

This is a repository copy of *PV output power enhancement using two mitigation techniques for hot spots and partially shaded solar cells*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/177689/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Article:**

Dhimish, Mahmoud, Holmes, Violeta, Mehrdadi, Bruce et al. (2 more authors) (2018) PV output power enhancement using two mitigation techniques for hot spots and partially shaded solar cells. *Electric Power Systems Research*. pp. 15-25.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsr.2018.01.002>

---

**Reuse**

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

# PV output power enhancement using two mitigation techniques for hot spots and partially shaded solar cells

Mahmoud Dhimish, Violeta Holmes, Bruce Mehrdadi, Mark Dales, Peter Mather  
School of Computing and Engineering, University of Huddersfield, United Kingdom

---

## *Abstract*

Hot spotting is a reliability problem in photovoltaic (PV) panels where a mismatched cell heats up significantly and degrades PV panel output power performance. High PV cell temperature due to hot spotting can damage the cell encapsulate and lead to second breakdown, where both cause permanent damage to the PV panel. Therefore, the design and development of two hot spot mitigation techniques are proposed using a simple, costless and reliable method. The hot spots in the examined PV system was carried out using FLIER i5 thermal imaging camera.

Several experiments have been examined during various environmental conditions, where the PV module I-V curve was evaluated in each observed test to analyze the output power performance before and after the activation of the proposed hot spot mitigation techniques. One PV module affected by hot spot was tested. The output power during high irradiance levels is increased by approximate to 1.25 W after the activation of the first hot spot mitigation technique. However, the second mitigation technique guarantee an increase of the power equals to 3.96 W. Additional test has been examined during partial shading condition. Both proposed techniques ensure a decrease in the shaded PV cell temperature, thus an increase in the output measured power.

**Keywords:** *Hot spot protection; photovoltaic (PV) hot spotting analysis; solar cells; thermal imaging.*

---

## *1. Introduction*

Photovoltaic (PV) hot spots are a well-known phenomenon, described as early as in 1969 [1] and still present in PV modules [2 and 3]. PV hot spots occur when a cell, or group of cells, operates at reverse-bias, dissipating power instead of delivering it and, therefore, operating at abnormally high temperatures. This increase in the cells temperature will gradually degrade the output power generated by the PV module as explained by M. Simon & L. Meyer [4]. Hot spots are relatively frequent in current PV modules and this situation will likely persist as the PV module technology is evolving to thinner wafers, which are prone to developing micro-cracks during the manipulation process such as manufacturing, transportation and installation [5 and 6].

PV hot spots can be easily detected using IR inspection, which has become a common practice in current PV applications as shown in [7]. However, the impact of hot spots on operational efficiency and PV lifetime have been scarcely addressed, which helps to explain why there is lack of widely accepted procedures which deals with hot spots in practice as well as specific criteria referring to acceptance or rejection of affected PV module in commercial frameworks as described by R. Moretón et al [8]. Thus, this paper demonstrates two mitigation techniques which will improve the output power performance of the hot spotted PV modules.

39 In the past, the increase in the number of bypass diodes (up to one diode for each cell) has been  
40 proposed as a possible solution [9 and 10]. However, this approach has not encountered the favor  
41 of crystalline PV modules producers since it requires a not negligible technological cost and can  
42 be even detrimental in terms of power production when many diodes are activated because of their  
43 power consumption as discussed by S. Daliento et al [11].

44 In addition, the main prevention method for hot spotting is a passive bypass diode that is placed in  
45 parallel with a string of PV cells. The use of bypass diodes across PV strings is standard practice  
46 that is required is crystalline silicon PV panels [12 and 13]. Their purpose is to prevent hot spot  
47 damage that can occur in series-connected PV cells [14]. Bypass diodes turn “on” to provide an  
48 alternative current path and attempt to prevent extreme reverse voltage bias on PV strings. The  
49 general misconception is that bypassing a string protects cells against hot spotting.

