



This is a repository copy of *Vortical effects for free fermions on anti-de Sitter space-time*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/179826/>

Version: Published Version

Article:

Ambrus, V.E. and Winstanley, E. orcid.org/0000-0001-8964-8142 (2021) Vortical effects for free fermions on anti-de Sitter space-time. *Symmetry*, 13 (11). 2019. ISSN 2073-8994

<https://doi.org/10.3390/sym13112019>

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here:
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

Article

Vortical Effects for Free Fermions on Anti-De Sitter Space-Time

Victor E. Ambrus ¹ and Elizabeth Winstanley ^{2,*}

¹ Department of Physics, West University of Timișoara, Bd. Vasile Pârvan 4, 300223 Timișoara, Romania; Victor.Ambrus@e-uvt.ro

² Consortium for Fundamental Physics, School of Mathematics and Statistics, The University of Sheffield, Hicks Building, Hounsfield Road, Sheffield S3 7RH, UK

* Correspondence: E.Winstanley@sheffield.ac.uk

Abstract: Here, we study a quantum fermion field in rigid rotation at finite temperature on anti-de Sitter space. We assume that the rotation rate Ω is smaller than the inverse radius of curvature ℓ^{-1} , so that there is no speed of light surface and the static (maximally-symmetric) and rotating vacua coincide. This assumption enables us to follow a geometric approach employing a closed-form expression for the vacuum two-point function, which can then be used to compute thermal expectation values (t.e.v.s). In the high temperature regime, we find a perfect analogy with known results on Minkowski space-time, uncovering curvature effects in the form of extra terms involving the Ricci scalar R . The axial vortical effect is validated and the axial flux through two-dimensional slices is found to escape to infinity for massless fermions, while for massive fermions, it is completely converted into the pseudoscalar density $-i\bar{\psi}\gamma^5\psi$. Finally, we discuss volumetric properties such as the total scalar condensate and the total energy within the space-time and show that they diverge as $[1 - \ell^2\Omega^2]^{-1}$ in the limit $\Omega \rightarrow \ell^{-1}$.

Keywords: anti-de Sitter space; dirac fermions; finite temperature field theory; rigid rotation; chiral vortical effect



Citation: Ambrus, V.E.; Winstanley, E. Vortical Effects for Free Fermions on Anti-De Sitter Space-Time. *Symmetry* **2021**, *13*, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym13112019>

Academic Editor: Congfeng Qiao

Received: 4 August 2021

Accepted: 26 September 2021

Published: 25 October 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

One of the deepest and most fundamental results of quantum field theory in curved space-time is the Unruh effect [1–5]. Let us describe this effect in terms of the canonical quantization of a free quantum field. In canonical quantization, the field is decomposed with respect to an orthonormal basis of mode solutions of the classical field equation. On a static space-time possessing a global time-like Killing vector, it is natural to employ mode solutions which have a definite frequency, in other words to perform a Fourier transform of the field with respect to the time coordinate defined by the Killing vector. The basis of mode solutions is split into “positive” and “negative” frequency modes. Upon quantization, the coefficients of the modes in the field expansion are promoted to operators, the coefficients of positive frequency modes corresponding to annihilation operators and the coefficients of negative frequency modes corresponding to creation operators. The natural vacuum state is then that state which is annihilated by all the annihilation operators.

On global Minkowski space-time, an inertial observer will define a vacuum state via the above process, using their proper time as the time coordinate. The vacuum thus constructed is the Minkowski vacuum, being the same for all inertial observers. Consider instead an observer uniformly accelerating in Minkowski space-time. Such an observer can follow the standard canonical quantization procedure, using their proper time as the time coordinate, and hence construct a vacuum state. The crux of the Unruh effect is that the vacuum state constructed by the accelerating observer (which we call the Rindler vacuum) is *not* the global Minkowski vacuum. Since the inertial and accelerating observers do not agree on the definition of the vacuum state, they also do not agree on the notion of a “particle”.

The properties of the Rindler and Minkowski vacua are the same for both bosonic and fermionic fields [6]. Our interest in this paper is free quantum fields, but the effect can be proven to also apply to general interacting quantum fields [7–9]. In particular, the Minkowski vacuum contains a thermal distribution of Rindler particles, with the temperature proportional to the proper acceleration of the linearly accelerating observer. While the Unruh effect occurs in flat Minkowski space-time, there is a compelling analogy with quantum states on static black hole space-times [9–11]. In this analogy, the role of a linearly accelerating observer is played by a static observer outside the black hole event horizon; the corresponding vacuum state is the Boulware state [12,13]. In a static black hole space-time, an inertial observer is freely-falling and the corresponding vacuum state is the Hartle-Hawking state [14]. The Hartle-Hawking state contains a thermal distribution of particles relative to the Boulware state, in direct analogy to the properties of the Rindler and Minkowski vacua. In the black hole case, the thermal particles are produced due to the Hawking effect [15,16].

The Unruh effect as described above is associated with uniform linear acceleration in flat space-time. Alternatively, one may consider a rotating observer, having uniform circular motion about an axis in Minkowski space-time. Such an observer is accelerating, but it is the direction of their velocity rather than its magnitude which is changing. A natural question then arises: is the vacuum state defined by a rotating observer the same as the global Minkowski vacuum? Answering this question is surprisingly subtle and depends on the nature of the quantum field under consideration.

For the simplest type of free quantum field, a quantum scalar field, the nonrotating and rotating vacua are identical [17,18], but for a quantum fermion field, there are two inequivalent quantizations. The usual nonrotating vacuum state can be constructed following the standard quantization procedure [19]. Employing an alternative quantization procedure [20] leads to a rotating vacuum state (which is not the same as the nonrotating vacuum state [21]). This difference in the behaviour of bosonic and fermionic fields arises in the canonical quantization procedure leading to the definition of vacuum states. For a boson field, the split of field modes into “positive” and “negative” frequencies is constrained by the fact that, in order to obtain a consistent quantization, positive frequency modes must have a positive “norm”, while negative frequency modes must have a negative “norm”. In contrast, for a fermion field, *all* field modes have positive norm, which means that there is greater freedom to define positive and negative frequency modes. Thus, as seen above, it is possible to define quantum states for a fermion field which have no analogue for a boson field.

We have already discussed how the Unruh effect for linear acceleration yields insights into the definition and properties of quantum states on nonrotating black hole space-times. To explore the analogy between rotating states in Minkowski space-time and quantum states on rotating black holes, one first needs to consider rigidly-rotating thermal states in flat space-time. Considering first a quantum scalar field, rigidly-rotating thermal states are ill-defined everywhere in unbounded Minkowski space-time [19,22]. For a quantum fermion field, rigidly-rotating thermal states can be defined on the unbounded space-time [21]. Expectation values of observables in these states are regular on the axis of rotation and everywhere inside a cylindrical surface, known as the speed-of-light (SLS) surface. The SLS is defined as the surface on which an observer travelling with uniform angular speed in a circle centred on the axis of rotation must travel with the speed of light. For rigidly-rotating thermal states of fermions, expectation values diverge as the SLS is approached [21].

As pointed out by Vilenkin [19], the spin-orbit coupling inherent at the level of the Dirac equation leads to a nonvanishing flux of neutrinos (particles with left-handed chirality) directed along the macroscopic vorticity of the fermionic fluid. This connection between chirality flux and vorticity is now understood as the chiral vortical effect [23], which states that in a system with nonvanishing local vorticity ω , an axial (chirality) charge current is generated via the constitutive relation $J_A = \sigma_A^\omega \omega$, where σ_A^ω is the axial

vortical conductivity. This constitutive relation, believed to stem from the chiral and gravitational anomalies [24], forms the basis of dissipationless anomalous transport and is purely quantum in nature [25].

Regular rigidly-rotating thermal states exist for both boson and fermion fields if, instead of considering the whole of Minkowski space-time, a space-time region inside a cylindrical boundary is studied. If the cylindrical boundary lies within the SLS, the rotating and nonrotating vacua coincide for both scalar and fermion fields [22,26]. In this case rotating and nonrotating thermal states are distinct, but expectation values of observables in both states are regular everywhere inside and on the boundary [22,26]. Similar conclusions hold for a spherical boundary inside the SLS [27].

It may be argued that inserting a time-like boundary into Minkowski space-time is somewhat artificial. For this reason, in this paper we consider anti-de Sitter (adS) space-time. Like Minkowski space-time, adS is maximally symmetric, which simplifies the study of quantum field theory on this background. However, unlike Minkowski space-time, in adS null infinity is a time-like surface. This time-like surface is not directly analogous to the cylindrical boundary in Minkowski space-time; in particular, time-like geodesics do not reach the adS boundary in finite proper time. Some of the features of rotating systems in adS are however the same as those for Minkowski space-time.

Quantum field theory on adS has been studied for many years, starting with the seminal work in [28], where a quantum scalar field is considered and the nonrotating vacuum state constructed. Quantum field theory on adS is complicated by the presence of the time-like boundary at infinity, on which boundary conditions must be imposed [28–33]. For a quantum scalar field, applying either Dirichlet [28,34–36] or Neumann [28,34,37] boundary conditions yields a global vacuum state which, like the global Minkowski vacuum, respects the maximal symmetry of the underlying adS space-time. In recent work, it has been shown that this symmetry is broken if one instead imposes Robin (mixed) boundary conditions on a quantum scalar field [33,38–40]. Maximally-symmetric global vacuum states can also be constructed on adS for fermion and other quantum fields [37,41–43].

In Minkowski space-time, static thermal states, like the Minkowski vacuum, are maximally symmetric. This is not the situation on adS. Instead, thermal radiation tends to “clump” away from the space-time boundary [34,36,44]. For fermion fields, the thermal expectation value (t.e.v.) of the stress-energy tensor (SET) is isotropic and of perfect fluid form [44], but for a scalar field, the t.e.v. of the SET has a nonzero pressure deviator [36]. Further properties of static vacuum and thermal states on adS are explored in [45–50].

What about rotating states on adS? For a quantum scalar field, as on Minkowski space-time, the rotating and nonrotating vacua coincide [51], irrespective of whether or not there is an SLS. In this paper we examine what happens for a quantum fermion field on adS. In particular, we address the following questions:

1. Is the rotating fermion vacuum state distinct from the global static fermion vacuum on adS?
2. Can rigidly-rotating thermal states be defined for fermions on adS?
3. What are the properties of these rigidly-rotating states?

These questions are important because a fuller understanding of rotating states on adS may have implications for the physics of strongly-coupled condensed matter systems, due to the connection between these afforded by the adS/CFT (conformal field theory) correspondence [52,53]. Furthermore, the maximal symmetry of adS allows us to perform a nonperturbative investigation of the effect of curvature on, e.g., the axial vortical effect, previously considered in, for example, Ref. [54].

Our purpose in this paper is to provide comprehensive answers to Questions 1–3 for both massless and massive fermions on adS space-time (preliminary answers to these questions were presented in [55,56]). We restrict our attention to the situation where the rate of rotation Ω is smaller than the inverse radius of curvature ℓ^{-1} . This means that there is no SLS, which simplifies the formalism. In particular, we are able to exploit the maximal

symmetry of the underlying adS space-time, even though this is broken by the rotation. After deriving the Kubo-Martin-Schwinger (KMS) relations for two-point functions at finite temperature undergoing rigid rotation, we are able to write the thermal propagator for rotating states as an infinite imaginary-time image sum involving the vacuum propagator. The maximal symmetry allows us to write the vacuum fermion propagator in closed form. This greatly facilitates the computation of t.e.v.s, which are the main focus of our work. Our results confirm known results for the vortical effects, namely the expression for the axial vortical conductivity σ_A^ω , the vorticity- and acceleration-induced corrections to the energy density and pressure and the circular heat conductivity σ_ε^τ . Furthermore, we uncover curvature corrections to the above quantities which depend on the Ricci scalar $R = -12\ell^{-2}$.

As a natural consequence of the chiral vortical effect, a finite axial flux is induced through the equatorial plane of adS. For massless fermions, we show that due to the conservation of the axial current, this flux originates from the adS boundary corresponding to the southern hemisphere and is transported through the northern hemisphere (defined with respect to the orientation of Ω). Since massive particles cannot reach the adS boundary in finite time, we show that the axial flux through the adS boundaries is exactly zero when considering quanta of nonvanishing mass $M > 0$. In this case, the axial flux generated through the chiral vortical effect is converted gradually into the pseudoscalar condensate PC = $-i\bar{\psi}\gamma^5\psi$, as required by the divergence equation $\nabla_\mu J_A^\mu = -2M$ PC. Thus, the adS boundary is transparent with respect to the flow of chirality of strictly massless particles, becoming opaque when massive quanta are considered.

Finally, we discuss the total (volume integral) energy and scalar condensate contained within the adS space and show that they diverge as $(1 - \ell^2\Omega^2)^{-1}$ as the rotation parameter Ω approaches the inverse radius of curvature ℓ^{-1} .

We begin in Section 2 with a brief review of the geometry of adS and the formalism for the Dirac equation on this curved space-time background. We also use relativistic kinetic theory (RKT) to find the stress-energy tensor (SET) of a rigidly-rotating thermal distribution of fermions. The fermion propagator for rigidly-rotating thermal states is derived in Section 3, using the aforementioned geometric approach. In Sections 4–6 we study the quantum t.e.v.s of the scalar (SC) and pseudoscalar (PC) condensates, the vector (VC) and axial (AC) charge currents and the SET. Our conclusions and further discussion are presented in Section 7. Appendices A–C compile useful relations concerning spinor traces at finite temperature, large temperature summation formulae and some useful properties of the hypergeometric and Bessel functions, respectively.

Throughout this paper, we use Planck units ($\hbar = c = G = k_B = 1$) and the metric signature $(-, +, +, +)$. Our convention for the Levi-Civita symbol is $\varepsilon^{0123} = 1/\sqrt{-g}$. The analysis in this paper is restricted to the case of vanishing chemical potential $\mu = 0$.

2. Dirac Fermions on adS

In this section we briefly describe the formalism for the Dirac equation on adS space-time, and also derive the SET for rigidly-rotating fermions using an RKT approach.

2.1. Preliminaries

The line element of adS can be written as:

$$ds^2 = \frac{\ell^2}{\cos^2 \bar{r}} \left(-d\bar{t}^2 + d\bar{r}^2 + \sin^2 \bar{r} dS_2^2 \right), \quad (1)$$

where $dS_2^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2$ is the line element on the two-sphere of unit radius, $\bar{t} \in (-\infty, \infty)$ is the time coordinate on the covering space of adS, $0 \leq \bar{r} < \pi/2$ is the radial coordinate, and the adS radius of curvature ℓ is related to the Ricci scalar and cosmological constant Λ by

$$R = 4\Lambda = -\frac{12}{\ell^2}. \quad (2)$$

In Equation (1) we have used dimensionless coordinates \bar{t}, \bar{r} . Dimensionful time and radial coordinates are defined by

$$t = \ell \bar{t}, \quad r = \ell \bar{r}. \tag{3}$$

It is convenient to introduce at this point the orthonormal tetrad of vectors $e_{\hat{\alpha}} = e_{\hat{\alpha}}^{\mu} \partial_{\mu}$ ($\hat{\alpha} \in \{\hat{t}, \hat{r}, \hat{\theta}, \hat{\varphi}\}$),

$$e_{\hat{t}} = \ell^{-1} \cos \bar{r} \partial_{\bar{t}}, \quad e_{\hat{r}} = \ell^{-1} \cos \bar{r} \partial_{\bar{r}}, \quad e_{\hat{\theta}} = \frac{\ell^{-1} \partial_{\theta}}{\tan \bar{r}}, \quad e_{\hat{\varphi}} = \frac{\ell^{-1} \partial_{\varphi}}{\sin \theta \tan \bar{r}}, \tag{4}$$

which satisfies $g_{\mu\nu} e_{\hat{\alpha}}^{\mu} e_{\hat{\beta}}^{\nu} = \eta_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}} \equiv \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$, where $\eta_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}}$ is the Minkowski metric. The following set of one-forms,

$$e^{\hat{t}} = \frac{\ell d\bar{t}}{\cos \bar{r}}, \quad e^{\hat{r}} = \frac{\ell d\bar{r}}{\cos \bar{r}}, \quad e^{\hat{\theta}} = \ell \tan \bar{r} d\theta, \quad e^{\hat{\varphi}} = \ell \tan \bar{r} \sin \theta d\varphi, \tag{5}$$

is dual to the vector tetrad in Equation (4) in the sense that

$$e_{\hat{\mu}}^{\hat{\alpha}} e_{\hat{\beta}}^{\mu} = \delta^{\hat{\alpha}}_{\hat{\beta}}, \quad e_{\hat{\mu}}^{\hat{\alpha}} e_{\hat{\alpha}}^{\nu} = \delta^{\mu}_{\nu}, \quad \eta_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}} e_{\hat{\mu}}^{\hat{\alpha}} e_{\hat{\nu}}^{\hat{\beta}} = g_{\mu\nu}. \tag{6}$$

Let us now consider the Cartesian equivalent of the tetrad in Equation (5). To this end, we introduce the Cartesian-like coordinates $x^{\hat{\alpha}} \in \{t, x, y, z\}$ by

$$x = r \sin \theta \cos \varphi, \quad y = r \sin \theta \sin \varphi, \quad z = r \cos \theta, \tag{7}$$

using (3). Indices on these Cartesian-like coordinates are raised and lowered with the Minkowski rather than adS metric, so that $x^{\hat{t}} = -x_{\hat{t}} = t$ and $x_{\hat{i}} = x^{\hat{i}}$. Starting from the relation between the partial derivatives with respect to the spherical coordinates and those with respect to the Cartesian coordinates, in Minkowski space-time we have

$$\partial_r = \frac{x\partial_x + y\partial_y + z\partial_z}{r}, \quad \frac{1}{r} \partial_{\theta} = \frac{x\partial_x + y\partial_y + z\partial_z}{r \tan \theta} - \frac{\partial_z}{\sin \theta}, \quad \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \partial_{\varphi} = \frac{-y\partial_x + x\partial_y}{r \sin \theta}. \tag{8}$$

Returning to adS space-time, we seek the Cartesian gauge tetrad which satisfies

$$e_{\hat{r}} = \frac{x^{\hat{i}} e_{\hat{i}}}{r}, \quad e_{\hat{\theta}} = \frac{x^{\hat{i}} e_{\hat{i}}}{r \tan \theta} - \frac{e_{\hat{z}}}{\sin \theta}, \quad e_{\hat{\varphi}} = \frac{-y e_{\hat{x}} + x e_{\hat{y}}}{r \sin \theta}. \tag{9}$$

The vectors that we require are [57]

$$e_{\hat{i}} = \cos \bar{r} \left[\frac{\bar{r}}{\sin \bar{r}} \left(\delta^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}} - \frac{x^{\hat{j}} x_{\hat{i}}}{r^2} \right) + \frac{x^{\hat{j}} x_{\hat{i}}}{r^2} \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\hat{j}}}, \tag{10}$$

where $x_{\hat{i}} = x^{\hat{i}}$, while $e_{\hat{i}} = \cos \bar{r} \partial_{\hat{i}}$ is the same as in Equation (4). The dual one-forms satisfying Equation (6) are

$$e^{\hat{i}} = \frac{1}{\cos \bar{r}} \left[\frac{\sin \bar{r}}{\bar{r}} \left(\delta^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}} - \frac{x^{\hat{i}} x_{\hat{j}}}{r^2} \right) + \frac{x^{\hat{i}} x_{\hat{j}}}{r^2} \right] dx^{\hat{j}}. \tag{11}$$

This Cartesian gauge is useful in establishing analogies with the familiar spinor algebra of Minkowski special relativity, as pointed out in Ref. [58].

We end this section by discussing the connection coefficients $\Gamma^{\hat{\sigma}}_{\hat{\gamma}\hat{\alpha}} = \eta^{\hat{\sigma}\hat{\beta}} \Gamma_{\hat{\beta}\hat{\gamma}\hat{\alpha}}$ required for the covariant differentiation of tensors. These can be computed via

$$\Gamma_{\hat{\beta}\hat{\gamma}\hat{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{2} (c_{\hat{\beta}\hat{\gamma}\hat{\alpha}} + c_{\hat{\beta}\hat{\alpha}\hat{\gamma}} - c_{\hat{\gamma}\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}}), \tag{12}$$

where the Cartan coefficients $c_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}}^{\hat{\gamma}}$ are defined in terms of the commutator $[e_{\hat{\alpha}}, e_{\hat{\beta}}]$ of the tetrad vectors by

$$c_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}}^{\hat{\gamma}} = e_{\hat{\mu}}^{\hat{\gamma}} [e_{\hat{\alpha}}, e_{\hat{\beta}}]^{\hat{\mu}}, \quad [e_{\hat{\alpha}}, e_{\hat{\beta}}]^{\hat{\mu}} = e_{\hat{\alpha}}^{\nu} \partial_{\nu} e_{\hat{\beta}}^{\hat{\mu}} - e_{\hat{\beta}}^{\nu} \partial_{\nu} e_{\hat{\alpha}}^{\hat{\mu}}. \quad (13)$$

For the Cartesian gauge tetrad, the nonvanishing Cartan coefficients are

$$c_{\hat{t}\hat{t}}^{\hat{t}} = (\sin \bar{r}) \frac{x_{\hat{t}}}{\ell r}, \quad c_{\hat{t}\hat{j}}^{\hat{k}} = \tan \frac{\bar{r}}{2} (\delta^{\hat{k}}_{\hat{i}} \eta_{\hat{j}\hat{\ell}} - \delta^{\hat{k}}_{\hat{j}} \eta_{\hat{i}\hat{\ell}}) \frac{x^{\hat{\ell}}}{\ell r}, \quad (14)$$

giving rise to the following nonvanishing connection coefficients:

$$\Gamma_{\hat{t}\hat{t}}^{\hat{t}} = (\sin \bar{r}) \frac{x_{\hat{t}}}{\ell r}, \quad \Gamma_{\hat{j}\hat{k}}^{\hat{i}} = -\tan \frac{\bar{r}}{2} (\delta^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{\ell}} \eta_{\hat{j}\hat{k}} - \delta^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{k}} \eta_{\hat{j}\hat{\ell}}) \frac{x^{\hat{\ell}}}{\ell r}. \quad (15)$$

2.2. Dirac Equation

Following the minimal coupling procedure, the equation for a Dirac field of mass M on a curved background is

$$(i\mathcal{D} - M)\psi = 0, \quad (16)$$

where $\mathcal{D} = \gamma^{\hat{\alpha}} D_{\hat{\alpha}}$ is the contraction between the γ matrices $\gamma^{\hat{\alpha}} = (\gamma^{\hat{t}}, \gamma^{\hat{x}}, \gamma^{\hat{y}}, \gamma^{\hat{z}})$, which are defined with respect to the Cartesian gauge tetrad in Equation (10), and the spinor covariant derivative $D_{\hat{\alpha}} = \nabla_{\hat{\alpha}} - \Gamma_{\hat{\alpha}}$. The spin connection $\Gamma_{\hat{\alpha}}$ is computed via

$$\Gamma_{\hat{\alpha}} = -\frac{i}{2} \Gamma_{\hat{\beta}\hat{\gamma}\hat{\alpha}} S^{\hat{\beta}\hat{\gamma}}, \quad (17)$$

where $S^{\hat{\beta}\hat{\gamma}} = \frac{i}{4} [\gamma^{\hat{\beta}}, \gamma^{\hat{\gamma}}]$ are the spin part of the generators of Lorentz transformations.

In this paper, we take the γ matrices to be in the Dirac representation, as follows:

$$\gamma^{\hat{t}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^{\hat{i}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (18)$$

where $\gamma^5 = i\gamma^{\hat{x}}\gamma^{\hat{y}}\gamma^{\hat{z}}$ is the chirality matrix and $\sigma^i = (\sigma^x, \sigma^y, \sigma^z)$ are the usual Pauli matrices:

$$\sigma^x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

In this case, the components $\Gamma_{\hat{\alpha}}$ of the spin connection are [44]:

$$\Gamma_{\hat{t}} = \frac{1}{2\ell} (\sin \bar{r}) \gamma_{\hat{t}} \left(\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}}{r} \right), \quad \Gamma_{\hat{k}} = \frac{1}{2\ell} \tan \frac{\bar{r}}{2} \left[\frac{x_{\hat{k}}}{r} + \gamma_{\hat{k}} \left(\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}}{r} \right) \right]. \quad (20)$$

2.3. Kinematics of Rigid Motion on adS

Let us consider first a fluid at rest. Its four-velocity field is

$$u_s = \ell^{-1} \cos \bar{r} \partial_t, \quad u_s^2 = -1. \quad (21)$$

The acceleration of this vector field is

$$a_s = \nabla_{u_s} u_s = \cos^2 \bar{r} \Gamma_{\hat{t}\hat{t}}^{\hat{t}} \partial_{\hat{t}} = \ell^{-2} \sin \bar{r} \cos \bar{r} \partial_{\bar{r}}, \quad a_s^2 = \ell^{-2} \sin^2 \bar{r}, \quad (22)$$

where we used the fact that only the following Christoffel symbols are nonvanishing:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\hat{t}\hat{t}}^{\hat{t}} &= \Gamma_{\hat{r}\hat{t}}^{\hat{t}} = \Gamma_{\hat{r}\hat{r}}^{\hat{r}} = \tan \bar{r}, & \Gamma_{\theta\theta}^{\bar{r}} &= -\tan \bar{r}, & \Gamma_{\varphi\varphi}^{\bar{r}} &= -\sin^2 \theta \tan \bar{r}, \\ \Gamma_{\bar{r}\theta}^{\theta} &= \Gamma_{\bar{r}\varphi}^{\varphi} = \frac{1}{\sin \bar{r} \cos \bar{r}}, & \Gamma_{\varphi\varphi}^{\theta} &= -\sin \theta \cos \theta, & \Gamma_{\theta\theta}^{\varphi} &= \cot \theta. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

When the rotation is switched on (that is, at finite vorticity), the acceleration a_s seen in the static case will receive a centripetal correction. Since we are interested in global thermodynamic equilibrium, the temperature four-vector $\beta^\mu = \beta u^\mu$ (where $\beta = T^{-1}$ is the local inverse temperature) must satisfy the Killing equation [59]:

$$(\beta u_{\hat{\alpha}})_{;\hat{\beta}} + (\beta u_{\hat{\beta}})_{;\hat{\alpha}} = 0. \quad (24)$$

For angular velocity Ω , starting from the Killing vector $\beta_0(\partial_t + \Omega\partial_\varphi)$, it can be seen that the four-velocity and temperature are given by [60]:

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \Gamma \cos \bar{r} (\partial_t + \Omega\partial_\varphi) = \Gamma(e_{\hat{t}} + \bar{\Omega}\bar{\rho}e_{\hat{\varphi}}), \\ \beta &= \frac{\beta_0}{\Gamma \cos \bar{r}}, \quad \Gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \bar{\rho}^2\bar{\Omega}^2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where $\beta_0 = T_0^{-1}$ represents the inverse temperature at the coordinate origin and we introduced the relative angular velocity $\bar{\Omega}$ and the effective transverse coordinate $\bar{\rho}$, as well as an effective vertical coordinate \bar{z} via

$$\bar{\Omega} = \ell\Omega, \quad \bar{\rho} = \sin \bar{r} \sin \theta, \quad \bar{z} = \tan \bar{r} \cos \theta. \quad (26)$$

We see that the rotation has an effect on the local inverse temperature β . If $\bar{\Omega} < 1$, the Lorentz factor Γ and inverse temperature β remain finite for all $\bar{r} \in [0, \pi/2]$, while β^μ remains timelike. However, if $\bar{\Omega} > 1$, there will be a surface (the speed of light surface, SLS) where Γ (and hence the local temperature) diverges and β^μ becomes a null vector [60].

