



This is a repository copy of *Is it time to stop giving antibiotic prophylaxis to prosthetic joint patients?*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/184386/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Lockhart, P., Springer, B., Baddour, L. et al. (1 more author) (2022) Is it time to stop giving antibiotic prophylaxis to prosthetic joint patients? *Journal of the American Dental Association*, 153 (8). pp. 737-739. ISSN 0002-8177

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13

Commentary

Is it time to stop giving antibiotic prophylaxis to prosthetic joint patients?

Abbreviations

- AAOS American Association of Orthopaedic Surgeons
- ADA American Dental Association
- AP Antibiotic prophylaxis
- IDP Invasive dental procedures
- LPJI Late prosthetic joint infection

14 **Background**

15 Prosthetic joints are one of the great advances of modern medicine. They improve patients'
16 quality of life by providing pain relief, mobility, and independence. There are already >7 million
17 people with prosthetic joints in the US,¹ and this number is increasing rapidly. By the 1970s-80s,
18 orthopaedic surgeons began to call for dentists to give antibiotic prophylaxis (AP) to patients
19 with prosthetic joints undergoing invasive dental procedures (IDP). In 1988, the American
20 Dental Association (ADA) sponsored a workshop which concluded that scientific data were
21 inadequate to support the need for or effectiveness of AP and that the decision to use or not use
22 AP should be up to the dentist's clinical judgement in consultation with the orthopaedist.² In
23 1997, and again in 2003 the ADA and AAOS published agreed upon guidelines, but in 2009 the
24 AAOS put out a "Patient Safety Committee Opinion Statement" that essentially reverted back to
25 the pre-1997 practice of covering all prosthetic joints for the lifetime of the patient. Despite
26 multiple attempts by the ADA and American Association of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS)
27 since 1995 to resolve the issues of whether IDP predispose patients to late prosthetic joint
28 infection (LPJI), and if AP is effective and safe in preventing LPJI, these issues are still not
29 resolved.³⁻⁵

30

31 **What's New**

32 A recent study has provided strong evidence that IDP do not predispose patients to subsequent
33 LPJI.⁶ This study included all 9,427 LPJI hospital admissions in England over a 6-year period,
34 for whom dental records were available. This was more than 30 times larger than any previous
35 study and had more than sufficient statistical power to detect any clinically significant
36 association between IDP and LPJI. Furthermore, the confounding caused by AP use in
37 previously investigated populations was avoided by using the population of England and Wales
38 (58,000,000 people), where use of AP to prevent LPJI has never been advocated. This study
39 showed that there were fewer IDP in the 3-months before LPJI (incidence rate ratio = 0.89, 95%
40 confidence interval 0.82 to 0.96, p=0.002) than in the preceding 12-months.

41

42 **What We Know**

- 43 • Prosthetic joint infections are devastating. In many cases, the infection cannot be
44 eliminated with antibiotics alone and the infected prosthesis must be removed. In some
45 cases, a replacement prosthesis must wait until the infection is resolved following a long-
46 term period of IV and oral antibiotics. In some cases, replacement may not be an option,

47 and in rare cases these infections can lead to limb amputation or death. Additionally, the
48 economic, societal, and personal costs of LPJI are substantial.

- 49 • Although the vast majority of joint replacements are successful, LPJI remains an
50 important cause of arthroplasty failure.
- 51 • Although uncommon, LPJI are most likely to result from hematogenous spread of
52 infection from one or other distant source. However, oral bacteria account for a very
53 small proportion of LPJI, likely <5%).
- 54 • Other, non-oral bacteria, particularly Staphylococci, account for the vast majority of
55 LPJI.
- 56 • Oral bacteria not only enter the circulation during IDP, but also during routine daily
57 activities such as tooth brushing.⁷ The frequency for oral bacteremia from the mouth is
58 influenced by an individual's oral hygiene status and gingival health, that is, those with
59 lower levels of dental calculus and plaque are less likely to experience bacteremia
60 following routine daily activities.⁸
- 61 • For AP to be effective, a causal relationship must exist between IDP and LPJI, and
62 currently data to support this are lacking.⁶
- 63 • Complications can occur with the administration of AP for prosthetic joint patients and
64 include: 1) the risk of adverse drug reactions;⁹ 2) the unnecessary use of antibiotics can
65 lead to antibiotic resistance and loss of antibiotic effectiveness; and 3) significant cost
66 burden for patients and healthcare systems.
- 67 • In the US, dentists are under pressure to provide AP before IDP to prevent LPJI.
68 However, because of the lack of evidence for a causal association, the small incidence of
69 LPJI caused by oral bacteria and the costs and risks associated with AP, orthopaedic
70 surgeons in many other countries do not recommend AP for patients undergoing IDP.*
71 Moreover, there is no evidence that LPJI incidence is any higher in the countries where
72 AP is not recommended.