50 More recently, it has been shown that the distributed MPPT approach suggested by M. Coppola  
51 [15] is beneficial for mitigating the hot spot in partially shaded modules with a temperature  
52 reduction up to 20 °C for small shadows. On the other hand, [16 and 17] showing the  
53 “inadequateness” of the standard bypass diode, the insertion of a series-connected switch are suited  
54 to interrupt the current flow during bypass activation process. However, this solution requires a  
55 quite complex electronic board design that needs devised power supply and suitable control logic  
56 for activation the hot spot protection device.

57 A modified bypass circuit for improving the hot spot reliability of solar panels is proposed by S,  
58 Daliento [18]. The technique relies on series-connected power MOSFET that subtracts part of the  
59 reverse voltage from the shaded solar cell, thereby acting as a voltage divider, while the bypass  
60 circuit does not require either a control logic or power supply and can be substituted to the standard  
61 bypass diodes of the PV panels.

62 This paper presents a simple solution for mitigating the impact of hot spots in PV solar cells. Two  
63 techniques are proposed, where both hot spot mitigation techniques consists of multiple MOSTEFs  
64 connected to the PV panel which is affected by a hot spot. Several experiments have been  
65 examined during various environmental conditions, where the PV module I-V curve was evaluated  
66 in each observed test to analyse the output power performance before and after the activation of  
67 the proposed techniques.

68 One PV module affected by a hot spot was tested. After activating the first mitigation technique  
69 the output power of the PV module increased by 1.25 W in high irradiance levels, 0.61 W in  
70 medium irradiance level and 0.46 W in low irradiance level. Same experiments were carried out  
71 using the 2<sup>nd</sup> proposed hot spot mitigation technique, while the output power increased by 3.96 W  
72 in high irradiance levels, 2.72 in medium irradiance levels and 0.98 W in low irradiance levels.

73 The main contribution of this paper, is the development of a simple, reliable, and fast PV hot spot  
74 mitigation technique which reduce the reverse voltage across hot spotted and shaded solar cells,  
75 thus mitigating power dissipation and cell temperature. The approach is based on the adoption of  
76 a low cost power MOSFETs that sustain part of the reverse voltage, therefore, dissipating a portion  
77 of the power in the place of the shaded cells. Differently from [16, 20 and 21], the functioning  
78 principle of the proposed approach does not require either power supply or control logic.

79 This paper is organized as follows: section 2 illustrates the examined PV system, while section 3  
 80 describes the proposed hot spot mitigation techniques. Section 4 shows the validation process of  
 81 the proposed hot spot mitigation techniques using two case studies. Lastly, section 5 demonstrates  
 82 the conclusion and the future work.

## 83 2. Photovoltaic System

### 84 2.1 Examined Photovoltaic Module Characteristics

85 The PV system used in this work comprises a PV plant containing 9 polycrystalline silicon PV  
 86 modules each with a nominal power of 220 W<sub>p</sub>. The SMT6 (60) P solar module manufactured by  
 87 Romag has been used in this work. The tilt angle of the PV installation is 42°. The electrical  
 88 characteristics of the solar module under standard test conditions (STC) are shown in Table 1. In  
 89 addition, Fig. 1(a) show the overall examined PV plant.

90 In order to examine the behavior of a PV module, it must be connected to a load. Otherwise, the  
 91 PV module would not generate an output power, since the PV module will be in open circuit mode.  
 92 In that case, it is only possible to measure the open circuit voltage and short circuit current.  
 93 Therefore, in this work, a resistive load was connected to the tested PV module through a  
 94 maximum power point tracking (MPPT) unit, which can be seen in Fig. 1(b).

95 The purpose of the MPPT unit is to track the maximum output power of the PV module under  
 96 various environmental conditions. The MPPT unit is manufactured by Outback Power. This unit  
 97 has a minimum output efficiency equals to 98.5% [19].

98 As can be noticed, the PV system does not contain a DC/AC inverter, since this work focuses on  
 99 the behavior of the PV modules in the DC side. Therefore, MPPT unit with resistive load was used  
 100 to test the reliability of the proposed methods.

