Article:

Casanova, N, Beaulieu, K, Oustric, P et al. (2023) Increases in physical activity are associated with a faster rate of weight loss during dietary energy restriction in women with overweight and obesity. British Journal of Nutrition, 129 (8). pp. 1451-1461. ISSN: 0007-1145

https://doi.org/10.1017/S000711452200023X

Increases in physical activity are associated with a faster rate of weight loss during dietary energy restriction in women with overweight and obesity

Nuno Casanova[1, 2], Kristine Beaulieu[3], Pauline Oustric[3], Dominic O'Connor[3], Catherine Gibbons[3], John Blundell[3], Graham Finlayson[3] and Mark Hopkins[1]

[1] School of Food Science and Nutrition, Faculty of Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK

[2] KinesioLab, Research Unit in Human Movement Analysis, Piaget Institute, Av. Jorge Peixinho 30 Quinta da Arreinela, 2805-059 Almada, Portugal

[3] Appetite Control and Energy Balance Research Group, School of Psychology, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK

Corresponding author:
Mark Hopkins
School of Food Science and Nutrition,
Faculty of Environment,
University of Leeds,
Leeds,
LS2 9JT
United Kingdom.
Email: m.hopkins@leeds.ac.uk

Short title: Increases in physical activity accelerate weight loss

Abstract (250 words)

This secondary analysis examined the influence of changes in physical activity (PA), sedentary time and energy expenditure (EE) during dietary energy restriction on the rate of weight loss (WL) and 1-year follow-up weight change in women with overweight / obesity.

Measurements of body weight and composition (air-displacement plethysmography), resting metabolic rate (indirect calorimetry), total daily (TDEE) and activity EE (AEE), minutes of PA and sedentary time (PA monitor) were taken at baseline, after 2 weeks, after ≥5% WL or 12 weeks of continuous (25% daily energy deficit) or intermittent (75% daily energy deficit alternated with *ad libitum* day) energy restriction, and at 1-year post-WL. The rate of WL was calculated as total %WL / number of dieting weeks. Data from both groups were combined for analyses.

Thirty-seven participants (age=35±10y; BMI=29.1±2.3kg/m^2) completed the intervention (WL=-5.9±1.6%) and 18 returned at 1-year post-WL (weight change=+4.5±5.2%). Changes in sedentary time at 2 weeks were associated with the rate of WL during energy restriction (r=-0.38; p=0.03). Changes in total (r=0.54; p<0.01), light (r=0.43; p=0.01) and moderate-to-vigorous PA (r=0.55; p<0.01), sedentary time (r=-0.52; p<0.01), steps per day (r=0.39; p=0.02), TDEE (r=0.46; p<0.01) and AEE (r=0.51; p<0.01) during energy restriction were associated with the rate of WL. Changes in total (r=-0.50; p=0.04) and moderate-to-vigorous PA (r=-0.61; p=0.01) between post-WL and follow-up were associated with 1-year weight change (r=-0.51; p=0.04).

These findings highlight that PA and sedentary time could act as modifiable behavioural targets to promote better weight outcomes during dietary energy restriction and/or weight maintenance.

Keywords: Physical activity; sedentary time; energy expenditure; weight loss; weight regain

1. Introduction

It has been reported that up to 80% of individuals who achieve clinically significant weight loss (WL) fail to sustain this WL after 1 year or more [1]. While researchers have attempted to identify predictors of WL and WL maintenance, inconsistent findings are reported and potential predictors of WL often have limited explanatory value [2, 3]. Identification of predictive factors is important as it would allow proactive changes to be made during a WL intervention, potentially improving longer-term weight management success. Two factors that have been previously highlighted as predictors of WL are early changes in body weight (2 to 6 weeks) [4, 5] and the amount of physical activity (PA) performed during periods of WL [6].

Previous research has reported that PA may decline during dietary-induced WL [7-9], with a systematic review by Silva et al. reporting decreases in PA and/or non-exercise activity thermogenesis in 50% (7 out of 14 studies) of diet-only interventions [7]. However, several studies have reported no changes in PA during WL [10, 11]. For instance, after 12 weeks of continuous or intermittent energy restriction to ~12.5% WL, Coutinho et al. did not observe any within or between group differences in the number of steps per day [10]. Inter-individual variability in WL and body composition outcomes is commonly observed in studies of dietary energy restriction [12, 13], but whether individual differences in changes in PA and sedentary behaviours influence WL and WL maintenance success remains unclear.

While the role of PA and exercise in weight management has been questioned [14], interventions combining both dietary-energy restriction and changes in PA usually promote a greater WL which is better sustained over time [15]. For instance, a systematic-review observed that combining dietary-energy restriction and exercise lead to a 20% greater total WL in comparison to dietary modifications alone [16]. Furthermore, during 6 months of a lifestyle WL intervention, participants on the higher PA group had an increase of 47min/day (and a reduction in sedentary time of 52min/day), achieving a greater total WL [17]. However, findings regarding the role of PA or exercise in weight management are not always consistent, with a recent systematic review reported no significant effects of exercise on WL maintenance [18].

Of note, few studies have objectively measured PA during dietary-induced WL, and in particular, during the early stages of WL, to examine whether changes in free-living PA influences the dynamics of WL e.g., rate, extent or composition of WL. Examining the early- and longer-term changes in PA at the individual level during dietary-induced energy restriction would allow for a better understanding of the role of PA in facilitating or resisting early and/or sustained WL, and would provide a framework in which effective behaviour change interventions could be designed to improve weight management success rates [19].

Therefore, the aim of this secondary analysis was to examine the influence of early (baseline to week 2) and post-intervention changes in objectively measured PA and sedentary time during dietary energy restriction on 1) the rate of WL and 2) 1-year follow-up weight change in women with overweight and obesity.

