
Conference or Workshop Item:
Rigby, S. orcid.org/0000-0001-6844-3797 (2021) Near-field blast loading : challenges, unknowns, and opportunities. In: Fire and Blast Information Group Webinar 028.

FABIG

FIRE AND BLAST INFORMATION GROUP

WEBINAR 028 PROCEEDINGS

NEAR-FIELD BLAST LOADING: CHALLENGES, UNKNOWNS AND OPPORTUNITIES

7th July 2021

Sam Rigby
The University of Sheffield

E : sam.rigby@sheffield.ac.uk
W : www.sheffield.ac.uk

For further information on FABIG Webinar Proceedings or any other FABIG activities, please contact:

Guillaume Vannier
SCI
Silwood Park
Ascot, Berkshire SL5 7QN
United Kingdom

T : +44 (0) 1344 636 579
E : fabig@steel-sci.com

www.fabig.com

The University Of Sheffield.

Near-field blast loading:

Challenges, unknowns, and opportunities

Dr Sam Rigby
University of Sheffield



Overview and purpose of talk

- Understanding and predicting near-field blast loading is a significant challenge to the blast protection engineering community
- This talk is focussed on my work in three main areas:
- **Challenges**
  - How do we study near-field explosions in a scientific sense? How do we measure them?
- **Unknowns**
  - What is it that we cannot yet do, or account for? Why not?
- **Opportunities**
  - What can we do about it? What emerging tools can we use?

Motivation

https://abcnews.go.com/Lifestyle/wireStory/oklahoma-city-bombing-survivor-tree-dna-live-62500453

https://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/unseen-photographs-capture-the-devastating-and-emotional-aftermath-of-the-1996-ira-bomb-in-9538378.html

The University Of Sheffield.
Dr Sam Rigby – Near-field blast loading

"Speed is key"




The University Of Sheffield.
Dr Sam Rigby – Near-field blast loading
"Speed is key"
Solution accuracy
Probabilistic
Deterministic
Computational time


The University Of Sheffield.
Dr Sam Rigby – Near-field blast loading
"Speed is key"
Solution accuracy
"Exact"
Approximate
Computational time


The University Of Sheffield.
Dr Sam Rigby – Near-field blast loading
"Speed is key"
Solution accuracy
Quick-running methods
• SDoF, ConWep
• Not based on physics
• Very quick
• "Good enough"
• Probabilistic
Computational time


The University Of Sheffield.
Dr Sam Rigby – Near-field blast loading
"Speed is key"
Physics-based modelling
• FEA/CFD
• Time-varying solutions
• Expensive and specialist
• Requires validation
• Deterministic
Solution accuracy
Quick-running methods
• SDoF, ConWep
• Not based on physics
• Very quick
• "Good enough"
• Probabilistic
Computational time


The University Of Sheffield.
Dr Sam Rigby – Near-field blast loading
"Speed is key"
Solution accuracy
Computational time
Physics-based modelling
• FEA/CFD
• Time-varying solutions
• Expensive and specialist
• Requires validation
• Deterministic
Quick-running methods
• SDoF, ConWep
• Not based on physics
• Very quick
• "Good enough"
• Probabilistic
• Goal is to occupy this top-left corner


The University Of Sheffield.
Dr Sam Rigby – Near-field blast loading
"Speed is key"
?
Solution accuracy
Computational time
Physics-based modelling
• FEA/CFD
• Time-varying solutions
• Expensive and specialist
• Requires validation
• Deterministic
Quick-running methods
• SDoF, ConWep
• Not based on physics
• Very quick
• "Good enough"
• Probabilistic
• Goal is to occupy this top-left corner
• How do we get there?



Practical use of FREMs

- Estimated the yield of the 2020 Beirut explosion using existing FREMs
- Initial results widely reported in the media within 24hrs of the explosion

Rigby et al. *Shock Waves* 2020;**30**:671-675



The University Of Sheffield.
Dr Sam Rigby – Near-field blast loading



Research approach

- To develop experimental approaches to **measure** loading in the near-field
- To better understand the underlying physical mechanisms: **origin** and **significance**
- To devise ways to augment existing quick-running tools with **knowledge** of these mechanisms, and **data** from validated numerical models



Research approach

- To develop experimental approaches to **measure** loading in the near-field

  [Challenges]
- To better understand the underlying physical mechanisms: **origin** and **significance**

  [Unknowns]
- To devise ways to augment existing quick-running tools with **knowledge** of these mechanisms, and **data** from validated numerical models

  [Opportunities]

Challenges:

