

This is a repository copy of *The effect of acute maximal exercise on the regional distribution of ventilation using ventilation MRI in CF*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/169163/

Version: Accepted Version

# Article:

Smith, L.J., Marshall, H. orcid.org/0000-0002-7425-1449, Bray, J. et al. (4 more authors) (2021) The effect of acute maximal exercise on the regional distribution of ventilation using ventilation MRI in CF. Journal of Cystic Fibrosis, 20 (4). pp. 625-631. ISSN 1569-1993

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcf.2020.08.009

Article available under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-ND licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

#### Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

#### Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

# THE EFFECT OF ACUTE MAXIMAL EXERCISE ON THE REGIONAL DISTRIBUTION OF VENTILATION USING VENTILATION MRI IN CF

Authors: Laurie J. Smith<sup>a,b</sup>, Helen Marshall<sup>a</sup>, Jody Bray<sup>a</sup>, Martin Wildman<sup>c</sup>, Noreen West<sup>b</sup>, Alex Horsley<sup>a,d</sup> and Jim M. Wild<sup>a</sup>

 <sup>a</sup> POLARIS group, Imaging Sciences, Department of Infection, Immunity and Cardiovascular Disease, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK
 <sup>b</sup> Sheffield Children's Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Sheffield, UK
 <sup>c</sup> Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Sheffield, UK
 <sup>d</sup> Respiratory Research Group, Division of Infection, Immunity & Respiratory Medicine, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

Corresponding Author: Jim M. Wild. POLARIS group, Imaging Sciences, Department of Infection, Immunity and Cardiovascular Disease, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK. Telephone: + 44 (0)114 2159141. Email: j.m.wild@sheffield.ac.uk

Keywords: 129Xe MRI; ventilation; exercise; lung function

Declarations of interest: None

Running title: The effect of exercise on ventilation MRI in CF

#### Abstract

**Background:** The importance of exercise in the management of people with CF is well recognised, yet the effect of exercise on lung function is not well understood. FEV<sub>1</sub> is insensitive to the detection of small changes in lung function. Ventilation MRI and LCI are both more sensitive to mild lung disease than FEV<sub>1</sub> and may be better suited to assess the effects of exercise. Here we assessed the short-term effects of maximal exercise on the distribution of ventilation using ventilation MRI and LCI.

**Methods:** Patients with CF and a range of lung disease were assessed. Baseline LCI and ventilation MRI was followed by a maximal cardio-pulmonary exercise test (CPET). Repeated ventilation MRI was performed within 30 minutes of exercise termination, followed by LCI and finally by FEV<sub>1</sub>.

**Results:** 13 patients were recruited and completed all assessments. Mean (SD) age was 25 (10) years and mean (SD) FEV<sub>1</sub> z-score was -1.8 (1.7). Mean LCI at baseline was 8.2, mean ventilation defect percentage on MRI (VDP) was 7.3%. All patients performed maximal CPET. Post-exercise, there was a visible change in lung ventilation in 85% of patients, including two patients with increased ventilation heterogeneity post-CPET who had normal FEV<sub>1</sub>. VDP and LCI were significantly reduced post-exercise (p<0.05) and 45% of patients had a significant change in VDP.

**Conclusions:** Acute maximal exercise directly affects the distribution of ventilation on ventilation MRI in patients with CF. This suggests that exercise is beneficial in CF and that ventilation MRI is suitable to assess airway clearance efficacy.

Non-standard abbreviations:

<sup>129</sup>Xe – Xenon-129
<sup>3</sup>He – Helium-3
LCI – Lung Clearance Index
MBW – Multiple Breath Washout
VDP – Ventilation Defect Percentage
VH<sub>I</sub> – Ventilation Heterogeneity Index

#### **1.1 Introduction**

Exercise is increasingly recognised as an important component of disease management in cystic fibrosis (CF)(1). Aerobic capacity, in particular the amount of oxygen uptake during maximal exercise (VO<sub>2</sub>peak), is highly predictive of long-term morbidity and mortality(2-4). VO<sub>2</sub>peak and other metrics calculated from lab-based cardio-pulmonary exercise testing (CPET) such as the ventilatory equivalents for O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> (Ve/VO<sub>2</sub> and Ve/VCO<sub>2</sub> respectively), are strong predictors of hospitalisation(5), death and lung transplantation(4). As a result, there is increasing emphasis on performing routine labbased CPET assessments in CF because of the detailed physiological profiling the interpretation can provide(6).

