UNIVERSITY of York

This is a repository copy of Mapping Canadian Data Assets to Generate Real-World Evidence:Lessons Learned from Canadian Real-World Evidence for Value of Cancer Drugs (CanREValue) Collaboration's RWE Data Working Group.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/184850/</u>

Version: Published Version

Article:

Dai, Wei Fang, de Oliveira, Claire orcid.org/0000-0003-3961-6008, Blommaert, Scott et al. (24 more authors) (2022) Mapping Canadian Data Assets to Generate Real-World Evidence:Lessons Learned from Canadian Real-World Evidence for Value of Cancer Drugs (CanREValue) Collaboration's RWE Data Working Group. Current Oncology. pp. 2046-2063. ISSN 1718-7729

https://doi.org/10.3390/curroncol29030165

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

| and CanREValue Inte | Data Element from pCMOD | Status | Available in CanREValue Data Report | Notes |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------|---|---|
| Health Service Event | Service Date | м | Yes | The date on which the prescription was dispensed |
| Organization | Organization ID | М | No | Will explore in future reports |
| Information (health care facility | Organization Province | М | No | N/A – each province maintains their own datasets; |
| where the drug was received) | Organization Postal Code | М | No | Will explore in future reports |
| Prescriber | Prescriber ID | 0 | No | Will explore in future reports |
| Information | Prescriber Specialty | 0 | No | Will explore in future reports |
| | Prescriber Province | 0 | Yes | N/A – each province maintains their own datasets; |
| | Prescriber Postal Code | 0 | No | Will explore in future reports |
| Client/patient | Client/Patient ID | М | Yes | |
| information | Client/Patient Province | М | No | N/A – each province maintains their own datasets; |
| | Client/Patient Postal Code | М | Yes | |
| | Client/Patient Gender | М | Yes | |
| | Client/Patient Date of Birth | М | Yes | |
| | Client/Patient Height | 0 | Yes | |
| | Client/Patient Weight | 0 | Yes | |
| | Client/Patient Body Surface Area | 0 | Yes | |
| | Body Surface Formula | С | No | N/A – This is a formula and not a variable; |
| Disease information | Diagnosis Code | М | Yes | |
| | Topography Code | М | Yes | |
| | Morphology Code | М | Yes | |
| | Topography/Morphology Code Version | М | No | Will explore in future reports |
| | Staging | М | Yes | |
| | Date of Initial Diagnosis | М | Yes | |
| Drug Information | Drug product ID / Name | М | Yes | |
| | Drug Product Strength | С | No | Will explore in future reports |
| | Drug Product dosage form | С | Yes | |
| | Regimen/Treatment Plan | 0 | Yes | |
| | Quantity Dispensed | М | Yes | |
| | Measurement Unit | 0 | No | Will explore in future reports |
| | Days supply | 0 | Yes | |
| | Route of Administration | 0 | Yes | |
| | Drug Cost | 0 | Yes | |

Supplementary Table S1: Comparison between pan-Canadian Minimal Oncology Dataset (pCMOD) and CanREValue Interim Data

Status: M = Mandatory; O = Optional; C = Conditional;

Supplementary Table S2: Additional real-world data elements requiring future exploration

| Туре | Variable |
|---------------------|--|
| Demographic | Race/Ethnicity |
| Demographic | Immigration status |
| Tumor | Site of metastasis |
| characteristics | Number of metastatic sites |
| characteristics | Node (+/-) |
| | Tumor mutational burden |
| | Recurrence vs de novo diagnosis |
| | Date of progression |
| | Criteria for evaluating progression |
| | Date of response |
| | Response status |
| | Criteria for assessing response |
| Biomarker status | Drug and disease biomarkers status |
| Diomarker Status | (e.g. HR+, HER2+, TNBC, EGFR, ALK, ROS1, NTRK, CEA, CA19-9, CA-125) |
| | Biomarker assay used |
| | Date of test |
| | Date of results |
| Lab test | Lab test |
| | (E.g. Lymphocyte count, Platelet count) |
| PROMS, PREMS, and | Quality of life (QoL) |
| QoL | (e.g. European Organization Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life |
| QUL | Questionnaire-C30; EuroQol-5D-5L, Patient Reported Functional Status, |
| | Generalized Anxiety Disorder-7, Patient Health Questionnaire-9, Brief Pain |
| | Inventory, Chronic Fatigue Syndrome) |
| | Patient-reported outcome measures (PROMS) |
| | Patient-reported experience measures (PREMS) |
| Healthcare | Treatment chair time |
| Utilization | Nursing time |
| | Pharmacy time to prepare IV dose |
| | Advance care planning |
| Cancer Risk | Smoking |
| Factors/Confounders | |
| | Sun Exposure |
| | Diet |
| | Physical Activity |
| | Sleep |
| | Stress |
| | Chemical exposure |
| | Occupational Exposure |
| | Genetic Changes |
| | Infectious disease |
| | Radiation |
| | Family History |
| | |