The inverse transformation corresponding to Equation (26) is

$$\begin{aligned} \sin \theta &= \frac{\bar{\rho}}{\sin \bar{r}}, & \cos \theta &= \frac{\bar{z}}{\tan \bar{r}}, \\ \sin \bar{r} &= \sqrt{\frac{\bar{z}^2 + \bar{\rho}^2}{1 + \bar{z}^2}}, & \cos \bar{r} &= \sqrt{\frac{1 - \bar{\rho}^2}{1 + \bar{z}^2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

while the line element (1) with respect to $\bar{\rho}$ and \bar{z} becomes

$$\ell^{-2}ds^2 = -\frac{1 + \bar{z}^2}{1 - \bar{\rho}^2}d\bar{t}^2 + \frac{d\bar{z}^2}{1 + \bar{z}^2} + \frac{d\bar{\rho}^2(1 + \bar{z}^2)}{(1 - \bar{\rho}^2)^2} + \frac{\bar{\rho}^2(1 + \bar{z}^2)}{1 - \bar{\rho}^2}d\varphi^2, \quad (28)$$

with $\sqrt{-g} = \ell^4\bar{\rho}(1 + \bar{z}^2)/(1 - \bar{\rho}^2)^2$. The surfaces of constant \bar{z} and $\bar{\rho}$ are shown in Figure 1 using solid and dashed lines, respectively. The acceleration and vorticity vectors \mathbf{a} and $\boldsymbol{\omega}$, shown with black arrows, are discussed below.

The acceleration $a^\mu = u^\nu \nabla_\nu u^\mu = \Gamma^\mu_{\lambda\nu} u^\lambda u^\nu$ can be obtained using the Christoffel symbols in Equation (23):

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \ell^{-2}\Gamma^2 \cos \bar{r} \left[\sin \bar{r} (1 - \bar{\Omega}^2 \sin^2 \theta) \partial_{\bar{r}} - \bar{\rho}\bar{\Omega}^2 \bar{z} \cot^2 \bar{r} \partial_\theta \right] \\ &= \ell^{-2}[\Gamma^2 \bar{\rho}(1 - \bar{\Omega}^2) \cos^2 \bar{r} \partial_{\bar{\rho}} - \bar{z} \partial_{\bar{z}}] \\ &\simeq -\rho\Gamma^2\Omega^2\partial_\rho + O(\ell^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

The first term on the first line is akin to the static acceleration uncovered in Equation (22). The last line reproduces the Minkowski expression, obtained in the limit $\ell^{-1} \rightarrow 0$ ($\rho = r \sin \theta$). To highlight more clearly the rotational contribution to the acceleration, it is convenient to perform the split $a(\Omega) = a_s + [a(\Omega) - a_s]$, where the static acceleration is given in Equation (22) and

$$a(\Omega) - a_s = -\rho\Omega^2\Gamma^2(1 - \bar{\rho}^2) \cos^2 \bar{r} \partial_\rho. \quad (30)$$

Thus, it becomes clear that the rotational part $a(\Omega) - a_s$ plays a purely centripetal role, being directed along the effective horizontal direction $\bar{\rho} = \rho/\ell$ defined in Equation (26). Figure 1 shows the decomposition of the acceleration for the case when $\bar{\Omega} = 0.9$ into its purely static component a_s , which points in the radial direction from the origin towards the adS boundary; and the purely rotational component $a(\Omega) - a_s$, which is tangent to the line of constant $\bar{\rho}$.




Figure 1. Equal \bar{z} and $\bar{\rho}$ contours in the $(\bar{r} \sin \theta, \bar{r} \cos \theta)$ plane, shown with solid and dashed lines, respectively. The coordinates are normalised with respect to $\pi/2$, so that the horizontal and vertical axes have the ranges $[0, 1]$. The acceleration \mathbf{a} and vorticity $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ are shown using solid black arrows at the point $(\bar{z}, \bar{\rho}) = (\arctan(0.2\pi), \arcsin(0.2\pi))$ for the case when $\bar{\Omega} = 0.9$. The radial and rotational components \mathbf{a}_s and $\mathbf{a}(\Omega) - \mathbf{a}_s$ of the acceleration are shown with dark gray arrows.

The vorticity $\omega^\mu = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} u_\nu \nabla_\alpha u_\beta$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= \ell^{-2} \bar{\Omega}^2 \cos \bar{r} \cot^2 \bar{r} (\bar{z} \sin \bar{r} \partial_{\bar{r}} - \bar{\rho} \partial_\theta) \\ &= \ell^{-2} \bar{\Omega}^2 (1 - \bar{\rho}^2) \partial_{\bar{z}} \\ &\simeq \Omega^2 \partial_z + O(\ell^{-2}), \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

where again the Minkowski result was recovered on the last line by setting $\ell^{-1} \rightarrow 0$. The advantage of using the effective horizontal and vertical coordinates $\bar{\rho}$ and \bar{z} is now obvious, since ω^μ becomes parallel to the $\partial_{\bar{z}}$ direction. As seen in Figure 1, the vorticity vector $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ is orthogonal to the $\bar{z} = \text{const}$ lines. Due to the static component \mathbf{a}_s of the acceleration, the scalar product $\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \mathbf{a}$ is nonvanishing and is given in Equation (35) below.

Finally, a fourth vector τ^μ which is orthogonal to u^μ , a^μ and ω^μ simultaneously can be introduced via $\tau^\mu = \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \omega_\nu a_\alpha u_\beta$. The following result is obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &= \ell^{-3} \Gamma^5 \bar{\Omega} (1 - \bar{\Omega}^2) \cos^3 \bar{r} (\bar{\rho}^2 \bar{\Omega} \partial_{\bar{r}} + \partial_\phi) \\ &= -\Omega^3 \Gamma^5 (\rho^2 \Omega \partial_t + \partial_\phi) + O(\ell^{-2}), \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

where again the Minkowski result is recovered in the limit of small ℓ^{-1} .

We close this subsection with the expressions for the vectors in the kinematic tetrad, expressed with respect to those of the Cartesian tetrad $(e_{\hat{r}}, e_{\hat{x}}, e_{\hat{y}}, e_{\hat{z}})$ in Equation (10):

$$\begin{aligned}
u &= \Gamma(e_{\hat{t}} + \bar{\rho}\bar{\Omega}e_{\hat{\phi}}) = \Gamma \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -\bar{\rho}\bar{\Omega} \sin \varphi \\ \bar{\rho}\bar{\Omega} \cos \varphi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
a &= \Gamma^2 \ell^{-1} \sin \bar{r} \frac{x^{\hat{i}} e_{\hat{i}}}{r} - \bar{\rho}\bar{\Omega}^2 \Gamma^2 \ell^{-1} \left[\sin \theta (1 + \cos \bar{r} \cot^2 \theta) \frac{x^{\hat{i}} e_{\hat{i}}}{r} - \frac{\cos \bar{r}}{\tan \theta} e_{\hat{z}} \right] \\
&= \Gamma^2 \ell^{-1} \sin \bar{r} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \sin \theta \cos \varphi \\ \sin \theta \sin \varphi \\ \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} - \bar{\rho}\bar{\Omega}^2 \Gamma^2 \ell^{-1} \sin \theta \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (1 + \cos \bar{r} \cot^2 \theta) \sin \theta \cos \varphi \\ (1 + \cos \bar{r} \cot^2 \theta) \sin \theta \sin \varphi \\ (1 - \cos \bar{r}) \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}, \\
\omega &= \Omega \Gamma^2 \cos \bar{r} \left[\cos \theta (1 - \cos \bar{r}) \frac{x^{\hat{i}} e_{\hat{i}}}{r} + \cos \bar{r} e_{\hat{z}} \right] \\
&= \Omega \Gamma^2 \cos \bar{r} \cos^2 \theta \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (1 - \cos \bar{r}) \tan \theta \cos \varphi \\ (1 - \cos \bar{r}) \tan \theta \sin \varphi \\ 1 + \cos \bar{r} \tan^2 \theta \end{pmatrix}, \\
\tau &= \bar{\rho}\bar{\Omega} \Gamma^5 (\ell^{-2} - \Omega^2) \cos^2 \bar{r} (\bar{\rho}\bar{\Omega} e_{\hat{t}} - \sin \varphi e_{\hat{x}} + \cos \varphi e_{\hat{y}}) \\
&= \bar{\rho}\bar{\Omega} \Gamma^5 (\ell^{-2} - \Omega^2) \cos^2 \bar{r} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\rho}\bar{\Omega} \\ -\sin \varphi \\ \cos \varphi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{33}
\end{aligned}$$

With the relations in Equation (33), it is not difficult to find the squared norms

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega^2 &= \boldsymbol{\omega}^2 = \Omega^2 \Gamma^4 (1 - \bar{\rho}^2) \cos^2 \bar{r} = \Omega^2 \Gamma^4 + O(\ell^{-2}), \\
a^2 &= \boldsymbol{a}^2 = \ell^{-2} [1 - \Gamma^4 (1 - \bar{\rho}^2 \bar{\Omega}^4) \cos^2 \bar{r}] = \rho^2 \Omega^4 \Gamma^4 + O(\ell^{-2}), \\
\tau^2 &= \ell^{-4} \bar{\rho}^2 \bar{\Omega}^2 \Gamma^8 (1 - \bar{\Omega}^2)^2 \cos^4 \bar{r} \simeq \rho^2 \Omega^6 \Gamma^8 + O(\ell^{-2}), \tag{34}
\end{aligned}$$

while $u^2 = -1$. It is remarkable that, contrary to the situation on Minkowski space, the acceleration and vorticity are not orthogonal:

$$a \cdot \omega = a_{\mu} \omega^{\mu} = \ell^{-2} \Gamma^2 \bar{\Omega} \bar{z} \cos^2 \bar{r} \simeq O(\ell^{-2}). \tag{35}$$

2.4. Relativistic Kinetic Theory Approach

In order to gain insight into the properties of thermal states undergoing rigid rotation on adS space, in this subsection we perform a relativistic kinetic theory analysis. In subsequent sections, we will employ a full quantum field theory treatment to reveal quantum effects and corrections not captured within this classical framework. Since rigid rotation leads to a state of general thermodynamic equilibrium, we assume that the system is comprised of noninteracting fermions distributed according to the Fermi-Dirac distribution,

$$f = \frac{g}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{e^{-\beta u \cdot p} + 1}, \tag{36}$$

where g is the degeneracy factor ($g = 2 \times 2 = 4$ accounts for the degeneracies due to spin and the particle/antiparticle contributions). For rigidly-rotating thermal states, the local inverse temperature $\beta = T^{-1}$ and the four-velocity $u^{\hat{a}}$ are given in Equation (25). The microscopic four-momentum of the fermion gas $p^{\hat{a}}$ satisfies $p^2 = -M^2$. For simplicity, only the case of vanishing chemical potential is considered here and henceforth.

It is convenient to parameterize the one-particle momentum space using the spatial components $p^{\hat{i}} = p^\mu \omega_\mu^{\hat{i}}$ of the particle momentum, expressed with respect to the tetrad. In this parametrization, the SET can be obtained via [60]

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\text{RKT}}^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}} &= \int \frac{d^3 \hat{p}}{p^{\hat{i}}} f p^{\hat{\alpha}} p^{\hat{\beta}} \\ &= (E_{\text{RKT}} + P_{\text{RKT}}) u^{\hat{\alpha}} u^{\hat{\beta}} + P_{\text{RKT}} \eta^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}}, \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

where the hat over the integration measure was added to indicate that the integration is performed with respect to the tetrad components of the momentum vector. Contracting Equation (37) with $\eta_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}}$ and $u_{\hat{\alpha}} u_{\hat{\beta}}$, the energy density and pressure can be shown to satisfy [61]:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} E_{\text{RKT}} \\ E_{\text{RKT}} - 3P_{\text{RKT}} \end{array} \right) = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{p^2 dp}{p^{\hat{i}}} \left(\frac{(p^{\hat{i}})^2}{M^2} \right) \frac{1}{e^{p^{\hat{i}}/T} + 1}, \quad (38)$$

where $g = 4$ was used and $T = \beta^{-1} = T_0 \cos \bar{r} / \Gamma$. In order to compute the above integral, the Fermi-Dirac factor can be expanded as follows:

$$\frac{1}{e^{p^{\hat{i}}/T} + 1} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{j+1} e^{-jp^{\hat{i}}/T}. \quad (39)$$

It can be seen that both the pressure and energy density have the usual dependence on the local temperature T . They will remain regular as long as the local temperature is finite, but diverge if $T \rightarrow \infty$, which can happen if $\Omega \ell > 1$. For this reason we focus our attention in this paper to the situation $\Omega \ell < 1$.

We now discuss some large- T asymptotic properties of E_{RKT} and P_{RKT} . Using the relation

$$\int_1^\infty dX (X^2 - 1)^{\nu - \frac{1}{2}} e^{-XZ} = \frac{2^\nu \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + Z)}{Z^\nu \sqrt{\pi}} K_\nu(Z), \quad (40)$$

where $K_\nu(Z)$ is the modified Bessel function of the third kind and the variables are $X = p^{\hat{i}}/M$ and $Z = jp^{\hat{i}}/T$, it is possible to write

$$P_{\text{RKT}} = \frac{2M^4}{\pi^2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{(jM/T)^2} K_2\left(\frac{jM}{T}\right), \quad E_{\text{RKT}} - 3P_{\text{RKT}} = \frac{2M^4}{\pi^2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{jM/T} K_1\left(\frac{jM}{T}\right). \quad (41)$$

The above expressions are identical to the vanishing chemical potential limit ($\mu_V = \mu_H = 0$) of Equation (4.22) in Ref. [61]. The large temperature limit can be computed using Equation (A18) to expand the modified Bessel functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{Z} K_1(Z) &= \frac{1}{Z^2} + \frac{1}{2} \ln\left(\frac{Z}{2} e^{C - \frac{1}{2}}\right) + O(Z^2), \\ \frac{1}{Z^2} K_2(Z) &= \frac{1}{2Z^4} - \frac{1}{2Z^2} - \frac{1}{8} \ln\left(\frac{Z}{2} e^{C - \frac{3}{4}}\right) + O(Z^2), \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

where C is the Euler-Mascheroni constant (A13). Substituting the above into Equation (41) and using Equation (A4) to perform the summation over j , we can derive the following expansions [61]:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{RKT}} + P_{\text{RKT}} &= \frac{7\pi^2 T^4}{45} - \frac{M^2 T^2}{6} + \frac{M^4}{8\pi^2} + O(T^{-1}), \\ E_{\text{RKT}} - 3P_{\text{RKT}} &= \frac{M^2 T^2}{6} - \frac{M^4}{2\pi^2} \ln \frac{\pi T}{M e^{C - \frac{1}{2}}} + O(T^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

In the limit $\Omega\ell \rightarrow 1$, the Lorentz factor Γ (25) diverges in the equatorial plane as $\bar{r} \rightarrow \pi/2$. This behaviour signals the formation of the SLS, however the other macroscopic quantities remain finite. For example, the local temperature $T = \Gamma \cos \bar{r} / \beta_0$ remains constant in the equatorial plane, $\lim_{\Omega\ell \rightarrow 1} T(\theta = \pi/2) = \beta_0^{-1}$. Similarly, $\omega \rightarrow \Omega e_z$ becomes constant and $a \rightarrow 0$. The circular vector τ vanishes everywhere in the adS space-time, $\lim_{\Omega\ell \rightarrow 1} \tau = 0$, due to the $(\ell^{-2} - \Omega^2)$ prefactor in Equation (33). These results are summarised for convenience below:

$$\lim_{\Omega\ell \rightarrow 1} T\left(\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}\right) = \beta_0^{-1}, \quad \lim_{\Omega\ell \rightarrow 1} \omega\left(\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}\right) = \Omega, \quad \lim_{\Omega\ell \rightarrow 1} a\left(\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}\right) = 0, \quad \lim_{\Omega\ell \rightarrow 1} \tau = 0. \quad (44)$$

We now consider the volume integrals of the quantities in Equation (41). The volume element $\sqrt{-g}$ can be obtained from Equation (1),

$$\sqrt{-g} = \frac{\ell^4 \sin^2 \bar{r}}{\cos^4 \bar{r}} \sin \theta, \quad (45)$$

the integration measure is $\ell^{-1} \sqrt{-g} d^3x$ and we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{E_{\text{RKT}} - 3P_{\text{RKT}}} \\ V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{P_{\text{RKT}}} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{4k^3 M}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{j+1} \int_{-1}^1 d \cos \theta \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{\sin^2 \bar{r} d\bar{r}}{\cos^4 \bar{r}} \begin{pmatrix} K_1(jM/T)/(jM/T) \\ K_2(jM/T)/(jM/T)^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (46)$$

where

$$k = \ell M, \quad T = T_0 \Gamma \cos \bar{r} \quad (47)$$

and $V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^f = \ell^{-1} \int d^3x \sqrt{-g} f$ is the volume integral of the function f for rotation rate Ω and inverse temperature at the origin β_0 . Taking into account the fact that the radial integration covers the whole adS space, it is convenient to employ the coordinate $X = 1/\Gamma^2 \cos^2 \bar{r}$, satisfying

$$\sin^2 \bar{r} = \frac{X-1}{X-\bar{\Omega}^2 \sin^2 \theta}, \quad \cos^2 \bar{r} = \frac{1-\bar{\Omega}^2 \sin^2 \theta}{X-\bar{\Omega}^2 \sin^2 \theta}, \quad \frac{dX}{d\bar{r}} = \frac{2(X-1)}{\sin \bar{r} \cos \bar{r}}. \quad (48)$$

Since $X(\bar{r} = 0) = 1$ and $X(\bar{r} = \pi/2) = \infty$ (valid for $|\bar{\Omega}| < 1$), the integration limits with respect to X are independent of θ . Additionally, the arguments of the modified Bessel functions do not depend on θ , allowing the integration with respect to the angular coordinate to be performed first:

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{E_{\text{RKT}} - 3P_{\text{RKT}}} \\ V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{P_{\text{RKT}}} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{2k^3 M}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{j+1} \int_1^{\infty} dX \sqrt{X-1} \begin{pmatrix} K_1(jM\sqrt{X}/T_0)/(jM\sqrt{X}/T_0) \\ K_2(jM\sqrt{X}/T_0)/(jM\sqrt{X}/T_0)^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ & \quad \times \int_{-1}^1 \frac{d \cos \theta}{(1-\bar{\Omega}^2 \sin^2 \theta)^{3/2}} \\ &= \frac{4k^3 M}{\pi(1-\bar{\Omega}^2)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{j+1} \int_1^{\infty} dX \sqrt{X-1} \begin{pmatrix} K_1(jM\sqrt{X}/T_0)/(jM\sqrt{X}/T_0) \\ K_2(jM\sqrt{X}/T_0)/(jM\sqrt{X}/T_0)^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (49) \end{aligned}$$

It can be seen that the angular integration (with respect to φ and θ) effectively produces a factor $4\pi/(1-\bar{\Omega}^2)$, showing that the effect of rotation on these volume-integrated quantities is essentially given by this proportionality factor. It is interesting to note that the limit $\bar{\Omega} \rightarrow 1$ leads to a divergence of these quantities, which is consistent with the divergent behaviour of the Lorentz factor Γ . Although E_{RKT} and P_{RKT} , which depend on $T = T_0 \Gamma \cos \bar{r}$, remain finite everywhere, the fact that their value in the equatorial plane is

no longer decreasing as $\bar{r} \rightarrow \pi/2$ (when $T = T_0$ for all \bar{r}) leads to infinite contributions due to the infinite volume of adS.

Starting from the following identity [62],

$$\int_1^\infty dX X^{-\frac{\nu}{2}} (X-1)^{\mu-1} K_\nu(a\sqrt{X}) = \Gamma(\mu) 2^\mu a^{-\mu} K_{\nu-\mu}(a), \quad (50)$$

the integration with respect to X can be performed using the relations

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{dX}{\sqrt{X}} \sqrt{X-1} K_1(\sqrt{aX}) = \int_1^\infty \frac{dX}{X} \sqrt{X-1} K_2(\sqrt{aX}) = \frac{\pi}{a} e^{-\sqrt{a}}, \quad (51)$$

leading to

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{E_{\text{RKT}}-3P_{\text{RKT}}} &= \frac{4k^3 M}{1-\Omega^2} \sum_{j=1}^\infty (-1)^{j+1} e^{-jM/T_0} \left(\frac{T_0}{jM}\right)^3 = -\frac{4M\ell^3 T_0^3}{1-\Omega^2} \text{Li}_3(-e^{-M/T_0}), \\ V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{P_{\text{RKT}}} &= \frac{4k^3 M}{1-\Omega^2} \sum_{j=1}^\infty (-1)^{j+1} e^{-jM/T_0} \left(\frac{T_0}{jM}\right)^4 = -\frac{4\ell^3 T_0^4}{1-\Omega^2} \text{Li}_4(-e^{-M/T_0}), \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

where $\text{Li}_n(Z) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty Z^j/j^n$ is the polylogarithm function [63]. The above relations are exact. It is convenient at this point to derive the high-temperature limit of Equation (52) by expanding the polylogarithms:

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{E_{\text{RKT}}-3P_{\text{RKT}}} &= \frac{\ell^3 M}{1-\Omega^2} \left[3T_0^3 \zeta(3) - \frac{\pi^2 M T_0^2}{3} + 2M^2 T_0 \ln 2 - \frac{M^3}{3} + O(T_0^{-1}) \right], \\ V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{P_{\text{RKT}}} &= \frac{\ell^3}{1-\Omega^2} \left[\frac{7\pi^4 T_0^4}{60} - 6M T_0^3 \zeta(3) + \frac{\pi^2 M^2 T_0^2}{6} - \frac{M^4}{12} + O(T_0^{-1}) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

where the Riemann zeta function (A5) satisfies $\zeta(3) \simeq 1.202$. Our focus in the rest of this paper is the computation of quantum corrections to these RKT results.

3. Feynman Propagator for Rigidly-Rotating Thermal States

In the geometric approach employed here, the maximal symmetry of adS is exploited to construct the Feynman propagator, which then plays the central role in computing expectation values with respect to vacuum or thermal states. In Section 3.1, we briefly review the construction of the vacuum propagator. We discuss the construction of the propagator for thermal states under rigid rotation in Section 3.2, highlighting that the approach is valid only for subcritical rotation, when $|\Omega\ell| < 1$. Finally, in Section 3.3, we outline how the thermal Feynman propagator is used to construct the t.e.v.s which are the focus of this paper.