101 Generally speaking, the performance of the DC/AC inverters used in PV systems are affected by  
 102 the input power of the PV modules in which it is affected by the PV module's temperature and  
 103 solar irradiance. Thus, the predictively of the performance for the inverters does not only depends  
 104 on the input power for the PV modules. Therefore, in this work we will be examining the  
 105 enhancement of the output power of PV modules under various environmental conditions, and it  
 106 is intended in the future to examine this improvement using an AC applications.

Table 1 Examined PV electrical characteristics


PV module electrical characteristics	Value
PV peak power	220 W
One PV cell peak power	3.6 W
Voltage at maximum power point ( $V_{mpp}$ )	28.7 V
Current at maximum power point ( $I_{mpp}$ )	7.67 A
Open Circuit Voltage ( $V_{oc}$ )	36.74 V
Short Circuit Current ( $I_{sc}$ )	8.24 A

107 I-V curve tracer was also used to plot the I-V curve of the examined PV modules under various  
 108 experimental conditions. The main specification, including the voltage resolution, and current  
 109 resolution can be seen in Fig. 1(b). As can be noticed, the error in the measured PV voltage, PV  
 110 current, solar irradiance and PV module temperature is very limited due to the high accuracy of  
 111 the I-V tracer, which approximately cost 4,500£.

112 The AC side of the PV installation has not been considered, since, this work focuses on the  
 113 behavior of the hot spotted PV modules. As stated in the introduction, there is a rapid decrease in  
 114 the output power for the hot spotted PV modules. Therefore, this work demonstrates two different  
 115 techniques to increase the reliability of the hot spotted PV modules, which will be described in  
 116 section 3.



(a)



(b)

Fig. 1. (a) Examined PV system installed at the University of Huddersfield, United Kingdom,  
 (b) Structure and the used instruments to examine the hot spotted PV modules

117 **2.2 Evaluating the Photovoltaic I-V Curve Tracer and i5 FLIR Thermal Camera**

118 In this section, the output results of the I-V curve tracer shown previously in Fig. 1(b) will be  
 119 evaluated using various environmental conditions affecting a PV module.

120 Fig. 2 shows three different I-V curves experimented under high, medium, and low irradiance  
 121 levels. The theoretical maximum power point (MPP) and measured MPP at each environmental  
 122 condition is reported, where the accuracy of the I-V curve tracer is equal to:

- 123 1. High irradiance level:  $(185.60 / 186.382) \times 100 = 99.58\%$
- 124 2. Medium irradiance level:  $(107.79 / 108.299) \times 100 = 99.53\%$
- 125 3. Low irradiance level:  $(30.409 / 30.5991) \times 100 = 99.38\%$

126 As can be seen, the accuracy of the measured MPP and I-V curves is nearly equal to the theoretical  
 127 data, where the average accuracy in all reported data in Fig. 2 is equal to 99.5%.

128 The investigation of the hot spots in the examined PV system was carried out using FLIR i5  
 129 thermal camera as shown in Fig. 1(b). This camera has a thermal sensitivity equals to 32.18 °F,  
 130 where its specification is reported in Table 2.




Fig. 2. I-V curve tracer output results for various irradiance levels


Table 2 FLIR i5 camera specification

Comparison	Value
Thermal image quality	100x100 pixels
Field of view	21° (H) x 21° (V)
Thermal sensitivity	32.18 °F


131 Another test was carried out using a PV module affected by one hot spotted solar cell. The thermal  
 132 image of the examined PV module is shown in Fig. 3(a). As can be seen, the temperature of the  
 133 hot spotted solar cell is equal to 66.3 F, however, the temperature of the adjacent solar cells are  
 134 between 60.1 and 57.7 °F.

135 The I-V curve of the hot spotted PV module is compared with healthy PV module (PV module  
 136 without hot spots). The results is shown in Fig. 3(b). The MPP for a PV module without hot spot  
 137 is equal to 121.61 W. However, the MPP for hot spotted PV module is equal to 115.83 W.  
 138 Therefore, the power loss due to the hot spot in the examined PV module is equal to 5.78 W.

