



Deposited via The University of Leeds.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/178794/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Harrison, G, Grant-Muller, SM and Hodgson, FC (2021) A review of transport-health system dynamics models. *Journal of Transport & Health*, 22. 101138. ISSN: 2214-1405

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jth.2021.101138>

© 2021, Elsevier. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

A Review of Transport-Health System Dynamics Models

Abstract

Introduction

The impacts of transport on health have been extensively studied, yet there has been less focus on the complex interactions that exist within the transport-health sphere. We adopt a System Dynamics (SD) approach to addressing the research question “*Where are the trade-offs and synergies between different types of health impacts within the transport-health system?*”, which is in recognition of the problem that policies designed to influence health outcomes related to one form of transport impact may also influence other health outcomes and transport impacts.

Methods

To begin, we carry out a literature review of existing SD studies of transport-health, identifying 23 that have been published, of which six explicitly cover multiple transport impacts. We then combine key concepts of these studies to create a generalised causal loop diagram (CLD) that addresses the research question.

Results

We develop a CLD for exploring the trade-offs within transport-health. In doing so, we find that not all existing studies define health outcomes (eg morbidity or mortality) and few cover both transport and health mechanisms in detail. As well as improving the detail on mobility behaviours, transport impacts and health outcomes, we also identify a need for this approach to consider the influence of new data and technologies on transport-health.

Conclusions

Although this paper presents a qualitative model, this is the first step towards a quantitative model, and nonetheless, in itself may be used to better understand the system and scenarios, and contribute to more robust transport-health impact assessments for policy making.

Keywords: *transport-health, system dynamics, causal loop diagram, policy trade-offs*

1. Introduction

Although the connections between transport and health may have been recognised for centuries, the complexities of these from a cross-sectoral and multi-disciplinary viewpoint have only been studied since the mid-20th century (Widener and Hatzopoulou, 2016), alongside growing mobility and dominance of personal motorised vehicles. Transport is fundamental to economic and social development (Nieuwenhuijsen et al., 2016), but both direct and indirect health impacts can be relatively large and disproportionately focused on certain sub-populations (eg those with pre-existing conditions, particular areas, often associated with deprivation), though given less consideration than conventional transport impacts in policy strategies (Litman, 2013). In urban areas, 20% of all-cause mortality could be prevented by compliance with international recommendations regarding amount of physical activity, environmental exposure and access to green space related to transport (Mueller et al., 2017). Research into transport-health is diverse and broad (Widener and Hatzopoulou, 2016). Although these studies may focus on details of specific aspects of transport-health (eg pollution, physical activity, safety, security, disease diffusion, mental health and well-being), complex conflicts

45 and trade-offs may exist within the wider transport system (Grant-Muller, 2017). Understanding of
46 these is required to ensure research is correctly contextualised and policies can be positioned within
47 a wider holistic framework.

48 In our study we propose a System Dynamics (SD) approach to capturing these complexities. This
49 modelling method will allow generalised study of the many connections, trade-offs and synergies in a
50 transport-health system, as well as more detailed study of specific aspects within the system. In this
51 way, policy scenarios may be simulated and assessed in terms of specific impacts while considering all
52 aspects within transport and health equally.

53 The research objectives of this study are thus two-fold. We first of all review existing studies applying
54 SD to ask what are the similarities and differences between these existing models, as well as
55 identifying where research gaps may exist that aren't addressed. We then seek to combine these to
56 create a generalised Causal Loop Diagram (CLD) that addresses the research question of "*Where are
57 the trade-offs and synergies between different types of health impacts within the transport-health
58 system?*". This is in recognition of the problem that policies designed to influence health outcomes
59 related to one form of transport impact may also influence other health outcomes and transport
60 impacts. We acknowledge that this research question has been heavily addressed in previous
61 literature (see for example (Litman, 2013, Stankov et al., 2020, Khreis et al., 2019)), but will
62 demonstrate not only the contribution that SD could make to this field but also that those existing SD
63 models that attempt to do so may lack some fundamental features for answering our research
64 question. For the purpose of our work we aim to adhere to the WHO definition of health as a "*a state
65 of complete physical, mental and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity*"
66 (WHO, 1946), and are interested in identifying measureable health outcomes (ie morbidity or
67 mortality), which may sometimes be seen as exogenous to the system, as well as endogenous risk
68 factors from transport associated with these.

69 The remainder of this paper is set out as follows. In the following section (2) we present a general
70 background to transport-health modelling and in particular set out the contribution that a SD
71 approach could make. We then (Section 3) describe our methodological approach, which involves a
72 thematic literature review of SD studies of transport-health and constructing a CLD from those
73 findings. Our review is in the next section (4), describing the findings, and then we present our
74 combined CLD in Section 5. We offer a critical reflection on our findings in Section 6 and in the final
75 section (7) are our conclusions to the paper, including considerations of future work.

76

77 **2. Background**

78

79 There is no shortage of models of transport systems, employing various methodologies and
80 approaches. They do however tend to each focus on one particular aspect or impact of transport.
81 Although (quantitative) Health Impact Assessments (HIA) are seen as important in decision making,
82 integration into transport planning processes is rare (Nieuwenhuijsen et al., 2020), but increasing
83 (Nieuwenhuijsen et al., 2017), though methods vary widely (Waheed et al., 2018). This said, HIA's have
84 been most commonly used in the transport sector in Europe, followed by housing/urban planning
85 (Blau et al., 2006). Although numerous quantitative transport related HIA models exist (which
86 generally follow comparative risk assessment approach – see for example: (Mueller et al., 2017,
87 Stevenson et al., 2016, Woodcock et al., 2009, Kahlmeier et al., 2017), or further detail in
88 Nieuwenhuijsen et al. (2020)), they generally have only been applied as case-study examples within
89 research projects, rather than for practical policy application.

90 Nieuwenhuijsen et al. (2017) advocates for "*novel participatory integrated full-chain HIA models,
91 methods and tools*", as no such model currently exists. Although our approach, SD, is not an HIA as
92 such, it could contribute to their proposed framework. They advocate the merits of both separate and

93 co-existing qualitative and quantitative approaches, which SD already incorporates, and recognise that
94 HIA's face a similar key challenge to SD modelling – namely obtaining high quality (and especially
95 baseline) data. In further support to this, Litman (2013) advocated for better models of travel activity
96 alongside investigation of the impacts on all aspects of public health, Stankov et al. (2020) recognise
97 the potential of systems-based simulation models in identifying effective policy outcomes and
98 Widener and Hatzopoulou (2016) suggest that taking a systems perspective may reveal innovative
99 research agendas.

100

101 **2.1. Overview of System Dynamic modelling in a transport-health context**


102 Both transport and public health are complex dynamic systems, making System Dynamics (SD) an ideal
103 approach for understanding the feedbacks that exist within them, and consider improvements that
104 can be made within the system. SD is a method of capturing behaviours and understanding change of
105 complex systems over time, combining both qualitative systems thinking (Meadows, 2008) and
106 quantitative dynamic simulation modelling approaches. An SD model is a computer simulation of a
107 system of inter-connected equations (Dekker, 2017), developed from Causal Loop Diagrams (CLDs –
108 2.2.2) and Stock Flow Models (SFM – 2.2.3), which captures key variables, feedback loops, stocks &
109 flows and system delays. It can be applied to a range of problems, including business operations, public
110 policy and global issues. For a brief overview of SD see SDS (2020), system concepts in the context of
111 policy making see Sterman (2006), or for more in-depth description we recommend the text book of
112 John Sterman (2000a). In the following sections we will describe some key elements of SD
113 methodology and brief overviews of its use in both transport and health studies.

114 *2.1.1. The Modelling Process*

115 As set out in Figure 1, the modelling process (Sterman, 2000b) begins with identification of a problem
116 followed by the qualitative development of the theory on how the problem arises. The Problem
117 Articulation step establishes the purpose of the model, requiring determination of boundaries, time
118 horizons and key variables, as well as identification of behavioural trends that describe the problem
119 being addressed. Best practise in this area requires engagement with stakeholders/decision-makers,
120 statement of purpose, identification of reference modes and current behaviours (Martinez-Moyano
121 and Richardson, 2013). Within the Dynamic Hypothesis step we seek to develop theories that explain
122 the problematic system behaviours, developing visual representations of these causal structures
123 called Causal Loop Diagrams (CLD) or Stock Flow Models (SFM). Through developing an endogenous
124 explanation of these behaviours, one can begin to consider policy solutions and exogenous influences
125 that may solve the problem. Martinez-Moyano and Richardson (2013) refer to this as System
126 Conceptualisation and for it to be exemplary it should be approached creatively (from multiple angles)
127 and be focused on stakeholders mental models. It is these first two stages that we are concerned with
128 in this study. In our case, the Problem Articulation is defined by our research question, "*Where are the*
129 *trade-offs and synergies between different types of health impacts within the transport-health*
130 *system?*". The need to identify and understand cross-sectoral impacts of transport schemes was
131 recognised by (Grant-Muller, 2017) giving us our model purpose (focusing specifically on transport-
132 health), and we build on this by carrying out a literature review of related studies that allows us to
133 position that question in terms of the most important variables, behaviours and possible time
134 horizons. The Dynamic Hypothesis is also achieved through this literature review, via the identification
135 of both endogenous and exogenous variables within the defined boundary of a system and
136 establishing the qualitative relationships and feedbacks that exist between these to create a CLD. In
137 the Formulation step, a system of coupled equations based on the SFM is developed to create a
138 quantified computer simulation of the system and may have a more detailed range of both exogenous
139 and endogenous variables than the CLD or SFM. Testing may begin alongside Formulation, and is
140 concerned with the verification and validation of the model (eg through assessment of model

141 structure or behaviour and calibration against historic data), Once there is confidence in the model, it
142 can then be used to design and evaluate policies.

143



144

145 *Figure 1: The SD modelling process (Sterman, 2000b) highlighting the step of focus in this study*

146

147 2.1.2. Causal Loop Diagrams (CLD)

148 In a CLD, the polarity of the relationships between variables refers to the effect that one variable has
149 on another. A positive (“+”) link means that a change in one variable leads to a change *in the same*
150 *direction* to the next variable, i.e. an increase (or decrease) in the initial variable leads to a
151 corresponding increase (or decrease) in the other. On the other hand, a negative (“-“) link means that
152 a change in one variable leads to a change *in the opposite direction* in the next variable. These links
153 are then considered together as closed feedback ‘loops’: a chain of individual linked variables that
154 start and end with the same variable, and do not contain the same variable twice. The polarity of links
155 within variables are important, as if there are no, or an even number, of negative links within a loop
156 then the whole loop is considered ‘reinforcing’ (R) and inevitably leads to exponential growth (or
157 decline) within a system, unless it is controlled by a ‘balancing’ (B) loop. This loop contains at least
158 one, or an odd number, of negative links and is generally expected to create oscillating behaviour
159 towards equilibrium. However, it is the relative strength of interacting reinforcing and balancing loops
160 within the system that defines overall behaviour. System archetypes (generic structures of feedback
161 loops) represent common behaviour patterns (such as goal-seeking, specific forms of growth or
162 collapse), for example: “Underachievement”, “Out of Control”, “Relative Achievement” or “Relative
163 Control” (Wolstenholme, 2003). These are useful in assessing if a policy intervention might be
164 successful, or have unintended consequences. Thus, although a CLD is qualitative, and may not
165 explicitly include every variable (as this could distract from key feedback mechanisms), it is possible
166 to have an appreciation of the system effects from a change in any input variable. Furthermore, it is
167 possible to consider time-lags (eg delays in effect compared to other system links) and relative
168 magnitude of effects, when considering the links. This can be based on known evidence-based
169 quantitative relationships or evidence, or from qualitative understanding. A CLD is useful for
170 communicating simplified mental models that demonstrate causal relationships to policy decision
171 makers.

