Harmful Algae

An Approach for Evaluating the Economic Impacts of Harmful Algal Blooms: the Effects of Blooms of Toxic Dinophysis spp. on the Productivity of Scottish Shellfish Farms --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	HARALG-D-20-00039R2
Article Type:	Research Paper
Keywords:	harmful algal blooms, bio-toxins, shellfish aquaculture, economic impact, production function approach, panel data model, Scotland
Corresponding Author:	Simone Martino University of York York, UNITED KINGDOM
First Author:	Simone Martino
Order of Authors:	Simone Martino
	Fatima Gianella
	Keith Davidson
Abstract:	Shellfish production is an important activity for the economy of many countries. As well as its direct value, it helps to stabilize communities in rural areas characterized by limited job opportunities. It is also important for consumers who recognize shellfish as a healthy product that gains its nutrition from natural plankton without the need for fertilizers, chemical treatments or other anthropogenic intervention typical of terrestrial agriculture or other marine aquaculture. Nevertheless, global shellfish fisheries are under threat from harmful algal blooms (HABs) and related biotoxins, whose production is potentially exacerbated by global changes. This research provides evidence of economic impacts on Scottish shellfish farms in the last 10 years caused by HABs and their associated biotoxins. In contrast to previous approaches that have focused on variation in production as a function of temporal trends and blooms events, we use a production function approach to show which input factors (labour, capital, climate variables, concentration of biotoxins) have an effect on production. Results show that diarrhetic shellfish toxins produced by the genera Dinophysis are most significant. A 1% change in the production of these biotoxins reduces shellfish production by 0.66%, with an average yearly negative variation in production function approach is coupled with a multivariate time series model (VAR) capturing the statistical relationship between algal concentration, information on climatic variables and biotoxins to forecast the damage to shellfish production from HABs. This provides producers and regulators with the economic information to plan temporal and spatial mitigating measures necessary to limit damages to production by comparing the costs of these measures with the costs of lost production.

Dear Editor,

We are pleased to re-submit to your Journal a revision of the manuscript **HAR_ALG-S-20-00039R1**, titled "An Approach for Evaluating the Economic Impacts of Harmful Algal Blooms: the Effects of Blooms of Toxic Dinophysis spp. on the Productivity of Scottish Shellfish Farms".

We have reviewed all the sections of the paper to address the questions raised by the reviewer, which mainly regarded its readability. There are not technical changes in terms of methodology and results. However, the paper has been rewritten in many sections, following the suggestions provided, and tightened. Repetitions have been removed and grammar checked. Overall, this has provided a much shorter document that is now 400 words less than the previous version.

Hoping that this revised manuscript fits the requirements of the reviewer and the interests of your Journal. On behalf of my co-authors I send through my best regards

The corresponding author,

Simono Rochino

York, 1th October 2020

Reviewers' comments:

Reviewer #1: This is a good revision, but it needs just a little more work before it can be accepted.

We thanks the reviewer for the comments provided that will improve the readability of the manuscript. We have accepted almost all ideas proposed; where some suggested are not accepted, this is specified and reasons are provided. In particular, we have restructured the whole manuscript, removing duplication, tightening sentences and reducing globally 400 words.

Please carry-out the following:

Line 344: remove "for the first time". This is for readers to observe themselves, not for you to tout.

We changed as suggested

Line 346: "...generated by genera..." sounds awkward.

We changed generated in produced

Line 353: Remove "the" in front of "regulators". In general, there is a misuse (presence or absence) of articles (the, a, an) throughout. The entire paper needs to be gone through carefully so that it reads well. Removed "the".

The use of articles in the paper has been revised.

Lines 367-368: delete "and provides an important social impact by"

We removed the full sentence to avoid repetition with a statement reported at lines 376-379.

Line 425: define or use another term for "eco-economic".

We changed it to ecological and economic

Line 444: replace "subdue" with "levels subside".

We changed as suggested

Line 496: terminology is getting mixed here "input of the production function". Literally, the VAR is being used to instrument a variable that is a Cobb-Douglas factor input (actually an unproductive one).

Sentence reformulated to be clearer at lines 491-498. We have reformulated as below proposed:

The production function is preceded by a multivariate time series statistical approach (vector autoregression-VAR) to forecast the impact of biological and climatic variables on the production of biotoxins. Although the two models are independent, the VAR can be used to

instrument biotoxins in the production function to forecast the expected impacts on shellfish production. Before moving to the details of the statistical approach and results, a brief description of the Scottish shellfish industry, along with the effects of climatic, environmental and biological factors on shellfish production is reported.

Line 510: the map is excellent. Thank you! Sure would like to see the sampling locations and mussel licenses located on it...

Number of sampling points is the only information available to us as reported by Marine Scotland. Moreover, we do not present the of sampling points and mussel licences as there has been some locational changes in these over the multi-year duration of the study and hence cannot be mapped in a way that is useful to the reader. To report a bit more information on the number of samples and where they occur we added the following text at line 509:

Figure 1 provides a map of all the marine Scottish regions, but not the geolocation of the sample². Our study refers only to the shellfish production region of the Shetland Islands and the Western marine regions (Outer Hebrides, West Highlands, Argyll and Clyde).

² Marine Scotland reports the number of farms sampled in the annual publication "Scottish Shellfish production Survey", available at the following web site: <u>https://www.gov.scot/collections/scottish-fish-farm-production-surveys/</u>. In 2019, 129 businesses where sampled, distributed as follows: 44 in the West Highlands, 5 in the Orkney Island, 23 in the Shetland Islands, 43 in the Clyde, and 14 in the Outer Hebrides. Consulted on 21st August 2020

Line 541: Replace "most cultured" with "seafood product that is cultured more than any other" or something like that.

We replaced "most cultured" with "dominant shellfish product"

Lines 550-553: this explanation is unclear. If you are referring the estimation of the production function, then the effect is not strictly a marginal effect (its a percentage change in production when DSP exceeds the threshold).

Part of the sentence has been moved to an early section and any reference to marginality is demanded to the specific section about the explanation of the production function (*methods*).

Lines 641-657: I think this discussion could be left out (except for the variable definitions) and just start with the transformed function.

We have simplified this section removing the text that can be considered redundant. However, we prefer to present the full set of equations...this can be easier to follow for the audience that is not familiar with the Cobb-Douglas model.

Lines 647-650: It's unclear what the difference is between HAB and BTX. Does the cell threshold match the bio-toxin threshold, so that they are actually the same variable?

Both vectors are measured as frequency above a threshold, as described at line 599-605. The two sets of variables are not the same. HAB is a fraction (0-1) above threshold

concentration of algae, while BTX is fraction above threshold concentration of biotoxins. This is also specified at line 642-645.

Lines 710-742: The descriptive statistics should be in the data section.

I have always reported descriptive statistics in the result section. This is part of the analysis of data. Thus, we prefer reporting it in the result.

Line 743: I think it would be more useful to title this section "First Stage" or something like that. You can just say that you used a VAR to instrument for DSP.

VAR and production function are two separated models that are meaningful as single entity. However, it is also possible to use the VAR to forecast with 1-year lag the expected DSP, and then the latter figure can be multiplied by the beta coefficient of the DSP variable in the production approach to calculate the expected damage in shellfish production. We think that there is no need to change the title to the section.

Line 748. Dependent

Yes, thanks for spotting the mistakes.

Line 756: "explicative"?

Thanks for spotting the mistake; we wanted to say "explained" variance

Line 783: Then this is the Second Stage.

As mentioned above, this can be seen as a second stage. However, we do not want to give the idea that the two things need to be run in serial way. Therefore, we prefer avoiding any reference to the terminology "first and second stage". We decided to keep the title as it is. However, it is explained how the two models can be used jointly.

Lines 797-798 and 926-927: You're letting the model, not the data talk here. You cannot just pass this off so blithely. This result may be due to the lower ratio of labour to capital in the Shetland Islands (lines 563-564; lines 716-717). So it may be an indication that the mussel culturing in the Shetlands is technologically different from mussel culturing in the other regions.

Thanks for the interesting comment. What we aimed to do in this part of the paper was to explain the results of the models proposed, without providing any specific comment. It is possible that the explanation proposed by the reviewer is correct. However, both models (random and fixed effect) show that labour is not economically meaningful. A neutral consideration to make here (reported in the manuscript) is that shellfish farming has reached the highest level of productivity given the capital employed (according to the law of marginal diminishing return,) i.e. one unit more of labour does not contribute to the increase in productivity. We added also a footnote stating that the regression analysis performed without data from the Shetlands Island provides no significant results for both the variable capital and labour. These results are shown at the end of this document only for the reviewer. This is due to the limited variability of the database. We conclude that the higher intensive capacity of the Shetland influence the result of capital, but do not make any difference in the interpretation of the variable labour. It is also possible that the capital and labour variables

used are not the most effective (this is mentioned as a limit in the discussions). These considerations are reported at lines 774-787.

Line 849: Insert "(lost gross revenues)" after damage.

Added

Lines 856-864: Good job. I think you handled the discussion of the welfare effects well here.

Thanks a lot

Lines 895-896: It's unclear what is being argued here. Please elaborate.

Sentence completely reformulated at lines 859-863.

The Cobb-Douglas approach, as it considers capital as input factor, is able to include more explicitly farmers behavioural as captured by the varying number of sites of production in order to anticipate production under the alert of HAB event. This cannot be modelled in the dose response model (Jin et al., 2008; Rodriguez et al., 2011).

Lines 911-913: This is the most interesting implication of the results. This is unclear, and it needs to be fleshed out more. This is why your research is policy-relevant, and why it's not just an econometric exercise. What are the implications for the regions other than the Shetland Islands? If they are hit harder by BTX and less productive, what are the implications for their sustainable development moving forward?

The sentence has been rewritten and expanded from line 866-874.

These results offer insight into regional differences in operation and the environmental characteristics of the sites. Although not an object of this study, we can say that studying the productivity in the different regions would allow more informed management to support the sustainable development of the shellfish industry. In the West Highlands, characterized by a lower productivity, the impact on production is marginally more damaging than in the Shetlands, suggesting managers may be able to put in place strategies to minimize the impacts of harmful algae, from shifting production sites to rearranging contractual agreements with wholesalers and retailers.

The paper still reads like an early, unpolished draft. It needs to be gone through carefully to get the descriptions, presentations of data, methods, and results, and discussion tightened up (I would prefer using the passive voice), and to reduce duplication.

We removed duplications and tighten up the overall manuscript, cutting more than 400 words. The use of articles has been revised too.

