



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *Nearly invariant subspaces for operators in Hilbert spaces*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/167096/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Liang, Y and Partington, JR orcid.org/0000-0002-6738-3216 (2020) Nearly invariant subspaces for operators in Hilbert spaces. *Complex Analysis and Operator Theory*, 15. 5. ISSN 1661-8254

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11785-020-01050-x>

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2020. This is an author produced version of a journal article published in *Complex Analysis and Operator Theory*. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

NEARLY INVARIANT SUBSPACES FOR OPERATORS IN HILBERT SPACES

YUXIA LIANG AND JONATHAN R. PARTINGTON

ABSTRACT. For a shift operator T with finite multiplicity acting on a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space we represent its nearly T^{-1} invariant subspaces in Hilbert space in terms of invariant subspaces under the backward shift. Going further, given any finite Blaschke product B , we give a description of the nearly T_B^{-1} invariant subspaces for the operator T_B of multiplication by B in a scale of Dirichlet-type spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given α a real number, the Dirichlet-type space $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D})$ consists of all analytic functions $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ in \mathbb{D} such that its norm

$$\|f\|_\alpha := \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 (k+1)^\alpha \right)^{1/2} < +\infty.$$

If $\alpha = -1$, $\mathcal{D}_{-1} = A^2(\mathbb{D})$ the classical Bergman space, for $\alpha = 0$, $\mathcal{D}_0 = H^2(\mathbb{D})$ the Hardy space, and for $\alpha = 1$, $\mathcal{D}_1 = \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{D})$ the classical Dirichlet space, systematically investigated in the book [6]. These are particular instances of separable infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces, to be denoted by \mathcal{H} in this paper. We let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the collection of all bounded linear operators acting on \mathcal{H} .

The notations \mathbb{N}_0 and \mathbb{N} denote the set of all nonnegative integers and positive integers, respectively. Here we recall the \mathbb{C}^l -vector-valued Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l)$ consists of all analytic $F : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^l$ such that the norm

$$\|F\| = \left(\sup_{0 < r < 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \|F(re^{iw})\|^2 dw \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

Writing $F = [f_1, f_2, \dots, f_l]$ with $f_i : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, it is clear that $F \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l)$ if and only if $f_i \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ with $l \in \mathbb{N}$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 47B38; Secondary 47A15.

Key words and phrases. Nearly invariant subspace, shift operator, Blaschke product, Dirichlet-type space.

Inner functions play important role in describing the invariant subspaces of the unilateral shift $Sf(z) = zf(z)$ (multiplication by the independent variable) on $H^2(\mathbb{D})$. Beurling's Theorem states that a nontrivial closed subspace $\mathcal{M} \subset H^2(\mathbb{D})$ satisfies $S\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$ if and only if $\mathcal{M} = \theta H^2(\mathbb{D})$ with θ is inner. The simplest nontrivial inner function is an automorphism of \mathbb{D} mapping \mathbb{T} onto \mathbb{T} . More generally, if $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence of points in $\mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying the Blaschke condition $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - |a_n|) < \infty$, then we can construct the corresponding Blaschke product

$$B(z) := z^m \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{a_n} \frac{a_n - z}{1 - \overline{a_n}z}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

The Toeplitz operator T_g on $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ is defined by

$$T_g f = P(gf) \text{ with } g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$$

and P is the orthogonal projection from $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ on $H^2(\mathbb{D})$. It is well-known that the kernel of $T_{\overline{\theta}}$ on $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ is a model space $K_\theta := H^2 \ominus \theta H^2$ with θ an inner function (cf. [8, 9, 15]).

Given a Blaschke product B , the Wold Decomposition Theorem implies that every $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$ has an expression

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B^k(z) h_k(z)$$

with h_k are functions in $K_B = H^2 \ominus BH^2$. An analogous theorem for Dirichlet-type space $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D})$ has been proved as follows.

Theorem 1.1. [5, Theorem 3.1], [4, Theorem 2.1] *Let $\alpha \in [-1, 1]$ and B a finite Blaschke product. Then $f \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D})$ if and only if $f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B^k h_k$ (convergence in \mathcal{D}_α norm) with $h_k \in K_B$ and*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)^\alpha \|h_k\|_{H^2}^2 < \infty. \quad (1.1)$$

Since K_B is finite-dimensional, we may take other (equivalent) norms here, such as $\|h_k\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}$.

A concept commonly appearing in operator theory and complex function theory is that of near invariance, which arises in the investigations of (almost) invariant subspaces. At the beginning, nearly S^* invariant subspaces of $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ were introduced by Hayashi [9], Hitt [10], and then Sarason [14] in the context of kernels of Toeplitz operators. There are also many other contributions related with this topic; for example the

case of backwards shifts on vector-valued Hardy spaces was analysed in [3]. The interested reader can also refer to [1, 2, 12] and the references therein. Roughly speaking, a subspace $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is said to be nearly S^* invariant if the zeros of functions in \mathcal{M} can be divided out without leaving the space. The following definition presents a nearly T^{-1} invariant subspace for any left invertible $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

Definition 1.2. Let \mathcal{H} be a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space and $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be left invertible. Then a subspace $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is said to be nearly T^{-1} invariant if for every $g \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $Tg \in \mathcal{M}$, it holds that $g \in \mathcal{M}$.

A shift operator acting on a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space is the direct generalization of the unilateral shift S and multiplication operator T_B on $H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l)$. It is isometric and left invertible, and was abstractly defined in [13, Chapter 1] as below.