172 2.1.3. Stock Flow Models (SFM)

173 A SFM may be developed from a CLD or directly from mental modes. Here, the fundamental features
174 to capture are the stocks and flows of the system. Alongside feedbacks, these are central to dynamic
175 systems theory. Stocks are accumulations of key entities that characterise a system at any point in
176 time, providing inertia and memory and decoupling rates of flow to create disequilibrium dynamics.
177 The SFM is the basis for the simulation model, and will be more comprehensive and detailed than a
178 CLD. The simulation model, once calibrated, is used to assess sensitivities to key variables and run
179 scenarios for impact comparison that can be used to inform policy decision making.

180 2.1.4. System Dynamics in Transport Studies

181 SD is well suited for application to transport problems offering numerous advantages over traditional
182 approaches (Abbas and Bell, 1994). Shepherd (2014) categorised transport SD papers into various
183 areas of application. Although a number of transport SD models consider the optimisation of fleet

184 logistics, the largest area was strategic policy, mainly related to studying policy scenarios for improving
 185 the sustainability of transport. These models can be land-use interaction models, such as the MARS
 186 model (Pfaffenbichler et al., 2008), which has been applied across many different city regions and
 187 outputs have been used to calculate transport safety indicators as part of a wider sustainability
 188 analysis (López et al., 2012). Including MARS, many models focus on environmental, emissions or
 189 energy impacts, for example Fiorello et al. (2010) developed ASTRA, which has been used by the
 190 European Commission to link transport demand, economy, vehicle fleet and environmental impacts,
 191 but relatively few have focused on health impacts. Shepherd (2014) only identified two papers, that
 192 were related to cycling-related health (Macmillan et al., 2014) and road safety (Goh and Love, 2012).


2.1.5. System Dynamics in Health Studies

194 SD has been widely adopted within health studies, concentrating on various issues of public health
 195 over the last 40 years (Homer and Hirsch, 2006), such as healthcare delivery, health economics,
 196 substance abuse, infectious disease, microbiology and healthcare products (Hirsch et al., 2015). Sallah
 197 et al. (2017) identified 15 reviews of simulation modelling in healthcare including 93 studies employing
 198 SD, though Stankov et al. (2020) only identified 1 SD study in a review of transport-health simulation
 199 models. More recently, specific policies for public health promotion have been modelled using SD,
 200 however, there is little evidence on how often it is applied to policy or decision making (Currie et al.,
 201 2018, Atkinson et al., 2015).

3. Methodology

3.1. Literature Review Methodology

206 For the thematic literature review, we followed a similar method to two related studies. Currie et al.
 207 (2018) carried out a scoping review of SD modelling for environmental health based on established
 208 scoping review guidelines (Levac et al., 2010, Arksey and O'Malley, 2005). Following the PRISMA
 209 checklist (Moher et al., 2009), Stankov et al. (2020) reviewed simulation studies of the health impact
 210 of transportation interventions. The steps taken in this review are: 1) Identification, 2) Screening, and
 211 3) Relevancy and Inclusion. As noted by Currie et al., we do not claim that this review is an exhaustive
 212 or complete list. The process and results are detailed in Figure 2.



214 Figure 2: Scoping Review Process (Initial literature review carried out May 2019 and repeated June 2020 and October 2020)
 215 *Google search terminated after approx. 300 records once relevance appeared to be saturated.
 216

218 *3.1.1. Identification*

219 Literature was identified through three common internet literature databases: Web of Science, Scopus
220 and Science Direct, and the search engine 'Google' for grey literature. The search terms used were:
221 ("transport" OR "mobility") AND ("health") AND ("systems thinking" OR "system dynamics"). The
222 publication date was limited to the past 20 years (from 2000 onwards), in order that most recent
223 thinking is reflected, and assuming any key works prior to this would be picked up in reference lists.
224 For both Web of Science and Scopus these searches were limited to title, abstract and keywords,
225 whereas in Science Direct it was possible to include full article searches, though articles were limited
226 to subscribed research and conference papers, and book chapters. In Google the search was limited
227 to PDF files and link summaries on results pages were screened. Only the first 30 result pages were
228 considered as it was judged at that point that relevance had been saturated.

229 *3.1.2. Screening*

230 The titles and abstracts of the studies and reports identified in the initial step were screened according
231 to the following inclusion criteria:

- 232 • The work should have a focus on the interactions between transport systems or mobility
233 behaviours and public health
- 234 • The work must report on the development of an original system dynamics model or causal
235 loop diagram.

236 In addition, for practical purposes:

- 237 • The work must be accessible to the researchers – eg through publically available sources
238 (including subscribed databases)
- 239 • The work must be officially published (ie peer reviewed journals, conference proceedings or
240 grey literature official reports but not including working papers)
- 241 • The work must be written in English

242 A small number (5) of related review papers were identified at this point, and although excluded from
243 our review were retained for reference and scanned for suitable literature. By this stage, 38 seemingly
244 relevant publications had been identified. Following this screening, reference lists from identified
245 articles were considered to identify further articles, which were then subjected to the inclusion
246 criteria.

247 *3.1.3. Relevancy and Inclusion*

248 In the final step of the review each of the identified articles were read in full to further assess for
249 relevancy that may have been uncertain in the previous step. At this stage 10 articles were excluded
250 for the following reasons:

- 251 • Neither a causal loop diagram nor stock-flow model was presented within the article (1)
- 252 • The work was too specific to a niche problem that was not deemed applicable to a generic
253 transport-health system (2)
- 254 • Not actually using system dynamics approach (3)
- 255 • Does not explicitly include health (4)

256

257 **3.2. CLD Methodology**

258 By studying the CLDs and SFMs in the identified studies, we aim to address the first steps of the
259 modelling process (Problem Articulation and Dynamic Hypothesis), creating a combined CLD to
260 address the problem that policies designed to influence health outcomes related to one form of
261 transport impact may also influence other health outcomes and transport impacts. We focused on the
262 CLDs in the first place, as these are high-level representations of the system behaviour and identify

263 the key variables and relationships that we are interested in. However, for those studies that also
264 provided detail on a SFM, we considered any additional variables or parameters that may be relevant
265 to our problem articulation. It is usual practise that CLDs are developed through literature review
266 and/or expert engagement (Luna-Reyes and Andersen, 2003, Martinez-Moyano and Richardson,
267 2013). However, methods have been proposed where CLDs created by individual stakeholder groups
268 are built upon (Inam et al., 2015, Macmillan and Woodcock, 2017). There may be concerns about
269 combining separately developed CLDs/SFMs as they were created to address specific problems, there
270 may be uncertainty around stakeholder perceptions (Dhirasasna and Sahin, 2019), and that some
271 interpretation of the data may be ambiguous. However, we argue that our research question
272 encompasses those of the identified studies and thus they can, in effect, be used as our qualitative
273 data input in line with conventional CLD building methods (Luna-Reyes and Andersen, 2003).

274

275 **4. Review of Transport-Health SD Models**

276

277 There are limited examples of SD models looking directly at transport-related health. Currie et al.
278 (2018) investigated SD studies of environmental health decision-making and policy, identifying only
279 two within the transport sector and one further cross-sectoral study that included transport, as well
280 as three studies that did not demonstrate links to policy decisions. Similarly, in a review of a broader
281 set of simulation studies of health impacts of transport interventions (specifically bus rapid transit,
282 bicycle lanes, “open streets” and cable cars), only one SD model was identified (Stankov et al., 2020) .
283 In addition, to those identified in previous reviews (Stankov et al., 2020, Currie et al., 2018, Shepherd,
284 2014), a further 17 studies which employ an SD methodology to explicitly consider transport-health
285 were identified in our own searches. Table 1 presents all 23 identified transport-health SD studies.
286 There are five themes identified (air pollution, road safety, active transport, multiple transport impacts
287 and noise) which all include multiple studies except noise. These themes are based around the risk
288 factors associated with health outcomes arising from transport activities, with the exception of ‘Active
289 Travel’, where we identified a focus on active transport modes, which merited it’s own theme due to
290 the large body of related work. Also noted in the table are if the studies included a CLD and/or SFM
291 (with note on where this was fully documented), and what Health Outcome and Transport Impact,
292 relevant to our problem context, were identified in the study. We include the exact wording used by
293 the study authors. We sought evidence of measurable Health Outcomes being considered explicitly
294 within the CLD, SFM or associated documentation. Although these health outcomes may generally be
295 exogenous (and not essentially relevant to the qualitative CLD), we had particular interest in how
296 these specific measures were represented in the studies, in order to assess the trade-offs and
297 synergies of the transport impacts on health outcomes as per the research question. Transport
298 Impacts are the risk factors associated with health outcomes arising from transport activities. Finally,
299 we note the main research question or objective of the studies to demonstrate their fit within our
300 own research question. The studies are regionally dispersed, covering most global regions. Over half
301 of the studies (14) involved offer both a CLD and fully quantified SFMs (and most (10) provide fully
302 documented structure and equations). All but 7 of the studies include a measureable health outcome
303 such as specific disease burdens, injuries, mortality or morbidity, which may be considered to be
304 exogenous to a closed feedback system, though they all include the endogenous Transport Impact
305 that influences (or is implicitly linked to) health outcomes.

306

307

308
309
310

Table 1: Transport-Health SD studies identified in this study (1: fully documented simulation model; 2: no SFM diagram; 3: no simulation model; 4: although some of these studies may fit under other themes (eg road safety, multiple transport impacts), as all have a focus on active transport it was deemed appropriate to group these together)

Theme	Author and Year	Location	CLD	SFM	Health Outcome	Transport Impact	Research Question / Objectives
Air Pollution	Stave (2002)	Las Vegas, US	Y	Y	No explicit measureable health outcome.	Air Quality (CO)	<i>"Develop policy recommendations to address the rapidly worsening and interconnected problems of traffic congestion and regional air quality in the Las Vegas, Nevada metropolitan area."</i>
	Stave and Dwyer (2006)	Las Vegas, US	Y	Y	No explicit measureable health outcome.	Air Pollution (CO)	<i>"Examine the potential effects of changes in land use and transportation planning on air quality, traffic congestion, and other quality of life factors"</i>
	Shahgholian and Hajhosseini (2009)	Tehran, Iran	Y	Y	"Infection rate", "Sick Population", "Cure Rate" and "Death Rate" (disease not specified).	Air Pollution (PB, HC, CO SO2, NO, NO2, Dust)	<i>"Which of the parameters (population, pollutant types, weather, traffic, factories) will have the greatest effect on pollution (and public health) in the next ten years."</i>
	Armah et al. (2010)	Accra, Ghana	Y	N	"Health risks" (not further specified) (respiratory tract infection, coughing, eye irritation specified in text only)	Air Pollution (Heavy metals, NOx, SO2 specified in text only)	<i>"To understand the overall dynamics of the pollution problem (in Accra, Ghana) by considering the road infrastructure, traffic congestion, air pollution, (health risks) and various stakeholders."</i>
	Veziroğlu and Macário (2014)	USA, China, India	Y	Y ¹	"Reduction in serious adult illness" (People/Year) "Death Rate" (Deaths/1000 people) (disease not specified).	Air Pollution (PM10)	<i>"To understand how the transition to hydrogen-fuelled vehicles will be effected if the lowered health expenditures are utilized to reduce fuel costs."</i>
	Onat et al. (2016)	USA	Y	Y ¹	"Human health impacts, (DALY) "Adjusted life expectancy (Years) (from air pollutants and CO2, disease not specified)	Air Pollution and Climate Change (CO2, PM, Photochemical oxidants)	<i>"Explore the dynamic interrelationships between the environmental, social, and economic aspects of US passenger cars' sustainability impacts from life cycle sustainability perspective."</i>
	Caroleo et al. (2017)	Piedmont, Italy	Y	Y	Hospital admissions (Cardiovascular and respiratory)	GHG and Pollutant Emissions (CO ₂ , PM10)	<i>"A System Dynamics model to estimate the environmental health impacts of alternative market scenarios for EVs diffusion in Piedmont (Italy)."</i>
Road Safety	Friedman (2006)	New England Region, USA	Y	Y	No explicit measureable health outcome.	"Accidents"	<i>"To evaluate current road maintenance policy and its possible negative effect on accident creation."</i>

