UNIVERSITY of York

This is a repository copy of *Amino Imidate-Catalyzed Asymmetric Michael Reactions of Ketones and Nitroalkenes*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/183332/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Clarke, Paul Andrew orcid.org/0000-0003-3952-359X, Sosunovych, Bohdan and Brown, Alexander (2022) Amino Imidate-Catalyzed Asymmetric Michael Reactions of Ketones and Nitroalkenes. SynOpen. SO-2022-01-0005-OP.R1. pp. 67-74. ISSN 2509-9396

https://doi.org/10.1055/a-1761-4495

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

Accepted Manuscript

 Submission Date:
 2022-01-27

 Accepted Date:
 2022-02-03

 Publication Date:
 2022-02-04

SynOpen

Amino Imidate-Catalyzed Asymmetric Michael Reactions of Ketones and Nitroalkenes

Bohdan Sosunovych, Alexander J Brown, Paul Clarke.

Affiliations below.

DOI: 10.1055/a-1761-4495

Please cite this article as: Sosunovych B, Brown A J, Clarke P. Amino Imidate-Catalyzed Asymmetric Michael Reactions of Ketones and Nitroalkenes. SynOpen 2022. doi: 10.1055/a-1761-4495

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

This study was supported by The wild Fund, University of York

Abstract:

The efficiency of an amino imidate organocatalyst was evaluated in the Michael reaction of ketones with nitroalkenes. tert-Butyl L-proline imidate was found to be a syn-selective catalyst generating products with moderate to good enantioselectivities, of up to 84% e.e. The best substrates were found to be cyclic ketones and S-nitrostyrenes. The catalytic efficiency and enantioselectivity was enhanced by the addition of 10 mol% of benzoic acid.

Corresponding Author:

Paul Clarke, University of York, Chemistry, Heslington, YO10 5DD York, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, paul clarke@york.ac.uk

Affiliations:

Bohdan Sosunovych, University of York, Chemistry, York, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland Alexander J Brown, University of York, Chemistry, York, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland Paul Clarke, University of York, Chemistry, York, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Amino Imidate-Catalyzed Asymmetric Michael Reactions of Ketones and Nitroalkenes

Bohdan Sosunovych^a Alexander J. Brown^a Paul A. Clarke*^a

^a Department of Chemistry, University of York, Heslington, York, YO10 5DD, North Yorkshire, UK.

* indicates the main/corresponding author. Use another symbol to indicate equal contributions.

Note: If an author has relocated from where the research was carried out, this is indicated with the next available reference number (usually 1); the new address should be added as that reference in the reference section.

paul.clarke@york.ac.uk

Click here to insert a dedication.

Received: Accepted: Published online:

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

Abstract The efficiency of an amino imidate organocatalyst was evaluated in the Michael reaction of ketones with nitroalkenes. *tert*-Butyl L-proline imidate was found to be a *syn*-selective catalyst generating products with moderate to good enantioselectivities, of up to 84% e.e. The best substrates were found to be cyclic ketones and β -nitrostyrenes. The catalytic efficiency and enantioselectivity was enhanced by the addition of 10 mol% of benzoic acid.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Key}}$ words asymmetric synthesis, organocatalysis, Michael reaction, amino imidate

Since the initial discovery of organocatalysis1 which was generalized by List, Barbas² and MacMillan,³ which led to the award of the 2021 Nobel Prize in Chemistry, there has been considerable interest in the study of small organic molecules capable of catalyzing a wide range of synthetic transformations enantioselectively. The pyrrolidine ring is a privileged structure regarding catalytic ability, and it is often substituted with carboxylic acids,² amides,⁴ esters,⁵ tetrazoles⁶ or silyl ethers of tertiary alcohols.7 Each of these modifications bestow subtle changes to catalytic ability. enantioselectivity. diastereoselectivity and the types of reaction which can be catalyzed. The most important of these reactions are aldol condensations,8 Diels-Alder cycloadditions9 and Michael additions,^{8a,b, 10} all of which lead to valuable chiral products from simple achiral starting materials. Michael reactions, especially onto nitroalkenes have been of interest to several research groups.¹¹ Proline itself was shown to be a relatively poor catalyst generating products with enantioselectivities <25%.12 Highly functionalized and sterically bulky proline derivatives give products in much greater enantioselectivities 60-95% but can involve protracted synthesis.13 We recently reported the serendipitous discovery of a new type of pyrrolidine-containing organocatalyst, the tert-butyl imidate of proline 3, and its ability to catalyze asymmetric aldol reactions in good to high enantiomeric excesses¹⁴ (Scheme 1). In this paper we further



explore the scope of proline *tert*-butyl imidate **3** as an enantioselective organocatalyst for the Michael addition of cyclic ketones, heteroatom-containing cyclic ketones, and aldehydes to several nitroalkenes (Scheme 1).





Scheme 1. tert-Butyl Proline Imidate and Proline Amine Catalyzed Reactions.

Initial studies focused on the Michael reaction of cyclohexanone with β -nitrostyrene, as the reaction is well benchmarked in the literature and the products are well characterized.^{11c} In our earlier work on the amino imidate catalyzed aldol reaction it was noted that the reaction solvent played a significant role in both the conversion to products and the enantioselectivity of the

reaction. Therefore, a screen of reaction solvents was undertaken with this standard reaction.

Cyclohexanone 1a and β -nitrostyrene 2a were stirred in the presence of 10 mol% of proline tert-butyl imidate 3 at room temperature in a variety of solvents (Table 1). As can be seen the reaction proceeded poorly when highly dipolar aprotic solvents (entries 1 and 2) and polar protic solvents (entries 11-13) were used. The other solvents, both dipolar and non-polar, all gave reasonable to excellent conversions, generally above 90% (entries 4-10). The reaction was the most diastereoselective when non-polar solvents such as cyclohexane, CH2Cl or toluene 2 were used (entries 6, 8 and 10), giving syn/anti ratios of greater than 9:1. Determination of the enantioselectivity of the reactions showed that non-polar hydrocarbon solvents were preferable, generating products with the highest enantioselectivities of 39% and 43% for cyclohexane and toluene respectively (entries 6 and 10). The absolute stereochemistry (1R, 2S) of the major (syn)diastereomer was confirmed by comparison of the optical rotation and HPLC retention times with literature data.^{11c, 15} The solvents led to products with much lower other enantioselectivities. These trends can be rationalized by the general preference for non-polar solvents in the synthesis of enamines from ketones and amines, and the ability of highly dipolar or polar protic solvents to form competing hydrogen bonds with the amino imidate catalyst, which can disrupt the formation of hydrogen bonds between the catalyst and the substrate essential for enhanced enantioselectivity. While the catalyst was not recovered from these reactions, it was clearly visible in the ¹H NMR of the crude reaction mixture and there was no evidence of its hydrolysis to proline amide. These results, combined with solubility considerations of the substrates led to the selection of toluene as the solvent of choice.

Table 1. Initial Solvent Screen.