There is also recognition in the value of exercise as a form of chest physiotherapy(7). The increased minute ventilation associated with exercise, as well as the upper body movement that often accompanies exercise, causes increases in positive pressure within the lungs, which aids the clearance of mucus from airways(7). Many patients with CF use exercise as a primary form of physiotherapy(1) and the role of exercise in airway clearance has been highlighted as one of the most important questions that people with CF are interested in(8). Previous studies have demonstrated that maximal exercise causes an improvement in the lung clearance index (LCI)(9) measured during a multiple breath washout (MBW) test, suggesting ventilation heterogeneity is improved as a result of exercise. In contrast however, attempts at measuring long-term improvements in lung function due to regular exercise have not demonstrated significant changes in FEV<sub>1</sub>(10). FEV<sub>1</sub> however is not a sensitive enough metric to monitor the effects of chest physiotherapy in general(11).

Hyperpolarised gas ventilation MRI using helium-3 (<sup>3</sup>He) or, increasingly, xenon-129 (<sup>129</sup>Xe) provides a direct visualisation in 3D of the distribution of ventilation within the lung. Ventilation MRI has been shown to be highly sensitive to early lung disease in CF(12) and to longitudinal disease progression(13). Ventilation MRI has also been shown to be effective at measuring the effect of interventions, including CTFR modulators(14) and antibiotics in response to acute exacerbation(15). A major strength of ventilation MRI, is the ability to quantitatively assess global and regional lung disease(16), with the ventilation defect percentage (VDP) being the most common metric reported. Previous studies have assessed the effect of chest physiotherapy using <sup>3</sup>He MRI, and shown that physiotherapy causes a re-distribution of ventilation that can

be imaged and quantified(17-19). In addition to the detection of changes in regional ventilation, due to shifting mucus distribution, it might also be anticipated that the severity of ventilation abnormalities observed on MRI would be related to the degree of exercise impairment in people with CF. Ventilation MRI is thus a well-placed tool to assess both the impact of airway disease on exercise capacity, and the impact of exercise on lung physiology.

In this work we hypothesised that a period of maximal exercise would result in airway clearance of obstructing secretions and hence in improvements in ventilation. We also hypothesised that exercise capacity would correlate with severity of lung disease as measured by ventilation heterogeneity on MRI.

The aims of this study were therefore to;

- i) Assess the effects of maximal exercise on ventilation MRI and MBW.
- ii) Assess the relationship of CPET metrics with ventilation MRI and MBW metrics.

### 1.2 Methods

#### 1.2.1 Study design

This study forms part of a larger longitudinal study of LCI and MRI in children and adults with CF(16). In this interventional sub-study, patients performed MBW and imaging before and after a maximal CPET at one of their follow-up visits. Children and adults with CF were recruited from three specialist CF centres in the UK into the larger longitudinal study. Patients were eligible for this exercise sub-study if they were aged >10 years and if FEV<sub>1</sub> >30% and were not pre-selected. Patients were screened against the recommended CPET contraindications(20) and in addition patients with Mycobacterium abscessus or Burkholderia cepacia were excluded from the larger study, as were patients with a pulmonary exacerbation within the previous four weeks. In total 13 patients met the criteria and were assessed in this sub-study. The main limitation on participating in this sub-study were time constraints and the resulting potential patient fatigue after completing the rest of the protocol required for the longitudinal study. This study was approved by the Yorkshire and Humber - Leeds West Research Ethics Committee (REC reference: 16/YH/0339). All adult patients or parents of children provided written consent, children also provided written assent. Assessments took place between January 2017 and March 2019.

#### 1.2.2 Assessment protocol

<sup>129</sup>Xe or <sup>3</sup>He MRI were performed on a 1.5T GE HDX MRI scanner (GE, Milwaukee, USA). Images were acquired at a lung volume of end-inspiratory tidal volume as previously described, using titrated inhaled volumes of test gas(16). A <sup>1</sup>H anatomical MRI was performed immediately prior to and at the same lung volume as the <sup>129</sup>Xe image. <sup>1</sup>H MRI was always re-acquired each time a <sup>129</sup>Xe image was performed and was used to calculate the thoracic cavity volume at which images were acquired. <sup>129</sup>Xe or <sup>3</sup>He and <sup>1</sup>H MRI were segmented using a semi-automated Fuzzy C-means clustering method, as previously described (21), in order to calculate VDP and the ventilation heterogeneity index (VH<sub>I</sub>)(16). More details on MRI sequences and gas doses can be found in the online supplement.

MBW was performed on an open-circuit Innocor (Pulmotrace, Glamsberg, Denmark) using 0.2% SF<sub>6</sub> as previously described(16, 22). Spirometry and body plethysmography were performed according to international recommendations(23, 24) on a 'PFT Pro'

(Vyaire, Basingstoke, UK). CPET was performed as recommended using a cycle ergometer and an 'Ultima' metabolic cart (Medical Graphics, Gloucester, UK)(6).