	Goh and Love (2012)	Western Australia	N	Y ¹	No explicit measureable health outcome.	"Crashes"	Two separate models: "The first model is used to assess policy options so as to encourage the purchase of cars with higher safety ratings. The second model, is used to evaluate the impact of public transport policies on travel time and traffic safety considerations."
	Alirezaei et al. (2017)	USA	Y	Y ¹	Number of injuries and fatalities	Number of fatal and non-fatal crashes Percentage of fatalities (speed related, DUI, distraction, aggressive driving, weather, other) Lives saved (seatbelt, airbag)	"Investigating the complex interactions among (the climate change-road safety-economy nexus) by tracking how they affect each other over time ... to find the most efficient way(s) to increase road safety and to reduce the negative consequences of traffic (collisions)"
	(Araz et al., 2020)	USA	Y	Y ¹	Drug related fatal injured drivers	Drug related crash rate	"This paper contributes to literature by assessing the complex inter-relationships and dynamics among number of drug drivers, drugged driving laws, public transportation, drug use, treatment, traffic congestion, and other traffic-related factors and evaluating the impact of drug per se law on the number of drugged related crash fatalities"
Active Transport ⁴	Macmillan (2012)	New Zealand	Y	Y ¹	Cyclist fatal and serious injuries Air Pollution Mortality Air pollution Hospital admissions (cardiovascular, respiratory, COPD, cancer) Air pollution restricted activity days Cycling physical activity all-cause mortality	Cyclist Collisions Cycle mode share Air Pollution (PM10, CO, Benzene)	"1. To develop a comprehensive conceptual model of the trip to work and public health that synthesises knowledge from epidemiology, communities and policy makers 2. To develop a commuting and public health simulation model that could quantify a range of outcomes for some particular policy options"
	Macmillan et al. (2014)	New Zealand	Y	Y ^{1,2}	Cyclist fatal and serious injuries Air Pollution Mortality Air pollution Hospital admissions (cardiovascular, respiratory, COPD, cancer) Air pollution restricted activity days Cycling physical activity all-cause mortality	Cyclist Collisions Air Pollution (PM10, CO)	"to provide a system explanation for the current trend in commuter cycling in Auckland, as well as assist with identifying policy levers to turn this trend into sustained growth"

					(by socio-demographic group)		
	Macmillan et al. (2016)	London, Birmingham, Bristol, Cambridge, UK	Y	Y ³	Cyclist fatalities	Number of cyclists	<i>"Our aim was to examine whether... change in the prevalence of cycling was associated with changes in the proportion of cyclist fatalities covered by London's largest local newspaper, and in the amount of coverage per fatality"</i>
	Macmillan and Mackie (2016)	Auckland, New Zealand	Y	N	Pedestrian and cyclist injuries	Walking/Cycling to work, Congestion	<i>"We used participatory system dynamics modelling (SDM) to develop a transdisciplinary understanding of the links between commuting and "wellbeing" as a complex system, starting with a broad health framework."</i>
	Macmillan and Woodcock (2017)	New Zealand, London, Netherlands	Y	N	London: "Cyclist injury rate" NL: "actual and perceived safety"	London: People cycling for some trips NL: Traffic Volumes, People cycling as main mode	<i>"To develop a dynamic causal model of urban cycling to develop consensus about the nature and order of policies needed in different cycling contexts to optimise outcomes."</i>
	Macmillan et al. (2018)	Auckland, New Zealand	Y	N	Number of walking and cycling injuries. "Health impacts (of air pollution)". (no specific health outcomes) Physical and mental impacts of physical inactivity (no specific health outcomes).	Local walking and cycling Population getting recommended daily exercise. Local air quality (not specified)	<i>"To develop a causal theory for the relationships between active travel, and walking and cycling infrastructure (and a) ... range of outcomes ... in low-income neighbourhoods and on reducing social and health inequities."</i>
	Macmillan et al. (2020)	New Zealand	Y	N	Air Pollution death and illnesses (no specific health outcomes) Number of local walking and cycling injuries Proportion of people getting enough daily exercise Equity to access to healthcare services	Local air pollution (not specified) Short trips by active transport	<i>"Propose a complex causal theory for how the relationships between suburban retrofit and the Sustainable Development Goals are integrated, and progress dynamically over time"</i>
Multiple Transport Impacts	McClure et al. (2015)	Various cities	N	Y ^{1,2}	"Dying rate" (people/year) "Population Health" (QALY) "Disease and Injury related premature health loss" (QALYs/Year) "Disease Specific Incidence" (DALYs – child & maternal,	Crash Risk (bicycle, motorbike, pedestrian, car, public transport) Metabolic Equivalent (car, bicycle, public transport, motorbike, pedestrian) Pollution Effect (All above based on KM travelled)	<i>"What are the features of a land use--- transportation system that optimizes the health and well-being of the population?"</i>

					communicable disease, endocrine, circulatory, non-transport injury, transport not-fatal, injury, transport injury death, mental health, neoplasm, respiratory, other) "Transport crash deaths" "Transport crash serious injuries"		
	Kolling J et al. (2016)	North Carolina, USA	Y	Y ¹	Premature mortalities avoided per year (no disease specified)	Physical activity Vehicle Emissions (PM2.5 and NOx) Traffic crash fatalities	"A decision support tool for community sustainability with the proposed Durham-Orange Light Rail Project (D-O LRP) in Durham and Orange Counties, North Carolina, as a case-study."
	Widener and Hatzopoulou (2016)	n/a (Canada)	Y	N	"Personal Health" (not further specified)	Stress, Collisions, Speed of emergency ground transportation, Physical activity, Access to health goods and services, Disease Diffusion Rate, exhaust emissions, other pollutants, road noise	"(To) illustrate a systems perspective on the complex relationships between health and transportation ... and expose potentially interesting new directions for the health and research Community".
	Langellier et al. (2019)	Latin America	Y	N	"Health" (not further specified)	Air Quality, Duration of Physical Activity	"To produce a single "synthesis" CLD that, in the simplest way possible, captures the major variables and feedback loops that drive change over time in food behaviours and transport in Latin American cities, as identified by participants in (the) three workshops."
Road Traffic Noise	Recio et al. (2018)	Madrid, Spain	Y	Y ¹	>65 years cardiovascular deaths due to noise (persons/year)	Noise Level from traffic Intensity (dB)	"The epidemic behaviour of environmental noise effects on the population over 65 years of age in the city of Madrid is examined, through its short-term association with mortality from cardiovascular causes"

311

312 In the following sections, we will discuss the studies by theme. For the air pollution, road safety and
313 active transport themes we present a summary of the findings and present it as a combined CLD of
314 key variables and feedbacks that have been identified. If the reader wishes to see the original CLD/SFM
315 they may refer to the original study, though representation is inconsistent (eg high-level or partial
316 CLD/SFM, SFM only, use of non-standard notation). Our motivation is to create a meta-CLD addressing
317 our research question, so we focus on the applicability of the individual studies to this, alongside some
318 background detail on the wider context of the study. Further, as our interest is in the qualitative
319 Problem Articulation and Dynamic Hypothesis stages of the modelling process, we assess these in
320 relation to the best practise set out by Martinez-Moyano and Richardson (2013). We will provide brief

321 details of any formulated simulation model but do not comment on the validity to reporting
322 reproducibility standards (Rahmandad and Sterman, 2012).

323

324 **4.1. Air Pollution Related Health**

325 Stave (2002) presents a case study example of an engaged stakeholder group model building exercise
326 focused on understanding the problem of traffic congestion and air pollution in Las Vegas, US. Rather
327 than a specific health parameter, the focus is on CO (which is implicitly linked to public environmental
328 health) with a major assumption being that “CO is an adequate proxy for all transportation-related air
329 quality parameters”. The 25 year simulation model was quantified and verified through data provided
330 by the South Nevada Regional Transport Commission (such as historical and forecast transportation
331 datasets). It was recognised that while all stakeholders could describe certain parts of the system that
332 they were acquainted with, they only had rough understandings of the system as a whole. Only a high-
333 level overview of the CLD is presented in the article, alongside key SFM variables and stocks, making
334 it difficult to ascertain all of the structure that was considered. However, the author notes that there
335 is only one (balancing) feedback loop , which is between the perceived attractiveness and the
336 population of the region. The CO variable is determined in the model endogenously, through
337 exogenous travel demand (trips/day/capita) and assumed relationships between vehicle stock,
338 capacity, congestion and speed, then feeds into costs within the model, but is not involved in any
339 feedback loop.

340 The same author used a similar approach, engaging with a group of high-level stakeholders to develop
341 a model related to the above but with the goal of integrating land use, air quality and transport
342 planning (Stave and Dwyer, 2006), The basic CLD structure was similar, but with additional feedbacks
343 (such as from CO and congestion to the attractiveness of the area). As such, it also only considered CO
344 under air quality (only from transport), and did not explicitly cover health, though this contributes to
345 a factor termed ‘quality of life’. The quantified model was validated against historic and projected
346 trends and ran for 30 years, with various scenarios presented detailing the policy inputs and key model
347 outputs

348 Shahgholian and Hajihosseini (2009) study the relationship between reduction in air pollution and it’s
349 related diseases within Tehran. It is not clear what stakeholder engagement was carried out to
350 determine model structure or dynamic hypothesis. Their interest in air pollution sources was not
351 limited to transport emissions but also considered other domestic and industrial pollutants. They did
352 however consider multiple pollutant types (Lead, hydrocarbons, CO, SO₂, NO, NO₂, PM). In their study
353 they developed both a CLD and a quantified SFM, and scenarios representing policies such as
354 automobile regulation were developed. However, the study does not report the equations and input
355 data used in the model, describe model calibration/validation or detail specific scenarios. A
356 comprehensive CLD and SFM is provided, and unlike the other Air Pollution models identified in our
357 review, there are detailed interactions related to the health outcomes (infection rate, cure rate, death
358 rate, sick population), but simplified representation of transport. This said, it is not clear how the
359 infection rate was defined – what type of diseases are considered and in what level of detail for
360 different population subgroups.

361 Similar to the work previously described, Armah et al. (2010) took a SD approach to understand
362 behaviours that lead to traffic congestion and air pollution in Ghana. They based their model on a
363 classic ‘fixes that fail’ system archetype of unintended outcomes that arise from the building of
364 infrastructure to alleviate congestion. In this case the extra capacity designed to reduce congestion (a
365 balancing feedback loop), is also part of a reinforcing feedback loop as the extra capacity also increases
366 car attractiveness, thus leading to a dynamic equilibrium of congestion at a higher traffic volume every
367 time more capacity is added (Pfaffenbichler, 2011, Sterman, 2000b). They do include a specific
368 relationship between air pollution and health risks, though it is not clear how this is characterised.
369 Rather than engagement during problem articulation and dynamic hypothesis stages, surveys were

370 carried out with 500 stakeholders to validate the model, but it appears no model was ever quantified.
371 A number of health issues were included in the survey that do not appear in the CLD: distance/travel
372 time to health facility, identified health impacts from transport (respiratory tract infection, coughing
373 eye irritation, noise pollution), transport constraint on health, and choice of health facility by
374 proximity. They identify that understanding urban transport-energy-environment is more complex
375 than it appears, and failing to capture all issues may lead to policy resistance.


376 Veziroğlu and Macário (2014) recognise that although several SD models have been developed to
377 understand the transition towards low emission vehicles, they do not calculate changes in health
378 costs. Therefore, they develop a SD model for the remediation of health expenditures by transition to
379 Hydrogen vehicles over a 50 year time period. This consists of four sub-models (population, diffusion,
380 PM10 concentration, market utilisation), which run under different investment scenarios for USA,
381 China and India. Their SFM is based around a simple CLD, where illness is a function of PM10
382 concentration, an increase in hydrogen vehicles leads to reductions in PM10, and reduced health costs
383 from this can then be used to fund the development of the hydrogen market. It appears to be built
384 through literature rather than stakeholder engagement. The SFM and underlying equations are
385 provided in the paper and the model is validated using various sources of data. They conclude that the
386 most effective strategy is to invest health expenditure savings in addition to government incentives
387 into hydrogen vehicle costs.