2. Material and Methods

Healthy women with overweight and obesity were recruited from the University of Leeds and the surrounding area via posters and email lists to take part in a study examining 'the effects of a personalised weight loss meal plan on body composition and metabolism' (NCT03447600). In this study, participants were randomised to either continuous (CER; daily 25% energy restriction – all foods were provided) or intermittent (IER; 75% energy restriction days alternated with *ad libitum* eating days – food was only provided on 'fast' days) energy restriction until ≥5% WL or 12 weeks (even if WL target was not achieved). The present analyses represent exploratory analysis of secondary outcomes from this study, and previous findings from the main dietary energy restriction study have been reported elsewhere [20, 21]. Specific details of the dietary intervention during the WL phase are provided elsewhere [22], and for the purposes of this paper, findings from both dietary groups were combined as no group differences existed in the main outcomes reported here (see section 2.4). No instructions were given to nor contact kept with participants after the WL phase and thus they were not required to maintain the same dietary pattern. Participants that completed the WL phase (≥5% WL or within 12 weeks) were invited for a 1-year follow-up 4 weeks before the measurements to avoid influencing their behaviours throughout the 12 months. Therefore, while this was not a weight maintenance intervention, the aim of the follow-up measurement was to attempt to highlight factors (during and after dietary-induced energy restriction) associated with post-WL weight change as these could have important implications regarding weight management interventions.

Participants were excluded if they had health problems that could affect study outcomes; history of eating disorders; taking medication, supplements or treatment known to affect appetite/weight within the past month and/or during the study; pregnant, planning to become pregnant or breastfeeding; known food allergies/intolerances; smokers or had ceased smoking in the past 6 months; lost significant amount of weight in the previous 6 months (±4kg); exercised >3 days per week, significantly changed their PA patterns in the past 6 months or intended to change them during the study; worked in appetite/feeding related areas; or were shift workers. Participants provided written informed consent before taking part and were remunerated £100 upon completion of the WL protocol, and £30 after the 1-year follow-up measurements. The study received approval from the School of Psychology Research Ethics Committee at the University of Leeds (ref: PSC-238, date: 10/01/2018; amendment to include 1-year follow-up - ref: PSC-669, date: 11/04/2019).

2.1 Study design

Participants completed a free-living week of measurements where a PA monitor was worn continuously to assess minutes of PA and to estimate total daily (TDEE) and activity energy expenditure (AEE). Upon completion of the free-living week of measurements, participants attended the laboratory for a testing day which took place after a 10-12 hour overnight fast. This day included assessments of body composition, resting metabolic rate (RMR), as well other variables (e.g., appetite ratings and eating behaviour traits) reported elsewhere as these were not the main of aim of the current secondary analysis [20-22]. Upon completing both free-living and laboratory measurements, participants were randomised to either CER or IER until they reached ≥5% WL or 12 weeks, as previously described [22]. Participants had weekly meetings with a dietitian to monitor body weight and adjust the meal plan if needed. Upon reaching ≥5% WL on a weekly meeting, participants completed a final free-living week of measurements while still on CER or IER, emailing their fasted body weight each day to the research dietitian. Measurements were collected at baseline (before diet allocation), after 2 weeks of energy restriction (to examine the associations between early changes and longer-term outcomes), at ≥5% WL (or 12 weeks) and at 1-year post-WL. To assess the impact of early changes in physiological and psychological outcomes, measurements were collected after 2 weeks of the diet so as to avoid the first phase of WL in which rapid changes in body water and glycogen stores can occur, and because it is not uncommon for a 5% WL (the target WL in this study) to occur within 4-6 weeks [23].

2.2 Free-living measurements

2.2.1 Physical activity

Participants wore a PA monitor (SenseWear Armband; BodyMedia, Inc., Pittsburgh, USA) to assess PA and estimate TDEE and AEE over 7 days at baseline (before the diet intervention), after 2 weeks of dietary energy restriction, post-WL and at 1-year follow-up. The SenseWear Armband is a device which been shown to provide valid estimates of PA and EE [24]. The SenseWear Armband uses body weight, height and age, as well galvanic skin response, skin temperature, heat flux and complex pattern-recognition algorithms to determine activity type, to estimate TDEE. Minutes spent in sedentary (<1.5METs), light (1.5-2.0METs), moderate (3.0-5.9METs) and vigorous (≥6.0METs) activities, as well daily steps and sleep duration were calculated using proprietary algorithms presented in the device's accompanying software (version 8.0 professional), previously validated [24]. AEE was calculated using the following equation:

$$Activity\ Energy\ Expenditure = TDEE\ x\ 0.9\ -\ RMR$$

Participants were instructed to wear the monitor halfway between their elbow and shoulder for at least 23 hours per day (including overnight, although daily and nightly activities have not been discriminated), only removing during activities that involved contact with water (e.g., shower and swimming). Compliance with utilising the monitor was defined as having a minimum of 22 hours of verifiable time per day for at least 5 days (including one weekend day). All participants wore the PA monitor for at least 5 days, with a mean wear time per day of 23 hours and 40 minutes (from 23 hours and 7 minutes to 23 hours and 54 minutes). Participants were instructed not to change their structured exercise habits for the duration of the WL phase e.g., start an exercise programme if this was not already part of their routine. However, no specific instructions were given regarding habitual daily PA behaviours, and these behaviours were not restricted or controlled throughout the intervention to allow quantification of the degree of spontaneous non-exercise PA changes. As changes in PA behaviours may naturally occur in response to periods of negative energy balance despite the absence of specific recommendations [7], the aim of this analysis was to examine how these spontaneous changes could influence body weight outcomes. An important factor to consider is that AEE and TDEE are influenced by changes in body weight. Therefore, when exporting the data from the SenseWear Armband, the value for body weight was updated to control for the reduction in EE induced by losses of body mass. Furthermore, steps per day and minutes of total, light and moderate-to-vigorous PA, and sedentary time, were examined as these are commonly used measurements of PA independent of body weight and body composition. No instructions were given to participants between the post-WL phase and the 1-year follow-up in terms of PA (or dietary) patterns and therefore, participants could have started or stopped any type of formal exercise routines during these 12 months.