139 This experiment was carried out under 621 W/m<sup>2</sup> solar irradiance and the PV modules temperature  
 140 is approximately equal to 18.2 °C. Furthermore, according to the measured data in Fig. 2, the  
 141 average accuracy of the I-V curve tracer is equal to 99.5%. Therefore, the measured data illustrated  
 142 in Fig. 3(b) has an error in the measurements equals to ±0.5%.



(a)



(b)

Fig. 3. (a) Hot Spot detection using FLIR thermal camera, (b) Output results using healthy PV module vs. the hot spotted PV module

143 **3. *Proposed Hot Spot Mitigation Techniques***

144 The first proposed hot spot mitigation technique is connected to each PV string in the PV module.  
145 As can be seen in Fig. 4(a), the examined PV module used in this work contains three sub strings  
146 connected through bypass diodes.

147 In order to apply the proposed hot spot protection system, two MOSFETs were connected to each  
148 PV string as shown in Fig. 4(b). Switch 1 is in series with the PV string and is normally “on”; it  
149 opens when a hot spot condition is detected to prevent further hot spotting. While, switch 2 is in  
150 parallel with the PV string and it is normally in “open” mode, it turns “on” to allow a bypass  
151 current path when the PV string is open circuited.

152 Another hot spot mitigation technique was used with the PV module instead of the connection for  
153 each MOSFET to the PV strings as shown in Fig. 4(c). The same concept has been applied, where  
154 switch 1 is in series with the PV module is normally “on”; it opens when a hot spot condition is  
155 detected to prevent further hot spotting. Switch 2 is in parallel with the PV module and is normally  
156 “open”; it turns “on” to allow a bypass current path when the PV string is open circuited. The two  
157 switch PV protection device has been implemented and connected to the PV panel which contains  
158 the hot spot.

159 As can be noticed, the proposed techniques are simple to implement, where the connection steps  
160 is also within the PV module limit, since it requires only to add additional MOSFETs to the hot  
161 spotted PV module.

162 Moreover, Power MOSFETs IRFZ44V were used to implement and test the suggested hot spot  
163 mitigation techniques. The MOSFETs drain-to-source breakdown voltage is equal to 60 V, and  
164 the voltage drop in drain-to-source as low as 50 mV. Hence, the selection of the MOSFETs plays  
165 an important role in the mitigation techniques, therefore, the following MOSFET criteria must be  
166 met (any other MOSFET meet these criteria can be used to implement the suggested hot spot  
167 mitigation techniques):

- 168 1. Low drain-to-source voltage drop: better results in the I-V curve
- 169 2. Fast switching speed: to enable fast drop in the temperature of the hot spotted solar cell
- 170 3. Low on-resistance: low resistance means more current passes through the PV string
- 171 4. High operating temperature
- 172 5. Cost effective – for industrial applicability

173 The cost of the used MOSFETs is equal to 0.85£. Therefore, the total cost for the first and second  
174 presented techniques using 3 PV modules are equal to 18£ and 5.1£ respectively.

175 In the next section, the validation and comparison between both presented hot spot mitigation  
176 techniques are illustrated in brief.







Fig. 4. (a) The structure of the PV string for the examined PV module, (b) First hot spot mitigation technique, (c) Second proposed hot spot mitigation technique

178 **4. Validation of the Proposed Hot Spot Protection Method**

179 In this section the validation for both proposed hot spot mitigation techniques are demonstrated  
180 and compared. The output power has been carried out using the analysis of the I-V curve of the  
181 examined PV module, where the detection of the hot spot has been captured using FLIR i5 camera.

182 **4.1 Photovoltaic Hot Spot and I-V Curve Analysis**

183 The proposed hot spotting techniques were tested in an experimental setup with a resistive load  
184 powered by the PV module which contains the hot spot, previously shown in Fig. 2, where the  
185 MOSFETs are placed in the examined PV module as illustrated in Fig. 4(b) and Fig. 4(c).