3.1. Vacuum Feynman Propagator

As pointed out by Mück [64], the Feynman propagator corresponding to the global adS vacuum state can be written in the form

$$iS_{\text{vac}}^F(x, x') = [\mathcal{A}_F(s) + \mathcal{B}_F(s)\not{n}]\Lambda(x, x'), \quad (54)$$

where \mathcal{A}_F and \mathcal{B}_F are scalar functions that depend only on the geodesic interval $s \equiv s(x, x')$ between the points x and x' and satisfy the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{A}_F}{\partial s} - \frac{3}{2\ell} \mathcal{A}_F \tan \frac{\bar{s}}{2} + iM \mathcal{B}_F &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{B}_F}{\partial s} + \frac{3}{2\ell} \mathcal{B}_F \cot \frac{\bar{s}}{2} + iM \mathcal{A}_F &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \delta(x, x'), \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

where we introduced the dimensionless geodesic distance $\bar{s} = s/\ell$. The solution is [42,44]:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_F &= \frac{\Gamma_k}{16\pi^2\ell^3} \cos\left(\frac{\bar{s}}{2}\right) \left[-\sin^2\left(\frac{\bar{s}}{2}\right)\right]^{-2-k} {}_2F_1\left(1+k, 2+k; 1+2k; \operatorname{cosec}^2\left(\frac{\bar{s}}{2}\right)\right), \\ \mathcal{B}_F &= \frac{i\Gamma_k}{16\pi^2\ell^3} \sin\left(\frac{\bar{s}}{2}\right) \left[-\sin^2\left(\frac{\bar{s}}{2}\right)\right]^{-2-k} {}_2F_1\left(k, 2+k; 1+2k; \operatorname{cosec}^2\left(\frac{\bar{s}}{2}\right)\right),\end{aligned}\quad (56)$$

where ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z)$ is a hypergeometric function and k is given in terms of the fermion mass M by (47), while the normalisation constant Γ_k is given by

$$\Gamma_k = \frac{\Gamma(2+k)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{4^k\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+k\right)}.\quad (57)$$

In the limit $k \rightarrow 0$, we have $\Gamma_k \rightarrow 1$ and Equation (56) reduces to

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{A}_F = \frac{1}{16\pi^2\ell^3} \left(\cos\frac{\bar{s}}{2}\right)^{-3}, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{B}_F = \frac{i}{16\pi^2\ell^3} \left(\sin\frac{\bar{s}}{2}\right)^{-3}.\quad (58)$$

The geodesic interval $s(x, x')$, representing the distance between the space-time points x and x' along the geodesic connecting them, satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\cos\bar{s} &= \frac{\cos\Delta\bar{t}}{\cos\bar{r}\cos\bar{r}'} - \cos Y \tan\bar{r}\tan\bar{r}', \\ \cos Y &= \cos\theta\cos\theta' + \sin\theta\sin\theta'\cos\Delta\varphi,\end{aligned}\quad (59)$$

where $\Delta\bar{t} = \bar{t} - \bar{t}'$ and $\Delta\varphi = \varphi - \varphi'$, while Y represents the angle between x and x' . The quantity $\not{n} = \gamma^\mu n_\mu$ appearing in Equation (54) is written in terms of the tangent at x to the geodesic connecting x and x' , namely $n_\mu \equiv n_\mu(x, x') = \nabla_\mu s(x, x')$. Its components with respect to the tetrad in Equation (10) are given by [44]:

$$n_{\hat{i}} = \frac{\sin\Delta\bar{t}}{\sin\bar{s}\cos\bar{r}'}, \quad n_{\hat{r}} = -\frac{x_{\hat{i}}\cos\Delta\bar{t}\sin\bar{r} - \cos Y \sin\bar{r}'(1 - \cos\bar{r})}{\sin\bar{s}\cos\bar{r}\cos\bar{r}'} + \frac{\tan\bar{r}'x'_{\hat{i}}}{\sin\bar{s}r'},\quad (60)$$

while the components $n_{\hat{r}'}$ and $n_{\hat{\varphi}'}$ of the tangent at x' can be obtained from the above expressions by performing the change $x^\mu \leftrightarrow x'^\mu$. Finally, $\Lambda(x, x')$ represents the bispinor of parallel transport, satisfying $D\Lambda(x, x') = 0$. Due to the maximal symmetry of adS, $\Lambda(x, x')$ also satisfies [44,64]

$$\begin{aligned}D_{\hat{a}}\Lambda(x, x') &= \frac{1}{2\ell} \tan\left(\frac{\bar{s}}{2}\right) (n_{\hat{a}} + \gamma_{\hat{a}}\not{n})\Lambda(x, x'), \\ D_{\hat{a}'}\Lambda(x, x') &= \frac{1}{2\ell} \tan\left(\frac{\bar{s}}{2}\right) \Lambda(x, x')(n_{\hat{a}'} + \not{n}'\gamma_{\hat{a}'}),\end{aligned}\quad (61)$$

where $D_{\hat{a}'}\Lambda(x, x') \equiv e_{\hat{a}'}^\mu(x')\partial_{\mu'}\Lambda(x, x') + \Lambda(x, x')\Gamma_{\hat{a}'}(x')$ denotes the action of the spinor covariant derivative on $\Lambda(x, x')$ at x' , while $n_{\hat{a}'} = e_{\hat{a}'}^\mu(x')\nabla_{\mu'}s(x, x')$ is the tangent at x' to the geodesic connecting x and x' . A closed form expression for $\Lambda(x, x')$ on adS was found in Ref. [44]:

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda(x, x') &= \frac{\sec\frac{\bar{s}}{2}}{\sqrt{\cos\bar{r}\cos\bar{r}'}} \left[\cos\frac{\Delta\bar{t}}{2} \left(\cos\frac{\bar{r}}{2}\cos\frac{\bar{r}'}{2} + \sin\frac{\bar{r}}{2}\sin\frac{\bar{r}'}{2} \frac{\bar{r}\cdot x \cdot \gamma}{r} \frac{\bar{r}'\cdot x' \cdot \gamma}{r'} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sin\frac{\Delta\bar{t}}{2} \left(\sin\frac{\bar{r}}{2}\cos\frac{\bar{r}'}{2} \frac{\bar{r}\cdot x \cdot \gamma}{r} \gamma^{\hat{t}} + \sin\frac{\bar{r}'}{2}\cos\frac{\bar{r}}{2} \frac{\bar{r}'\cdot x' \cdot \gamma}{r'} \gamma^{\hat{t}'} \right) \right],\end{aligned}\quad (62)$$

where γ is a vector of Dirac γ -matrices. The following expression for $\not\Lambda(x, x')$ will prove useful in later sections:

$$\not\Lambda(x, x') = \frac{\operatorname{cosec} \frac{\bar{s}}{2}}{\sqrt{\cos \bar{r} \cos \bar{r}'}} \left[\sin \frac{\Delta \bar{t}}{2} \left(\cos \frac{\bar{r}}{2} \cos \frac{\bar{r}'}{2} \gamma^{\hat{t}} - \sin \frac{\bar{r}}{2} \sin \frac{\bar{r}'}{2} \frac{x \cdot \gamma}{r} \frac{x' \cdot \gamma}{r'} \gamma^{\hat{t}} \right) - \cos \frac{\Delta \bar{t}}{2} \left(\sin \frac{\bar{r}}{2} \cos \frac{\bar{r}'}{2} \frac{x \cdot \gamma}{r} - \cos \frac{\bar{r}}{2} \sin \frac{\bar{r}'}{2} \frac{x' \cdot \gamma}{r'} \right) \right]. \tag{63}$$

3.2. Thermal Two-Point Function for Rigidly-Rotating States

The construction of the propagator for rigid rotation on Minkowski space was discussed previously, for example in Refs. [19,65,66], based on a mode sum approach. In this paper, we seek to take advantage of the exact expression for the maximally symmetric vacuum propagator, following the geometric method introduced in Refs. [67,68] and applied for static (nonrotating) adS in Ref. [44]. In this approach, the propagator at finite temperature is obtained via a sum over vacuum propagators evaluated on points which are displaced along the thermal contour towards imaginary times.

In this section, we discuss the extension of the geometric method to the situation of states at finite temperature undergoing rigid rotation. We construct such states as ensemble averages with respect to the weight function $\hat{\rho}$ [19,69–71] (not to be confused with the effective transverse coordinate $\bar{\rho}$ defined in Equation (26)). As discussed in Refs. [70,71], $\hat{\rho}$ can be derived in the frame of covariant statistical mechanics by enforcing the maximisation of the von Neumann entropy $-\operatorname{tr}(\hat{\rho} \ln \hat{\rho})$ under the constraints of fixed, constant mean energy and total angular momentum [70] and has the form

$$\hat{\rho} = \exp \left[-\beta_0 (\hat{H} - \Omega \hat{M}^z) \right], \tag{64}$$

where \hat{H} is the Hamiltonian operator and \hat{M}^z is the total angular momentum along the z-axis. For simplicity, we consider only the case of vanishing chemical potential, $\mu = 0$. We use the hat to denote an operator acting on Fock space. The operators \hat{H} and \hat{M}^z commute with each other and are associated to the SO(2,3) isometry group of adS. As shown in Ref. [72], these operators have the usual form (hats are absent from the expressions below because these are the forms of the operators before second quantisation, that is, the operators acting on wavefunctions):

$$H = i\partial_t, \quad M^z = -i\partial_\varphi + S^z. \tag{65}$$

For clarity, in this section we work with the dimensionful quantities t and r given in Equation (3). The spin matrix S^z appearing above is given by

$$S^z = \frac{i}{2} \gamma^{\hat{x}} \gamma^{\hat{y}} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^z & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^z \end{pmatrix}. \tag{66}$$

The t.e.v. of an operator \hat{A} is computed via [69,73,74]

$$\langle \hat{A} \rangle_{\beta_0, \Omega} = Z_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{-1} \operatorname{tr}(\hat{\rho} \hat{A}), \tag{67}$$

where $Z_{\beta_0, \Omega} = \operatorname{tr}(\hat{\rho})$ is the partition function.

We now consider an expansion of the field operator $\hat{\Psi}$ with respect to a complete set of particle and antiparticle modes, U_j and $V_j = i\gamma^{\hat{y}} U_j^*$,

$$\hat{\Psi}(x) = \sum_j [\hat{b}_j U_j(x) + \hat{d}_j^\dagger V_j(x)], \tag{68}$$

where the index j is used to distinguish between solutions at the level of the eigenvalues of a complete system of commuting operators (CSCO), which contains also H and M^z . In particular, U_j and V_j satisfy the eigenvalue equations

$$\begin{aligned} HU_j &= E_j U_j, & HV_j &= -E_j V_j, \\ M^z U_j &= m_j U_j, & M^z V_j &= -m_j V_j, \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

where the azimuthal quantum number $m_j = \pm\frac{1}{2}, \pm\frac{3}{2}, \dots$ is an odd half-integer, while the energy $E_j > 0$ is assumed to be positive for all modes in order to preserve the maximal symmetry of the ensuing vacuum state $|0\rangle$. These eigenvalue equations are satisfied automatically by the following four-spinors:

$$\begin{aligned} U_j(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-iE_j t + im_j \varphi - iS^z \varphi} u_j(r, \theta), \\ V_j(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{iE_j t - im_j \varphi - iS^z \varphi} v_j(r, \theta), \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

where the four-spinors u_j and v_j do not depend on t or φ . This allows $\widehat{\Psi}$ to be written as

$$\widehat{\Psi}(x) = \frac{e^{-iS^z \varphi}}{2\pi} \sum_j \left(e^{-iE_j t + im_j \varphi} \hat{b}_j u_j + e^{iE_j t - im_j \varphi} \hat{d}_j^\dagger v_j \right). \quad (71)$$

The one-particle operators in Equation (68) are assumed to satisfy canonical anticommutation relations,

$$\{\hat{b}_j, \hat{b}_{j'}^\dagger\} = \{\hat{d}_j, \hat{d}_{j'}^\dagger\} = \delta(j, j'), \quad (72)$$

with all other anticommutators vanishing. The eigenvalue equations in (69) imply

$$\begin{aligned} [\widehat{H}, \hat{b}_j^\dagger] &= E_j \hat{b}_j^\dagger, & [\widehat{H}, \hat{d}_j^\dagger] &= E_j \hat{d}_j^\dagger, \\ [\widehat{M}^z, \hat{b}_j^\dagger] &= m_j \hat{b}_j^\dagger, & [\widehat{M}^z, \hat{d}_j^\dagger] &= m_j \hat{d}_j^\dagger, \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

so that

$$\hat{\rho} \hat{b}_j \hat{\rho}^{-1} = e^{\beta_0 \tilde{E}_j} \hat{b}_j, \quad \hat{\rho} \hat{d}_j^\dagger \hat{\rho}^{-1} = e^{-\beta_0 \tilde{E}_j} \hat{d}_j^\dagger, \quad (74)$$

where the corotating energy is defined via

$$\tilde{E}_j = E_j - \Omega m_j. \quad (75)$$

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\beta_0 \tilde{E}_j} U_j(t, \varphi) &= e^{i\beta_0 \partial_t - \beta_0 \Omega (-i\partial_\varphi + S^z)} U_j(t, \varphi) \\ &= e^{-\beta_0 \Omega S^z} U_j(t + i\beta_0, \varphi + i\beta_0 \Omega), \\ e^{-\beta_0 \tilde{E}_j} V_j(t, \varphi) &= e^{-\beta_0 \Omega S^z} V_j(t + i\beta_0, \varphi + i\beta_0 \Omega), \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

it can be seen that

$$\hat{\rho} \widehat{\Psi}(t, \varphi) \hat{\rho}^{-1} = e^{-\beta_0 \Omega S^z} \widehat{\Psi}(t + i\beta_0, \varphi + i\beta_0 \Omega), \quad (77)$$

where

$$e^{-\beta_0 \Omega S^z} = \cosh\left(\frac{\beta_0 \Omega}{2}\right) - 2 \sinh\left(\frac{\beta_0 \Omega}{2}\right) S^z. \quad (78)$$

We now introduce the two-point functions [74]

$$iS_{\beta_0, \Omega}^+(x, x') = \langle \widehat{\Psi}(x) \widehat{\Psi}(x') \rangle_{\beta_0, \Omega}, \quad iS_{\beta_0, \Omega}^-(x, x') = -\langle \widehat{\Psi}(x') \widehat{\Psi}(x) \rangle_{\beta_0, \Omega}. \quad (79)$$

Taking into account Equation (77), it is possible to derive the KMS relation for thermal states with rotation:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{-}(\tau, \varphi; x') &= iZ_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{-1} \text{tr}[\hat{\rho} \widehat{\Psi}(\tau', \varphi') \widehat{\Psi}(\tau, \varphi)] \\ &= iZ_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{-1} \text{tr}[\hat{\rho} e^{\beta_0 \Omega S^z} \widehat{\Psi}(\tau - i\beta_0, \varphi - i\beta_0 \Omega) \widehat{\Psi}(\tau', \varphi')] \\ &= -e^{\beta_0 \Omega S^z} S_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{+}(\tau - i\beta_0, \varphi - i\beta_0 \Omega; x'), \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

where the time coordinate was denoted by τ to indicate that time is an inherently complex parameter when finite temperature states are considered. In the above and in what follows, the dependence on the coordinates r and θ is omitted for brevity. The factor $e^{-iS^z \varphi}$ in Equation (71) indicates that the two-point functions can be expanded in a double Fourier series with respect to $\Delta\tau = \tau - \tau'$ and $\Delta\varphi = \varphi - \varphi'$ as follows:

$$S_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{\pm}(\tau, \varphi; \tau', \varphi') = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dw}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_m e^{-iw\Delta\tau + im\Delta\varphi} e^{-iS^z \varphi} s_{\beta_0, \Omega, m}^{\pm}(w) e^{iS^z \varphi'}, \quad (81)$$

where $m = \pm\frac{1}{2}, \pm\frac{3}{2}, \dots$ and the Fourier coefficients $s_{\beta_0, \Omega, m}^{\pm}(w)$ are independent of $\Delta\tau$ and $\Delta\varphi$. By virtue of Equation (80), the matrix-valued Fourier coefficients $s_{\beta_0, \Omega, m}^{\pm}(w)$ can be shown to satisfy [68,74]

$$s_{\beta_0, \Omega, m}^{\pm}(w) = -e^{\pm\beta_0 \tilde{w}} s_{\beta_0, \Omega, m}^{\mp}(w), \quad (82)$$

where $\tilde{w} = w - m\Omega$.

We now consider the Schwinger (anticommutator) two-point function,

$$S(x, x') = S_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{+}(x, x') - S_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{-}(x, x'), \quad (83)$$

which is independent of state, since it involves the field anticommutators. Introducing its Fourier transform, $s_m(w)$, through a relation equivalent to that in Equation (81), we find

$$s_{\beta_0, \Omega, m}^{+}(w) = [1 - n_{\beta_0}(\tilde{w})] s_m(w), \quad s_{\beta_0, \Omega, m}^{-}(w) = -n_{\beta_0}(\tilde{w}) s_m(w), \quad (84)$$

where the Fermi-Dirac factor $n_{\beta_0}(\tilde{w})$ is given by

$$n_{\beta_0}(\tilde{w}) = \frac{1}{e^{\beta_0 \tilde{w}} + 1}. \quad (85)$$

At vanishing temperature, the following limits can be obtained:

$$s_{\infty, \Omega, m}^{\pm}(w) = \pm\Theta(\pm\tilde{w}) s_m(w), \quad (86)$$

where Θ is the usual Heaviside step function.

We now move onto the thermal Feynman two-point function, defined by

$$S_{\beta_0, \Omega}^F(x, x') = \Theta_c(\tau - \tau') S_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{+}(x, x') + \Theta_c(\tau' - \tau) S_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{-}(x, x'), \quad (87)$$

where $\Theta_c(\tau - \tau')$ is the step function on a contour in the complex plane which causally descends towards negative values of the imaginary part of $\tau - \tau'$, such that

$$\Theta_c(t - t' - i\varepsilon) = 1, \quad \Theta_c(t - t' + i\varepsilon) = 0, \quad (88)$$

for any real $t - t'$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ [74]. Replacing the functions $S_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{\pm}(x, x')$ with their Fourier representation, given in Equation (81), and using Equation (84) to replace their Fourier coefficients, we obtain

$$S_{\beta_0, \Omega}^F(x, x') = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dw}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_m e^{-iw\Delta\tau + im\Delta\varphi} \{ \Theta_c(\Delta\tau) [1 - n_{\beta_0}(\tilde{w})] - \Theta_c(-\Delta\tau) n_{\beta_0}(\tilde{w}) \} \\ \times e^{-iS^z \varphi} s_m(w) e^{iS^z \varphi'}. \quad (89)$$

Noting that the Fermi-Dirac factors $n_{\beta_0}(\tilde{w})$ and $1 - n_{\beta_0}(\tilde{w})$ admit the following expansions,

$$n_{\beta_0}(\tilde{w}) = \Theta(-\tilde{w}) - \sum_{j \neq 0} (-1)^j e^{-j\beta_0 \tilde{w}} [\Theta(j)\Theta(\tilde{w}) - \Theta(-j)\Theta(-\tilde{w})], \\ 1 - n_{\beta_0}(\tilde{w}) = \Theta(\tilde{w}) + \sum_{j \neq 0} (-1)^j e^{-j\beta_0 \tilde{w}} [\Theta(j)\Theta(\tilde{w}) - \Theta(-j)\Theta(-\tilde{w})], \quad (90)$$

where $j = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$, it can be seen that

$$S_{\beta_0, \Omega}^F(x, x') = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dw}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_m e^{-iw\Delta\tau + im\Delta\varphi} \{ \Theta_c(\Delta\tau)\Theta(\tilde{w}) - \Theta_c(-\Delta\tau)\Theta(-\tilde{w}) \\ + \sum_{j \neq 0} (-1)^j e^{-j\beta_0 \tilde{w}} [\Theta(j)\Theta(\tilde{w}) - \Theta(-j)\Theta(-\tilde{w})] \} e^{-iS^z \varphi} s_m(w) e^{iS^z \varphi'}. \quad (91)$$

The term on the first line can be identified with the Feynman propagator at vanishing temperature, which can be read from Equation (89):

$$S_{\infty, \Omega}^F(x, x') = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dw}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_m e^{-iw\Delta\tau + im\Delta\varphi} \\ \times [\Theta_c(\Delta\tau)\Theta(\tilde{w}) - \Theta_c(-\Delta\tau)\Theta(-\tilde{w})] e^{-iS^z \varphi} s_m(w) e^{iS^z \varphi'}. \quad (92)$$

Shifting the time variables τ and φ from the real axis by the imaginary quantities $ij\beta_0$ and $ij\beta_0\Omega$ (where j can be either negative or positive) and taking into account the relations in Equation (90), we have

$$S_{\infty, \Omega}^F(\tau + ij\beta_0, \varphi + ij\beta_0\Omega; x') = e^{ij\beta_0\Omega S^z} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dw}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_m e^{-iw\Delta\tau + im\Delta\varphi} \\ \times e^{j\beta_0 \tilde{w}} [\Theta(-j)\Theta(\tilde{w}) - \Theta(j)\Theta(-\tilde{w})] e^{-iS^z \varphi} s_m(w) e^{iS^z \varphi'}. \quad (93)$$

Performing the flip $j \rightarrow -j$ in Equation (91), the following equality can be derived:

$$S_{\beta_0, \Omega}^F(x, x') = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^j e^{-j\beta_0\Omega S^z} S_{\infty, \Omega}^F(\tau + ij\beta_0, \varphi + ij\beta_0\Omega; x'). \quad (94)$$

In Equation (94), it is understood that τ and τ' are always taken on the real axis, while the step functions appearing in Equation (89) are evaluated according to Equation (88).

We now discuss the connection with the vacuum Feynman propagator, $S_{\text{vac}}^F(x, x')$, introduced in Equation (54). It can be seen that $S_{\text{vac}}^F(x, x')$ admits a representation similar to that in Equation (92), with Ω set equal to zero:

$$S_{\text{vac}}^F(x, x') = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dw}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_m e^{-iw\Delta\tau + im\Delta\varphi} \\ \times [\Theta_c(\Delta\tau)\Theta(w) - \Theta_c(-\Delta\tau)\Theta(-w)] e^{-iS^z \varphi} s_m(w) e^{iS^z \varphi'}. \quad (95)$$

The difference between Equations (92) and (95) can appear only if the maximally symmetric vacuum, employed to construct $S_{\text{vac}}^F(x, x')$, differs from the “rotating” vacuum. As discussed by Iyer in the context of rotating states on Minkowski space [20], such a difference is due to the presence of quantum particle modes (defined with respect to the Minkowski vacuum) for which the corotating energy $\tilde{E} = E - \Omega m$, defined in terms of the

particle (Minkowski) energy E and the projection m of the particle total angular momentum along the rotation vector, is negative. The existence of such modes is quite generally intimately related to the presence of an SLS. In Ref. [75], it was shown that the Unruh-de Witt detector in rigid rotation cannot be excited by a neutral scalar field in any space-time, as long as no SLS forms in the (noninertial) reference frame of the detector. While such a general result is not available for the Dirac field, our analysis of rigidly-rotating states bounded by a cylinder indicates that the modes with $\tilde{E} < 0$ are not permitted, as long as the SLS is excluded from within the space contained inside the boundary [60]. This is also confirmed for the bounded rigidly-rotating states of the Klein-Gordon field [22,76]. For the specific case of adS, we expect that $\tilde{E} > 0$ as long as $|\bar{\Omega}| \leq 1$, due to the quantisation of energy on adS [77], which reads

$$E_n = M + \ell^{-1} \left(n + \frac{3}{2} \right), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (96)$$

In the above, the main quantum number $n = 2n_r + l$ can be written as the sum of the radial quantum number $n_r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and the auxiliary quantum number l , taking even or odd integer values when n is even or odd. The total angular momentum quantum number $j = l \pm \frac{1}{2}$ can be obtained from l , while the magnetic quantum number m satisfies $-j \leq m \leq j$. Thus, the co-rotating energy is

$$\tilde{E}_{n_r, j} = M + \ell^{-1} \left(2n_r + \frac{3}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \right) + \ell^{-1} (j - \bar{\Omega}m). \quad (97)$$

Since $j \geq m$, it is clear that $\tilde{E}_{n_r, j} > 0$ as long as $|\bar{\Omega}| \leq 1$.

To summarise, the above discussion shows that, when $|\bar{\Omega}| \leq 1$, the Feynman propagator at vanishing temperature and finite rotation, $S_{\infty, \Omega}^F(x, x')$, can be replaced by the maximally symmetric Feynman propagator, $S_{\text{vac}}^F(x, x')$, as follows:

$$S_{\beta_0, \Omega}^F(x, x') = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^j e^{-j\beta_0 \Omega S^z} S_{\text{vac}}^F(\tau + ij\beta_0, \varphi + ij\beta_0 \Omega; x'). \quad (98)$$

3.3. Thermal Expectation Values

In the rest of this paper, we consider the t.e.v.s of the scalar condensate (SC), the pseudoscalar condensate (PC), the vector (J_V^μ) (VC) and axial (J_A^μ) (AC) charge currents, as well as the stress-energy tensor ($T^{\mu\nu}$) (SET) [78]:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{SC} \\ \text{PC} \\ J_V^{\hat{a}} \\ J_A^{\hat{a}} \\ T_{\hat{a}\hat{\sigma}} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \langle : [\hat{\Psi}, \hat{\Psi}] : \rangle_{\beta_0, \Omega} \\ \frac{1}{2} \langle : [\hat{\Psi}, -i\gamma^5 \hat{\Psi}] : \rangle_{\beta_0, \Omega} \\ \langle : \hat{J}_V^{\hat{a}} : \rangle_{\beta_0, \Omega} \\ \langle : \hat{J}_A^{\hat{a}} : \rangle_{\beta_0, \Omega} \\ \langle : \hat{T}_{\hat{a}\hat{\sigma}} : \rangle_{\beta_0, \Omega} \end{pmatrix} = - \lim_{x' \rightarrow x} \text{tr} \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & -i\gamma^5 & & & \\ & \gamma^{\hat{a}} & & & \\ & \gamma^{\hat{a}} \gamma^5 & & & \\ -\frac{i}{2} [\gamma_{(\hat{a}} (D_{\hat{\sigma}}) - \delta^{\hat{\sigma}'} D_{\hat{\sigma}'})] & & & & \end{pmatrix} i\Delta S_{\beta_0, \Omega}^F(x, x') \Lambda(x', x) \right], \quad (99)$$

where $\Delta S_{\beta_0, \Omega}^F(x, x') \equiv S_{\beta_0, \Omega}^F(x, x') - S_{\text{vac}}^F(x, x')$ is the thermal propagator with the vacuum part subtracted. The bispinor of parallel transport $\Lambda(x', x)$ has the role of parallel transporting the spinor structure at point x' towards x as the coincidence limit is taken [78] and is not acted upon by the derivative operators. Furthermore, since $\Lambda(x, x)$ is just the identity matrix, this term makes only trivial contributions to the t.e.v.s considered here

and can thus be ignored in what follows. Upon replacing the thermal propagator using Equation (98), it can be seen that the t.e.v.s appearing in Equation (99) involve taking traces and derivatives of the vacuum propagator, when the point x is detached from the real axis along the thermal contour. Let us denote by $x_j^{\hat{\alpha}} = (t_j, x_j, y_j, z_j)$ the Cartesian-like coordinates corresponding to the j 'th term in Equation (98). While $t_j = t + ij\beta_0$ is obtained via a translation, the spatial coordinates are obtained via a rotation:

$$x_j^{\hat{i}} = R_z(i\Omega j\beta_0)^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{\ell}} x_j^{\hat{\ell}}, \quad R_z(i\Omega j\beta_0)^{\hat{\alpha}}_{\hat{\sigma}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cosh \Omega j\beta_0 & -i \sinh \Omega j\beta_0 & 0 \\ 0 & i \sinh \Omega j\beta_0 & \cosh \Omega j\beta_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (100)$$

where the temporal line and column were added for future convenience. It is easy to see that the derivatives with respect to $x_j^{\hat{\alpha}}$ can be transformed into derivatives $\partial_{\hat{\sigma};j}$ with respect to the rotated coordinates, $x_j^{\hat{\alpha}}$, as follows:

$$\partial_{\hat{\alpha}} = R_z(i\Omega j\beta_0)^{\hat{\sigma}}_{\hat{\alpha}} \partial_{\hat{\sigma};j}. \quad (101)$$

A similar transformation law can be found for the $\gamma^{\hat{\alpha}}$ matrices:

$$e^{j\beta_0 S^z} \gamma_{\hat{\alpha}} e^{-j\beta_0 S^z} = \gamma_{\hat{\sigma}} R_z(i\Omega j\beta_0)^{\hat{\sigma}}_{\hat{\alpha}}. \quad (102)$$

Further noting that

$$e^{j\beta_0 \Omega S^z} \left(\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}}{r} \right) e^{-j\beta_0 \Omega S^z} = \frac{\mathbf{x}_j \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}}{r}, \quad (103)$$

it can be seen that the covariant derivative $D_{\hat{\alpha}} = e_{\hat{\alpha}}^{\hat{\beta}}(x)(\partial/\partial x^{\hat{\beta}}) - \Gamma_{\hat{\alpha}}(x)$ also transforms according to

$$e^{j\beta_0 \Omega S^z} D_{\hat{\alpha}} e^{-j\beta_0 \Omega S^z} = D_{\hat{\sigma};j} R_z(i\Omega j\beta_0)^{\hat{\sigma}}_{\hat{\alpha}}, \quad (104)$$

where $D_{\hat{\sigma};j} = e_{\hat{\sigma}}^{\hat{\beta}}(x_j)(\partial/\partial x_j^{\hat{\beta}}) - \Gamma_{\hat{\sigma}}(x_j)$ is the covariant derivative acting at the point $x_j^{\hat{\alpha}}$ on the thermal contour.

