2008, Zhu et al. 2014), with ORP4C also being associated with JA and SA signaling (Brodersen et al. 2006) and KT11 has been implicated in defense responses (Arnaiz et al. 2018).

In both early and late outgrowths, the only downregulated gene was AT1G67260, encoding a TCP transcription factor family protein TCP1. The downregulation of TCP1 in the outgrowths was confirmed using Real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) along with two genes upregulated at both time points AT1G73260 (KT11) and AT4G13660 (PRR2) (Fig. 4C). TCP1 was first identified in the regulation of symmetric development of




Fig. 5 F₁ hybrids are impaired in growth. (A) Rosette growth profile of F₁ plants and amiR_{OAK} F₁. *N* = 19 and 20, bars represent SEM. Shaded area represents significance with a *P*-value of < 0.01 between F₁ plants and amiR_{OAK} F₁. (B) Leaf shape of F₁ hybrids and amiR_{OAK} F₁. Ratio represents the length : width measurement of the 5th rosette leaf *N* = 16 and 14. Letters represent a *P*-value < 0.001 from a two-tailed *t*-test, bars represent SEM.

flower organs, but since then, it has been shown to regulate the longitudinal growth of leaves (Cubas et al. 2001, Busch and Zachgo 2007, Wang et al. 2020, Klionsky et al. 2021). In addition, it is involved in BR biosynthesis (Guo et al. 2010) and in strigolactone signaling (Wang et al. 2020).

Due to the role of *TCP1* in regulating leaf growth and shape, we wanted to examine if the reduced expression of *OAK* in the amiR_{OAK} F₁ line influenced leaf growth. First, we compared the early rosette diameter of F₁ hybrids and amiR_{OAK} F₁ hybrid plants grown under control conditions. The hybrids had an increased rosette diameter beginning at 12 d of growth in comparison to the amiR_{OAK} F₁ plants (Fig. 5A). However, final rosette size was comparable in both lines. Second, we looked at the leaf shape by measuring the ratio between the length and the width of the fifth leaf of the F₁ hybrid and amiR_{OAK} F₁. The leaf length : width ratio was significantly higher in the F₁ hybrids indicating that the F₁ hybrid leaves are narrower than in the amiR_{OAK} F₁ plants (Fig. 5B). Taken together, the allelic interaction of *OAK* in the F₁ hybrid leads to altered leaf growth. Whether this is due to reduced expression of *TCP1* in the F₁ hybrids requires further investigations.

Discussion

We have shown that the allelic interaction of the *OAK* gene is required for altered shoot development in the F₁ hybrid of the Sha and Lag2-2 accessions of *Arabidopsis*, with a reduced height of the main stem, increased branching and outgrowth formation on the leaf petioles. Furthermore, reduced levels of plant hormones IAA, ABA, SA and JA in the leaf petioles underlie the outgrowth phenotype indicating that the hybrid phenotype is likely regulated by a complex interaction between plant hormone pathways. ABA is considered a positive regulator of abiotic stress but was also more recently demonstrated to have roles in non-stress mediated growth responses (Yoshida et al. 2019). SA and JA, in addition to their roles in abiotic stresses (Scott et al. 2004, Hu et al. 2013), are well-known positive regulators of defense responses (Yang et al. 2019). In addition to acting on their own, these three hormones are known to have complex, context-specific interactions (Aerts et al. 2021). However, there does not appear to be an overactivation of the defense response. Neither the mRNA sequencing data nor the RT-qPCR analysis (Supplementary Fig. S4) showed an induction of defense-related genes. Moreover, we found that abiotic stress (including mechanical, temperature and osmotic stresses) diminished the effects of *OAK*. Therefore, the most likely explanation is that *OAK* through downregulation of SA, JA and ABA leads to an altered stress response and abnormal growth. This was further supported by the reduced number of outgrowths in the hormone-treated hybrids. Taken together, our results suggest that *OAK* plays a role in the integration of growth and development through altered hormone signaling in the hybrid rather than direct involvement of *OAK* in stress signaling.