The first two patients to perform the exercise assessment were assessed with <sup>3</sup>He for ventilation MRI, and the remaining patients were assessed with <sup>129</sup>Xe, for reasons of gas availability and a switch to <sup>129</sup>Xe at our research centre. All patients performed the assessments in the same order. Ventilation MRI was performed first as a baseline measurement. This was followed by MBW and body plethysmography. A maximal CPET was then performed, followed by a repeat ventilation MRI within 30 minutes of the end of exercise. The repeat MBW was completed after this and spirometry was then performed at the end of the study visit. This sequence was the same for the two patients who were imaged with <sup>3</sup>He.

#### 1.2.3 Analysis

The primary metrics used for analysis were VDP from MRI, LCI from MBW, VO<sub>2</sub>peak from CPET, the residual volume to total lung capacity ratio (RV/TLC) from body plethysmography and FEV<sub>1</sub> from spirometry. Secondary outcomes include: VH<sub>1</sub> from  $^{129}$ Xe MRI, VO<sub>2</sub>AT (anaerobic threshold), Ve/VO<sub>2</sub> and Ve/VCO<sub>2</sub> at peak exercise from CPET and S<sub>cond</sub> (convection-dependent ventilation heterogeneity) and S<sub>acin</sub> (convectiondiffusion dependent ventilation heterogeneity) from MBW. Prior to analysis, data were assessed for normal distribution using the Shapiro-Wilks text. An absolute change in VDP of  $>\pm 1.6\%$  was used as the threshold above which a significant post-exercise response was determined, which represents the same-day repeatability of <sup>129</sup>Xe VDP in CF patients(25),. The two subjects who were assessed with <sup>3</sup>He were removed from this analysis. Wilcoxon signed rank test was performed to compare the initial baseline values with the post-exercise values from MRI and MBW. <sup>3</sup>He and <sup>129</sup>Xe VDP values were pooled for quantitative analysis; previously we have shown that there is good agreement and minimal bias between the two gases in CF(26). Spearman's correlation was performed to compare exercise outcomes to ventilation MRI and lung function. A p-value of 0.05 was deemed statistically significant.

#### **1.3 Results**

Thirteen patients (including five patients under the age of 18 years), of whom five were female, completed the exercise study protocol. Patient demographics, and baseline lung function, MRI and CPET metrics are detailed in Table 1. Patients were aged between

10.2 and 43.7 years (mean age = 25.2 years) and had a spread of  $FEV_1$  z-score values ranging from -4.4 to 0.92 (mean  $FEV_1$  z-score = -1.8). Six patients had an  $FEV_1$  within the normal range and five had a normal LCI (all of whom also had normal  $FEV_1$ ). All patients achieved a maximal effort on CPET, as defined by achieving a respiratory exchange ratio (RER) >1.05(6).

Table 1: Baseline lung function, CPET and MRI metrics for the study population. Metrics are displayed for each individual patient within the study. Also documented are clinical demographics relating to the underlying CF and any previous diagnosis of asthma. Patient ^ indicates patients under the age of 18 years. FEV<sub>1</sub> – forced expiratory volume in one second. RV/TLC – the ratio of residual volume to total lung capacity. LCI – lung clearance index. S<sub>cond</sub> – convection-dependent ventilation heterogeneity. S<sub>acin</sub> – convection-diffusion-dependent ventilation heterogeneity index. VO<sub>2</sub>peak – oxygen uptake at peak exercise. VO<sub>2</sub>AT – oxygen uptake at the anaerobic threshold. Ve/VO<sub>2</sub> – the ratio of minute ventilation to oxygen uptake or the ventilatory equivalent for oxygen. Ve/VCO<sub>2</sub> – the ratio of minute ventilation to carbon dioxide production or the ventilatory equivalent for CO<sub>2</sub>. Clinical data relates to the date of testing and was recorded from the patient notes. Pseudomonas refers to whether the patient was recorded as being chronically infected at the time of testing.