2.3 Laboratory measurements

2.3.1 Body weight and composition

Body weight and composition were measured whilst participants were wearing tight fitting clothing and a swimming cap using air-displacement plethysmography (BodPod, COSMED Inc., Concord, USA). Fat mass (FM) and FFM were estimated to the nearest 0.01kg, and manufacturer's instructions were followed and the Siri equation [25] was used to estimate body fat percentage.

2.3.2 Rate of weight loss

In the present study, total percentage of WL and the time to complete the intervention (i.e., final day of measurements) ranged from 3.2% to 8.3% and 35 to 93 days, respectively. As individuals with different starting body masses were being compared, which could alter the absolute amount of WL [26], relative changes in body weight were reported as a percentage. To control for the variability in intervention duration and total WL between participants, mean rate of WL throughout the intervention was calculated. In the scientific literature [27-31], rate of WL has been calculated using the following equation:

$$Rate\ of\ Weight\ Loss\ (\%\ per\ week) = \frac{Total\ Weight\ Loss\ (\%)}{Time\ (weeks)}$$

The mean rate of WL was calculated at weeks 2 and post-WL. As the timing for the follow-up measurements was matched between participants (approximately 1 year), percentage of body weight change from post-WL to 1-year follow-up was calculated.

2.3.3 Resting metabolic rate

RMR was measured with an indirect calorimeter fitted with a ventilated hood (GEM, Nutren Technology Ltd). Participants were asked to remain in a supine position for 40 minutes without moving, talking or falling asleep. Before each measurement, an individual calibration process was performed. RMR was calculated using the 5-minute steady state method [32], and data was entered into the Weir equation [33].

2.4 Statistical analyses

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation. Data were analysed using SPSS software version 25 (IBM Corp., Armonk, New York). The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to examine for normality of distribution and all data were normally distributed. Analyses were conducted with data from participants that completed the intervention (≥5% WL or 12 weeks). Differences between intervention groups (CER and IER) at baseline were examined using Welch's t-tests. Changes over time were analysed with repeated measures maximum-likelihood linear mixed models to account for missing data, using SPSS (version 26, IBM, USA). Measures day (baseline, week 2, post-WL and 1-year post-WL), intervention group (CER and IER) and their interaction were analysed as fixed factors and subject as random factor. Bonferroni adjustments were applied to post-hoc analyses. Data are presented as estimated marginal means and 95% confidence intervals. For the analyses pertaining to the rate of WL, data from both groups were combined as no statistical differences existed between groups [22]. Partial correlations (adjusted for WL group and baseline values) were conducted to examine the associations between baseline characteristics, changes from baseline to week 2 and from baseline to post-WL with the mean rate of WL, as the rate of WL was different between dietary groups (CER: 0.8±0.3%/week; IER: 0.6±0.3%/week; p=0.01). Pearson correlations were also conducted to examine the associations between changes from post-WL to follow-up and 1-year weight change. However, as 1-year weight change was similar between groups and these were not following a particular dietary pattern, these associations were not adjusted for group. The main study from which these secondary analyses have been conducted was originally powered to detect an interaction in self-selected meal size (*ad libitum* energy intake) between 2 groups and 2 repeated measurements [22], but power calculations (G*Power v3.1) indicated that a sample size of 23 would be sufficient to see a correlation coefficient of 0.50 between PA and weight change with $\alpha=0.05$ and $1-\beta=0.8$ (based on a previous study that observed a correlation coefficient of r=-0.69 [34]). Statistical significance was defined as $p<0.05$.

3. Results

3.1 Participant flow

A total of 54 participants were enrolled in the trial, 46 completed baseline measurements, with no differences between groups (all p>0.18) and were randomly allocated to a diet group (CER – 22; IER – 24), and 37 reached ≥5% WL or 12 weeks (CER – 19; IER – 18). Eighteen participants returned for the 1-year follow-up (CER – 11; IER – 7). Characteristics of the participants that completed the WL intervention (n=37) and that returned after 1-year (n=18) can be found in table 1 and a participant flow chart can be found in figure 1.

TABLE 1



Figure 1 – Participant flow chart.

3.2 Changes during the intervention

Mean values for each group at each time point during the intervention can be seen in Table 2. No baseline differences were observed between dietary groups (all p>0.12). Both groups achieved a similar total WL (CER: 6.2±0.8%; IER: 5.5±2.1%; p=0.17). The mean rate of WL was similar between groups at week 2 (CER: 0.2±0.1%/week; IER: 0.2±0.1%/week; p=0.79), but different throughout the entire intervention (CER: 0.8±0.3%/week; IER: 0.6±0.3%/week; p=0.01). Both groups presented a similar weight change from post-WL to 1-year follow-up (CER: 5.0±6.0%; IER: 3.7±4.0%; p=0.62). One participant (CER) displayed weight regain of 19.7%, and when removed, weight regain was near identical (CER: 3.6±3.7%; IER: 3.7±4.0%; p=0.93). Weight change from post-WL to 1-year follow-up in the whole group ranged from -2.1% to +19.7% (-1.4 to +14.0kg), or from -2.1% to 9.7% (-1.4 to +8.2kg) when the outlier was removed.

There was a main effect of time ($p<0.001$) but no effect of group or interaction ($p\geq0.15$) for body weight, fat mass, fat-free mass, body fat percentage, RMR, TDEE and AEE. Post-hoc analyses are shown in Table 2. There were no time, group, or interaction effects for daily steps, sleep duration, total PA, light PA, moderate-to-vigorous PA or sedentary time ($p\geq0.07$).

TABLE 2

3.4 Associations between changes at week 2 and mean rate of weight loss

No associations were seen between baseline PA, sedentary time, sleep duration, TDEE or AEE with the mean rate of WL throughout the intervention (p>0.05).