	$Ph = \frac{NO_2 (10)}{10}$	OtBu NH 3 0 mol%) Iuene, rt	(R) (S) NO_2	
Entry	Solvent	Conversion	syn:anti	%ee
		(%) ^[a]	ratio ^[b]	(<i>syn</i>) ^[c]
1	DMF	14	1:trace	[d]
2	DMSO	0	-	-
3	Dioxane	63	7:1	29
4	MeCN	92	8:1	7
5	THF	99	8:1	19
6	Cyclohexane	100	10.7:1	39
7	EtOAc	100	9:1	22
8	CH_2Cl_2	100	12.6:1	21
9	Diethyl carbonate	88	5.6:1	28
10	Toluene	100 ^[d]	9.7:1	43
11	МеОН	11	6.6:1	[e]

cepted Manuscrip

12	MeOH/IPA 1:1	6	6:1	[e]
13	EtOH/IPA 1:1	14	5.8:1	[e]

[a] Determined by 400 MHz ¹H NMR after 24 hours. [b] Determined by 400 MHz ¹H NMR. [c] Determined by HPLC chiralpak AS-H column (see supporting information). [d] Conversion was only 49% after 8 hours, as determined by 400 MHz ¹H NMR. [e] Not determined.

In an attempt to increase the enantioselectivity of the reaction two changes were made, (i) the temperature was reduced to 0 °C and, (ii) 20 mol% of catalyst was used. In the reaction where the temperature was lowered to 0 °C, the conversion dropped to 60% and the enantioselectivity of the **4a** dropped to 27%, while the *syn/anti* ratio increased to 10.3:1. Increasing the catalyst loading to 20 mol% had no effect on either the diastereo- or enantioselectivity of the reaction.

With these results in hand the scope of the ketone partner was examined (Table 2). Tetrahydropyran-4-one **1b** underwent a smooth and complete reaction in 24 hours and generated the *syn*-diastereomer as the major product (5.8:1 dr) in 26% e.e. All other ketones showed low levels of conversion and only modest levels of enantioselectivity, with *N*-Boc-piperidine-4-one **1d** and pentan-2-one **1g** not reacting at all. While these reactions did not work well, they did provide the impetus to investigate ways to improve both the conversion and levels of enantioinduction.

Table 2. Ketone Scope^[a]



[a] conversion and syn/anti ratios determined by 400 MHz $^1\!H$ NMR after 24 hours. %e.e. determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC (see supporting information).

Benzoic acid has been reported as beneficial additives in the Michael reaction of ketones and nitroalkenes, 16 so it was decided to investigate its effect on the reaction of tetrahydrothiopyran-4-one 1c with β -nitrostyrene 2a. This reaction was chosen to

determine the effect of benzoic acid on the conversion, diastereoand enantioselectivity (Table 3). As can be seen the introduction of benzoic acid had a dramatic and beneficial effect on the conversion and enantioselectivity of the reaction. The addition of just 10 mol% of benzoic acid (entry 1) led to complete consumption of the nitroalkene and generated the syn-adduct in 71% e.e., a vast improvement over the 30% conversion with 32% e.e. from the reaction without benzoic acid. The absolute stereochemistry (1R, 2S) of the major (syn) diastereomer was confirmed by comparison of the optical rotation and HPLC retention times with literature data.¹⁵ The diastereomeric ratio was eroded slightly, with the reaction now producing an 8:1 mixture of syn/anti diastereomers. Increasing the amount of benzoic acid further resulted in a gradual reduction in the amount of product produced, presumably as a greater proportion of the pyrrolidine nitrogen in the catalyst was protonated and hence no longer able to participate in the reaction (entries 2-4). We propose that benzoic acid serves two roles, which accounts for its beneficial effects on the reaction. The first is that at low concentrations it aids the formation of the enamine nucleophile by protonation of the carbonyl group. The second is that it can activate the nitroalkene by simultaneously hydrogen bonding to the nitro group and the imidate in the transition state of the Michael addition, which could also account for the increase in the enantioselectivity of the reaction (Figure 1).

 Table 3. The Effect of Benzoic acid on the Reaction of 1c with 2a

o s 1c	Ph 2a	OtBu H 3 NH 3 (10 mol%) ene, rt, BZOH 24 h	(R) Ph (S) NO (S) Ac	2
Entry	BzOH	Conversion	syn:anti	%ee
	(mol%)	(%) ^[a]	ratio ^[b]	(<i>syn</i>)[c]
1	10	100	8:1	71
2	50	90	7.5:1	72
3	100	59	6:1	66
4	150	49	8.6:1	80

[a] Determined by 400 MHz 1 H NMR after 24 hours. [b] Determined by 400 MHz 1 H NMR. [c] Determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC (see supporting information).



Figure 1. Proposed Transition State and the Role of Benzoic Acid.

With the beneficial effect of benzoic acid verified, the reactions with substrates **1a-h** were re-examined (Table 4). In the case of cyclohexanone **1a**, the %ee of **4a** increased from 43% to 60% and for the reaction of ketone **1b** the %ee of **4b** increased from 26%

to 61%. Interestingly, in the case of ketone *N*-Boc-piperidine-4one **1d**, which did not react under the initial conditions, the reaction went to completion and generated **4d** as the major product in 53% e.e. Under the benzoic acid conditions **4f** was now formed with a moderate 26% conversion and in 60% e.e. Only the reactions to generate substrates **4e** and **4g** were unimproved by the addition of benzoic acid. In a further extension of the scope of the reaction propanal **1h** was condensed with **2a** to give **4h** as the major product (14.8:1 *syn/anti* ratio) in 84% e.e., with an 86% conversion. Unfortunately, the use of other functionalized aldehydes such as benzyloxy acetaldehyde **1i** did not result in any reaction.

Table 4. Ketone and Aldehyde Scope with Benzoic Acid Additive^[a]



[a] conversion and syn/anti ratios determined by 400 MHz 1 H NMR after 24 hours. %e.e. determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC (see supporting information).

With the scope and limitations of the ketone substrate investigated, attention turned to a study on the scope of the nitroalkene partner. To this end **1a**, **1e** and **1h** were reacted with nitroalkenes **2b-d** (Table 5).

Table 5. Nitroalkene Scope^[a]



[a] conversion and *syn/anti* ratios determined by 400 MHz ¹H NMR after 24 hours. %e.e. determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC (see supporting information).

All nitroalkenes used in the reaction resulted in the formation of enantioenriched products. Both electron rich **4j** and electron poor **4l** were produced quantitatively and in moderate %e.e. *ortho*-Substituted aryl nitroalkenes also participated well in their conversion to products **4k** and **4n**. Heteroaromatic nitroalkenes, both electron poor and electron rich could be used in the reaction to generate products **4l**, **4m** and **4o**. Pleasingly, **4o** was formed in a good 82% e.e.

An investigation into the use of *tert*-butyl proline imidate **3** as a catalyst in the Michael reactions of ketones and aldehydes with nitroalkenes was undertaken. These studies showed that imidate catalyst 3 on its own generated moderate amounts of Michael addition products in low to moderate enantioselectivities. However, the catalyst was much more efficient when combined with 10 mol% of benzoic acid. Under these conditions, more product was formed and the enantioselectivities increased markedly, in some cases up to 84%. The reaction was tolerant of a range of cyclic ketones, heteroatom-containing cyclic ketones and simple aldehydes, with six-membered cyclic ketones and propanal being optimal. A range of electron rich, electron poor, ortho-substituted and heterocyclic nitroalkenes were investigated and it was shown that they could all be used with equal efficiency. Imidates based on proline are a new class of organocatalyst which may have the potential to become efficient and selective catalyst for a range of transformations. Investigations into the effect of modification of the proline imidate on catalytic activity and enantioselectivity are underway and will be reported in due course.