Patient	FEV <sub>1</sub> z- score	RV/TLC %	LCI	Scond	Sacin	VDP %	VHı %	VO2peak ml/min/kg	VO2AT ml/min/kg	Ve/VO <sub>2</sub>	Ve/VCO <sub>2</sub>	Genotype	Pseudomonas Y/N	Asthma Y/N	Bronchodilator usage Y/N	CFTR modulator Y/N
1	-4.2	48.7	14.0	0.07	0.37	45.2	19.1	29.4	12.6	43.8	36.0	DF508/DF508	Y	N	Y	N
2	-0.5	29.0	7.4	0.09	0.08	1.5	10.0	30.7	12.2	59.1	42.0	DF508/DF508	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν
3	-3.3	41.4	11.4	0.12	0.26	20.8*	19.0*	40.5	15.7	35.5	30.0	DF508/DF508	N	N	Y	N
4^	0.7	26.5	6.6	0.03	0.10	2.1*	9.4*	40.7	19.6	36.0	37.0	DF508/DF508	N	N	N	N
5	-2.7	34.4	9.4	0.14	0.22	17.8	12.3	38.1	18.9	39.0	31.0	R334W/R75X	N	N	Ν	Ν
6^	-0.9	24.0	7.3	0.11	0.06	2.0	9.0	31.6	15.1	52.9	48.0	DF508/DF508	N	Y	Y	Ν
7^	0.9	17.8	7.3	0.06	0.08	3.7	9.6	57.9	22.6	37.8	37.0	DF508/DF508	Ν	N	N	N
8	-2.4	31.0	8.2	0.07	0.23	7.3	15.4	37.4	18.7	46.0	33.0	DF508/DF508	Y	N	Y	N
9^	-0.5	22.0	7.6	0.11	0.09	2.7	9.5	49.1	19.1	48.9	37.0	DF508/Q493x	N	N	Y	Ν
10	-2.8	51.9	14.6	0.12	0.16	20.2	18.7	37.8	15.4	30.1	26.0	DF508/R553X	Y	N	N	Ν
11	-4.4	57.6	17.4	0.10	0.34	45.6	18.7	27.5	14.1	40.0	35.0	DF508/DF508	Y	N	Y	Orkambi
12^	-1.0	26.6	6.6	0.08	0.11	2.5	10.8	40.1	19.6	43.9	37.0	G551D/DF508	Y	N	Y	Ivacaftor
13	-2.8	45.3	13.0	0.15	0.14	30.8	19.5	33.8	14.3	42.5	34.0	DF508/W1282x	Y	N	Y	N

## 1.3.1 <sup>129</sup>Xe ventilation MRI: Baseline

At baseline, ventilation MRI defects were present in all patients. There was a wide range of disease severity with VDP ranging from 1.5 to 45.7%.

## 1.3.2 Ventilation MRI and MBW: Baseline and Post-CPET comparison

All patients successfully performed MRI and MBW post-CPET. There was a small but significant reduction in both VDP (p=0.04) and LCI (p=0.05) post-CPET when compared to baseline, but no significant change in VH<sub>I</sub>, S<sub>cond</sub> or S<sub>acin</sub> (Table 2). The median difference (95% confidence intervals) for VDP showed a reduction of 1.5% (-0.4 to 3.0%) and for LCI a reduction of 0.4 units (-0.2 to 1.1) was observed. When the two patients who performed <sup>3</sup>He were removed from the VDP analysis, the p-value changed to 0.15 and the median difference to 0.9% (-1.4 to 3.7%).

Table 2: Pre versus post CPET metrics for MRI and MBW for the whole group of patients. Data are displayed as either mean (SD) or median [IQR]. Statistically significant (p<0.05) changes in LCI and VDP post exercise as indicated by \*.

	Baseline	Post-CPET
LCI	8.2 [7.3, 13.5]	7.8 [7.0, 12.0]*
Scond	0.10 (0.03)	0.09 (0.04)
Sacin	0.17 (0.1)	0.18 (0.11)
VDP (%)	7.3 [2.3, 25.8]	7.1 [2.4, 24.8]*
VH <sub>1</sub> (%)	12.3 [9.6, 19.0]	11.4 [9.8, 16.8]

Of the patients who performed the pre versus post exercise assessment with <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI, 5/11 patients had a change in VDP of >±1.6%. Of these five, four had a significant improvement in ventilation distribution and one had a significant worsening in the ventilation distribution (see Figure 1 for examples).



Figure 1: Representative <sup>129</sup>Xe ventilation image slices from six patients (a-f) at baseline and post-CPET. Two slice locations are shown per patient for comparison, depicting the change in ventilation that has occurred post-CPET. White dashed arrows in the post-CPET images highlight some of the areas of change in ventilation. Patients' a-d had an overall improvement in ventilation post-CPET, whilst patients' e and f had a worsening in ventilation post-CPET. Patient a) had a reduction in absolute VDP of -3.7% (baseline to post-CPET VDP = 45.7 to 41.9%). Patient b) had a reduction in absolute VDP of -3.0% (baseline to post-CPET VDP = 45.2 to 42.2%). Patient c) had a reduction in absolute VDP of -5.9% (baseline to post-CPET VDP = 17.8 to 11.9%). Patient d) had a reduction in absolute VDP of -1.9% (baseline to post-CPET VDP = 3.7 to 1.8%). Patient e) had an increase in absolute VDP of 1.4% (baseline to post-CPET VDP = 2.0 to 3.4%). Patient f) had an increase in absolute VDP of 1.6% (baseline to post-CPET VDP = 1.5 to 3.1%). For both patients' e and f, the major areas of worsening ventilation post-CPET, appear to be in areas where ventilation defects were already present at baseline.