186 There are several stages that have been assessed during the operation of the proposed hot spotting  
187 mitigation technique, these stages are describes as follows:

188 **1. Hot spot mitigation technique 1:**

189 The results obtained by the first mitigation technique is shown in Fig. 5(a), the results can be  
190 described by the following:


- 191 A. Before the activation: the temperature of the hot spotted PV solar cell is equal to 70 °F,  
192 while the adjacent (reference) solar cells temperature is equal to 61.5 °F.
- 193 B. 1 minute after the activation: the temperature of the hot spotted PV solar cell reduced  
194 to 68.7 °F, the difference between the hot spotted PV solar cell and the reference solar  
195 cell temperature is equal to 7.2 °F.
- 196 C. 2 minutes after the activation: the maximum enhancement of the temperature for the  
197 hot spotted PV solar cell is reduced to 67.1 °F, comparing to 70 °F before the activation  
198 of the mitigation technique.

200 **2. Hot spot mitigation technique2:**


201 The results obtained by the first mitigation technique is shown in Fig. 5(b), the results can be  
202 described by the following:

- 203 A. Before the activation: the temperature of the hot spotted PV solar cell is equal to 70.6  
204 °F, while the adjacent (reference) solar cells temperature is equal to 61.8 °F.
- 205 B. 1 minute after the activation: the temperature of the hot spotted PV solar cell reduced  
206 to 66.3 °F, the difference between the hot spotted PV solar cell and the reference solar  
207 cell temperature is equal to 4.5 °F.
- 208 C. 2 minutes after the activation: the maximum enhancement of the temperature for the  
209 hot spotted PV solar cell is reduced to 64.9 °F, comparing to 70.6 °F before the  
210 activation of the mitigation technique.

211 As can be noticed, the obtained results for the hot spot mitigation technique 2 has a better  
212 performance comparing to technique 1, where the maximum difference between the hot spotted  
213 PV solar cell and the adjacent solar cells is equal to 3.1 °F.



(a)



(b)

Fig. 5. (a) Output thermal images the first for hot spot mitigation technique, (b) Output thermal images for the second hot spot mitigation technique

215 The main reason for the proposed hot spotting mitigation techniques is to improve the output power  
 216 performance of the examined hot spotted PV module. The value of the power before and after the  
 217 activation for each proposed technique was monitored in three different irradiance levels: high  
 218 irradiance level: 840 W/m<sup>2</sup>, medium irradiance level: 507 W/m<sup>2</sup> and low irradiance level: 177  
 219 W/m<sup>2</sup>, while in all tested scenarios, the PV temperature is approximately equal to 16.2 °C.


220 Fig. 6(a) shows the output I-V curve of the PV module at high irradiance level. The measured  
 221 output power after the activation of the proposed 1<sup>st</sup> technique has a power loss equals to 3.94 W  
 222 comparing to 5.19 W with no mitigation technique deployed in the PV module. However, the  
 223 minimum loss in the output power is estimated while activating the 2<sup>nd</sup> hot spot mitigation  
 224 technique ( $P_{loss} = 1.23$  W). A brief comparison between both examined techniques are shown in  
 225 Table 3.

226 The output I-V curve of the examined PV module under medium and low irradiance levels are  
 227 shown in Fig. 6(b) and Fig. 6(c) respectively. The output results show a significant improvement  
 228 in the output power of the 2<sup>nd</sup> mitigation technique comparing to the 1<sup>st</sup> technique. Table 3  
 229 demonstrates a comparison between the output results in each examined irradiance level.


230 In conclusion, this section shows the validation and the enhancement of the temperature and the  
 231 output power generated by the PV module using both proposed hot spot mitigation techniques.  
 232 Additionally, technique 2 has a better output power performance comparing to the 1<sup>st</sup> proposed  
 233 mitigation technique.