The experimental section has no title; please leave this line here

Unless otherwise noted all compounds were bought from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were recorded on a Jeol ECS-400 spectrometer at ambient temperature; chemical shifts are quoted in parts per million (ppm) and were referenced as follows: chloroform-d, 7.26 ppm for ¹H NMR; chloroform-d, 77.0 ppm for ¹³C NMR. Coupling constants (J) are quoted in Hertz. Infra-red absorbances were recorded on a PerkinElmer UATR Two FT-IR spectrometer using NaCl plates. Mass spectrometry was performed by the University of York mass spectrometry service using electron spray ionisation (ESI) technique. Thin layer chromatography was performed on aluminium sheets coated with Merck Silica gel 60 F254. The plates were developed using ultraviolet light, basic aqueous potassium permanganate or ethanolic anisaldehyde. Liquid chromatography was performed using forced flow (flash column) with the solvent systems indicated. The stationary phase was silica gel 60 (220-240 mesh) supplied by Sigma-Aldrich. Dry solvents were acquired from a PureSolv PS-MD7 solvent tower. High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) was performed using an Agilent 1200 series instrument using the chiral columns indicated and a range of wavelengths from 210-280 nm for detection.

Synthesis of Proline Imidate 3

Boc-*L*-proline (5.01 g, 23.3 mmol) and THF (70 mL) were added to a flask. To this flask, NEt₃ (3.25 mL, 23.3 mmol) was added and stirred, at room temperature. After 15 minutes, ethyl chloroformate (2.22 mL, 23.3 mmol) was added and the reaction was continued to be stirred at room temperature. After 1h, 7N solution of NH₃ in MeOH (5 mL), was added and the reaction was continued to be stirred overnight. After that, the reaction was deemed complete by ¹H NMR and the stirring stopped. The solvent was removed in *vacuo* and the solution was washed with H₂O (10 mL) and extracted with DCM (x3). The combined organic layers dried were over MgSO₄ and the solution was concentrated in *vacuo* to give the title compound as a white solid in an 82% yield (4.11 g, 19.2 mmol). Melting point 107-108°C; lit. 102-104°C.¹⁹

IR (ATR): 3344 (N-H stretch), 1676 (C=0, stretch), 1164 (C-0 stretch) cm⁻¹.

 $[\alpha]_D{}^{25}$ (deg cm 3 g $^{-1}$ dm $^{-1})$ -44.7 (c = 1.0 g cm $^{-3}$ in MeOH), $[\alpha]_D{}^{25}$ (deg cm 3 g $^{-1}$ dm $^{-1})$ literature - 42.4 (c =1.0 g cm $^{-3}$ in MeOH).¹⁴

Accepted Manuscrip

¹H NMR (400 MHz, *CDCl*₃) δ ppm: 6.85 (1H, s), 5.40-6.10 (1H, m), 4.35-4.15 (1H, m), 3.55-3.25 (2H, m), 2.40-1.80 (4H, m), 1.45 (9H, s).

HRMS (ESI): $[M+Na]^+$ HRMS found 237.1209, $C_{10}H_{18}N_2O_3Na$ required 237.1210 Data in agreement with the literature¹⁴.

A flask containing Boc-*L*-proline amide (4.02 g, 18.8 mmol) and NEt₃ (5.78mL, 41.4 mmol) in THF (60 mL) was cooled to 0°C and stirred. After 30 minutes of stirring, TFAA (3.92 mL, 28.2 mmol) was added, and the reaction continued to be stirred at 0 °C. After 2 hours the reaction was warmed to room temperature and continued to be stirred. After stirring overnight, the reaction was deemed complete by TLC (100% EtOAc; CAM stain) and the stirring was stopped. The solvent was removed in *vacuo*. The crude yellow oil was redissolved in EtOAc, washed with 2M HCl and extracted with EtOAc (x3) from the HCl wash. The organic layers were combined, washed with saturated NaHCO₃ and then with brine. Organic layers were combined, dried over Na₂SO₄ and filtered. The solution was concentrated in *vacuo* to give the crude product as orange oil. The crude oil was further purified by column chromatography (gradient from hexane to EtOAc) to give as a pale yellow oil in a 95 % yield (3.51 g, 17.9 mmol).

IR (ATR): 2980, 1694, 1387, 1158 cm⁻¹.

 $[\alpha]_{\rm D}{}^{20}$ –72.77 (c=1.0 mg/mL, MeOH); lit. $[\alpha]_{\rm D}{}^{20}$ –91.15 (c=1.3 mg/mL, MeOH). 14

¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ ppm: 4.60 - 4.40 (1 H, m), 3.58-3.25 (2 H, m) 2.30 - 1.95 (4 H, m), 1.50 - 1.45 (9 H, m).

 ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 153.8 and 153.2 (rotamers), 119.2, 81.6 and 81.1 (rotamers), 47.3 and 47.1 (rotamers), 46.1 and 45.8 (rotamers), 31.7 and 30.9 (rotamers), 28.4 and 28.3 (rotamers), 24.7 and 23.9 (rotamers).

HRMS (ESI) m/z [M + Na]⁺ calculated for C₁₀H₁₆N₂NaO₂ - 219.1104; found: C₁₀H₁₆N₂NaO₂ - 219.1102. Data in agreement with the literature.¹⁴

The flask with Boc-*L*-proline nitrile (1.0 g, 5.1 mmol), TFA (17.00 mL, 229.5 mmol) was added, and the flask was cooled to 0°C. Upon consumption of the starting material (hexane : EtOAc = 8 : 2; CAM stain), *t*-BuOH (0.97 mL, 10.2 mmol) was added and the reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature. The reaction was left stirring overnight. Stirring was stopped and the solvent was removed in *vacuo*. Trituration with disopropyl ether-hexane provided the salt as a yellow solid in a 77 % yield (1.1 g, 3.9 mmol). Melting point 87-89°C; lit. 88-90°C. ¹⁴

IR (ATR): 1661, 1177, 1131 cm⁻¹.

[α]_D²⁰ -44.36 (c=1.0 mg/mL, DCM); lit. [α]_D²⁵ -47.23 (c=1.0 mg/mL, DCM).

¹H NMR (400 MHz, Methanol- d_4) δ 4.12 (dd, J = 8.5, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.42 – 3.33 (m, 1H), 3.36 – 3.24 (m, 1H), 2.43 – 2.31 (m, 1H), 2.06 – 1.85 (m, 3H), 1.33 (s, 9H).

 ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 167.7, 167.6, 59.7, 52.2, 52.1, 46.4, 30.5, 28.5, 24.7. (TFA signals are absent)

HRMS (ESI) m/z [M + H]⁺ calculated for C₉H₁₉N₂O - 171.1492; found: C₉H₁₉N₂O - 171.1493. Data agree with the literature.¹⁴

t-Butyl L-Proline imidate (3)

The free *L*-proline imidate **3** was liberated by dissolving the salt (1.0 g, 3.9 mmol) in DCM and stirring over K₂CO₃ (2.69 g, 19.5 mmol) for 1 hour before filtering and concentrating in *vacuo*. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (gradient from DCM to MeOH; TLC – DCM : MeOH = 8 : 2 and CAM stain); the free base imidate **3** was obtained as yellow solid in a 62 % yield (0.4 g, 2.4 mmol). Melting point 68-69°C.

IR (ATR): 2965, 1657, 1518, 1454, 1226 cm-1.

 $[\alpha]_{D^{20}}$ -51.54 (c=1.0 mg/mL, MeOH).

his article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 7.48 – 7.40 (br s, 1H), 3.60 (dd, *J* = 8.9, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 2.98 (dt, *J* = 10.3, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.86 (dt, *J* = 10.3, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 2.26 (br s, 1H), 2.12 – 2.01 (m, 1H), 1.91 – 1.79 (m, 1H), 1.77 – 1.54 (m, 2H), 1.32 (s, 9H).

 ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 174.3, 61.2, 50.2, 47.3, 30.8, 28.8, 26.3.

HRMS (ESI) m/z [M + H]⁺ calculated for C₉H₁₉N₂O - 171.1492; found: C₉H₁₉N₂O - 171.1492. Data agree with the literature.¹⁴

General Procedure for the Michael Reaction Catalyzed by Proline Imidate 3

To a flame dried flask under a N₂ atmosphere was added proline imidate **3** (0.025 mmol, 0.1 eq.), benzoic acid (0.025 mmol, 0.1 eq) ketone **1** (1.250 mmol, 5 eq.) in 1 mL of toluene and stirred for 15 minutes. After this time nitroalkene **2** (0.250 mmol, 1.0 eq.) was added to the reaction mixture which was stirred at room temperature for 24 hours. The reaction was quenched with 2 mL saturated NH₄Cl solution and extracted with DCM (x3). The organic layers were collected, washed with 0.7M K₂CO₃ solution (x1), dried by Na₂SO₄, and concentrated to give the crude Michael product.

(R)-2-[(S)-2-Nitro-1-phenylethyl]cyclohexanone (4a)

Flash columned with a gradient from neat hexane to Et₂O; isolated yield – 81% (49.8 mg from 37.3 mg of alkene); white solid; melting point 118-120°C; lit. 128-130°C;^{11c} ratio *syn:anti* 27.5:1.0; *ee* (*syn*) 60%. Enantiomeric excess determined from pure product using Chiral HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK AS-H column (IPA:Hexane 25:75, flow rate 1 ml/min, λ = 254 nm, 30°C).

IR (ATR): 2977.28, 1706.60, 1550.44, 1379.83, 1130.14, 702.19 cm⁻¹.

 $[\alpha]_D{}^{20}$ +14.4 (c=0.58 mg/ml, CHCl_3); lit. $[\alpha]_D{}^{25}$ +19.1 (c=1.0 mg/ml, CHCl_3).^{11c}

¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) (*syn*): δ 7.39 – 7.22 (m, 3H), 7.22 – 7.08 (m, 2H), 4.93 (dd, *J* = 12.6, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 4.62 (dd, *J* = 12.6, 9.9 Hz, 1H), 3.75 (td, *J* = 10.0, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 2.73 – 2.62 (m, 1H), 2.52 – 2.25 (m, 2H), 2.13 – 2.01 (m, 1H), 1.83 – 1.48 (m, 4H), 1.31 – 1.15 (m, 1H). Data in agreement with the literature.^{15a}

Detected anti isomer signal: δ 4.02 - 3.97 (m, 1H).^{15b}

 ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) (syn): δ 212.1, 135.9, 129.1, 128.3, 127.9, 79.0, 52.6, 44.02, 42.9, 33.3, 28.6, 25.1.

HRMS (ESI) m/z [M + Na]⁺ calculated for C₁₄H₁₇NNaO₃ – 270.1101; found: C₁₄H₁₇NNaO₃ – 270.1103.

(R)-2-[(S)-Nitro-1-phenylethyl]tetrahydropyran-4-one (4b)

Flash columned with a gradient from neat hexane to Et₂O; isolated yield – 87% (54.3 mg from 37.3 mg of alkene); white solid; ratio *syn:anti* 10.1:1.0; *ee (syn)* 61%. Enantiomeric excess determined from pure product using Chiral HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK IA column (IPA:Hexane 15:85, flow rate 1 ml/min, λ = 210 nm, 25°C).

IR (ATR): 2977.27, 2831.30, 1711.09, 1551.61, 1380.25, 702.69 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) (syn): δ 7.37 – 7.26 (m, 3H), 7.19 – 7.14 (m, 2H), 4.92 (dd, *J* = 12.7, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 4.63 (dd, *J* = 12.7, 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.18 – 4.09 (m, 1H), 3.87 – 3.62 (m, 3H), 3.26 (dd, *J* = 11.6, 8.9 Hz, 1H), 2.92 – 2.82 (m, 1H), 2.71 – 2.61 (m, 1H), 2.55 (dt, *J* = 13.9, 4.0 Hz, 1H). Data in agreement with the literature.¹⁵

Detected *anti* isomer signals: δ 4.89 – 4.83 (m, 1H), 3.95 (dt, *J* = 8.9, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.52 – 3.45 (m, 1H), 2.97 (dt, *J* = 8.6, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 2.52 – 2.43 (m, 1H).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) (*syn*): δ 207.5, 136.3, 129.4, 128.4, 128.0, 78.8, 71.7, 69.1, 53.4, 43.1, 41.4.

HRMS (ESI) m/z [M + Na]⁺ calculated for C₁₃H₁₅NNaO₄ – 272.0893; found: C₁₃H₁₅NNaO₄ – 272.0893.

(S)-2-[(S)-Nitro-1-phenylethyl]-tetrahydrothiopyran-4-one (4c)

Flash columned with a gradient from neat hexane to Et₂O; isolated yield – 75% (49.9 mg from 37.3 mg of alkene); white solid; ratio *syn:anti* 7.8:1.0; *ee (syn)* 71%. Enantiomeric excess determined from pure product using Chiral HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK IA column (IPA:Hexane 15:85, flow rate 0.95 ml/min, λ = 210 nm, 25°C).

IR (ATR): 2970.77, 2916.50, 1705.81, 1549.81, 1549.56, 1379.93, 701.61 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) (*syn*): δ 7.38 – 7.26 (m, 3H), 7.20 – 7.15 (m, 2H), 4.73 (dd, *J* = 12.8, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 4.62 (dd, *J* = 12.8, 9.8 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (td, *J* = 10.2, 4.6 Hz, 1H), δ 3.08 – 3.00 (m, 1H), 3.00 – 2.92 (m, 2H), 2.92 – 2.75 (m, 2H), 2.60 (ddd, *J* = 13.9, 4.1, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 2.44 (dd, *J* = 13.9, 9.4 Hz, 1H). Data (*syn*) in agreement with the literature.¹⁵

Detected *anti* isomer signals: δ 4.92 – 4.77 (m, 2H), 4.18 – 4.11 (m, 1H), 3.15 – 3.09 (m 1H).

 ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) (syn): δ 209.6, 136.6, 129.4, 128.4, 128.3, 78.7, 55.1, 44.6, 43.6, 35.2, 31.7.

HRMS (ESI) m/z [M + Na]⁺ calculated for C₁₃H₁₅NNaO₃S – 288.0665; found: C₁₃H₁₅NNaO₃S – 288.0669.

(S)-2-[(S)-Nitro-1-phenylethyl]-*N-tert*-butyl ox piperidine-4-one (4d)

oxycarbonate

Flash columned with a gradient from neat hexane to Et₂O; isolated yield – 71% (61.8 mg from 37.3 mg of alkene); white solid; ratio *syn:anti* 3.8:1.0; ee (*syn*) 53%. Enantiomeric excess determined from pure product using Chiral HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK IC column (IPA:Hexane 10:90, flow rate 1.3 ml/min, λ = 210 nm, 25 °C)

IR (ATR): 2976.97, 2927.85, 1689.38, 1551.11, 1420.82, 1366.43, 1239.72, 1160.53, 731.30, 701.08cm^{-1}.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d) (*syn*): δ 7.36 – 7.22 (m, 3H), 7.22 – 7.14 (m, 2H), 4.91 (dd, J = 12.7, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 4.59 (dd, J = 12.7, 9.8 Hz, 1H), 4.19 (brs, 1H), 3.81 (brs, 2H), 3.29 – 3.05 (m, 1H), 2.88 – 2.60 (m, 2H), 2.57 – 2.39 (m, 2H), 1.60 – 1.08 (m, 9H). Data in agreement with the literature.¹⁵

Detected *anti* isomer signals: δ 4.96 – 4.82 (m, 1H), 3.45 – 3.33 (m, 1H), 3.29 – 3.05 (m, 1H), 2.35 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) (*syn*): δ 208.5, 154.2, 136.6, 129.3, 129.1, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 80.8, 79.0, 44.3, 41.9, 41.9, 40.9, 28.3.

HRMS (ESI) m/z [M + Na]+ calculated for $C_{18}H_{24}N_2NaO_5$ – 371.1577; found: $C_{18}H_{24}N_2NaO_5$ – 371.1586.

(R)-2-[(S)-Nitro-1-phenylethyl]cyclobutanone (4e)

Flash columned with a gradient from neat hexane to Et₂O; isolated yield – 27% (14.8 mg from 37.3 mg of alkene); yellow oil; ratio *syn:anti* 2.4:1.0; ee (*syn*) 37%, ee. Enantiomeric excess determined from pure product using Chiral HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK AS-H column (IPA:Hexane 25:75, flow rate 0.7 ml/min, λ = 210 nm, 25 °C).

IR (ATR): 2923.30, 1774.51, 1550.51, 1379.41, 1086.02, 701.54 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d) (*syn*): δ 7.38 – 7.26 (m, 3H), 7.21 – 7.15 (m, 2H), 5.06 (dd, J = 12.8, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 4.63 (dd, J = 12.8, 9.9 Hz, 1H), 3.76 – 3.65 (m, 1H), 3.65 – 3.52 (m, 1H), 3.15 – 2.87 (m, 2H), 2.10 – 1.98 (m, 1H), 1.78 – 1.60 (m, 1H). Data (*syn*) in agreement with the literature.^{11b}

Anti isomer signals: δ 7.38 - 7.26 (m, 3H), 7.21 - 7.15 (m, 2H), 4.92 - 4.76 (m, 2H), 3.76 - 3.65 (m, 2H), 3.15 - 2.87 (m, 1H), 2.68 - 2.57 (m, 1H), 2.22 - 2.10 (m, 1H), 1.78 - 1.60 (m, 1H).

 ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) (syn): δ 208.7, 137.4, 129.2, 128.3, 127.7, 78.3, 61.1, 44.6, 44.4, 15.9.

Detected *anti* isomer signals: δ 136.5, 129.1, 128.3, 77.7, 61.5, 45.1, 44.3, 14.4.

HRMS (ESI) m/z [M + Na]+ calculated for $C_{12}H_{13}NNaO_3$ – 242.0788; found: $C_{12}H_{13}NNaO_3$ – 242.0786.

(R)-2-[(S)-Nitro-1-phenylethyl]cyclopentanone (4f)

Flash columned with a gradient from neat hexane to Et₂O; isolated yield – 21% (12.2 mg from 37.3 mg of alkene); white solid; ratio *syn:anti* 6.0:1.0; *ee (syn)* 60%. Enantiomeric excess determined from pure product using Chiral HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK AS-H column (IPA:Hexane 25:75, flow rate 1 ml/min, λ = 210 nm, 25°C).

IR (ATR): 2967.49, 1732.30, 1549.98, 1379.68, 1154.87, 702.35 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) (*syn*): δ 7.36 – 7.21 (m, 3H), 7.21 – 7.11 (m, 2H), 5.33 (dd, *J* = 12.9, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 4.70 (dd, *J* = 12.9, 9.8 Hz, 1H), 3.68 (td, *J* = 9.5, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 2.45 – 2.29 (m, 2H), 2.18 – 2.06 (m, 1H), 1.98 – 1.77 (m, 2H), 1.77 – 1.62 (m, 1H), 1.54 – 1.39 (m, 1H). Data (*syn*) in agreement with the literature.^{11b}

Detected *anti* isomer signals: δ 5.01 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 3.85 – 3.78 (m, 1H), 2.54 – 2.46 (m, 1H), 2.29 – 2.22 (m, 1H).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) (*syn*): δ 218.6, 137.8, 129.0, 128.1, 128.0, 78.4, 50.6, 44.3, 38.8, 28.4, 20.4.

HRMS (ESI) m/z [M + Na]⁺ calculated for C₁₃H₁₅NNaO₃ – 256.0944; found: C₁₃H₁₅NNaO₃ – 256.0942.

(R)-2-Methyl-(S)-3-phenyl-4-nitrobutanal (4h)

Flash columned with a gradient from neat hexane to Et₂0; isolated yield – 85% (44.0 mg from 37.3 mg of alkene); yellow oil; ratio *syn:anti* 4.6:1.0 (epimerization over time *syn* to *anti*); *ee* (*syn*) 84%. Enantiomeric excess determined from pure product using Chiral HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK IC column (IPA:Hexane 10:90, flow rate 1.3 ml/min, λ = 210 nm, 25°C).

IR (ATR): 2974.90, 2730.6, 1722.71, 1551.14, 1379.6, 702.48 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) (*syn*): δ 9.70 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.36 – 7.25 (m, 3H), 7.22 – 7.11 (m, 2H), 4.84 – 4.71 (m, 1H), 4.71 – 4.62 (m, 1H), 3.80 (td, *J* = 9.1, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 2.86 – 2.70 (m, 1H), 0.98 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H). Data (*syn*) in agreement with the literature.^{11e}

Anti isomer signals: δ 9.52 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.36 – 7.25 (m, 3H), 7.22 – 7.11 (m, 2H), 4.84 – 4.71 (m, 2H), 3.80 (td, *J* = 9.1, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 2.86 – 2.70 (m, 1H), 1.20 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) (*syn*): δ 202.39, 136.64, 129.19, 128.27 & 128.24 *syn/anti*, 128.17, 78.21, 48.53, 44.12, 12.23.