Changes in total PA (r=0.29; p=0.10), light (r=0.03; p=0.86) and moderate-to-vigorous PA (r=0.25; p=0.16), steps per day (r=0.19; p=0.26), sleep duration (r=0.18; p=0.32), TDEE (r=0.07; p=0.72) and AEE (r=0.07; p=0.70) from baseline to week 2 were not associated with the mean rate of WL throughout the intervention. As shown in figure 2, mean rate of WL (r=0.42; p=0.01) and changes in sedentary time (r=-0.37; p=0.03) from baseline to week 2 were associated with the mean rate of WL throughout the energy restriction phase.



Figure 2 – Associations between mean rate of weight loss in the participants that completed the intervention with A) mean rate of weight loss at week 2 and B) changes in sedentary time at week 2. Grey bands represent the 95% confidence intervals.

3.5 Associations between changes throughout the intervention and mean rate of weight loss

Changes in sleep duration (r=0.06; p=0.73) were not associated with the mean rate of WL during the energy restriction phase. Changes in total PA (r=0.55; p<0.01), light PA (r=0.43; p=0.01), moderate-to-vigorous PA (r=0.51; p<0.01), sedentary time (r=-0.56; p<0.01), steps per day (r=0.39; p=0.02), TDEE (r=0.41; p=0.02) and AEE (r=0.47; p<0.01) were associated with the mean rate of WL (Figure 3). Associations were also found between the days to reach 5% WL (which ranged from 35 to 93 days) and changes throughout the energy restriction phase in total PA (r=-0.49; p=0.004), light PA (r=-0.43; p=0.01), moderate-to-vigorous PA (r=-0.47; p=0.007), sedentary time (r=0.55; p=0.001) and steps per day (r=0.36; p=0.04).



Figure 3 – Associations between mean rate of weight loss in the participants that completed the intervention with changes throughout the intervention in A) total physical activity, B) moderate-to-vigorous physical activity, C) sedentary time, D) steps per day, E) total daily energy expenditure, and F) activity energy expenditure. Grey bands represent the 95% confidence intervals.

3.6 Factors associated with post-WL 1-year weight change

Changes in light PA (r=-0.32; p=0.24), sedentary time (r=0.39; p=0.13), steps per day (r=-0.39; p=0.12), sleep duration (r=-0.08; p=0.77), TDEE (r=-0.07; p=0.80) and AEE (r=-0.06; p=0.81) from post-WL to 1-year follow-up were not associated with 1-year weight change. However, changes in total PA (r=-0.50; p=0.04) and moderate-to-vigorous PA (r=-0.61; p=0.01), were associated with 1-year weight change (Figure 4).



Figure 4 – Associations between 1-year weight change and changes between post-WL and 1-year follow-up in A) total physical activity and B) moderate-to-vigorous physical activity. Grey bands represent the 95% confidence intervals.

The mean rate of WL during the WL phase was not associated with 1-year weight change (r=-0.01; p=0.97). However, changes in total PA (r=-0.50; p=0.04), moderate-to-vigorous PA (r=-0.64; p<0.01), sedentary time (r=-0.71; p<0.01) and TDEE (r=-0.48; p=0.04) from baseline to post-WL were negatively associated with the changes from post-WL to 1-year follow-up, with greater increases in PA or TDEE during the WL phase being associated with greater decreases during the 1-year post-WL phase.

4. Discussion

The aim of this secondary analysis was to explore whether changes in objectively measured PA, sedentary time and EE were associated with the rate of WL during dietary energy restriction and 1-year weight change post-WL. In these data, baseline characteristics were not associated with longer-term WL outcomes, but the rate of WL and changes in sedentary time after 2 weeks were associated with the mean rate of WL during the dietary intervention period. Changes in total PA, light PA, moderate-to-vigorous PA, sedentary time, steps per day, TDEE and AEE from baseline to post-WL were associated with the mean rate of WL during the energy restriction phase, while changes in total PA and moderate-to-vigorous PA from post-WL to 1-year follow-up were associated with the change in body weight during the non-contact follow-up period. Changes in sleep duration were not associated with body weight outcomes at any timepoints. Data from this secondary analysis suggests that increases (or smaller reductions) in PA behaviours during dietary energy restriction may help facilitate WL and attenuate weight regain. As such, these data highlight the potential importance of considering PA and sedentary time in dietary weight management interventions.

4.1 Changes in physical activity during diet-induced weight loss

It has been previously suggested that diet-induced WL may lead to reductions in PA, with a recent systematic review reporting that 7 out of 14 diet-only interventions observed decreases in non-exercise PA [7]. In the current study, no changes were observed in mean PA or sedentary time over time, and no differences in PA or sedentary time were seen between dietary groups. This corroborates the findings from a previous study comparing intermittent to continuous energy restriction [10] and other diet-only interventions that did not observe reductions in the amount of PA [11, 35]. However, despite the absence of mean changes in PA in the present study, a large inter-individual variability was observed. For instance, changes in total PA from baseline to post-WL ranged from -130 to +209min/day, while the mean change was only +5min/day ($p=1.00$). As such, focusing on the changes in PA at the group level may mask important information regarding how individual differences in PA influence the rate of WL at the individual level.

4.2 Associations between early changes in physical activity and the mean rate of weight loss

Several studies have reported that WL in the first weeks of an intervention (2-6 weeks) is a predictor of longer-term total WL [36-38]. For instance, Tronieri et al. observed that participants that lost more weight in the first 4 weeks, lost more weight at week 14 ($r^2=0.61$; $p<0.001$) and presented a faster rate of WL [38]. In the current study, a faster rate of WL during the first two weeks of energy restriction and a decrease in sedentary time during the first 2 weeks were associated with a faster mean rate of WL during the total energy restriction period. Furthermore, early changes in PA (i.e., baseline to week 2) were strongly correlated with the baseline to post-WL changes (r=0.60-0.70; p<0.001), suggesting that the early changes in PA were maintained across the full dietary energy restriction period. While few studies have looked into the influence of early changes in PA or EE on WL outcomes, these findings are in agreement with a study by Reinhardt et al. in which changes in TDEE in response to a 24-hour fast were associated with WL after 6 weeks [39]. In this study, individuals that presented a greater decrease in TDEE (which is influenced by PA) during 24 hours of fasting, presented a slower rate of WL. However, as this was measured in a respiratory chamber (in which PA could be artificially limited), it remains unknown whether this association between changes in 24-hour TDEE and 6-week WL was due to changes in PAEE or some other TDEE component (although the authors reported that changes in sleeping metabolic rate were not associated with WL). These findings suggest that early changes in body weight and PA (2 weeks in the case of the current study) during diet-induced energy restriction may reflect how well someone will respond in terms of longer-term WL. If this is the case, this could improve weight management success as practitioners would be able to be proactive and adjust an intervention early based on shorter-term responses. However, future studies should aim to replicate these findings to confirm whether early changes in PA allow to predict how individuals will lose weight in the longer-term.