Table 3 Comparison between the first and second proposed hot spot mitigation technique using high, medium and low irradiance levels


Irradiance (W/m <sup>2</sup> )	Theoretical Power (W)	Case Scenario	Voltage (V)	Current (A)	Power (W)	P <sub>loss</sub> (W)	Efficiency (%)
High 840	186.4	No mitigation	27.19	6.66	181.18	5.19	97.2
		1 <sup>st</sup> Technique	27.49	6.63	182.44	3.94	97.88
		2 <sup>nd</sup> Technique	28.33	6.53	185.15	1.23	99.33
Medium 507	108.2	No mitigation	26.00	4.02	104.54	3.63	96.64
		1 <sup>st</sup> Technique	26.23	4.00	105.15	3.02	97.20
		2 <sup>nd</sup> Technique	27.21	3.94	107.26	0.91	99.15
Low 177	34.4	No mitigation	23.73	1.39	33.02	1.37	95.99
		1 <sup>st</sup> Technique	24.24	1.38	33.49	0.91	97.33
		2 <sup>nd</sup> Technique	24.94	1.36	34.01	0.39	98.85



(a)



(b)



(c)

Fig. 6. Photovoltaic I-V curve. (a) Before and after considering hot spot mitigation techniques at  $G: 840 \text{ W/m}^2$ , (b) Before and after considering hot spot mitigation techniques at  $G: 507 \text{ W/m}^2$ , (c) Before and after considering hot spot mitigation techniques at  $G: 177 \text{ W/m}^2$

## 235 4.2 Photovoltaic Partial Shading Analysis

236 The main purpose of this section is to test the ability of the proposed hot spot mitigation techniques  
237 to increase the output power of a PV module during partial shading conditions affecting any PV  
238 module. PV Partial shading has been introduced by many researches such as [22 - 25], where there  
239 is a limited results which includes the mitigation of the temperature of the shades solar cell.

240 In order to test the ability of the proposed hot spot mitigation techniques, another experimental test  
241 has been carried out on a PV module with partially shaded solar cell. Fig. 7 shows an image of the  
242 examined PV module under shaded solar cell using paper opaque object. The PV module was  
243 experimented under the same irradiance level which is equal to  $784 \text{ W/m}^2$ . Moreover, in each  
244 tested experiment the temperature of the shaded solar cell was captured using the FLIR i5 camera.

245 The first test was carried out using the activation of the first proposed hot spot mitigation  
246 technique. Fig. 8(a) shows the thermography image of the shaded solar cell before and after the  
247 activation of the 1<sup>st</sup> hot spot mitigation technique. Before the activation, the temperature of the  
248 shaded solar cell is equal to  $66.6 \text{ }^\circ\text{F}$ . The solar cell temperature decreases to a minimum value of  
249  $63.9 \text{ }^\circ\text{F}$  after the activation of the hot spot mitigation technique. This decrease in the value of the  
250 temperature will guarantee an increase in the output power produced by the PV module. As  
251 illustrated in Fig. 9(a), the output power before and after the activation is equal to  $171.787 \text{ W}$  and  
252  $172.508 \text{ W}$  respectively. Thus, the total increase in the output power is equal to  $0.721 \text{ W}$ .

253 The second test was tested using the activating of the second proposed hot spot mitigation  
254 technique. Fig. 8(b) displays the thermal images of the examined shaded solar cell before and after  
255 activating the mitigation technique. The difference in the temperature of the shaded solar cell is  
256 equal to:

257 (No mitigation)  $71.0 \text{ }^\circ\text{F}$  – (After activating the 2<sup>nd</sup> hot spot mitigation technique)  $65.3 \text{ }^\circ\text{F} = 5.7 \text{ }^\circ\text{F}$

258 In addition, this decrease in the temperature of the shaded solar cell guarantee an increase of the  
259 measured maximum power point of the PV module. Fig. 9(b) describes that the total increase in  
260 the output measured power is equal to  $1.689 \text{ W}$ .

261 In conclusion, this section demonstrates that both proposed hot spot mitigation techniques are  
262 useful in case a partial shading conditions have been occurred in the PV module. An enhancement  
263 of the temperature and output power of the PV module is guaranteed. Furthermore, the second  
264 proposed hot spot mitigation technique shows better performance comparing to the 1<sup>st</sup> technique.