Detected *anti* isomer signals: δ 202.5, 137.0, 129.2, 128.3 & 128.2 $_{syn/anti}$, 48.8, 44.9, 11.8.

HRMS (ESI) m/z [M + Na]⁺ calculated for C₁₁H₁₃NNaO₃ – 230.0788; found: C₁₁H₁₃NNaO₃ – 230.0788.

(R)-2-[(S)-2-Nitro-1-para-methoxyphenylethyl]cyclohexanone (4j)

Flash columned with a gradient from neat hexane to Et₂O; isolated yield – 75% (51.9 mg from 44.8 mg of alkene); white solid; ratio *syn:anti* 10.0:1.0; *ee (syn)* 43%. Enantiomeric excess determined from pure product using Chiral HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK IA column (IPA:Hexane 10:90, flow rate 0.5 ml/min, λ = 254 nm, 25 °C).

IR (ATR): 2940.52, 2862.98, 1706.24, 1550.11, 1514.27, 1251.39, 831.82 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) (*syn*): δ 7.11 – 7.02 (m, 2H), 6.87 – 6.79 (m, 2H), 4.90 (dd, *J* = 12.4, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 4.57 (dd, *J* = 12.4, 10.0 Hz, 1H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.70 (td, *J* = 9.9, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 2.69 – 2.58 (m, 1H), 2.51 – 2.31 (m, 2H), 2.11 – 2.01 (m, 1H), 1.82 – 1.47 (m, 4H), 1.29 – 1.14 (m, 1H). Data (*syn*) in agreement with the literature.^{11d}

Detected *anti* isomer signals: δ 7.19 – 7.13 (m, 2H), 6.86 – 6.81 (m, 2H), 4.79 (dd, *J* = 12.7, 9.7 Hz, 1H), 3.93 – 3.87 (m, 1H), 1.43 – 1.34 (m, 1H).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) (*syn*): δ 212.2, 159.1, 129.6, 129.3, 114.4, 79.2, 55.3, 52.8, 43.3, 42.8, 33.2, 28.6, 25.1.

HRMS (ESI) m/z [M + Na]⁺ calculated for C₁₅H₁₉NNaO₄ – 300.1206; found: C₁₅H₁₉NNaO₄ – 300.1210.

(R)-2-[(S)-2-Nitro-1-ortho-chlorophenylethyl]cyclohexanone (4k)

Flash columned with a gradient from neat hexane to Et₂O; isolated yield – 96% (67.4 mg from 45.9 mg of alkene); white solid; melting point 67-69°C lit. 64-66°C¹¹°; ratio *syn:anti* 20.0:1.0; *ee* (*syn*) 38%. Enantiomeric excess determined from pure product using Chiral HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAKIA column (IPA:Hexane 10:90, flow rate 1 ml/min, λ = 254 nm, 25 °C).

Accepted Manuscript

IR (ATR): 2940.72, 2862.97, 1706.27, 1550.36, 1379.07, 754.95cm⁻¹.

 $[\alpha]_D{}^{20}$ +15.30 (c=0.58 mg/ml, CHCl_3); lit. $[\alpha]_D{}^{25}$ +45.3 (c= 1.0 mg/ml, CHCl_3). ^11c

¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d) (*syn*): δ 7.39 – 7.34 (m, 1H), 7.30 – 7.15 (m, 3H), 4.95 – 4.83 (m, 2H), 4.32 – 4.22 (m, 1H), 2.97 – 2.84 (m, 1H), 2.50 – 2.32 (m, 2H), 2.14 – 2.04 (m, 1H), 1.85 – 1.51 (m, 4H), 1.44 – 1.17 (m, 1H). Data (*syn*) in agreement with the literature.^{11b}

Detected anti isomer signal: δ 4.69 – 4.62 (m, 1H).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) (*syn*): δ 211.8, 135.5, 134.6, 130.5, 129.0, 127.5, 77.3, 51.8, 42.9, 33.2, 28.6, 25.4.

(R)-2-[(S)-2-Nitro-1-(pyridine-3-yl)ethyl]cyclohexanone (41)

Flash columned with a gradient from neat hexane to EtOAc; isolated yield – 94% (58.3 mg from 37.5 mg of alkene); yellow solid; ratio *syn:anti* 5.1:1.0; *ee* (*syn*) 36%. Enantiomeric excess determined from pure product using Chiral HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK IA column (IPA:Hexane 20:80, flow rate 0.75 ml/min, λ = 254 nm, 25°C).

IR (ATR): 2941.92, 2863.77, 1705.77, 1549.90, 1428.42, 1379.16, 1130.88, 716.55 $\rm cm^{-1}.$

¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) (*syn*): δ 8.52 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.46 (d, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (dt, *J* = 7.8, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.29 – 7.25 (m, 1H), 4.94 (dd, *J* = 12.9, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 4.68 (dd, *J* = 12.9, 9.9 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (td, *J* = 9.6, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 2.76 – 2.65 (m, 1H), 2.53 – 2.32 (m, 2H), 2.14 – 2.05 (m,

1H), 1.85 – 1.50 (m, 4H), 1.25 (qd, J = 12.7, 3.5 Hz, 1H). Data (syn) in agreement with the literature.^{11d}

Detected *anti* isomer signals: δ 8.54 – 8.48 (m, 2H), 7.67 (dt, *J* = 7.9, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 4.91 – 4.83 (m, 2H), 3.94 – 3.88 (m 1H), δ 2.80 – 2.72 (m, 1H), 2.35 – 2.23 (m, 2H), 1.97 – 1.87 (m, 1H), 1.43 – 1.31 (m, 1H).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) (*syn*): δ 211.2, 150.0, 149.4, 135.8, 133.6, 123.8, 78.2, 52.3, 42.8, 41.7, 33.3, 28.4, 25.2.

Detected anti isomer signals: δ 149.13, 136.23, 123.66, 53.33, 42.48, 41.53, 30.77, 27.39.

HRMS (ESI) m/z [M + H]⁺ calculated for C₁₃H₁₇N₂O₃ – 249.1234; found: C₁₃H₁₇N₂O₃ – 249.1233.

(R)-2-[(R)-2-Nitro-1-(thiophen-2-yl)ethyl]cyclohexanone (4m)

Flash columned with a gradient from neat hexane to Et₂O; isolated yield – 71% (44.9 mg from 38.8 mg of alkene); yellow solid; ratio *syn:anti* 5.2:1.0; *ee (syn)* 56%. Enantiomeric excess determined from pure product using Chiral HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK IA column (IPA:Hexane 10:90, flow rate 1 ml/min, λ = 254 nm, 25°C).