Due to the relation between the thermal Feynman propagator and the vacuum one given in Equation (98), it is possible to write the t.e.v. of a normal-ordered operator \hat{A} : as $A = \sum_{j \neq 0} A_j$. Leaving the case of the SET for later, the following terms are uncovered for the SC, PC and charge currents:

$$\begin{aligned} SC_j &= (-1)^{j+1} \mathcal{A}_{F;j} \text{tr}(e^{-j\beta_0 \Omega S^z} \Lambda_j), \\ PC_j &= -i(-1)^{j+1} \mathcal{A}_{F;j} \text{tr}(e^{-j\beta_0 \Omega S^z} \gamma^5 \Lambda_j), \\ J_{V;j}^{\hat{\alpha}} &= (-1)^{j+1} R_z(i\Omega j\beta_0)^{\hat{\alpha}}_{\hat{\sigma}} \mathcal{B}_{F;j} \text{tr}(e^{-j\beta_0 \Omega S^z} \gamma^{\hat{\sigma}} \not{x}_j \Lambda_j), \\ J_{A;j}^{\hat{\alpha}} &= (-1)^{j+1} R_z(i\Omega j\beta_0)^{\hat{\alpha}}_{\hat{\sigma}} \mathcal{B}_{F;j} \text{tr}(e^{-j\beta_0 \Omega S^z} \gamma^{\hat{\sigma}} \gamma^5 \not{x}_j \Lambda_j), \end{aligned} \quad (105)$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{F;j} \equiv \mathcal{A}_F(s_j)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{F;j} \equiv \mathcal{B}_F(s_j)$ depend on the geodesic distance $s_j \equiv s(t + ij\beta_0, r, \varphi + ij\beta_0 \Omega, \theta; t, r, \varphi, \theta)$ along the imaginary contour, which satisfies:

$$\cos \frac{s_j}{\ell} = 1 + \frac{2}{\Gamma_j^2 \cos^2 \bar{\rho}} \sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell}, \quad (106)$$

where $\bar{\rho}$ was introduced in Equation (26) and we introduce here the notations Γ_j and $\bar{\Omega}_j$ by

$$\Gamma_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \bar{\rho}^2 \Omega_j^2}}, \quad \bar{\Omega}_j = \frac{\sinh(\Omega j\beta_0/2)}{\sinh(j\beta_0/2\ell)}. \quad (107)$$

We have also defined the quantities \not{t}_j and Λ_j , which are, respectively, the tangent at the point $x_j = (t + ij\beta_0, r, \varphi + ij\beta_0\Omega, \theta)$ and the bispinor of parallel transport between the points x_j and $x' = (t, r, \varphi, \theta)$.

4. Scalar and Pseudoscalar Condensates

We begin our detailed study of t.e.v.s by considering first the simplest ones, namely the SC and PC. The j th terms appearing in Equation (105) for the SC and PC are

$$\begin{aligned} SC_j &= (-1)^{j+1} \mathcal{A}_{F;j} \left[\cosh \frac{\Omega j \beta_0}{2} \text{tr}(\Lambda_j) - \sinh \frac{\Omega j \beta_0}{2} \text{tr}(\gamma^5 \gamma^{\hat{t}} \gamma^{\hat{z}} \Lambda_j) \right], \\ PC_j &= -i(-1)^{j+1} \mathcal{A}_{F;j} \left[\cosh \frac{\Omega j \beta_0}{2} \text{tr}(\gamma^5 \Lambda_j) - \sinh \frac{\Omega j \beta_0}{2} \text{tr}(\gamma^{\hat{t}} \gamma^{\hat{z}} \Lambda_j) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (108)$$

where the relations $e^{-\Omega j \beta_0 S^z} = \cosh \frac{\Omega j \beta_0}{2} - 2S^z \sinh \frac{\Omega j \beta_0}{2}$ and $2S^z = \gamma^5 \gamma^{\hat{t}} \gamma^{\hat{z}}$ were employed. Specialising the results in Equation (A1) for the traces appearing above to $(x, x') \rightarrow (x_j, x')$, Equation (108) simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} SC_j &= \frac{4(-1)^{j+1} \mathcal{A}_{F;j}}{\cos(\bar{s}_j/2)} \cosh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \cosh \frac{\Omega j \beta_0}{2}, \\ PC_j &= \frac{4\bar{z}(-1)^{j+1} \mathcal{A}_{F;j}}{\cos(\bar{s}_j/2)} \sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \sinh \frac{\Omega j \beta_0}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (109)$$

where the effective vertical coordinate $\bar{z} = \tan \bar{r} \cos \theta$ was introduced in Equation (26). In the above, $j \neq 0$ takes both positive and negative values. It can be seen that the SC persists in the absence of rotation (as also remarked in Ref. [44]), while the PC only forms at nonvanishing Ω . We now introduce the following notation:

$$\zeta_j = -\frac{1}{\sin^2 \frac{\bar{s}_j}{2}} = \frac{\Gamma_j^2 \cos^2 \bar{r}}{\sinh^2(j\beta_0/2\ell)}, \quad (110)$$

where Γ_j was defined in Equation (107). At large temperature, ζ_j can be expanded as shown in Equation (A7). Using Equation (56) to replace $\mathcal{A}_{F;j}$ in Equation (109), we find

$$\begin{aligned} SC &= \frac{\Gamma_k}{2\pi^2 \ell^3} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{j+1} \zeta_j^{2+k} \cosh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \cosh \frac{\Omega j \beta_0}{2} {}_2F_1(1+k, 2+k; 1+2k; -\zeta_j), \\ PC &= \frac{\bar{z} \Gamma_k}{2\pi^2 \ell^3} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{j+1} \zeta_j^{2+k} \sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \sinh \frac{\Omega j \beta_0}{2} {}_2F_1(1+k, 2+k; 1+2k; -\zeta_j). \end{aligned} \quad (111)$$

In the limit of critical rotation, when $\bar{\Omega} \rightarrow 1$, the quantity ζ_j introduced in Equation (110) becomes

$$\lim_{\bar{\Omega} \rightarrow 1} \zeta_j = \frac{\Gamma^2 \cos^2 \bar{r}}{\sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell}}. \quad (112)$$

In the equatorial plane, ζ_j becomes coordinate-independent, since $\Gamma = 1/\cos \bar{r}$ when $\theta = \pi/2$. While the PC trivially vanishes in the equatorial plane due to the \bar{z} prefactor, the SC attains a constant value:

$$\lim_{\bar{\Omega} \rightarrow 1} SC \left(\theta = \frac{\pi}{2} \right) = \frac{\Gamma_k}{2\pi^2 \ell^3} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1} \cosh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell}}{(\sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell})^{4+2k}} {}_2F_1 \left(1+k, 2+k; 1+2k; -\sinh^{-2} \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \right). \quad (113)$$

We now discuss the massless limit, in which Equation (111) reduces to:

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} SC &= \frac{\cos^4 \bar{r}}{2\pi^2 \ell^3} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1} \cosh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \cosh \frac{\Omega j \beta_0}{2}}{(\sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} + \cos^2 \bar{r} - \bar{\rho}^2 \sinh^2 \frac{\Omega j \beta_0}{2})^2}, \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} PC &= \frac{\cos^4 \bar{r}}{2\pi^2 \ell^3} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1} \sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \sinh \frac{\Omega j \beta_0}{2}}{(\sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} + \cos^2 \bar{r} - \bar{\rho}^2 \sinh^2 \frac{\Omega j \beta_0}{2})^2}.\end{aligned}\quad (114)$$

In the high temperature limit, it can be seen that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} SC = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 \ell^3}, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} PC = 0. \quad (115)$$

The above result reveals a nonvanishing value of the SC in the large temperature limit, which is present even for massless fermions. It is interesting to note that the result in Equation (115) is exactly cancelled by the renormalised vacuum expectation value (v.e.v.) of the SC [42] (note that the result in Ref. [42] must be multiplied by -1):

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \langle SC \rangle_{\text{vac}} = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2 \ell^3}. \quad (116)$$

At finite mass, the SC can be expected to receive extra temperature-dependent contributions. According to the analysis on Minkowski space [21,61,79], the t.e.v. of the SC for small masses $M = k\ell^{-1}$ is given by

$$SC_{\text{Mink}} = M \left[\frac{T^2}{6} + \frac{3\omega^2 - a^2}{24\pi^2} - \frac{M^2}{2\pi^2} \ln \frac{\pi T}{M e^{c-\frac{1}{2}}} + O(T^{-1}) \right], \quad (117)$$

where the logarithmic term is based on the classical result for $(E_{\text{RKT}} - 3P_{\text{RKT}})/M$ in Equation (43). We now seek to obtain this limit starting from Equation (111). Using the Formula (A12), the hypergeometric function in Equation (111) can be expanded around $\zeta_j^{-1} = 0$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}{}_2F_1(1+k, 2+k; 1+2k; -\zeta_j) &= \frac{\zeta_j^{-1-k}}{\Gamma_k} \left\{ k + \zeta_j^{-1} \left[-1 - k + k^2 - k^3 \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - 2k(1-k^2) \left(\ln \zeta_j^{-1/2} + \psi(k) + \mathcal{C} \right) \right] + O(\zeta_j^{-2}) \right\},\end{aligned}\quad (118)$$

where the normalisation constant Γ_k introduced in Equation (57) emerges after using the properties in Equation (A13). Substituting the above result into Equation (111), the sum over j can be performed by first considering an expansion at large temperatures, as shown in Equation (A7) for ζ_j . Using the summation formulae in Equation (A8), the large temperature expansion of the SC can be obtained as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}SC &= \frac{MT^2}{6} - \frac{M}{2\pi^2} \left(M^2 + \frac{R}{12} \right) \ln \pi T \ell + \frac{M}{24\pi^2} (3\omega^2 - a^2) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4\pi^2 \ell^3} \left\{ 1 - \frac{5k}{6} - k^2 - k^3 - 2k(1-k^2) [\psi(1+k) + \mathcal{C}] \right\} + O(T^{-1}).\end{aligned}\quad (119)$$

A comparison with Equation (43) confirms that the leading order term $MT^2/6$ is consistent with that from the classical result $(E_{\text{RKT}} - 3P_{\text{RKT}})/M$. The logarithmic term receives a quantum correction due to the Ricci scalar term, $R/12$, which seems to be consistent with the replacement $M^2 \rightarrow M^2 + \frac{R}{12}$ suggested in Equation (7) of Ref. [54].

The result in Equation (119) is validated against a numerical computation based on Equation (111) in Figure 2, where the profiles of the SC in the equatorial plane are shown at various values of the parameters Ω , k and T_0 . Panel (a) confirms the high temperature limit corresponding to massless quanta derived in Equation (115) at $T_0 = 2\ell^{-1}$. When $\bar{\Omega} = 1$, the SC stays independent of \bar{r} and agrees with the prediction in Equation (115). For smaller values of $\bar{\Omega}$, deviations can be observed as $\bar{r} \rightarrow \pi/2$, at larger distances from the boundary when $\bar{\Omega}$ is smaller. The $T_0 = 0.5\ell^{-1}$ curves shown in panel (a) indicate that the large temperature limit in Equation (115) loses validity when $T_0 \lesssim \ell^{-1}$. In panel (b), the high temperature limit for arbitrary masses, derived above in Equation (119), is validated in the high temperature regime ($T_0 = 2\ell^{-1}$) for various values of $\bar{\Omega}$. The agreement at $\bar{\Omega} = 1$ is excellent for both $k = 0$ and $k = 2$, while deviations can be seen in the vicinity of the boundary when $\bar{\Omega}$ is decreased. Finally, panel (c) shows the SC as a function of the inverse of the distance to the boundary, $(1 - 2\bar{r}/\pi)^{-1}$, at $k = 0$ and $|\bar{\Omega}| < 1$ (0 and 0.9 are considered), represented using a logarithmic scale. It can be seen that as the temperature is increased, the validity of the asymptotic value $1/4\pi^2$ is extended to smaller distances from the boundary.




Figure 2. Profiles of the scalar condensate (SC) in the equatorial plane ($\theta = \pi/2$). (a) Massless ($k = 0$) quanta at low ($T_0 = 0.5\ell^{-1}$) and high ($T_0 = 2\ell^{-1}$) temperatures, for various values of $\bar{\Omega}$. (b) High-temperature results for $k = 0$ and $k = 2$ at various $\bar{\Omega}$. (c) Validity of the vanishing mass high-temperature result in Equation (115) as a function of the radial coordinate, as T_0 and $\bar{\Omega}$ are increased. The black dotted lines represent the high-temperature result in Equation (119), which reduces to Equation (115) when $k = 0$.

We further validate the result in Equation (119) in the limit of critical rotation ($\bar{\Omega} = 1$), when the SC is constant in the equatorial plane. Figure 3 shows the SC as a function of temperature for various values of k . In panel (a), it can be seen that the numerical results

approach the asymptotic form in Equation (119) around $\ell T_0 \simeq 1$. Panel (b) displays the difference $SC - SC_{an}$, where SC_{an} refers to the asymptotic expression in Equation (119). At $\ell T_0 > 1$, all curves give essentially zero, thus validating the contributions of order $O(T_0^0)$. At $\ell T_0 < 1$, the asymptotic expression loses its validity due to the terms which are logarithmic in or independent of T_0 . Since the SC vanishes as $T_0 \rightarrow 0$, these terms will dominate $SC - SC_{an}$, as confirmed by the dotted black lines.




Figure 3. (a) Log-log plot of the SC in the equatorial plane ($\theta = \pi/2$) as a function of T_0 for $\bar{\Omega} = 1$ and various values of k . (b) Linear-log plot of the difference $SC - SC_{an}$ between the numerical result and the high temperature analytical expression in Equation (119).

The $O(T^0)$ term appearing in Equation (119) could originate from the fact that we have only taken into account the thermal part of the expectation value of the SC. We now consider its full expectation value by adding the vacuum contribution SC_{vac}^{Had} to the result in Equation (119). According to Ref. [42], Hadamard regularisation gives

$$SC_{vac}^{Had} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 \ell^3} \left\{ 1 - \frac{k}{6} - k^2 + k^3 + 2k(1 - k^2) \left[\psi(k) + \mathcal{C} - \ln(\nu_{Had} \ell \sqrt{2}) \right] \right\}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4\pi^2 \ell^3} \left\{ 1 + \frac{k}{6} - k^2 - k^3 - 2k(1 - k^2) \left[\psi(1 + k) + \mathcal{C} - \ln(\nu_{Had} \ell \sqrt{2}) \right] \right\}, \quad (120)$$

where ν_{Had} is an arbitrary (unspecified) regularisation mass scale and the relation (A13) was employed to go from the first to the second line. Adding together the thermal and the vacuum contributions gives

$$SC_{total}^{Had} \equiv SC + SC_{vac}^{Had} = \frac{MT^2}{6} - \frac{M}{2\pi^2} \left(M^2 + \frac{R}{12} \right) \ln \frac{\pi T}{\nu_{Had} \sqrt{2}}$$

$$+ \frac{M}{24\pi^2} \left(3\omega^2 - a^2 + \frac{R}{2} \right) + O(T^{-1}). \quad (121)$$

Imposing agreement with the Minkowski value (117) in the limit $\ell^{-1} \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$\nu_{Had}^{SC} = \frac{M}{\sqrt{2}} e^{\mathcal{C} - \frac{1}{2}}, \quad (122)$$

where $M = k\ell^{-1}$ is the mass of the field quanta and \mathcal{C} is the Euler-Mascheroni constant.

We now discuss the volumetric properties of the SC and PC. Due to the \bar{z} prefactor in (109), the PC is antisymmetric with respect to the equatorial plane and thus its volume integral vanishes identically. This property will become important in understanding the flow of axial charge, which will be discussed in the following section. On the other hand, the total SC contained in adS space can be obtained as follows:

$$V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{\text{SC}} = \int d^3x \sqrt{-g} SC = 2\pi\ell^3 \int_{-1}^1 d\cos\theta \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{d\bar{r} \sin^2 \bar{r}}{\cos^4 \bar{r}} SC. \quad (123)$$

Due to the coordinate dependence of the volume element $\sqrt{-g} d^3x$, it can be seen that the contributions from high values of \bar{r} have a higher weight than those within the adS bulk. For this reason, it is convenient to change the argument of the hypergeometric function appearing in Equation (111) using Equation (A14), leading to

$$V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{\text{SC}} = \frac{\Gamma_k}{2\pi^2\ell^3} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{j+1} \cosh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \cosh \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2} \times \int d^3x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{\zeta_j}{1+\zeta_j} \right)^{2+k} {}_2F_1 \left(k, 2+k; 1+2k; \frac{\zeta_j}{1+\zeta_j} \right). \quad (124)$$

Now using Equation (A11) to express the hypergeometric function as a series, the integral can be performed in the following two steps:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int d^3x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{\zeta_j}{1+\zeta_j} \right)^{2+\nu} \\ &= \frac{\pi^{3/2}\ell^3\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+\nu)}{2\Gamma(2+\nu)} \left(\cosh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \right)^{-1-2\nu} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{d\cos\theta}{(\sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} - \sinh^2 \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2} \sin^2\theta)^{3/2}} \\ &= \frac{\pi^{3/2}\ell^3\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+\nu)}{\Gamma(2+\nu)} \frac{(\cosh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell})^{-1-2\nu}}{\sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} (\sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} - \sinh^2 \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2})}, \end{aligned} \quad (125)$$

where $\nu = k + n$. The above result shows that all terms in the hypergeometric function will make contributions which diverge as $\Omega = \Omega\ell \rightarrow 1$. Substituting the result in Equation (125) together with the expansion (A11) into Equation (124), the sum over n can be performed, yielding:

$$V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{\text{SC}} = \frac{2}{4^{k+1}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1} \cosh \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2} (\cosh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell})^{-2k}}{\sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} (\sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} - \sinh^2 \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2})} {}_2F_1 \left(k, \frac{1}{2} + k; 1 + 2k; \text{sech}^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \right). \quad (126)$$

Using Equation (A15), the hypergeometric function appearing in Equation (126) has a simple closed-form expression:

$${}_2F_1 \left(k, \frac{1}{2} + k; 1 + 2k; \text{sech}^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \right) = \left(4e^{-j\beta_0/\ell} \cosh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \right)^k, \quad (127)$$

which allows $V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{\text{SC}}$ to be simplified to

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{\text{SC}} &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{2} \frac{e^{-jM\beta_0} \cosh \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2}}{\sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} (\sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} - \sinh^2 \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2})} \\ &= -\frac{4T_0^3\ell^3}{1-\Omega^2} \text{Li}_3(-e^{-\beta_0 M}) - \frac{(3-\Omega^2)T_0\ell}{6(1-\Omega^2)} \ln(1+e^{-\beta_0 M}) + O(T_0^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (128)$$

The result on the second line of (128) gives the closed form coefficients of the terms cubic and linear in the temperature, though these coefficients are temperature-dependent due to the exponential $e^{-\beta_0 M}$. Expanding these coefficients for small β_0 , we obtain

$$V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{\text{SC}} = \frac{\ell^3}{1 - \bar{\Omega}^2} \left[3\zeta(3)T_0^3 - \frac{\pi^2 MT_0^2}{3} + \frac{T_0}{6} \left(12M^2 + \Omega^2 + \frac{R}{4} \right) \ln 2 - \frac{M}{12} \left(4M^2 + \Omega^2 + \frac{R}{4} \right) + O(T_0^{-1}) \right]. \quad (129)$$

It is remarkable that, while the large temperature limit of the SC at vanishing mass given in Equation (115) is temperature-independent, the leading order T_0^3 contribution to $V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{\text{SC}}$ is mass-independent. A comparison with the classical (nonquantum) result for $(E_{\text{RKT}} - 3P_{\text{RKT}})/M$ obtained in Equation (53) shows that quantum corrections appear at the next-to-next-to-leading order, in the form of the extra term $\Omega^2 + R/4$.

The asymptotic expression $V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{\text{SC,an}}$ in Equation (129) is compared to the numerical result obtained by performing the summation on the first line of Equation (125) in Figure 4. Panel (a) confirms that the asymptotic expression becomes valid when $\ell T_0 \gtrsim 1$. In panel (b), the difference $V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{\text{SC}} - V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{\text{SC,an}}$ between the numerical and analytical results is shown for various values of k and $\bar{\Omega}$. It can be seen that the curves tend to zero as $\ell T_0 \rightarrow \infty$, confirming the validity of all the terms in Equation (129), including the constant. Since $V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{\text{SC}} \rightarrow 0$ when $T_0 \rightarrow 0$, this latter term becomes dominant at small T_0 and its value is confirmed by the dotted black lines. Finally, panel (c) shows $V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{\text{SC}}$ as a function of $(1 - \bar{\Omega})^{-1}$, thus confirming its divergent behaviour as $\bar{\Omega} \rightarrow 1$. The agreement with the analytical solution in Equation (129) is excellent at high T_0 and small k . Small discrepancies can be seen in the case when $k = 2$ and $\ell T_0 = 0.5$.




Figure 4. (a) Log-log plot of $V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{\text{SC}}$ (123) as a function of ℓT_0 . (b) Difference $V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{\text{SC}} - V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{\text{SC,an}}$ as a function of ℓT_0 . (c) $V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{\text{SC}}$ as a function of $(1 - \bar{\Omega})^{-1}$ for various values of k and ℓT_0 . The dashed lines represent the high temperature limit given in Equation (129).

5. Charge Currents

In the previous section, we studied in detail the simplest t.e.v.s, namely those of the SC and PC. Using Equation (A2), the components of the VC can be conveniently written in matrix form:

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{V;j}^{\hat{\alpha}} &= \frac{4i(-1)^{j+1}\mathcal{B}_{F;j}}{\sin \frac{s_j}{2\ell} \cos \bar{r}} R_z(i\Omega j\beta_0)_{\hat{\sigma}}^{\hat{\alpha}} \begin{pmatrix} \sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \cosh \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2} \\ -\bar{\rho} \cosh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \sinh \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2} \sin(\varphi + i\Omega j\beta_0) \\ \bar{\rho} \cosh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \sinh \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2} \cos(\varphi + i\Omega j\beta_0) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}^{\hat{\sigma}} \\
 &= \frac{4i(-1)^{j+1}\mathcal{B}_{F;j}}{\sin \frac{s_j}{2\ell} \cos \bar{r}} \begin{pmatrix} \sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \cosh \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2} \\ -\bar{\rho} \cosh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \sinh \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2} \sin \varphi \\ \bar{\rho} \cosh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \sinh \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2} \cos \varphi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}^{\hat{\alpha}}. \tag{130}
 \end{aligned}$$

All components are odd with respect to the change $j \rightarrow -j$, and therefore the t.e.v. of the VC vanishes identically:

$$J_V^{\hat{\alpha}} = \sum_{j \neq 0} J_{V;j}^{\hat{\alpha}} = 0. \tag{131}$$

Moving on to the AC, the following components can be obtained:

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{A;j}^{\hat{\alpha}} &= \frac{4i(-1)^j \mathcal{B}_{F;j}}{\sin \frac{s_j}{2\ell} \cos \bar{r}} \sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \sinh \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2} \\
 &\quad \times R_z(i\Omega j\beta_0)_{\hat{\sigma}}^{\hat{\alpha}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (1 - \cos \bar{r}) \sin \theta \cos \theta \cos(\varphi + i\Omega j\beta_0) \\ (1 - \cos \bar{r}) \sin \theta \cos \theta \sin(\varphi + i\Omega j\beta_0) \\ \cos^2 \theta + \cos \bar{r} \sin^2 \theta \end{pmatrix}^{\hat{\sigma}} \\
 &= \frac{4i(-1)^j \mathcal{B}_{F;j}}{\sin \frac{s_j}{2\ell} \cos \bar{r}} \sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \sinh \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2} \cos^2 \theta \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (1 - \cos \bar{r}) \tan \theta \cos \varphi \\ (1 - \cos \bar{r}) \tan \theta \sin \varphi \\ 1 + \cos \bar{r} \tan^2 \theta \end{pmatrix}^{\hat{\alpha}}. \tag{132}
 \end{aligned}$$

Comparing with the expression for the kinematic vorticity $\omega^{\hat{\alpha}}$ in Equation (33), it can be seen that

$$J_{A;j}^{\hat{\alpha}} = \sigma_{A;j}^{\omega} \omega^{\hat{\alpha}}, \tag{133}$$

where the contribution $\sigma_{A;j}^{\omega}$ ($j \neq 0$) to the vortical conductivity σ_A^{ω} is given by

$$\sigma_{A;j}^{\omega} = \frac{4i(-1)^j \mathcal{B}_{F;j}}{\Omega \Gamma^2 \sin \frac{s_j}{2\ell} \cos^2 \bar{r}} \sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \sinh \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2}. \tag{134}$$

Substituting the expression for \mathcal{B}_F given in Equation (56) into Equation (134) and summing over j gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma_A^{\omega} &= \sum_{j \neq 0} \sigma_{A;j}^{\omega} \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma_k}{2\pi^2 \ell^3 \Omega \Gamma^2 \cos^2 \bar{r}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{j+1} \zeta_j^{2+k} \sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \sinh \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2} {}_2F_1(k, 2+k; 1+2k; -\zeta_j). \tag{135}
 \end{aligned}$$

In the case of critical rotation ($\Omega\ell = 1$), the quantity ζ_j takes the form in Equation (112) and the axial vortical conductivity attains a constant value in the equatorial plane:

$$\lim_{\Omega\ell \rightarrow 1} \sigma_A^\omega \left(\theta = \frac{\pi}{2} \right) = \frac{\Gamma_k}{2\pi^2 \ell^2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{(\sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell})^{2+2k}} {}_2F_1 \left(k, 2+k; 1+2k; -\operatorname{cosech}^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \right). \quad (136)$$

In order to investigate the large temperature limit of σ_A^ω , we employ Equation (A12) to find

$${}_2F_1(k, 2+k; 1+2k; -\zeta_j) = \frac{\zeta_j^{-k}}{\Gamma_k} \left[1 - \frac{k^2}{\zeta_j} + O(\zeta_j^{-2}) \right]. \quad (137)$$

Using the summation formulae in Equation (A9), we obtain

$$\sigma_{A;\text{an}}^\omega = \frac{T^2}{6} + \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \left(\omega^2 + 3a^2 + \frac{R}{4} - 6M^2 \right) + O(T^{-1}), \quad (138)$$

where R is the Ricci scalar (2) and $M = k\ell^{-1}$ is the mass of the field quanta. The leading order term is consistent with the vanishing chemical potential limit ($\mu = \mu_5 = 0$) of the result reported in Section 6 of Ref. [80]. The terms involving ω^2 and a^2 are in agreement with the results derived using the equilibrium density operator formalism in Ref. [81], while the mass term agrees with the correction found in Equations (7.10) and (40) of Refs. [61,82], respectively, as well as with the high temperature expansion of the results derived in Ref. [83] (see last entry for W^A in Table 2). Curvature corrections were also reported in Equations (2) and (15) of Ref. [84], however the coefficient of the Ricci scalar differs by a factor of -2 to our result. The results in Ref. [84] must be multiplied by two in order to account for both L and R chiral states.