Experimental measurements of near-field blast loading



Near-field loading

- Combined loading from (entrained) shock wave and fireball impingement
- Pressures in 100s MPa, microsecond durations, 1000s °K temperatures



The University Of Sheffield.
Dr Sam Rigby – Near-field blast loading







Data capture

- Pressure measured using flush-mounted HPBs (~GPa range, MHz frequency)
- Data processing routines to "step-through" from local to global parameters

1. Individual pressure/impulse histories
2. Peak pressure/impulse distributions
3. Total impulse
   [integrated over instrumented region]
4. High speed video

Local
↓
Global

The University Of Sheffield.
Dr Sam Rigby – Near-field blast loading



1. Individual histories



Rigby et al. *International Journal of Impact Engineering* 2016;**96**:89-104



2. Peak distributions



Rigby et al. *International Journal of Impact Engineering* 2016;**96**:89-104



Rigby et al. *International Journal of Impact Engineering* 2016;**96**:89-104

3. Total impulse

The University Of Sheffield.
Dr Sam Rigby – Near-field blast loading



4. High speed video

Rigby et al. *Experimental Mechanics* 2020;**60**:875-888





Instabilities and localised variations



Tyas et al. *International Journal of Protective Structures* 2016;7(3):456-465

The University Of Sheffield.
Dr Sam Rigby – Near-field blast loading



Not a scaling issue...

- Left: ~0.1 **kg** TNTe
- Right: ~4.5 **kt** TNTe
  ("Minor Scale", 1985)



Effect of charge shape

(a) 100g PE4 sphere @55mm clear



(b) 78g PE4 cylinder @168mm clear



Rigby et al. *Experimental Mechanics* 2019;**59(2)**:163-178

Observations

- Localised variations in loading appear significant
- Do not appear to be scale-dependent
- Variations appear to increase with scaled distance

  … to a certain point, because we know far-field loading is highly repeatable

  Rigby et al. *In: PSHI4* 2014. Tianjin, China

- Some charge shapes produce more variable loading

Questions

- How does this influence plate deformation?
- Are structures sensitive to these localised variations?

Unknowns:

Understanding the significance of loading features



Measuring plate deformation

- Steel *I*-beam suspended from ceiling of blast chamber
- Part of web removed to house 2× HSV cameras @30,000 fps



Rigby et al. *Experimental Mechanics* 2019;**59(2)**:163-178

The University Of Sheffield.
Dr Sam Rigby – Near-field blast loading



Digital image correlation

- Speckle pattern applied to rear face of plate
- Individual pixels are tracked by the cameras, and the relative movement in each field-of-view is used to calculate displacement (towards the cameras)



Curry & Langdon. *International Journal of Impact Engineering* 2017;**102**:102–116



Experimental study

- Load measurement tests previously conducted at Sheffield were repeated
- Scaled equivalent of 100g sphere and 78g cylinder tests
- This time, target deformation was measured using DIC

- Specific impulse can be inferred from plate's initial velocity profile: $v(x) = \frac{i(x)}{\rho t}$

The University Of Sheffield.
Dr Sam Rigby – Near-field blast loading



Results [spheres]

Adapted from Rigby et al. *Experimental Mechanics* 2019;**59(2)**:163-178





Results [cylinders]

Adapted from Rigby et al. *Experimental Mechanics* 2019;**59(2)**:163-178



The University Of Sheffield.
Dr Sam Rigby – Near-field blast loading



Significance

- Application of a specific impulse causes a *directly proportional* velocity uptake in the plate
- Velocity → kinetic energy → plate deformation
- Therefore, we cannot accurately predict plate response with *global* measures, we need to know *local* loading distributions
- Variations in loading lead to commensurate variations in plate response

Plates are sensitive to the stochastic nature of blast loading

Plates are sensitive to the stochastic nature of blast loading

therefore...

...we need to treat the load and response in a probabilistic sense

Opportunities:

Improving quick-running methods, and new approaches



Energy equivalent impulse

Take a non-uniform load,

Applying this load uniformly,



leads to: $E_{k,I} = \frac{\left(\int_A i \, dA\right)^2}{2\rho t A}$

Whereas true initial velocity,



leads to: $E_{k,u} = \frac{1}{2\rho t}\int_A \frac{(i \, dA)^2}{dA}$

Rigby et al. *International Journal of Impact Engineering* 2019;**128**:24-36

We can define a uniform load that imparts the same KE as the distributed load



Energy equivalent impulse

- Finite element parametric study undertaken
- Variety of loading distributions and plate properties

- Results show weak positive correlation when plotted as total impulse (averaged over area)
- Some loadings are much more effective at producing deformation, for a given total load (more concentrated)



Rigby et al. *International Journal of Impact Engineering* 2019;**128**:24-36

The University Of Sheffield.
Dr Sam Rigby – Near-field blast loading



Energy equivalent impulse

- Finite element parametric study undertaken
- Variety of loading distributions and plate properties

- But near-perfect correlation when plotted as energy equivalent impulse
- Shows that incorporation of non-uniform loading into existing FREMs should be possible



Rigby et al. *International Journal of Impact Engineering* 2019;**128**:24-36



What if we don't know the loading?