Definition 1.3. An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a shift operator if T is an isometry and $\|T^{*n}f\| \rightarrow 0$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

There are also other equivalent descriptions for a shift operator. For example, an isometry $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a *shift operator* if and only if T is *pure*. Here an isometry T on \mathcal{H} is *pure* whenever $\bigcap_{n \geq 0} T^n \mathcal{H} = \{0\}$. Furthermore, a pure isometry $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ has *multiplicity* m if the dimension of the subspace $\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{H} \ominus T\mathcal{H} = \text{Ker } T^*$ is m .

Besides, an operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is T -inner if A is analytic (that is, $AT = TA$) and partially isometric. Based on the concept of T -inner operator, the Beurling-Lax Theorem for invariant subspaces under a shift operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ was given in [13, Section 1.12], as follows.

Theorem 1.4. *A subspace \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{H} is invariant under the shift operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ if and only if $\mathcal{F} = A\mathcal{H}$ for some T -inner operator A on \mathcal{H} .*

It is natural to ask how to give an expression for nearly T^{-1} invariant subspaces in \mathcal{H} . Motivated by this question, we organize the rest of the paper as follows. In Section 2, using the formulae of S^* invariant subspaces in vector-valued Hardy space, we present a characterization for nearly T^{-1} invariant subspaces when $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a shift operator with *multiplicity* m . Going beyond this, noting that a finite Blaschke product B is a multiplier of Dirichlet-type spaces, we give some descriptions for nearly T_B^{-1} invariant subspaces in Section 3, extending considerably some work of Erard [7].

2. NEARLY T^{-1} INVARIANT SUBSPACES

In this section, we always suppose $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a shift operator with multiplicity m . This gives

$$1 \leq l := \dim[\mathcal{M} \ominus (\mathcal{M} \cap T\mathcal{H})] \leq m \quad (2.1)$$

for every nonzero nearly T^{-1} invariant subspace $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{H}$. Denote an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{H} \ominus T\mathcal{H}$ by e_1, \dots, e_m . And let $\delta_j^m = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ with 1 in the j -th place be an orthonormal basis of $K := H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^m) \ominus zH^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^m)$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Based on the following two orthogonal decompositions

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} T^i \mathcal{K} \quad \text{and} \quad H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^m) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} z^i K,$$

there exists a unitary mapping $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^m)$ defined by

$$U(T^i e_j) = z^i \delta_j^m. \quad (2.2)$$

So the following commutative diagram (2.3) holds for the unilateral shift $S : H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^m) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^m)$ and the shift operator $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ with multiplicity m .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H} & \xrightarrow{T} & \mathcal{H} \\ \downarrow U & & \downarrow U \\ H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^m) & \xrightarrow{S} & H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^m), \end{array} \quad (2.3)$$

which implies the following equations

$$S^n U = U T^n \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (2.4)$$

Using the unitary mapping $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^m)$, the following lemma holds, where the superscript ‘t’ means the transpose of a matrix.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be a nonzero nearly T^{-1} invariant subspace and $G_0 := [g_1, g_2, \dots, g_l]^t$ be a matrix containing an orthonormal basis $(g_i)_{i \in \{1, \dots, l\}}$ of $\mathcal{M} \ominus (\mathcal{M} \cap T\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$F_0 := [Ug_1, Ug_2, \dots, Ug_l]^t \quad (2.5)$$

is a matrix containing an orthonormal basis $(Ug_i)_{i \in \{1, \dots, l\}}$ of $U\mathcal{M} \ominus (U\mathcal{M} \cap zH^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^m))$.

The lemma below shows the link of nearly invariant subspaces between similar operators.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 are two Hilbert spaces and $T_1 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1)$ and $T_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2)$ are two left invertible operators. Assume there exists an invertible operator $V : \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2$ so that $T_2 = VT_1V^{-1}$. Let \mathcal{M} be a nearly T_1^{-1} invariant subspace in \mathcal{H}_1 , then $V(\mathcal{M})$ is a nearly T_2^{-1} invariant subspace in \mathcal{H}_2 .*

Proof. For any $h \in \mathcal{H}_2$, if $T_2h \in V(\mathcal{M})$, we need to show $h \in V(\mathcal{M})$. Since $T_2h = VT_1V^{-1}h \in V(\mathcal{M})$, it follows that $T_1V^{-1}h \in \mathcal{M}$, so that $V^{-1}h \in \mathcal{M}$. This means $h \in V(\mathcal{M})$, ending the proof. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a shift operator, and let U be as (2.2). Then*

$$U^*[(Ug)h] = h(T)g, \quad (2.6)$$

for any $g \in \mathcal{H}$, $h \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$ such that $(Ug)h \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^m)$.

Proof. From the commutative diagram (2.3), we have $Ug \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^m)$ and $(Ug)z^n = S^n(Ug)$, and then (2.4) implies that

$$U^*[(Ug)z^n] = U^*S^n(Ug) = T^nU^*Ug = T^n g, \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

For any polynomial $p(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$, since U^* is a linear operator, we have

$$U^*[(Ug)p(z)] = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k T^k g = p(T)g,$$

where the operator $p(T) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k T^k$. So for any $h(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k z^k \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$ such that $(Ug)h \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^m)$, there exists a sequence of polynomials $q_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n h_k z^k \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$ such that $q_n \rightarrow h$ in $H^2(\mathbb{D})$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then we deduce that

$$U^*[(Ug)h(z)] = U^*[(Ug)(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n(z))] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n(T)g = h(T)g,$$

with the operator $h(T) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k T^k$. \square

We are now in a position to state a theorem for nearly T^{-1} invariant subspaces in \mathcal{H} . Recall that $\Phi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^l, \mathbb{C}^l))$ is an operator-valued inner function if $\Phi(e^{iw})$ is an isometry a.e. on \mathbb{T} .