On Minkowski space, the second term in Equation (138), which is independent of temperature, is generated when computing the expectation value of the axial current with respect to the static (Minkowski) vacuum (as opposed to the rotating vacuum). In adS, this term is generated without recourse to the nonrotating vacuum, but only in the large temperature limit. The validity of Equation (138) is probed in a variety of regimes in Figure 5. In panel (a), the massless case $k = 0$ is studied at various values of the temperature ℓT_0 and rotation parameter $\bar{\Omega} = \ell\Omega$. The agreement is excellent even at $\ell T_0 = 0.5$. In panel (b), the high-temperature case ($\ell T_0 = 2$) is tested for massless and massive quanta and discrepancies can be seen at $k = 2$, when the mass and the temperature are of the same order of magnitude. Panel (c) shows the T_0 dependence of σ_A^ω for the case of critical rotation ($\bar{\Omega} = 1$), in the equatorial plane ($\theta = \pi/2$), where σ_A^ω no longer depends on \bar{r} . The asymptotic behaviour can be seen to be independent of k , as expected from the structure of the leading order term $T^2/6$ in Equation (138). The asymptotic form is established at larger temperature when $k = 2/\sqrt{3}$ than for $k = 0$. Finally, panel (d) shows the difference $\sigma_A^\omega - \sigma_{A;\text{an}}^\omega$, which drops to zero when $\ell T_0 \gtrsim 1$. It should be noted that the $O(T^0)$ term is necessary to obtain the convergence to zero, however this term loses its validity at small temperature (the $T_0 \rightarrow 0$ asymptotics are governed by the temperature-independent contribution to $-\sigma_{A;\text{an}}^\omega$).




Figure 5. (a,b) Radial profiles of the axial vortical conductivity σ_A^ω for various values of $\bar{\Omega}$, evaluated in the equatorial plane ($\theta = \pi/2$) (a) for massless ($k = 0$) quanta at low ($T_0 = 0.5\ell^{-1}$) and high ($T_0 = 2\ell^{-1}$) temperatures; (b) at high temperature ($\ell T_0 = 2$) for $k = 0$ and $k = 2$. Temperature dependence (c) of σ_A^ω ; and (d) of $\sigma_A^\omega - \sigma_{A;an}^\omega$ in the case of critical rotation $\bar{\Omega} = 1$ ($\theta = \pi/2$). The analytical result $\sigma_{A;an}^\omega$, represented using the black dotted lines, is given in Equation (138).

We end this section with a discussion of the flux of the AC through adS space time. The divergence of the AC becomes

$$\nabla_\mu J_A^\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} (\sqrt{-g} J_A^\mu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\partial (J_A^\mu \sqrt{-g})}{\partial \bar{z}} = -2k\ell^{-1} PC, \tag{139}$$

where PC is the pseudoscalar condensate. Integrating the above equation over the volume V bounded by the two-surfaces given by constant \bar{z} values \bar{z}_0 and \bar{z}_1 , we find

$$\int_0^1 d\bar{\rho} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \int_{\bar{z}_0}^{\bar{z}_1} d\bar{z} \sqrt{-g} \nabla_\mu J_A^\mu = \ell [F_A(\bar{z}_1) - F_A(\bar{z}_0)] = -2k\ell^{-1} \int_V d^3x \sqrt{-g} PC, \tag{140}$$

showing that the flux F_A is independent of \bar{z} for massless fermions, when $k = 0$. Its explicit expression for arbitrary k is

$$F_A(\bar{z}) = \ell^{-1} \int_0^1 d\bar{\rho} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \sqrt{-g} J_A^\mu(\bar{z}). \tag{141}$$

Substituting the expressions (31) and (135) into Equation (133) allows F_A to be written as

$$F_A = \frac{\Gamma_k}{\pi\ell} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{j+1} \sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \sinh \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2} \times \int_0^1 d\bar{\rho} \bar{\rho} \left(\frac{1 - \bar{\rho}^2}{1 + \bar{z}^2} \right)^k \frac{{}_2F_1(k, 2+k; 1+2k; -\zeta_j)}{(\sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} - \bar{\rho}^2 \sinh^2 \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2})^{2+k}}, \quad (142)$$

where the quantity ζ_j given in Equation (110) reduces to

$$\zeta_j = \frac{1 - \bar{\rho}^2}{1 + \bar{z}^2} \frac{1}{\sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} - \bar{\rho}^2 \sinh^2 \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2}}. \quad (143)$$

We now perform the $\bar{\rho}$ integration in Equation (142). Using Equation (A11) to replace the hypergeometric series, F_A becomes

$$F_A = \frac{\Gamma_k}{2\pi\ell} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{j+1} \sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \sinh \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \Gamma(k+n) \Gamma(2+k+n) \Gamma(1+2k)}{n! \Gamma(k) \Gamma(2+k) \Gamma(1+2k+n) (1 + \bar{z}^2)^{k+n}} \times \int_0^1 \frac{Y^{k+n} dY}{[\sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} - (1-Y) \sinh^2 \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2}]^{2+k+n}}, \quad (144)$$

where $Y = 1 - \bar{\rho}^2$. The integration with respect to Y can be performed trivially,

$$\int_0^1 \frac{Y^v dY}{[a - b(1-Y)]^{2+v}} = \int_0^{1/a} \frac{X^v dX}{a-b} = \frac{a^{-1-v}}{(a-b)(1+v)}, \quad (145)$$

where $X = Y/(a - b + bY)$. This allows the summation over n in (144) to be performed in terms of another hypergeometric series, yielding

$$F_A = \frac{\Gamma_k}{2\pi\ell(1+k)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1} \sinh \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2} (\sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell})^{-1-2k}}{(\sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} - \sinh^2 \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2})(1 + \bar{z}^2)^k} \times {}_2F_1 \left(k, 1+k; 1+2k; -\frac{(1 + \bar{z}^2)^{-1}}{\sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell}} \right). \quad (146)$$

The argument of the hypergeometric function shows that the limit of high temperature ($\beta_0 \rightarrow 0$) cannot be taken simultaneously with the limit of high \bar{z} . In the absence of a uniformly asymptotic expansion, we now proceed to derive separately the large \bar{z} and the large T_0 behaviours.

In the case of large \bar{z} , we can treat the argument of the hypergeometric function as a small number, which permits the series representation (A11) to be employed:

$${}_2F_1 \left(k, 1+k; 1+2k; -\frac{1}{(1 + \bar{z}^2) \sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell}} \right) = 1 - \frac{k(1+k)}{(1+2k)(1 + \bar{z}^2) \sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell}} + O[(1 + \bar{z}^2)^{-2}]. \quad (147)$$

An expansion with respect to small β_0 must be performed in order to extract the large temperature behaviour of $F_A(\bar{z})$. Exchanging the summation over j with the above series expansions is not strictly valid and will lead to a nonuniform asymptotic expansion. Taking into account the $(\sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell})^{-1-2k}$ term appearing in Equation (146), the large temperature expansion involves both negative and positive powers of j . Restricting only to terms with

vanishing or negative powers, the summation over j can be performed using Equation (A4), leading to

$$F_A(\bar{z}) = \frac{\bar{\Omega}}{1 - \bar{\Omega}^2} \frac{\Gamma(1+k)}{2\ell\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+k)} \left(\frac{\ell T_0}{\sqrt{1+\bar{z}^2}}\right)^{2k} \left\{ \left[\zeta(2+2k) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{1+2k}}\right) (2\ell T_0)^2 - \frac{\zeta(2k)}{6} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{2k-1}}\right) (3+2k+\bar{\Omega}^2) + O(T_0^{-2}) \right] - \frac{4k(1+k)}{1+2k} \left(\frac{\ell T_0}{\sqrt{1+\bar{z}^2}}\right)^2 \left[\zeta(4+2k) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{3+2k}}\right) (2\ell T_0)^2 - \frac{\zeta(2+2k)}{6} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{1+2k}}\right) (5+2k+\bar{\Omega}^2) + O(T_0^{-2}) \right] \right\}. \quad (148)$$

The same divergent factor $(1 - \bar{\Omega}^2)^{-1}$ that was seen for the SC in Equation (129) appears in the total axial flux. The validity of Equation (148) is restricted to large \bar{z} , but also to large T_0 compared to the mass $k\ell^{-1}$. The dependence of F_A on \bar{z} is shown in Figure 6a. At $k = 0$, the coordinate-independence of F_A is confirmed and the agreement with the result in Equation (148) is excellent even for small temperature, $\ell T_0 = 0.5$. At finite mass ($k = 1$), the flux F_A decreases like \bar{z}^{-k} . The leading-order coefficient is temperature-dependent and the result in Equation (148) becomes inaccurate at small temperatures ($\ell T_0 = 0.5 < k = 1$).




Figure 6. (a) Dependence of F_A on \bar{z} for massless ($k = 0$) and massive ($k = 1$) quanta at small ($\ell T_0 = 0.5$) and large ($\ell T_0 = 2$) temperatures. Temperature dependence of (b) F_A ; and (c) $F_A - F_A^{an}$ for $\bar{z} = 0$, normalised with respect to the prefactor $\Omega/(1 - \bar{\Omega}^2)$. The analytical results F_A^{an} at large \bar{z} (panel a) and large T_0 (panels b,c) are given in Equations (148) and (151), respectively.

At high temperature, Equation (A12) can be employed to expand the hypergeometric function appearing in Equation (146):

$$\begin{aligned}
 {}_2F_1\left(k, 1+k; 1+2k; -\frac{(1+\bar{z}^2)^{-1}}{\sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell}}\right) &= \frac{\Gamma(1+2k)}{[\Gamma(1+k)]^2} (1+\bar{z}^2)^k \left(\sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell}\right)^{2k} \\
 &\times \left\{ 1 + k^2(1+\bar{z}^2) \sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \right. \\
 &\times \left[\ln(1+\bar{z}^2) + \ln\left(\sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell}\right) - \psi(1) - \psi(2) + \psi(k) + \psi(1+k) \right] + O(\beta_0^4) \left. \right\}. \quad (149)
 \end{aligned}$$

Noting the properties of the digamma function in Equation (A13), we can write $F_A(\bar{z})$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_A(\bar{z}) &= \frac{1}{2\pi\ell} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1} \sinh \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2}}{(\sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} - \sinh^2 \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2}) \sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell}} \left\{ 1 - k(1+\bar{z}^2) \sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \right. \\
 &\times \left[1 + k - 2k\mathcal{C} - 2k\psi(1+k) - k \ln(1+\bar{z}^2) - k \ln\left(\sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell}\right) \right] + O(\beta_0^4) \left. \right\}. \quad (150)
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the summation Formula (A10) it can be shown that F_A takes the approximate form

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_A(\bar{z}) &= \frac{\Omega}{1-\Omega^2} \left\{ \frac{\pi\ell^2 T_0^2}{6} - \frac{3+\bar{\Omega}^2}{24\pi} - \frac{k^2(1+\bar{z}^2)}{2\pi} \ln \frac{\pi\ell T_0}{\sqrt{1+\bar{z}^2}} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{k(1+\bar{z}^2)}{4\pi} [1+k-2k\mathcal{C}-2k\psi(1+k)] + O(T_0^{-1}) \right\}. \quad (151)
 \end{aligned}$$

We now summarise the results derived above. Equation (140) indicates that the axial flux F_A integrated over surfaces of constant \bar{z} is independent of \bar{z} for massless particles. The surfaces corresponding to the limits $\bar{z} \rightarrow \infty$ and $-\infty$ represent the boundaries of the top and bottom halves of adS and thus a net axial charge flux is transferred from the bottom hemisphere through to the top hemisphere. This situation is reminiscent of the fate of null geodesics, which are able to travel to the adS boundary and to be reflected back into the adS bulk in finite time [85]. The boundaries of adS are thus forced open to unleash the axial current induced by the vorticity via the axial vortical effect.

The situation changes completely when the fermions have finite mass. The $(1+\bar{z}^2)^{-k}$ factor appearing in Equation (146) ensures that the axial flux exhibits a power law decay of the form \bar{z}^{-2k} as $\bar{z} \rightarrow \infty$. The net axial current flux through the equator must be compensated by the buildup of a negative PC in the lower hemisphere and by a PC with opposite sign in the upper hemisphere. Thus, the emergence of a nonvanishing expectation value of the PC for massive free fermions is required by observing that adS is completely opaque to massive particles, which require infinite time to reach its boundary. For this reason, no axial flux can reach the adS boundary, being instead converted into the PC via Equation (139), which then builds up inside the bulk of adS.

We now discuss the validity of the asymptotic expression in Equation (151). Panel (b) of Figure 6 shows $\frac{1}{\Omega}(1-\bar{\Omega}^2)F_A$ evaluated on the equatorial plane ($\bar{z}=0$) as a function of ℓT_0 at various values of $\bar{\Omega}$. The analytical approximation can be seen to become valid when $\ell T_0 \gtrsim 0.5$. Panel (c) shows $\frac{1}{\Omega}(1-\bar{\Omega}^2)[F_A - F_A^{\text{an}}]$ evaluated on the equatorial plane ($\bar{z}=0$) as a function of ℓT_0 , for various values of $\bar{\Omega}$ and k . All curves tend to zero as ℓT_0 is increased, confirming all terms in Equation (151). Since $F_A \rightarrow 0$ as $T_0 \rightarrow 0$, the logarithmic and temperature-independent terms become dominant at low temperatures, as confirmed by the black dotted lines.

6. Stress-Energy Tensor

The previous two sections have contained detailed analysis of the SC, PC and AC. These have revealed divergences in the volumetric integrals of t.e.v.s in the limit of critical rotation, $\Omega\ell \rightarrow 1$. We have also examined the high-temperature limit, and the role of quantum- and curvature-corrections. We now turn to the remaining (and most complex) physical quantity—the SET.

For the computation of the components of the SET given in Equation (99), it is convenient to perform the covariant derivatives $D_{\hat{\sigma}}$ and $D_{\hat{\sigma}'}$ using the properties of the vacuum Feynman propagator. The difficulty encountered in this case is due to the fact that S_{vac}^F in Equation (98) is evaluated on the thermal contour, while the covariant derivatives are taken on the real contour. This problem is solved by noting that:

$$D_{\hat{\alpha}}(x)e^{-j\beta_0\Omega S^z} = R_z(i\Omega j\beta_0)^{\hat{\sigma}}{}_{\hat{\alpha}} e^{-j\beta_0\Omega S^z} D_{\hat{\sigma};j}, \quad (152)$$

where $D_{\hat{\alpha}}(x) = e_{\hat{\alpha}}^{\mu}(x)\partial_{\mu} - \Gamma_{\hat{\alpha}}(x)$ is the usual spinor covariant derivative, while $D_{\hat{\sigma};j}$ acts on the shifted coordinate x_j , as indicated in Equation (104). On the other hand, the covariant derivative $D_{\hat{\sigma}';j}$ acts on the coordinate x' from the right and thus does not require rotation. Writing $T_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\sigma}} = \sum_{j \neq 0} T_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\sigma};j}$, we find

$$T_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\sigma};j} = \frac{i}{2}(-1)^j \text{tr} \left[e^{-j\beta_0\Omega S^z} \gamma_{\hat{\lambda}} R^{\hat{\lambda}}{}_{(\hat{\alpha}} (R^{\hat{\beta}}{}_{\hat{\sigma}')} D_{\hat{\beta};j} - D_{\hat{\sigma}'}) i S_{\text{vac};j} \right], \quad (153)$$

where we took into account that the bivector $g^{\hat{\sigma}'\hat{\sigma}}$ and bispinor $\Lambda(x, x')$ of parallel transport are equal to the identity when $x' \rightarrow x$ (only the vacuum propagator is evaluated on the thermal contour).

The covariant derivatives appearing in Equation (153) can be written using the decomposition (54) of the vacuum Feynman propagator, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\hat{\sigma}} i S_{\text{vac}}^F(x, x') &= \left[\frac{1}{2\ell} \gamma_{\hat{\sigma}} \gamma_{\hat{\zeta}} \mathcal{A}_F(s) \tan \frac{\bar{s}}{2} + \eta_{\hat{\sigma}\hat{\zeta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(\frac{\mathcal{A}_F}{\cos \frac{\bar{s}}{2}} \right) \cos \frac{\bar{s}}{2} \right] n^{\hat{\zeta}} \Lambda(x, x') \\ &\quad - \left[\frac{1}{2\ell} \eta_{\hat{\sigma}\hat{\zeta}} \mathcal{B}_F(s) \cot \frac{\bar{s}}{2} - n_{\hat{\sigma}} n_{\hat{\zeta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(\frac{\mathcal{B}_F}{\sin \frac{\bar{s}}{2}} \right) \sin \frac{\bar{s}}{2} \right] \gamma^{\hat{\zeta}} \Lambda(x, x'), \\ D_{\hat{\sigma}'} i S_{\text{vac}}^F(x, x') &= -g^{\hat{\sigma}'\hat{\sigma}} \left[\frac{1}{2\ell} \gamma_{\hat{\zeta}} \gamma_{\hat{\sigma}} \mathcal{A}_F(s) \tan \frac{\bar{s}}{2} + \eta_{\hat{\sigma}\hat{\zeta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(\frac{\mathcal{A}_F}{\cos \frac{\bar{s}}{2}} \right) \cos \frac{\bar{s}}{2} \right] n^{\hat{\zeta}} \Lambda(x, x') \\ &\quad + g^{\hat{\sigma}'\hat{\sigma}} \left[\frac{1}{2\ell} \eta_{\hat{\sigma}\hat{\zeta}} \mathcal{B}_F(s) \cot \frac{\bar{s}}{2} - n_{\hat{\sigma}} n_{\hat{\zeta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(\frac{\mathcal{B}_F}{\sin \frac{\bar{s}}{2}} \right) \sin \frac{\bar{s}}{2} \right] \gamma^{\hat{\zeta}} \Lambda(x, x'), \end{aligned} \quad (154)$$

where Equation (61) and the properties $D_{\hat{\alpha}} n_{\hat{\sigma}} = -\ell^{-1} \cot \bar{s} (\eta_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\sigma}} + n_{\hat{\alpha}} n_{\hat{\sigma}})$, $D_{\hat{\alpha}'} n_{\hat{\sigma}} = \ell^{-1} \text{cosec } \bar{s} (g_{\hat{\alpha}'\hat{\sigma}} - n_{\hat{\alpha}'} n_{\hat{\sigma}})$ and $n_{\hat{\sigma}'} = -g_{\hat{\sigma}'\hat{\alpha}} n^{\hat{\alpha}}$ were employed to eliminate the derivatives acting on Λ and \not{n} (see also Equations (6.16) and (6.17) in Ref. [44]). The terms involving $\mathcal{A}_F(s)$ vanish when taking the trace over the spinor indices in Equation (153). Taking into account Equation (55), the derivative acting on \mathcal{B}_F can be eliminated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(\frac{\mathcal{B}_F}{\sin \frac{\bar{s}}{2}} \right) \sin \frac{\bar{s}}{2} &= -\frac{2}{\ell} \mathcal{B}_F \cot \frac{\bar{s}}{2} - i M \mathcal{A}_F - \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \delta(x, x') \\ &= -\frac{2+k}{\ell} \mathcal{C}_F \cot \frac{\bar{s}}{2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \delta(x, x'), \end{aligned} \quad (155)$$

where the notation \mathcal{C}_F is introduced below:

$$\mathcal{C}_F = \frac{i\Gamma_k}{16\pi^2 \ell^3} \sin \left(\frac{\bar{s}}{2} \right) \left(-\sin^2 \frac{\bar{s}}{2} \right)^{-2-k} {}_2F_1 \left(k, 3+k; 1+2k; \text{cosec}^2 \left(\frac{\bar{s}}{2} \right) \right). \quad (156)$$

After a little algebra, the t.e.v. of the SET can be written using Equations (152) and (154) as follows:

$$T_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\sigma}} = i \sum_{j \neq 0} (-1)^j \frac{1}{2\ell} \cot \frac{s_j}{2\ell} \left[\mathcal{B}_{F;j} \eta_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\sigma}} \text{tr}(e^{-\Omega j \beta_0 S^z} \Lambda_j) - (2+k) C_{F;j} R_z(i\Omega j \beta_0)^{\hat{\lambda}}_{(\hat{\alpha}} [R^{\hat{\beta}}_{\hat{\sigma})} n_{\hat{\beta};j} - n_{\hat{\sigma}';j}] \text{tr}(e^{-\Omega j \beta_0 S^z} \gamma_{\hat{\lambda}} \not{n}_j \Lambda_j) \right]. \tag{157}$$

The traces appearing above are summarised in Equation (A3). The components of the tangent to the geodesic when x^μ is on the thermal contour can be evaluated from Equation (60). The relevant term appearing in Equation (157) is

$$R_z(i\Omega j \beta_0)^{\hat{\beta}}_{\hat{\sigma}} n_{\hat{\beta};j} - n_{\hat{\sigma}';j} = \frac{2i}{\sin \frac{s_j}{\ell} \cos \bar{r}} \begin{pmatrix} \sinh \frac{i\beta_0}{\ell} \\ \bar{\rho} \sinh \Omega j \beta_0 \sin \varphi \\ -\bar{\rho} \sinh \Omega j \beta_0 \cos \varphi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_{\hat{\sigma}}. \tag{158}$$

6.1. Thermometer Frame Decomposition

We now consider the decomposition of the SET with respect to the β (thermometer) frame, defined by setting the fluid four-velocity u^μ equal to that corresponding to rigid rotation, given in Equation (25) [86–89]:

$$T_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\sigma}} = (E + P) u_{\hat{\alpha}} u_{\hat{\sigma}} + P \eta_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\sigma}} + u_{\hat{\alpha}} W_{\hat{\sigma}} + W_{\hat{\alpha}} u_{\hat{\sigma}} + \Pi_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\sigma}}, \tag{159}$$

where E and P are the energy density and the isotropic pressure, respectively. The dynamic pressure, which is proportional to the projector $\Delta_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\sigma}} = u_{\hat{\alpha}} u_{\hat{\sigma}} + \eta_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\sigma}}$, is not included above, since the expansion scalar $\nabla_\mu u^\mu$ vanishes for rigidly rotating flows. The heat flux in the fluid rest frame, $W_{\hat{\alpha}}$, and the anisotropic stress $\Pi_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\sigma}}$, represent quantum deviations from the perfect fluid form, giving rise to anomalous transport [88]. The above quantities can be obtained by inverting the decomposition (159):

$$E = T_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\sigma}} u^{\hat{\alpha}} u^{\hat{\sigma}}, \quad P = \frac{1}{3} \Delta^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\sigma}} T_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\sigma}}, \quad W^{\hat{\lambda}} = -u^{\hat{\alpha}} \Delta^{\hat{\lambda}\hat{\sigma}} T_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\sigma}},$$

$$\Pi^{\hat{\lambda}\hat{\beta}} = \left(\Delta^{\hat{\lambda}\hat{\alpha}} \Delta^{\hat{\beta}\hat{\sigma}} - \frac{1}{3} \Delta^{\hat{\lambda}\hat{\beta}} \Delta^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\sigma}} \right) T_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\sigma}}. \tag{160}$$

From the structure of Equation (157), it can be shown that the components $T^{\hat{r}\hat{r}}$ and $T^{\hat{\theta}\hat{\theta}}$, expressed with respect to the tetrad in Equation (10), are equal. To see this, we start with the expressions

$$\begin{pmatrix} T^{\hat{r}\hat{r}} \\ T^{\hat{\theta}\hat{\theta}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sin^2 \theta \\ \cos^2 \theta \end{pmatrix} (T^{\hat{x}\hat{x}} \cos^2 \varphi + 2T^{\hat{x}\hat{y}} \sin \varphi \cos \varphi + T^{\hat{y}\hat{y}} \sin^2 \varphi) + \begin{pmatrix} \cos^2 \theta \\ \sin^2 \theta \end{pmatrix} T^{\hat{z}\hat{z}} + 2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \sin \theta \cos \theta (T^{\hat{x}\hat{z}} \cos \varphi + T^{\hat{y}\hat{z}} \sin \varphi). \tag{161}$$

The second term in Equation (157) does not contribute to the above expressions, and hence the values of $T^{\hat{r}\hat{r}}$ and $T^{\hat{\theta}\hat{\theta}}$ are exactly given by the term proportional to $\eta_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\sigma}}$. Similar arguments can be used to show that $T^{\hat{r}\hat{r}} = T^{\hat{\theta}\hat{\theta}} = T^{\hat{r}\hat{\theta}} = T^{\hat{\theta}\hat{r}} = T^{\hat{r}\hat{\phi}} = T^{\hat{\theta}\hat{\phi}} = 0$.