Fixed effect model

Instrumental variables (2SLS) regressionNumber of obs = 30Wald chi2(6) = ..Prob > chi2 = .R-squared = .Root MSE = .24594

Coef. Std. Err. z P>z [95% Conf. Interval]

oadtxsptxs	-1.044743	.5285439	-1.98	0.048	-2.08067	0088155
sites	.4286133	.4363633	0.98	0.326	426643	1.28387
labour	0788998	.4598259	-0.17	0.864	9801421	.8223425
clyde	5.82994	3.211255	1.82	0.069	4640029	12.12388
outerheb	5.764827	2.497049	2.31	0.021	.8707015	10.65895
highland	5.910662	2.92157	2.02	0.043	.1844904	11.63683

random effect model

G2SLS random-effects IV regression	Number of obs	=	30
Group variable: region2	Number of groups =	3	

 R-sq:
 Obs per group:

 within = 0.4077
 min = 10

 between = 0.7907
 avg = 10.0

 overall = 0.4447
 max = 10

Wald chi2(1) = 36.87corr(u_i, X) = 0 (assumed) Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

(Std. Err. adjusted for 3 clusters in region2)

Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P>z	[95%	Conf.	Interval

oadtxsptxs-.9750449.1620368-6.020.000-1.292631-.6574586sites.4420955.44040111.000.315-.42107481.305266labour-.0193734.181864-0.110.915-.3758203.3370736_cons5.525288.68354178.080.0004.1855716.865005

sigma_u 0 sigma_e .27497266

rho 0 (fraction of variance due to u_i)

6

.

Highlights

- Economic impacts of harmful algae on Western Scottish shellfish aquaculture are assessed.
- The Cobb-Douglas production function is used to model these impacts.
- A 1% change in diarrhetic shellfish toxins is found to reduce production by 0.66%.
- Annual losses from *Dinophysis* generated biotoxins are estimated at 15% of total production (equivalent to £1.37 m/year in 2015 GBP).
- Such information is of use to industry to evaluate the cost/benefit of HAB mitigation measures.

312	An Approach	for Evaluating the	e Economic Impacts	of Harmful Algal Blooms:
-----	-------------	--------------------	--------------------	--------------------------

313 the Effects of Blooms of Toxic *Dinophysis spp.* on the Productivity of Scottish

314 Shellfish Farms

- 315 Simone Martino^{1#}, Fatima Gianella², Keith Davidson²
- 316
- ¹ University of York, Department of Environment and Geography, York, UK, YO10 5NG
- 318 E-mail: <u>simone.martino@york.ac.uk</u>
- 319 ² Scottish Association for Marine Science, Oban, UK, PA37 1QA
- 320 E-mail: fatima.gianella@sams.ac.uk; keith.davidson@sams.ac.uk
- 321 #corresponding author

323 Highlights

- Economic impacts of harmful algae on Western Scottish shellfish aquaculture are
 assessed.
- The Cobb-Douglas production function is used to model these impacts.
- A 1% change in diarrhetic shellfish toxins is found to reduce production by 0.66%.
- Annual losses from *Dinophysis* generated biotoxins are estimated at 15% of total
 production (equivalent to £1.37 m/year in 2015 GBP).
- Such information is of use to industry to evaluate the cost/benefit of HAB mitigation
 measures.
- 332

333 Abstract

334 Shellfish production is an important activity for the economy of many countries. As well as

its direct value, it helps to stabilize communities in rural areas characterized by limited job

opportunities. It is also important for consumers who recognize shellfish as a healthy

337 product that gains its nutrition from natural plankton without the need for fertilizers,

338 chemical treatments or other anthropogenic intervention typical of terrestrial agriculture or

339 other marine aquaculture. Nevertheless, global shellfish fisheries are under threat from

340 harmful algal blooms (HABs) and related biotoxins, whose production is potentially

341 exacerbated by global changes. This research provides evidence of economic impacts on

342 Scottish shellfish farms in the last 10 years caused by HABs and their associated biotoxins. In

343 contrast to previous approaches that have focused on variation in production as a function

of temporal trends and blooms events, we use a production function approach to show

345 which input factors (labour, capital, climate variables, concentration of biotoxins) have an

346 effect on production. Results show that diarrhetic shellfish toxins produced by the genera

347 *Dinophysis* are most significant. A 1% change in the production of these biotoxins reduces

348 shellfish production by 0.66%, with an average yearly negative variation in production of

349 15% (1,080 ton) and an economic loss (turnover) of £ (GBP) 1.37 m per year (in 2015

currency) over a national annual industry turnover of ~ £ 12 m. The production function
approach is coupled with a multivariate time series model (VAR) capturing the statistical
relationship between algal concentration, information on climatic variables and biotoxins to
forecast the damage to shellfish production from HABs. This provides producers and
regulators with the economic information to plan temporal and spatial mitigating measures
necessary to limit damages to production by comparing the costs of these measures with
the costs of lost production.

357

358 **1. Introduction**

359 1.1 Background and aim of the study

Culture of bivalve molluscs is an important commercial activity in Europe, with a production 360 of ~ 625k tonnes and value of EUR 1.24b in 2017 (European Union, 2019). Europe wide, 361 mussel species exhibit the highest volume (35%) of farmed bivalves species, with a total EU 362 363 production of 129,500 tonnes by 2017 (European Union, 2019). Shellfish farming is carried 364 out predominantly by small family enterprises (STECF, 2018) and is important for many rural 365 areas of Europe, including the Scottish Highlands where it generates a gross value (turnover) of £ 12.4m (Highlands and Islands Enterprise and Marine Scotland, 2017). In Scotland, it is 366 undertaken by 205 separate enterprises generally located in rural coastal areas. 367 Blue mussels dominate shellfish production in Scotland (~ 96% by weight - MSS, 2018) with 368 369 a value (turnover) of £ 10.1 m in 2017 (Highlands and Islands Enterprise and Marine 370 Scotland, 2017; Munro and Wallace, 2018). The whole of Scotland's shellfish aquaculture supply chain also contributes £ 25.9 m of associated earnings and £ 50 m of gross value 371 added (average for 2014 and 2015) (Highlands and Islands Enterprise and Marine Scotland, 372

373 2017). While the value of the Scottish shellfish production in comparison with other 374 industries is relatively small, its geographical location in remote communities characterized by few other employment opportunities makes it very important for the sustainable 375 development of the rural economy. This is evident from the Scottish Government's support 376 377 for the Scottish aquaculture industry's plans to double its economic value and the number of jobs it generates by 2030. However, to achieve this, it is important to have an accurate 378 379 economic valuation of the different factors, such as harmful algal blooms (HABs), that are 380 limiting current production and future expansion.

381 Globally, there is a positive market perception towards shellfish as an "environmentally healthy product" that gains its nutrition from natural plankton within the water column. 382 This is because shellfish culture occurs without the need for fertilisers or chemical 383 384 treatments typical of terrestrial agriculture or other marine aquaculture (Newell et al., 1989, Scotland's Aquaculture, 2020), with shellfish ingesting particulate matter in the water 385 386 column. However, the mode of nutrition exhibited by these filter feeding bivalves makes them vulnerable to contamination by biotoxins produced by certain harmful algal species, 387 with associated implications for human health (Smayda, 1990; Berdalet et al., 2016), but 388 also for the economic sustainability of the industry (Davidson et al., 2014). 389

In Scottish waters Diarrheic Shellfish Poisoning (DSP), Paralytic Shellfish Poisoning (PSP) and
Amnesic Shellfish Poisoning (ASP) are the shellfish toxicity syndromes of greatest concern
(Davidson et al., 2011; Davidson and Bresnan, 2009). PSP toxins produced by the genus *Alexandrium* are regularly detected in mussels during the summer months (Bresnan et al.,
2008). DSP toxins are more frequent still, often as a result of the advective transport of the
causative *Dinophysis* to coastal aquaculture (Whyte et al., 2014; Paterson et al., 2017), with

Prorocentrum lima being another source of these toxins. *Pseudo-nitzschia* mediated ASP is
less frequent (Rowland-Pilgrim et al., 2019) and may also require advective cells transport
(Fehling et al., 2012). Azaspiracids (AZA) produced by the genus *Azadinium* (Tillmann et al.,
2009) and yessotoxins (YTX) produced by the dinoflagellates *Protoceratium reticulatum* and *Lingulodinium polyedrum* also occur.

401 To ensure shellfish safety for public consumption, EU regulation EC No 853/2004 (European Union, 2004) requires the monitoring of the concentration of biotoxins in shellfish flesh and 402 403 their causative harmful phytoplankton. In Scotland, monitoring is overseen by the competent authority Food Standards Scotland (FSS) and carried out by the Centre for 404 Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science (CEFAS) for biotoxins and the Scottish 405 406 Association for Marine Science (SAMS) for phytoplankton. Sampling is undertaken weekly 407 during spring and summer, and fortnightly in winter and autumn at a set of representative 408 monitoring points with the aim of minimising the risk of not detecting above regulatory 409 threshold shellfish biotoxin concentrations (Holtrop et al., 2016). However, while 410 considerable effort is, quite understandably, expended to ensure shellfish safety, the impact of HABs on the economic sustainability of this regionally important industry remains 411 412 unquantified. An economic assessment of the impact of HABs on the Scottish shellfish 413 industry will therefore allow the financial assessment of alternative mitigation and 414 management strategies for alleviating revenue losses in a HABs scenario. 415 There is an important literature on the valuation of harmful algal bloom (HAB) impacts in 416 sectors like commercial and recreational fisheries, tourism and recreation and public health

417 just to mention a few (Sanseverino et al., 2016; Groeneveld et al., 2018; Adams et al., 2018).

418 The majority of these studies refer to physical and economic impacts observed in the US,

with few studies from other regions (Adams et al., 2018). Studies of the economic impacts 419 of HABs on aquaculture elsewhere are sparse, although Park et al. (2013) provide an 420 example from Korea. Research on shellfish and finfish aquaculture in Europe is equally 421 limited, with exceptions being the study of the impact of Alexandrium species on Galician 422 (Spain) mussel farming, measured by correlating HAB incidence with industry metrics 423 (Rodriguez et al., 2011). Ecological and economic consequences for the shellfish farming 424 425 sector have been also addressed in Bourgneuf Bay (France) by an input-output (IO) analysis 426 (Agundez et al., 2013).

A first attempt to provide analysis of the economic impact of HABs on several marine 427 sectors in the US was made by Hoagland et al. (2002), with further research addressing 428 more specifically the effect of HABs in sectors like commercial fisheries (Hoagland and 429 Scatasta, 2006; Jin and Hoagland, 2008; Jin et al., 2008), recreational fisheries (Hoagland and 430 431 Scatasta, 2006; Dyson and Huppert, 2010) and tourism (Hoagland and Scatasta, 2006; Taylor 432 and Longo, 2010; Morgan et al., 2011). However, results of these studies are not necessarily comparable, because they are based on different and incommensurable metrics (Davidson 433 434 et al., 2014). Some of them, for instance, measure direct impacts to the business and indirectly to the supply chain (by IO analysis), and are therefore not relevant for measuring 435 benefits of policies through cost benefit analysis (for a list of recent studies implementing IO 436 437 analysis, see Adams et al., 2018). Globally, studies make use of lost sales (gross revenues or 438 turnover) (see Hoagland et al., 2002 for an example), while it is uncommon for the analysis of welfare measure such as consumer and producer surplus to be used as appropriate 439 measures of cost of HAB to society¹. Although lost revenues are commonly assumed as a 440

¹ Consumer surplus is the difference between the price that consumers are willing to pay (WTP) and the price they pay. Producer surplus is the difference between the price received by producers and the cost of production (the minimum willingness to accept - WTA).