4.3 Associations between changes throughout the intervention and the mean rate of weight loss

An important finding from the current study was that changes in PA and sedentary time throughout the diet intervention were associated with the mean rate of WL, with participants that had greater increases in PA and decreases in sedentary time presenting faster mean rates of WL. These findings are in agreement with a previous WL study (meal plan and instructions to increase PA) in which the group of individuals that had greater increases in moderate-to-vigorous PA lost more weight after 6 months [6], suggesting that maintaining or increasing PA during periods of dietary-induced energy restriction may be an important behavioural strategy to facilitate WL. Overall, these findings corroborate previous literature reporting that the combination of diet and PA leads to better WL outcomes [16, 18].

Although the amount of PA performed (e.g., minutes per day) and AEE are related, PA is a behaviour while AEE represents the EE associated with movement and is therefore also influenced by the mass and composition of an individual [40]. In this data, PA levels were strongly associated with AEE (total PA – $r=0.70$; $p<0.001$; moderate-to-vigorous PA – $r=0.74$; $p<0.001$; sedentary time – $r=-0.64$; $p<0.001$), suggesting that a potential mechanism to explain the current findings is that the changes in PA and sedentary time helped to better maintain or increase the energy deficit created via energy restriction. It is important to report these objectively measured effects since some recent pronouncements have claimed a limited relationship between PA and AEE which could undermine a rationale for promoting the beneficial effects of PA on body weight (or body fat) [41].

In the present study, participants exercised ≤3 days per week at baseline and were instructed not to change their exercise habits during the dietary intervention (e.g., start a structured exercise regime alongside the dietary intervention), but no strict restrictions were placed on other PA behaviours during the intervention. However, it is important to highlight that time spent performing moderate-to-vigorous PA in the current study was on average >60min/day, suggesting the participants included were relatively active. Whether the changes in PA and sedentary time during the WL intervention were intentional is unknown, and an important question that should be addressed in future research is whether individuals who demonstrate better WL outcomes during energy restriction actively increase their PA to augment WL. While PA levels are readily modifiable, it cannot be ruled out that individuals became more active as a result of their greater WL. Therefore, the hypothesis that PA may increase as a consequence of WL, rather than increases in PA leading to a faster rate of WL, cannot be ruled out and should be explored in future studies.

4.4 Factors associated with 1-year weight change

Changes in moderate-to-vigorous PA from post-WL to 1-year follow-up were associated with 1-year weight change. These findings are in agreement with previous studies highlighting PA as a robust predictor of WL maintenance [3, 42, 43], but not all [44]. An interesting observation in the present study was that participants that increased PA during the WL phase had lower baseline values, but these individuals also demonstrated greater reductions in PA between the end of the WL phase and the 1-year follow-up point. This perhaps suggests that participants with a greater rate of WL consciously increased their PA, but after the WL phase terminated, the absence of a specific WL goal may have led to a return to baseline PA levels. However, it is important to consider that since the sample size was limited to 18 individuals (from 37 participants that finished the WL phase), these findings should be interpreted cautiously. Nonetheless, the observed associations in this secondary analysis should be viewed as an initial proof of concept highlighting the relevance of PA for sustained WL, and this enquiry should be replicated in future studies with larger sample sizes.

4.5 Limitations

The equation used to calculate the rate of WL, as well assessing changes in PA at baseline, week 2, post-WL and after 1-year assumes that these changes are linear over time. This may be inaccurate due to the daily fluctuations in both EI and EE that may occur during periods of negative energy balance [45, 46]. However, the main aim of this study was to identify the factors associated with WL variability and not with the intra-individual variability in weekly changes in body weight. Therefore, this calculation allowed for an examination of the factors that explain why some individuals lose weight faster (on average). Furthermore, changes in PA at week 2 and post-intervention were strongly associated (all $r=0.60\text{-}0.70$; $p<0.001$), as well with changes between post-WL and 1-year follow-up, suggesting that the individual changes in PA were consistent across the study period. It is also important to acknowledge that the sample size, especially at 1-year follow-up, was small and consisted only of women, potentially limiting the generalisability of the findings. Lastly, as there was no contact between post-WL and 1-year follow-up, it is not known whether changes in PA and sedentary time were conscious and voluntary remains unknown.

5. Conclusion

The results from this secondary analysis corroborate previous findings demonstrating that baseline characteristics may not be good indicators of longer-term WL. However, increases in PA behaviours after 2 weeks and throughout the intervention were associated with a faster mean rate of WL. Furthermore, decreases in PA behaviours were associated with a greater 1-year weight regain. Conversely, an increase in sedentary time was associated with a slower rate of WL and greater weight regain. These findings highlight the potential contribution of PA during dietary weight management interventions, and as a potentially modifiable component of TDEE, may be an important behavioural target during dietary energy restriction that promotes better weight outcomes during dietary energy restriction.

Financial support:

This work was funded in part by a Research Fellowship awarded to Nuno Casanova by the Wellcome Trust's International Strategic Support Fund (204825/Z/16/Z) and by a Research Fellowship awarded to Kristine Beaulieu by the European Society for Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism (ESPEN).

Conflict of interest:

None.