388 Taking a systems based Life Cycle Sustainability Assessment (LCSA) approach, Onat et al. (2016) were
389 interested in using SD to understand the sustainability impacts of Alternative Fuel Vehicles. Within
390 this, they considered not only the direct human health impact from air pollution (particulate matter
391 and photochemical oxidant emissions from vehicle manufacturing and operations) but also the
392 indirect health impact from climate change arising from greenhouse gas pollutants (CO₂). The standard
393 modelling process was followed, though there was no stakeholder engagement evident. Consisting of
394 four sub-models (transportation, environmental, economic and social), transport demand and related
395 health impacts are driven by GDP, employment, public welfare and population. A CLD is presented
396 and the 9 balancing loops and 3 reinforcing loops are described. The SFM for each module is presented
397 alongside full variables and equations. Health impacts are not explicitly defined in the model but are
398 derived from an established impact assessment model (Goedkoop et al., 2009). The simulation model
399 is verified using statistical analyses against real world data. They find that although health impacts
400 from the manufacturing phase are higher for EVs and PHEVs than CVs, they are much reduced over
401 the operating phase and ultimately over the whole life.

402 Similar to Veziroğlu and Macário (2014) , Caroleo et al. (2017) also considered the influence of
403 alternative fuel vehicles on air quality related health. Though detail on how the problem and model
404 were conceptualised is not provided in the paper, a CLD is provided which includes a reinforcing loop
405 illustrating how the an increase in Battery Electric Vehicles (BEV) leads to a reduction in conventional
406 vehicles (CV), and the corresponding reduction in PM10 concentration reduces cardio and respiratory
407 hospital admissions (these are not specified in any more detail within the paper). The loop is closed
408 by an increase in monetary savings in public health, which is then reinvested in incentives that
409 stimulate further BEV adoption. SFM, equations and verification of the simulation model are not
410 provided in the paper, but they find that under an 'extreme' scenario (12.7% BEV fleet share by 2030)
411 leads to a 750% reduction in hospital admissions and €86.64m in public health savings.

412 In summary, from the seven papers identified that addressed the interaction between transport-
413 related air-pollution and health in an SD model, it is evident that none took a detailed approach of
414 both transport and health. In particular, none had detail related to the mechanisms between the
415 transport impact and the morbidity or mortality of the disease. In Figure 3 we have combined key
416 aspects of the CLDs presented in this section. We have identified two key feedback areas: Transport-
417 Pollutant and Pollutant-Health. Transport-Pollutants consists of three feedback loops (2 B, 1R)
418 interacting around the Congestion variable, that characterise the influence of capacity expansion on
419 congestion and number of vehicles, both of which influence Pollutants emitted. This could be

420 expanded in much more detail if different modes and trip decisions are accounted for. Through two
 421 feedback loops (1B, 1R), Pollutant-Health captures the influence of the disease burden within society
 422 arising from both local air pollution and climate change, which could be enriched by considering
 423 specific diseases and socio-demographic groups. There are seven feedback loops covering both areas.
 424 One reinforcing loop captures the potential for increased investment or incentivisation in low carbon
 425 vehicles if hospitalisation costs are reduced as suggested by Veziroğlu and Macário (2014) and Caroleo
 426 et al. (2017). The remaining six loops (3R, 3B), are represent how the influence of healthy population
 427 on travel demand are effected within the system.



428
 429 *Figure 3: Transport-pollution health CLD - developed by authors from reviewed studies (Armah et al., 2010, Shahgholian and*
 430 *Hajihosseini, 2009, Stave, 2002, Stave and Dwyer, 2006, Veziroğlu and Macário, 2014, Onat et al., 2016, Caroleo et al., 2017)).*
 431 *Loop descriptions are available in Appendix.*

432

433 4.2. Road safety

434 The possible negative effect of highway maintenance on collision rate (termed “accident” by the
 435 authors) is considered in an SD model by Friedman (2006), though they do not explicitly link
 436 “accidents” to specific injuries, fatalities or overall public health. In particular, the influence of vehicle
 437 volume on road conditions and speed is considered, and their resultant contribution to collision rate.
 438 In turn, the number of collisions influences the amount spent on repairs to the highway. The focus of
 439 the model is on highway management for the reduction of collisions rather than broader transport-
 440 related public health. They suggest that the current policy basis of repair spending to improve
 441 conditions and reduce collision rate (a balancing feedback loop) is incorrect, as infrastructure
 442 investment creates instead a positive feedback, as improved carriageways encourage higher speeds
 443 and vehicle volumes. A full SFM is provided, with partial CLDs and selected equations. The behavioural
 444 assumptions are based on literature review rather than involvement of interested stakeholders. With


445 limited data available, the simulation model was validated through establishing a logical relationship
446 between Pavement Service Index and accidents over a 3 year period.

447 Goh and Love (2012) develop two simple SD models of car safety rating policy and public transport
448 policy impacts on safety, emphasising that SD is a good modelling approach for macro/meso level
449 studies. Although they follow the standard modelling process, there is no stakeholder engagement.
450 They note that low resolution of SD means it may not be appropriate for micro-level modelling (an
451 important consideration for transport behaviours and health impacts that vary within a population).
452 Other similar limitations recognised by the authors are lack of spatial and distributional effects. SFMs
453 and full equations are provided for both models. The passenger car safety standards model is used to
454 study policies to increase the stock of higher standard cars. This is validated using historic data and
455 sensitivity testing, but the results are then incorporated in a separate cost benefit analysis (CBA) to
456 estimate benefits of reduced collisions resulting in injuries and fatalities. In a separate model within
457 the paper, the authors study the effects of public transport subsidies on vehicle collisions. The main
458 feedback loops to consider here are the balancing loop between policy intervention and number of
459 collisions, which is interlinked with another balancing loop between number of collisions and traffic
460 volume. There is no direct link to the relationship between the number of collisions and population
461 health.

462 Alirezaei et al. (2017) used SD to investigate the impact of extreme weather events caused by climate
463 change on road safety and resultant economic impacts, identifying 5 feedback loops within the
464 overview CLD. The problem articulation and dynamic hypothesis are developed without stakeholder
465 involvement. The SFM is provided for 6 sub-models: Economic Losses; Economic Impact on GDP;
466 Vehicle Safety Standards; Transportation; GHG impact on Climate; Climate impact on safety. The
467 model was verified against historic data, and subjected to three policy levers. They found that reducing
468 transport emissions (in the USA) had limited impact on climate change and it's impact on safety, unless
469 extreme global emission-reduction policies are implemented globally. Reducing travel demand and
470 increasing vehicle safety are more effective in reducing collisions than increasing fuel efficiency. They
471 identified that the influence on collisions are infrastructure and vehicle conditions as well as driver
472 contribution. A key feedback loop regarding collisions to consider here is that between economy and
473 vehicle conditions, whereas climate change is involved in loops with both infrastructure conditions
474 and driver contribution via economy and vehicle miles travelled (VMT). The health parameter here is
475 the number of injuries and fatalities arising from vehicle collisions (in adverse or extreme weather,
476 driving under the influence (DUI) of alcohol or drugs, distraction, speed, aggressive driving), based on
477 published fatality/injury rates.

478 Focusing on DUI of drugs, Araz et al. (2020), developed an SD model to consider the impact of drug-
479 driving on collisions and subsequent fatalities. The CLD was developed from literature and is
480 characterised by two sub-systems of drugged driver behaviour and road environment, which were
481 connected by population, public transit and drugged driver fatalities. Each sub-system consisted of
482 two balancing loops and a SFM was developed that contained five stocks (non-driving drug users,
483 drugged drivers, drugged driver fatalities, VMT and highway capacity), with parameters estimated
484 from published data, prior research and regression-based estimation. The results suggested that
485 implemented tighter drug-driving laws may be more effective in reducing fatalities than improving
486 public transit provision.

487 Though all of these studies had specific different focuses on road safety, what is common between
488 them is the influence of Traffic Volume on collision rate. Goh and Love (2012) and Friedman (2006)
489 did not have explicit health parameters, whereas Alirezaei et al. (2017) and Araz et al. (2020) employed
490 exogenous or estimated injury/death rates and risks related to collisions but no connection to overall
491 population health. Omitting the mechanisms of climate change and details of car manufacturing,
492 which are outside the scope of our interests, both approaches are summarised in Figure 4. This
493 contains 21 feedback loops (15 B, 6 R), with all but one (B15) including the collisions variable and 6
494 involving the link between collisions and population.



495

496 *Figure 4: Combined road safety CLD – developed by the authors from reviewed studies (Alirezaei et al., 2017, Goh and Love,*
 497 *2012, Araz et al., 2020, Friedman, 2006). Loop descriptions are available in Appendix.*

498

499 **4.3. Active Transport**

500 A significant volume of system dynamics studies focused around active transport (walking and cycling),
 501 have been led by the same author, and indeed none were identified that focused solely on this area
 502 without this named author.

503 Based on the work carried out for a PhD thesis (Macmillan, 2012), Macmillan et al. (2014) understood
 504 that established models of mode shift to active travel neglected important system feedbacks that
 505 reflect the co-benefits of health, social equity and climate change mitigation. A CLD of commuter
 506 cycling and public health was developed through interviews and workshops with a variety of
 507 stakeholders (community, academic, policy) in New Zealand. The dominant loop is related to collision
 508 injuries, seen to be the biggest barrier to cycling and some loops were found to be inactive (due to
 509 local data). A SFM was quantified using governmental data-sets, evidence based data and expert
 510 opinion, and this was validated against historical data, as well as being subjected to sensitivity analysis.
 511 Full model equations are provided. The key areas were: commuting population growth, commute
 512 mode share, bicycling injury, air pollution, fuel costs and emissions, mortality due to physical inactivity.
 513 The model was found to be sensitive to assumptions around safety in numbers. Five policy scenarios
 514 were simulated over a 40 year period and considered impacts on injury, physical activity, fuel costs,
 515 air pollution and carbon emissions. The objective was to compare these policies and establish the most
 516 cost-effective, as it was recognised that there is currently little evidence on this. Unlike many studies,
 517 the simulation model incorporated significant detail related to health impacts, taking on board not
 518 just injuries but also specific disease burdens (COPD, cardiovascular, cancers and respiratory) related
 519 to air pollution (PM10, CO and Benzene) and all-cause mortality related to cycling activity (of specific
 520 socio-demographic groups).

521 The relationship between cyclist fatalities and media coverage thereof in general cyclist trends was
522 the focus of Macmillan et al. (2016), building on concepts established in Macmillan et al. (2014) . Using
523 police road traffic injuries data ('STATS19') and comparing to reports in a leading newspaper for the
524 city of London, UK, then repeating for three other UK cities, the authors refined the original CLD, which
525 included stocks and flows, but no simulation model was developed. They established that the loop
526 "more fatalities make more stories" may not be universally relevant and fails to capture the complex
527 role of media in cycling trends. They suggest further feedbacks related to the rise in cycling in
528 increasing media interest and the role of the media in campaigns to increase safety investment.

529 Macmillan and Woodcock (2017) explored urban cycling in UK and Netherlands and compared to New
530 Zealand. Unlike the previous paper, this study involved development of a qualitative CLD. In London,
531 the CLD from Macmillan et al. (2014) was elaborated by identified stakeholders. In particular, we can
532 see that risk perception has been unpacked and the importance of socio-demographic groups has
533 been added. The only health parameter was the number of cyclist injuries, though 'safety' is
534 considered. The Netherlands already had relatively high mode shares of urban cycling so CLD
535 development was from scratch and later compared to the Auckland model. No measureable health
536 outcomes were included, though "actual and perceived safety" is. There is a much greater focus on
537 the comparative attractiveness to other modes compared to London and Auckland. This paper
538 presents some interesting differences between regions but also highlights the possibility of
539 generalisation of CLDs.