Due to the fact that the heat flux $W^{\hat{\alpha}}$ is, by construction, orthogonal to $u^{\hat{\alpha}}$, it can be expressed as a linear combination of the vectors a , ω and τ of the kinematic tetrad (33). Since $T^{\hat{r}\hat{r}} = T^{\hat{\theta}\hat{\theta}} = 0$, it is clear that W must be proportional to τ , because a and ω have nonvanishing components only along $e_{\hat{r}}$ and $e_{\hat{\theta}}$. Thus, we find

$$W^{\hat{\alpha}} = \sigma_\epsilon^\tau \tau^{\hat{\alpha}}, \tag{162}$$

where σ_ε^τ is the circular heat conductivity. Similarly, $\Pi^{\hat{a}\hat{c}}$ must be orthogonal to $u^{\hat{a}}$, symmetric and traceless. Since $T^{\hat{r}\hat{r}} = P + \Pi^{\hat{r}\hat{r}}$ is equal to $T^{\hat{\theta}\hat{\theta}} = P + \Pi^{\hat{\theta}\hat{\theta}}$, only one degree of freedom is required to characterise $\Pi^{\hat{a}\hat{c}}$. Its most general form satisfying the above restrictions is

$$\Pi^{\hat{a}\hat{c}} = \Pi_1 \tau^{\hat{a}} \tau^{\hat{c}} + A a^{\hat{a}} a^{\hat{c}} + B \omega^{\hat{a}} \omega^{\hat{c}} + C(a^{\hat{a}} \omega^{\hat{c}} + \omega^{\hat{a}} a^{\hat{c}}). \tag{163}$$

The coefficients A, B and C must be taken such that $\Pi^{\hat{a}\hat{c}}$ remains traceless, $\Pi^{\hat{r}\hat{r}} = \Pi^{\hat{\theta}\hat{\theta}}$ and $\Pi^{\hat{r}\hat{\theta}} = 0$:

$$A = -\frac{1}{2} \Pi_1 \omega^2, \quad B = -\frac{1}{2} \Pi_1 a^2, \quad C = \frac{1}{2} \Pi_1 (a \cdot \omega). \tag{164}$$

The final form can be written in terms of a single quantity Π_1 as follows

$$\Pi^{\hat{a}\hat{c}} = \ell^{-4} \Pi_1 \Gamma^6 (\Gamma^2 - 1) (1 - \bar{\Omega}^2)^2 \cos^4 \bar{r} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\rho}^2 \bar{\Omega}^2 \Gamma^2 & 0 & 0 & \bar{\rho} \bar{\Omega} \Gamma^2 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \bar{\rho} \bar{\Omega} \Gamma^2 & 0 & 0 & \Gamma^2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{165}$$

where the order of the coordinates is $(\hat{t}, \hat{r}, \hat{\theta}, \hat{\phi})$.

It is convenient to compute the energy E and pressure P from $E - 3P = k\ell^{-1}(SC)$, where the SC is given in Equation (111), and the combination $E + P$, given below:

$$E + P = \frac{\Gamma_k}{6\pi^2 \ell^4} (2+k) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{j+1} \zeta_j^{2+k} \cosh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \cosh \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2} {}_2F_1(k, 3+k; 1+2k; -\zeta_j) \\ \times \left[\frac{2\Gamma^2 \zeta_j}{\cos^2 \bar{r}} \left(\sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{\ell} - \bar{\rho}^2 \bar{\Omega} \sinh \Omega j\beta_0 \right) \left(\tanh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} - \bar{\rho}^2 \bar{\Omega} \tanh \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2} \right) - 1 \right]. \tag{166}$$

The circular heat conductivity is

$$\sigma_\varepsilon^\tau = \frac{(2+k)\Gamma_k}{4\pi^2 \bar{\Omega} \Gamma^2 \ell^2 (1 - \bar{\Omega}^2) \cos^4 \bar{r}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{j+1} \zeta_j^{3+k} {}_2F_1(k, 3+k; 1+2k; -\zeta_j) \\ \times \left[(1 + \bar{\rho}^2 \bar{\Omega}^2) \sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \sinh \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2} \left(\cosh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} + \cosh^2 \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2} \right) \right. \\ \left. - 2\bar{\Omega} \cosh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \cosh \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2} \left(\sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} + \bar{\rho}^2 \sinh^2 \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2} \right) \right], \tag{167}$$

while the coefficient Π_1 can be computed via

$$\Pi_1 = -\frac{(2+k)\Gamma_k}{3\pi^2 \bar{\Omega}^2 \Gamma^6 (1 - \bar{\Omega}^2)^2 \cos^6 \bar{r}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{j+1} \zeta_j^{3+k} {}_2F_1(k, 3+k; 1+2k; -\zeta_j) \\ \times \left[\bar{\Omega} \sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \sinh \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2} \left(\cosh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} + \cosh^2 \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2} \right) \right. \\ \left. - \cosh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \cosh \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2} \left(\bar{\Omega}^2 \sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} + \sinh^2 \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2} \right) \right]. \tag{168}$$

In the limit of critical rotation, $\bar{\Omega} = \Omega \ell \rightarrow 1$, the quantities $E + P$, $\sigma_\varepsilon^\omega$ and Π_1 take the following constant values on the equatorial plane:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{\Omega \ell \rightarrow 1} (E + P) \Big|_{\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}} &= \frac{(2+k)\Gamma_k}{2\pi^2 \ell^4} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1} \cosh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell}}{(\sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell})^{4+2k}} {}_2F_1 \left(k, 3+k; 1+2k; -\operatorname{cosech}^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \right), \\
\lim_{\Omega \ell \rightarrow 1} \sigma_\varepsilon^\tau \Big|_{\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}} &= \frac{(2+k)\Gamma_k}{4\pi^2 \ell^4} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1} \cosh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell}}{(\sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell})^{5+2k}} {}_2F_1 \left(k, 3+k; 1+2k; -\operatorname{cosech}^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \right) \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \cosh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} - \sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \right), \\
\lim_{\Omega \ell \rightarrow 1} \Pi_1 \Big|_{\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}} &= \frac{(2+k)\Gamma_k}{12\pi^2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{(\sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell})^{6+2k}} {}_2F_1 \left(k, 3+k; 1+2k; -\operatorname{cosech}^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \right) \\
&\quad \times \left[\left(\frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \right)^2 \cosh \frac{j\beta_0}{\ell} - \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \cosh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} + \frac{1}{4} \sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{\ell} \right]. \tag{169}
\end{aligned}$$

We now turn to the large temperature behaviour, when the hypergeometric function can be expanded using Equation (A12):

$${}_2F_1(k, 3+k; 1+2k; -\zeta_j) = \frac{\zeta_j^{-k}}{\Gamma_k(2+k)} \left[2 - \frac{k^2}{\zeta_j} - \frac{k^2(1-k^2)}{2\zeta_j^2} + O(\zeta_j^{-3}) \right]. \tag{170}$$

In this case, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
E + P &= \frac{7\pi^2 T^4}{45} + \frac{T^2}{18} \left(3\omega^2 + a^2 + \frac{R}{12} - 3M^2 \right) + \frac{M^2}{24\pi^2} \left(a^2 - 3\omega^2 + \frac{R}{3} + 3M^2 \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2160\pi^2} \left[45\omega^4 + 46\omega^2 \left(a^2 + \frac{R}{12} \right) - 51 \left(a^2 + \frac{R}{12} \right)^2 + 44(\omega \cdot a)^2 + 44\omega^2 \frac{R}{12} \right] \\
&\quad + O(T^{-1}), \\
\sigma_\varepsilon^\tau &= -\frac{T^2}{18} - \frac{1}{360\pi^2} \left[39\omega^2 + 31 \left(a^2 + \frac{R}{12} \right) - 15M^2 \right] + O(T^{-1}), \\
\Pi_1 &= -\frac{2}{27\pi^2} + O(T^{-1}). \tag{171}
\end{aligned}$$

The validity of the formulae in Equation (171) for σ_ε^τ and Π_1 derived above is investigated by comparison with the exact numerical evaluation of the expressions in Equations (167) and (168) in Figures 7 and 8. The energy density E is discussed further below and the results are investigated in Figure 9.

Panels (a) and (b) of Figure 7 show the radial profiles of the circular heat conductivity σ_ε^τ taken in the equatorial plane for various values of T_0 , k and $\bar{\Omega}$. The numerical results are in excellent agreement with the analytical result obtained in Equation (171) at high T_0 and k . Small discrepancies can be seen in the vicinity of the boundary (for $\bar{\Omega} < 1$) and at $T_0 \lesssim 0.5\ell^{-1}$. The agreement between the numerical results and the analytical expression is maintained also at $k = 2$. Panels (c) and (d) show $-\ell^2 \sigma_\varepsilon^\tau$ and $\ell^2 (\sigma_\varepsilon^\tau - \sigma_{\varepsilon, \text{an}}^\tau)$ as functions of the temperature, confirming the validity of all the terms in Equation (171).

We now discuss the properties of Π_1 , presented graphically in Figure 8. Panels (a–c) show profiles of Π_1 in the equatorial plane. In panel (a), where massless quanta $k = 0$ are considered, a peculiarity of Π_1 is revealed, namely that it is coordinate-independent when $\bar{\Omega} = 0$. This is due to the fact that the $\cos^6 \bar{r}$ term in the denominator of the prefactor in Equation (168) is cancelled by the coordinate-dependent part of $\zeta_j^3 = \cos^6 \bar{r} / \sinh^6(j\beta_0/2\ell)$ (the hypergeometric function reduces to unity when $k = 0$). At finite $\bar{\Omega}$, we see that Π_1 becomes point-dependent, more strikingly for smaller temperature (no point dependence can be distinguished on the scale of the plot when $\ell T_0 = 2$). The value of $-\Pi_1$ at the origin

exhibits a monotonic increase with $\bar{\Omega}$. Panel (b) presents results for $\bar{\Omega} = 0$ and various values of k , showing that $-\Pi_1$ becomes point-dependent when $k > 0$, decreasing in the vicinity of the boundary as k is increased. Panel (c) shows results at high temperature ($\ell T_0 = 2$) for vanishing and large ($k = 3$) masses. In the vanishing mass case (also for small masses), Π_1 is very well approximated by its high temperature limit in Equation (171). Finally, panel (d) shows $-\Pi_1$ computed at the origin for various values of k and $\bar{\Omega}$ as a function of the temperature ℓT_0 . It can be seen that the large temperature limit $2/27\pi^2$ is achieved in all cases as T_0 is increased.




Figure 7. (a,b) Profiles of the circular heat conductivity $-\sigma_\epsilon^\tau$ in the equatorial plane ($\theta = \pi/2$). (a) Massless ($k = 0$) quanta at low ($T_0 = 0.5\ell^{-1}$) and high ($T_0 = 2\ell^{-1}$) temperatures, for various values of $\bar{\Omega}$. (b) High-temperature results for $k = 0$ and $k = 2$ at various $\bar{\Omega}$. (c) Log-log plot of $-\sigma_\epsilon^\tau$ at critical rotation ($\bar{\Omega} = 1$) in the equatorial plane ($\theta = \pi/2$) as a function of T for various values of k . (d) Linear-log plot of the difference $\sigma_\epsilon^\tau - \sigma_{\epsilon,\text{an}}^\tau$ between the numerical result and the high temperature analytical expression in Equation (171) at critical rotation $\bar{\Omega} = 1$ and $\theta = \pi/2$. The black dotted lines represent the high-temperature result in Equation (171).




Figure 8. (a–c) Profiles of the coefficient Π_1 , taken with negative sign, in the equatorial plane ($\theta = \pi/2$). (a) Results for massless quanta ($k = 0$) at various values of $\bar{\Omega}$ and T_0 ; (b) Static ($\bar{\Omega} = 0$) states at low ($T_0 = 0.5\ell^{-1}$) and high ($T_0 = 2\ell^{-1}$) temperatures, for various values of k ; (c) High-temperature ($T_0 = 2\ell^{-1}$) results for various values of k and $\bar{\Omega}$. (d) Log plot of Π_1 at the origin $r = 0$ as a function of T for $\bar{\Omega} = 0, 1/\sqrt{2}$ and 1 at $k = 0$ and 2 . The black dotted lines represent the high-temperature result in Equation (171).

6.2. Energy Density and Vacuum Regularisation

The energy density can be obtained by adding $\frac{3}{4}(E + P)$ and $\frac{1}{4}MSC$, where the scalar condensate SC is given in Equation (119), with the result:

$$\begin{aligned}
 E = & \frac{7\pi^2 T^4}{60} + \frac{T^2}{24} \left(3\omega^2 + a^2 + \frac{R}{12} - 2M^2 \right) - \frac{M^2}{8\pi^2} \left(M^2 + \frac{R}{12} \right) \ln \ell\pi T \\
 & + \frac{1}{2880\pi^2} \left[45\omega^4 + 46\omega^2 \left(a^2 + \frac{R}{12} \right) - 51 \left(a^2 + \frac{R}{12} \right)^2 + 44(\omega \cdot a)^2 + 44\omega^2 \frac{R}{12} \right] \\
 & + \frac{M}{16\pi^2 \ell^3} \left(1 - \frac{5k}{6} - k^2 - k^3 - 2k(1 - k^2)[\psi(k + 1) + \mathcal{C}] \right) \\
 & + \frac{M^2}{48\pi^2} \left(a^2 + \frac{R}{2} - 3\omega^2 + \frac{9M^2}{2} \right) + O(T^{-1}). \quad (172)
 \end{aligned}$$

The above formula is validated in Figure 9 by comparison with the numerical results obtained by computing the sum in Equation (166). Panels (a) and (b) show the profiles of $\ell^4 E$ in the equatorial plane at vanishing mass ($k = 0$) with various values of T_0 and $\bar{\Omega}$; and at high temperature ($\ell T_0 = 2$) and various values of k and $\bar{\Omega}$. Excellent agreement between the numerical (continuous lines and points) and analytical (dashed black lines) results can be seen, even at $\ell T_0 = 0.5$ and $k = 2$. Panels (c) and (d) show $\ell^4 E$ and

$\ell^4(E - E_{\text{an}})$, respectively, as functions of ℓT_0 . Good agreement with the asymptotic result in Equation (172) can be seen in panel (c) for $\ell T_0 \gtrsim 0.5$, while panel (d) confirms the validity of the logarithmic and temperature-independent terms.




Figure 9. (top line) Profiles of the energy density E in the equatorial plane ($\theta = \pi/2$). (a) Massless ($k = 0$) quanta at low ($T_0 = 0.5\ell^{-1}$) and high ($T_0 = 2\ell^{-1}$) temperatures, for various values of $\bar{\Omega}$. (b) High-temperature results for $k = 0$ and $k = 2$ at various $\bar{\Omega}$. (c) Log-log plot of E in the equatorial plane ($\theta = \pi/2$) as a function of ℓT_0 for $\bar{\Omega} = 1$ and various values of k . (d) Linear-log plot of the difference $E - E_{\text{an}}$ between the numerical result and the high temperature analytical expression in Equation (172). The black dotted lines represent the high-temperature result in Equation (172).

The term on the penultimate line of Equation (172) is in principle compensated by the vacuum expectation value of the stress-energy tensor, which we reproduce here, based on the Hadamard regularisation scheme [42]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_{\text{vac}}^{\text{Had}} &= -P_{\text{vac}}^{\text{Had}} \\
 &= \frac{1}{16\pi^2\ell^4} \left\{ \frac{11}{60} + k - \frac{7k^2}{6} - k^3 + \frac{3k^4}{2} - 2k^2(k^2 - 1) \left[\psi(k) + \ln \frac{e^{\mathcal{C}}}{\ell v_{\text{Had}}\sqrt{2}} \right] \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{16\pi^2\ell^4} \left\{ \frac{11}{60} - k - \frac{7k^2}{6} + k^3 + \frac{3k^4}{2} - 2k^2(k^2 - 1) \left[\psi(1+k) + \ln \frac{e^{\mathcal{C}}}{\ell v_{\text{Had}}\sqrt{2}} \right] \right\}. \tag{173}
 \end{aligned}$$

It is interesting to note that, since $E_{\text{vac}}^{\text{Had}} = -P_{\text{vac}}^{\text{Had}}$, there is no vacuum contribution to the sum $E + P$, in other words $E_{\text{total}}^{\text{Had}} + P_{\text{total}}^{\text{Had}} = E + P$. Also, the vacuum contributions to the energy density and pressure are imperfectly balanced by those coming from the SC, since:

$$E_{\text{vac}}^{\text{Had}} - \frac{M}{4} S_{\text{vac}}^{\text{Had}} = -P_{\text{vac}}^{\text{Had}} - \frac{M}{4} S_{\text{vac}}^{\text{Had}} = \frac{11}{960\pi^2} \left(\frac{R}{12} \right)^2 + \frac{M^2}{16\pi^2} \frac{R}{12} + \frac{M^4}{32\pi^2}. \tag{174}$$

The last term $M^4/32\pi^2$ survives in the limit $\ell \rightarrow \infty$, hence introducing a discrepancy between the flat space limits of $(E_{\text{total}}^{\text{Had}} - 3P_{\text{total}}^{\text{Had}})/M$ and $SC_{\text{total}}^{\text{Had}}$. The results are given below for definiteness:

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_{\text{total}}^{\text{Had}} &= \frac{7\pi^2 T^4}{60} + \frac{T^2}{24} \left(3\omega^2 + a^2 + \frac{R}{12} - 2M^2 \right) \\
 &\quad - \frac{M^2}{8\pi^2} \left(M^2 + \frac{R}{12} \right) \ln \frac{\pi T}{\nu_{\text{Had}} \sqrt{2}} + \frac{M^2}{48\pi^2} \left(a^2 + R - 3\omega^2 + 6M^2 \right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2880\pi^2} \left[45\omega^4 + 46\omega^2 \left(a^2 + \frac{R}{12} \right) - 51 \left(a^2 + \frac{R}{12} \right)^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + 44(\omega \cdot a)^2 + 44\omega^2 \frac{R}{12} + 33 \left(\frac{R}{12} \right)^2 \right], \\
 P_{\text{total}}^{\text{Had}} &= \frac{7\pi^2 T^4}{180} + \frac{T^2}{72} \left(3\omega^2 + a^2 + \frac{R}{12} - 6M^2 \right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{M^2}{8\pi^2} \left(M^2 + \frac{R}{12} \right) \ln \frac{\pi T}{\nu_{\text{Had}} \sqrt{2}} + \frac{M^2}{48\pi^2} \left(a^2 - \frac{R}{3} - 3\omega^2 \right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{8640\pi^2} \left[45\omega^4 + 46\omega^2 \left(a^2 + \frac{R}{12} \right) - 51 \left(a^2 + \frac{R}{12} \right)^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + 44(\omega \cdot a)^2 + 44\omega^2 \frac{R}{12} - 99 \left(\frac{R}{12} \right)^2 \right]. \tag{175}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now sending $R, \omega, a \rightarrow 0$, agreement with the RKT result in Equation (43) can be obtained when the Hadamard regularisation constant takes the value

$$\nu_{\text{Had}}^{\text{SET}} = e^{-1/4} \nu_{\text{Had}}^{\text{SC}} = \frac{M}{\sqrt{2}} e^{c - \frac{3}{4}}, \tag{176}$$

which is not the same as the value $\nu_{\text{Had}}^{\text{SC}}$ in Equation (122) required to match the RKT prediction for the SC.

6.3. Comparison with Previous Results

We now discuss the above results in connection with those previously obtained in the literature. In the expression for $E + P$ in Equation (171), the leading order contribution (proportional to T^4), as well as the terms involving $M^2 T^2$ and M^4 , are identical to the ones derived within RKT in Equation (43) and can be regarded as “classical”. The first type of quantum corrections are due to the acceleration a and vorticity ω of the medium. These corrections can be identified by setting the Ricci scalar $R = 0$ and are consistent with previous calculations performed on Minkowski space (with rotation and/or with acceleration). For example, Equation (44) in Ref. [90] gives the energy density for a uniformly accelerating state,

$$E = \frac{7\pi^2 T^4}{60} + \frac{T^2 a^2}{24} - \frac{17a^4}{960\pi^2} + M^2 \left(-\frac{T^2}{12} + \frac{a^2}{48\pi^2} \right), \tag{177}$$

where the mass correction was presented in Equation (6.11) in Ref. [91]. The above result is consistent with the limit $\omega = R = 0$ of Equation (172). Equation (177) has the remarkable property that, for massless fermions ($M = 0$), we have $E = 0$ at the Unruh temperature, when $T = |a|/2\pi$ (see also Ref. [92] for the scalar field case), however this property relies on taking into account the temperature-independent term, $-17a^4/960\pi^2$. On adS space-time, $E = 0$ can be achieved only when $T = 0$ (since $E > 0$ when $T > 0$, as can be seen from panels (c) and (d) in Figure 9), but the vacuum part $E_{\text{vac}}^{\text{Had}}$ makes a positive contribution when $M = 0$, so $E_{\text{total}}^{\text{Had}}$ remains positive for all temperatures. Due to this property and to

the fact that the acceleration vector a^μ (29) on adS is not uniform even in the absence of rotation, an analogy with the Unruh effect on Minkowski space seems difficult to make, so we no longer pursue this issue in the present work.

At finite vorticity, both the ω^2 and the a^2 terms were known from Minkowski space-time calculations [21]. The $\omega \cdot a$ term is not accessible though, since on Minkowski, the vorticity and acceleration are perpendicular. According to Ref. [21], the components of the thermal expectation value of the SET, including the vacuum contributions due to the difference between the rotating (Iyer) and nonrotating (Vilenkin) vacua, are expressed in Equations (25c)–(25f) with respect to the co-rotating coordinates ($t_r = t$, $\varphi_r = \varphi - \Omega t$) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{t_r t_r} &= \frac{7\pi^2}{60\beta_0^4 \varepsilon} + \frac{\Omega^2}{8\beta_0^2 \varepsilon^2} \left(\frac{4}{3} - \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \right) + \frac{\Omega^4}{64\pi^2 \varepsilon^3} \left(\frac{8}{9} + \frac{56}{45} \varepsilon - \frac{17}{15} \varepsilon^2 \right), \\ -\frac{T_{\varphi_r t_r}}{\rho^2 \Omega} &= \frac{7\pi^2}{60\beta_0^4 \varepsilon^2} + \frac{13\Omega^2}{72\beta_0^2 \varepsilon^3} \left(\frac{16}{13} - \frac{3\varepsilon}{13} \right) + \frac{119\Omega^4}{960\pi^2 \varepsilon^4} \left(\frac{200}{119} - \frac{64}{119} \varepsilon - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{7} \right), \\ \frac{1}{\rho^2} T_{\varphi_r \varphi_r} &= \frac{7\pi^2}{180\beta_0^4 \varepsilon^3} (4 - 3\varepsilon) + \frac{\Omega^2}{24\beta_0^2 \varepsilon^4} (8 - 8\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2) \\ &\quad + \frac{\Omega^4}{192\pi^2 \varepsilon^5} \left(64 - \frac{456}{5} \varepsilon + \frac{124}{5} \varepsilon^2 + \frac{17}{5} \varepsilon^3 \right), \\ T_{\rho\rho} = T_{zz} &= \frac{7\pi^2}{180\beta_0^4 \varepsilon^2} + \frac{\Omega^2}{24\beta_0^2 \varepsilon^3} \left(\frac{4}{3} - \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \right) + \frac{\Omega^4}{192\pi^2 \varepsilon^4} \left(8 - \frac{88}{15} \varepsilon - \frac{17}{15} \varepsilon^2 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (178)$$

where $\varepsilon = 1 - \rho^2 \Omega^2 = \Gamma^{-2}$, $\beta_0 = \Gamma/T$ and ρ is the distance from the rotation axis measured in the transverse plane. The energy density can be calculated from the formula $E = u^\mu T_{\mu\nu} u^\nu$ with $u^{t_r} = \Gamma$ and $u^{\varphi_r} = 0$ (the covariant components are $u_{t_r} = -\Gamma^{-1}$ and $u_{\varphi_r} = \rho^2 \Omega \Gamma$), giving

$$E = \frac{7\pi^2 T^4}{60} + \frac{T^2}{24} (3\omega^2 + a^2) + \frac{1}{2880\pi^2} (45\omega^4 + 46\omega^2 a^2 - 51a^4), \quad (179)$$

in exact agreement with Equation (172) when $M = R = 0$. The $O(T^2)$ correction terms were also derived in Refs. [80,83]. An alternative derivation of the above result, based on the Wigner function formalism, can be found in recent work in Ref. [93]. The mass corrections appearing in Equation (172) are consistent with those derived in Ref. [83] (see the ρ , U_α and D_α entries in Table 2).

Concerning the energy density at high temperatures, one may speculate that the additional term involving the Ricci scalar, which appears in the $O(T^2)$ term of Equation (172) may trace its origin to the TTT gravitational (conformal) anomaly [94], however establishing this connection requires an explicit calculation, which is beyond the scope of the current paper. The $O(T^0)$ term is revealed on Minkowski space as a vacuum term, which arises due to the difference between the rotating and static vacua. On adS, this term survives only in the large temperature limit, since $\lim_{\beta_0 \rightarrow \infty} E = 0$.

In the case of the circular heat conductivity σ_ε^τ appearing in Equation (171), the Minkowski expression can be recovered from the results quoted in Equation (178) using the definition (162), namely $\sigma_\varepsilon^\tau = \tau_\mu W^\mu / \tau^2$ with $W^\mu = -\Delta^{\mu\nu} T_{\nu\lambda} u^\lambda$. On Minkowski space with respect to the co-rotating coordinates, $\tau_{t_r} = 0$, $\tau_{\varphi_r} = -\rho^2 \Omega^3 \Gamma^5$ and $\tau^2 = \rho^2 \Omega^6 \Gamma^8$ (the contravariant components are $\tau^{t_r} = -\rho^2 \Omega^4 \Gamma^5$ and $\tau^{\varphi_r} = \Omega^3 \Gamma^3$), which lead to the result

$$\sigma_\varepsilon^\tau(\text{Minkowski}) = \frac{1}{\rho^2 \Omega^3 \Gamma^4} (\rho^2 \Omega \Gamma^2 T_{t_r t_r} + T_{\varphi_r t_r}) = -\frac{T^2}{18} - \frac{1}{360\pi^2} (39\omega^2 + 31a^2), \quad (180)$$

in perfect agreement with the $R = M = 0$ limit of the appropriate line in Equation (171). The first term (proportional to T^2) was also found in Refs. [61,80,83], while the second

term (independent of T) was also derived in Ref. [93]. The mass corrections appearing in Equation (171) are consistent with those derived in Ref. [83] (see the G entry in Table 2).

Moving now to the shear stress coefficient, Π_1 , we compute this quantity from Equation (178) by noting that $\Pi_1 = \frac{2}{a^2\omega^2}(P - T_{zz})$:

$$\Pi_1 = -\frac{2}{27\pi^2}, \quad (181)$$

in agreement with the adS result in Equation (171).