Theorem 2.4. *Suppose $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a shift operator with multiplicity m and $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is a nonzero nearly T^{-1} invariant subspace. Let $G_0 := [g_1, g_2, \dots, g_l]^t$ be a matrix containing an orthonormal basis $(g_i)_{i \in \{1, \dots, l\}}$ of $\mathcal{M} \ominus (\mathcal{M} \cap T\mathcal{H})$. Then there exist a nonnegative integer $l' \leq l$ and an operator-valued inner function Φ belonging to $H^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^{l'}, \mathbb{C}^l))$, unique up to unitary equivalence, such that*

$$\mathcal{M} = \{f \in \mathcal{H} : \exists h \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^{l'}) \ominus \Phi H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^{l'}), f = h(T)G_0\}.$$

Besides, there is an isometric mapping

$$Q : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l) \ominus \Phi H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^{l'}) \text{ given by } Q(f) = h. \quad (2.7)$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.2 and the commutative diagram (2.3), it follows $U\mathcal{M}$ is a nearly S^* invariant subspace in $H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^m)$. From [3, Theorem 4.4], there exists an isometric mapping

$$J : U\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \text{ given by } J(hF_0) = h, \quad (2.8)$$

with a subspace

$$\mathcal{F}' := \{h \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l) : \exists Uf \in U\mathcal{M}, Uf = hF_0\}$$

and F_0 given in (2.5). Moreover, \mathcal{F}' is an S^* invariant subspace in $H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l)$. The Beurling-Lax Theorem on $H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l)$ implies there exist a nonnegative integer $l' \leq l$ and an inner function $\Phi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^{l'}, \mathbb{C}^l))$, unique to unitary equivalence, such that

$$\mathcal{F}' = H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l) \ominus \Phi H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^{l'}).$$

Given any $f \in \mathcal{M}$, the isometric mapping (2.8) implies there exists $h = [h_1, \dots, h_l] \in \mathcal{F}'$ such that

$$Uf = hF_0 = [h_1, \dots, h_l][Ug_1, \dots, Ug_l]^t = \sum_{i=1}^l (Ug_i)h_i,$$

with $\|f\| = \|Uf\| = \|h\|$. Then the formula (2.6) gives

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^l U^*[(Ug_i)h_i] = \sum_{i=1}^l h_i(T)g_i = h(T)G_0,$$

with $h(T) = [h_1(T), \dots, h_l(T)]$. We therefore have

$$\mathcal{M} = \{f \in \mathcal{H} : \exists h \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l) \ominus \Phi H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^{l'}), f = h(T)G_0\},$$

and there is an isometric mapping $Q : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l) \ominus \Phi H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^{l'})$ given by $Q = JU$ satisfying (2.7). \square

Remark 2.5. Let

$$\mathcal{M}' = U^*\mathcal{F}' = U^*[H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l) \ominus \Phi H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^{l'})] \subset \mathcal{H}.$$

Then \mathcal{M}' is a T^* invariant subspace and there also exists an isometric mapping $\tilde{Q} = U^*JU : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}'$ defined by $f \mapsto U^*h$.

Given a degree- m Blaschke product B , $T_B : H^2(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{D})$ is a shift operator with multiplicity m , so we can deduce a corollary.

Corollary 2.6. *Let $\mathcal{M} \subset H^2(\mathbb{D})$ is a nonzero nearly T_B^{-1} invariant subspace with a degree- m Blaschke product B . Let the matrix $G_0(z) := [g_1(z), g_2(z), \dots, g_l(z)]^t$ contain an orthonormal basis $(g_i(z))_{i \in \{1, \dots, l\}}$ of $\mathcal{M} \ominus (\mathcal{M} \cap BH^2(\mathbb{D}))$. Then there exist a nonnegative integer $l' \leq l$ and an operator-valued inner function $\Phi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^{l'}, \mathbb{C}^l))$, unique up to unitary equivalence, such that*

$$\mathcal{M} = \{f \in H^2(\mathbb{D}) : \exists h \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l) \ominus \Phi H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^{l'}), f(z) = h(T_B)G_0(z)\}.$$

Here we show an example to illustrate Corollary 2.6.

Example 2.7. Given $a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ and some $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, denote a subspace

$$\mathcal{M} = \varphi_a(z) \cdot \left(\bigvee \{z^{2k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \oplus \bigvee \{z, z^3, \dots, z^{2m+1}\} \right),$$

which is nearly $T_{z^2}^{-1}$ invariant in $H^2(\mathbb{D})$. It holds that

$$\mathcal{M} \ominus (\mathcal{M} \cap z^2 \mathcal{M}) = \langle g_1(z), g_2(z) \rangle$$

with the function matrix $G_0(z) := [g_1(z), g_2(z)]^t = \varphi_a(z) \cdot [1, z]^t$.

