



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *Quality of life support in advanced cancer – Web and technological interventions: systematic review and narrative synthesis*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/173275/>

Version: Supplemental Material

---

**Article:**

Kane, K, Kennedy, F [orcid.org/0000-0002-4910-2505](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4910-2505), Absolom, KL [orcid.org/0000-0002-5477-6643](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5477-6643) et al. (2 more authors) (2023) Quality of life support in advanced cancer – Web and technological interventions: systematic review and narrative synthesis. *BMJ Supportive and Palliative Care*, 12 (e2). e221-e234. ISSN 2045-435X

<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjspcare-2020-002820>

---

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. This manuscript version is made available under the CC BY-NC 4.0 license <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

**Reuse**

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial (CC BY-NC) licence. This licence allows you to remix, tweak, and build upon this work non-commercially, and any new works must also acknowledge the authors and be non-commercial. You don't have to license any derivative works on the same terms. More information and the full terms of the licence here: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



[eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk)  
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

Supplemental table S1: Overview of studies

Article (first author, year, country).	Study (design, duration, setting).	Participants (cancer site & stage, sample size).	Intervention focus*	Mode of delivery	Intervention description	Summary of relevant findings
<b>Interactive Health Communication Applications (IHCAs)</b>						
Bjørnsund et al 2014, Norway. <sup>39</sup>	RCT, 12 months, home setting.	Stages I-III, breast.  Intervention N=64 Control N=45 IPPC alone N=58 ( <i>Internet based Patient-Provider Communication Service</i> ).	S PS	Self-management.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 'Web Choice' web-based illness management system.</li> <li>- Assessment: self-monitoring of symptoms.</li> <li>- Self-management support: information &amp; activities tailored in response to results of self-monitoring.</li> <li>- Information: signposting to other web sources related to e.g. lifestyle, treatment, legal rights.</li> <li>- Communication: area to share experiences plus nurse monitored forum &amp; blogs.</li> <li>- Electronic diary: for patients to record notes.</li> </ul>	Significantly lower symptom distress ( $p=0.001$ ), anxiety ( $p=0.03$ ) and depression ( $p=0.03$ ) in intervention vs usual care group. Significantly lower depression scores for IPPC group ( $p=0.03$ ) vs usual care. (Preliminary analysis undertaken at 6 months).
Gustafson et al 2008, USA. <sup>40</sup>	RCT, 5 months, home setting.	Stages 0-IV, breast.  Intervention N=91 Internet only N=83 Control N=83	S PS	Supported self-management.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 'Comprehensive Health Enhancement Support System' (CHESS).</li> <li>- Information: breast cancer resources, Q&amp;A section, healthcare consumer advice, signposting &amp; links.</li> <li>- Communication: facilitated discussion groups for patients and family, expert responses to patient questions, videos of patient experiences.</li> <li>- Decision support: physical &amp; psychological symptom monitoring and tailored advice, decision aid &amp; suggested action plans.</li> </ul>	Four months post-intervention (T=9 months), CHESS group scored significantly higher than control group for QoL ( $p=0.018$ ) and social support ( $p=0.021$ ), but not higher than the internet only group. Social support was also higher for those in CHESS group during intervention period, compared to internet alone ( $p=0.003$ , T=2 months) and control ( $p=0.004$ , T=4 months).
Gustafson et al 2013, USA. <sup>32</sup>	RCT, 8 months, home setting.	Stage III-IV, non-small cell lung cancer.  Intervention N=144	S PS	Supported self-management/clinically integrated.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- CHESS '<i>Coping with lung cancer</i>' website.</li> <li>- Information: lung cancer, bereavement and caregiving, plus tools to support caregiving.</li> <li>- Communication: support from peers, clinicians, experts &amp; channels for social networking.</li> </ul>	Patient physical symptom distress (caregiver reported) lower in CHESS vs control (internet) arm ( $p=0.031$ at 4 months & $p=0.004$ at 6 months). Marginal significance

		Control (internet) N=141 ( <i>patient-care-giver dyads</i> ).			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Decision support: algorithm-driven tailored information provided based on self-reported data.</li> <li>- Clinician involvement: summary of self-reported and caregiver reported patient health status sent to clinician, with patients' questions listed for next visit.</li> <li>- Email alerts to clinicians where reported symptoms <math>\geq 7</math> (on a scale of 0-10).</li> </ul>	seen at 2 months ( $p=0.051$ ) and 8 months ( $p=0.081$ ).
Huang et al 2019, Taiwan. <sup>33</sup>	RCT, 3 months, home setting.	Stage III-IV, non-small cell lung cancer.  Intervention N=27 Control N=28	S PS	Supported self-management.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Web-based health education program.</li> <li>- Self-monitoring of symptoms &amp; health indicators.</li> <li>- Educational information relating to lung cancer, staging, treatment, care &amp; symptom management.</li> <li>- Social &amp; emotional supportive resources &amp; patient experiences.</li> <li>- Q&amp;A facility for patients to contact research nurse, with timely reply (within 24 hours).</li> </ul>	Significantly improved global QoL ( $p<0.05$ ), emotional function ( $p<0.01$ ) and symptom distress ( $p<0.01$ ) for intervention vs control group from pre-test to post-test. Three months later, significantly larger group x time interactions for global QoL ( $p<0.05$ ) and emotional function ( $p<0.05$ ) in intervention users vs controls.
Kim et al 2018, Korea. <sup>34</sup>	RCT, 3 weeks, home setting.	Stage IV, breast.  Intervention N=36 Control N=40	S H/L	Self-management.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 'ILOVEBREAST' interactive mobile game.</li> <li>- Multi-player educational game with integrated social networking. Avatar created based on user information, with quest to carry out activities to minimise chemotherapy side-effects.</li> <li>- Self-monitoring feature using personal avatar.</li> <li>- Education &amp; support for prevention of side-effects and to encourage activities promoting well-being.</li> </ul>	Greater QoL in intervention group during chemotherapy compared to control group ( $p=0.01$ ). Smaller decrease in QoL in intervention vs control group ( $p=0.01$ ). No significant between group differences for depression or anxiety.
O'Carroll Bantum et al 2014, USA. <sup>42</sup>	RCT, 6 months, home setting.	Stages 0-IV, mixed sites.  Intervention N=176 Control N=176	H/L PS	Supported self-management/ professionally delivered.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 'Surviving and Thriving with Cancer' (STC): website delivering weekly sessions (by trained facilitators) with information &amp; skills development relating to e.g. diet, exercise, relaxation for stress, fatigue etc.</li> <li>- Patients encouraged to develop weekly behaviour change action plans.</li> <li>- Feedback provided by facilitator.</li> <li>- Discussion board &amp; 'post-office' for patients to interact with each other publicly &amp; privately.</li> </ul>	Greater improvement in insomnia for intervention group (effect size 0.20, $p=0.03$ ).  No significant changes for depression or fatigue.

					- 'My tools' where patients can log behaviour, access relaxation exercises & find links to other resources.	
Owen et al 2017, USA. <sup>37</sup>	RCT, 12 weeks, home setting.	Stages 0-IV, mixed sites.  Intervention N=176 Control N=171	PS	Supported self-management/ professionally delivered.	- 'Health-Space': Social networking intervention targeting distress management. - Personal profiles for patients to describe themselves and their experience of cancer. - Live facilitated chat (weekly), discussion board & email to engage with each other & with facilitators. - Weekly guidance modules with educational information & interactive activities.	Greater reduction in fatigue in intervention versus control group ( $p=0.04$ ). No significant improvements in psychological functioning, depressive symptoms, anxiety or vigour.
Petzel et al 2018, USA. <sup>35</sup>	RCT, 60 days, home setting.	Stage III/IV/recurrent ovarian, peritoneal or fallopian tube. Intervention N=20 Control N=15	PS S	Self-management.	- 'Together': Online tool to promote advance care planning, cancer knowledge & address emotional health. - Learning library & recommended readings. - Functions for monitoring distress & goal setting. - Area to record questions for care providers. - Social networking: shared journal & forum.	No significant difference between intervention and control groups for any measure of distress (DT, HADS, and IES).
Ruland et al 2013, Norway. <sup>38</sup>	RCT, 12 months, home setting.	Stages 0-IV, breast & prostate Intervention N=162 Control N=163	S PS H/L	Supported self-management.	- 'WebChoice' (same intervention evaluated in Borosund et al. 2014). <sup>39</sup> - Addition of Q&A area for patients to ask nurses questions.	HRQOL and self-efficacy scores worsened within control group ( $p= 0.006, p=0.005$ ), but not within intervention group. Between-group differences in symptom distress significant for global distress index (GDI) only ( $p= 0.037$ ).
Steel et al 2016, USA. <sup>31</sup>	RCT, 6 months, home setting.	Advanced stage, mixed sites.  Intervention N=144 Control N=117	PS S H/L	Supported self-management/ clinically integrated.	- Psychoeducational website with library of audio-visual and other resources. - Self-management component enabling symptom recording and self-monitoring. - Patient journal and chat room to engage with others. - Use of IHCA integrated with telephone follow up every 2 weeks & visits with care co-ordinator every 2 months.	Participants presenting with clinical levels of symptoms showed statistically ( $p= 0.05$ ) & clinically significant improvement in QoL (FACT-G) at 6m follow up (intervention $n=15$ , control $n=12$ ), with a large effect size (0.99).

Wise et al 2018, USA. <sup>36</sup>	RCT, 4 months home setting.	Stage III/IV, mixed sites.  Intervention N=59 Control N=51	PS	Self-management.	- ' <i>miLivingStory</i> ': Patient's illness narrative (elicited via telephone) digitalised and incorporated into a multi-component website. - Signposting to information, resources and support. - Social networking to share story and other media.	Improvements in peace (direct positive effect $p=0.029$ ) and depressed mood ('trend effect' $p=0.097$ ) at 4 months for intervention vs control group.
Zhu et al 2018, China. <sup>41</sup>	RCT, 12 weeks, home setting.	Stages I-IV, breast Intervention N=57 Control N=57	S PS	Supported self-management.	- Breast Cancer e-Support ('BCS') mobile application. - Learning forum: breast cancer education and symptom management strategies. - Discussion & ask the expert forums: support and advice provided by peers & health professionals. - Personal stories forum: selection of patients' experiences shared via video.	Lower QoL and self-efficacy observed with initiation of chemotherapy. However, controlling for baseline scores, intervention group experienced less worsening in QoL ( $d=0.46$ , $p=0.03$ ) and self-efficacy ( $d=0.53$ , $p=0.03$ ) at 3 months than care as usual (though not maintained at 6 months).
<b>Virtual programmes of support</b>						
Boele et al 2018, Netherlands. <sup>51</sup>	RCT, 5 weeks, home setting.	Stages II-IV, neurological.  Intervention N=45 Control (glioma) N=44 Non-CNS cancer control N=26	PS	Supported self-management.	- Online glioma specific guided self-help course for symptoms of depression. - Based on problem-solving therapy. - 5 modules with examples & exercises for patients to work through, plus support from a trained coach to facilitate completion.	No evidence of effectiveness on HRQoL of glioma patients. No statistically significant differences in depressive symptoms between groups, though borderline significant post-treatment reduction in fatigue was observed in glioma patients ( $p=0.054$ ).
Carpenter et al 2014, USA. <sup>45</sup>	RCT, 10 weeks, home setting.	Stages 0-III, breast.  Intervention N=71 Control N=61	PS	Professionally delivered.	- 'Coping with Cancer Workbook': Online stress management taught through didactic instruction. - CBT based coping strategies and guided interactive exercises. - Integrated videos of patient case studies plus guidance provided by social worker. - Integrated discussion board moderated by oncology health professionals.	No significant improvement for social/functional well-being (FACT-B). Significant for primary outcomes of self-efficacy for coping with cancer ( $p=0.019$ ) and self-efficacy for coping with negative mood ( $p=0.007$ ). Cancer related post-traumatic symptoms also lessened with intervention use ( $p=0.002$ ).

Compen et al 2018, Netherlands. <sup>46</sup>	RCT, 8 weeks, home setting.	Stages 0-IV, mixed sites. Intervention (eMBCT) N=90 MBCT (face to face) N=77 Control N=78	PS	Professionally delivered.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Online Mindfulness Based Cognitive Therapy (eMBCT).</li> <li>- Materials for 8-week course provided on website plus weekly interactions with therapist.</li> <li>- Patients to complete practice diaries daily, with fictional patients' examples for guidance.</li> <li>- Asynchronous feedback and interaction with therapist via email.</li> </ul>	Compared to usual care, both MBCT and eMBCT improved scores for mental health related QoL ( $p < 0.001$ ) but not for physical QoL. Both interventions also reduced distress, fear of recurrence and rumination and improved scores for mindfulness skills and positive mental health (all $p < 0.025$ ).
Galiano-Castillo et al 2016, Spain. <sup>52</sup>	RCT, 8 weeks, home setting.	Stages I-III, breast Intervention N=40 Control N=41	H/L	Professionally delivered/ supported self-management.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 'e-CUIDATE': Online, individually tailored exercise programme plus information about breast cancer.</li> <li>- Area for patients to write questions, send instant messages &amp; set up video conferences with research staff, who also monitor progress remotely.</li> </ul>	Significantly improved scores across all domains (EORTC-QLQ-C30 and BC module) for intervention arm ( $p < 0.01$ ).
Greer et al 2019, USA. <sup>43</sup>	RCT, 12 weeks home setting.	Stage IV, mixed sites. Intervention N=72 Control N= 73	PS	Self-management.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Multi-component mobile application delivering anxiety management skills &amp; exercises over 6 sessions.</li> <li>- Patient-therapist interactions simulated within integrated videos.</li> <li>- Corresponding homework plus review session.</li> </ul>	Both intervention and control participants experienced improved QoL, anxiety and mood ( $d=0.45 - 1.20$ ). However, no significant between group differences. Sub-group analysis showed intervention users with severe baseline anxiety experienced significantly improved anxiety ( $p=0.010$ ).
Knoerl et al 2018, USA. <sup>47</sup>	Pilot RCT, 8 weeks, home setting.	Stages I-IV, mixed sites. Intervention N=30 Control N=30	S PS	Self-management.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 'Proactive Self-management Programme for Effects of Treatment' (PROSPECT).</li> <li>- Self-guided pain management course.</li> <li>- CBT &amp; self-management strategies delivered as modules on password-protected website.</li> <li>- Integrated videos and worksheets.</li> <li>- Self assessment of symptoms to guide content.</li> </ul>	No significant differences observed (in mean change scores) for EORTC QLQ CIPN20 sensory ( $p=0.41$ ) or motor ( $p=0.95$ ) subscales.

Ritterband et al 2012, USA. <sup>48</sup>	RCT, 6 weeks, home setting.	Stages I-IV, mixed sites.  Intervention N=14 Control N=14	PS H/L	Self-management.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 'Sleep Healthy Using The internet' (SHUTi).</li> <li>- Web-based CBT with 6 interactive cores encompassing behaviour, education and problem prevention.</li> <li>- Sleep diaries guiding tailored sleep recommendations &amp; feedback.</li> <li>- Automated emails to encourage adherence.</li> </ul>	No significant effect on QoL, depression or anxiety with intervention use (though overall adjusted effect sizes were small-medium, ranging from $d= 0.42$ to $d= 0.54$ ). Intervention group did demonstrate improvement in fatigue ( $p= <0.01$ ).
Rosen et al 2018, USA. <sup>53</sup>	RCT, 12 weeks, home setting.	Stages 0-IV, breast.  Intervention N=57 Control N=55	PS	Self-management.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 'Headspace'.</li> <li>- Audio-visual mindfulness meditation training delivered via mobile application.</li> <li>- 'Take10' 10-day introductory course, with additional training accessible on completion.</li> </ul>	Significant improvement in QoL ( $p= <0.01$ ) and mindfulness ( $p=0.04$ ) in intervention vs control group from baseline to follow up.
Urech et al 2018, Switzerland. <sup>49</sup>	RCT, 8 weeks, home setting.	Localized and metastatic, mixed sites.  Intervention N=65 Control N=64	PS	Supported self-management.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 'Stress Aktiv Mindern' (STREAM).</li> <li>- Website hosting 8 stress management modules, each incorporating mindfulness exercises, psychoeducation, reflection &amp; strategies, plus additional audio exercises.</li> <li>- Secure chat function with psychologist.</li> </ul>	Significantly higher QoL ( $p= 0.007$ ) and lower distress ( $p= 0.03$ ) in intervention vs control group.
Yanez et al 2015, USA. <sup>44</sup>	Feasibility RCT, 10 weeks, home setting.	Stage III/IV, prostate.  Intervention N=37 Attention control N=37	PS	Professionally delivered.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 'Cognitive Behavioural Stress Management' (CBSM).</li> <li>- Online group intervention, delivered via tablet, tailored to advanced prostate cancer (APC).</li> <li>- Each group session (delivered by a facilitator) introduces a new stress reduction technique before focusing on stress management in relation to APC.</li> </ul>	No significant effect on FACT-G, though mean difference between groups exceeded clinically significant (4 points). Symptoms of depression significantly improved for intervention group ( $p=0.03$ , completers only).
Zernicke et al 2014, Canada. <sup>50</sup>	Feasibility RCT, 8 weeks, home setting.	Stages I-IV, mixed sites.  Intervention N=30 Control N=32	PS	Professionally delivered.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- eTherapy for Cancer Applying Mindfulness (eCALM)</li> <li>- Online group mindfulness sessions ('Mindfulness Based Cancer Recovery') delivered online by behavioural medicine clinicians via headsets, webcams &amp; manuals.</li> <li>- Sessions covering a range of topics relating to stress &amp; mindfulness. Participants encouraged to apply learning during sessions and in own time.</li> </ul>	Significant improvements in intervention group vs control group for mood disturbance ( $p=0.049$ ), stress ( $p=0.021$ ), spirituality ( $p=0.040$ ) and mindfully acting with awareness ( $p=0.026$ ).

**Symptom monitoring tools**

Basch et al 2016, USA. <sup>56</sup>	RCT, continuous <sup>†</sup> , home setting, (clinic setting for computer inexperienced subgroup).	Advanced solid tumours, mixed sites. Intervention N= 441 Control N= 325	S	Clinically integrated.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 'Symptom Tracking and Reporting' (STAR).</li> <li>- Web-based interface with questions relating to 12 common symptoms for patients to self-report.</li> <li>- Email alerts to nurses triggered where symptoms worsen by <math>\geq 2</math> points or reach <math>\geq 3</math>.</li> <li>- Summary report printed for clinical team to review.</li> </ul>	More improvement and less worsening in HRQOL scores seen in STAR arm vs usual care ( $p < 0.001$ ). No significance for computer inexperienced subgroup ( $p = 0.06$ ).
Berry et al 2014, USA. <sup>60</sup>	RCT, 6-8 weeks, home and clinic setting.	Stages 0-IV, mixed sites. Intervention N= 374 Control N= 378	S	Supported self-management/clinically integrated.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 'Electronic Self-Report Assessment for Cancer' (ESRA-C): online computer program for self-reporting symptoms &amp; tracking QoL.</li> <li>- Alerts to patients when help-seeking advised.</li> <li>- Self-care strategies &amp; coaching for managing &amp; communicating symptoms.</li> <li>- Graphical summary &amp; option to annotate results.</li> </ul>	Small statistically significant difference in symptom distress between groups ( $p = 0.02$ ).
Denis et al 2017, France. <sup>55</sup>	RCT, trial halted, <sup>‡</sup> home setting.	Stages III-IV, lung. Intervention N=67 Control N=66	S	Clinically integrated.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 'e-Follow up Application' (eFAP).</li> <li>- Web-mediated weekly reporting of 12 items (weight plus 11 symptoms), sent immediately to medical team. Alerts to clinicians triggered where reported symptoms meet pre-defined criteria.</li> <li>- Graphical summary of scores sent to medical team.</li> </ul>	Comparing change in QoL score from baseline to 6m: stable/improved scores in 80.6% participants in intervention arm vs 58.6% control arm ( $p = 0.04$ ).
Nipp et al 2018, USA. <sup>57</sup>	Pilot RCT, intervention period not defined (average admission 6.45 days), hospital setting.	Advanced cancer, mixed sites. Intervention N=75 Control N=75	S	Clinically integrated.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 'Improving Management of Patient Reported Outcomes via Electronic Data' (IMPROVED).</li> <li>- Daily symptom self-monitoring via tablet computer during hospital admission.</li> <li>- Summary reports generated for clinicians. Alerts to clinicians generated where symptoms worsen by <math>\geq 2</math> or reach <math>\geq 4</math>.</li> </ul>	Intervention group reported higher proportion of days with lower psychological distress than control group ( $p = 0.008$ ).
Post et al 2013, USA. <sup>58</sup>	Pilot RCT, 160 days, home setting.	Stages I-III, breast Intervention N=27 Control N=23	S	Clinically integrated.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 'Communicating Health Assisted by Technology' (CHAT).</li> <li>- Symptom monitoring using personal digital assistant (PDA) during chemotherapy.</li> <li>- Videos relating to symptom communication for patients to view ahead of clinical appointment.</li> <li>- Summary graph for clinicians to view.</li> </ul>	All participants' HRQOL scores decreased over course of chemotherapy (lower = worse QoL) but mean pre-post decrease generally greater for intervention group (study not powered for this outcome). No significant changes for depression or fatigue.



Ruland et al 2010, Norway. <sup>59</sup>	RCT, intervention period varied <sup>§</sup> , home & hospital settings.	Various stages including advanced, haematological.  Intervention N=75 Control N=70	S	Clinically integrated.	- 'Interactive Tailored Patient's Assessment tool' (Choice ITPA). - Tablet computer application allowing patients to rank symptoms (including distress) according to their priorities & need for support, encouraging communication with clinicians. - Summary report of symptoms in rank order of need for support made available for clinician review.	Significant group differences in symptom distress favouring intervention arm for discomfort ( $p=0.04$ ) and sleep/rest ( $p=0.05$ ). Significance also reported for sexuality ( $p=0.07$ ) and eating/drinking ( $p=0.09$ ). (Two-sided significance level set to 0.10)
Strasser et al 2016, Switzerland. <sup>54</sup>	Multi-cluster RCT, 6 weeks hospital setting.	Advanced, incurable, mixed sites.  Intervention N=145 Control N=119	S	Clinically integrated.	- 'Electronic Monitoring Of Symptoms and syndromes Associated with Cancer' (e-MOSAIC). - Patients complete symptom reporting on palm-based digital device prior to weekly oncologist visit. - Patient reported outcome measures integrated with other clinical data, filled in by study personnel. - Data immediately transferred to local computer, printed & placed in patient's file for clinician review.	No statistical or clinical significance between groups in global QoL. Symptom distress significantly improved for intervention users from first to last visit ( $p=0.003$ ).
Velikova et al 2004, UK. <sup>61</sup>	RCT, 6 months, clinic setting.	Stages 0-IV, mixed sites.  Intervention N=144 Attention-control N=70 Control N=72	S PS	Clinically integrated.	- Patient self-completion of HRQOL questionnaires (EORTC-QLQ-C30 & HADS) in clinic, prior to appointment. - Graphical summary of results printed. - Physicians asked to review results during clinical encounter with patient.	HRQOL significantly improved in intervention ( $p=0.006$ ) and attention control ( $p=0.01$ ) groups compared to control. No significant difference in HRQOL between intervention & attention control ( $p=0.80$ ).
<b>Communication conduits</b>						
David et al 2011, Germany. <sup>62</sup>	RCT, 8 weeks, home setting.	Stages I-IV, breast.  Intervention N=69 Control N=64	PS	Professionally delivered.	- Individually tailored psychosocial counselling delivered via email by clinical psychologist (24 hr response time). - Welcome email introducing counsellor and suggesting topics for discussion.	No significant difference in HRQOL or psychological distress between intervention & control groups.
Donovan et al 2014, USA. <sup>64</sup>	Pilot RCT, 3 weeks, home setting.	Stages I-IV, recurrent ovarian.  Intervention N=33 Control N=32	S PS	Supported self-management/ professionally delivered.	- 'Written Representational Intervention to Ease Symptoms' (WRITE Symptoms): online message board interactions between patient & nurses, to support symptom self-management. - Patients identify 3 target symptoms by completing symptom representation questionnaire (SRQ).	Lower symptom distress ( $p=0.012$ ) and a trend for lower symptom severity ( $p=0.058$ ) in intervention vs control arm at 2 weeks post intervention.

