

This is a repository copy of *Approaches to projecting future healthcare demand*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/185691/>

Version: Published Version

---

**Monograph:**

Matias, Maria Ana [orcid.org/0000-0002-8782-3311](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8782-3311), Santos, Rita [orcid.org/0000-0001-7953-1960](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7953-1960), Kasteridis, Panagiotis [orcid.org/0000-0003-1623-4293](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1623-4293) et al. (3 more authors) (2022) *Approaches to projecting future healthcare demand*. Discussion Paper. CHE Research Paper . Centre for Health Economics, University of York , UK.

---

**Reuse**

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

## Lay Summary

### Approaches to projecting future healthcare demand

by Maria Ana Matias, Rita Santos, Panos Kasteridis, Katja Grasic, Anne Mason, Nigel Rice

**Introduction:** To plan services and staff for the National Health Service (NHS), the government needs to know how much demand for services will rise and how much to spend on the NHS in future. These future estimates are known as *projections*: they indicate how much demand might rise or how much might be spent if certain assumptions hold true.

Published estimates of the amount of future NHS spend vary widely. This is partly because of uncertainty about how much demand for health care will rise in future, but also reflects differences in the statistical approaches used and the assumptions made.

Our aim was to describe and critically assess alternative statistical methods for projecting future healthcare demand and expenditure.

**Methods:** We assessed four ways of projecting future healthcare demand and expenditure.

#### 1. macro-level modelling

There are two general approaches. ‘Extrapolation’ modelling bases projections on past trends in healthcare demand (or expenditure) that are assumed to continue. ‘Computed General Equilibrium’ modelling uses economic theory to define the relationship between past and future values of drivers of demand (or expenditure).

#### 2. macrosimulation

Using information on baseline health care for groups of individuals, and making assumptions about how these groups will grow in size in the future, macrosimulation models project scenarios of future healthcare demand and expenditure.

#### 3. microsimulation

Using data on individuals and modelling how individuals change their behaviour when there is a policy change, microsimulation models simulate and project the impact of policies on future healthcare demand and expenditure.

#### 4. machine learning

Machine learning is a collection of different prediction ‘algorithms’ (set of rules) that ‘learn’ information directly from data without relying on a predetermined specification of a model.

First, we consider how appropriate these techniques are. This depends on the aim of the modelling exercise, for example, to assess the impact of policy changes, to understand causes of rising demand, or to project future healthcare expenditure. Second, we consider the costs of developing and running the statistical models. This depends on how much data they require, how expensive they are to develop and maintain and their running times. Third, we consider how accurate the techniques are in terms of the values they provide and how well they fit the data. We also consider how easy the techniques are to use and understand. Lastly, we assess how straightforward it would be to update them.

**Discussion:** Each of the four techniques has both strengths and limitations. The choice of technique depends on the policy maker's objectives – what they are trying to achieve and how they prioritise different aims, what data are available and the time horizon of interest, e.g. 5, 10 or 20 years. For example, machine learning and macro-level models would likely provide the most accurate models for longer-term projections, but macrosimulation or microsimulation models are more suitable for testing the potential impact of changes in policy.

**Full paper available at**

[https://www.york.ac.uk/media/che/documents/papers/researchpapers/CHERP186\\_projecting\\_healthcare\\_demand.pdf](https://www.york.ac.uk/media/che/documents/papers/researchpapers/CHERP186_projecting_healthcare_demand.pdf)

**Contact** Maria Ana Matias ([mariaana.matias@york.ac.uk](mailto:mariaana.matias@york.ac.uk))

ESHCRU II is a collaboration between the Centre for Health Economics (CHE) at the University of York and the Care Policy and Evaluation Centre (CPEC) at the London School of Economics and Political Science. This research is funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Policy Research Programme, conducted through the NIHR Policy Research Unit in Economics of Health Systems and Interface with Social Care, PR-PRU-1217-20301. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.