For any $f \in \mathcal{M}$, it turns out

$$f(z) = \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i,1} z^{2i}, \sum_{i=0}^m a_{i,2} z^{2i} \right] G_0(z),$$

where $(a_{i,j})_{i,j}$ satisfies

$$[a_{i,1}, a_{i,2}] \in \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}, & i = 0, 1, \dots, m, \\ \mathbb{C} \times \{0\}, & i \geq m+1. \end{cases}$$

The formula in Corollary 2.6 together the above facts imply

$$\mathcal{M} = \{f \in H^2(\mathbb{D}) : \exists h \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^2) \ominus \Phi(z)H^2(\mathbb{D}), f(z) = h(T_{z^2})G_0(z)\},$$

with an operator-valued inner function $\Phi(z) = z^{m+1}(0, 1) \in \mathbb{C}^2$.

3. NEARLY T_B^{-1} INVARIANT SUBSPACES IN \mathcal{D}_α

In this section we address the more difficult question of near invariance for the operator $T_B : \mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D})$ with a degree- m Blaschke product B , which is not isometric but simply bounded below, extending the methods of Erard [7].

It is known that the special multiplication operator T_B is always bounded on Dirichlet-type space $\mathcal{D}_\alpha := \mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D})$ for any finite Blaschke product B . The study of multiplication invariant subspaces of Hardy spaces can be traced back to Lance and Stessin's work [11]; they described closed subspaces of the Hardy spaces $H^p(\mathbb{D})$ which are inner-invariant. In 2004, Erard investigated the nearly invariant subspaces

related to lower bounded multiplication operator M_u on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} in [7]. There are four conditions on the pairs (\mathcal{H}, u) as below:

(i) \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert space and a linear submanifold of

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{W}) := \{f : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is analytic}\},$$

where \mathcal{W} is an open subset of \mathbb{C}^d ($d \in \mathbb{N}$),

(ii) $u \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{W})$ satisfies $uh \in \mathcal{H}$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$,

(iii) for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$, the evaluation $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $h \rightarrow h(w)$ is continuous,

(iv) there exists $c > 0$ such that for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$, $c\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \|uh\|_{\mathcal{H}}$.

In the sequel, a ‘‘subspace’’ means a closed linear subspace, and a ‘‘linear manifold’’ is an algebraic subspace that is not necessarily closed.

For the above (\mathcal{H}, u) , the *lower bound* of M_u relative to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ is defined by

$$\gamma_{\mathcal{H}, M_u} = \sup\{c > 0 : \forall h \in \mathcal{H}, c\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \|uh\|_{\mathcal{H}}\} \in]0, \infty[. \quad (3.1)$$

Erard gave the definition of ‘‘nearly invariant under division by u ’’, which is same as ‘‘nearly M_u^{-1} invariant’’, a special case of Definition 1.2. Considering the importance of the backward shift M_z , Erard proved the following theorem on nearly S^* invariant subspaces in \mathcal{H} , under the assumption that $M_z : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is bounded below.

Theorem 3.1. [7, Theorem 5.1] *Assume that \mathcal{H} satisfies (i)-(iv) with $u(z) = z$, and*

$$\dim(\mathcal{H} \ominus M_z \mathcal{H}) = 1 \text{ and } \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \|M_z h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Assume also that there exists $f \in \mathcal{H}$ with $f(0) \neq 0$. Let \mathcal{M} be a nonzero subspace of \mathcal{H} which is nearly invariant under the backward shift M_z . Let g be any unit vector of $\mathcal{M} \ominus (\mathcal{M} \cap M_z \mathcal{H})$. Then there exists a linear submanifold \mathcal{N} of $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ such that $\mathcal{M} = g\mathcal{N}$ and for all $h \in \mathcal{M}$, we have

$$\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \geq \left\| \frac{h}{g} \right\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}.$$

Besides, \mathcal{N} is invariant under the backward shift and $g(0) \neq 0$.

We note the operator $T_B : \mathcal{D}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ is more general than $M_z : H^2(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{D})$, so we seek characterizations for nearly T_B^{-1} invariant subspaces in \mathcal{D}_α with $\alpha \in [-1, 1]$ and a degree- m Blaschke product B . The following lemma is the factorization of elements in a nearly T^{-1} invariant subspace with a bounded below $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. (We use the notation $P^{\mathcal{N}}$ for the orthogonal projection onto a subspace \mathcal{N} .)

Lemma 3.2. [7, Lemma 2.1] *Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space, $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a bounded operator such that for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$, $\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \|Th\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ and \mathcal{M} be*

a nearly T^{-1} invariant subspace of \mathcal{H} . Set

$$R = (T^*T)^{-1}T^*P^{\mathcal{M} \cap T\mathcal{H}} \text{ and } Q = P^{\mathcal{M} \ominus (\mathcal{M} \cap T\mathcal{H})}. \quad (3.2)$$

Then for all $h \in \mathcal{M}$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have

$$h = \sum_{k=0}^p T^k Q R^k h + T^{p+1} R^{p+1} h. \quad (3.3)$$

In this section, the range of the symbol l in (2.1) is also true for $T = T_B$, a nonzero nearly T_B^{-1} invariant subspace \mathcal{M} of $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ with $\alpha \in [-1, 1]$ and a degree- m Blaschke product B . In the sequel, we will endow the space \mathcal{D}_α with two different equivalent norms according to the cases $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $\alpha \in [-1, 0)$, so we divide the discussion into two subsections.