| Social Determinants | Income and social status | | | | | |
|---------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| of Health | Employment and working conditions | | | | | |
| | Education and literacy | | | | | |
| | Burden of disease on productivity | | | | | |
| | Childhood experiences | | | | | |
| | Physical environments | | | | | |
| | Social supports and coping skills | | | | | |
| | Healthy behaviors | | | | | |
| Outcome Measures | Event-free survival (EFS) | | | | | |
| | Disease-free survival (DFS) | | | | | |
| | Invasive-disease free survival (iDFS) | | | | | |
| | Progression-free Survival (PFS) | | | | | |
| | Progression-free Survival 2 (PFS2) | | | | | |
| | Minimal residual disease (MRD) | | | | | |
| | Pathological complete response (pCR) | | | | | |
| | Time to next treatment (TTNT) | | | | | |
| | Treatment-free interval (TFI) | | | | | |
| | Overall response rate (ORR) and associated strata (stringent Complete | | | | | |
| | Response, Complete Response, Very Good Partial Response, Partial | | | | | |
| | Response, Stable Disease) | | | | | |

Supplementary Table S3: Potential private/academic databases for RWE analysis

- Disease site specific database:
 - Canadian Melanoma Research Network: healthie[™]
 - Pan-Canadian Lung Cancer Observational Study (PALEOS)
 - o Uveal Melanoma Registry
 - o Canadian Prostate Cancer Biomarker Network (BPCBN)
 - \circ The Alberta Prostate Cancer Research Institute Initiative (APCaRI): Alberta Prostate Registry
 - o The Myeloma Canada Research Network (MCRN) Canadian Multiple Myeloma Database
 - Canadian Bladder Cancer Information System (CBCIS)
 - $\circ~$ Canadian Kidney Cancer Information System (CKCIS)
 - o GlansLook Lung Cancer Database (Alberta)
 - McPeak-Sirois Breast Metastases Registry (Montreal Region)
 - Enhanced Pancreatic Cancer Profiling For Individualized Care (EPPIC)
- Pediatric oncology database:
 - o Pediatric Oncology Group of Ontario Networked Information System (POGONIS)
 - Cancer in Young People in Canada (CYP-C) databases
- IQVIA Databases: Private Drug Claims and RxDynamics
- The Canadian Personalized Healthcare Innovation Network (C-PHIN)'s databases
- Palliative care databases owned by the Alberta Health Service Palliative Care Zonal program leaders
- Patient Support Programs Database (PSPs)
- Private insurance disability registries
- O2 Oncology Outcomes program databases
- Programme de Gestion Thérapeutique des Médicaments databases
- Personalize my Treatment (PMT) Registry
- International registries:
 - Flatiron Electronic Health Record Database (US)
 - The National Lung Cancer Registry (Sweden)
 - CRISP register (Germany)

Note: The databases were identified through Stakeholder consultation

Supplementary Table S4: Survey on databases and data elements

| Category | Variables | Description | Database Name | Notes |
|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------|---------------|-------|
| Cohort Creation: | Topography | | | |
| Identify disease of | Morphology | | | |
| interests | Behaviour | | | |
| | Date of diagnosis | | | |
| Cohort Creation: | Drug Identifier – IV | | | |
| Identify treatment of | Drug Identifier – Oral | | | |
| interest | Treatment Indication | | | |
| | Intent of treatment | | | |
| | Line of therapy | | | |
| | Date of treatment | | | |
| | administration | | | |
| | Dispensing date | | | |
| Demographic and | Provincial Patient | | | |
| Clinical Characteristics | Identifier | | | |