6.4. Total Energy

We end this section by evaluating the total energy density contained within the boundaries of adS. For this purpose, we proceed by integrating the quantity $E + P$ over the whole adS volume:

$$V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{E+P} = \frac{(2+k)\Gamma_k}{6\pi^2\ell^4} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{j+1} \cosh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \cosh \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2} \times \int d^3x \sqrt{-g} \zeta_j^{2+k} {}_2F_1(k, 3+k; 1+2k; -\zeta_j) \mathcal{P}, \quad (182)$$

where we have defined the quantity

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P} &= \frac{2(\sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{\ell} - \bar{\rho}^2 \bar{\Omega} \sinh \Omega j\beta_0)(\tanh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} - \bar{\rho}^2 \bar{\Omega} \tanh \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2})}{(1 - \bar{\rho}^2 \bar{\Omega}^2)(\sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} - \bar{\rho}^2 \sinh^2 \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2})} - 1 \\ &= \frac{4[(1 - \bar{\rho}^2 \bar{\Omega} \tilde{\Omega})^2 - 2\bar{\rho}^2 \bar{\Omega} \tilde{\Omega} S]}{(1 - \bar{\rho}^2 \bar{\Omega}^2)(1 - \bar{\rho}^2 \tilde{\Omega}^2)} - 1, \end{aligned} \quad (183)$$

and the following notation was introduced:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Omega} &= \frac{\sinh(\bar{\Omega} j\beta_0/2\ell)}{\sinh(j\beta_0/2\ell)}, \\ S &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\cosh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell}}{\cosh \frac{\bar{\Omega} j\beta_0}{2\ell}} + \frac{\cosh \frac{\bar{\Omega} j\beta_0}{2\ell}}{\cosh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell}} \right) - 1 = \frac{2(\sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{4\ell} - \sinh^2 \frac{\bar{\Omega} j\beta_0}{4\ell})^2}{\cosh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \cosh \frac{\bar{\Omega} j\beta_0}{2\ell}}. \end{aligned} \quad (184)$$

In order to perform the d^3x integration in Equation (182), we employ the strategy used for the computation of the flux of axial charge F_A discussed in Section 5 and make use of the $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{z})$ coordinates introduced in Equation (26). With respect to these coordinates, Equation (182) can be written as:

$$V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{E+P} = \frac{(2+k)\Gamma_k}{3\pi\ell} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{j+1} \frac{\cosh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \cosh \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2}}{\sinh^4 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell}} \int_0^1 \frac{d\bar{\rho} \bar{\rho}^\alpha}{(1 - \bar{\rho}^2 \tilde{\Omega}^2)^2} \mathcal{P} \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\bar{z}}{(1 + \bar{z}^2)^{1+k}} {}_2F_1\left(k, 3+k; 1+2k; -\frac{\alpha}{1 + \bar{z}^2}\right), \quad (185)$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell}} \frac{1 - \bar{\rho}^2}{1 - \bar{\rho}^2 \tilde{\Omega}^2}. \quad (186)$$

It is convenient to change the argument of the hypergeometric function using Equation (A14):

$${}_2F_1\left(k, 3+k; 1+2k; -\frac{\alpha}{1 + \bar{z}^2}\right) = \left(\frac{1 + \bar{z}^2}{1 + \bar{z}^2 + \alpha}\right)^{3+k} {}_2F_1\left(1+k, 3+k; 1+2k; \frac{\alpha}{1 + \bar{z}^2 + \alpha}\right). \quad (187)$$

Next, replacing the hypergeometric function by its series representation (A11), the \bar{z} integral can be performed analytically using

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\bar{z} (1 + \bar{z}^2)^2}{(1 + \alpha + \bar{z}^2)^{3+k+n}} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + k + n)}{(1 + \alpha)^{\frac{5}{2}+k+n}\Gamma(3 + k + n)} \left[(1 + k + n)(2 + k + n) + (2 + k + n)\alpha + \frac{3\alpha^2}{4} \right]. \tag{188}$$

Furthermore, the series can be resummed, leading to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\bar{z}}{(1 + \bar{z}^2)^{1+k}} {}_2F_1\left(k, 3 + k; 1 + 2k; -\frac{\alpha}{1 + \bar{z}^2}\right) \\ = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + k)}{(1 + \alpha)^{\frac{5}{2}+k}\Gamma(3 + k)} \left[\alpha \left(\frac{3\alpha}{4} + 1\right) {}_2F_1\left(1 + k, \frac{1}{2} + k; 1 + 2k; \frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha}\right) \right. \\ \left. + \alpha(1 + k) {}_2F_1\left(2 + k, \frac{1}{2} + k; 1 + 2k; \frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha}\right) \right. \\ \left. + (1 + k)(2 + k) {}_2F_1\left(3 + k, \frac{1}{2} + k; 1 + 2k; \frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha}\right) \right]. \tag{189} \end{aligned}$$

The hypergeometric functions can be expressed in terms of elementary functions using Equation (A16), leading to

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\bar{z}}{(1 + \bar{z}^2)^{1+k}} {}_2F_1\left(k, 3 + k; 1 + 2k; -\frac{\alpha}{1 + \bar{z}^2}\right) = \frac{\pi}{2\Gamma_k(2 + k)(1 + \sqrt{1 + \alpha})^{2k}} \left[4 + \frac{k(6 + 5\alpha)}{(1 + \alpha)^{3/2}} + \frac{2k^2}{1 + \alpha} \right]. \tag{190}$$

Substituting the above result into Equation (185), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{E+P} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{6\ell} \frac{\cosh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \cosh \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2}}{\sinh^4 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell}} \\ \times \int_0^1 \frac{d\bar{\rho} \bar{\rho}}{(1 - \bar{\rho}^2 \tilde{\Omega}^2)^2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{1 + \sqrt{1 + \alpha}} \right)^{2k} \left[4 + \frac{k(6 + 5\alpha)}{(1 + \alpha)^{3/2}} + \frac{2k^2}{1 + \alpha} \right] \mathcal{P}. \tag{191} \end{aligned}$$

In order to extract the high temperature limit of $V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{E+P}$, first of all we must account for its divergence when $\tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow 1$. This can be achieved by changing the integration variable in Equation (191) from $\bar{\rho}$ to

$$\chi = \alpha \sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell}, \tag{192}$$

where α was introduced in Equation (186). We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{E+P} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{12\ell} \frac{\cosh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \cosh \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2}}{(1 - \tilde{\Omega}^2) \sinh^4 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell}} \int_0^1 d\chi \left(\frac{\sqrt{\chi}}{\sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} + \sqrt{\sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} + \chi}} \right)^{2k} \\ \times \left[4 + \frac{6 \sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} + 5\chi}{(\sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} + \chi)^{3/2}} k \sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} + \frac{2k^2 \sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell}}{\sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} + \chi} \right] \mathcal{P}. \tag{193} \end{aligned}$$

Since we are interested only in the small β_0 behaviour, it is tempting to perform a series expansion of the integrand with respect to β_0 and then integrate order by order. We require terms up to β_0^4 to balance the $\sinh^4 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell}$ factor in the denominator of the factor appearing

in front of the integral. However, the higher order powers of β_0 also introduce negative powers of $\sqrt{\chi}$, making this procedure invalid. While the full integral cannot be computed analytically, we first note that \mathcal{P} (183) admits the following series expansion with respect to β_0 :

$$\mathcal{P} = 3 - \frac{8}{9} \left(\frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \right)^4 \bar{\Omega}^2 (1 - \chi)(1 - \bar{\Omega}^2 \chi) + O(\beta_0^6). \quad (194)$$

The above expansion shows that the dependence of \mathcal{P} on χ comes in only at the fourth order with respect to β_0 . It is not too difficult to observe that the second and third terms in Equation (193) contribute terms of order $\sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell}$, thus for these terms, the $O(\beta_0^4)$ contribution to \mathcal{P} can be neglected. We thus perform the computation in two steps. First, we approximate $\mathcal{P} \simeq 3$ (corresponding to its $\beta_0 = 0$ limit). The χ integral can be performed by switching the integration variable to $\alpha = \chi / \sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell}$ and noting that

$$\begin{aligned} \sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \int_0^{(\sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell})^{-2}} d\alpha \left(\frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{1 + \sqrt{1 + \alpha}} \right)^{2k} \left[4 + \frac{k(6 + 5\alpha)}{(1 + \alpha)^{3/2}} + \frac{2k^2}{1 + \alpha} \right] \\ = 4e^{-jM\beta_0} \left(1 + \frac{k}{2} \tanh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (195)$$

The contribution of the fourth order term in \mathcal{P} can be estimated by setting $\beta_0 = 0$ in the integrand, giving

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 d\chi \left(\frac{\sqrt{\chi}}{\sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} + \sqrt{\sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} + \chi}} \right)^{2k} \\ \times \left[4 + \frac{6 \sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} + 5\chi}{(\sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} + \chi)^{3/2}} k \sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} + \frac{2k^2 \sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell}}{\sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} + \chi} \right] (\mathcal{P} - 3) \\ \simeq -\frac{32}{9} \bar{\Omega}^2 \left(\frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \right)^4 \int_0^1 d\chi (1 - \chi)(1 - \bar{\Omega}^2 \chi) \\ = -\frac{16}{27} \left(\frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \right)^4 \bar{\Omega}^2 (3 - \bar{\Omega}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (196)$$

Substituting the above results into Equation (193) yields

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{E+P} \simeq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{\ell} \frac{\cosh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \cosh \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2}}{(1 - \bar{\Omega}^2) \sinh^4 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell}} \\ \times \left[e^{-jM\beta_0} \left(1 + \frac{k}{2} \tanh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \right) - \frac{4}{81} \left(\frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \right)^4 \bar{\Omega}^2 (3 - \bar{\Omega}^2) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (197)$$

where the $O(\beta_0^6)$ contributions were ignored inside the square brackets. We now perform a small β_0 expansion inside the summand and then calculate the sum term by term to find

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{E+P} = \frac{\ell^3}{1 - \bar{\Omega}^2} \left[\frac{7\pi^4 T_0^4}{45} - 9MT_0^3 \zeta(3) + \frac{\pi^2 T_0^2}{18} \left(6M^2 + \Omega^2 + \frac{R}{12} \right) \right. \\ \left. - \frac{MT_0 \ln 2}{6} \left(4M^2 + 3\Omega^2 + \frac{R}{12} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{6480} \left(-29\Omega^4 + 540M^2\Omega^2 + \frac{177}{6}\Omega^2 R - 45M^2 R - \frac{17}{16}R^2 \right) + O(T_0^{-1}) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (198)$$

Using the volume integral of the SC given in Equation (129), it can be seen that the volume integral $V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^E = \frac{3}{4} V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{E+P} + \frac{M}{4} V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{SC}$ of the energy density can be written as

$$V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^E = V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{E_{RKT}} + \frac{\ell^3}{1 - \bar{\Omega}^2} \left[\frac{\pi^2 T_0^2}{24} \left(\Omega^2 + \frac{R}{12} \right) - \frac{M T_0 \Omega^2}{3} \ln 2 + \frac{1}{8640} \left(-29 \Omega^4 + 360 M^2 \Omega^2 + \frac{177}{6} \Omega^2 R - 90 M^2 R - \frac{17}{16} R^2 \right) + O(T_0^{-1}) \right]. \quad (199)$$

Compared to the RKT prediction $V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{E_{RKT}}$ derived in Equation (53), there are corrections appearing already at order $O(T_0^2)$. The high temperature limit obtained in Equation (199) is validated in Figure 10 by comparison with numerically obtained results for $V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^E = \frac{3}{4} V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{E+P} + \frac{M}{4} V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{SC}$, computed from Equations (123) and (191). Panel (a) validates the large temperature asymptotic, while panel (b) shows that the analytical expression in Equation (199) recovers all leading order terms down to and including the $O(T_0^0)$ term. Finally, panel (c) confirms the divergence with respect to $\bar{\Omega}$ as the limit of critical rotation $\bar{\Omega} \rightarrow 1$ is approached.




Figure 10. (a) Log-log plot of $V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^E = \frac{3}{4} V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{E+P} + \frac{M}{4} V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{SC}$, computed using Equations (123) and (191), as a function of ℓT_0 . (b) Difference $V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^E - V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{E;an}$ as a function of ℓT_0 . (c) $V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^E$ as a function of $(1 - \bar{\Omega})^{-1}$ for various values of k and ℓT_0 . The dashed lines represent the high temperature limit given in Equation (199).

7. Discussion and Conclusions

In this paper we have studied the properties of rotating vacuum and thermal states for free fermions on adS. We restricted our attention to the case when the rotation rate is sufficiently small that no SLS forms. This enabled us to exploit the maximal symmetry of the underlying space-time and use a geometric approach to find the vacuum and thermal two-point functions. We have investigated the properties of thermal states by computing the expectation values of the SC, PC, VC, AC and SET. Our analysis concerns only the case of vanishing chemical potential, leaving the study of finite chemical potential effects for future work.

At the beginning of our work we put forward three questions, which we now address in turn.

1. Is the rotating fermion vacuum state distinct from the global static fermion vacuum on adS?

For a quantum scalar field, the rotating and static vacua coincide irrespective of the angular speed, both on Minkowski and on adS [51]. For a fermion field on unbounded Minkowski space-time, the rotating and static vacua do not coincide. In the situation of small rotation rate Ω considered here, there is no SLS, and the rotating fermion vacuum coincides with the global static vacuum, as we have shown on the basis of the quantisation of energy derived in Ref. [77].

2. Can rigidly-rotating thermal states be defined for fermions on adS?

This question has a simple answer (at least when there is no SLS): yes, and we have constructed these states in this paper. For a quantum scalar field, this question is yet to be explored in the literature, although one might anticipate, in analogy with the situation in Minkowski space-time, that rigidly-rotating thermal states can be defined only when there is no SLS. Similarly, we expect that rigidly-rotating states for fermions can be constructed on adS even when there is an SLS, and plan to address this in future work.

3. What are the properties of these rigidly-rotating states?

Answering this question has been the main focus of our work in this paper. We have considered the situation when the angular speed $|\Omega\ell| \leq 1$ and there is no SLS. In this case there are two competing factors at play. First, static thermal radiation in adS tends to clump away from the boundary [34,36,44]. Second, in Minkowski space-time, the energy density E of rotating thermal radiation increases as the distance from the axis of rotation increases [21]. Our results indicate that at any distance r from the origin, E increases monotonically with the angular velocity Ω . In the limit of critical rotation $\Omega = \ell^{-1}$, the energy density becomes constant in the equatorial plane. A similar conclusion holds for the other quantities considered in this paper, namely the scalar condensate (SC), the axial vortical conductivity σ_A^ω , the circular heat conductivity σ_ϵ^τ and the pressure deviator coefficient Π_1 .

Thus, on adS, we find that the properties of rotating vacuum and thermal states mirror those on Minkowski space-time. In particular:

- (a) The rotating and nonrotating vacua are identical for both scalar and fermion fields in the case where there is no SLS;
- (b) If there is no SLS, rigidly-rotating thermal states can be defined for fermion fields (and presumably also for scalar fields), and these states are regular everywhere in the space-time;
- (c) For sufficiently large temperatures, all quantities (SC, σ_A^ω , E , P , σ_ϵ^τ , Π_1) reproduce the corresponding Minkowski expressions, plus corrections proportional to the Ricci scalar R due to the space-time curvature.

We conjecture that if the angular speed $\Omega\ell > 1$, then rigidly-rotating thermal states cannot be defined for scalar fields, and can be defined for fermion fields, but further analysis is required to answer this definitively.

Taking advantage of the bounded nature of adS, we were able to evaluate the total SC and energy contained inside the adS boundary. Compared to estimates based on relativistic kinetic theory, we highlighted quantum corrections to the high temperature T limit, appearing at next-to-next-to-leading order. We also considered the axial flux $F_A(\bar{z})$ through two-dimensional slices of adS which are orthogonal to the local vorticity vector ω^{μ} , corresponding to constant values of the effective vertical coordinate \bar{z} . By analogy with Minkowski space, the chiral vortical effect induces a nonvanishing axial flux through the equatorial plane. We have shown that in the case of massless fermions, conservation of the axial current requires the axial flux to penetrate the adS boundaries, originating from the southern hemisphere and leaving adS through its northern hemisphere (defined with respect to the orientation of Ω). We were also able to show that for nonvanishing fermion mass, F_A is zero on the adS boundary, which can be understood by noting that timelike geodesics require an infinite time to reach the adS boundary. In this case, the axial flux appearing in the equatorial plane due to the axial vortical effect is converted into a nonvanishing distribution of the pseudoscalar condensate PC , which is antisymmetric with respect to the equatorial plane and integrates to zero over the whole adS volume.

In the introduction to this work, we outlined the analogy between the Unruh effect and the definition of quantum states on static black hole space-times [9–11]. We close our discussion with some thoughts on the analogy between the definition and properties of rigidly-rotating quantum states in Minkowski and adS space-times and those on the corresponding rotating black hole space-times. We consider only the space-time exterior to the black hole event horizon, and posit that the Boulware state [12,13] is analogous to the rigidly-rotating vacuum, while the Hartle-Hawking state [14] will correspond to a rigidly-rotating thermal state.

Let us first consider asymptotically flat rotating Kerr black holes [95] (for which there is always an SLS) and a quantum scalar field. In this case the Hartle-Hawking state does not exist [11,96,97], in accordance with the nonexistence of rigidly-rotating thermal states. Since there is no rigidly-rotating vacuum state distinct from the nonrotating vacuum, one would expect that there is also no Boulware state on Kerr. Indeed, no vacuum state exists on Kerr black holes which is as empty as possible at both future and past null infinity [96].

The situation is markedly different for fermion fields on Kerr black holes [98]. In particular, a “Hartle-Hawking”-like state exists for fermions, and this state is regular close to the event horizon but divergent on the SLS [98], as observed for rigidly-rotating thermal states in unbounded Minkowski space-time [21]. There is also a “Boulware”-like state. This is a vacuum state far from the black hole, which is regular there, but diverges at the stationary limit surface [98], which is the surface at which, due to the rotation of the black hole, an observer can no longer remain at rest relative to the infinity. There is no equivalent to the stationary limit surface for unbounded Minkowski space-time, but nonetheless the analogy between rigidly-rotating states and the situation on the black hole space-time remains pertinent.

With this in mind, what do the results presented here for rotating states in adS imply for asymptotically adS rotating black holes? Although further research is required before we have a complete picture of scalar fields on pure adS, our results for fermion fields are nonetheless suggestive. Rotating Kerr-adS black holes [99,100] do not necessarily have an SLS, depending on their angular speed. If the angular speed of the black hole is sufficiently small so there is no SLS, our pure adS results lead us to conjecture that there will be a well-defined Hartle-Hawking state regular throughout the space-time. This conjecture is in line with studies of the thermodynamics of Kerr-adS black holes in the context of the adS/CFT correspondence (see, for example, [101–103]), when it is shown that if there is no SLS, the Kerr-adS black hole can be in thermal equilibrium with a bath of radiation at the Hawking temperature, and there is an associated state in the boundary CFT. We await future work to examine whether the above conjecture holds.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, V.E.A. and E.W.; methodology, V.E.A.; software, V.E.A.; validation, V.E.A.; formal analysis, V.E.A.; investigation, V.E.A.; resources, N/A; data curation, N/A; writing—original draft preparation, V.E.A. and E.W.; writing—review and editing, V.E.A. and E.W.; visualization, N/A; supervision, E.W.; project administration, N/A; funding acquisition, V.E.A. and E.W. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The work of V.E.A. is supported by a grant from the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research and Innovation, CNCS-UEFISCDI, project number PN-III-P1-1.1-PD-2016-1423. The work of E.W. is supported by the Lancaster-Manchester-Sheffield Consortium for Fundamental Physics under STFC grant ST/T001038/1 and partially supported by the H2020-MSCA-RISE-2017 Grant No. FunFiCO-777740.

Acknowledgments: We thank Stephen A. Fulling for the invitation to contribute to this topical collection. We also thank the organizers and participants in the virtual conference “Acceleration and Radiation: Classical and Quantum, Electromagnetic and Gravitational” for the stimulating presentations and discussion.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Appendix A. Thermal Spinor Traces Involving the Bispinor of Parallel Transport

The following traces are useful for the computation of the scalar and pseudoscalar condensates in Section 4:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{tr}[\Lambda(x, x')] &= \frac{4 \sec \frac{s}{2\ell} \cos \frac{\Delta\bar{t}}{2}}{\sqrt{\cos \bar{r} \cos \bar{r}'}} \left(\cos \frac{\bar{r}}{2} \cos \frac{\bar{r}'}{2} - \cos Y \sin \frac{\bar{r}}{2} \sin \frac{\bar{r}'}{2} \right), \\ \mathrm{tr}[\gamma^{\hat{t}} \gamma^{\hat{z}} \Lambda(x, x')] &= -\frac{4 \sec \frac{s}{2\ell} \sin \frac{\Delta\bar{t}}{2}}{\sqrt{\cos \bar{r} \cos \bar{r}'}} \left(\sin \frac{\bar{r}}{2} \cos \frac{\bar{r}'}{2} \cos \theta + \cos \frac{\bar{r}}{2} \sin \frac{\bar{r}'}{2} \cos \theta' \right), \\ \mathrm{tr}[\gamma^5 \gamma^{\hat{t}} \gamma^{\hat{z}} \Lambda(x, x')] &= \frac{4i \sec \frac{s}{2\ell} \cos \frac{\Delta\bar{t}}{2}}{\sqrt{\cos \bar{r} \cos \bar{r}'}} \sin \frac{\bar{r}}{2} \sin \frac{\bar{r}'}{2} \sin \theta \sin \theta' \sin \Delta\varphi,\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A1})$$

while $\mathrm{tr}[\gamma^5 \Lambda(x, x')] = 0$.

For the computation of the vector (VC) and axial (AC) currents in Section 5, the following traces are required:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{tr}[\gamma^{\hat{t}} \not{\Lambda}(x, x')] &= \frac{4 \operatorname{cosec} \frac{s}{2\ell} \sin \frac{\Delta\bar{t}}{2}}{\sqrt{\cos \bar{r} \cos \bar{r}'}} \left(\cos \frac{\bar{r}}{2} \cos \frac{\bar{r}'}{2} + \cos Y \sin \frac{\bar{r}}{2} \sin \frac{\bar{r}'}{2} \right), \\ \mathrm{tr}[\gamma^{\hat{i}} \not{\Lambda}(x, x')] &= \frac{4 \operatorname{cosec} \frac{s}{2\ell} \cos \frac{\Delta\bar{t}}{2}}{\sqrt{\cos \bar{r} \cos \bar{r}'}} \frac{x^{\hat{i}}}{r} \left(\sin \frac{\bar{r}}{2} \cos \frac{\bar{r}'}{2} - \frac{x^{\hat{i}}}{r'} \cos \frac{\bar{r}}{2} \sin \frac{\bar{r}'}{2} \right), \\ \mathrm{tr}[\gamma^5 \not{\Lambda}(x, x') \gamma^{\hat{i}}] &= \frac{4i \operatorname{cosec} \frac{s}{2\ell} \sin \frac{\Delta\bar{t}}{2}}{\sqrt{\cos \bar{r} \cos \bar{r}'}} \left(\frac{\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{x}'}{rr'} \right)^{\hat{i}} \sin \frac{\bar{r}}{2} \sin \frac{\bar{r}'}{2}, \\ \mathrm{tr}[\gamma^5 \gamma^{\hat{t}} \gamma^{\hat{i}} \not{\Lambda}(x, x') \gamma^{\hat{z}}] &= \frac{4i \operatorname{cosec} \frac{s}{2\ell} \cos \frac{\Delta\bar{t}}{2}}{\sqrt{\cos \bar{r} \cos \bar{r}'}} \varepsilon^{\hat{i}\hat{j}\hat{z}} \left(\frac{x_{\hat{j}}}{r} \sin \frac{\bar{r}}{2} \cos \frac{\bar{r}'}{2} - \frac{x'_{\hat{j}}}{r'} \cos \frac{\bar{r}}{2} \sin \frac{\bar{r}'}{2} \right), \\ \mathrm{tr}[\gamma^{\hat{t}} \gamma^{\hat{i}} \not{\Lambda}(x, x') \gamma^{\hat{z}}] &= \frac{4 \operatorname{cosec} \frac{s}{2\ell} \sin \frac{\Delta\bar{t}}{2}}{\sqrt{\cos \bar{r} \cos \bar{r}'}} \left[\left(\frac{x^{\hat{i}} z' - z x'^{\hat{i}}}{rr'} + \eta^{\hat{i}\hat{z}} \cos Y \right) \sin \frac{\bar{r}}{2} \sin \frac{\bar{r}'}{2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \eta^{\hat{i}\hat{z}} \cos \frac{\bar{r}}{2} \cos \frac{\bar{r}'}{2} \right],\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A2})$$

while $\mathrm{tr}[\gamma^{\hat{t}} \gamma^5 \not{\Lambda}(x, x')] = 0$.

Finally, the computation of the stress-energy tensor (SET) in Section 6, requires the following formulae:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(e^{-\Omega j \beta_0 S^2} \Lambda_j) &= \frac{4 \cosh \frac{j \beta_0}{2\ell} \cosh \frac{\Omega j \beta_0}{2}}{\cos \frac{s_j}{2\ell}}, \\ R_z(i\Omega j \beta_0)^{\hat{\lambda}} \hat{a} \text{tr}(e^{-\Omega j \beta_0 S^2} \gamma_{\hat{\lambda}} \not{\Lambda}_j) &= - \frac{4i \cosh \frac{j \beta_0}{2\ell} \sinh \frac{\Omega j \beta_0}{2}}{\cos \bar{r} \sin \frac{s_j}{2\ell}} \begin{pmatrix} \tanh \frac{j \beta_0}{2\ell} \coth \frac{\Omega j \beta_0}{2} \\ \bar{\rho} \sin \varphi \\ -\bar{\rho} \cos \varphi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_{\hat{a}}, \end{aligned} \tag{A3}$$

where $\bar{\rho} = \sin \bar{r} \sin \theta$.

Appendix B. High Temperature Summation Formulae

In this paper, the computation of thermal expectation values involves a summation over the index j which labels the thermal contour images x_j of the space-time coordinate x . At high temperatures, the summation over this index reduces to expressions of the following type:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{j^\nu} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{\nu-1}}\right) \zeta(\nu), \tag{A4}$$

where the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(\nu)$ is defined by

$$\zeta(\nu) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^\nu}. \tag{A5}$$

Clearly, Equation (A5) is valid only for $\text{Re}(\nu) > 1$, while elsewhere $\zeta(\nu)$ is defined by analytic continuation. In the same spirit, Equation (A4) is strictly valid only when $\nu > 1$, however the limit for $\nu = 1$ can be obtained using

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{\nu-1}}\right) \zeta(\nu) = \ln 2, \tag{A6}$$

which follows after noting that $\zeta(1 + \delta) = \delta^{-1} + O(1)$.