Of the remaining six patients assessed with <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI with a change in VDP of < $\pm$ 1.6%, only two had no clear visual change in the distribution of ventilation. The remaining patients with total VDP change < $\pm$ 1.6% all had visible changes in ventilation. One of these had a visual worsening in overall ventilation (patient e, Figure 1) and the remaining three had an approximately equal combination of areas of improved and

decreased ventilation, with net change in VDP being small. The value of regional quantitative analysis is highlighted in Figure 1 (patient f), where the global change in VDP for this patient was 1.6%, however the change in the size of the defect evident in the right lung was 2.4%. The two patients assessed with <sup>3</sup>He also had a visible improvement in areas of ventilation abnormalities and had overall reductions in absolute VDP of -2.3% and -1.6%.

#### 1.3.3 CPET metric comparisons with ventilation MRI and lung function

VO<sub>2</sub>peak demonstrated significant correlations with RV/TLC (r=-0.64, p=0.02) and FEV<sub>1</sub> (r=0.57, p=0.047), whilst VO<sub>2</sub>AT demonstrated correlations with RV/TLC (r=-0.64, p=0.02) and LCI (r=-0.63, p=0.02). Peak exercise Ve/VO<sub>2</sub> did not correlate with any imaging or lung function metrics. In contrast, peak exercise Ve/VCO<sub>2</sub> demonstrated significant correlations with VDP (r=-0.72, p=0.007), RV/TLC (r=-0.70, p=0.01), LCI (r=-0.69, p=0.01) and FEV<sub>1</sub> (r=0.68, p=0.01). VDP did not demonstrate a significant correlation with VO<sub>2</sub>peak or VO<sub>2</sub>AT (Figure 2).

Figure 2 shows the relationships between VDP and lung function metrics with VO<sub>2</sub>peak and VO<sub>2</sub>AT and the correlation metrics are detailed on each plot for all patients. The two patients who had a worsening of the distribution of ventilation post exercise (patients' e and f in Figure 1) appear to be outliers in that they had low values for VO<sub>2</sub> alongside well-preserved VDP and lung function. These two patients had similar levels of aerobic fitness to those with more severe lung disease, suggesting that these two patients were deconditioned. It is interesting that when these two patients data are removed from correlation analysis, the correlations of VO<sub>2</sub>peak and VO<sub>2</sub>AT with VDP become highly significant (r=-0.78, p=0.006 and r=-0.92, p<0.001 respectively). This observation was consistent for LCI (r=-0.76, p=0.01 and r=-0.92, p<0.001 respectively), FEV<sub>1</sub> (r=0.81, p=0.004 and r=0.93, p<0.001 respectively) and RV/TLC (r=-0.86, p=0.001 and r=-0.92, p<0.001 respectively).



**Figure 2: Scatter plots showing the relationships of VO<sub>2</sub>peak and VO<sub>2</sub>AT with pre-exercise VDP, LCI, FEV<sub>1</sub> and RV/TLC.** Spearman correlation values are displayed representing analysis on all patients. The two patients highlighted by open-circles are the patients with a significant worsening in the distribution of ventilation post-CPET. These two patients appear to be outliers that have low fitness levels for their given degree of lung disease. The two patients highlighted in the plots of VDP with open-squares are the two patients where VDP was calculated from <sup>3</sup>He MRI.

#### **1.4 Discussion**

In this cohort of patients with a broad range of age and lung disease, we have shown that by performing a maximal effort CPET, significant quantitative and visual changes in the distribution of ventilation were observed post-exercise in most patients. These data demonstrate the impact of exercise on lung ventilation.

There is increasing interest in and awareness of the effects of exercise on patients with CF(9, 10, 27, 28). Patients with reduced aerobic capacity have a greater risk of morbidity and mortality(2-4) and a greater risk of hospitalisation(5). When performing exercise, the physiological response is to increase minute ventilation. This increase in ventilation on exercise will result in the opening of some airways that were partially obstructed during quiet tidal breathing, a phenomenon we have previously demonstrated by comparing end tidal inspiration with maximal inspiration on ventilation MRI(16). This improvement in ventilation during exercise may allow for improved mucus clearance from the airways(7). The data presented here suggests that even by performing a short bout of maximal exercise in the form of a CPET, there are significant changes in the distribution of ventilation due to the movement of mucus.