Our mRNA sequencing analysis revealed 20 genes that were differentially expressed in both the early and late outgrowths. While most of the genes were found to be related to abiotic stress and/or hormone signaling, *TRANSLUCENT GREEN3/WOUND INDUCED DEDIFFERENTIATION 3* (*TG/WIND3*) and its homologs have been implicated in induction of callus formation (Iwase et al. 2011), possibly suggesting that callus formation could be a reason for outgrowth formation. However, previous findings by Smith et al. (2011) have stated that the outgrowths did not constitute undifferentiated callus. In addition, the analysis of transverse sections of the hybrid petioles with outgrowths and the parent petioles without outgrowths did not show a statistically significant difference in the cell number between the hybrid and the parent indicating that the outgrowth tissue originates from elongating parenchyma tissue and not an increase in the number of cells (Supplementary Fig. S5). Altogether these results do not indicate activated callus formation in the outgrowths.

TCP1 was the only gene that was downregulated in both early and late outgrowth tissues. *TCP1* was initially identified to regulate symmetric development of flower organs, but since then it has been shown to also regulate the longitudinal growth of leaves (Cubas et al. 2001, Busch and Zachgo 2007, Koyama et al. 2010, Wang et al. 2020, Zheng et al. 2021). Indeed, we

observed that the hybrids had a larger early rosette diameter and altered leaf shape (Fig. 5). TCP1 is known to positively regulate DWF4, a transcription factor involved in BR signaling and SMAX-LIKE1 proteins involved in strigolactone signaling (Guo et al. 2010, Wang et al. 2020, Zheng et al. 2021). However, we did not observe any change in transcripts related to BR or strigolactone signaling indicating the reduced expression of TCP1 in outgrowth tissue is likely mediated by other signals (Supplementary Fig. S4). Taken together, these results indicate that OAK, through TCP1, could play a role in leaf development under normal growth conditions. Destabilization of TCP1, induced by phytoplasma infestation, is also associated with altered shoot architecture (Chang et al. 2018) providing a possible link between the leaf and shoot phenotypes. The specific role of TCP1 in the hybrid phenotype will require further investigation.

The OAK protein has both LRR and malectin-like domains, displaying similarity to the very large and functionally diverse LRR kinase family as well as the CrRLK1L family of proteins. Analysis of OAK sequences in nine Lag accessions originating from the same area revealed that there are functionally different alleles of OAK present in the Lag population. Furthermore, we pinpointed two non-synonymous SNPs in the malectin-like domain, which correlate with whether a cross of the accession to Sha results the abnormal phenotype. The CrRLK1L kinases often have roles in cell wall integrity, cell expansion and stress responses. The most well-characterized example is FER, which is known to integrate several cell wall, stress and hormone pathways (Liao et al. 2017). Another example of the CrRLK1L family is THE1, which interacts with the JA/SA and lignification pathways during defense responses (Qu et al. 2017). Most CrRLK1L proteins interact with small peptides or cell wall epitopes (Feng et al. 2018, Galindo-Trigo et al. 2020). It will be interesting to investigate whether the SNPs associated with the outgrowth phenotype in the Lag_{OAK} gene region encoding the malectin-like domain could be involved in functions similar to FER or THE1 such as binding extracellular small peptides or cell wall components. These SNPs may also affect Lag_{OAK} interactions with other members of the LRR-RK family as they are known to form homo- and hetero-dimers (Wang et al. 2016, Xi et al. 2019).

To conclude, our results demonstrate that a combination of natural alleles of OAK regulates growth and development through the integration of hormone and stress signals. Significantly, our findings highlight the importance of natural variation as a source to discover the function of non-reference alleles and explore their interactions in plant adaptation and their potential role in evolution.