441 proxy of economic welfare, this is true if the quantity of product that cannot be commercialized has been harvested and processed, but not sold. If the product is sold after 442 the biotoxin levels subside, the gross revenue lost is overestimating the welfare lost by the 443 444 producer. Furthermore, economic impacts are often based on a retrospective analysis of the average reduction in production following an algal bloom event, therefore implicitly 445 inferring that reduction in production is exclusively caused by the HAB (this allows 446 447 calculation of the average impact of the HAB on production) (Jin et al., 2008). Conversely, 448 there is the need of an *ex ante* valuation of marginal expected damages caused by HABs, separating them from the impacts determined by other causes (e.g. changes in 449 450 management and impacts of environmental-climatic variables), and to facilitate the comparison with the cost of measures reducing the risk from algal production. 451 452 An interesting approach that relates changes in environmental properties and damage to a 453 marketed product is the dose response model, which measures the marginal damage in 454 production caused by a specific environmental effect. The latter value can be simply 455 multiplied by the unit price of the affected product to estimate the lost value (we are 456 making the assumption that price does change after the environmental effect; this condition applies for relatively small changes in production). An example of a dose response model 457 458 applied to HABs is provided by Jin et al. (2008) who assessed the impact of a red tide on 459 commercial shellfish fisheries in Maine and Massachusetts. They compared revenues during 460 the event and in previous years to estimate the average production change incurred. Then, to infer marginal impacts of the red tide on production, these authors performed a 461 462 regression between production, dummies (categorical variables taking the value 0 or 1) for 463 seasonal fluctuations, linear and quadratic time trends, and a dummy for red tide event. The same approach was used to address the effect of the red tide on price change and value ofproduction.

Barbier (1998) and Hanley and Barbier (2009) showed a limitation of the dose response 466 467 approach in that it ignores modification in the economic behavior of the individual affected by the environmental change. Hence, a better way to operationalize a damage function in 468 the context of aquaculture is by the implementation of a production function approach, 469 470 where the physical impact is one of the inputs in the function along with capital and labour. 471 The marginal value of this impact is a measure of the physical change on the productivity of any marketed output (a monetary value can be obtained by multiplying this change by the 472 473 market price). A dynamic version (i.e. considering time) of a production function approach was implemented in a bio-economic model by Fresard et al. (2006) to simulate the 474 475 competition for space from an invasive species for the scallop fishery of the Bay of Saint-476 Brieuc (France), and then to quantify the net benefit of different scenarios simulating 477 invasion control. The advantage of a dynamic approach is to take account of the effect on 478 the stocks' reproduction rate that is ignored by the static approach. More commonly, 479 studies implementing a static production function have been applied to agriculture to explore the marginal change in crop production of water recharge (Acharya and Barbier, 480 481 2000), but also to aquaculture to explore those inputs that affect productivity (amongst 482 others stock density, fodder and fertilizer) (Asamoah et al., 2012). Static production 483 functions have been used several times to explore the role of environment on fishery 484 productivity by modelling the habitat-fishery linkage, as proposed by Lynne et al (1987), Ellis and Fisher (1987), Freeman (1991), Barbier (2000), Sathirathai and Barbier (2001) and 485 486 Barbier et al. (2002). Conversely, there are no studies to our knowledge applying a 487 production function approach to shellfish aquaculture affected by HABs.

488 This study proposes a static production function approach applied to aquaculture shellfish production in the four most productive Scottish shellfish harvesting regions (Shetland 489 490 Islands, West Highlands, Outer Hebrides and Clyde) over the period 2009-2018, to estimate 491 the impact of HABs and related biotoxins on shellfish industry productivity. The production 492 function is preceded by a multivariate time series statistical approach (vector autoregression-VAR) to forecast the impact of biological and climatic variables on the 493 494 production of biotoxins. Although the two models are independent, the VAR can be used to 495 instrument biotoxins in the production function to forecast the expected impacts on 496 shellfish production. Before moving to the details of the statistical approach and results, a 497 brief description of the Scottish shellfish industry, along with the effects of climatic, environmental and biological factors on shellfish production is reported. 498

499

500 1.2 Shellfish production in West Scotland

501 Total shellfish production in West Scotland has been quite stable during the period 2009-502 2018 at an average of nearly 7,200 tonnes per year. The lowest production occurred in 2013 (6,935 tonnes), and the highest in 2016 (10,586). Over the same period, average price was 503 504 £1,270/tonne (in real 2015 GBP). This production is carried out in nearly 160 active sites 505 employing globally 330 workers. The region that exhibits the highest production is the Shetland Islands with 4,825 tonnes, followed by West Highlands (850 tonnes), Clyde (843 506 507 tonnes) and Outer Hebrides (678 tonnes). Shetland Islands is the region characterised by the 508 highest capital-intensive production with 75 sites employing in total 112 staff.

Figure 1 provides a map of all the marine Scottish regions, but not the geolocation of the
samples². Our study refers only to the four highest shellfish producing regions, the Shetland
Islands and the Western marine regions (Outer Hebrides, West Highlands, Argyll & Clyde).

512



513

514

Figure 1: Map of the marine Scottish regions. Source: LUC, 2016

515 Within each region, biotoxins and HAB concentrations are evaluated (typically weekly) at a

516 number of representative monitoring points (RMPs). Shellfish farms operate until biotoxin

² Marine Scotland reports the number of farms sampled in the annual publication "Scottish Shellfish production Survey", available at the following web site: <u>https://www.gov.scot/collections/scottish-fish-farm-production-surveys/</u>. In 2019, 129 businesses where sampled, distributed as follows: 44 in the West Highlands, 5 in the Orkney Island, 23 in the Shetland Islands, 43 in the Clyde, and 14 in the Outer Hebrides. Consulted on 21st August 2020

517 concentrations at the relevant RMP exceed regulatory threshold (see Appendix Table A0) and all the farms associated with this RMP are then closed to harvesting. At concentrations 518 519 close to the threshold, a risk management matrix that utilises phytoplankton and biotoxin 520 data from the current and previous four weeks is used to determine the appropriate harvesting action. Mussels eventually depurate toxins naturally and reach health and safety 521 conditions required by the market. However, because much of Scottish shellfish production 522 523 fulfils commercial contracts to supply a particular quantity of product at a certain time, it is 524 likely that this product will go out of phase with market demand and remain unsold.

525

526 1.3 Recent trends in climatic and environmental index, harmful algae and biotoxins

527 In many locations, the frequency and intensity of HAB events vary according to species and biotoxin both geographically and seasonally. Details on the spatial distribution of HABs in 528 529 the Scottish marine regions are reported in Food Standards Scotland annual reports (e.g. 530 Stubbs et al. 2015). Inter-annual variability is potentially related to climatological drivers (Belgrano et al., 1999; Moita et al., 2016; Wells et al. 2019). Nevertheless, higher occurrence 531 532 of blooms during summer seasons suggests that increased sea surface temperature, light 533 intensity and duration, and favourable wind conditions can enhance the proliferation of HABs (Chapelle et al., 2015; Cusack et al., 2016; Fraga et al., 1988; Peperzak, 2003; Whyte et 534 al., 2014). Because of the complex fjordic nature of its coastline, spatial and temporal 535 536 variability is a characteristic of Scottish waters with different HAB species blooming 537 independently of each other (Davidson et al., 2016) as documented for *Dinophysis* (Swan et al., 2018), Alexandrium (Bresnan et al., 2008) and Pseudo-nitzschia (Roland-Pilgrim et al., 538 2019). 539

540 While Scottish HAB events are thought to be primarily influenced by environmental rather than anthropogenic factors (Gowen et al., 2012), the nature of this interaction, that differs 541 542 for different species, remains a topic of active research (Bresnan et al., 2020). The North 543 Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) varies between years (Fig. 2 right) without showing any trend. Advective transport of cells by oceanographic and wind driven currents, that are influenced 544 by NAO, has been documented by a number of studies (e.g. Davidson et al., 2009, Whyte et 545 546 al., 2014), but the mechanism of bloom initiation is less clear. Elevated NAO is related to the 547 westerly winds that may advect harmful blooms developed offshore to the Scottish coastal 548 aquaculture sites (Fehling et al., 2012, Aleynik et al., 2016, Whyte et al., 2014). Ocean 549 warming (Fig. 2 left) has been associated with accelerating the growth rate and widening the distribution of toxic species such as *Dinophysis acuminata* and *Alexandrium fundyense* 550 (Gobler et al., 2017; Wells et al., 2019) in Scottish waters, however other authors have been 551 552 unable to verify such model predictions (Dees et al., 2017; Hinder et al., 2012). 553 The abundance of the diatom *Pseudo-nitzschia spp*. and its biotoxin product, domoic acid, were noted to increase with temperature by Rowland-Pilgrim et al. (2019), but also 554 exhibiting high inter-annual variability. This genera and other diatoms have showed a 555 556 positive relationship with the increasing trend of SST in the N.E. Atlantic and North sea using a 50 year time series of Continuous Plankton Recorder data (Hinder et al., 2012). In contrast 557 the same authors showed a negative relationship between most dinoflagellates surveyed 558

and SST.



561 Figure 2: Left, SST yearly average for the time series 1982-2018, (PODAAC) website, carried 562 out by NOAA. Right, Yearly NAO index 1950-2018, NOAA.

563

564

565 2. Data and Methods

- 566 2.1 Data
- 567 Our analysis is based on a panel built on the statistics reported by the "Scottish Shellfish
- 568 Production Survey" (available years 2009-2018) (see: <u>https://www.gov.scot/publications/</u>)³.
- 569 A panel is a database characterising different individuals or units observed at several points
- 570 in time. For each region (unit) of Western Scotland where shellfish are produced (Clyde,
- 571 West Highland, Outer Hebrides and the Shetland Islands), the panel is made of 10 (annual)
- 572 observations (from 2009 to 2018).

573

574

³ Digital data on shellfish production are provided by Marine Scotland. Data at: <u>https://data.marine.gov.scot/dataset/scottish-shellfish-farm-production-survey-data.</u>

576 Regional data used in the analysis are annual shellfish production (expressed in tonne - 96% are mussels), number of employees per region (labour), number of active production sites 577 per region (a proxy for capital), and biological information on harmful algae and biotoxin 578 579 concentration. Variables common to the four regions are climatological drivers such as sea 580 surface temperature (SST) and the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO). SST data were obtained from the Physical Oceanography Distributed Active Archive Center (PODAAC) website, 581 582 reporting daily satellite (AVHRR) derived product with a spatial resolution of 0.25 degrees. 583 The NAO index (interval -1 to 1) was obtained from daily values, calculated by the standard 584 deviation of the monthly NAO index of the time series 1950-2000, by the NOAA National 585 Weather Service Climate Prediction Centre.