IR (ATR): 2939.28, 2863.07, 1705.30, 1550.94 1378.52, 1128.88, 705.58 $\rm cm^{-1}$

¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) (*syn*): δ 7.20 (dd, *J* = 5.2, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 6.92 (dd, *J* = 5.2, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 6.86 (dd, *J* = 3.5, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 4.88 (dd, *J* = 12.7, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 4.64 (dd, *J* = 12.7, 9.4 Hz, 1H), 4.12 (td, *J* = 9.1, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.71 – 2.61 (m, 1H), 2.51 – 2.24 (m, 2H), 2.18 – 2.02 (m, 1H), 1.95 – 1.78 (m, 2H), 1.73 – 1.57 (m, 2H), 1.47 – 1.21 (m, 1H). Data (*syn*) in agreement with the literature.¹⁷

Detected *anti* isomer signals: δ 7.19 (m, 1H), 4.92 – 4.75 (m, 2H), 4.23 – 4.17 (m, 1H), 2.79 – 2.71 (m, 1H).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) (*syn*): δ 211.3, 140.6, 127.0, 126.8, 125.1, 79.3, 53.5, 42.7, 39.5, 32.9, 28.4, 25.2.

Detected *anti* isomer signals: δ 126.88, 125.35, 78.18, 53.56, 42.38, 39.60, 30.77, 27.28.

HRMS (ESI) m/z [M + Na]⁺ calculated for C₁₂H₁₅NNaO₃S – 276.0665; found: C₁₂H₁₅NNaO₃S – 276.0669.

(R)-2-[(S)-2-Nitro-1-ortho-chlorophenylethyl]cyclobutanone (4n)

Flash columned with a gradient from neat hexane to Et₂0; isolated yield – 50% (31.6 mg from 45.9 mg of alkene); yellow oil; ratio *syn:anti* 1.6:1.0; *ee (syn)* 15%. Enantiomeric excess determined from pure product using Chiral HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK IC column (IPA:Hexane 10:90, flow rate 0.7 ml/min, λ = 210 nm, 25°C).

IR (ATR): 2921.86, 1774.29, 1550.01, 1378.25, 1083.26, 1038.99, 756.18 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) (*syn*): δ 7.47 – 7.35 (m, 1H), 7.30 – 7.15 (m, 3H), 5.04 (dd, *J* = 12.9, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 4.92 – 4.80 (m, 1H), 4.29 – 4.19 (m, 1H), 3.88 – 3.76 (m, 1H), 3.19 – 2.92 (m, 2H), 2.13 – 1.99 (m, 1H), 1.79 – 1.60 (m, 1H). Data (*syn*) in agreement with the literature.^{11b}

Anti isomer signals: δ 7.47 – 7.35 (m, 1H), 7.30 – 7.15 (m, 3H), 4.92 – 4.80 (m, 2H), 4.40 (q, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 3.88 – 3.76 (m, 1H), 3.19 – 2.92 (m, 1H), 2.76 – 2.63 (m, 1H), 2.27 – 2.16 (m, 1H), 1.79 – 1.60 (m, 1H).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) (*syn*): δ 208.4, 134.7, 134.2, 130.5, 129.4 & 129.3 *syn/anti*, 128.4, 127.7 & 127.6 *syn/anti*, 76.6, 60.6, 45.2, 44.5, 16.03.

Detected *anti* isomer signals: δ 208.17, 134.58, 130.38, 129.35 & 129.32 *syn/anti*, 127.66 & 127.60 *syn/anti*, 60.19, 14.72.

(R)-2-Methyl-(R)-3-thiophen-2-yl-4-nitrobutanal (40)

Flash columned with a gradient from neat hexane to Et₂O; isolated yield – 65% (34.6 mg from 38.8 mg of alkene); yellow oil; ratio *syn:anti* 3.7:1.0; *ee (syn)* 81.8%. Enantiomeric excess determined from pure product using Chiral HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK IC column (IPA:Hexane 10:90, flow rate 1.3 ml/min, λ = 210 nm, 25°C).

IR (ATR): 2973.65, 2730.8, 1722.75, 1553.38, 1379.57, 706.16 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) (*syn*): δ 9.68 (s, 1H), 7.25 – 7.20 (m, 1H), 6.98 – 6.85 (m, 2H), 4.81 – 4.59 (m, 2H), 4.27 – 4.19 (m, 1H), 2.87 – 2.72 (m, 1H), 1.11 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). Data (*syn*) in agreement with the literature.¹⁸

Anti isomer signals: δ 9.60 (s, 1H), 7.25 – 7.20 (m, 1H), 6.98 – 6.85 (m, 2H), 4.81 – 4.59 (m, 2H), 4.19 – 4.12 (m, 1H), 2.87 – 2.72 (m, 1H), 1.25 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H).

¹³CNMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) (*syn*): δ 201., 138.9, 127.2, 126.9, 125.4, 78.5, 48.9, 39.5, 11.6.

Anti isomer signals: δ 202.14, 139.25, 127.28, 126.90, 125.53, 78.15, 49.05, 40.17, 11.88.

HRMS (ESI) m/z [M + Na]⁺ calculated for C₉H₁₁NNaO₃S – 236.0352; found: C₉H₁₁NNaO₃S – 236.0362.

Funding Information

Click here to insert sources of funding, grant numbers, etc. Do not repeat the same in the acknowledgment.

Acknowledgment

We thank The Wild Fund, University of York for funding (B.S.)

Supporting Information

YES (this text will be updated with links prior to publication)

Primary Data

https://doi.org/10.15124/207e9639-5f06-4eea-af9c-4ded13054afa

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- (1) (a) Hajos, Z. G.; Parrish, D. R. *J. Org. Chem.* **1974**, *39*, 1615. (b) Eder, U.; Sauer, G.; Wiechert, R. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1971**, *10*, 496.
- (2) List. B.; Lerner, R. A.; Barbas III, C. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc., **2000**, *122*, 2395.
- (3) Ahrendt, K. A.; Borths, C. J.; MacMillan, D. W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 4243.
- (4) For several examples see: (a) Mase, N.; Nakai, Y.; Ohara, N.; Yoda, H.; Takabe, K.; Tanaka, F.; Barbas III, C. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 734. (b) Kinsella, M.; Duggan, P. G.; Lennon, C. M., Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 2011, 22, 1423. (c) Owolabi, I. A.; Subba Reddy, U. V.; Chennapuram, M.; Seki, C.; Okuyama, Y.; Kwon, E.; Uwaai, K.; Tokiwa, M.; Takeshita, M.; Nakano, H., Tetrahedron, 2018, 74, 4705.
- (5) For several examples see: (a) Steer, A.M.; Bia, N.; Smith, D. K.; Clarke, P. A. *Chem. Commun.* **2017**, *53*, 10362. (b) Burroughs, L.; Clarke, P. A.; Forintos, H.; Gilks, J. A. R.; Hayes, C. J.; Vales, M. E.; Wade, W.; Zbytniewski, M., *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, **2012**, *10*, 1565. (c) Burroughs, L.; Vales, M. E.; Gilks, J. A. R.; Forintos, H.; Hayes, C. J.; Clarke, P. A., *Chem. Comm.*, **2010**, *46*, 4776.
- (6) (a) Cobb, A. J. A.; Shaw, D. M.; Ley, S. V. Synlett 2004, 558. (b) Cobb,
 A. J. A.; Shaw, D. M.; Longbottom, D. A.; Gold, J. B.; Ley, S. V. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2005, 3, 84.
- (7) For several examples see: (a) Franzén, J.; Marigo, M.; Fielenbach D., Wabnitz, T. C.; Kjæsgaard, Jørgensen K. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005,

127, 18296. (b) Lombardo, M.; Montroni, E.; Quintavalla, A.; Trombini, C., *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, **2012**, *354*, 3428. (c) McGarraugh, P. G.; Brenner, S. E., *Org. Lett.*, **2009**, *11*, 5654.