3.1. Nearly T_B^{-1} invariant subspaces in \mathcal{D}_α with $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. In this subsection, \mathcal{D}_α is endowed with an equivalent norm as in (1.1) denoted by $\|\cdot\|_1$, that is,

$$\|f\|_1^2 := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)^\alpha \|h_k\|_{H^2}^2 \quad (3.4)$$

for any $f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B^k h_k$ with $h_k \in K_B$. Then it holds that

$$\|T_B f\|_1^2 = \|Bf\|_1^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+2)^\alpha \|h_k\|_{H^2}^2 \geq \|f\|_1^2,$$

which implies the operator $T_B : \mathcal{D}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ is lower bounded. This confirms that $(\mathcal{D}_\alpha, T_B)$ satisfies *Conditions (i)-(iv)* and the lower bound of T_B relative the norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ is $\gamma_1 := 1$. And it is also true that $B^{-1}(D(0, 1)) = B^{-1}(\mathbb{D}) = \mathbb{D}$. The above facts together with

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B^n \mathcal{D}_\alpha = \{0\} \text{ on } \mathbb{D}$$

imply the following lemma, which can be deduced from [7, Theorem 3.2] with $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{D}_\alpha$, $u = B$, $\gamma = \gamma_1 := 1$ and the index set $I = \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$.

Lemma 3.3. *For $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, let \mathcal{M} be a nonzero nearly T_B^{-1} invariant subspace of \mathcal{D}_α endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ in (3.4) and $(g_i)_{i \in \{1, \dots, l\}}$ be a hilbertian basis of $\mathcal{M} \ominus (\mathcal{M} \cap T_B \mathcal{D}_\alpha)$. Then for all $h \in \mathcal{M}$, there exists $(q_i)_{i \in \{1, \dots, l\}}$ in $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$ such that*

$$h = \sum_{i=1}^l g_i q_i \text{ on } \mathbb{D}, \quad (3.5)$$

and for all $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$, there exists a sequence $\{c_{ki}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with

$$q_i = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{ki} B^k, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |c_{ki}|^2 \leq \|h\|_1^2. \quad (3.7)$$

Now we are ready to state a theorem on nearly T_B^{-1} invariant subspaces in \mathcal{D}_α with $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

Theorem 3.4. *For $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, let \mathcal{M} be a nonzero nearly T_B^{-1} invariant subspace of \mathcal{D}_α endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ in (3.4) and $G_0 := [g_1, g_2, \dots, g_l]^t$ be a matrix containing an orthonormal basis $(g_i)_{i \in \{1, \dots, l\}}$ of $\mathcal{M} \ominus (\mathcal{M} \cap T_B \mathcal{D}_\alpha)$. Then there exists a linear submanifold $\mathcal{N} \subset H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l)$ such that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}G_0$ and for all $h \in \mathcal{M}$, there exists $q \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $h = qG_0$ and*

$$\|h\|_1 \geq \|q\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l)}.$$

Moreover, \mathcal{N} is invariant under $T_{\bar{B}}$.

Proof. For $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, the equation (3.5) implies that every $h \in \mathcal{M}$ has the form

$$h = \sum_{i=1}^l g_i q_i = qG_0 \text{ on } \mathbb{D}, \quad (3.8)$$

with $q = [q_1, q_2, \dots, q_l]$. Combining the series of q_i in (3.6), we deduce

$$\|q_i\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |c_{ki}|^2 \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, l\}.$$

And then the norm estimation in (3.7) implies

$$\|q\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l)}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^l \|q_i\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |c_{ki}|^2 \leq \|h\|_1^2, \quad (3.9)$$

with

$$q = [q_1, q_2, \dots, q_l] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B^k C_k \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l)$$

where $C_k = (c_{k1}, \dots, c_{kl}) \in \mathbb{C}^l$. Then there exists a linear submanifold

$$\mathcal{N} := \{q \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l) : \exists h \in \mathcal{M}, h = qG_0\},$$

satisfying $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}G_0$. For all $h \in \mathcal{M}$, (3.9) implies

$$\|h\|_1 \geq \|q\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l)}.$$

Next we show \mathcal{N} is invariant under $T_{\bar{B}}$. Let $T = T_B$ and $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ with $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ in Lemma 3.2, and then the operators in (3.2) become

$$R = (T_B^* T_B)^{-1} T_B^* P^{\mathcal{M} \cap T_B \mathcal{D}_\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad Q = P^{\mathcal{M} \ominus (\mathcal{M} \cap T_B \mathcal{D}_\alpha)}.$$

Hence the equation (3.3) with $p = 0$ gives $h = Qh + T_B R h$, which together with (3.8) entail

$$qG_0 = Q(qG_0) + T_B R(qG_0) = C_0 G_0 + B R(qG_0).$$

And then we obtain

$$B R(qG_0) = (q - C_0) G_0 = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B^k C_k \right) G_0,$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} R(qG_0) &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B^{k-1} C_k \right) G_0 \\ &= \left(T_{\bar{B}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B^k C_k \right) \right) G_0 \\ &= (T_{\bar{B}}(q)) G_0. \end{aligned}$$

The formula $T_B R h = P^{\mathcal{M} \cap T_B \mathcal{D}_\alpha} h \in \mathcal{M}$ together with the fact that \mathcal{M} is a nearly T_B^{-1} invariant subspace imply $R(\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathcal{M}$. In particular, $R(qG_0) \in \mathcal{M}$ and then $T_{\bar{B}}(q) \in \mathcal{N}$ from the definition of \mathcal{N} . This means \mathcal{N} is a $T_{\bar{B}}$ invariant submanifold of $H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l)$. \square

Now consider the following special case of (2.3):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l) & \xrightarrow{T_B} & H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l) \\ \downarrow U & & \downarrow U \\ H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^{ml}) & \xrightarrow{S} & H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^{ml}). \end{array} \quad (3.10)$$