586 Biological data are collected by weekly survey from April to October and fortnightly in winter from ~40 phytoplankton and ~80 biotoxin RMPs. These consist of the density of the 587 different relevant HAB species/genera and their associated shellfish biotoxins. To address 588 589 variability in sampling frequency in different locations these are averaged annually. Phytoplankton collection involves a 10-metre "Lund tube" or occasionally the use of a 590 bucket at shallow water sites. The abundance of harmful phytoplankton cells is enumerated 591 592 by light microscopy. Biotoxin levels in shellfish tissue are quantified analytically using liquid 593 chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) and High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC). These techniques were used from 2011, while in the previous 594 595 years biological assays were employed. Common mussels represent 87% of the total 596 shellfish samples and 62.3% of the samples within which biotoxin concentrations are above the safety threshold for consumption. This shellfish group was therefore chosen for our 597 study since it is the dominant shellfish product in Scotland. 598

HAB abundance and biotoxin concentrations are characterised with respect to the
regulatory threshold (Table A0 in Appendix). Abundance values taken from phytoplankton
genera and concentrations of harmful shellfish biotoxins are classified in terms of the
fraction of measurements (interval 0-1) above the regulatory safety threshold. For
phytoplankton, the regulatory threshold is determined by the United Kingdom National
Reference Laboratory for marine biotoxins (UKNRL). For biotoxins, this threshold is provided
by the regulation (EC) No 853/2004 of the European parliament (European Union, 2004).

606

607 2.2 Methods

608 2.2.1 The vector autoregression model

609 It is possible to forecast the variation in shellfish production if we have a dynamic model describing the expected concentration of biotoxins and HABs (see Davidson et al., 2016 and 610 611 references therein). A simple approach to forecast biotoxins is a multivariate time series model in which each variable is regressed versus lagged regressors, including the dependent 612 613 variable (vector autoregression - VAR). This is a stochastic process capturing the linear 614 interdependencies among time series. In a VAR, each variable has an equation explaining its evolution based on its own lagged values, the lagged values of the other model variables, 615 616 and an error term (Verbeek, 2017). The VAR model proposed here does not mimic the 617 physical relations between biotoxins and climatic variables, but describes how variables affect each other inter-temporally. The optimal order of the lagged variable, usually selected 618 by BIC and AIC criterion (Verbeek, 2017), is indicated by the letter "p". A VAR is usually 619 620 explained by the following matrix expression:

621
$$Yt = c + A1Y(t-1) + A2Y(t-2) + \dots + ApY(t-p) + et$$
 Eq.1

where *Yt* is the vector of dependent variables at the current time *t*, the observation *Y(t-i)* up to the order *p* is the *i-th* lag of vector *Y*, *c* is a vector of constants (intercepts), *A1*, *A2*,...,*Ap are* time-invariant matrices of coefficients at lag 1,2,...p, and *et* is a k-vector of error terms with zero mean and no serial correlation. In a VAR, all the variables must be stationary, i.e. mean variance, autocorrelation, etc. are all constant over time. Stationarity of vector *Yt* is verified for our data set according to the augmented Dickey-Fuller test (Dickey and Fuller, 1979) as reported in the Appendix Table A1.

629

630 2.2.2 The Cobb-Douglas production function

631 A production function is a mathematical relation that defines the highest level of production achievable as a function of a range of inputs, such as labour and capital (Cobb and Douglas, 632 633 1928). Alternative models including environmental variables as input factors are common for the agriculture sector (Umar et al., 2017). Eq.2 depicts the relationships between 634 635 shellfish production and several covariates, including climatic variables (SST and NAO) and 636 ecological information (the concentration of harmful algae and biotoxins). $Production jt = Aj * Kjt^{\beta_1} * Ljt^{\beta_2} * e^{\beta_{3}SSTt + \beta_{4}NAOt + \beta_{5}HABjt + \beta_{6}BTXjt} * \varepsilonit$ 637 Eq.2 where *Production* is shellfish production (allocated to market) in tonnes, K is capital, proxied 638 639 by the number of active producing sites, L is labour, the total number of employees; SST and NAO are the sea surface temperature in degrees Celsius, and the North Atlantic climatic 640 index (in the range -1 to 1), respectively; *j* is an index for the *j*th region (unit) of production at 641 642 time t. HAB is the vector of harmful algal bloom variables, and BTX is a vector of biotoxin

643 variables produced by the HAB. Both are expressed in frequency, i.e. the fraction (interval 0-1) of algal cell and biotoxin concentration above a harmful threshold that impedes the 644 commercialisation of shellfish (reported in Table A0 of the Appendix). The symbol e is the 645 646 mathematical constant (Euler's number) approximately equal to 2.71828, the base of the natural logarithm⁴. Finally, A is the constant that refers to technology or management 647 producing strategies and ε is the error term. Hence, A is the amount of production for a unit 648 649 value of K and L, while the effect of SST, NAO, HAB and BTX is null. The beta coefficients of 650 Eq.2 measure the impact of each covariate on shellfish production. To estimate Eq.2, all variables with the exclusion of SST, NAO, HAB and BTX, are transformed in natural log. Eq. 2 651 652 then becomes:

653
$$lnProductionjt = LnAj + \beta 1lnKjt + \beta 2lnLjt + \beta 3SSTjt + \beta 4NAOjt + \beta 5HABjt +$$

654 $\beta 6BTXjt + ln\varepsilon$ jt Eq.3

655 Having operated this transformation, the beta coefficients of K and L (β 1 and β 2, respectively) can be interpreted as elasticities, i.e. the percentage variation in production 656 triggered by a percentage change in capital and labour. As HAB and BTX are measured as 657 frequency (0 to 1), the interpretation of their respective beta coefficients is that 1% change 658 in HAB and BTX causes a relative change in production nearly equivalent to the beta 659 coefficient. The constant InA refers to the natural log of production under unitary labour 660 661 and capital. The HAB and BTX beta coefficients can then be seen as the marginal change of 662 productivity under undesirable conditions.

⁴ It is necessary to introduce in the Cobb-Douglas the exponential of SST, NAO, HAB and BTX because under this formulation there is no adverse effect on production of shellfish if these variables are equal to zero. Conversely, a multiplicative formulation would imply zero production under a null value of one of these environmental variables (the latter formulation is obviously incorrect).

663 In Eq.3 climatic variables and HAB have a mediating, but not direct, effect on shellfish production through their influence on BTX (see Supplementary Material 1 for more 664 665 information). Thus BTX are endogenous variables (i.e. that are influenced by other variables, 666 and then generated within the model), while SST, NAO and HAB are exogenous variables (whose value is determined outside the model). To treat this issue, we solved Eq.3 by a 667 regression with instrumental variables, where the instruments are the exogenous variables 668 669 SST, NAO and HAB correlated to the instrumented or endogenous variable (BTX), but 670 uncorrelated with the error terms of Eq.3 and unaffected by the remaining variables. 671 A panel data regression with instrumental variables is executed in two stages: the first is a regression between the endogenous variable and exogenous regressors to test for the 672 goodness of the instrument (weak correlations can lead to misleading estimates for 673 parameters and standard errors of Eq.3). The second regression is the analysis of the panel 674 where the instrumented variable is replaced by the predicted values of the first stage 675 676 regression. For details, see Verbeek (2017). To take account of this endogeneity, Eq.3 is

677 therefore simplified as follows:

678 $lnProductionjt = LnAj + \beta 1lnKjt + \beta 2lnLjt + \beta 6BTXjt + ln\varepsilon t$ Eq.4

679 where labour and capital are exogenous and BTX is instrumented by NAO, SST and HABs.

Eq.4 is estimated using both fixed and random effect estimator to depict the impacts of BTX on shellfish production. A fixed effect estimator provides meaningful results explaining the differences between units (the productive regions). Such estimator assists in controlling for unobserved heterogeneity when this heterogeneity is constant over time and correlated with the independent variables. This heterogeneity is usually removed from the data by regressing the mean-corrected variables (i.e. the difference of each observation from the

variable's mean). We can assume that in the production function time invariant omitted 686 variables can be management practices (different strategies that are adopted in production, 687 for example to mitigate the impacts of algal blooms that are not observed and captured by 688 the model) and the site characteristics of the farm such as the particular habitat or 689 690 substrate. Under the fixed effect estimator, we assume that each unit or region has its own specific characteristics (modelled by a unique intercept) rather than being considered a 691 692 random draw from the same population. These unit-specific means (*InAj* in Eq.4) take 693 account of the regional variability in the productivity of each region. The two stage least squares (2SLS) estimator with regional dummy variables is used to capture the productivity 694 695 of each unit. Conversely, the random effect model does not estimate any fixed time 696 invariant intercept for each unit, but assumes that the regions are drawn from a larger (random) sample. This model assumes also that unobserved heterogeneity is not correlated 697 698 with the independent variables. The random effect coefficients are estimated by the two 699 stages generalised least squares estimator (G2LS). Statistical analysis was carried out in 700 STATA version 16.0.

701

702

703 **3. Results**

704 3.1 Descriptive statistics

Table 1A, 1B, 1C summarise the average values for all the covariates for each unit of the
panel. A large difference is discernible between the Shetland Islands and the other regions.
In particular, the production in Shetland is at least twice as high as in all other regions and is

achieved by employing the lowest number of workers per site (Table 1A). It is therefore
evident that Shetland has the lowest labour intensity measured as labour to capital ratio
(1.48 labour units per active site compared to 2 to 3 labour units per site of the other
regions) (Table 1A). As regards algal concentration, only the genera *Alexandrium, Dinophysis and Pseudo-nitzschia* overcome significantly the harmful threshold (see Table 1B), while
amongst the biotoxins, those causing DSP most frequently exceed regulatory threshold
(Table 1C).

- 715
- 716

Table 1A, 1B, 1C here

717 Table 2 reports the pairwise correlation between all the variables. Significant correlations 718 are denoted with an asterisk. Production is positively related to capital and labour as 719 expected, but capital and labour are highly correlated suggesting potential collinearity. 720 Positive changes in the climatic index NAO, associated with offshore-onshore advection of cells, is expected to increase the concentrations of DSP biotoxins. SST has an inverse impact 721 722 on PSP, but does not affect any other biological variables. Finally, Pseudo-nitzschia is negatively related to DSP, PSP and AZP, consistent with the observation that environmental 723 conditions that facilitate the proliferation of diatoms do not favour the growth of 724 725 dinoflagellates. 726 Table 2 here 727 728

729 3.2 Prediction of DSP biotoxins: the VAR model

730	Table 3 shows the statistical relations between climatic index, HAB and BTX variables (as
731	provisionally depicted by the pairwise correlation shown in Table 2) to forecast
732	concentration of biotoxins at time t having information of all covariates at time $t-1$ (at
733	higher lags, no significant result is found). Only the regression presenting DSP as dependent
734	variable is reported, because of the highest explained variance (R squared 67%) and the
735	importance of DSP in affecting production in the Cobb-Douglas model presented in section
736	3.3.

- 737
- 738

Table 3 here

739

740 It is evident from the coefficients reported in Table 3 that lagged values of DSP do not 741 explain the current value of DSP (i.e. blooms in a particular year are independent of those in 742 previous years). As expected, Dinophysis spp., that is the main causative dinoflagellates of toxins generating DSP in Scotland (Swan et al., 2018), is positively contributing to DSP. 743 744 Conversely, *P. lima*, that can also generate DSP toxins, is negatively related. This opposite 745 response is not however a surprise: Dinophysis spp. and P. lima have different life cycles, so 746 there is no expectation that both will bloom at the same time. Finally, the NAO index is 747 highly correlated at lag 1 with DSP. In other words, we can say that data lagged 1 year for 748 NAO are able to forecast the current (present) DSP. This relationship is positive; this means 749 that a higher NAO index contributes to increase the concentration of DSP biotoxins. The 750 result is not easily interpretable from an ecologically perspective, especially for the low 751 temporal resolution of the database and because this regression does not mimic any 752 structural behaviour in DSP formation, i.e. it is not clear ecologically how NAO the previous

753 year influences DSP in the current year. However, the predictive capacity of a VAR is quite 754 good and can contribute to forecast DSP, in the absence of a complex physical model working at higher spatial and temporal resolution. Although this result is per se meaningful, 755 it can also be used with the regression model described in section 3.3 to predict the 756 757 expected damage on shellfish production and facilitate mitigating losses in production with 758 an ample temporal margin. To achieve this, the predicted value of DSP from the VAR can be 759 multiplied by the marginal change in production caused by DSP (section 3.3). An estimate of 760 average damage caused by the value of DSP in the period 2009-2018 is reported in the 761 discussion.