Materials and Methods

Plant lines and growth conditions

Seeds from all Arabidopsis accessions used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table S1, are publicly available and were ordered from NASC. Seeds were stratified in 0.1% (w/v) agarose in water at 4°C in the dark and then sown onto

soil. Plants were grown either in LD conditions (16 h/8 h; 23°C/ 17°C) or SD conditions (8 h/16 h; 23°C/ 17°C). For testing the effect of temperature, plants were grown at constant temperatures of 17°C and 23°C under LD conditions. In all conditions, light intensity was 150 $\mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ and humidity 60–70%. Unless otherwise noted, outgrowths were scored when the plants had 28 leaves and the number of outgrowths per 10 leaves was counted. The shoot phenotypes were scored after siliques began to form. To generate F₁ hybrids, Lag2-2 was used as the pollen donor, with the exception that reciprocal crosses were performed to check for hybrid phenotypes of Sha with other accessions of the Lagodechi region.

Generation and selection of transgenic plants and hybrids

Artificial microRNA lines silencing OAK are as described in (Smith et al. 2011). For initial phenotyping, three independent transformants were generated in the Sha accession via *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (GV3101) using the floral dip method (Clough and Bent 1998).

T₀ seeds were selected on BASTA plates (10 mg/L) and the resistant plants were confirmed for the presence of the transgene (using primers specific for pFK210 and the artificial microRNA; primers are listed in Supplementary Table S2). The three independent transformants were crossed to Lag2-2 and the resulting hybrids were phenotyped. The hybrids were confirmed using SSLP markers NGA225. DNA was isolated using the Cetyltrimethylammonium Bromide method as described in (Doyle and Doyle 1987).

Transcriptome sequencing and analysis

For the transcriptome analysis, we took advantage of the segregation of the amiRNA in the F₁ progeny of crosses between Lag2-2 and the amiRNA_{OAK}Sha line. The F₁ hybrid progeny segregate between those with silenced OAK that do not show any outgrowths and those without the transgene that form outgrowths. The heterozygosity of the cross and the presence or absence of the transgene was confirmed by genotyping as described above. Plants not containing the transgene were harvested for petioles with outgrowths. Petioles from plants with the transgene that did not form the outgrowths were used as controls. At the 22–24 leaf stage, early outgrowths were collected, and similar petiole tissue was harvested from the control plants and frozen in liquid nitrogen. At the 26–28 leaf stage, outgrowths were harvested along with control tissue and considered as late outgrowths. RNA was isolated with the QIAGEN RNeasy Plant Mini Kit with on-column DNase treatment prior to sequencing. mRNA sequencing was carried out by BGI Genomics (www.bgi.com). Low-quality reads and reads with uncalled bases (unknown bases greater than 5%) were discarded. Clean reads were assembled and mapped using hierarchical indexing for spliced alignment of transcripts (HISAT) (Kim et al. 2015) and fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie (Langmead and Salzberg 2012). The gene expression analysis was calculated using RNA-seq by expectation-maximization (RSEM) (Li and Dewey 2011), and DEGs were detected using DESeq2 based on a negative binomial distribution (Love et al. 2014). Parameters were set to fold change > 2, adjusted P-value < 0.05.

GO enrichment

GO enrichment analysis was performed using Agrigo V2 (<http://systemsbio.cau.edu.cn/agriGOv2/>) plant Singular Enrichment Analysis program with the complete GO database. DEGs that were up or downregulated were treated separately, with a significance level of 0.01 for Fischer's exact test, and with the Benjamini–Yekutieli multi-test adjustment used for FDR. A full list of all GO terms is in Supplementary Table S4.

RT-qPCR

RNA was extracted from pools of two plants each, unless otherwise indicated, using the Qiagen Plant RNeasy Mini kit. One microgram of RNA was

DNase-treated with the same on-column kit as used for the mRNA-seq analysis. Complementary DNA was synthesized with oligoDT primers (ImProm II kit) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) was performed using SYBR green PCR Mastermix and an AbiPrism 7900HT machine. At least three biological replicates were used with two technical replicates for each condition. Expression was normalized to two housekeeping genes, *SAND* and *GAPDH*. Primers are listed in [Supplementary Table S2](#).