Authorship:

The authors' responsibilities were as follows – NC, KB, PO, CG, JB, GF and MH: designed research; NC, KB, PO and DOC: conducted research; NC: analysed data; NC: wrote of the first draft of the manuscript; NC: had primary responsibility for final content; and all authors: read and approved the final manuscript.

References

[1] Wing, R. R., Phelan, S. Long-term weight loss maintenance. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2005,82:222S-5S.

[2] Greaves, C. J., Sheppard, K. E., Abraham, C., Hardeman, W., Roden, M., Evans, P. H., et al. Systematic review of reviews of intervention components associated with increased effectiveness in dietary and physical activity interventions. BMC Public Health. 2011,11:119.

[3] Paixão, C., Dias, C. M., Jorge, R., Carraça, E. V., Yannakoulia, M., de Zwaan, M., et al. Successful weight loss maintenance: A systematic review of weight control registries. Obes Rev. 2020,21:e13003.

[4] Yank, V., Xiao, L., Wilson, S. R., Stafford, R. S., Rosas, L. G., Ma, J. Short-term weight loss patterns, baseline predictors, and longer-term follow-up within a randomized controlled trial. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2014,22:45-51.

[5] Unick, J. L., Pellegrini, C. A., Demos, K. E., Dorfman, L. Initial Weight Loss Response as an Indicator for Providing Early Rescue Efforts to Improve Long-term Treatment Outcomes. Current diabetes reports. 2017,17:69.

[6] Fazzino, T. L., Fabian, C., Befort, C. A. Change in Physical Activity During a Weight Management Intervention for Breast Cancer Survivors: Association with Weight Outcomes. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2017,25 Suppl 2:S109-S15.

[7] Silva, A. M., Judice, P. B., Carraca, E. V., King, N., Teixeira, P. J., Sardinha, L. B. What is the effect of diet and/or exercise interventions on behavioural compensation in non-exercise physical activity and related energy expenditure of free-living adults? A systematic review. Br J Nutr. 2018,119:1327-45.

[8] de Groot, L. C., van Es, A. J., van Raaij, J. M., Vogt, J. E., Hautvast, J. G. Energy metabolism of overweight women 1 mo and 1 y after an 8-wk slimming period. Am J Clin Nutr. 1990,51:578-83.

[9] Weigle, D. S. Contribution of decreased body mass to diminished thermic effect of exercise in reduced-obese men. Int J Obes. 1988,12:567-78.

[10] Coutinho, S. R., Halset, E. H., Gasbakk, S., Rehfeld, J. F., Kulseng, B., Truby, H., et al. Compensatory mechanisms activated with intermittent energy restriction: A randomized control trial. Clin Nutr. 2018,37:815-23.

[11] van Dale, D., Schoffelen, P. F., ten Hoor, F., Saris, W. H. Effects of addition of exercise to energy restriction on 24-hour energy expenditure, sleeping metabolic rate and daily physical activity. Eur J Clin Nutr. 1989,43:441-51.

[12] Yancy, W. S., Jr., Olsen, M. K., Guyton, J. R., Bakst, R. P., Westman, E. C. A low-carbohydrate, ketogenic diet versus a low-fat diet to treat obesity and hyperlipidemia: a randomized, controlled trial. Ann Intern Med. 2004,140:769-77.

[13] Gardner, C. D., Trepanowski, J. F., Del Gobbo, L. C., Hauser, M. E., Rigdon, J., Ioannidis, J. P. A., et al. Effect of Low-Fat vs Low-Carbohydrate Diet on 12-Month Weight Loss in Overweight Adults and the Association With Genotype Pattern or Insulin Secretion: The DIETFITS Randomized Clinical Trial. Jama. 2018,319:667-79.

[14] Malhotra, A., Noakes, T., Phinney, S. It is time to bust the myth of physical inactivity and obesity: you cannot outrun a bad diet. British journal of sports medicine. 2015:bjsports-2015-094911.

[15] Jakicic, J. M., Rogers, R. J., Davis, K. K., Collins, K. A. Role of Physical Activity and Exercise in Treating Patients with Overweight and Obesity. Clin Chem. 2018,64:99-107.

[16] Curioni, C. C., Lourenço, P. M. Long-term weight loss after diet and exercise: a systematic review. Int J Obes (Lond). 2005,29:1168-74.
[17] DeLany, J. P., Kelley, D. E., Hames, K. C., Jakicic, J. M., Goodpaster, B. H. Effect of physical activity on weight loss, energy expenditure, and energy intake during diet induced weight loss. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2014,22:363-70.
[18] Bellicha, A., van Baak, M. A., Battista, F., Beaulieu, K., Blundell, J. E., Busetto, L., et al. Effect of exercise training on weight loss, body composition changes, and weight maintenance in adults with overweight or obesity: An overview of 12 systematic reviews and 149 studies.n/a:e13256.
[19] Stubbs, R. J., Duarte, C., O'Driscoll, R., Turicchi, J., Michalowska, J. Developing evidence-based behavioural strategies to overcome physiological resistance to weight loss in the general population. Proc Nutr Soc. 2019,78:576-89.
[20] Beaulieu, K., Casanova, N., Oustric, P., Hopkins, M., Varady, K., Finlayson, G., et al. An exploratory investigation of the impact of 'fast' and 'feed' days during intermittent energy restriction on free-living energy balance behaviours and subjective states in women with overweight/obesity. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2020.
[21] Oustric, P., Beaulieu, K., Casanova, N., O'Connor, D., Gibbons, C., Hopkins, M., et al. Food Liking but Not Wanting Decreases after Controlled Intermittent or Continuous Energy Restriction to ≥5% Weight Loss in Women with Overweight/Obesity. Nutrients. 2021,13.
[22] Beaulieu, K., Casanova, N., Oustric, P., Turicchi, J., Gibbons, C., Hopkins, M., et al. Matched Weight Loss Through Intermittent or Continuous Energy Restriction Does Not Lead To Compensatory Increases in Appetite and Eating Behavior in a Randomized Controlled Trial in Women with Overweight and Obesity. The Journal of Nutrition. 2019.
[23] Heymsfield, S. B., Thomas, D., Nguyen, A. M., Peng, J. Z., Martin, C., Shen, W., et al. Voluntary weight loss: systematic review of early phase body composition changes. Obes Rev. 2011,12:e348-61.
[24] O'Driscoll, R., Turicchi, J., Beaulieu, K., Scott, S., Matu, J., Deighton, K., et al. How well do activity monitors estimate energy expenditure? A systematic review and meta-analysis of the validity of current technologies. 2018:bjsports-2018-099643.
[25] Siri, W. Body composition from fluid space and density. J. Brozek & A. Hanschel (Eds.), In: Techniques for measuring body composition. Washington, DC National Academy of Science 1961 p. 223-2441961.
[26] Hatoum, I. J., Kaplan, L. M. Advantages of percent weight loss as a method of reporting weight loss after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2013,21:1519-25.
[27] Finkler, E., Heymsfield, S. B., St-Onge, M.-P. Rate of weight loss can be predicted by patient characteristics and intervention strategies. Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics. 2012,112:75-80.
[28] Nackers, L. M., Ross, K. M., Perri, M. G. The association between rate of initial weight loss and long-term success in obesity treatment: does slow and steady win the race? Int J Behav Med. 2010,17:161-7.
[29] Vink, R. G., Roumans, N. J., Arkenbosch, L. A., Mariman, E. C., van Baak, M. A. The effect of rate of weight loss on long-term weight regain in adults with overweight and obesity. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2016,24:321-7.
[30] Coutinho, S. R., With, E., Rehfeld, J. F., Kulseng, B., Truby, H., Martins, C. The impact of rate of weight loss on body composition and compensatory