762

763 3.3 The econometric model

764 Supplementary Material 1 reports several tests justifying the choice of a panel data regression with instrumental variables to estimate Eq.4. Table 4a reports the coefficients 765 estimated by the random effect estimator, while Table 5a reports results from the fixed 766 767 effect estimator, the latter to take account of the potential differences in productivity 768 between regions, as evidenced by Table 1. Estimates are accompanied by clustered robust 769 standard errors to correct for the presence of heteroscedasticity (Supplementary Material 2 770 plots residuals versus fitted values showing non-homogeneous dispersion of residuals). 771 Table 4b and Table 5b report the first stage regression under random and fixed effect 772 estimators respectively, showing the goodness of the instrumental variables in predicting

773 DSP. All covariates included in the models proposed are statistically significant.

774	The random effect estimator shows that labour is negatively related to production, a result
775	that is economically counterintuitive. Conversely, as expected, the effect of capital on
776	production is positive and elastic, showing that 1% increase in capital contributes to
777	increase production by 1.88%. The marginal effect of DSP on production is close to 1,
778	meaning that 1% increase in DSP causes nearly 1% reduction in production.
779	
780	Table 4a here (random effect)
781	Table 4b here (first stage random effect)
782	
783	Under the fixed effect model, labour does not show any statistically significant effect on
784	productivity. This can be interpreted as the possibility that farming has reached the highest
785	level of productivity given the capital employed (according to the law of marginal
786	diminishing return), i.e. one unit more of labour does not contribute to an increase in
787	productivity ⁵ . Conversely, the impact of capital is positive and close to the unit elasticity.
788	The impact of DSP is -0.66, i.e. 1% increase in DSP causes a reduction of 0.66% in shellfish
789	production. The constant term shows the productivity for the Clyde region. The coefficient
790	for the Outer Hebrides, West Highlands and Shetland Islands shows the additional
791	productivity above that of the Clyde region ⁶ . Table 5a coefficients for the regions Outer

⁵ Removing from the panel data regarding the Shetlands Island, the region characterized by the highest intensity of capital, both labour and capital become insignificant. This shows that results from labour is in part due to the limited variability of the database, while that from capital is influenced by the higher productivity of Shetland's farms.

⁶ By adding the constant (coefficient for the Clyde) to the specific coefficient of the region of interest, it is possible to obtain the fixed term effect for any region. The coefficient of the Outer Hebrides is therefore 4.265 (standard error of 1.635); that of West Highlands is 4.226 (standard error 1.973), while Shetland is 5.068 (standard error 2.244), confirming the highest productivity in this region as expected from descriptive statistics in Table 1.

792	Hebrides and West Highlands do not show any significant incremental productivity
793	compared to the Clyde, while Shetland Islands show a significant higher productivity as
794	expected from Table 1. The null hypothesis on the equality of the coefficients between the
795	Clyde and Outer Hebrides (chi2(1)=0.16, prob>chi2=0.689) and Clyde and West Highlands
796	(chi2(1)=0.54, prob>chi2=0.462) cannot be rejected, while a significant difference exists
797	between Clyde and the Shetlands (chi2(1)=42.91, prob>chi2=0.000), confirming the highest
798	productivity of the second region as expected from descriptive statistics in Table 1.
799	
800	Table 5a here (fixed effect)
801	Table 5b here (first stage fixed effect)
802	To estimate the impact of DSP on shellfish production we opted for the coefficients
803	provided by the fixed effect model. This has the advantage of considering difference in
804	productivity among sites (the different regions appears as single independent units) and
805	removing time invariant aspects related to the management of the fisheries. This model
806	also provides a lower marginal impact of DSP on production compared to the random effect
807	model. Finally, the Hausman test (Verbeek, 2017) confirms fixed effect to be the most
808	efficient estimator (chi2(3) = 41.88, Prob>chi2 = 0.0000).
809	
810	
811	4. Discussions and conclusions
812	4.1 Main findings and implications for shellfish management
813	We have investigated the extent to which shellfish production in Scotland is influenced by

algal toxins proposing two models: a VAR to depict the relation between biological, climatic

815 drivers and biotoxins concentration and a production function to describe the impact of biotoxins on shellfish production. The VAR found a statistically significant relationship 816 817 between a positive change in some harmful algae, the NAO index and DSP biotoxins. This 818 result is interesting because environmental drivers of Alexandrium, Dinophysis and Pseudo-819 nitzschia blooms (Smayda, 2004, Davidson et al., 2011, Bresnan et al., 2020) have not been clearly explained (Dees et al., 2017, Bresnan et al., 2020). Future development of the VAR at 820 821 higher resolution may capture the ecology of DSP formation. For example, findings of this 822 model can be further investigated to check if they are consistent with the hypothesis that 823 Scottish DSP events are related to changes in atmospheric pressure and hence that 824 Dinophysis blooms develop offshore and are advected to the coast (Whyte et al., 2014; Aleynik et al., 2016, Paterson et al., 2017). 825

826 The production function showed that DSP toxicity on production follow a non-linear pattern, 827 i.e. shellfish production changes at decreasing rate (speed of change) at higher 828 concentration of DSP biotoxins. In particular, we found that a 1% change in DSP biotoxins above the harmful threshold defined for regulatory purposes causes a reduction in 829 830 production of 0.66%. Considering that the average yearly proportion of DSP biotoxin 831 concentration above the threshold in the last 10 years has been 24% (see Table 1), these toxins are expected to cause a yearly average reduction of nearly 15% in production (95% 832 confidence interval -20% to -10%). This change is equivalent to a loss of 1,080 ton of 833 834 shellfish per year (95% confidence interval -1,490 ton to -670 ton). At the average price of £ 835 1,272 per ton (in 2015 constant GBP), the average annual economic damage (expressed as lost gross revenue) caused by DSP is equivalent to £ 1.37m (95% confidence interval £-1.9m 836 837 to £-0.85m) over a turnover of approximately £10.1m.

Other authors (Hoagland et al. 2002) used as an indicator of economic impact the lost revenue. Under the assumption that harvested production cannot be easily commercialised after the ban, as it happens for the Scottish shellfish, lost revenue becomes a good indicator of the real benefits lost (producer surplus).

842 Commonalities with case studies reported in the literature are difficult to find because of 843 the paucity of research applied to shellfish production and inconsistency in the methodologies used to assess impacts. HAB damage to Korean shellfish aquaculture over 844 845 the past 3 decades amounted to US\$ 4m per year and peaked in 1995 to US\$ 60m, almost a 846 10% loss of all cultured shellfish produced that year (Park et al., 2013). In percentage terms, this figure is similar to our findings. In another study carried out in Spain (Rodriguez et al., 847 2011), the economic impact of DSP biotoxins on mussel production was not yet clearly 848 849 established. A difficulty in forecasting the economic loss caused by DSP in the Spanish 850 market is related to the possibility to sell part of the produce after shellfish depurate. In 851 fact, while significant biotoxin events may lead to a reduced harvest, the Spanish case demonstrates that at least part of the production that cannot be harvested during the 852 closure of the fishery can be marketed after the prohibition period (Rodriguez et al., 2011). 853 854 This is not always possible in Scotland, although mitigating measures do exist such as 855 shifting production to adjacent sites, if possible. Some cooperatives (for example the Scottish Shellfish Marketing Group) help farmers in different geographical areas to work 856 857 together to switch production to fjords that have not been impacted by HABs.

The mitigating strategies mentioned above can be adopted by firms to adapt capital and labour to maximise production in light of environmental conditions. The Cobb-Douglas approach, as it considers capital as input factor, is able to include more explicitly farmers

behavioural as captured by the varying number of sites of production in order to anticipate
production during a HAB event. This cannot be modelled in the dose response model (Jin et
al., 2008; Rodriguez et al., 2011).

In terms of management implications for the Scottish shellfish industry, results from the 864 865 production function show that shellfish production is more efficient in the Shetland Islands, characterised by higher productivity compared to the regions of the west coast. These 866 results offer insight into regional differences in operation and the environmental 867 868 characteristics of the sites. Although not an object of this study, we can say that studying 869 the productivity in the different regions would allow more informed management to 870 support the sustainable development of the shellfish industry. In the West Highlands, 871 characterized by a lower productivity, the impact on production is marginally more 872 damaging than in the Shetlands, suggesting managers may be able to put in place strategies to minimize the impacts of harmful algae, from shifting production sites to rearranging 873 874 contractual agreements with wholesalers and retailers.

875

876 4.2 Limits of the model

There are some limits to our econometric model that future research should address. The first one is the lack of suitable variables to explain capital and labour in the West Highland farms that both show a limited variability in the period 2009-2018. In addition, the capital of the fishery shows a high correlation with labour (Table 1), and may be the cause of the nonsignificance of the variable labour in the regression. We found that the ratio of workers to active sites is approximately constant over time with a value of ~two. A constant labour to capital ratio is typical of a production function characterised by a relation of 884 complementarity between capital and labour (i.e. production is achieved using the same units of capital and labour), while the Cobb-Douglas production function is characterised by 885 886 capturing the substitutability between factors (production can be achieved trading-offs 887 capital against labour or vice versa). Therefore, further development of the model requires a 888 different proxy for the capital: this can be the area that each farm is dedicating to production, the number of producing longlines, or the ratio between longlines and area. 889 890 These data were not available to us for this study, but could potentially be collected by 891 questionnaire survey at farm level.

892 While the HAB and biotoxin time series available to us are possibly unique in length, both in temporal and spatial resolution, our analysis is also limited by the lower resolution of, for 893 894 example, production data, which is surveyed only annually. The regression model proposed 895 simulates the impacts of HAB and biotoxins concentration over the regulatory safety 896 threshold and on averaged yearly production. Thus, this approach is able to capture the 897 variability of biotoxins which are characterised by medium term blooms lasting for much of a season as can be the case for Dinophysis spp, which occur anywhere on Scottish coastal 898 899 waters without a clear and evident regional pattern (Smayda, 2004, Coates et al., 2018, 900 Bresnan et al., 2020,), and therefore are likely to have a non-seasonal impact on shellfish 901 harvesting. Conversely, short term blooms of PSP toxins from the genus Alexandrium, which are regularly detected in mussels during the summer months (Bresnan et al., 2008), are not 902 903 captured by our model because of its limited temporal resolution (1 year). Hence, we are 904 unable to capture factors such as seasonality of HAB and its impact on shellfish productivity. Ideally, future studies would include higher temporal resolution farm data (possibly by 905 906 capturing seasonal production at farm scale by questionnaire survey of all farms). This 907 would have the advantage of distinguishing whether each site differs from others and if

seasonal HAB events have an impact on production. Availability of data at a higher temporal
resolution would also facilitate the implementation of a more general function such as the
translog that is able to capture non-linear (quadratic) and cross-effects among the
regressors (Umar et al., 2017), and may reveal more detailed temporal or spatial impacts of
HABs on production.