Hormone extractions, measurements and treatments

Petioles of F₁ hybrids and amiR_{OAK} F₁ hybrids were harvested from plants at the 26–28 leaf stage at midday. Four replicates each with pools of two plants per replicate were extracted and endogenous levels of IAA, SA, JA, JA-Ile and ABA were measured as in [Salem et al. \(2016, 2020\)](#). For foliar spray experiments, plants at the 4–6 leaf stage were sprayed with the described concentration of hormone and 0.02% Tween-20. Control plants were sprayed with water with 0.01% ethanol (as the hormone stock solutions were prepared in ethanol) and 0.02% Tween-20 added. Two weeks later, plants were scored 3 d a week for out-growth and leaf number. Final rosette diameter was measured after 2 weeks. NAA (Duchefa Biochemie), SA (S-7401, Sigma-Aldrich), JA (M1068-5G, TCI Deutschland), eBR (E1641, Sigma-Aldrich), GA (Duchefa Biochemie) and ABA (Sigma-Aldrich) were used.

Vascular bundle measurements

To analyze vascular bundle organization, the main floral stems from mature plants were cut within 2 cm of the base with a razor blade and sectioned by hand. Sections were stained with 0.1% (w/v) toluidine blue solution and visualized with a Leica M165 FC microscope using brightfield imaging. The number of vascular bundles was counted from the images of the stained sections.

Supplementary Data

Supplementary data are available at *PCP* online.

Data Availability

The data generated or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. The RNAseq data have been submitted to the NCBI SRA database with reference ID PRJNA771496 (link <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/771496>).

Funding

Max Planck Society to K.S.-F., M.N., B.P., M.C. and R.A.E.L.; European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme, project PlantaSYST (SGA-CSA No. 739582 under FPA No. 664620) to A.R.F. and S.A. Work in the L.M.S. lab is supported by a research project grant from The Leverhulme Trust (RPG-2019-056).

Acknowledgements

We thank Karin Köhl and the Green Team of the Max Planck Institute of Molecular Plant Physiology for taking excellent care of plants. We thank Maximilian Birkl and Christian Jorzig for their help in the plant work.

Authors' Contributions

K.S.-F., M.N. and R.A.E.L. conceived the original research plans; R.A.E.L. supervised the experiments; K.S.-F. performed most of the experiments; M.C. did segregation analysis; M.N. and B.P. did initial phenotyping and crosses; S.A. performed the hormone measurements, A.F. supervised the hormone experiments; A.W. did the sequencing analysis of OAK populations; L.S. cloned and provided constructs; K.S.-F. and R.A.E.L. designed the experiments; K.S.-F. analyzed the data; K.S.-F. and R.A.E.L. conceived the project and wrote the article with contributions of all the authors; R.A.E.L. supervised and completed the writing. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