These data add to the growing evidence base that exercise can be effective as a method of airway clearance. In a previous study, healthy trained subjects showed no change in ventilation heterogeneity measured using multi-breath washout following CPET(29). For most patients in the current study however (85%), the CPET caused a visible change in ventilation. Given the high intra-session repeatability of <sup>129</sup>Xe VDP(25), this suggests that the changes we observed in VDP and in the distribution of ventilation, relate to the underlying CF pathophysiology, and in part are likely a consequence of mucus movement in the airways. Change in VDP was greater than the intra-session repeatability of VDP, and therefore significant, for 45% of the patients assessed with <sup>129</sup>Xe. For four of these patients and for a further three without a significant change in VDP, there was an overall visible improvement in ventilation as was also the case for the two patients assessed with <sup>3</sup>He.

This illustrates a major advantage of ventilation MRI, namely that it provides detailed information as to the location and size of the regions of the lung that have changed. Global metrics of lung function, not only FEV<sub>1</sub> and LCI but also VDP, measure a minimal summary change when there are areas of the lung that change both positively and negatively by similar amounts, since the net effect can be close to zero. We have demonstrated here that patients without a significant change in VDP or lung physiology may still have large positive or negative changes in individual ventilation defects (for example patient f, Figure 1). Ventilation MRI can quantify ventilation defects in 3D providing regional lung function metrics, which more accurately reflects the regional effects of interventions(16).

The observation here that there were visible changes in ventilation for some patients without a change in VDP, is in keeping with previous studies where chest physiotherapy was performed pre and post <sup>3</sup>He ventilation MRI in children with CF(17-19). These studies showed that physiotherapy had an effect on the distribution of ventilation, but that whole lung VDP was similar post physiotherapy to baseline. In our study, a significant change in VDP was observed for some patients, which suggests that maximal exercise is performing effectively as a method of chest physiotherapy.

In this study, we observed a mean decrease in LCI post-exercise of 0.4. This is similar to the reduction in LCI reported previously post-CPET, where the authors reported a reduction in LCI of 0.7(9). It is recommended that all patients with CF perform some form of airway clearance as part of their routine management(1), but the evidence for

one single method over another is limited(30). One reason for this may be that the outcome measure utilised is usually the change in  $FEV_1(30)$ . It is now well recognised that  $FEV_1$  lacks sensitivity to detect small meaningful changes in the lungs and may be a reason why exercise trials in CF have not shown statistically significant improvements in lung function(10). More sensitive summary measurements of lung function such as LCI may be more suitable in those with mild-moderate disease, but only MRI provides the detailed regional information across the full spectrum of disease.

Patients' e and f in Figure 1 were the only two patients assessed where there was a visible worsening of ventilation post exercise. Patient e had a previous diagnosis of asthma, raising the possibility that the worsening in ventilation could be caused by exercise induced bronchospasm. There was no corresponding change in this patients FEV<sub>1</sub>, which was within the normal range without evidence of significant airflow obstruction, so any bronchospasm that occurred was undetectable by conventional means. In these two cases, re-distribution of mucus into larger airways is also a plausible explanation. Movement of mucus into more proximal airways would cause larger ventilation defects to appear distal to the mucus. In this scenario, despite a temporary worsening in overall ventilation, the clinical effect may ultimately be positive due to the proximal movement of mucus, which is expectorated at a later time point. These two patients however also showed discrepancy between their baseline lung function and CPET markers, with low aerobic capacity and relatively well preserved lung function. As such, they would be considered deconditioned and regular exercise would be recommended to help this. An intriguing possibility also exists: that the short term worsening of ventilation heterogeneity is a regular feature of their response to exercise, and could be a factor in their deconditioning. Excluding these two outliers from correlation analysis, the relationship of VDP with VO<sub>2</sub> metrics is significant, supporting that in most cases there is a relationship between underlying lung disease and aerobic capacity. When all patients were assessed we also found that Ve/VCO<sub>2</sub> at peak exercise was highly correlated to VDP. This is an intuitive relationship as Ve/VCO<sub>2</sub> is a metric of ventilation efficiency and higher values for VDP would infer ventilation inefficiency due to increased physiological dead-space within the lungs.

The main limitation to this study is the small number of patients involved. However, this was an intensive study, with a detailed analysis. Two patients were assessed using <sup>3</sup>He, but recent work has demonstrated high concordance and minimal bias between <sup>3</sup>He and <sup>129</sup>Xe(26, 31) ventilation MRI, and this is unlikely to have affected the main conclusion

that exercise often changes the distribution of ventilation in patients with CF. We also were unable to assess any change in FEV<sub>1</sub> with exercise, with only post-exercise spirometry performed. Spirometry however was not the primary method for comparison and a pre-exercise spirometry would have potentially affected the distribution of ventilation as measured on <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI and LCI. We were also only able to assess the short-term impact of a maximal exercise session performed under clinical supervision, and home exercise regimens may not match the intensity. Longer-term effects may also differ for exercise and formal chest physiotherapy. These limitations could be explored further in longer-term exercise trials, as could the effect of exercise on lung perfusion, which we were unable to measure in this study.