mechanisms during weight reduction: A randomized control trial. Clin Nutr. 2018,37:1154-62.
[31] Turicchi, J., O'Driscoll, R., Finlayson, G., Beaulieu, K., Deighton, K., Stubbs, R. J. Associations between the rate, amount, and composition of weight loss as predictors of spontaneous weight regain in adults achieving clinically significant weight loss: A systematic review and meta-regression. Obes Rev. 2019,20:935-46.
[32] Sanchez-Delgado, G., Alcantara, J. M. A., Ortiz-Alvarez, L., Xu, H., Martinez-Tellez, B., Labayen, I., et al. Reliability of resting metabolic rate measurements in young adults: Impact of methods for data analysis. Clin Nutr. 2018,37:1618-24.
[33] Weir, J. B. New methods for calculating metabolic rate with special reference to protein metabolism. J Physiol. 1949,109:1-9.
[34] Aadland, E., Robertson, L. Physical activity is associated with weight loss and increased cardiorespiratory fitness in severely obese men and women undergoing lifestyle treatment. Journal of obesity. 2012,2012:810594-.
[35] Weinsier, R. L., Hunter, G. R., Zuckerman, P. A., Redden, D. T., Darnell, B. E., Larson, D. E., et al. Energy expenditure and free-living physical activity in black and white women: comparison before and after weight loss. Am J Clin Nutr. 2000,71:1138-46.
[36] Barnes, R. D., Ivezaj, V., Pittman, B. P., Grilo, C. M. Early weight loss predicts weight loss treatment response regardless of binge-eating disorder status and pretreatment weight change. Int J Eat Disord. 2018,51:558-64.
[37] James, B. L., Roe, L. S., Loken, E., Rolls, B. J. Early predictors of weight loss in a 1-year behavioural weight-loss programme. Obes Sci Pract. 2018,4:20-8.
[38] Tronieri, J. S., Wadden, T. A., Chao, A. M., Pearl, R. L., Alamuddin, N., Berkowitz, R. I. Early Weight Loss in Behavioral Treatment Predicts Later Rate of Weight Loss and Response to Pharmacotherapy. Ann Behav Med. 2019,53:290-5.
[39] Reinhardt, M., Thearle, M. S., Ibrahim, M., Hohenadel, M. G., Bogardus, C., Krakoff, J., et al. A Human Thrifty Phenotype Associated With Less Weight Loss During Caloric Restriction. Diabetes. 2015,64:2859-67.
[40] Westerterp, K. Physical activity and physical activity induced energy expenditure in humans: measurement, determinants, and effects. 2013,4.
[41] Pontzer, H., Durazo-Arvizu, R., Dugas, L. R., Plange-Rhule, J., Bovet, P., Forrester, T. E., et al. Constrained Total Energy Expenditure and Metabolic Adaptation to Physical Activity in Adult Humans. Current Biology. 2016,26:410–7.
[42] Ostendorf, D. M., Lyden, K., Pan, Z., Wyatt, H. R., Hill, J. O., Melanson, E. L., et al. Objectively Measured Physical Activity and Sedentary Behavior in Successful Weight Loss Maintainers. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2018,26:53-60.
[43] Ostendorf, D. M., Blankenship, J. M., Grau, L., Arbet, J., Mitchell, N. S., Creasy, S. A., et al. Predictors of long-term weight loss trajectories during a behavioral weight loss intervention: An exploratory analysis.n/a.
[44] Washburn, R. A., Szabo-Reed, A. N., Gorczyca, A. M., Sullivan, D. K., Honas, J. J., Mayo, M. S., et al. A Randomized Trial Evaluating Exercise for the Prevention of Weight Regain. 2021,29:62-70.

[45] Melby, C. L., Paris, H. L., Foright, R. M., Peth, J. Attenuating the Biologic Drive for Weight Regain Following Weight Loss: Must What Goes Down Always Go Back Up? Nutrients. 2017,9.
[46] Casanova, N., Beaulieu, K., Finlayson, G., Hopkins, M. Metabolic adaptations during negative energy balance and their potential impact on appetite and food intake. Proc Nutr Soc. 2019,78:279-89.

Table 1 – Participant characteristics of the completers at baseline and 1-year follow-up.