Disclosures

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

- Aerts, N., Mendes, M.P. and Van Wees, S.C.M. (2021) Multiple levels of crosstalk in hormone networks regulating plant defense. *Plant J.* 105: 489–504.
- Alhajturki, D., Muralidharan, S., Nurmi, M., Rowan, B.A., Lunn, J.E., Boldt, H., et al. (2018) Dose-dependent interactions between two loci trigger altered shoot growth in BG-5 x Krotzenburg-0 (Kro-0) hybrids of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *New Phytol.* 217: 392–406.
- Antolin-Llovera, M., Ried, M.K., Binder, A. and Parniske, M. (2012) Receptor kinase signaling pathways in plant-microbe interactions. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 50: 451–473.
- Arnaiz, A., Talavera-Mateo, L., Gonzalez-Melendi, P., Martinez, M., Diaz, I. and Santamaria, M.E. (2018) Arabidopsis kunitz trypsin inhibitors in defense against spider mites. *Front. Plant Sci.* 9: 986.
- Barbier, F.F., Lunn, J.E. and Beveridge, C.A. (2015) Ready, steady, go! A sugar hit starts the race to shoot branching. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 25: 39–45.
- Bennett, T., Hines, G., van Rongen, M., Waldie, T., Sawchuk, M.G., Scarpella, E., et al. (2016) Connective auxin transport in the shoot facilitates communication between shoot apices. *PLoS Biol.* 14: e1002446.
- Bikard, D., Patel, D., Le Mette, C., Giorgi, V., Camilleri, C., Bennett, M.J., et al. (2009) Divergent evolution of duplicate genes leads to genetic incompatibilities within *A. thaliana*. *Science* 323: 623–626.
- Brodersen, P., Petersen, M., Bjorn Nielsen, H., Zhu, S., Newman, M.A., Shokat, K.M., et al. (2006) Arabidopsis MAP kinase 4 regulates salicylic acid- and jasmonic acid/ethylene-dependent responses via EDS1 and PAD4. *Plant J.* 47: 532–546.
- Busch, A. and Zachgo, S. (2007) Control of corolla monosymmetry in the *Brassicaceae* *Iberis amara*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 104: 16714–16719.
- Chang, S.H., Tan, C.M., Wu, C.T., Lin, T.H., Jiang, S.Y., Liu, R.C., et al. (2018) Alterations of plant architecture and phase transition by the phytoplasma virulence factor SAP11. *J. Exp. Bot.* 69: 5389–5401.
- Chen, J., Yu, F., Liu, Y., Du, C., Li, X., Zhu, S., et al. (2016) FERONIA interacts with ABI2-type phosphatases to facilitate signaling cross-talk between abscisic acid and RALF peptide in Arabidopsis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 113: E5519–E5527.
- Clough, S.J. and Bent, A.F. (1998) Floral dip: a simplified method for *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J.* 16: 735–743.
- Cubas, P., Coen, E. and Zapater, J.M. (2001) Ancient asymmetries in the evolution of flowers. *Curr. Biol.* 11: 1050–1052.