We now compile a number of summation formulae which are useful for performing the calculations presented in the main text. At finite temperature, the summation over j typically involves the function ζ_j introduced in Equation (110), which admits the following large temperature expansion:

$$\zeta_j = \frac{4T^2 \ell^2}{j^2} - \frac{\Gamma^4 \cos^2 \bar{r}}{3} (1 - \bar{\rho}^2 \bar{\Omega}^4) + O(T^{-1}), \tag{A7}$$

where $T = \Gamma \cos \bar{r} / \beta_0$ is the local temperature. For the computation of the scalar (SC) and pseudoscalar (PC) condensates in Section 4, the following summation formulae are required:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{j+1} \zeta_j \cosh \frac{j \beta_0}{2\ell} \cosh \frac{\Omega j \beta_0}{2} &= 2\pi^2 \ell^2 \left[\frac{T^2}{6} + \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \left(3\omega^2 - a^2 - \frac{R}{12} \right) \right] \\ &\quad + O(T^{-1}), \\ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{j+1} \cosh \frac{j \beta_0}{2\ell} \cosh \frac{\Omega j \beta_0}{2} &= \frac{1}{2} + O(T^{-1}), \\ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{j+1} \ln(\zeta_j^{-1/2}) \cosh \frac{j \beta_0}{2\ell} \cosh \frac{\Omega j \beta_0}{2} &= -\frac{1}{2} \ln \pi T \ell. \end{aligned} \tag{A8}$$

For the computation of the large temperature behaviour of the axial vortical conductivity σ_A^ω considered in Section 5, the following summation formulae are useful:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{j+1} \frac{\zeta_j^2 \sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \sinh \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2}}{2\pi^2 \ell^3 \Omega \Gamma^2 \cos^2 \bar{r}} &= \frac{T^2}{6} + \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \left(\omega^2 + 3a + \frac{R}{4} \right) + O(T^{-1}), \\ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{j+1} \frac{\zeta_j \sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \sinh \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2}}{2\pi^2 \ell^3 \Omega \Gamma^2 \cos^2 \bar{r}} &= \frac{1}{4\ell^2 \pi^2} + O(T^{-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{A9}$$

The computation of the high temperature expansion of the axial flux $F_A(\bar{z})$ through slices of constant \bar{z} , discussed also in Section 5, requires the following formulae:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1} \sinh \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2}}{(\sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} - \sinh^2 \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2}) \sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell}} &= \frac{\bar{\Omega}}{1 - \bar{\Omega}^2} \left[\frac{1}{3} (\pi \ell T_0)^2 - \frac{3 + \bar{\Omega}^2}{12} + O(T_0^{-1}) \right], \\ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1} \sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \sinh \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2}}{\sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} - \sinh^2 \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2}} &= \frac{\bar{\Omega}}{2(1 - \bar{\Omega}^2)} + O(T_0^{-1}), \\ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1} \sinh \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} \sinh \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2}}{\sinh^2 \frac{j\beta_0}{2\ell} - \sinh^2 \frac{\Omega j\beta_0}{2}} \ln j &= \frac{\bar{\Omega}}{2(1 - \bar{\Omega}^2)} \ln \frac{\pi}{2} + O(T_0^{-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{A10}$$

Appendix C. Special Functions

The Gauss hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; Z)$ admits the following series representation [63],

$${}_2F_1(a, b; c; Z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n Z^n}{n! (c)_n}, \tag{A11}$$

valid when $|Z| < 1$. At finite mass, the vacuum propagator (54) and its thermal analogue (98) involve the hypergeometric functions ${}_2F_1(k, 2 + k; 1 + 2k; Z)$ and ${}_2F_1(1 + k, 2 + k; 1 + 2k; Z)$ [see Equation (56)]. In this section of the Appendix, we compile a handful of properties of the hypergeometric functions, which are useful for the calculations presented in Sections 4–6.

First, noting that the first two arguments k (or $k + 1$) and $k + 2$ differ by an integer, the expansion for large argument can be performed using the formula [63]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{{}_2F_1(a, a + m; c; Z)}{\Gamma(c)} &= \frac{(-Z)^{-a}}{\Gamma(a + m)} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(a)_k (m - k - 1)!}{k! \Gamma(c - a - k)} Z^{-k} \\ &\quad + \frac{(-Z)^{-a}}{\Gamma(a)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a + m)_k (-1)^k Z^{-k-m}}{k! (k + m)! \Gamma(c - a - k - m)} \\ &\quad \times [\ln(-Z) + \psi(k + 1) + \psi(k + m + 1) - \psi(a + k + m) - \psi(c - a - k - m)], \end{aligned} \tag{A12}$$

where $(a)_k = \Gamma(a + k)/\Gamma(a)$ is the Pochhammer symbol and the digamma function $\psi(Z)$ satisfies

$$\psi(Z) = \frac{d \ln \Gamma(Z)}{dZ}, \quad \psi(1 + Z) = \psi(Z) + Z^{-1}, \quad \psi(1) = -\mathcal{C}, \quad \mathcal{C} \simeq 0.577, \tag{A13}$$

where we used \mathcal{C} to denote the Euler-Mascheroni constant.

Next, the computation of volume integrals requires a representation of the hypergeometric functions which is convergent for both small and large values of Z . In this case, the following formula is useful [63]:

$${}_2F_1(a, b; c; Z) = (1 - Z)^{-a} {}_2F_1\left(a, c - b; c; \frac{Z}{Z - 1}\right), \tag{A14}$$

taking into account that $Z = -\zeta_j < 0$ in the applications relevant to this paper.

In the computation of the volume integral $V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{\text{SC}}$ of the SC in Section 4, the following identity is useful [63]:

$${}_2F_1\left(a, \frac{1}{2} + a; 1 + 2a; Z\right) = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1-Z}\right)^{-2a}. \quad (\text{A15})$$

Furthermore, the computation of $V_{\beta_0, \Omega}^{E+P}$ in Section 6 requires the identities

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2F_1\left(1+k, \frac{1}{2}+k; 1+2k; \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right) &= 4^k \frac{(1+\alpha)^{\frac{1}{2}+k}}{(1+\sqrt{1+\alpha})^{2k}}, \\ {}_2F_1\left(2+k, \frac{1}{2}+k; 1+2k; \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right) &= \frac{4^k}{2(1+k)} \frac{(1+\alpha)^{1+k}}{(1+\sqrt{1+\alpha})^{2k}} \left(\frac{2+\alpha}{\sqrt{1+\alpha}} + 2k\right), \\ {}_2F_1\left(3+k, \frac{1}{2}+k; 1+2k; \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right) &= \frac{4^k}{4(1+k)(2+k)} \frac{(1+\alpha)^{\frac{3}{2}+k}}{(1+\sqrt{1+\alpha})^{2k}} \\ &\quad \times \left[\frac{8+8\alpha+3\alpha^2}{1+\alpha} + \frac{6k(2+\alpha)}{\sqrt{1+\alpha}} + 4k^2\right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A16})$$

which can be derived from Equation (A15) by applying the the following recurrence relation [63]:

$$\left(Z \frac{d}{dZ} Z\right)^n [Z^{a-1} {}_2F_1(a, b; c; Z)] = (a)_n Z^{a+n-1} {}_2F_1(a+n, b; c; Z). \quad (\text{A17})$$

In the RKT approach presented in Section 2.4, the following small argument expansion of the modified Bessel functions $K_n(Z)$ of integer argument n is useful [63]:

$$\begin{aligned} K_n(Z) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{Z}{2}\right)^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(n-k-1)!}{k!} \left(-\frac{Z^2}{4}\right)^k + (-1)^{n+1} I_n(Z) \ln \frac{Z}{2} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{Z}{2}\right)^n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [\psi(k+1) + \psi(n+k+1)] \frac{(Z^2/4)^k}{k!(n+k)!}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A18})$$

where the modified Bessel function of the first kind $I_\nu(Z)$ is defined in terms of the usual Gamma function $\Gamma(Z)$ via

$$I_\nu(Z) = \left(\frac{Z}{2}\right)^\nu \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(Z^2/4)^k}{k! \Gamma(\nu+k+1)}, \quad (\text{A19})$$

while the digamma function $\psi(Z)$ is introduced in Equation (A13).

References

1. Fulling, S.A. Nonuniqueness of canonical field quantization in Riemannian space-time. *Phys. Rev. D* **1973**, *7*, 2850–2862. [CrossRef]
2. Davies, P. Scalar particle production in Schwarzschild and Rindler metrics. *J. Phys. A* **1975**, *8*, 609–616. [CrossRef]
3. Unruh, W. Notes on black hole evaporation. *Phys. Rev. D* **1976**, *14*, 870–892. [CrossRef]
4. Crispino, L.C.; Higuchi, A.; Matsas, G.E. The Unruh effect and its applications. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **2008**, *80*, 787–838. [CrossRef]
5. Fulling, S.; Matsas, G. Unruh effect. *Scholarpedia* **2014**, *9*, 31789. [CrossRef]
6. Candelas, P.; Deutsch, D. Fermion fields in accelerated states. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **1978**, *362*, 251–262. [CrossRef]
7. Bisognano, J.; Wichmann, E. On the duality condition for a Hermitian scalar field. *J. Math. Phys.* **1975**, *16*, 985–1007. [CrossRef]
8. Bisognano, J.; Wichmann, E. On the duality condition for quantum fields. *J. Math. Phys.* **1976**, *17*, 303–321. [CrossRef]
9. Sewell, G.L. Quantum fields on manifolds: PCT and gravitationally induced thermal states. *Ann. Phys.* **1982**, *141*, 201–224. [CrossRef]
10. Kay, B.S. The double wedge algebra for quantum fields on Schwarzschild and Minkowski space-times. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **1985**, *100*, 57–81. [CrossRef]

11. Kay, B.S.; Wald, R.M. Theorems on the uniqueness and thermal properties of stationary, nonsingular, quasifree states on space-times with a bifurcate Killing horizon. *Phys. Rep.* **1991**, *207*, 49–136. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Boulware, D.G. Quantum field theory in Schwarzschild and Rindler spaces. *Phys. Rev. D* **1975**, *11*, 1404–1423. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Boulware, D.G. Spin 1/2 quantum field theory in Schwarzschild space. *Phys. Rev. D* **1975**, *12*, 350–367. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Hartle, J.; Hawking, S. Path integral derivation of black hole radiance. *Phys. Rev. D* **1976**, *13*, 2188–2203. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Hawking, S. Black hole explosions. *Nature* **1974**, *248*, 30–31. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Hawking, S. Particle creation by black holes. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **1975**, *43*, 199–220. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Denardo, G.; Percacci, R. Quantum field theory for a rotating observer. *Nuovo Cim. B* **1978**, *48*, 81–89. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Letaw, J.R.; Pfautsch, J.D. Quantized scalar field in rotating coordinates. *Phys. Rev. D* **1980**, *22*, 1345–1351. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Vilenkin, A. Quantum field theory at finite temperature in a rotating system. *Phys. Rev. D* **1980**, *21*, 2260–2269. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Iyer, B.R. Dirac field theory in rotating coordinates. *Phys. Rev. D* **1982**, *26*, 1900–1905. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Ambruş, V.E.; Winstanley, E. Rotating quantum states. *Phys. Lett. B* **2014**, *734*, 296–301. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Duffy, G.; Ottewill, A.C. The rotating quantum thermal distribution. *Phys. Rev. D* **2003**, *67*, 044002. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Kharzeev, D.E.; Liao, J.; Voloshin, S.A.; Wang, G. Chiral magnetic and vortical effects in high-energy nuclear collisions—A status report. *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **2016**, *88*, 1–28. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Landsteiner, K.; Megias, E.; Melgar, L.; Pena-Benitez, F. Holographic gravitational anomaly and chiral vortical effect. *J. High Energy Phys.* **2011**, *9*, 121. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Son, D.T.; Surowka, P. Hydrodynamics with triangle anomalies. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2009**, *103*, 191601. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Ambruş, V.E.; Winstanley, E. Rotating fermions inside a cylindrical boundary. *Phys. Rev. D* **2016**, *93*, 104014. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Zhang, Z.; Shi, C.; Luo, X.; Zong, H.S. Rotating fermions inside a spherical boundary. *Phys. Rev. D* **2020**, *102*, 065002. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Avis, S.; Isham, C.; Storey, D. Quantum field theory in anti-de Sitter space-time. *Phys. Rev. D* **1978**, *18*, 3565–3576. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Benini, M.; Dappiaggi, C.; Schenkel, A. Algebraic quantum field theory on spacetimes with timelike boundary. *Annales Henri Poincaré* **2018**, *19*, 2401–2433. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Dappiaggi, C.; Ferreira, H.R.C. Hadamard states for a scalar field in anti-de Sitter spacetime with arbitrary boundary conditions. *Phys. Rev. D* **2016**, *94*, 125016. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Dappiaggi, C.; Ferreira, H.R.C. On the algebraic quantization of a massive scalar field in anti-de Sitter spacetime. *Rev. Math. Phys.* **2017**, *30*, 1850004. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Dappiaggi, C.; Ferreira, H.R.C.; Juarez-Aubry, B.A. Mode solutions for a Klein-Gordon field in anti-de Sitter spacetime with dynamical boundary conditions of Wentzell type. *Phys. Rev. D* **2018**, *97*, 085022. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Dappiaggi, C.; Ferreira, H.; Marta, A. Ground states of a Klein-Gordon field with Robin boundary conditions in global anti-de Sitter spacetime. *Phys. Rev. D* **2018**, *98*, 25005. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Allen, B.; Folacci, A.; Gibbons, G.W. Anti-de Sitter space at finite temperature. *Phys. Lett. B* **1987**, *189*, 304–310. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Kent, C.; Winstanley, E. Hadamard renormalized scalar field theory on anti-de Sitter spacetime. *Phys. Rev. D* **2015**, *91*, 044044. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Ambruş, V.E.; Kent, C.; Winstanley, E. Analysis of scalar and fermion quantum field theory on anti-de Sitter spacetime. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **2018**, *27*, 1843014. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Allen, B.; Jacobson, T. Vector two point functions in maximally symmetric spaces. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **1986**, *103*, 669–692. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Pitelli, J.P.M. Comment on “Hadamard states for a scalar field in anti-de Sitter spacetime with arbitrary boundary conditions”. *Phys. Rev. D* **2019**, *99*, 108701. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Barroso, V.S.; Pitelli, J. Boundary conditions and vacuum fluctuations in AdS₄. *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **2020**, *52*, 29. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Morley, T.; Taylor, P.; Winstanley, E. Quantum field theory on global anti-de Sitter space-time with Robin boundary conditions. *Class. Quant. Grav.* **2021**, *38*, 035009. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Allen, B.; Lutken, C.A. Spinor two point functions in maximally symmetric spaces. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **1986**, *106*, 201–210. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Ambruş, V.E.; Winstanley, E. Renormalised fermion vacuum expectation values on anti-de Sitter space-time. *Phys. Lett. B* **2015**, *749*, 597–602. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. Belokogne, A.; Folacci, A.; Queva, J. Stueckelberg massive electromagnetism in de Sitter and anti-de Sitter spacetimes: Two-point functions and renormalized stress-energy tensors. *Phys. Rev. D* **2016**, *94*, 105028. [[CrossRef](#)]
44. Ambruş, V.E.; Winstanley, E. Thermal expectation values of fermions on anti-de Sitter space-time. *Class. Quant. Grav.* **2017**, *34*, 145010. [[CrossRef](#)]
45. Burgess, C.P.; Lutken, C.A. Propagators and effective potentials in anti-de Sitter Space. *Phys. Lett. B* **1985**, *153*, 137–141. [[CrossRef](#)]
46. Caldarelli, M.M. Quantum scalar fields on anti-de Sitter space-time. *Nucl. Phys. B* **1999**, *549*, 499–515. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. Camporesi, R. Zeta function regularization of one loop effective potentials in anti-de Sitter space-time. *Phys. Rev. D* **1991**, *43*, 3958–3965. [[CrossRef](#)]
48. Camporesi, R. The spinor heat kernel in maximally symmetric spaces. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **1992**, *148*, 283–308. [[CrossRef](#)]
49. Camporesi, R.; Higuchi, A. Stress energy tensors in anti-de Sitter space-time. *Phys. Rev. D* **1992**, *45*, 3591–3603. [[CrossRef](#)]
50. Ambruş, V.E.; Winstanley, E. Quantum corrections in thermal states of fermions on anti-de Sitter space-time. *AIP Conf. Proc.* **2017**, *1916*, 020005. [[CrossRef](#)]

51. Kent, C.; Winstanley, E. The global rotating scalar field vacuum on anti-de Sitter space–time. *Phys. Lett. B* **2015**, *740*, 188–191. [[CrossRef](#)]
52. Aharony, O.; Gubser, S.S.; Maldacena, J.M.; Ooguri, H.; Oz, Y. Large N field theories, string theory and gravity. *Phys. Rep.* **2000**, *323*, 183–386. [[CrossRef](#)]
53. Hartnoll, S.A. Lectures on holographic methods for condensed matter physics. *Class. Quant. Grav.* **2009**, *26*, 224002. [[CrossRef](#)]
54. Flachi, A.; Fukushima, K. Chiral mass-gap in curved space. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2014**, *113*, 091102. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
55. Ambruş, V.E.; Winstanley, E. Dirac fermions on an anti-de Sitter background. *AIP Conf. Proc.* **2015**, *1634*, 40–49. [[CrossRef](#)]
56. Ambruş, V.E. Fermion condensation under rotation on anti-de Sitter space. *Acta Phys. Polon. Supp.* **2020**, *13*, 199–202. [[CrossRef](#)]
57. Cotaescu, I.I. Dirac fermions in de Sitter and anti-de Sitter backgrounds. *Rom. J. Phys.* **2007**, *52*, 895–940.
58. Brill, D.R.; Wheeler, J.A. Interaction of neutrinos and gravitational fields. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **1957**, *29*, 465–479. [[CrossRef](#)]
59. Cercignani, C.; Kremer, G.M. *The Relativistic Boltzmann Equation: Theory and Applications*; Birkhäuser Verlag: Basel, Switzerland, 2002.
60. Ambruş, V.E.; Cotaescu, I.I. Maxwell–Jüttner distribution for rigidly rotating flows in spherically symmetric spacetimes using the tetrad formalism. *Phys. Rev. D* **2016**, *94*, 085022. [[CrossRef](#)]
61. Ambruş, V.E. Helical massive fermions under rotation. *J. High Energy Phys.* **2020**, *8*, 16. [[CrossRef](#)]
62. Gradshteyn, I.S.; Ryzhik, I.M. *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2014.
63. Olver, F.W.J.; Olde Daalhuis, A.B. Lozier, D.W.; Schneider, B.I.; Boisvert, R.F.; Clark, C.W.; Miller, B.R.; Saunders, B.V.; Cohl, H.S.; McClain, M.A. (Eds.) NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions. Available online: <http://dlmf.nist.gov/> (accessed on 15 September 2021).
64. Mück, W. Spinor parallel propagator and Green’s function in maximally symmetric spaces. *J. Phys. A* **2000**, *33*, 3021–3026. [[CrossRef](#)]
65. Chernodub, M.N. Inhomogeneous confining–deconfining phases in rotating plasmas. *Phys. Rev. D* **2021**, *103*, 054027. [[CrossRef](#)]
66. Ayala, A.; Hernández, L.A.; Raya, K.; Zamora, R. Fermion propagator in a rotating environment. *Phys. Rev. D* **2021**, *103*, 076021. [[CrossRef](#)]
67. Brown, L.S.; Maclay, G.J. Vacuum stress between conducting plates: An image solution. *Phys. Rev.* **1969**, *184*, 1272–1279. [[CrossRef](#)]
68. Birrell, N.; Davies, P. *Quantum Fields in Curved Space*; Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 1984. [[CrossRef](#)]
69. Kapusta, J.I.; Landshoff, P. Finite temperature field theory. *J. Phys. G* **1989**, *15*, 267–285. [[CrossRef](#)]
70. Becattini, F. Covariant statistical mechanics and the stress–energy tensor. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2012**, *108*, 244502. [[CrossRef](#)]
71. Panerai, R. Global equilibrium and local thermodynamics in stationary spacetimes. *Phys. Rev. D* **2016**, *93*, 104021. [[CrossRef](#)]
72. Cotaescu, I.I. External symmetry in general relativity. *J. Phys. A* **2000**, *33*, 9177–9192. [[CrossRef](#)]
73. Laine, M.; Vuorinen, A. *Basics of Thermal Field Theory*; Lecture Notes in Physics; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2016; Volume 925. [[CrossRef](#)]
74. Mallik, S.; Sarkar, S. *Hadrons at Finite Temperature*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2016. [[CrossRef](#)]
75. Nicolaevici, N. Null response of uniformly rotating Unruh detectors in bounded regions. *Class. Quant. Grav.* **2001**, *18*, 5407–5411. [[CrossRef](#)]
76. Ambruş, V.E. Rigidly–rotating quantum thermal states in bounded systems. In Proceedings of the 15th Marcel Grossmann Meeting on Recent Developments in Theoretical and Experimental General Relativity, Astrophysics, and Relativistic Field Theories, Rome, Italy, 1–7 July 2018.
77. Cotaescu, I.I. The Dirac particle on central backgrounds and the anti-de Sitter oscillator. *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **1998**, *13*, 2923–2936. [[CrossRef](#)]
78. Groves, P.B.; Anderson, P.R.; Carlson, E.D. Method to compute the stress energy tensor for the massless spin 1/2 field in a general static spherically symmetric space–time. *Phys. Rev. D* **2002**, *66*, 124017. [[CrossRef](#)]
79. Ambruş, V.E.; Winstanley, E. Exact solutions in quantum field theory under rotation. In *Strongly Interacting Matter under Rotation*; Becattini, F., Liao, J., Lisa, M., Eds.; Lecture Notes in Physics; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2021; Volume 987.
80. Buzzegoli, M.; Becattini, F. General thermodynamic equilibrium with axial chemical potential for the free Dirac field. *J. High Energy Phys.* **2018**, *12*, 2. [[CrossRef](#)]
81. Prokhorov, G.Y.; Teryaev, O.V.; Zakharov, V.I. Effects of rotation and acceleration in the axial current: Density operator vs Wigner function. *J. High Energy Phys.* **2019**, *2*, 146. [[CrossRef](#)]
82. Lin, S.; Yang, L. Mass correction to chiral vortical effect and chiral separation effect. *Phys. Rev. D* **2018**, *98*, 114022. [[CrossRef](#)]
83. Buzzegoli, M.; Becattini, F. General equilibrium second–order hydrodynamic coefficients for free quantum fields. *J. High Energy Phys.* **2017**, *10*, 091. [[CrossRef](#)]
84. Flachi, A.; Fukushima, K. Chiral vortical effect with finite rotation, temperature, and curvature. *Phys. Rev. D* **2018**, *98*, 096011. [[CrossRef](#)]
85. Hawking, S.W.; Ellis, G.F.R. *The Large Scale Structure of Space–Time*; Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2011. [[CrossRef](#)]
86. Ván, P.; Biró, T.S. First order and stable relativistic dissipative hydrodynamics. *Phys. Lett. B* **2012**, *709*, 106–110. [[CrossRef](#)]
87. Ván, P.; Biró, T.S. Dissipation flow–frames: Particle, energy, thermometer. In Proceedings of the 12th Joint European Thermodynamics Conference, Brescia, Italy, 1–5 July 2013; pp. 546–551.

88. Landsteiner, K.; Megías, E.; Pena-Benitez, F. Anomalous transport from Kubo formulae. In *Strongly Interacting Matter in Magnetic Fields*; Kharzeev, D., Landsteiner, K., Schmitt, A., Yee, H.U., Eds.; Lecture Notes in Physics; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2013; Volume 871, pp. 433–468.
89. Becattini, F.; Bucciantini, L.; Grossi, E.; Tinti, L. Local thermodynamical equilibrium and the β -frame for a quantum relativistic fluid. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **2015**, *75*, 191. [[CrossRef](#)]
90. Prokhorov, G.Y.; Teryaev, O.V.; Zakharov, V.I. Calculation of acceleration effects using the Zubarev density operator. *Particles* **2020**, *3*, 1–14. [[CrossRef](#)]
91. Prokhorov, G.Y.; Teryaev, O.V.; Zakharov, V.I. Unruh effect universality: Emergent conical geometry from density operator. *J. High Energy Phys.* **2020**, *03*, 137. [[CrossRef](#)]
92. Becattini, F. Thermodynamic equilibrium with acceleration and the Unruh effect. *Phys. Rev. D* **2018**, *97*, 085013. [[CrossRef](#)]
93. Palermo, A.; Buzzegoli, M.; Becattini, F. Exact equilibrium distributions in statistical quantum field theory with rotation and acceleration: Dirac field. *arXiv* **2021**, arXiv:2106.08340.
94. Chernodub, M.; Corianò, C.; Maglio, M.M. Anomalous gravitational TTT vertex, temperature inhomogeneity, and pressure anisotropy. *Phys. Lett. B* **2020**, *802*, 135236. [[CrossRef](#)]
95. Kerr, R.P. Gravitational field of a spinning mass as an example of algebraically special metrics. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1963**, *11*, 237–238. [[CrossRef](#)]
96. Ottewill, A.C.; Winstanley, E. The renormalized stress tensor in Kerr space-time: General results. *Phys. Rev. D* **2000**, *62*, 84018. [[CrossRef](#)]
97. Ottewill, A.C.; Winstanley, E. Divergence of a quantum thermal state on Kerr space-time. *Phys. Lett. A* **2000**, *273*, 149–152. [[CrossRef](#)]
98. Casals, M.; Dolan, S.R.; Nolan, B.C.; Ottewill, A.C.; Winstanley, E. Quantization of fermions on Kerr space-time. *Phys. Rev. D* **2013**, *87*, 064027. [[CrossRef](#)]
99. Carter, B. Hamilton-Jacobi and Schrodinger separable solutions of Einstein's equations. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **1968**, *10*, 280–310. [[CrossRef](#)]
100. Plebanski, J.; Demianski, M. Rotating, charged, and uniformly accelerating mass in general relativity. *Ann. Phys.* **1976**, *98*, 98–127. [[CrossRef](#)]
101. Caldarelli, M.M.; Cognola, G.; Klemm, D. Thermodynamics of Kerr-Newman-AdS black holes and conformal field theories. *Class. Quant. Grav.* **2000**, *17*, 399–420. [[CrossRef](#)]
102. Hawking, S.; Hunter, C.; Taylor, M. Rotation and the AdS / CFT correspondence. *Phys. Rev. D* **1999**, *59*, 064005. [[CrossRef](#)]
103. Hawking, S.; Reall, H. Charged and rotating AdS black holes and their CFT duals. *Phys. Rev. D* **2000**, *61*, 024014. [[CrossRef](#)]