	Baseline			1-Year Follow-Up		
	CER (n=19)	IER (n=18)	Total (n=37)	CER (n=11)	IER (n=7)	Total (n=18)
Age (y)	34 ± 9	36 ± 11	35 ± 10	38 ± 9	37 ± 12	38 ± 10
Body weight (kg)	79.6 ± 10.3	80.1 ± 11.1	79.9 ± 10.6	73.7 ± 6.8	77.1 ± 13.3	75.0 ± 9.6
Height (cm)	165.1 ± 7.8	165.5 ± 8.7	165.3 ± 8.1	161.5 ± 4.6	161.5 ± 6.6	161.5 ± 5.2
BMI (kg/m^2)	29.1 ± 2.4	29.1 ± 2.2	29.1 ± 2.3	28.2 ± 2.2	29.5 ± 4.0	28.7 ± 3.0
Fat mass (kg)	32.8 ± 8.1	33.5 ± 6.7	33.1 ± 7.4	28.8 ± 5.7	34.2 ± 10.0	30.9 ± 7.9
Fat mass (%)	40.7 ± 6.1	41.6 ± 4.1	41.2 ± 5.2	38.8 ± 5.2	43.6 ± 5.9	40.7 ± 5.8
Fat-free mass (kg)	46.9 ± 5.4	46.6 ± 6.1	46.7 ± 5.7	44.9 ± 3.9	42.9 ± 4.6	44.1 ± 4.2

Table 2 – Mean values for participants of both CER and IER that completed the intervention at baseline, week 2, post-WL and at 1-year follow-up.

		Baseline	Week 2	Post-WL	1-year follow-up	Baseline vs week 2	Baseline vs post-WL	Post-WL vs follow-up
Body weight (kg)	**CER**	79.63 [74.82, 84.45]	77.72 [72.91, 82.53]	74.71 [69.89, 79.52]	78.21 [73.35, 83.07]	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
	IER	80.09 [75.15, 85.03]	78.18 [73.24, 83.12]	75.66 [70.72, 80.61]	78.58 [73.52, 83.64]			
Fat mass (kg)	**CER**	32.75 [29.42, 36.08]	31.22 [27.89, 34.55]	29.08 [25.75, 32.41]	31.93 [28.53, 35.32]	0.008	<0.001	<0.001
	IER	33.52 [30.10, 36.94]	32.65 [29.23, 36.07]	30.48 [27.06, 33.90]	32.94 [29.38, 36.51]			
Fat-free mass (kg)	**CER**	46.88 [44.25, 49.51]	46.50 [43.87, 49.13]	45.63 [43.00, 48.26]	46.28 [43.63, 48.92]	<0.001	<0.001	0.051
	IER	46.57 [43.87, 49.27]	45.52 [42.82, 48.22]	45.19 [42.49, 47.89]	45.66 [42.92, 48.39]			
Body fat (%)	**CER**	40.73 [38.30, 43.15]	39.78 [37.36, 42.21]	38.52 [36.09, 40.94]	40.46 [37.97, 42.94]	0.568	<0.001	0.001
	IER	41.64 [39.15, 44.13]	41.58 [39.09, 44.08]	40.07 [37.58, 42.56]	41.36 [38.74, 43.98]			
RMR (kcal/day)	**CER**	1456 [1370, 1542]	1433 [1347, 1519]	1435 [1349, 1521]	1657 [1564, 1750]	1.000	1.000	<0.001
	IER	1435 [1346, 1523]	1459 [1371, 1548]	1478 [1389, 1566]	1638 [1533, 1742]			
TDEE (kcal/day)	**CER**	2352 [2214, 2489]	2311 [2173, 2448]	2263 [2125, 2400]	2376 [2232, 2520]	0.005	<0.001	0.015
	IER	2455 [2309, 2600]	2333 [2187, 2478]	2296 [2150, 2442]	2385 [2223, 2548]			
AEE (kcal/day)	**CER**	661 [568, 753]	647 [554, 740]	601 [509, 694]	472 [365, 579]	0.084	0.001	0.060
	IER	773 [675, 871]	639 [541, 737]	595 [495, 695]	514 [380, 647]			
Total PA (min/day)	**CER**	235 [194, 277]	244 [202, 285]	255 [214, 297]	226 [181, 271]	1.000	1.000	1.000
	IER	257 [213, 301]	241 [198, 285]	247 [203, 292]	239 [185, 293]			
Sed time (min/day)	**CER**	760 [714, 805]	739 [693, 784]	723 [677, 768]	791 [741, 841]	1.000	0.670	0.166
	IER	744 [696, 792]	739 [691, 787]	743 [695, 792]	746 [686, 806]			
Light PA (min/day)	**CER**	168 [137, 198]	173 [143, 204]	182 [152, 212]	141 [107, 174]	1.000	1.000	0.054
	IER	180 [148, 212]	177 [145, 209]	176 [143, 208]	162 [123, 202]			
MVPA (min/day)	**CER**	68 [53, 84]	72 [56, 87]	74 [58, 89]	86 [69, 104]	1.000	1.000	0.821
	IER	77 [61, 94]	65 [48, 81]	72 [56, 89]	77 [56, 98]			
Steps per day	**CER**	8623 [7380, 9865]	8715 [7473, 9958]	8455 [7213, 9698]	9643 [8266, 11019]	1.000	1.000	0.833
	IER	9262 [7949, 10576]	8469 [7155, 9782]	8578 [7251, 9905]	8712 [7060, 10364]			

Sleep (min/day)	**CER**	424 [398, 450]	437 [411, 463]	443 [417, 469]	405 [376, 434]	0.297	0.597	0.832
	IER	417 [389, 444]	433 [406, 461]	423 [395, 451]	430 [395, 466]			

Data are estimated marginal means [95% confidence interval]. AEE, activity energy expenditure; CER, continuous energy restriction; IER, intermittent energy restriction; MVPA, moderate-to-vigorous physical activity; RMR, resting metabolic rate; Sed time, sedentary time.