Here $SU = UT_B$ holds for the unilateral shift $S : H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^{ml}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^{ml})$ and $T_B : H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l)$ with multiplicity ml . This leads to the following remark for finite-dimensional nearly T_B^{-1} invariant subspaces in \mathcal{D}_α with $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

Remark 3.5. In Theorem 3.4, if \mathcal{M} is finite-dimensional, then $\mathcal{N} \subset H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l)$ is also finite-dimensional and hence closed. From the Beurling-Lax Theorem and the commutative diagram (3.10), we deduce that

$$\mathcal{N} = U^*(H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^{ml}) \ominus \Psi H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r))$$

with $0 \leq r \leq ml$ and an inner function $\Psi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^r, \mathbb{C}^{ml}))$. Then $\mathcal{M} = [U^*(H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^{ml}) \ominus \Psi H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r))]G_0$.

3.2. Nearly T_B^{-1} invariant subspaces in \mathcal{D}_α with $\alpha \in [-1, 0)$. In this subsection, we cannot make T_B into an expansive operator, but it is possible to achieve a good enough lower bound by taking an equivalent norm. So we endow \mathcal{D}_α with the modified equivalent norm denoted by $\|\cdot\|_2$ as follows: for any $f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B^k h_k$ with $h_k \in K_B$,

$$\|f\|_2^2 := \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} N^\alpha \|h_k\|_{H^2}^2 + \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} (k+1)^\alpha \|h_k\|_{H^2}^2, \quad (3.11)$$

where N is a fixed and sufficiently large positive integer, to be specified below. With respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_2$, the lower bound of T_B defined in (3.1) is

$$\gamma_2 := \left(1 - \frac{1}{N+1}\right)^{-\alpha/2}. \quad (3.12)$$

Then it holds that $\|T_B f\|_2^2 = \|Bf\|_2^2 \geq \gamma_2^2 \|f\|_2^2$ for any $f \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha$, implying that the operator $T := \gamma_2^{-1} T_B : \mathcal{D}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ satisfies

$$\|Tf\|_2 = \|\gamma_2^{-1} T_B f\|_2 \geq \|f\|_2 \text{ for any } f \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha.$$

So $(\mathcal{D}_\alpha, T_B)$ also satisfies *Conditions (i)-(iv)* with the lower bound γ_2 given in (3.12) for $\alpha \in [-1, 0)$. Here we choose N large enough such that γ_2 satisfies $B^{-1}(D(0, \gamma_2)) \supset s\mathbb{D}$ with $s\mathbb{D}$ a disc containing all the zeros of B . This ensures that

$$\|\gamma_2^{-1} B\|_{H^\infty(s\mathbb{D})} < 1. \quad (3.13)$$

Furthermore, $T := \gamma_2^{-1} T_B$ satisfies the assumptions in Lemma 3.2 and

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (B^n \mathcal{D}_\alpha)|_{s\mathbb{D}} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (T^n \mathcal{D}_\alpha)|_{s\mathbb{D}} = \{0\}.$$

Based on the above facts and [7, Theorem 3.2], a lemma similar to Lemma 3.3 holds for the case $\alpha \in [-1, 0)$ with γ_2 in (3.12).

Lemma 3.6. *For $\alpha \in [-1, 0)$ and $\gamma_2 := \left(1 - \frac{1}{N+1}\right)^{-\alpha/2}$ with large enough N , let \mathcal{M} be a nonzero nearly T_B^{-1} invariant subspace of \mathcal{D}_α endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_2$ in (3.11) and $(g_i)_{i \in \{1, \dots, l\}}$ be a hilbertian basis of $\mathcal{M} \ominus (\mathcal{M} \cap T_B \mathcal{D}_\alpha)$. Then for all $h \in \mathcal{M}$, there exists $(q_i)_{i \in \{1, \dots, l\}}$ in $\mathcal{O}(s\mathbb{D})$ such that*

$$h = \sum_{i=1}^l g_i q_i \text{ on } s\mathbb{D}, \quad (3.14)$$

and for all $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$, there exists a sequence $\{d_{ki}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with

$$q_i = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_{ki} (\gamma_2^{-1} B)^k \text{ on } s\mathbb{D}, \quad (3.15)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |d_{ki}|^2 \leq \|h\|_2^2. \quad (3.16)$$

In order to use the submanifold in $H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l)$ to describe nearly T_B^{-1} invariant subspaces in \mathcal{D}_α with $\alpha \in [-1, 0)$, here we introduce an unitary mapping $U_s : H^2(s\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l)$ by

$$(U_s f)(z) = f(sz).$$

Then the diagram (3.17) commutes, with $T_s^* := U_s T_{B^{-1}} U_s^*$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^2(s\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l) & \xrightarrow{T_{B^{-1}}} & H^2(s\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l) \\ \downarrow U_s & & \downarrow U_s \\ H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l) & \xrightarrow{T_s^*} & H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l). \end{array} \quad (3.17)$$

Since the disc $s\mathbb{D}$ contains all zeros of B , the symbol B^{-1} lies in $L^\infty(s\mathbb{T})$, and thus

$$\begin{aligned} (T_s^* f)(z) &= (U_s T_{B^{-1}} U_s^* f)(z) \\ &= (U_s T_{B^{-1}}) f(s^{-1}z) \\ &= U_s \left[P_{H^2(s\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l)} \left(\frac{1}{B(z)} f(s^{-1}z) \right) \right] \\ &= P_{H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l)} \left(\frac{1}{B(sz)} f(z) \right) \\ &= T_{\frac{1}{B(sz)}} f(z) = T_{\overline{B(s^{-1}z)}} f(z), \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

due to the fact $B^{-1}(sz) = \overline{B(s^{-1}z)}$ for $z \in \mathbb{T}$.