540 Macmillan expanded on the CLDs developed in previous work in three further papers related to a
541 wider trans-disciplinary 'Future Streets' intervention project that engaged with low-income
542 communities. In all three, literature based and participatory modelling approaches were adopted to
543 develop qualitative CLDs, which have not so far been progressed to quantified SFMs. Macmillan and
544 Mackie (2016) do not focus solely on active transport, but consider low carbon transitions for urban
545 transport, specifically looking at commuting and well-being. The resultant CLD consists of 12
546 reinforcing loops and 3 balancing loops, with eight key loops being: active travel safety,
547 neighbourhood sense of security, time pressure and accessibility, relative attractiveness of public
548 transport, workplace support, environmental cultural wellbeing, 'car culture' & social wellbeing and
549 transport planning participation & leadership. However, the only specific health variable included in
550 the CLD was pedestrian and cyclist injuries, though other health outcomes are mentioned in the text
551 as being exogenous to the CLD. As such we could have categorised this study in the 'Road Safety' or
552 'Mixed transport impacts' themes, but have decided to include it within 'Active Transport' as the only
553 explicit endogenous variable is injuries related to active transport. The influence of walking and cycling
554 infrastructure on active travel in low-income communities is the focus of Macmillan et al. (2018). Ten
555 loops are identified (9R, 1B) and health impacts include injuries, air-pollution and physical inactivity,
556 but measurable health outcomes are not yet defined. Long-term results from the wider project will be
557 used to refine assumptions within the model developed in Macmillan et al. (2014) and the broader
558 outcomes are described in Macmillan et al. (2020). In this paper the causal theories are applied to
559 wider considerations of the role of active travel in meeting Sustainable Development Goals, with a
560 particular focus on equity. The CLD they develop is much more complex than the previous work,
561 consisting of 12 reinforcing loops and 2 balancing loops. In addition to the direct transport impacts on
562 health outcomes (risk of injuries, air pollution and exercise) a number of other influences are included,
563 such as equity and affordability of access (to education, employment and healthcare), sense of
564 security and social connections, access to safe public spaces and cultural wellbeing.

565 Figure 5 brings together the key elements common to all of Macmillan's (and co-authors) CLDs that
566 are most prevalent to transport-health. Some wider aspects of active transport culture are omitted in
567 our generalisation, and in our later Combined CLD (Figure 6), we combine the broad cultural element
568 with the variable 'experience, awareness and acceptance', in order to simplify yet still represent
569 important cultural and individual perceptions and actualities. Although the clearest health impacts
570 here are related to collision injuries (both with motorised and other active travellers), security,

596 **4.5. Multiple Aspects**

597 McClure et al. (2015) focus on road safety, health effects related to activity and pollution effects
598 related to transport. The model was developed during an expert workshop then verified by
599 independent experts, with the purpose of identifying the features of a land use-transport system that
600 optimises health and well-being of the population. It is quantified and validated, including
601 relationships between land use, transport and economic development and the impact on collision
602 deaths and Disability Adjusted Live Years (DALYs). Neither the CLD nor SFM diagram are provided in
603 the paper, but the model is extensively detailed in an appendix. The dynamic hypothesis developed
604 includes 2 reinforcing loops (the influence of wealth on transport and on health) and 1 balancing loop
605 (the influence of transport on health). It is based around three stocks, being Population, Population
606 Wealth and Population Health. The health stock is influenced not only by transport changes (related
607 to population and wealth) but also access and quality of health care. The health stock is the most
608 detailed part of the model, and includes empirically derived disease specific incidences
609 (Communicable, Circulatory, Neoplasm, Diabetes, COPD, non-transport injury) but does not seem to
610 relate them to air pollution. Instead a pollution effect multiplier, based on vehicle KM, is applied.
611 However, the change in Metabolic Equivalent of Task (METs) from travel trips is also calculated, and
612 again feeds into population health as a multiplier. Mobility is quantified in terms of trips, and exposure
613 is measured in kilometres and risk of collision (collision per kilometre). Three policy types were
614 simulated – reducing risk, changing mode and combined. The model was first developed for
615 Melbourne, Australia, but also applied to five other global cities (London, New York, Copenhagen,
616 Beijing, Dehli).

617 In the USA, the Environmental Protection Agency developed a prototype SD model for assessing
618 community sustainability, using a light rail project as case study. This included a module on health in
619 relation to transportation (as well as land use, energy, water, economy, and equity) (Kolling J et al.,
620 2016). The team followed the standard modelling process, with the problem defined and dynamic
621 hypothesis developed at a large stakeholder meeting. They developed an initial CLD, which was
622 quantified using local data and projections for validation and calibration. SFM, specifications and
623 equations are provided. Scenarios were also designed in a stakeholder meeting. The transport module
624 takes into account many factors such as speed, costs, car availability and population density, to
625 determine VMT and its relationship to air quality and traffic collisions as well as non-motorised mode
626 usage impact on physical health. The health module was used to quantify the avoided premature
627 mortality due to vehicle emissions (PM2.5 and NOx), physical activity from active travel and vehicle
628 collision fatalities. There is one feedback loop within this. Emission-related deaths were based on a
629 nationwide US study (rather than local), physical activity from the WHO (Kahlmeier et al., 2017) and
630 local collision data to calibrate against an assumptive relationship with VMT and collision incidence.

631 Though not strictly a study of a specific transport-health problem or system, Widener and
632 Hatzopoulou (2016) explore research in transport-related health, identifying five main areas, which
633 are:

- 634 • Indirect and direct impacts of transportation on morbidity and mortality (eg road traffic
635 incidents)
- 636 • Direct impacts of transportation on 1) Physical environment (eg air pollution, noise, land
637 effects) and 2) Access to healthy spaces and facilities (eg parks/playgrounds, hospitals/health
638 care, healthy food stores)
- 639 • Indirect impacts of transportation infrastructure /networks on 1) Healthy behaviours (eg
640 active travel, stress) and 2) Diseases pathways (eg spread through population)

641 They develop a CLD on how these areas interact to open up opportunities for researchers. Although
642 the authors do not offer a quantified simulation of this (as this was not their objective), they do
643 conclude that their CLD, which at this stage was just a high-level concept, can be expanded in any

644 direction, reveals the importance of investigating system trade-offs and may lead to new research
645 agendas.

646 The only paper identified in this study that was based in Latin America was also the only study that
647 considered food behaviours alongside transport, recognising the influence that these areas have on
648 health in the urban areas. Langellier et al. (2019) used community-based system dynamics methods
649 to engage with stakeholders from 10 countries across 3 workshops with the objectives of promoting
650 health, equitable and sustainable cities and to identify and prioritise research in that area. Separate
651 CLDs developed in these workshops were then developed into an aggregate CLD including 10 feedback
652 loops (6R, 4B) and fundamental dynamics discussed in the workshops, though no SFM or
653 quantification was carried out. This CLD only contained a generic 'health' variable, with direct impacts
654 from ultra-processed food consumption and time spent in physical activity, though the wider study
655 considered this as chronic disease and obesity. Although not all variables and loops identified are
656 directly relevant to this study, as they are more concerned with food behaviours, some key
657 interactions are important to include are air quality impacts on engagement in physical activity and
658 transport impacts on available time which in turn influences food behaviours, as well as reinforcing
659 mechanisms witnessed elsewhere, such as safety in numbers, health impacts on public or active
660 transport use and investment decisions.


661

662 **5. A Combined CLD**

663

664 Due to the complexities of the transport-health system, most scholars chose to focus on a specific
665 part, eg transport impact or health outcome. This is demonstrated in the above review that highlights
666 that under half of the studies considered multiple transport impacts on health outcomes.. We are
667 interested in developing a model focusing on transport-health but with a highly grained level of detail
668 that could provide insights into the trade-offs between policies designed to mitigate separate health
669 impacts, which would be of value for policy-makers and complement existing health impact
670 assessments. In particular, Although we have identified that some models do indeed go a long way
671 towards achieving this, we note that no existing model has been proactively developed to address the
672 problem of trade-offs. As a first stage in creating such a model, we have developed a CLD that captures
673 the key interactions in the transport-health system that have been identified in the existing studies.
674 This is presented in Figure 6. The reader may note that although we have reviewed 23 separate
675 models, there are already numerous overlaps apparent. This said, our combined CLD still proves to be
676 complex, which is indicative of the complexity of the transport-health system. There are less than 50
677 variables, but in fact a surprising number of feedback loops due to the complexity of connections (over
678 30,000 involving the variable Population Health are identified using the software Vensim™). To
679 enhance comprehensibility, variables have been colour-coded according to four topic areas: health
680 outcomes, transport impacts (direct and indirect), mobility behaviours (and influences on) and wider
681 system.

682



683
 684 Figure 6: Combined CLD (developed by authors based on the 23 studies reviewed in this paper) Health Outcomes (Yellow),
 685 Transport Impacts (Green), Mobility Behaviours (Blue), Wider System (Purple), Positive (+) link (thin red dashed line),
 686 Negative (-) link (thick black solid line), <XXX> (in italics) are "shadow variables", which exist elsewhere in the CLD, but are
 687 repeated closer to the effect variable of a relationship to improve the visual readability of the CLD.

688
 689 Health Outcomes (yellow) variables are required for the calculation of changes in population health
 690 that arises from specific impacts on human health from the use of transport. The key feedbacks in this
 691 group are the balancing 'death rate' and reinforcing 'recovery rate' loops between population health
 692 and total population, as well as the many loops where total population influence mobility behaviours
 693 which has subsequent feedbacks to disease burden through transport impacts.

694 Transport Impacts (green) variables represent the direct impacts of transport that can effect human
 695 health, and the key determinants of the impacts themselves. These have both balancing and
 696 reinforcing feedbacks on the health outcomes through disease burden and on mobility behaviours
 697 through the wider system.

698 Mobility behaviours (blue) variables represent the influential factors on travel demand and the usage
 699 of different modes of transport, which leads to impacts on human health. These have key feedbacks
 700 both between each other and on transport impacts.

701 Wider system (purple) variables are not directly included in the other categories, but may have an
 702 important influence on the overall system. For instance, economy has important reinforcing loops

703 with both total population and travel demand and a balancing loop with population health, and
704 government investment has key influences on mobility behaviours driven by transport impacts.

705

706 **6. Discussion**

707

708 This review, and the resulting combined CLD, was built in recognition of the problem of policies
709 designed to influence competing health outcomes arising from transport impacts. Figure 6 is not
710 purporting to be a comprehensive representation of the transport-health system, but captures the
711 key variables and relationships that have been identified in existing studies. It is, however, capable of
712 addressing our research question, as it can be used to comprehensively and qualitatively identify the
713 complex feedbacks that exist and may be in conflict when designing transport-health policies.
714 However, we have identified a number of important aspects that were not wholly covered in the
715 existing studies and thus could be given more thought in future development of the combined CLD.

716

717 **6.1. Transport Impacts and Mobility Behaviours**

718 Transport systems have been extensively studied and modelled through various approaches, including
719 SD. Mobility behaviours are detailed and complex, and may be specific to the characteristics of
720 regions, populations and temporality. Although transport patterns, their impacts and the exposure to
721 them by the population can be aggregated and generalised, in doing so the richness of the mechanisms
722 that may be important for understanding feedbacks and trade-offs may be lost. Few of the studies in
723 this review had a detailed appreciation of the transport system and included all modes of
724 transportation and mobility behaviours across the population. As our research develops, we will draw
725 from those studies that did give detailed consideration to these, as well as looking to what further
726 detail may be beneficial within our research context.

727

728 **6.2. Health Outcomes**

729 We are aware that the health impacts identified within the CLD will have varying degrees of disease
730 burden, proportional effect, time to effect and varying effect on individuals, as well as being relative
731 to existing health conditions and underlying behaviours. Not all models in this study included explicit
732 reference to specific health outcomes such as disease incidence, mortality and morbidity, which would
733 be equitable to the most advanced HIAs, nor account for the differences in these between socio-
734 demographic groups. Of those that did, there was a large variety in how this was parameterised, such
735 as all-cause mortality, hospital admissions due to specific conditions or QALY/DALYs. Some of these
736 parameters are indeed not endogenous to the model, moreover will be an exogenous output related
737 to an endogenous transport impact variable. It will be critical in the development of our CLD and
738 subsequent SFM to study the options for characterising health outcomes and identify which would be
739 most suitable in addressing our objective of understanding trade-offs. Furthermore, we recognise that
740 mental and social well-being may be less measurable than physical so may be under-represented in
741 our results and there may be other interpretations of which health outcomes this included. Indeed,
742 these were considered by a small number of studies, such as social networks/exclusion etc related to
743 community severance (Boniface et al., 2015), but we made a decision that these were outside our
744 boundaries, but could reconsider if we were to refine our problem articulation.