A final consideration is how to get the best from the results of this model. These could be maximised in future research by a model capturing the dynamics of the shellfish market, to provide insights on the equilibrium between demand and supply and to address HABs impacts not only on the shellfish harvest, but also on prices to allow estimation of welfare

- 917 changes from the side of consumers.
- 918

919 Acknowledgement

920 We thank PICES for travel support to attend the GlobalHAB HABs/Economics workshop at

921 the 2019 PICES annual science meeting. KD was funded by the UK RCUK projects OFF-AQUA

and CAMPUS and the EU Atlantic Area Interreg project PRIMROSE. FG was funded by an

923 Ocean Risk Studentship from AXA-XL.

924

925 References

- 926 Acharya, G., Barbier, E.B., 2000. Valuing groundwater recharge through agricultural
- production in the Hadejia-Jama'are wetland in Northern Nigeria. Agr Econ, 247-59.
- 928 Adams, C.M., Larkin, S., L., Hoagland, P., Sancewich, B., 2018. Assessing the economic
- 929 consequences of harmful algal blooms: a summary of existing literature, research methods,

- 930 data and information gaps. In Harmful Algal Blooms: A Compendium Desk Reference,
- 931 Shumway S.E. Burkholder J.A.M, Morton S.L. (eds), pages 337-354. John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- Agundez, J.P., Raux, P., Girard, S., Mongruel, R., 2013. Technological adaption to harmful
- algal blooms: socioeconomic consequences for the shellfish farming sectors in Bourgeuf Bay
- 934 (France). Aquacult Econ Manag 17, 341–359.
- 935 Aleynik, D., Dale, A.C., Porter, M., Davidson, K. 2016. A high resolution hydrodynamic model
- 936 system suitable for novel harmful algal bloom modelling in areas of complex coastline and
- 937 topography. Harmful Algae 53, 102-117.
- Asamoah, E.K., Nunoo, F.K.E., Asare, Y.B., Addo S., Sumaila, U.R., 2012. A production
- 939 function analysis of pond aquaculture in southern Ghana. Aquacult Econ Manag 16(3), 183-940 201.
- Barbier E.B., 2007. Valuing ecosystem services as productive inputs. Econ Policy 22(49), 179229.
- Barbier, E.B., 1998. The economics of soil erosion: theory, methodology, and examples.
- 944 Chapter 13, in E.B. Barbier (eds), The economics of Environment and Development: Selected
- Essays, Cheltenham, UK and Northampton, MA, USA. Edward Elgar, pp 281-307.
- 946 Barbier, E.B., 2000. Valuing the environment as input: application to mangrove-fishery
- 947 linkages. Ecol Econ 35, 7-61.
- 948 Barbier, E.B., Strand, I., 1998. Valuing mangrove-fishery linkages: a case study of Campeche,
- 949 Mexico. Environ Resour Econ 12, 151-166.

Barbier, E.B., Strand, I., Sathirathai, S., 2002. Do open access conditions affect the valuation
of an externality? Estimating the welfare effects of mangrove-fishery linkages. Environ
Resour Econ 21(4), 343-367.

Belgrano A, Lindahl O, Hernroth B., 1999. North Atlantic Oscillation primary productivity and
toxic phytoplankton in the Gullmar Fjord, Sweden (1985-1996). Proc R Soc B Biol Sci. 266
(1418), 425–30.

- 956 Berdalet, E., Fleming, L.E., Gowen, R., Davidson, K., Hess, P., Backer, L.C., Moore, S.K.,
- 957 Hoagland, P., Enevoldsen, H., 2016. Marine harmful algal blooms, human health and
- wellbeing: challenges and opportunities in the 21st century. J Mar Biol Ass UK 96:61-91.
- 959 Bresnan, E., Baker-Austin, C., Campos, C.J.A., Davidson, K., Edwards, M., Hall, A., McKinney,
- 960 A., Turner, A.D., 2020. Impacts of climate change on human health, HABs and bathing
- 961 waters, relevant to the coastal and marine environment around the UK. MCCIP Science
- 962 Review, 521-545
- 963 http://www.mccip.org.uk/media/2025/22_human_health_harmful_species_2020.pdf
- Bresnan, E. Turrell, E., Fraser, S., 2008. Monitoring PSP and Alexandrium hotspots in Scottish
- 965 waters. In Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Harmful Algae (Moestrup,
- 966 Ø., Doucette, G., Enevoldson, H., Godhe, A., Hallegraeff, G., Luckas, B., Lundholm, N., Lewis,
- J., Rengefors, K., Sellner, K., Steidinger, K., Tester, P., Zingone, A., editors), 76–79.
- 968 Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission of UNESCO.
- Chapelle, A., Le Gac, M., Labry, C., Siano, R., Quere, J., Caradec, F., 2015. The Bay of Brest
- 970 (France), a new risky site for toxic Alexandrium minutum blooms and PSP shellfish
- 971 contamination. Harmful Algae News 51, 4–5.

- 972 Coates, L., Swan, S., Davidson, K., Turner, A., Maskrey, B., Algoet, M. 2018. Annual report on
- 973 the results of the biotoxin and phytoplankton official control monitoring programmes for
- 974 Scotland 2017. Available at: https://www.cefas.co.uk/media/201471/c-users-ocm00-
- 975 desktop-del-2017-scotland-biotoxin-phytoplankton-official-control-monitoring-annual-
- 976 report.pdf
- 977 Cusack, C., Dabrowski, T., Lyons, K., Berry, A., Westbrook, G., Salas, R., et al., 2016. Harmful
- 978 algal bloom forecast system for SW Ireland. Part II: Are operational oceanographic models
- useful in a HAB warning system. Harmful Algae 53, 86–101. Available from:
- 980 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2015.11.013
- 981 Davidson, K., Bresnan, E., 2009. Shellfish toxicity in UK waters: a threat to human health?
- 982 Environ Health 8 (Suppl 1), S12 doi:10.1186/1476-069X-8-S1-S12
- Davidson, K., Miller, P.I., Wilding, T., Shutler, J., Bresnan, E., Kennington, K., Swan, S. 2009. A
- 984 large and prolonged bloom of *Karenia mikimotoi* in Scottish waters in 2006. Harmful Algae
 985 8, 349-361.
- 986 Davidson, K., Tett, P., Gowen, R.J., 2011. Harmful Algal Blooms. In: Marine Pollution &
- 987 Human Health (Eds Harrison R. and Hester R.) Issues in Environmental Science and
- 988 Technology. RSC publishing. pp 95-127. ISBN 978-1-84973-240-6
- Davidson, K., Gowen, R.J., Harrison, P.J., Fleming, L., Hoagland, P., Moschonas, G., 2014.
- 990 Anthropogenic nutrients and harmful algae in coastal waters. J Environ Manage 146, 206-
- 991 216.

- Davidson, K., Anderson, D.M., Mateus, M., Reguera, B., Silke, J., Sourisseau, M., Maguire, J.,
- 2016. Forecasting the risk of harmful algal blooms: preface to the Asimuth special issue.Harmful Algae 53, 1-7.
- Dees, P., Bresnan, E., Dale, A., Edwards, M., Johns, D., Mouat, B., Whyte, C., Davidson, K.
- 2017. Harmful algal blooms in the Eastern North Atlantic Ocean. Proceedings of the National
- 997 Academy of Sciences 114 (46) E9763-E9764;
- 998 www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1715499114
- 999 Dickey, D. A., Fuller, W. A. 1979. Distribution of the Estimators for Autoregressive Time
- 1000 Series with a Unit Root. Journal of the American Statistical Association 74 (366), 427–431.
- 1001 Dyson, K., Huppert, D.D., 2010. Regional economic impacts of razor clam beach closures due
- to harmful algal blooms (HABs) on the Pacific coast of Washington. Harmful Algae 9, 264–
- 1003 271. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2009.11.003.
- 1004 Ellis, G.M., Fisher, A.C., 1987. Valuing the environment as input. J Environ Manage 25, 149-1005 56.
- 1006 European Union, 2004. Regulation (EC) No 853/2004 of the European Parliament and of the
- 1007 council Off J Eur Union 2002, L139/55
- 1008 European Union, 2019. The EU fish market. Publication Office of the European Union,
- 1009 Luxembourg, 102 pp doi:10.2771/168390
- 1010 Fehling, J., Davidson, K., Bolch, C.J., Brand, T., Narayanaswamy, B.E., 2012. The Relationship
- 1011 between Phytoplankton Distribution and Water Column Characteristics in North West
- 1012 European Shelf Sea Waters. PLoS ONE 7(3), e34098.

- 1013 Fraga, S., Anderson, D.M., Bravo, I., Reguera, B., Steidinger, K.A., Yentsch, C.M., 1988.
- 1014 Influence of upwelling relaxation on dinoflagellates and shellfish toxicity in Ria de Vigo,
- 1015 Spain. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 27, 349–61. Available from:
- 1016 https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0272771488900935
- 1017 Freeman III, A.M., 1991. Valuing environmental resources under alternative management
- 1018 regimes. Ecol Econ 3, 247-256.
- 1019 Fresard, M., Boncoeur, J., 2006. Controlling the biological invasion of a commercial fishery
- 1020 by a space competitor: a bioeconomic model with reference to the bay of St-Brieuc scallop
- 1021 fishery. Agric Resour Econ Rev. 35 (1), 78-97.
- 1022 Gobler, C.J., Doherty, O.M., Hattenrath-Lehmann, T.K., Griffith, A.W., Kang, Y., Litaker, R.W.,
- 1023 2017. Ocean warming since 1982 has expanded the niche of toxic algal blooms in the North
- 1024 Atlantic and North Pacific oceans. Proc Natl Acad Sci U. S. A. 114 (19), 4975–4980.
- 1025 Gowen, R.J., Tett, P., Bresnan, E., Davidson, K., McKinney, A., Milligan, S., Mills, D.K., Silke, J.,
- 1026 Gordon, A., Crooks, A.M., 2012. Anthropogenic Nutrient Enrichment and Blooms of Harmful
- 1027 Micro-algae. Oceanography and Marine Biology: An annual review 50, 65-126.
- 1028 Groeneveld, R.A., Bartelings, H., Borger, T., Bosello, F., Buisman, E., Delpiazzo, E., Eboli, F.,
- 1029 Fernandes, J.A., Hamon, K.G., Hattam, C., Loureiro, M., Nunes, P.A.L.D., Piwowarczy, J.
- 1030 Schasfoort, F.E., Simons, S.L., Walker, A.N. 2018. Economic impacts of marine ecological
- 1031 change: Review and recent contributions of the VECTORS project on European marine
- 1032 waters. Estuar Coast Mar Sci 201, 152-163.
- 1033 Hanley, N., Barbier, E.B., 2009. Pricing Nature. Cost benefit analysis of Environmental Policy
- 1034 Edward Elgar Cheltenham, UK and Northampton, MA, USA.