Fig. 7. Image of the tested PV module under shaded solar cell using paper opaque object




Fig. 8. (a) Thermographic images of the shaded PV solar cell before and after the activation of the first hot spot mitigation technique, (b) Thermographic images of the shaded PV solar cell before and after the activation of the second hot spot mitigation technique





Fig. 9. Photovoltaic output I-V curve. (a) Before and after activating the first hot spot mitigation technique, (b) before and after activating the second hot spot mitigation technique

## 266 5. *Conclusion*

267 In this paper, the design and development of two hot spot mitigation techniques are proposed. The  
268 offered techniques are capable to enhance the output power of PV modules which are effected by  
269 hot spots and partial shading conditions. Both techniques use multiple MOSFTEs in the affected  
270 PV module, while the detection of hot spots was captured using i5 FLIR thermal imaging camera.

271 Several experiments have been examined during various environmental conditions, where the PV  
272 module I-V curve was evaluated in each observed test to analyze the output power performance  
273 before and after the activation of both proposed hot spot mitigation techniques.

274 One PV module affected by a hot spot was tested. After activating the first mitigation technique  
275 the output power of the PV module increased by 1.25 W in high irradiance levels, 0.61 W in  
276 medium irradiance level and 0.46 W in low irradiance level. Same experiments have been  
277 evaluated using the 2<sup>nd</sup> proposed hot spot mitigation technique, while the output power increased  
278 by 3.96 W in high irradiance level, 2.72 W in medium irradiance level and 0.98 W in low irradiance  
279 level.

280 Additionally, both proposed hot spot mitigation techniques were applied on a shaded PV module.  
281 The temperature and output power of the PV module enhanced using both techniques, however,  
282 the second mitigation technique shows a better performance comparing to the 1<sup>st</sup>.

283 In future, it is intended to improve the hot spot mitigation techniques to work with several PV  
284 array configuration systems. In addition, the techniques could be improved to enhance the output  
285 power of micro-cracked PV modules.

## 286 6. *References*

287 [1] Blake, F. A., & Hanson, K. L. (1969, August). The hot-spot failure mode for solar arrays.  
288 In *Proceedings of the 4th Intersociety Energy Conversion Engineering Conference* (pp.  
289 575-581).

290 [2] Dhimish, M., Holmes, V., Mehrdadi, B., Dales, M., Chong, B., & Zhang, L. (2017). Seven  
291 indicators variations for multiple PV array configurations under partial shading and faulty  
292 PV conditions. *Renewable Energy*.

293 [3] Orduz, R., Solórzano, J., Egido, M. Á., & Román, E. (2013). Analytical study and  
294 evaluation results of power optimizers for distributed power conditioning in photovoltaic  
295 arrays. *Progress in Photovoltaics: Research and Applications*, 21(3), 359-373.

296 [4] Simon, M., & Meyer, E. L. (2010). Detection and analysis of hot-spot formation in solar  
297 cells. *Solar Energy Materials and Solar Cells*, 94(2), 106-113.

298 [5] Chaturvedi, P., Hoex, B., & Walsh, T. M. (2013). Broken metal fingers in silicon wafer  
299 solar cells and PV modules. *Solar Energy Materials and Solar Cells*, 108, 78-81.

300 [6] M. Dhimish, V. Holmes, B. Mehrdadi, M. Dales, The Impact of Cracks on Photovoltaic  
301 Power Performance, *Journal of Science: Advanced Materials and Devices* (2017), doi:  
302 10.1016/j.jsamd.2017.05.005.