- (8) For reviews see: (a) Valapil, D. G.; Kadagathur, M.; Shankaraiah, N., *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, **2021**, 5288. (b) Yadav, G. D.; Deepa; Singh, S., *ChemistrySelect*, **2019**, *4*, 5591. (c) Yamashita, Y.; Yasukawa, T.; Yoo, W.-J.; Kitanosono, T.; Kobayashi, S., *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **2018**, *47*, 4388.
- (9) For a review see: Merino, P.; Marqués-López, E.; Tejero, T.; Herrera, R. P., Synthesis, 2010, 1.
- (10) For reviews see: (a) Albrecht, L.; Krawczyk, H., Wiadomosci Chemiczne, 2009, 63, 391. (b) Pellissier, H., Curr. Org. Chem., 2018, 22, 323. (c) d'Angelo, J.; Desmaéle, D.; Dumas, F.; Guingant, A., Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 1992, 3, 459.
- (11) (a) Hayashi, Y.; Gotoh, H.; Hayashi, T.; Shoji, M., Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 4112. (b) Pomarański, P.; Czarnocki, Z., Synthesis, 2019, 51, 3356. (c) Reyes-Rangel, G.; Vargas-Caporali, J.; Juaristi, E., Tetrahedron, 2017, 73, 4707. (d) Mahato, C. K.; Mukherjee, S.; Kundu, M.; Pramanik, A., J. Org. Chem., 2019, 84, 10533. (e) Wiesner, M.; Upert, G.; Angelici, G.; Wennermers, H., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 6. (f) Wiesner, M.; Revell, J. D.; Wennemers, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 1871. (g) Owolabi, I. A.; Chennapuram, M.; Seki, C.; Okuyama, Y.; Kwon, E.; Uwai, K.; Tokiwa, M.; Takeshita, M.; Nakano, H., Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 2019, 92, 696.
- (12) List, B.; Pojarliev, P.; Martin, H. J., Org. Lett., 2001, 3, 2423.

- (13) (a) Mase, N.; Watanabe, K.; Yoda, H.; Takabe, K.; Tanaka, F, Barbas, III, C. F., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2006**, *128*, 4966. (b) Ishii, T.; Fujioka, S.; Sekiguchi, Y.; Kotsuki, H., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2004**, *126*, 9558. (c) Betancort, J. M.; Barbas, III, C. F., *Org. Lett.*, **2001**, *3*, 3737. (d) Betancort, J. M.; Sakthivel, K.; Thayumanavan, R.; Barbas, III, C. F., Tetrahedron Lett., **2001**, *42*, 4441.
- (14) Vagkidis, N.; Brown, A. J.; Clarke, P. A., Synthesis, 2019, 51, 4106.
- (15) (a) Mahato, C. K.; Mukherjee, S.; Kundu, M.; Vallapure, V. P.; Pramanik, A., *J. Org. Chem.*, **2021**, *86*, 5213. (b) Arlegui, A.; torres, P.; Cuesta, V.; Crusats, j.; Moyano, A., *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, **2020**, 4399.
- (16) Rani, R.; Peddinti, R. K., *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, **2010**, *21*, 2487.
 (b) Zhong, J.; Guan, Z.; He, Y.-H., *Cat. Comm.*, **2013**, *32*, 18.
- (17) Da silva, T. L.; Rambo, R. S.; Jacoby, C. G.; Schneider, P. H., *Tetrahedron*, **2020**, *76*, 130874.
- (18) Mosse, S.; Laars, M.; Kriis, Kanger, T.; Alexakis, A., *Org. Lett.*, **2006**, *8*, 2559.
- (19) Kang, I.-J.; Hsu, S.-J.; Yang, H.-Y.; Yeh, T.-K.; Lee, C. C.; Lee, Y.-C.; Tian, Y.-W.; Song, J.-S.; Hsu, T.-A.; Chao, Y.-S.; Yueh, A.; Chern, J.-H., *J. Med. Chem.*, **2017**, *60*, 228–247

Accepted Manuscrip

Amino Imidate-Catalyzed Asymmetric Michael Reactions of Ketones and Nitroalkenes

Bohdan Sosunovych, Alexander J. Brown and Paul A. Clarke*

Contents

Copies of Chromatographic Data for Mich	hael Products	S2
Copies of NMRs for Michael Addition Rea	actions	S15

HPLC Data



Anti isomer traces were not detected.This was compared to the reported racemic HPLC data inA. Lu, P. Gao, Y. Wu, Y. Wang, Z. Zhou, C. Tang, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2009, 7, 3141.





Retention time of minor anti isomer traces: 14.0 and 22.3 minutes.

This was compared to the reported racemic HPLC data in M. Freund, S. Schenker, and S.B. Tsogoeva, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, **2009**, *7*, 4279.





9S



 \mathbf{CS}

HPLC trace of racemic 4h



This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

Totals

•••

2.69780e4

727.47491



HPLC trace of racemic 4j

 \cap

HPLC trace of racemic 4k







Retention time of minor anti isomer traces: 10.2 and 11.3 (overlapped) minutes.









Parameter	Value
Solvent	CDCl ₃
Spectrometer Frequency	400 MHz
Nuclei	H

Syn/anti mixture 4b. Major syn isomer.



Parameter	Value
Solvent	CDCl ₃
Spectrometer Frequency	400 MHz
Nuclei	H

Syn/anti mixture 4c. Major syn isomer.



Parameter	Value
Solvent	CDCl ₃
Spectrometer Frequency	400 MHz
Nuclei	H
Syn/anti mixture 4d. Major syn isomer.	
c7657bos	93 992 990 889 84 79 65 55 55 61 9 81 41 37 37 23 20 18 41 17 15 12 117 15 55 64 55 55 4 77 37 76 77 37 76 55 54 45 55 20 18 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17







Parameter	Value
Solvent	CDCl ₃
Spectrometer Frequency	400 MHz
Nuclei	H

Syn/anti mixture 4f. Major syn isomer.



Parameter	Value
Solvent	CDCl ₃
Spectrometer Frequency	400 MHz
Nuclei	H
Syn/anti mixture 4h. Major syn isomer.	







Parameter	Value
Solvent	CDCl ₃
Spectrometer Frequency	400 MHz
Nuclei	H

Syn/anti mixture 41. Major syn isomer.







Parameter	Value
Solvent	CDCl ₃
Spectrometer Frequency	400 MHz
Nuclei	H

Syn/anti mixture 40. Major syn isomer.