73

74 **What We Think We Know**

- 75 • In the absence of a positive association between IDP and subsequent LPJI, there is no
76 rationale for providing AP.

- 77 • There is the potential for hundreds of oral bacteremia bouts annually related to activities
78 of daily living in people with poor oral hygiene, which likely poses a far greater risk for
79 the very small number of oral bacteria related LPJI than the occasional IDP.⁷
80 • Poor oral hygiene and gingival disease should be considered risk factors for LPJI, just as
81 other well-recognized risk factors are, for example, obesity, diabetes mellitus,
82 immunocompromise, and rheumatoid arthritis¹.

83

84 **What We Don't Know**

- 85 • Are IDP or routine daily activities more responsible for the small number of oral bacteria
86 related LPJI?
87 • Does AP before IDP prevent LPJI? If so, to what degree?
88 • Does the risk of routine AP prior to IDP for those with prosthetic joints outweigh the risk
89 adverse reactions and the overuse of antibiotics to the individual and society?

90

91 **Where Do We Go from Here?**

92 In light of this new scientific evidence which supports data from previous studies and systematic
93 reviews,^{5,10} it may be time for a committee representing the ADA and the AAOS to review the
94 scientific literature concerning IDP and risk for LPJI and develop agreed upon guidelines, as was
95 done in 1997 and 2003. This group might also refocus the effort to reduce the incidence of LPJI
96 on a potential association between bacteremia from poor oral hygiene as a cause of the small
97 percentage of cases of LPJI from the oral cavity.

98

99 **Conclusions**

100 These new data suggest there is no rationale for patients with prosthetic joints to receive AP
101 before IDP. The critical need to focus on antibiotic stewardship dictates a re-appraisal of
102 guidelines on AP use for IDP in people with prosthetic joints.

103

104 *Examples of countries that do not recommend AP before IDP for patients with prosthetic joints include
105 Australia, Brazil, Canada, Denmark, France, Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, and United Kingdom
106 (England, Scotland, Wales, Northern Ireland).

References

1. Tande AJ, Patel R. Prosthetic joint infection. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 2014;27(2):302-45. Epub 2014/04/04. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00111-13. PubMed PMID: 24696437; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3993098.
2. Management of dental patients with prosthetic joints. Council on Dental Therapeutics. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 1990;121(4):537-8. PubMed PMID: 2145346.
3. Lockhart PB. Antibiotic prophylaxis guidelines for prosthetic joints: much ado about nothing? *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol.* 2013;116(1):1-3. doi: 10.1016/j.oooo.2013.04.009. PubMed PMID: 23768873.
4. Lockhart PB, Garvin KL, Osmon DR, Hewlett AL, Scuderi G, Lewallen D, et al. The antibiotic prophylaxis guideline for prosthetic joints: trying to do the right thing. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* 2013;21(3):193-4. doi: 10.5435/JAAOS-21-03-193.
5. Sollecito TP, Abt E, Lockhart PB, Truelove E, Paumier TM, Tracy SL, et al. The use of prophylactic antibiotics prior to dental procedures in patients with prosthetic joints: Evidence-based clinical practice guideline for dental practitioners--a report of the American Dental Association Council on Scientific Affairs. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 2015;146(1):11-6 e8. doi: 10.1016/j.adaj.2014.11.012. PubMed PMID: 25569493.
6. Thornhill MH, Crum A, Rex S, Stone T, Campbell R, Bradburn M, et al. Analysis of prosthetic joint infections following invasive dental procedures in England. *JAMA Network Open.* 2022;5(1):e2142987. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.42987.
7. Lockhart PB, Brennan MT, Sasser HC, Fox PC, Paster BJ, Bahrani-Mougeot FK. Bacteremia associated with toothbrushing and dental extraction. *Circulation.* 2008;117(24):3118-25.
8. Tomas I, Diz P, Tobias A, Scully C, Donos N. Periodontal health status and bacteraemia from daily oral activities: systematic review/meta-analysis. *J Clin Periodontol.* 2012;39(3):213-28. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-051X.2011.01784.x. PubMed PMID: 22092606.
9. Thornhill MH, Dayer MJ, Durkin MJ, Lockhart PB, Baddour LM. Risk of Adverse Reactions to Oral Antibiotics Prescribed by Dentists. *J Dent Res.* 2019;98(10):1081-7. doi: 10.1177/0022034519863645. PubMed PMID: 31314998.

10. Berbari EF, Osmon DR, Carr A, Hanssen AD, Baddour LM, Greene D, et al. Dental procedures as risk factors for prosthetic hip or knee infection: a hospital-based prospective case-control study. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2010;50(1):8-16. doi: 10.1086/648676 [doi].