## **1.5 Conclusion**

In conclusion, we have shown that maximal exercise has an acute effect on the distribution of ventilation in CF, and that increasing VDP is likely associated with decreasing exercise capacity. This work demonstrates that maximal exercise has an effect on airway clearance and supports recommendations that exercise is beneficial. Ventilation MRI is an ideal methodology for assessing the re-distribution of ventilation caused by exercise and physiotherapy interventions.

## **1.6 Acknowledgements**

The authors would like to acknowledge all members of the POLARIS research group at the University of Sheffield for their support. In particular we would like to thank Leanne Armstrong for administrative support, to Oliver Rodgers, Graham Norquay, Madhwesha Rao and Guilhem Collier for gas polarisation and to Paul Hughes and Alberto Biancardi for support with image post-processing. We would also like to thank the cystic fibrosis clinical teams at Sheffield Children's Hospital and Sheffield Teaching Hospital, and the NIHR Manchester Clinical Research Facility for their support. Finally, we would like to thank all of the participants for their time in taking part in this research.

## **1.7 Financial Support**

This report is independent research supported by the National Institute for Health Research and Health Education England and also the Medical Research Council. This work was supported by the NIHR grants; ICA-CDRF-2015-01-027 and NIHR-RP-R3-12-027 and MRC grant MR/M008894/1. AH was supported by the NIHR Manchester Biomedical Research Centre and by NIHR grant NIHRCS12-013. The views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the National Institute for Health Research, Health Education England or the Department of Health.

# **1.8 References**

1. Smyth AR, Bell SC, Bojcin S, Bryon M, Duff A, Flume P, et al. European Cystic Fibrosis Society Standards of Care: Best Practice guidelines. Journal of cystic fibrosis : official journal of the European Cystic Fibrosis Society. 2014;13 Suppl 1:S23-42.

2. Nixon PA, Orenstein DM, Kelsey SF, Doershuk CF. The prognostic value of exercise testing in patients with cystic fibrosis. The New England journal of medicine. 1992;327(25):1785-8.

3. Pianosi P, Leblanc J, Almudevar A. Peak oxygen uptake and mortality in children with cystic fibrosis. Thorax. 2005;60(1):50-4.

4. Hebestreit H, Hulzebos EHJ, Schneiderman JE, Karila C, Boas SR, Kriemler S, et al. Cardiopulmonary Exercise Testing Provides Additional Prognostic Information in Cystic Fibrosis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2019;199(8):987-95.

5. Perez M, Groeneveld IF, Santana-Sosa E, Fiuza-Luces C, Gonzalez-Saiz L, Villa-Asensi JR, et al. Aerobic fitness is associated with lower risk of hospitalization in children with cystic fibrosis. Pediatric pulmonology. 2014;49(7):641-9.

6. Hebestreit H, Arets HG, Aurora P, Boas S, Cerny F, Hulzebos EH, et al. Statement on Exercise Testing in Cystic Fibrosis. Respiration. 2015;90(4):332-51.

7. Dwyer TJ, Daviskas E, Zainuldin R, Verschuer J, Eberl S, Bye PTP, et al. Effects of exercise and airway clearance (PEP) on mucus clearance in cystic fibrosis: a randomised cross-over trial. European Respiratory Journal. 2019:1801793.

8. Rowbotham NJ, Smith S, Leighton PA, Rayner OC, Gathercole K, Elliott ZC, et al. The top 10 research priorities in cystic fibrosis developed by a partnership between people with CF and healthcare providers. Thorax. 2018;73(4):388-90.

9. Tucker MA, Crandall R, Seigler N, Rodriguez-Miguelez P, McKie KT, Forseen C, et al. A single bout of maximal exercise improves lung function in patients with cystic fibrosis. Journal of cystic fibrosis : official journal of the European Cystic Fibrosis Society. 2017;16(6):752-8.

10. Ward N, Stiller K, Rowe H, Morrow S, Morton J, Greville H, et al. Airway clearance by exercising in mild cystic fibrosis (ACE-CF): A feasibility study. Respir Med. 2018;142:23-8.

11. Main E, Grillo L, Rand S. Airway clearance strategies in cystic fibrosis and non-cystic fibrosis bronchiectasis. Semin Respir Crit Care Med. 2015;36(2):251-66.

12. Marshall H, Horsley A, Taylor CJ, Smith L, Hughes D, Horn FC, et al. Detection of early subclinical lung disease in children with cystic fibrosis by lung ventilation imaging with hyperpolarised gas MRI. Thorax. 2017;72(8):760-2.

13. Smith L, Marshall H, Aldag I, Horn F, Collier G, Hughes D, et al. Longitudinal Assessment of Children with Mild Cystic Fibrosis Using Hyperpolarized Gas Lung Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Lung Clearance Index. American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine. 2018;197(3):397-400.