Based on the above notations, we present a theorem for nearly T_B^{-1} invariant subspaces in \mathcal{D}_α with $\alpha \in [-1, 0)$ and γ_2 in (3.12).

Theorem 3.7. *For $\alpha \in [-1, 0)$ and $\gamma_2 := (1 - \frac{1}{N+1})^{-\alpha/2}$ with large enough N , let \mathcal{M} be a nonzero nearly T_B^{-1} invariant subspace of \mathcal{D}_α endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_2$ in (3.11) and $G_0 := [g_1, g_2, \dots, g_l]^t$ be a matrix containing an orthonormal basis $(g_i)_{i \in \{1, \dots, l\}}$ of $\mathcal{M} \ominus (\mathcal{M} \cap T_B \mathcal{D}_\alpha)$. Then there exists a linear submanifold $\mathcal{N} \subset H^2(s\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l)$ such that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N} G_0$ on $s\mathbb{D}$ and for all $h \in \mathcal{M}$ there exists $q \in \mathcal{N}$ such that*

$h = qG_0$ on $s\mathbb{D}$ with

$$\|h\|_2 \geq \left(1 - \|\gamma_2^{-1}B\|_{H^\infty(s\mathbb{D})}^2\right)^{1/2} \|q\|_{H^2(s\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l)}.$$

Moreover, \mathcal{N} is invariant under $T_{B^{-1}}$ and then $U_s(\mathcal{N})$ is invariant under $T_s^* := U_s T_{B^{-1}} U_s^*$ in $H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l)$.

Proof. For $\alpha \in [-1, 0)$, the equation (3.14) implies

$$h = \sum_{i=1}^l g_i q_i = qG_0 \text{ on } s\mathbb{D} \quad (3.19)$$

with $q = [q_1, q_2, \dots, q_l]$. The display (3.13) and q_i in (3.15) entail

$$\begin{aligned} \|q_i\|_{H^2(s\mathbb{D})} &= \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_{ki} (\gamma_2^{-1}B)^k \right\|_{H^2(s\mathbb{D})} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |d_{ki}| \|\gamma_2^{-1}B\|_{H^\infty(s\mathbb{D})}^k \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|\gamma_2^{-1}B\|_{H^\infty(s\mathbb{D})}^{2k} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |d_{ki}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(1 - \|\gamma_2^{-1}B\|_{H^\infty(s\mathbb{D})}^2\right)^{-1/2} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |d_{ki}|^2 \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, l$. Thus the above estimations and (3.16) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \|q\|_{H^2(s\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l)}^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^l \|q_i\|_{H^2(s\mathbb{D})}^2 \\ &\leq \left(1 - \|\gamma_2^{-1}B\|_{H^\infty(s\mathbb{D})}^2\right)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |d_{ki}|^2 \\ &\leq \left(1 - \|\gamma_2^{-1}B\|_{H^\infty(s\mathbb{D})}^2\right)^{-1} \|h\|_2^2 < +\infty. \quad (3.20) \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$q = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\gamma_2^{-1}B)^k D_k \in H^2(s\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l),$$

where $D_k = (d_{k1}, d_{k2}, \dots, d_{kl}) \in \mathbb{C}^l$. Hence define a linear submanifold

$$\mathcal{N} := \{q \in H^2(s\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l) : \exists h \in \mathcal{M}, h = qG_0 \text{ on } s\mathbb{D}\}, \quad (3.21)$$

satisfying $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}G_0$ on $s\mathbb{D}$. For all $h \in \mathcal{M}$, (3.20) gives

$$\|h\|_2 \geq \left(1 - \|\gamma_2^{-1}B\|_{H^\infty(s\mathbb{D})}^2\right)^{1/2} \|q\|_{H^2(s\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l)}.$$

Next we show \mathcal{N} is invariant under $T_{B^{-1}}$. Let $T = \gamma_2^{-1}T_B$ in the display (3.2), and then the equation (3.3) with $p = 0$ gives

$$h = Qh + TRh = Qh + \gamma_2^{-1}T_B R h.$$

On $s\mathbb{D}$, the above equation together with (3.19) entail

$$qG_0 = Q(qG_0) + \gamma_2^{-1}T_B R(qG_0) = D_0G_0 + \gamma_2^{-1}BR(qG_0),$$

which further verifies

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_2^{-1}BR(qG_0) &= (q - D_0)G_0 \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\gamma_2^{-1}B)^k D_k\right) G_0 \text{ on } s\mathbb{D}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting B^{-1} act on both sides, it yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_2^{-1}R(qG_0) &= \gamma_2^{-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\gamma_2^{-1}B)^{k-1} D_k\right) G_0 \\ &= \gamma_2^{-1}(\gamma_2 T_{B^{-1}}(q)) G_0 \\ &= (T_{B^{-1}}(q)) G_0, \end{aligned}$$

which together with $\gamma_2^{-1}R(qG_0) \in \mathcal{M}$ entail $T_{B^{-1}}(q) \in \mathcal{N}$ from the definition of \mathcal{N} in (3.21). That means \mathcal{N} is $T_{B^{-1}}$ invariant in $H^2(s\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l)$. Finally, the commutative diagram (3.17) ensures that $T_s^*(U_s(\mathcal{N})) \subset U_s(\mathcal{N})$, ending the proof. \square