745

746 **6.3. New Data and Future Technologies**

747 All of the models reviewed in this study took a contemporary or historical view of transport-health
748 systems. However, transport and mobility are undergoing a period of great change, arising from new

749 technologies and changing behaviours and attitudes (Wee, 2015). Set within a backdrop of policy to
750 encourage the use of more sustainable forms of transport, such as active and public modes,
751 understanding the implications of these changes is paramount, as is how new data technologies (such
752 as persuasive and self-recording apps) can influence mobility behaviours in multiple ways (such as a
753 persuasive policy instrument or for self-reflection). Finally, the technologies are a data-source for
754 richer, contextually specific, high-resolution finely-grained data beyond the conventional, allowing
755 improved understanding of mobility behaviours, transport impacts impacts, and health outcomes as
756 well as cross-sectoral trade-offs (Grant-Muller, 2017) and can be used for calibration or data input to
757 SD models. We are confident that the inclusion of the influence of new data and technologies would
758 not only be a novel contribution to the field but will also greatly assist in furthering the understanding
759 of trade-offs between transport-related health outcomes.

760

761 **7. Conclusion**

762

763 In this paper we have recognised a need for a complex representation of the transport-health system
764 in order to inform more holistic and equitable policy making. We identified 23 existing system dynamic
765 models considering transport-health and from these constructed a combined CLD covering all aspects
766 identified in the studies that could address our research question *“Where are the trade-offs and*
767 *synergies between different types health impacts within the transport-health system?”* In doing so, we
768 have completed the Problem Articulation and Dynamic Hypothesis steps of the modelling process as
769 set out in Section 2. We began the Problem Articulation with our research question, which set out the
770 problem (the competing influences of transport impacts on health outcomes). Through the literature
771 review, we have confirmed why it is a problem (policies designed to influence one health outcome
772 may also influence another) and identified the model boundary, key concepts and behavioural trends
773 that represent it in our combined CLD. We also established that measurable health outcomes related
774 to transport can be characterised in many ways, some of which may be exogenous to the CLD,
775 parametrising this as Disease Burden and Population Health. The endogenous transport impacts can
776 be classified into 6 interacting areas: Air Pollution, Stress, Noise, Collision Rate, Physical Activity,
777 Disease Diffusion. Furthermore, it is clear that these transport impacts and health outcomes operate
778 at different time-scales, causing difficulty in establishing an appropriate time horizon (or indeed time-
779 step). We have however identified the key variables and relationships established in existing studies
780 that allow us to develop a Dynamic Hypothesis, the CLD which is presented in Figure 6. There are four
781 functional variable types in this CLD: Health Outcomes, Transport Impacts, Mobility Behaviours and
782 Wide System. These could be improved by a more nuanced consideration of spatial, temporal and
783 sociodemographic input. We also suggest that our CLD could be enhanced by the incorporation of new
784 data and future technologies, which we have established could have a great influence on the
785 transport-health system and in addressing our research question, but was not directly considered in
786 any of the studies reviewed here.

787 We recognise the many strengths that the studies included in this review already have and that there
788 was subjectivity in our interpretation of the variables and construction of the combined CLD. Indeed,
789 inconsistencies in representation between the studies meant that comparison and combination was
790 a difficult process. Therefore, in the next step of this research the CLD will be further refined through
791 engaging with a varied panel of experts in the areas of mobility, public health and technology to
792 provide their insight and feedback through a multi-stage survey process. Our research priority is to
793 improve this through the consideration of how new data and technologies may influence the
794 transport-health system. Experts will further contribute to issues including the relative magnitudes
795 and timescales of impacts and feedback loops, the development of policy scenarios and the possible
796 impacts of physical distancing policies arising from the current COVID-19 response. One well accepted
797 limitation of SD is that traditionally operates at an aggregate level. Both mobility behaviours and
798 health are unique to individuals. Mobility behaviours are formed by both circumstance and individual

799 choice, and can be limited by various socio-economic factors. These same factors can be pre-
800 determinants for health. Therefore it is important to understand the population subgroups that are
801 relevant to be represented and how behaviours and impacts may differ between them, in order to
802 account for social inequity within the model. We are particularly interested in a better representation
803 of morbidity and mortality, which was not explicitly captured in many of the models in this review, but
804 is advocated by Nieuwenhuijsen et al. (2016). The resultant CLD will then form the basis of a
805 quantitative SD model and policy scenarios will be designed. In the development of this we will be
806 drawing further from the studies reviewed here.

807 As with all models, SD is dependent on the quality of input data and is less reliable under extreme
808 conditions or long time scales. In order to overcome such limitations, integration with other forms of
809 models, such as HIAs, spatial models or Agent Based Modelling, may be beneficial. As would sourcing
810 new data forms that could provide more detailed insights in mobility behaviors. Whilst our intended
811 next stage will involve engagement with experts, a further phase could consider citizen participation,
812 which is recommended by Nieuwenhuijsen et al. (2017).

813 Building on existing studies, this review and the combined CLD will have useful applications for the
814 evaluation and development of policies related to transport-health.

815

816

817

818

819

820

821

822

823

824

825

826

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

834

835

836

837

838

840 **Loop Descriptions for Figure 3: Transport-pollution health CLD**

B1	Travel Demand (+) > Vehicles (+) > Congestion (-) > Travel Demand
B2	Congestion (+) > Infrastructure (+) > Capacity (-) > Congestion
B3	Disease Burden (+) > Sick Population (+) > Death Rate (-) > Healthy Population (+) > Disease Burden
B4	Pollutants (+) > Air Pollution and Climate Change (+) > Disease Burden (+) > Sick Population (+) > Death Rate (-) > Healthy Population (+) > Travel Demand (+) > Vehicles (+) > Conventional Vehicles (+) > Pollutants
B5	Pollutants (+) > Air Pollution and Climate Change (+) > Disease Burden (+) > Sick Population (+) > Death Rate (-) > Healthy Population (+) > Travel Demand (+) > Vehicles (+) > Congestion (+) > Pollutants
B6	Pollutants (+) > Air Pollution and Climate Change (+) > Disease Burden (+) > Sick Population (+) > Recovery Rate (+) > Healthy Population (+) > Travel Demand (+) > Vehicles (+) > Low Carbon Vehicles (-) > Conventional Vehicles (+) > Pollutants
R1	Vehicles (+) > Congestion (+) > Infrastructure (+) > Capacity (+) Vehicles
R2	Pollutants (+) > Air Pollution and Climate Change (+) > Disease Burden (+) > Hospitalisation Costs (-) > Public Money for Government Incentives (+) > Low Carbon Vehicles (-) > Pollutants
R3	Disease Burden (+) > Sick Population (+) > Recovery Rate (+) > Healthy Population (+) > Disease Burden
R4	Pollutants (+) > Air Pollution and Climate Change (+) > Disease Burden (+) > Sick Population (+) > Recovery Rate (+) > Healthy Population (+) > Travel Demand (+) > Vehicles (+) > Conventional Vehicles (+) > Pollutants
R5	Pollutants (+) > Air Pollution and Climate Change (+) > Disease Burden (+) > Sick Population (+) > Recovery Rate (+) > Healthy Population (+) > Travel Demand (+) > Vehicles (+) > Congestion (+) > Pollutants
R6	Pollutants (+) > Air Pollution and Climate Change (+) > Disease Burden (+) > Sick Population (+) > Death Rate (-) > Healthy Population (+) > Travel Demand (+) > Vehicles (+) > Low Carbon Vehicles (-) > Conventional Vehicles (+) > Pollutants

841

842 **Loop Descriptions for Figure 4: Road Safety CLD**

B1	Collisions (-) > Traffic Volume (+) > Collisions
B2	Collisions (-) > Traffic Volume (-) > Infrastructure Conditions (-) > Collisions
B3	Collisions (-) > Population (+) > Traffic Volume (+) > Collisions
B4	Collisions (-) > Population (+) > Driver Contribution (+) > Collisions
B5	Collisions (+) > Investment in Infrastructure (+) > Infrastructure Conditions (-) > Collisions
B6	Collisions (-) > Population (+) > Total Drug Users (+) > Driver Contribution (+) > Collisions
B7	Collisions (-) > Traffic Volume (+) > Climate Change (+) > Driver Contribution (+) > Collisions
B8	Collisions (-) > Traffic Volume (+) > Climate Change (-) > Infrastructure Conditions (-) > Collisions
B9	Collisions (-) > Traffic Volume (+) > Climate Change (-) > Economy (+) > Vehicle Conditions (-) > Collisions
B10	Collisions (-) > Traffic Volume (+) > Climate Change (-) > Economy (+) > Infrastructure Conditions (-) > Collisions
B11	Collisions (-) > Population (+) > Traffic Volume (+) > Climate Change (+) > Driver Contribution (+) > Collisions
B12	Collisions (-) > Population (+) > Traffic Volume (+) > Climate Change (-) > Infrastructure Conditions (-) > Collisions
B13	Collisions (-) > Population (+) > Traffic Volume (+) > Climate Change (-) > Economy (+) > Infrastructure Conditions (-) > Collisions
B14	Collisions (-) > Population (+) > Traffic Volume (+) > Climate Change (-) > Economy (+) > Vehicle Conditions (-) > Collisions
B15	Traffic Volume (+) > Climate Change (-) > Traffic Volume

R1	Collisions (-) > Economy (+) > Vehicle Conditions (-) > Collisions
R2	Collisions (-) > Population (-) > Infrastructure Conditions (+) > Collisions
R3	Collisions (+) > Investment in Infrastructure (+) > Driver Contribution (+) > Collisions
R4	Collisions (-) > Economy (+) > Infrastructure Conditions (-) > Collisions
R5	Collisions (-) > Population (+) > Traffic Volume (-) > Infrastructure Conditions (-) > Collisions
R6	Collisions (-) > Population (+) > Total Drug Users (+) > Drugged Driving Policy (-) > Driver Contribution (+) > Collisions