- de Jong, M., George, G., Ongaro, V., Williamson, L., Willetts, B., Ljung, K., et al. (2014) Auxin and strigolactone signaling are required for modulation of arabidopsis shoot branching by nitrogen supply. *Plant Physiol.* 166: 384–U549.
- Domagalska, M.A. and Leyser, O. (2011) Signal integration in the control of shoot branching. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Bio.* 12: 211–221.
- Doyle, J.J. and Doyle, J.L. (1987) A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. *Phytochemical Bulletin V* 19: 11–15.
- Espinoza, C., Liang, Y. and Stacey, G. (2017) Chitin receptor CERK1 links salt stress and chitin-triggered innate immunity in Arabidopsis. *Plant J.* 89: 984–995.
- Feng, W., Kita, D., Peaucelle, A., Cartwright, H.N., Doan, V., Duan, Q., et al. (2018) The FERONIA receptor kinase maintains cell-wall integrity during salt stress through Ca(2+) signaling. *Curr. Biol.* 28: e665.
- Franck, C.M., Westermann, J. and Boisson-Dernier, A. (2018) Plant malectin-like receptor kinases: from cell wall integrity to immunity and beyond. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 69: 301–328.
- Galindo-Trigo, S., Blumke, P., Simon, R. and Butenko, M.A. (2020) Emerging mechanisms to fine-tune receptor kinase signaling specificity. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 57: 41–51.
- Galindo-Trigo, S., Gray, J.E. and Smith, L.M. (2016) Conserved roles of CrRLK1L receptor-like kinases in cell expansion and reproduction from algae to angiosperms. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7: 1269.
- Guo, Z., Fujioka, S., Blancaflor, E.B., Miao, S., Gou, X. and Li, J. (2010) TCP1 modulates brassinosteroid biosynthesis by regulating the expression of the key biosynthetic gene DWARF4 in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant Cell* 22: 1161–1173.
- Hu, Y.R., Jiang, L.Q., Wang, F. and Yu, D.Q. (2013) Jasmonate regulates the INDUCER OF CBF EXPRESSION-C-REPEAT BINDING FACTOR/DRE BINDING FACTOR1 cascade and freezing tolerance in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 25: 2907–2924.
- Huang, D., Wu, W., Abrams, S.R. and Cutler, A.J. (2008) The relationship of drought-related gene expression in Arabidopsis thaliana to hormonal and environmental factors. *J. Exp. Bot.* 59: 2991–3007.
- Iwase, A., Mitsuda, N., Koyama, T., Hiratsu, K., Kojima, M., Arai, T., et al. (2011) The AP2/ERF transcription factor WIND1 controls cell dedifferentiation in Arabidopsis. *Curr. Biol.* 21: 508–514.
- Jose, J., Ghantasala, S. and Roy Choudhury, S. (2020) Arabidopsis transmembrane receptor-like kinases (RLKs): a bridge between extracellular signal and intracellular regulatory machinery. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21: 1–29.
- Kim, D., Landmead, B. and Salzberg, S.L. (2015) HISAT: a fast spliced aligner with low memory requirements. *Nat. Methods* 12: 357–U121.
- Klionsky, D.J., Abdel-Aziz, A.K., Abdelfatah, S., Abdellatif, M., Abdoli, A., Abel, S., et al. (2021) Guidelines for the use and interpretation of assays for monitoring autophagy (4th edition). *Autophagy* 17: 1–382.
- Koyama, T., Sato, F. and Ohme-Takagi, M. (2010) A role of TCP1 in the longitudinal elongation of leaves in Arabidopsis. *Biosci. Biotech. Biochem.* 74: 2145–2147.
- Kumar, D., Kumar, R., Baek, D., Hyun, T.K., Chung, W.S., Yun, D.J., et al. (2017) Arabidopsis thaliana RECEPTOR DEAD KINASE1 functions as a positive regulator in plant responses to ABA. *Mol. Plant* 10: 223–243.
- Langmead, B. and Salzberg, S.L. (2012) Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat. Methods* 9: 357–U354.
- Li, B. and Dewey, C.N. (2011) RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data with or without a reference genome. *BMC Bioinform.* 12: 1–16.
- Liao, H.D., Tang, R.J., Zhang, X., Luan, S. and Yu, F. (2017) FERONIA receptor kinase at the crossroads of hormone signaling and stress responses. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 58: 1143–1150.
- Love, M.I., Huber, W. and Anders, S. (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* 15: 1–21.
- Monaghan, J. and Zipfel, C. (2012) Plant pattern recognition receptor complexes at the plasma membrane. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 15: 349–357.
- Mueller, D. and Leyser, O. (2011) Auxin, cytokinin and the control of shoot branching. *Ann. Bot-London* 107: 1203–1212.
- Ongaro, V. and Leyser, O. (2008) Hormonal control of shoot branching. *J. Exp. Bot.* 59: 67–74.
- Plotner, B., Nurmi, M., Fischer, A., Watanabe, M., Schneeberger, K., Holm, S., et al. (2017) Chlorosis caused by two recessively interacting genes reveals a role of RNA helicase in hybrid breakdown in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant J.* 91: 251–262.
- Qu, S.F., Zhang, X., Song, Y.T., Lin, J.X. and Shan, X.Y. (2017) THESEUS1 positively modulates plant defense responses against Botrytis cinerea through GUANINE EXCHANGE FACTOR4 signaling. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.* 59: 797–804.
- Salem, M.A., Juppner, J., Bajdzienko, K. and Giavalisco, P. (2016) Protocol: a fast, comprehensive and reproducible one-step extraction method for the rapid preparation of polar and semi-polar metabolites, lipids, proteins, starch and cell wall polymers from a single sample. *Plant Methods* 12: 1–15.
- Salem, M.A., Yoshida, T., de Souza, L.P., Alseikh, S., Bajdzienko, K., Fernie, A.R., et al. (2020) An improved extraction method enables the comprehensive analysis of lipids, proteins, metabolites and phytohormones from a single sample of leaf tissue under water-deficit stress. *Plant J.* 103: 1614–1632.
- Schwab, R., Ossowski, S., Riester, M., Warthmann, N. and Weigel, D. (2006) Highly specific gene silencing by artificial microRNAs in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 18: 1121–1133.
- Scott, I.M., Clarke, S.M., Wood, J.E. and Mur, L.A.J. (2004) Salicylate accumulation inhibits growth at chilling temperature in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 135: 1040–1049.
- Shi, B.H., Zhang, C., Tian, C.H., Wang, J., Wang, Q., Xu, T.F., et al. (2016) Two-step regulation of a meristematic cell population acting in shoot branching in Arabidopsis. *PLoS Genet.* 12: e1006168.
- Smith, L.M., Bomblies, K. and Weigel, D. (2011) Complex evolutionary events at a tandem cluster of Arabidopsis thaliana genes resulting in a single-locus genetic incompatibility. *PLoS Genet.* 7: 1–14.
- Stirnberg, P., Ward, S. and Leyser, O. (2010) Auxin and strigolactones in shoot branching: intimately connected? *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 38: 717–722.
- Teichmann, T. and Muhr, M. (2015) Shaping plant architecture. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6: 233.
- Vaid, N., Ishihara, H., Plotner, B., Sageman-Furnas, K., Wiszniewski, A. and Laitinen, R.A.E. (2020) Leaf chlorosis in Arabidopsis thaliana hybrids is associated with transgenerational decline and imbalanced ribosome number. *New Phytol.* 228: 989–1000.
- Van der Does, D., Boutrot, F., Engelsdorf, T., Rhodes, J., McKenna, J.F., Vernhettes, S., et al. (2017) The Arabidopsis leucine-rich repeat receptor kinase MIK2/LRR-KISS connects cell wall integrity sensing, root growth and response to abiotic and biotic stresses. *PLoS Genet.* 13: e1006832.
- Wang, L., Wang, B., Yu, H., Guo, H.Y., Lin, T., Kou, L.Q., et al. (2020) Transcriptional regulation of strigolactone signalling in Arabidopsis. *Nature* 583: 277–+.
- Wang, T., Liang, L., Xue, Y., Jia, P.F., Chen, W., Zhang, M.X., et al. (2016) A receptor heteromer mediates the male perception of female attractants in plants. *Nature* 531: 241–+.
- Wang, Z., Yang, Q., Shao, Y., Zhang, B., Feng, A., Meng, F., et al. (2018) GmLEA2-1, a late embryogenesis abundant protein gene isolated from

- soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.), confers tolerance to abiotic stress. *Acta Biol. Hung.* 69: 270–282.
- Xi, L., Wu, X.N., Gilbert, M. and Schulze, W.X. (2019) Classification and interactions of LRR receptors and co-receptors within the arabidopsis plasma membrane - an overview. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10: 1–8.
- Yang, J., Duan, G.H., Li, C.Q., Liu, L., Han, G.Y., Zhang, Y.L., et al. (2019) The crosstalks between jasmonic acid and other plant hormone signaling highlight the involvement of jasmonic acid as a core component in plant response to biotic and abiotic stresses. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10: 1–12.
- Yoshida, T., Obata, T., Feil, R., Lunn, J.E., Fujita, Y., Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K., et al. (2019) The role of abscisic acid signaling in maintaining the metabolic balance required for arabidopsis growth under nonstress conditions. *Plant Cell* 31: 84–105.
- Zheng, X., Yang, X., Chen, Z., Xie, W., Yue, X., Zhu, H., et al. (2021) Arabidopsis SMAX1 overaccumulation suppresses rosette shoot branching and promotes leaf and petiole elongation. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 553: 44–50.
- Zhu, D., Wu, Z., Cao, G., Li, J., Wei, J., Tsuge, T., et al. (2014) TRANSLUCENT GREEN, an ERF family transcription factor, controls water balance in Arabidopsis by activating the expression of aquaporin genes. *Mol. Plant* 7: 601–615.