In order to illustrate the operator T_s^* given in (3.18), we firstly take a degree-1 Blaschke product $B(z) = (a - z)(1 - \bar{a}z)^{-1}$ with $a \in \mathbb{D}$, it is easy to obtain

$$B(s^{-1}z) = \frac{a - s^{-1}z}{1 - \bar{a}s^{-1}z} = \frac{1}{s} \frac{as - z}{1 - \bar{a}sz} \frac{1 - \bar{a}sz}{1 - \bar{a}s^{-1}z}. \quad (3.22)$$

Since the disc $s\mathbb{D}$ contains the zero of B , that means $|\bar{a}s^{-1}| < 1$, and then the last term in (3.22) is an invertible analytic function on \mathbb{D} . This is to say that $B(s^{-1}z)$ can be written as a degree-1 Blaschke product times an invertible analytic function.

Generally, if B is a degree- m Blaschke product, it can be similarly calculated that

$$B(s^{-1}z) = b(z)F_s(z)$$

with a degree- m Blaschke product $b(z)$ and an invertible analytic function $F_s(z)$ on \mathbb{D} . So $T_s^* = T_{\overline{bF_s}}$ and then $T_s = T_{bF_s}$ on $H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l)$.

Suppose $\mathcal{F} \subset H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l)$ is a T_b invariant subspace, Theorem 1.4 implies $\mathcal{F} = AH^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^l)$ with some T_b -inner operator A . For the special case $l = 1$, it follows that $\mathcal{F} = \theta H^2(\mathbb{D})$ with an inner function θ . The fact $F_s H^2(\mathbb{D}) = H^2(\mathbb{D})$ entails $\theta H^2(\mathbb{D})$ is also a T_{bF_s} -invariant subspace in $H^2(\mathbb{D})$. So the model space K_θ is T_s^* invariant.

In general, there is no simple description for T_s^* -invariant subspaces of $H^2(\mathbb{D})$, although in the finite-dimensional case, elementary linear algebra tells us that they are spanned by generalized eigenvectors of T_s^* , that is, elements of Toeplitz kernels. The paper [2] is relevant here.

Acknowledgments. This work was done while Yuxia Liang was visiting the University of Leeds. She is grateful to the School of Mathematics at the University of Leeds for its warm hospitality. Yuxia Liang is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11701422).

Data availability. All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article.

REFERENCES

- [1] Câmara, M.C., Partington, J.R.: Near invariance and kernels of Toeplitz operators. *Journal d'Analyse Math.* 124, 235–260 (2014)
- [2] Câmara, M.C., Partington, J.R.: Finite-dimensional Toeplitz kernels and nearly-invariant subspaces. *J. Operator Theory.* 75(1), 75–90 (2016)
- [3] Chalendar, I., Chevrot, N., Partington, J.R.: Nearly invariant subspaces for backwards shifts on vector-valued Hardy spaces. *J. Operator Theory.* 63(2), 403–415 (2010)
- [4] Chalendar, I., Gallardo-Gutiérrez, E.A., Partington, J.R.: Weighted composition operators on the Dirichlet space: boundedness and spectral properties. *Math. Ann.* 363, 1265–1279 (2015)
- [5] Gallardo-Gutiérrez, E.A., Partington J.R., Seco, D.: On the wandering property in Dirichlet spaces. *Integral Equations and Operator Theory.* 92, no. 2, paper no. 16 (2020)
- [6] El-Fallah, O., Kellay, K., Mashreghi J., Ransford, T.: *A Primer on the Dirichlet Space.* Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 203, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2014)
- [7] Erard, C.: Nearly invariant subspaces related to multiplication operators in Hilbert spaces of analytic functions. *Integral Equations and Operator Theory.* 50, 197–210 (2004)
- [8] Garcia, S., Mashreghi, J., Ross, W.T.: *Introduction to Model Spaces and Their Operators.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press (2016)
- [9] Hayashi, E.: The kernel of a Toeplitz operator. *Integral Equations and Operator Theory.* 9(4), 588–591 (1986)

- [10] Hitt, D.: Invariant subspaces of \mathcal{H}^2 of an annulus. Pacific J. Math. 134(1), 101–120 (1988)
- [11] Lance, T.L., Stessin, M.I.: Multiplication invariant subspaces of Hardy spaces. Can. J. Math. 49(1), 100–118 (1997)
- [12] Liang, Y., Partington, J.R.: Representing kernels of perturbations of Toeplitz operators by backward shift-invariant subspaces. Integral Equations and Operator Theory. 92, no. 4, paper no. 35 (2020)
- [13] Rosenblum, M., Rovnyak, J.: Hardy classes and operator theory. Oxford University Press, New York (1985)
- [14] Sarason, D.: Nearly invariant subspaces of the backward shift, Contributions to operator theory and its applications (Mesa, AZ, 1987), 481-493, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. 35, Birkhäuser, Basel (1988)
- [15] Sarason, D.: Kernels of Toeplitz operators. Oper. Theory: Adv. Appl. 71, 153–164 (1994)

YUXIA LIANG

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TIANJIN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300387, P.R. CHINA.

Email address: liangyx1986@126.com

JONATHAN R. PARTINGTON

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS, LEEDS LS2 9JT, UNITED KINGDOM.

Email address: J.R.Partington@leeds.ac.uk