843

844 **Loop Descriptions for Figure 5: Active Travel CLD**

B1	Injuries and Fatalities (-) > Active Travellers (+) > Injuries and Fatalities
B2	Injuries and Fatalities (+) > Political pressure for improvement (+) > Infrastructure Investment (-) > Injuries and Fatalities
B3	Injuries and Fatalities (+) > Political pressure for improvement (+) > Infrastructure Investment (-) > Average Speed (+) > Injuries and Fatalities
R1	Injuries and Fatalities (-) > Active Travellers (+) > Infrastructure Investment (-) > Injuries and Fatalities
R2	Injuries and Fatalities (-) > Active Travellers (+) > Infrastructure Investment (-) > Average Speed (+) > Injuries and Fatalities
R3	Injuries and Fatalities (-) > Active Travellers (+) > Experience Awareness and Acceptance (-) > Injuries and Fatalities
B10	Injuries and Fatalities (+) > Media Reporting (+) > Political pressure for improvement (+) > Infrastructure Investment (-) > Injuries and Fatalities
B4	Injuries and Fatalities (-) > Active Travellers (-) > Other Modes (-) > Average Speed (+) > Injuries and Fatalities
R4	Injuries and Fatalities (-) > Active Travellers (-) > Other Modes (+) > Traffic Volume (+) > Injuries and Fatalities
B5	Injuries and Fatalities (+) > Media Reporting (-) > Perception of Safety (+) > Active Travellers (+) > Injuries and Fatalities
R5	Injuries and Fatalities (+) > Media Reporting (-) > Perception of Safety (+) > Active Travellers (+) > Infrastructure Investment (-) > Injuries and Fatalities
R10	Injuries and Fatalities (-) > Active Travellers (+) > Media Reporting (+) > Political pressure for improvement (+) > Infrastructure Investment (-) > Injuries and Fatalities
B11	Injuries and Fatalities (+) > Media Reporting (+) > Political pressure for improvement (+) > Infrastructure Investment (-) > Average Speed (+) > Injuries and Fatalities
R6	Injuries and Fatalities (+) > Media Reporting (-) > Perception of Safety (+) > Active Travellers (+) > Experience Awareness and Acceptance (-) > Injuries and Fatalities
R7	Injuries and Fatalities (+) > Media Reporting (-) > Perception of Safety (+) > Active Travellers (-) > Other Modes (+) > Traffic Volume (+) > Injuries and Fatalities
R11	Injuries and Fatalities (-) > Active Travellers (+) > Media Reporting (+) > Political pressure for improvement (+) > Infrastructure Investment (-) > Average Speed (+) > Injuries and Fatalities
B8	Injuries and Fatalities (-) > Active Travellers (-) > Other Modes (+) > Air Pollution (+) > Political pressure for improvement (+) > Infrastructure Investment (-) > Injuries and Fatalities
B6	Injuries and Fatalities (+) > Media Reporting (-) > Perception of Safety (-) > Active Travellers (+) > Infrastructure Investment (-) > Average Speed (+) > Injuries and Fatalities
R8	Injuries and Fatalities (+) > Media Reporting (-) > Perception of Safety (-) > Active Travellers (-) > Other Modes (+) > Average Speed (+) > Injuries and Fatalities
R9	Injuries and Fatalities (+) > Media Reporting (-) > Perception of Safety (-) > Active Travellers (-) > Other Modes (+) > Air Pollution (+) > Political pressure for improvement (+) > Infrastructure Investment (-) > Injuries and Fatalities
B7	Injuries and Fatalities (+) > Media Reporting (-) > Perception of Safety (-) > Active Travellers (+) > Demographic Normality of Active Travellers (+) > Active Travel advocacy (+) > Political pressure for improvement (+) > Infrastructure Investment (-) > Injuries and Fatalities

B8	Injuries and Fatalities (+) > Media Reporting (-) > Perception of Safety (-) > Active Travellers (-) > Other Modes (+) > Air Pollution (+) > Political pressure for improvement (+) > Infrastructure Investment (-) > Average Speed (+) > Injuries and Fatalities
B9	Injuries and Fatalities (+) > Media Reporting (-) > Perception of Safety (-) > Active Travellers (+) > Demographic Normality of Active Travellers (+) > Active Travel advocacy (+) > Political pressure for improvement (+) > Infrastructure Investment (-) > Average Speed (+) > Injuries and Fatalities
R12	Injuries and Fatalities (-) > Active Travellers (-) > Other Modes (+) > Air Pollution (+) > Political pressure for improvement (+) > Infrastructure Investment (-) > Average Speed (+) > Injuries and Fatalities
R13	Injuries and Fatalities (-) > Active Travellers (+) > Demographic Normality of Active Travellers (+) > Active Travel advocacy (+) > Political pressure for improvement (+) > Infrastructure Investment (-) > Injuries and Fatalities
R14	Injuries and Fatalities (-) > Active Travellers (+) > Demographic Normality of Active Travellers (+) > Active Travel advocacy (+) > Political pressure for improvement (+) > Infrastructure Investment (-) > Average Speed (+) > Injuries and Fatalities
R15	Active Travellers (+) > Visibility and Cultural Normality of Active Travel (+) > Active Travellers
R16	Active Travellers (+) > Perception of Safety (+) > Active Travellers
B13	Active Travellers (+) > Media Reporting (-) > Perception of Safety (+) > Active Travellers
R17	Active Travellers (+) > Demographic Normality of Active Travellers (+) > Visibility and Cultural Normality of Active Travel (+) > Active Travellers
R18	Active Travellers (+) > Demographic Normality of Active Travellers (+) > Active Travel advocacy (+) > Perception of Safety (+) > Active Travellers
R19	Active Travellers (-) > Other Modes (+) > Traffic Volume (-) > Perception of Safety (+) > Active Travellers
R20	Active Travellers (-) > Other Modes (+) > Traffic Volume (-) > Attractive destinations (+) > Presence of people on streets (-) > Local crime and violence (-) > Sense of Security (+) > Active Travellers
B14	Active Travellers (+) > Experience Awareness and Acceptance (+) > Bad experiences (-) > Perception of Safety (+) > Active Travellers
R21	Active Travellers (+) > Presence of people on streets (-) > Local crime and violence (-) > Sense of Security (+) > Active Travellers
B15	Active Travellers (+) > Attractive destinations (+) > Presence of people on streets (-) > Local crime and violence (-) > Sense of Security (-) > Active Travellers

845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858

859 **REFERENCES**

- 860 ABBAS, K. A. & BELL, M. G. H. 1994. System dynamics applicability to transportation modeling.
861 *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 28, 373-390.
- 862 ALIREZAEI, M., ONAT, N. C., TATARI, O. & ABDEL-ATY, M. 2017. The Climate Change-Road Safety-
863 Economy Nexus: A System Dynamics Approach to Understanding Complex
864 Interdependencies. *Systems* 5, 6.
- 865 ARAZ, O. M., WILSON, F. A. & STIMPSON, J. P. 2020. Complex systems modeling for evaluating
866 potential impact of traffic safety policies: a case on drug-involved fatal crashes. *Annals of*
867 *Operations Research*, 291, 37-58.
- 868 ARKSEY, H. & O'MALLEY, L. 2005. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework.
869 *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 8, 19-32.
- 870 ARMAH, F. A., YAWSON, D. O. & PAPPOE, A. A. N. M. 2010. A Systems Dynamics Approach to Explore
871 Traffic Congestion and Air Pollution Link in the City of Accra, Ghana. *Sustainability*, 2, 252-
872 265.
- 873 ATKINSON, J.-A., WELLS, R., PAGE, A., DOMINELLO, A., HAINES, M. & WILSON, A. 2015. Applications
874 of system dynamics modelling to support health policy. *Public Health Research & Practice*.
- 875 BLAU, J., KELLY ERNST, MATTHIAS WISMAR, FRANZ BARO, MOJCA GABRIJELCIC BLENKUS, KONRADE
876 VON BREMEN, RAINER FEHR, GABRIEL GULIS, TAPANI KAUPPINEN, ODILE MEKEL, KIRSI
877 NELIMARKKA, KERTTU PERTILÄ, NINA SCAGNETTI, MARTIN SPRENGER, INGRID STEGEMAN
878 & WELTEKE, R. 2006. Chapter 11: The use of health impact assessment across Europe. *In:*
879 TIMO STÅHL, M. W., EEVA OLLILA, EERO LAHTINEN & KIMMO LEPPÖ (ed.) *Health in All*
880 *Policies: Prospects and potentials*. Finland. Available from:
881 [https://www.euro.who.int/ data/assets/pdf file/0003/109146/E89260.pdf?ua=1](https://www.euro.who.int/data/assets/pdf_file/0003/109146/E89260.pdf?ua=1): Finnish
882 Ministry of Social Affairs and Health.
- 883 BONIFACE, S., SCANTLEBURY, R., WATKINS, S. J. & MINDELL, J. S. 2015. Health implications of
884 transport: Evidence of effects of transport on social interactions. *Journal of Transport &*
885 *Health*, 2, 441-446.
- 886 CAROLEO, B., PAUTASSO, E., OSELLA, M., PALUMBO, E. & FERRO, E. Assessing the Impacts of Electric
887 Vehicles Uptake: A System Dynamics Approach. 2017 IEEE 41st Annual Computer Software
888 and Applications Conference (COMPSAC), 4-8 July 2017 2017. 790-795.
- 889 CURRIE, D. J., SMITH, C. & JAGALS, P. J. B. P. H. 2018. The application of system dynamics modelling
890 to environmental health decision-making and policy - a scoping review. *BMC Public Health*,
891 18, 402.
- 892 DEKKER, R. 2017. *Applied Systems Theory*, Springer.
- 893 DHIRASASNA, N. & SAHIN, O. 2019. A Multi-Methodology Approach to Creating a Causal Loop
894 Diagram. *Systems*, 7.
- 895 FIORELLO, D., F. FERMI. & BIELANSKA, D. 2010. The ASTRA model for strategic assessment of
896 transport policies. *System Dynamics Review*, 26, 193-290.
- 897 FRIEDMAN, S. 2006. Is counter-productive policy creating serious consequences? The case of
898 highway maintenance. 22, 371-394.
- 899 GOEDKOOPE, M. J., R. HEIJUNGS, M. HUIJBREGTS, A. DESCHRYVER, J. S. & R, V. Z. 2009. ReCiPe 2008,
900 A life cycle impact assessment method which comprises harmonised category indicators at
901 the midpoint and the endpoint level. Available from: [https://www.rivm.nl/en/life-cycle-](https://www.rivm.nl/en/life-cycle-assessment-lca/downloads)
902 [assessment-lca/downloads](https://www.rivm.nl/en/life-cycle-assessment-lca/downloads) (accessed 23/10/20): Dutch Ministry of Infrastructure and
903 Environment (RIVM).

- 904 GOH, Y. M. & LOVE, P. E. D. 2012. Methodological application of system dynamics for evaluating
905 traffic safety policy. *Safety Science*, 50, 1594-1605.
- 906 GRANT-MULLER, S. M. F. C. H., N. MALLESON, R. SNOWBALL. Enhancing Energy, Health and Security
907 Policy by Extracting, Enriching and Interfacing Next Generation Data in the Transport domain
908 (A study on the use of Big Data in cross-sectoral policy development). 2017 IEEE 6th
909 International Congress on Big Data, 2017 Honolulu, Hawaii, USA. IEEE.
- 910 HIRSCH, G., HOMER, J. & TOMOAI-A-COTISEL, A. 2015. Virtual Issue on System Dynamics Applications
911 to Health and Health Care: Introduction. *System Dynamics Review*, Available from:
912 <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/page/journal/10991727/homepage/VirtualIssuesPage.html>.
- 913 HOMER, J. B. & HIRSCH, G. B. 2006. System Dynamics Modeling for Public Health: Background and
914 Opportunities. *American Journal of Public Health*, 96, 452-458.
- 915 INAM, A., ADAMOWSKI, J., HALBE, J. & PRASHER, S. 2015. Using causal loop diagrams for the
916 initialization of stakeholder engagement in soil salinity management in agricultural
917 watersheds in developing countries: A case study in the Rechna Doab watershed, Pakistan.
918 *Journal of Environmental Management*, 152, 251-267.
- 919 KAHLMEIER, S., THOMAS GÖTSCHI, NICK CAVILL, ALBERTO CASTRO FERNANDEZ, CHRISTIAN BRAND,
920 DAVID ROJAS RUEDA, JAMES WOODCOCK, PAUL KELLY, CHRISTOPH LIEB, PEKKA OJA,
921 CHARLIE FOSTER, AND, H. R. & RACIOPPI, F. 2017. Health economic assessment tool (HEAT)
922 for walking and for cycling. Methods and user guide on physical activity, air pollution,
923 injuries and carbon impact assessments (2017). Available online:
924 [https://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/environment-and-health/Transport-and-
925 health/publications/2017/health-economic-assessment-tool-heat-for-walking-and-for-
926 cycling.-methods-and-user-guide-on-physical-activity,-air-pollution,-injuries-and-carbon-
927 impact-assessments-2017](https://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/environment-and-health/Transport-and-health/publications/2017/health-economic-assessment-tool-heat-for-walking-and-for-cycling.-methods-and-user-guide-on-physical-activity,-air-pollution,-injuries-and-carbon-impact-assessments-2017) (accessed 23/07/20): World Health Organisation.
- 928 KHREIS, H., GLAZENER, A., RAMANI, T., ZIETSMAN, J., NIEUWENHUIJSEN, M. & MINDELL, J. 2019.
929 *Transportation and Health: A Conceptual Model and Literature Review*.
- 930 KOLLING J, COX L, FLANDERS N, PROCTOR A, TANNERS N, BASSI A & R., A. 2016. A system dynamics
931 model for integrated decision making: the Durham-Orange light rail project. *In: AGENCY, U.*
932 *S. E. P. (ed.)*.
- 933 LANGELLIER, B. A., KUHLBERG, J. A., BALLARD, E. A., SLESINSKI, S. C., STANKOV, I., GOUVEIA, N.,
934 MEISEL, J. D., KROKER-LOBOS, M. F., SARMIENTO, O. L., CAIAFFA, W. T. & DIEZ ROUX, A. V.
935 2019. Using community-based system dynamics modeling to understand the complex
936 systems that influence health in cities: The SALURBAL study. *Health & Place*, 60, 102215.
- 937 LEVAC, D., COLQUHOUN, H. & O'BRIEN, K. K. 2010. Scoping studies: advancing the methodology.
938 *Implementation Science*, 5, 69.
- 939 LITMAN, T. 2013. *Transportation and Public Health*. 34, 217-233.
- 940 LÓPEZ, E., MONZÓN, A. & PFAFFENBICHLER, P. C. 2012. Assessment of energy efficiency and
941 sustainability scenarios in the transport system. *European Transport Research Review*, 4, 47-
942 56.
- 943 LUNA-REYES, L. F. & ANDERSEN, D. L. 2003. Collecting and analyzing qualitative data for system
944 dynamics: methods and models. 19, 271-296.
- 945 MACMILLAN, A., CONNOR, J., WITTEN, K., KEARNS, R., REES, D. & WOODWARD, A. 2014. The Societal
946 Costs and Benefits of Commuter Bicycling: Simulating the Effects of Specific Policies Using
947 System Dynamics Modeling. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 122, 335-344.