- Highlands and Islands Enterprise, Marine Scotland. 2017. The Value of Aquaculture toScotland. 130pp
- 1037 Hoagland, P., Anderson, D.M., Kaoru, Y., White W., 2002. The economic effects of harmful
- algal blooms in the United States: estimates, assessment issues and information needs.
- 1039 Estuaries 25(4b), 819-837.
- 1040 Hoagland, P., Scatasta, S., 2006. The Economic Effects of Harmful Algal Blooms, in: Granéli,
- 1041 E., Turner, J.T. (Eds.), Ecology of Harmful Algae, Ecological Studies. Springer, Berlin,
- 1042 Heidelberg, pp. 391–402. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-32210-8_30.
- Holtrop, G., Swan, S., Duff, B., Wilding, T., Narayanaswamy, B., Davidson, K., 2016. Risk
- assessment of the Scottish monitoring programme for the marine biotoxins in shellfish
- 1045 harvested from classified production areas: review of the current sampling scheme to
- 1046 develop an improved programme based on evidence of risk. FSS/2015/021. 218pp.
- 1047 https://www.foodstandards.gov.scot/downloads/Risk_assessment_of_the_Scottish_monito
- 1048 ring_programme_for_the_marine_biotoxins_1.pdf
- Jin, D., Hoagland, P., 2008. The value of harmful algal bloom predictions to the nearshore
- 1050 commercial shellfish fishery in the Gulf of Maine. Harmful Algae 7, 772–781.
- 1051 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2008.03.002
- Jin, Di, Thunberg, E., Hoagland, P. 2008. Economic impact of the 2005 red tide event on
- 1053 commercial shellfish fisheries in New England. Ocean Coast Manage 51, 420-429.
- 1054 Knowler, D., 2005. Reassessing the costs of biological invasion: *Mnemiopsis leidyi* in the
- 1055 Black sea. Ecol Econ 52(2), 187-199.

- 1056 Lynne, G.D.P., Conriy, P., Prochaska, F.J., 1981. Economic value of marsh areas for marine
- 1057 production process. J Environ Econ Manage 8, 175-186.
- 1058 LUC, 2016. Scottish marine recreation and tourism survey 2015.
- 1059 McKinney, A., Milligan, S., Mills, D.K., Silke, J., Gordon, A., Crooks, A.M., 2012.
- 1060 Anthropogenic Nutrient Enrichment and Blooms of Harmful Micro-algae. Oceanography and
- 1061 Marine Biology: An annual review 50, 65-126.
- 1062 Moita, M.T., Pazos, Y., Rocha, C., Nolasco, R., Oliveira, P.B., 2016. Toward predicting
- 1063 Dinophysis blooms off NW Iberia: A decade of events. Harmful Algae 53, 17–32. Available
- 1064 from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2015.12.002
- 1065 Morgan, K.L., Larkin, S.L., Adams, C.M., 2011. Empirical analysis of media versus
- 1066 environmental impacts on park attendance. Tourism Manage 32, 852–859.
- 1067 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2010.07.010.
- 1068 MSS, 2018. Marine Scotland Science, Scottish Shellfish Farm Production Survey 2018
- 1069 https://www.gov.scot/publications/scottish-shellfish-farm-production-survey-2018/
- 1070 Munro, LA., Wallace, I.S., 2018. Marine Scotland Science Scottish Shellfish Farm Production
- 1071 Survey 2017 Report. 31pp
- 1072 Newell, C.R., S.E. Shumway, Cucci, T.L., Selvin, R., 1989. The effects of natural seston particle
- size and type on feeding rates, feeding selectivity and food resource availability for the
- 1074 mussel, *Mytilus edulis* Linnaeus, 1758 at bottom culture sites in Maine. J Shellfish Res 8,
- 1075 187-196.

1076 Park, T.G., Lim, W.A., Park, Y.T., Lee, C.K., Jeong, H.J., 2013. Economic impact, management

and mitigation of red tides in Korea. Harmful Algae 30 (1), S131eS143.

- 1078 Paterson, R.F., McNeill, S., Mitchell, E., Adams, T., Swan, S.C., Clarke, D., Miller, P.T.,
- 1079 Bresnan, E., Davidson, K., 2017. Environmental control of harmful dinoflagellates and
- 1080 diatoms in a fjordic system. Harmful Algae 69, 1-17
- Peperzak, L., 2003. Climate change and harmful algal blooms in the North Sea. Acta Oecol
 24, 139–44.
- 1083 Rodriguez, G.R., Villasante, S., Garcia Negro M.C., 2011. Are red tides affecting economically
- the commercialization of the Galician (NW Spain) mussel farming? Mar Policy 35, 52–257.
- 1085 Rowland-Pilgrim, S., Swan, S.C., O'Neill, A., Johnson, S., Coates, L., Stubbs, P., Dean, K.,
- 1086 Harrison, K., Teixeira, A.M., Walton, A., Davidson, K., Turner, A.D., Maskrey, B.H., 2019.
- 1087 Variability of Amnesic Shellfish Toxin and *Pseudo-nitzschia* occurrence in bivalve molluscs
- and water samples analysis of ten years of the official control monitoring programme.

1089 Harmful Algae 87 101623 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2019.101623

- 1090 Sanseverino, I., Conduto, D., Pozzoli, L., Dobricic S., Lettieri, T., 2016. Algal bloom and its
- 1091 economic impact; EUR 27905 EN; doi:10.2788/660478ences
- 1092 Sathirathai, S., Barbier, E.B., 2001. Valuing mangrove conservation in Southern Thailand.
- 1093 Contemp Econ Policy 19, 109-122.
- 1094 Scientific, Technical and Economic Committee for Fisheries (STECF). 2018. Economic Report
- 1095 of the EU Aquaculture sector (STECF-18-19). Publications Office of the European Union,
- 1096 Luxembourg. ISBN 978-92-79-79402-5. https://doi:10.2760/45076, JRC114801
- 1097 Scotland's Aquaculture. 2020. Shellfish Aquaculture. Available at:
- 1098 <http://aquaculture.scotland.gov.uk/our_aquaculture/types_of_aquaculture/shellfish.aspx>
- 1099 [Accessed 21 May 2020].

- 1100 Smayda, T.J., 1990. Novel and nuisance phytoplankton blooms in the sea: evidence for a
- 1101 global epidemic. In Granéli E., Sundstrøm B., Edler L. and Anderson D.M. (eds) Toxic marine
- 1102 phytoplankton. New York, NY: Elsevier, pp. 29–40.
- 1103 Smayda, T.J., 2004. Harmful algal bloom communities in Scottish coastal waters: relationship
- to fish farming and regional comparisons a review. Paper 2006/3.
- 1105 <u>https://www.webarchive.org.uk/wayback/archive/20170701074158/http://www.gov.scot/</u>
- 1106 Publications/2006/02/03095327/16
- 1107 Stubbs, B., Swan, S., Davidson, K., Turner, A., Algoet, M. 2016. Annual report on the results
- 1108 of the Biotoxin and Phytoplankton Official Control Monitoring Programmes for Scotland –
- 1109 2015. FSA 199 C5666-C5667, 155 pp. <u>https://www.foodstandards.gov.scot/publications-</u>
- 1110 <u>and-research/publications/annual-report-on-the-results-of-the-biotoxin-and-</u>
- 1111 phytoplankton-official-con
- 1112 Swan, S.C., Turner, A.D., Bresnan, E., Whyte, C., Paterson, R.F., McNeill, S., Davidson, K.
- 1113 2018. Dinophysis acuta in Scottish Waters and its Influence on Diarrhetic Shellfish Toxin
- 1114 Profiles. Toxins 10(10), 399; https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins10100399
- 1115 Taylor, T., Longo, A., 2010. Valuing algal bloom in the Black Sea Coast of Bulgaria: A choice
- 1116 experiments approach. J Environ Manage 91, 1963–1971.
- 1117 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2010.04.007.
- 1118 Tett, P., Edwards, V., 2002. Review of harmful algal blooms in Scottish coastal waters.
- 1119 Report to SEPA, Edinburgh, Scotland, 120 pp.

- 1120 Tillmann, U., Elbrachter, M., Krock, B., John, U., Cembella, A.D., 2009. Azadinium spinosum
- gen. et sp. nov. (Dinophyceae) identified as a primary producer of azaspiracid toxins. Eur JPhycol 44, 63-79.
- 1123 Umar, H.S., Girei, A.A., Yakubu, D., 2017. Comparison of Cobb-Douglas and translog frontiers
- 1124 model in the analysis of technical efficiency in dry season tomato production. Agrosearch
- 1125 17(2), 67-77.
- 1126 Verbeek, M. 2017. A Guide to Modern Econometrics, 5th Edition. Wiley. Fifth edition.
- 1127 Wells, M.L., Karlson, B., Wulff, A., Kudela, R., Asnaghi, V., Berdalet, B., Cochlan, W.,
- 1128 Davidson, K., De Rijcke, M., Dutkiewicz, S., Hallegraeff, G., Flynn, K.J., Legrand, C., Paerl, H.,
- Silke, J., Suikkanen, S., Thompson, P., Trainer, V., Trick, C. 2019. Future HAB Science:
- 1130 Directions and Challenges in a Changing Climate. Harmful Algae
- 1131 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2019.101632
- 1132 Whyte, C., Swan, S., Davidson, K., 2014. Changing wind patterns linked to unusually high
- 1133 *Dinophysis* blooms around the Shetland Islands, Scotland. Harmful Algae 39, 365–73.
- 1134 Available from: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2014.09.006</u>

1138 Table 1A: Descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation in parenthesis) for the

1139 productive/economic variables of Scottish shellfish industry in each region for the period

1140 **2009 to 2018.** Unit of measure is ton for shellfish production, number (#) of active

1141 producing sites for capital, number of employees for labour, and number of employees

1142 per site as a measure of capital intensity.

	Production	Active sites	Labour	Labour/sites
Region	(t)	(#)	(#)	(#)
	842.279	36.712	113.128	3.081
Clyde	(278.89)	(5.14)	(7.86)	(0.39) 144
	678.670	19.063	32.841	1.723
Outer Hebrides	(262.18)	(3.00)	(3.25)	(0.30)
	4,825.707	75.334	111.860	1.4851145
Shetland	(989.56)	(16.9)	(12.18)	(0.49)
	850.315	28.336	74.224	2.619 ¹¹⁴⁶
West Highland	(253.56)	(1.79)	(12.55)	(0.47)
				114/

1148

1149 Table 1B: Descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation in parenthesis) for harmful algae

1150 for each region in the period 2009 to 2018. Unit of measure is the interval 0 to 1 (fraction

above the critical damaging threshold as shown in the Table A0 reported in the Appendix).