					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Individualised symptom management information.</li> <li>- Co-creation of care plan &amp; self-management goals.</li> <li>- Self-care guides (provided via email or mail) to consolidate message board-based learning.</li> </ul>	Repeated measures analysis supported group effect; intervention group reported lower symptom distress than controls ( $p=0.037$ ).
Vilhauer et al 2010, USA. <sup>63</sup>	Pilot RCT, 6 months, home setting.	Stage IV, breast. Intervention N=16 Control N=14	PS	Self-management.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Peer-peer online support group (unmoderated).</li> <li>- Emails sent to all group participants via automatic mailing list server.</li> <li>- Participants encouraged to share experiences.</li> </ul>	No significant effect on psychosocial well-being.
<b>Information websites</b>						
Giesler et al 2017, Germany. <sup>67</sup>	RCT, 2 weeks home setting.	Mixed stages, inclusive of stage IV, colorectal. Intervention N=103 Control N= 109	S PS	Self-management.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- German language website collating experiences of health &amp; illness.</li> <li>- Patients' experiential information relating to colorectal cancer organised into modules thematically and by individual cases.</li> </ul>	No intervention effects on self-efficacy at 2 weeks or 6 weeks post baseline.
Ryhänen et al 2013, Finland. <sup>66</sup>	RCT, 12 months, home setting.	Stages I-III, breast Intervention N=50 Control N=48	S	Self-management.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 'Breast Cancer Patient Pathway' (BCPP)</li> <li>- Flow chart of patients' breast cancer treatment pathway, with integrated links to information.</li> </ul>	No statistically significant between group changes in QoL or anxiety.
Stanton et al 2013, USA. <sup>65</sup>	RCT, 6 months, home setting.	Invasive/metastatic breast. Intervention N=46 Control N=42	PS	Self-management.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 'Project Connect Online', information website with associated self-design workshop.</li> <li>- Workshop to guide participants in designing their own personal websites (for themselves &amp; others).</li> <li>- Template included: blog, signposting area, and 'How you can help' page for visitors to read and post messages.</li> </ul>	Improvement in depressive symptoms ( $p=0.009$ ), positive mood ( $p=0.03$ ) and life appreciation ( $p=0.03$ ) at 6 months follow up for intervention group (effect moderated by treatment status).

\*Intervention focus: S= symptom management focus, PS= psychosocial focus, H/L= health & lifestyle focus.

† Participants remained on study until discontinuation of cancer treatment, voluntary withdrawal or death.

‡ Interim analysis demonstrated large survival benefit for intervention group leading to trial being stopped early.

§ 50 patients from each group (intervention and control) were followed for a minimum of 100 days; 25 intervention participants and 26 controls were followed up for 1 year.