- Previous work has assumed that the loading is known *a priori*
  - Experiment measurements, modelling, existing predictive methods…
- What if this isn't the case?
- Do we have tools available to rapidly generate loading predictions for unknown cases?
- Machine learning is an option…

The University Of Sheffield.
Dr Sam Rigby – Near-field blast loading



Machine learning





Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs)

- Made up of three groupings of neurons
- Information flows left to right
- Connections between neurons strengthen based on positive results
- Allows the computer to learn patterns in the data and links between input/output



The University Of Sheffield.
Dr Sam Rigby – Near-field blast loading



Prediction of complex blast using ANNs

- CFD used to generate blast loading in a semi-confined room
- Over 20,000 data points from 72 different model configurations





Dennis et al. *International Journal of Protective Structures* 2020 [in press]

The University Of Sheffield.

Dr Sam Rigby – Near-field blast loading



Results

- Once trained, the model was able to match blind CFD results, generally to within 10%
- Could populate predictions for the entire domain in less than 4 minutes
- Compared to ~2 hours for the CFD model
- Complex features (e.g. pressure build up in corners) learned well



Dennis et al. *International Journal of Protective Structures* 2020 [in press]

Outlook



Outlook

- Near-field blast loading is highly complex, and our work has only scratched the surface
- Here, the blast load is extremely high in magnitude, and governed by several complex mechanisms that we don't yet understand
- We need to be able to predict this loading accurately and quickly
- Experiments, modelling, analytical and ML tools have been used to enhance existing FREMS with some success…
- … but there is still a lot more work to do!

The University Of Sheffield. Dr Sam Rigby – Near-field blast loading



If you like what you heard…





References

1. SE Rigby, TJ Lodge, S Alotaibi, AD Barr, SD Clarke, GS Langdon, & A Tyas. Preliminary yield estimation of the 2020 Beirut explosion using video footage from social media. *Shock Waves*, **30**(6):671–675, 2020,
2. SD Clarke, SD Fay, JA Warren, A Tyas, SE Rigby, & I Elgy. A large scale experimental approach to the measurement of spatially and temporally localised loading from the detonation of shallow-buried explosives. *Measurement Science and Technology* **26**:015001, 2015.
3. SE Rigby, SD Fay, SD Clarke, A Tyas, JJ Reay, JA Warren, M Gant, and I Elgy. Measuring spatial pressure distribution from explosives buried in dry Leighton Buzzard sand. *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, **96**:89–104, 2016.
4. SE Rigby, R Knighton, SD Clarke, and A Tyas. Reflected near-field blast pressure measurements using high speed video. *Experimental Mechanics*, **60**(7):875–888, 2020.
5. A Tyas, JJ Reay, SD Fay, SD Clarke, SE Rigby, JA Warren, & DJ Pope. Experimental studies of the effect of rapid afterburn on shock development of near-field explosions. *International Journal of Protective Structures* **7**(3), 456–465, 2016.
6. SE Rigby, A Tyas, RJ Curry, & GS Langdon. Experimental measurement of specific impulse distribution and transient deformation of plates subjected to near-field explosive blasts. *Experimental Mechanics*, **59**(2):163–178, 2019.
7. SE Rigby, A Tyas, SD Fay, SD Clarke, & JA Warren. Validation of semi-empirical blast pressure predictions for far-field explosions – Is there inherent variability in blast wave parameters? In: *6th International Conference on Protection of Structures Against Hazards (PSH14)*, Tianjin, China, 2014.
8. RJ Curry & GS Langdon. Transient response of steel plates subjected to close proximity explosive detonations in air. *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, **102**:102–116, 2017.
9. SE Rigby, OI Akintaro, BJ Fuller, A Tyas, RJ Curry, GS Langdon, & DJ Pope. Predicting the response of plates subjected to near-field explosions using an energy equivalent impulse. *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, **128**:24–36, 2019.
10. AA Dennis, JJ Pannell, DJ Smyl, and SE Rigby. Prediction of blast loading in an internal environment using Artificial Neural Networks. *International Journal of Protective Structures*, 2020 (in press).