- 303 [7] Buerhop, C., Schlegel, D., Niess, M., Vodermayr, C., Weißmann, R., & Brabec, C. J.  
304 (2012). Reliability of IR-imaging of PV-plants under operating conditions. *Solar Energy*  
305 *Materials and Solar Cells*, 107, 154-164.
- 306 [8] Moretón, R., Lorenzo, E., & Narvarte, L. (2015). Experimental observations on hot-spots  
307 and derived acceptance/rejection criteria. *Solar energy*, 118, 28-40.
- 308 [9] Hasyim, E. S., Wenham, S. R., & Green, M. A. (1986). Shadow tolerance of modules  
309 incorporating integral bypass diode solar cells. *Solar cells*, 19(2), 109-122.
- 310 [10] Chen, K., Chen, D., Zhu, Y., & Shen, H. (2012). Study of crystalline silicon solar cells  
311 with integrated bypass diodes. *Science China Technological Sciences*, 55(3), 594-599.
- 312 [11] Daliento, S., Mele, L., Bobeico, E., Lancellotti, L., & Morvillo, P. (2007). Analytical  
313 modelling and minority current measurements for the determination of the emitter surface  
314 recombination velocity in silicon solar cells. *Solar energy materials and solar cells*, 91(8),  
315 707-713.
- 316 [12] Dhimish, M., & Holmes, V. (2016). Fault detection algorithm for grid-connected  
317 photovoltaic plants. *Solar Energy*, 137, 236-245.
- 318 [13] Silvestre, S., Boronat, A., & Chouder, A. (2009). Study of bypass diodes configuration on  
319 PV modules. *Applied Energy*, 86(9), 1632-1640.
- 320 [14] Dhimish, M., Holmes, V., Mehrdadi, B., & Dales, M. (2017). Diagnostic method for  
321 photovoltaic systems based on six layer detection algorithm. *Electric Power Systems*  
322 *Research*, 151, 26-39.
- 323 [15] Coppola, M., Daliento, S., Guerriero, P., Lauria, D., & Napoli, E. (2012, June). On the  
324 design and the control of a coupled-inductors boost dc-ac converter for an individual PV  
325 panel. In *Power Electronics, Electrical Drives, Automation and Motion (SPEEDAM), 2012*  
326 *International Symposium on* (pp. 1154-1159). IEEE.
- 327 [16] Kim, K. A., & Krein, P. T. (2015). Reexamination of photovoltaic hot spotting to show  
328 inadequacy of the bypass diode. *IEEE Journal of Photovoltaics*, 5(5), 1435-1441.
- 329 [17] d'Alessandro, V., Guerriero, P., Daliento, S., & Gargiulo, M. (2011). A straightforward  
330 method to extract the shunt resistance of photovoltaic cells from current-voltage  
331 characteristics of mounted arrays. *Solid-State Electronics*, 63(1), 130-136.
- 332 [18] Daliento, S., Di Napoli, F., Guerriero, P., & d'Alessandro, V. (2016). A modified bypass  
333 circuit for improved hot spot reliability of solar panels subject to partial shading. *Solar*  
334 *Energy*, 134, 211-218.
- 335 [19] M. Dhimish, V. Holmes, M. Dales, Parallel fault detection algorithm for grid-connected  
336 photovoltaic plants, *Renewable Energy* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2017.05.084.
- 337 [20] Solórzano, J., & Egido, M. A. (2014). Hot-spot mitigation in PV arrays with distributed  
338 MPPT (DMPPT). *Solar Energy*, 101, 131-137.

- 339 [21] Dhimish, M., Holmes, V., Mehrdadi, B., Dales, M., & Mather, P. (2017). Photovoltaic fault  
340 detection algorithm based on theoretical curves modelling and fuzzy classification system.  
341 *Energy*, 140, 276-290.
- 342 [22] Çelik, Ö., & Teke, A. (2017). A Hybrid MPPT method for grid connected photovoltaic  
343 systems under rapidly changing atmospheric conditions. *Electric Power Systems Research*,  
344 152, 194-210.
- 345 [23] Khalid, M. S., & Abido, M. A. (2014). A novel and accurate photovoltaic simulator based  
346 on seven-parameter model. *Electric Power Systems Research*, 116, 243-251.
- 347 [24] Dhimish, M., Holmes, V., Mehrdadi, B., & Dales, M. (2017). Simultaneous fault detection  
348 algorithm for grid-connected photovoltaic plants. *IET Renewable Power Generation*,  
349 11(12), 1565-1575.
- 350 [25] Dhimish, M., Holmes, V., Mehrdadi, B., & Dales, M. (2018). Comparing Mamdani Sugeno  
351 fuzzy logic and RBF ANN network for PV fault detection. *Renewable Energy*, 117, 257-  
352 274.