



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *In anti-CCP+ at-risk individuals, radiographic bone erosions are uncommon and are not associated with the development of clinical arthritis.*

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:  
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/166595/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Article:**

Di Matteo, A [orcid.org/0000-0003-0867-7051](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0867-7051), Mankia, K, Nam, JL et al. (5 more authors) (2020) In anti-CCP+ at-risk individuals, radiographic bone erosions are uncommon and are not associated with the development of clinical arthritis. *Rheumatology*. ISSN 1462-0324

<https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/keaa761>

---

© 2020, Oxford University Press. This is an author produced version of an article published in *Rheumatology*. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

**Reuse**

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



[eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk)  
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

Table 2. Clinical, demographic and imaging characteristics of the CCP+ at risk individuals with bone erosions on CR who developed IA (“progressors”)

Individual	Age and sex	Hands/feet CR-BE distribution	SENS score (BE)	SvdH score (BE)	US synovitis	US-BE	Radiologist's diagnosis	Hands and/or feet tenderness	EMS (min)	Anti-CCP Ab titre	RF	Smoking	Follow-up duration (days)
1	61, fem	L 5 <sup>th</sup> DIP joint	0	0	Not included	Not included	OA	N	30	High	Pos	Previous	429
2	57, fem	L 3 <sup>rd</sup> DIP and R 5 <sup>th</sup> DIP joints, bilateral 1 <sup>st</sup> MTP joints *, bilateral 5 <sup>th</sup> MTP joints *	4	12	Y	Y (5 <sup>th</sup> MTP joints)	IA	F	60	High	Pos	Never	132
3	73, fem	R STT joint **	1	3	Not included	Not included	OA	H	30	High	Pos	Never	140
4	37, fem	Bilateral 5 <sup>th</sup> MTP joints	2	4	Y	Y	IA	F	30	High	Pos	Previous	110
5	61, fem	L 5 <sup>th</sup> MTP joint	1	3	N	Y	IA	F	10	High	Pos	Previous	366
6	50, fem	L 2 <sup>nd</sup> MTP joint, L 3 <sup>rd</sup> MTP joint #	2	6	NA	NA	IA	N	5	High	Pos	Current	92
7	56, fem	5 <sup>th</sup> MTP joints bilaterally	2	6	Y (R 5 <sup>th</sup> MTP joint)	Y	IA	N	30	High	Pos	Previous	90

Legend. Ab: antibodies, BE: bone erosions, CCP: cyclic citrullinated peptide; CR: conventional radiography, DIP: distal interphalangeal, EMS: early morning stiffness, F: feet, fem: female, IA: inflammatory arthritis, H: hands, L: left, min: minutes, MTP: metatarsophalangeal, N: no, NA: not available, OA: osteoarthritis, pos: positive, R: right, RF: rheumatoid factor, SENS: Simple Erosions Narrowing Score, STT: scaphotrapezio-trapezoid, SvdH: Sharp van der Heijde, US: ultrasound, Y: yes. Joints with \* were tender on physical examination. Joints with # became clinically swollen on physical examination at the time of progression.