

This is a repository copy of Functional Active Microbiome in Supragingival Biofilms in Health and Caries.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/176914/

Version: Supplemental Material

Article:

Corralo, DJ, Ev, LD, Damé-Teixeira, N et al. (4 more authors) (2021) Functional Active Microbiome in Supragingival Biofilms in Health and Caries. Caries Research. ISSN 0008-6568

https://doi.org/10.1159/000518963

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

Table 2. Relative abundance of operational taxonomic units (OTUs) from most abundant recovered Phylum (more than 1%) from supragingival biofilm microbiome, in Bacteria domain. CA: caries active subjects: ANCL: active non-cavitated lesion; INCL: inactive non-cavitated lesion; S: sound; CI: caries inactive subjects: INCL: inactive non-cavitated lesion; CF: caries-free subjects: S: sound.

Domain Phylum	СА			CI	CF
	ANCL (%)	INCL (%)	S (%)	INCL (%)	S (%)
Actinobacteria	35.4309	31.9129	22.6330	37.3696	38.0435
Firmicutes	16.3471	13.8534	11.0226	18.3013	15.7665
BacteroidetesBacteria	13.3583 ^{ab}	22.9888ª	18.2013 ^{ab}	9.2105 ^b	21.7997 ^{ab}
	9.1938	9.8397	10.3640	5.6750	9.4207
Proteobacteria	4.9799	4.4026	7.6617	4.3596	2.8903
Spirochaetes unclassified (from Bacteria)	0.0288	0.2212	0.4143	0.0914	1.6060
	20.5139 ^{ab}	16.6955 ^a	29.6014 ^b	24.8521 ^b	10.2466ª
	Actinobacteria Firmicutes Bacteroidetes Fusobacteria Proteobacteria Spirochaetes	Ancl (%)Actinobacteria35.4309Firmicutes16.3471Bacteroidetes13.3583 ^{ab} Fusobacteria9.1938Proteobacteria4.9799Spirochaetes0.0288	Phylum ANCL (%) INCL (%) Actinobacteria 35.4309 31.9129 Firmicutes 16.3471 13.8534 Bacteroidetes 13.3583 ^{ab} 22.9888 ^a Fusobacteria 9.1938 9.8397 Proteobacteria 4.9799 4.4026 Spirochaetes 0.0288 0.2212	Phylum ANCL (%) INCL (%) S (%) Actinobacteria 35.4309 31.9129 22.6330 Firmicutes 16.3471 13.8534 11.0226 Bacteroidetes 13.3583 ^{ab} 22.9888 ^a 18.2013 ^{ab} Fusobacteria 9.1938 9.8397 10.3640 Proteobacteria 4.9799 4.4026 7.6617 Spirochaetes 0.0288 0.2212 0.4143	Phylum ANCL (%) INCL (%) S (%) INCL (%) Actinobacteria 35.4309 31.9129 22.6330 37.3696 Firmicutes 16.3471 13.8534 11.0226 18.3013 Bacteroidetes 13.3583 ^{ab} 22.9888 ^a 18.2013 ^{ab} 9.2105 ^b Fusobacteria 9.1938 9.8397 10.3640 5.6750 Proteobacteria 4.9799 4.4026 7.6617 4.3596 Spirochaetes 0.0288 0.2212 0.4143 0.0914

Cut off point of 1% of the microbiome.

Font: SILVA database/MG-RAST

Different lowercase letters indicate statistical difference between groups by Friedman test with Nemenyi post-hoc, 95% confidence level.