



Deposited via The University of Leeds.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/173012/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Akeju, DO, Adejoh, SO, Fakunmoju, AJ et al. (2023) The COVID-19 pandemic: Stay Home policy and exposure to risks of infection among Nigerians. *World Medical and Health Policy*, 15 (3). ISSN: 1948-4682

<https://doi.org/10.1002/wmh3.445>

© 2021 Policy Studies Organization. This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Akeju, D. O., Adejoh, S. O., Fakunmoju, A. J., Allsop, M. J., Ebenso, B., Tade, T., & Okusanya, B. O. (2021). The COVID-19 pandemic: Stay Home policy and exposure to risks of infection among Nigerians. *World Medical and Health Policy*, 15 (3), which has been published in final form at <https://doi.org/10.1002/wmh3.445>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

Table 1: A contingency table showing compliance with Stay Home Policy and exposure to risks by nature of lockdown

Compliance and exposure to risk	Nature of lockdown		Total
	Partial lockdown	Complete lockdown	
Compliance with stay at home policy			
Proportion that complied fully	217	281 (72.4%)	498 (56.7%)
Proportion that complied partially	(44.2%)	75 (19.3%)	263 (29.9%)
Proportion that did not complied	188	32 (8.2%)	118 (13.4%)
	(38.3%)		
	86 (17.5%)		
Total	491	388 (100.0%)	879 (100.0%)
	(100.0%)		
$\chi^2=70.385$; df =2; p<0.05; Likelihood = 71.974			
Perception of the effectiveness of staying home			
Effective in curtailing COVID-19	440	336 (86.6%)	776 (88.3%)
Not effective in curtailing COVID-19	(89.6%)	52 (13.4%)	103 (11.7%)
	51 (10.4%)		
Total	491	388 (100.0%)	879 (100.0%)
	(100.0%)		
$\chi^2=1.905$; df =1; p>0.05; Likelihood = 1.893			
Movement during lockdown/curfew			
Proportion who went out	439	272 (70.1%)	711 (80.9%)
Proportion who did not go out	(89.4%)	116 (29.9%)	168 (19.1%)
	52 (10.6%)		
Total	491	388 (100.0%)	879 (100.0%)
	(100.0%)		
$\chi^2= 52.254$; df =1; p<0.05; Likelihood = 52.505			
Receiving guest during the lockdown/curfew			
Proportion who received guests	178	114 (29.4%)	292 (33.2%)
Proportion who did not receive guests	(36.3%)	274 (70.6%)	587 (66.8%)
	313		
	(63.7%)		
Total	491	388 (100.0%)	879 (100.0%)
	(100.0%)		
$\chi^2=4.612$; df =1; p<0.05; Likelihood = 4.638			
Places visited			
Market/shopping	320	185 (68.0%)	505 (71.0%)
Friends and families	(72.9%)	44 (16.2%)	81 (11.4%)
Religious houses	37 (8.4%)	10 (3.7%)	48 (6.8%)
Work	38 (8.7%)	15 (5.5%)	43 (6.0%)
Hospital/clinic	28 (6.4%)	7 (2.6%)	12 (1.7%)
Exercise	5 (1.1%)	11 (4.0%)	17 (2.4%)
Party	6 (1.4%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (0.7%)
	5 (1.1%)		
Total	439	272 (100.0%)	711 (100.0%)
	(100.0%)		
$\chi^2=26.969$; df =6; p<0.05; Likelihood = 27.710			
Risks Zone			
Visited high risks zone	396	217 (79.8%)	613 (86.2%)
Visited low risk zone	(90.2%)	55 (20.2%)	98 (13.8%)
	43 (9.8%)		
Total	439	272 (100.0%)	711 (100.0%)
	(100.0%)		
$\chi^2=15.361$; df =1; p<0.05; Likelihood = 14.918			

Table 2: A regression analysis of socioeconomic factors influencing compliance to Stay Home Policy and movement within risk zone

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
	B	Std. Error	Beta		
(Constant)	.469	.022		20.945	.000
Female*	.209	.033	.210	6.372	.000
(Constant)	.580	.024		24.344	.000
Married	-.037	.034	-.037	-1.080	.280
Separated	-.080	.177	-.015	-.454	.650
Divorced	.134	.188	.024	.712	.477
Widowed*	.420	.177	.080	2.379	.018
(Constant)	.566	.017		33.239	.000
Secondary	.045	.118	.013	.383	.702
No education	-.020	.151	-.005	-.136	.892
(Constant)	.519	.020		25.426	.000
Unemployed*	.178	.043	.140	4.108	.000
Student	.064	.049	.045	1.306	.192
Housewife*	.293	.124	.079	2.359	.019
(Constant)	.505	.023		22.092	.000
Random Income*	.138	.038	.128	3.649	.000
Daily Income*	.145	.066	.076	2.199	.028
No Income	.090	.058	.053	1.539	.124
Exposure to risk					
(Constant)	.712	.021		33.554	.000
Female	-.032	.031	-.034	-1.019	.309
(Constant)	.659	.022		29.865	.000
Married*	.085	.031	.092	2.702	.007
Separated	-.034	.163	-.007	-.208	.836
Divorced	-.230	.175	-.045	-1.320	.187
Widowed	-.034	.163	-.007	-.208	.836
(Constant)	.706	.016		44.948	.000
Secondary *	-.261	.109	-.081	-2.397	.017
No education	-.251	.139	-.061	-1.809	.071
(Constant)	.763	.019		40.671	.000
Unemployed*	-.218	.040	-.185	-5.464	.000
Student*	-.163	.045	-.122	-3.602	.000
Housewife	-.138	.114	-.040	-1.207	.228
(Constant)	.747	.021		35.310	.000
Random Income*	-.086	.035	-.086	-2.452	.014
Daily Income	-.033	.061	-.019	-.540	.589
No Income*	-.223	.054	-.143	-4.138	.000

* p<.05