14. Altes TA, Johnson M, Fidler M, Botfield M, Tustison NJ, Leiva-Salinas C, et al. Use of hyperpolarized helium-3 MRI to assess response to ivacaftor treatment in patients with cystic fibrosis. Journal of cystic fibrosis : official journal of the European Cystic Fibrosis Society. 2017;16(2):267-74.

15. Rayment JH, Couch MJ, McDonald N, Kanhere N, Manson D, Santyr G, et al. Hyperpolarised (129)Xe magnetic resonance imaging to monitor treatment response in children with cystic fibrosis. The European respiratory journal. 2019;53(5):1802188.

16. Smith LJ, Collier GJ, Marshall H, Hughes PJC, Biancardi AM, Wildman M, et al. Patterns of regional lung physiology in cystic fibrosis using ventilation magnetic resonance imaging and multiple-breath washout. The European respiratory journal. 2018;52(5).

17. Woodhouse N, Wild JM, van Beek EJ, Hoggard N, Barker N, Taylor CJ. Assessment of hyperpolarized 3He lung MRI for regional evaluation of interventional therapy: a pilot study in pediatric cystic fibrosis. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2009;30(5):981-8.

18. Bannier E, Cieslar K, Mosbah K, Aubert F, Duboeuf F, Salhi Z, et al. Hyperpolarized 3He MR for sensitive imaging of ventilation function and treatment efficiency in young cystic fibrosis patients with normal lung function. Radiology. 2010;255(1):225-32.

19. Mentore K, Froh DK, de Lange EE, Brookeman JR, Paget-Brown AO, Altes TA. Hyperpolarized HHe 3 MRI of the lung in cystic fibrosis: assessment at baseline and after bronchodilator and airway clearance treatment. Acad Radiol. 2005;12(11):1423-9.

20. ATS. ATS/ACCP Statement on cardiopulmonary exercise testing. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2003;167(2):211-77.

21. Hughes PJC, Horn FC, Collier GJ, Biancardi A, Marshall H, Wild JM. Spatial fuzzy cmeans thresholding for semiautomated calculation of percentage lung ventilated volume from hyperpolarized gas and (1) H MRI. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2018;47(3):640-6.

22. Horsley AR, Gustafsson PM, Macleod KA, Saunders C, Greening AP, Porteous DJ, et al. Lung clearance index is a sensitive, repeatable and practical measure of airways disease in adults with cystic fibrosis. Thorax. 2008;63(2):135-40.

23. Graham BL, Steenbruggen I, Miller MR, Barjaktarevic IZ, Cooper BG, Hall GL, et al. Standardization of Spirometry 2019 Update. An Official American Thoracic Society and European Respiratory Society Technical Statement. American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine. 2019;200(8):e70-e88.

24. Wanger J, Clausen JL, Coates A, Pedersen OF, Brusasco V, Burgos F, et al. Standardisation of the measurement of lung volumes. The European respiratory journal. 2005;26(3):511-22.

25. Smith LJ, Horsley A, Bray J, Hughes PJC, Biancardi A, Norquay G, et al. The assessment of short and long term changes in lung function in CF using 129Xe MRI. European Respiratory Journal. 2020:2000441.

26. Smith L, Collier G, Marshall H, Hughes P, Biancardi A, Norquay G, et al. Clinical applicability of 3He and 129Xe ventilation MRI in cystic fibrosis: longitudinal follow up. European Respiratory Journal. 2019;54(suppl 63):PA334.

27. Vendrusculo FM, Johnstone Z, Dhouieb E, Donadio MVF, Cunningham S, Urquhart DS. Airway clearance physiotherapy improves ventilatory dynamics during exercise in patients with cystic fibrosis: a pilot study. Archives of disease in childhood. 2018.

28. Radtke T, Boni L, Bohnacker P, Maggi-Beba M, Fischer P, Kriemler S, et al. Acute effects of combined exercise and oscillatory positive expiratory pressure therapy on sputum properties and lung diffusing capacity in cystic fibrosis: a randomized, controlled, crossover trial. BMC Pulm Med. 2018;18(1):99.

29. Wrobel JP, Ellis MJ, Kee K, Stuart - Andrews CR, Thompson BR. Maximal exercise does not increase ventilation heterogeneity in healthy trained adults. Physiological reports. 2016;4(7).

30. Main E. Airway clearance research in CF: the 'perfect storm' of strong preference and effortful participation in long-term, non-blinded studies. Thorax. 2013;68(8):701-2.

31. Woods JC, Wild JM, Wielputz MO, Clancy JP, Hatabu H, Kauczor HU, et al. Current state of the art MRI for the longitudinal assessment of cystic fibrosis. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2019;n/a(n/a).