| Category | Variables | Description | Database Name | Notes |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|---------------|-------|
| | Sex | | | |
| | Date of Birth | | | |
| | Age at first treatment | | | |
| | Rural/Urban | | | |
| | residence | | | |
| | Neighbourhood | | | |
| | Income Quintiles | | | |
| | Regional Health | | | |
| | Authority | | | |
| | Charlson's Score | | | |
| | Adjusted Clinical | | | |
| | Groups(ACG) | | | |
| | ECOG-Performance | | | |
| | Status | | | |
| | Palliative | | | |
| | Performance Status | | | |
| | Radiation Use | | | |
| | Radiation – | | | |
| | Dose/minutes per | | | |
| | fraction | | | |
| | Radiation – Intent | | | |
| | Radiation – visit date | | | |
| | Surgical resection | | | |
| | code | | | |
| | Surgical resection | | | |
| | date | | | |
| Clinical Effectiveness | Date of Death | | | |
| | Date of last contact | | | |
| Safety & Toxicity | ED Visit - Date of | | | |
| Survey & Toxicity | registration ²⁶ | | | |
| | ED Visit - Main | | | |
| | Problem ²⁶ | | | |
| | ED Visit - Visit | | | |
| | disposition code ²⁶ | | | |
| | Hospital Visit - Date of | | | |
| | admission ²⁷ | | | |
| | Hospital Visit - | | | |
| | Diagnosis codes or | | | |
| | procedure codes ²⁷ | | | |
| | Hospital Visit - | | | |
| | Discharge | | | |
| | disposition ²⁷ | | | |
| Cost-effectiveness | Drug (IV) – total cost | | | |
| | Drug – reimbursed | | | |
| | cost | | | |

| Category | Variables | Description | Database Name | Notes |
|------------------|------------------------------|-------------|---------------|-------|
| | Drug (oral) – total | | | |
| | cost | | | |
| | Drug – Dispensing | | | |
| | fees | | | |
| | Drug – Compounding | | | |
| | fee | | | |
| | Physician fee – Billing code | | | |
| | Physician fee – | | | |
| | Amount paid | | | |
| | Outpatient | | | |
| | laboratory and | | | |
| | imaging services – | | | |
| | Billing code | | | |
| | Outpatient | | | |
| | laboratory and | | | |
| | imaging services – | | | |
| | Amount paid | | | |
| | ED cost/resource | | | |
| | intensity weight | | | |
| | Hospitalization | | | |
| | cost/resource | | | |
| | intensity weight | | | |
| | Home Care | | | |
| | Complex continuing | | | |
| | care | | | |
| Budget Impact | Doses dispensed – | | | |
| | Days supplied | | | |
| | Treatment dose | | | |
| | given | | | |
| | Body Surface area | | | |
| | Height | | | |
| | Weight | | | |
| Patient reported | Edmonton Symptom | | | |
| outcomes | Assessment Score | | | |

Supplementary Table S5: Survey on capacity assessment

Intravenous Drug

| Analysis | BC | AB | SK | MN | ON | QB | NS | NB | NFL | PEI |
|-----------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|
| Cohort Creation | | | | | | | | | | |
| Effectiveness (Survival) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Safety & Toxicity | | | | | | | | | | |
| Budget Impact | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cost Effectiveness Analysis | | | | | | | | | | |
| Patient reported outcomes | | | | | | | | | | |

Oral Drug

| Analysis | BC | AB | SK | MN | ON | QB | NS | NB | NFL | PEI |
|-----------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|
| Cohort Creation | | | | | | | | | | |
| Effectiveness (Survival) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Safety & Toxicity | | | | | | | | | | |
| Budget Impact | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cost Effectiveness Analysis | | | | | | | | | | |
| Patient reported outcomes | | | | | | | | | | |

Supplementary Table S6: Glossary

AB = Alberta ACG = Adjusted Clinical Group BC = British Columbia CanREValue = Canadian Real-World Evidence for Value of Cancer Drugs CCI = Canadian Classification of Health Interventions. CCMB = CancerCare Manitoba CCO = Cancer Care Ontario CCP = Canadian Classification of Diagnostic, Therapeutic, and Surgical Procedures; CIHI = Canadian Institute for Health Information DAD = Discharge Abstract Database DIN = Drug Identifier Number DoH = Departments of Health ECOG = Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group ED = Emergency Department. ENCR = European Network of Cancer Registries HDNS = Health Data Nova Scotia HTA = Health Technology Assessment ICD-O-3 = International Classification of Disease for Oncology Third version. IV = Intravenous MB = Manitoba mCODE = Minimal Common Oncology Data Elements MoH = Ministries of Health NACRS = National Ambulatory Care Reporting System NB = New Brunswick NL = Newfoundland and Labrador NS = Nova Scotia ON = Ontario pCMOD = pan-Canadian Minimal Oncology Dataset PEI = Prince Edward Island OB = Ouebec RCT = Randomized Clinical Trials RWD = Real World Data RWD = Real World Evidence SK = Saskatchewan WG = Working Group