- 948 MACMILLAN, A., ROBERTS, A., WOODCOCK, J., ALDRED, R. & GOODMAN, A. 2016. Trends in local
949 newspaper reporting of London cyclist fatalities 1992-2012: the role of the media in shaping
950 the systems dynamics of cycling. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 86, 137-145.
- 951 MACMILLAN, A., SMITH, M., WITTEN, K., WOODWARD, A., HOSKING, J., WILD, K. & FIELD, A. 2020.
952 Suburb-level changes for active transport to meet the SDGs: Causal theory and a New
953 Zealand case study. *Science of The Total Environment*, 714, 136678.
- 954 MACMILLAN, A. & WOODCOCK, J. 2017. Understanding bicycling in cities using system dynamics
955 modelling. *Journal of Transport & Health*, 7, 269-279.
- 956 MACMILLAN, A. K. 2012. *Intervening in the trip to work, A system dynamics approach to commuting
957 and public health*. Doctor of Philosophy in Community Health, University of Auckland.
- 958 MACMILLAN, A. K. & MACKIE, H. 2016. Optimising Low Carbon Mobility for Health and Equity. In: D.
959 HOPKINS, J. E. S. H. (ed.) *Low Carbon Mobility Transitions*. Wolvercote, Oxford: Goodfellow
960 Publishers.
- 961 MACMILLAN, A. K., MACKIE, H., HOSKING, J. E., WITTEN, K., SMITH, M., FIELD, A., WOODWARD, A.,
962 HOSKINS, R., STEWART, J., VAN DER WERF, B. & BAAS, P. 2018. Controlled before-after
963 intervention study of suburb-wide street changes to increase walking and cycling: Te Ara
964 Mua-Future Streets study design. *BMC Public Health*, 18, 850.
- 965 MARTINEZ-MOYANO, I. J. & RICHARDSON, G. P. 2013. Best practices in system dynamics modeling.
966 29, 102-123.
- 967 MCCLURE, R. J., ADRIAZOLA-STEIL, C., MULVIHILL, C., FITZHARRIS, M., SALMON, P., BONNINGTON, C.
968 P. & STEVENSON, M. 2015. Simulating the Dynamic Effect of Land Use and Transport Policies
969 on the Health of Populations. *American Journal of Public Health*, 105, S223-S229.
- 970 MEADOWS, D. H. 2008. *Thinking in Systems. A Primer.*, White Rvider Junction, Vermont, Chelsea
971 Green Publishing.
- 972 MOHER, D., LIBERATI, A., TETZLAFF, J., ALTMAN, D. G. & THE, P. G. 2009. Preferred Reporting Items
973 for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. *PLOS Medicine*, 6,
974 e1000097.
- 975 MUELLER, N., ROJAS-RUEDA, D., BASAGAÑA, X., CIRACH, M., COLE-HUNTER, T., DADVAND, P.,
976 DONAIRE-GONZALEZ, D., FORASTER, M., GASCON, M., MARTINEZ, D., TONNE, C., TRIGUERO-
977 MAS, M., VALENTÍN, A. & NIEUWENHUIJSEN, M. 2017. Urban and Transport Planning
978 Related Exposures and Mortality: A Health Impact Assessment for Cities. 125, 89-96.
- 979 NIEUWENHUIJSEN, M. J., KHREIS, H., MUELLER, N. & ROJAS-RUEDA, D. 2020. Chapter fourteen -
980 Health impact assessment of transport planning and policy. In: NIEUWENHUIJSEN, M. J. &
981 KHREIS, H. (eds.) *Advances in Transportation and Health*. Elsevier.
- 982 NIEUWENHUIJSEN, M. J., KHREIS, H., VERLINGHIERI, E., MUELLER, N. & ROJAS-RUEDA, D. 2017.
983 Participatory quantitative health impact assessment of urban and transport planning in
984 cities: A review and research needs. *Environment International*, 103, 61-72.
- 985 NIEUWENHUIJSEN, M. J., KHREIS, H., VERLINGHIERI, E. & ROJAS-RUEDA, D. 2016. Transport And
986 Health: A Marriage Of Convenience Or An Absolute Necessity. *Environment International*, 88,
987 150-152.
- 988 ONAT, N. C., KUCUKVAR, M., TATARI, O. & EGILMEZ, G. 2016. Integration of system dynamics
989 approach toward deepening and broadening the life cycle sustainability assessment
990 framework: a case for electric vehicles. *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment*,
991 21, 1009-1034.

- 992 PFAFFENBICHLER, P. 2011. Modelling with Systems Dynamics as a Method to Bridge the Gap
 993 between Politics, Planning and Science? Lessons Learnt from the Development of the Land
 994 Use and Transport Model MARS. *Transport Reviews*, 31, 267-289.
- 995 PFAFFENBICHLER, P., EMBERGER, G. & SHEPHERD, S. 2008. The Integrated Dynamic Land Use and
 996 Transport Model MARS. *Networks and Spatial Economics*, 8, 183-200.
- 997 RAHMANDAD, H. & STERMAN, J. D. 2012. Reporting guidelines for simulation-based research in
 998 social sciences. *System Dynamics Review*, 28, 396-411.
- 999 RECIO, A., LINARES, C. & DÍAZ, J. 2018. System dynamics for predicting the impact of traffic noise on
 1000 cardiovascular mortality in Madrid. *Environmental Research*, 167, 499-505.
- 1001 SALLEH, S., THOKALA, P., BRENNAN, A., HUGHES, R. & BOOTH, A. 2017. Simulation Modelling in
 1002 Healthcare: An Umbrella Review of Systematic Literature Reviews. *PharmacoEconomics*, 35,
 1003 937-949.
- 1004 SDS. 2020. *What is SD?* [Online]. <https://www.systemdynamics.org/what-is-sd>. Accessed 10th July
 1005 2020.: System Dynamics Society. [Accessed].
- 1006 SHAHGHOLIAN, K. & HAJIHOSEINI, H. 2009. A Dynamic Model of Air Pollution, Health, and
 1007 Population Growth Using System Dynamics: A Study on Tehran-Iran (With Computer
 1008 Simulation by the Software Vensim). *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology
 1009 International Journal of Computer and Systems Engineering*, 3, 372 - 379.
- 1010 SHEPHERD, S. P. 2014. A review of system dynamics models applied in transportation.
 1011 *Transportmetrica B: Transport Dynamics*, 2, 83-105.
- 1012 STANKOV, I., GARCIA, L. M. T., MASCOLLI, M. A., MONTES, F., MEISEL, J. D., GOUVEIA, N.,
 1013 SARMIENTO, O. L., RODRIGUEZ, D. A., HAMMOND, R. A., CAIAFFA, W. T. & DIEZ ROUX, A. V.
 1014 2020. A systematic review of empirical and simulation studies evaluating the health impact
 1015 of transportation interventions. *Environmental Research*, 186, 109519.
- 1016 STAVE, K. A. 2002. Using system dynamics to improve public participation in environmental
 1017 decisions. *System dynamics Review*, 18, 139-167.
- 1018 STAVE, K. A. & DWYER, M. 2006. Lessons from LUTAQ: Building systems thinking capacity into land
 1019 use, transportation, and air quality planning in Las Vegas, Nevada *24th International
 1020 Conference of the System Dynamics Society*. 23–27 July 2006. Nijmegen; 2006.
- 1021 STERMAN, J. D. 2000a. *Business Dynamics: Systems Thinking and Modelling for a Complex World*,
 1022 Boston, McGraw-Hill Higher Education.
- 1023 STERMAN, J. D. 2000b. Chapter 3: The Modelling Process. *Business Dynamics: Systems Thinking and
 1024 Modelling for a Complex World*. Boston: McGraw-Hill Higher Education.
- 1025 STERMAN, J. D. 2006. Learning from evidence in a complex world. *American journal of public health*,
 1026 96, 505-514.
- 1027 STEVENSON, M., THOMPSON, J., DE SÁ, T. H., EWING, R., MOHAN, D., MCCLURE, R., ROBERTS, I.,
 1028 TIWARI, G., GILES-CORTI, B., SUN, X., WALLACE, M. & WOODCOCK, J. 2016. Land use,
 1029 transport, and population health: estimating the health benefits of compact cities. *The
 1030 Lancet*, 388, 2925-2935.
- 1031 VEZIROĞLU, A. & MACÁRIO, R. 2014. A System Dynamics Model For The Reduction Of Health
 1032 Expenditures Through Transition To Hydrogen Vehicles (Srth): Case Studies In the Usa, China,
 1033 And India. *International Journal of Green Energy*, 11, 695-726.

- 1034 WAHEED, F., FERGUSON, G. M., OLLSON, C. A., MACLELLAN, J. I., MCCALLUM, L. C. & COLE, D. C.
 1035 2018. Health Impact Assessment of transportation projects, plans and policies: A scoping
 1036 review. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 71, 17-25.
- 1037 WEE, B. V. 2015. Peak car: The first signs of a shift towards ICT-based activities replacing travel? A
 1038 discussion paper. *Transport Policy*, 42, 1-3.
- 1039 WHO 1946. Preamble to the Constitution of WHO as adopted by the International Health
 1040 Conference, New York, 19 June - 22 July 1946; signed on 22 July 1946 by the representatives
 1041 of 61 States (Official Records of WHO, no. 2, p. 100) and entered into force on 7 April 1948.
 1042 The definition has not been amended since 1948.
- 1043 WIDENER, M. J. & HATZOPOULOU, M. 2016. Contextualizing research on transportation and health:
 1044 A systems perspective. *Journal of Transport & Health*, 3, 232-239.
- 1045 WOLSTENHOLME, E. F. 2003. Towards the definition and use of a core set of archetypal structures in
 1046 system dynamics. 19, 7-26.
- 1047 WOODCOCK, J., EDWARDS, P., TONNE, C., ARMSTRONG, B. G., ASHIRU, O., BANISTER, D., BEEVERS,
 1048 S., CHALABI, Z., CHOWDHURY, Z., COHEN, A., FRANCO, O. H., HAINES, A., HICKMAN, R.,
 1049 LINDSAY, G., MITTAL, I., MOHAN, D., TIWARI, G., WOODWARD, A. & ROBERTS, I. 2009. Public
 1050 health benefits of strategies to reduce greenhouse-gas emissions: urban land transport. *The
 1051 Lancet*, 374, 1930-1943.
- 1052