1152 The Table reports also temperature and NAO index. They are common for all regions.

1153 Temperature is measured in degrees Celsius, NAO index is expressed in the interval -1 to

1154 **1.**

	Pseudo-	Alexandrium	Dinonhysis	Prorocentrum
Region	(0-1)	(0-1)	(0-1)	(0-1)
	0.046	0.136	0.162	0.011
Clyde	(0.028)	(0.054)	(0.053)	(0.005)
	0.076	0.183	0.127	0.010
Outer Hebrides	(0.047)	(0.061)	(0.079)	(0.007)
	0.171	0.189	0.148	0.023
Shetland	(0.099)	(0.082)	(0.058)	(0.033)
	0.088	0.170	0.224	0.018
West Highland	(0.048)	(0.068)	(0.063)	(0.013)
		SST	NAO	
		(degrees C)	(1-1)	
		10.395	0.036	
All Regions		(0.222)	(0.021)	

1155

1156

1157

1158

- 1160
- 1161 Table 1C: Descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation in parenthesis) for biotoxins in
- each region in the period 2009 to 2018. Unit of measure is interval 0 to 1 (fraction above
- 1163 the critical damaging threshold as shown in the Table A0 reported in the Appendix).

	ASP	AZP	DSP	PSP	YTX
Region	(0-1)	(0-1)	(0-1)	(0-1)	(0-1)
	0.006	0.000	0.254	0.001	0.000
Clyde	(0.010)	(0.000)	(0.188)	(0.001)	(0.000)
	0.006	0.020	0.223	0.002	0.002
Outer Hebrides	(0.006)	(0.042)	(0.138)	(0.003)	(0.004)
	0.004	0.019	0.239	0.000	0.001
Shetland	(0.005)	(0.041)	(0.196)	(0.000)	(0.001)
	0.008	0.015	0.247	0.002	0.002
West Highland	(0.010)	(0.021)	(0.193)	(0.002)	(0.002)

1164 Legend: Diarrheic Shellfish Poisoning (DSP), Paralytic Shellfish Poisoning (PSP), Amnesic

1165 Shellfish Poisoning (ASP), Azaspiracids poisoning (AZP), Yessotoxins (YTX)

1166

1167

1168

											Pseudo-		
	Production	Sites (capital)	Labour	ASP	DSP	AZP	PSP	Alexandrium	Dinophysis	P. lima	nitzschia	SST	NAO
Production	1												
Sites	0.888*	1											
Labour	0.513*	0.757*	1										
ASP	-0.057	-0.131	-0.021	1									
DSP													
	-0.121	-0.010	-0.0348	-0.2144	1								
AZP	0.101	0.001	-0.1316	0.0326	-0.2181	1							
PSP	-0.250	-0.306	-0.1551	-0.0383	0.2183	-0.0793	1						
Alexandrium	-0.054	-0.113	-0.1096	-0.1626	0.3691*	0.0871	0.1184	1					
Dinophysis	-0.0806	0.041	0.1003	-0.0496	0.2723	0.0305	0.0482	0.1059	1				
P. lima	0.271	0.276	0.1121	0.1712	-0.0704	0.119	-0.0235	-0.133	0.0981	1			
Pseudo-nitzschia	0.54*	0.377*	0.2238	0.0795	(-)0.3413*	0.3977*	(-)0.3170*	0.0987	-0.127	-0.0441	1		

Table 2: Pairwise correlation between variables. Significant correlations at alpha level 0.05 are reported with an asterisk

1171 Legend: Diarrheic Shellfish Poisoning (DSP), Paralytic Shellfish Poisoning (PSP), Amnesic Shellfish Poisoning (ASP), Azaspiracids poisoning (AZP),

-0.0944

0.0904

(-)0.3314*

0.3396*

0.0427

0.2809

-0.3028

0.0859

-0.0709

0.1447

0.0127

-0.2835

1

0.0271

1

1172 Yessotoxins (YTX); Sea Surface Temperature (SST); North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO)

-0.0472

-0.1069

0.1651

-0.2176

0.1695

0.699*

SST

NAO

0.001

-0.118

0.011

-0.031

1173 Table 3: Vector auto regression (VAR) modelling the value of DSP as a function of lagged

- 1174 values of HABs, biotoxins, NAO and SST
- 1175 Dep. Variable R-sq chi2 P>chi2
- 1176 DSP 0.677 81.699 0.0000

variables	coefficient	Std err	Ζ	P>z
DSP_L1	0.0054	0.1255	0.04	0.966
Alexandrium_L1	0.2923	0.2635	1.11	0.267
Dinophysis_L1	0.5536	0.2444	2.27	0.023
P. lima_L1	-2.0174	0.8769	-2.30	0.021
Pseudo-nitzschia_L1	-0.0184	0.2584	-0.07	0.943
ASP_L1	-2.1901	2.0313	-1.08	0.281
AZP_L1	0.2077	0.5926	0.35	0.726
PSP_L1	17.2495	9.4221	1.83	0.067
NAO_L1	0.3723	0.0685	5.44	0.000
SST_L1	0.0882	0.0915	0.96	0.335
constant	-0.8021	0.9448	-0.85	0.396

- 1177 Legend: Diarrheic Shellfish Poisoning (DSP), Paralytic Shellfish Poisoning (PSP), Amnesic
- 1178 Shellfish Poisoning (ASP), Azaspiracid poisoning (AZP), Yessotoxins (YTX); Sea Surface
- 1179 Temperature (SST); North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO). L1 stands for lag 1.

- 1181 Table 4a: Cobb-Douglas production function estimated by random effect estimator with
- instrumental variables. Dependent variable: shellfish production. Instrumented variables:
- 1183 DSP Instruments: sites, labour, SST, NAO, *Dinophysis*. Analysis carried out using clustered 1184 robust errors.
 - variables coefficient Robust t P>t Std err Sites 1.889 .108 17.40 0.000 0.000 Labour -.633 .145 -4.37 DSP -.914 .318 -2.87 0.004 Constant 3.351 0.000 .404 8.29 Wald chi2(2) N obs R2 R2 between R2 1220.37 40 within 0.982 overall 0.400 0.858 Prob>chi2 N groups 0.000 4

1185

Table 4b: First stage regression estimated by random effect estimator. Dependent variable: DSP - Instruments: sites, labour, SST, NAO, Dinophysis.

variables	coefficient	Robust Std err	t	P>t
Sites	0149	.0523	-0.29	0.776
Labour	.0185	.0513	0.36	0.718
NAO	.437	.0520	8.40	0.000
SST	.195	.0911	2.15	0.032
Dinophysis	.699	.287	2.44	0.015
Const	-1.952	.955	-2.04	0.041
	Wald chi2(5) 117	Prob>chi2 0.000		
	N obs 40	N groups 4		

- 1192 Table 5a: Cobb-Douglas production function estimated by 2SLS estimator with dummy
- 1193 variables and instrumental variables. Dependent variable: shellfish production.
- 1194 Instrumented variables: DSP Instruments: sites, labour, SST, NAO, *Dinophysis*. Clustered
- 1195 **robust errors are shown.**

variables	coefficient	Robust Std err	t	P>t
Sites	.959	.265	3.62	0.000
Labour	122	.277	-0.44	0.660
DSP	661	.241	-2.74	0.006
Constant_A (Clyde)	4.024	1.924	2.09	0.037
Outer Hebrides	.241	.442	0.54	0.586
West Highlands	.202	.157	1.29	0.197
Shetland Islands	1.044703	0.187	5.57	0.000
N obs	Wald chi2(6)	R2		
40	877.48	0.9277		
N groups 4	Prob>chi2 0.000			

1196

- 1198Table 5b: First stage regression for the 2SLS regression with instrumental variable
- 1199 reported in Table 5a. Dependent variable: DSP. Instruments: sites, SST, NAO, *Dinophysis*,

1200 Clyde, Outer Hebrides, West Highlands, Shetland Islands.

variables	coefficient	Robust Std err	t	P>t
Sites	1352	.127	-1.06	0.298
Labour	.1297	.168	0.77	0.447
NAO	.443	.071	6.27	0.000
SST	.247	.094	2.63	0.013
Dinophysis	1.060	.329	3.22	0.003
Constant (A) Clyde	-2.627	1.410	-1.86	0.072
Outer Hebrides	.0772	.226	0.34	0.735
West Highlands	052	.077	-0.69	0.498
Shetland Islands	.098	.136	0.72	0.478
N obs	F(8,31)	R2		
40	18.74	0.621		
N groups 4	Prob>F 0.000			

1201

1202

APPENDIX

Thresho ld cells/ Syndrome litre Toxin Type of toxin Species Group Biotoxin Saxitoxin Alexandrium sp Dino-Neurotoxin PSP flagellate >800 µg STX eq. 40 / kg Gastro-Dinointestinal DSP Dinophysis sp flagellate Okadaic acid >160µg OA eq. / and 100 kg derivatives Prorocentrum Dinolima flagellate Pseudo-nitzschia Neurotoxin ASP Diatom sp. Domoic acid 50 000 >20 mg DA/kg >3.75mg YTX Yessotoxin Prorocentrum Dinoeq./kg reticulatum, 100 (YTX) flagellate >160ug AZA Azaspiracid Gastro-Dino-(AZA) intestinal AZP Azadinium sp flagellate eq./kg

1209 1210

1208 Table A0: harmful threshold concentration for harmful algae and biotoxins

- 1211 Table A1: Augmented Dickey-Fuller test (1979) tests the stationarity of the variables of the
- 1212 panel. Null Hypothesis: variable is not stationary.
- 1213

Variable	ADF Z test statistics	
Production	-2.131(0)* drift	
Active sites	-2.51(1)* drift	
Labour	-8.53(0)** trend	
SST	-3.81(0)* drift	
NAO	-5.28(0)** trend	
ASP	-3.009(0)** drift	
AZP	-4.55(1)** trend	
DSP	-1.60(0) #drift	
PSP	-3.005(0)** drift	
YTP	-1.69(0)# drift	
Alexandrium spp	-2.384(0)* drift	
Dinophysis spp	-2.884(0)* drift	
Prorocentrum spp	-2.041(0)* drift	
Pseudo-nitzschia spp	-2.74(1)* drift	
In bracket it is reported the optimal lag;		
Drift= stationarity around a constant mean		
Trend=stationarity around a trend		
# significant at 0.10;		
* significant at 0.05;		
** significant at 0.01		

Supplementary Material

Click here to access/download Supplementary Material Supplementary Material.docx

HARMFUL ALGAE AUTHOR DECLARATION

I undersigned, Simone Martino, corresponding author of the paper **An Approach for Evaluating** the Economic Impacts of Harmful Algal Blooms: the Effects of Blooms of Toxic Dinophysis spp. on the Productivity of Scottish Shellfish Farms

DECLARE THAT

- 1. the work proposed has not been published previously (except in the form of an abstract or as part of a published lecture or academic thesis),
- 2. it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere,
- 3. its publication is approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out,
- 4. if accepted, it will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in English or in any other language, without the written consent of the copyright-holder.

By attaching this Declaration to the submission, the corresponding author certifies that:

• The manuscript represents original and valid work and that neither this manuscript nor one with substantially similar content under the same authorship has been published or is being considered for publication elsewhere.

• Every author has agreed to allow the corresponding author to serve as the primary correspondent with the editorial office, and to review the edited typescript and proof.

• Each author has given final approval of the submitted manuscript and order of authors. Any subsequent change to authorship will be approved by all authors.

• Each author has participated sufficiently in the work to take public responsibility for all the content.

Declaration of interests

 \boxtimes The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

□The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: