

This is a repository copy of *Uses and management of saltmarshes: A global survey*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/163458/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Article:**

MCKinley, Emma, Pages, Jordi, Alexander, Meghan et al. (2 more authors) (2020) Uses and management of saltmarshes: A global survey. *Estuarine coastal and shelf science*. 106840. ISSN 0272-7714

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2020.106840>

---

**Reuse**

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>


**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

# Journal Pre-proof

Uses and management of saltmarshes: A global survey

E. McKinley, J.F. Pagès, M. Alexander, D. Burdon, S. Martino



PII: S0272-7714(19)31095-9

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2020.106840>

Reference: YECSS 106840

To appear in: *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*

Received Date: 20 November 2019

Revised Date: 6 May 2020

Accepted Date: 14 May 2020

Please cite this article as: McKinley, E., Pagès, J.F., Alexander, M., Burdon, D., Martino, S., Uses and management of saltmarshes: A global survey, *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* (2020), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2020.106840>.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

**Author Statement**

**Uses and Management of Saltmarshes: A Global Survey**

**Emma McKinley:** Conceptualization; Methodology; Formal Analysis; Writing - Original Draft; Writing - Review & Editing. **Jordi F. Pagès:** Conceptualization; Methodology; Writing - Original Draft; Writing - Review & Editing. **Meghan Alexander:** Conceptualization; Methodology; Formal Analysis; Writing - Original Draft; Writing - Review & Editing. **Daryl Burdon:** Conceptualization; Methodology; Formal Analysis; Writing - Original Draft; Writing - Review & Editing. **Simone Martino:** Conceptualization; Methodology; Formal Analysis; Writing - Original Draft; Writing - Review & Editing.

Journal Pre-proof

## Uses and Management of Saltmarshes: A Global Survey

McKinley, E.<sup>1\*</sup>, Pagès, J.F.<sup>2,3</sup>, Alexander, M.<sup>4</sup>, Burdon, D.<sup>5,6</sup>, and Martino, S.<sup>7</sup>

\*Corresponding author: [mckinley1@cardiff.ac.uk](mailto:mckinley1@cardiff.ac.uk)

<sup>1</sup>School of Earth and Oceans Sciences, Cardiff University, Main Building, Park Place, Cardiff, CF10 3AT, United Kingdom.

<sup>2</sup>Departament de Biologia Evolutiva, Ecologia i Ciències Ambientals, Institut de Recerca de la Biodiversitat (IRBIO), Universitat de Barcelona, Av. Diagonal, 643, 08028 Barcelona, Spain

<sup>3</sup>Centre d'Estudis Avançats de Blanes (CEAB-CSIC), Accés a la cala Sant Francesc 14, 17300 Blanes, Spain

<sup>4</sup>School of Politics, Philosophy and Language and Communication Studies, University of East Anglia, NR4 7TJ

<sup>5</sup>Institute of Estuarine & Coastal Studies, University of Hull, Hull, United Kingdom, HU6 7RX

<sup>6</sup>Daryl Burdon Ltd., Marine Research, Teaching and Consultancy, Willerby, HU10 6LL, UK.

<sup>7</sup>Department of Environment and Geography, University of York, YO10 5NG, UK

# Uses and Management of Saltmarshes: A Global Survey

## Abstract

Saltmarshes are important coastal fringe ecosystems supporting a myriad of coastal uses and users. However, saltmarshes have undergone a significant period of global decline, losing 25%- 50% of their coverage due to a range of drivers, but mainly as a result of anthropogenic pressures and land-use change. While the value of these coastal systems to society is recognised, global data are fragmented, patchy, and often restricted to local case studies. There is currently no comprehensive understanding of the global variation of ecosystem services, benefits and management practices available. This pioneering study addresses this by investigating the socio-ecological dimension of global variation in ecosystem service provision, and how this is being managed by and for different saltmarsh users. Through a global online questionnaire survey (n=438) targeting professional saltmarsh researchers and practitioners representing 40 countries across 5 continents, this paper presents an overview of saltmarsh ecosystem services, key drivers influencing management and the variation in factors that influence them. Analysis indicates considerable variation, with geographical location ('continent') being the most common moderator, influencing perceptions of saltmarshes, the prioritisation of ecosystem services and management perceptions. Finally, the paper presents a series of recommendations, including the development of an interdisciplinary, international research programme to support restoration and conservation of saltmarshes worldwide.

**Key words: ecosystem management; wetlands; global change; ecosystem services; saltmarsh management**

## 1. Introduction

Coastal ecosystems support some of the most productive and highly valuable natural resources across the globe. These include coastal protection, carbon storage, nutrient cycling, habitat for biodiversity and protected/vulnerable species, nursery habitats for fish, tranquil environments that support societal health and wellbeing, tourism and recreation (Himes-Cornell, et al., 2018; Moser et al., 2012; Barbier, 2011; MEA, 2005), in addition to supporting various livelihoods, particularly within developing contexts (Rebours et al., 2014; Arkema et al., 2015). Understanding stakeholder values and views towards ecosystem services and benefits, and how these might vary across spatial and temporal scales, is essential for effective conservation, management and policy development. The historical exclusion of cultural dimensions from coastal ecosystem decision-making has been shown to hamper conservation goals, produce unaccounted negative impacts to communities (Poe et al., 2014), misidentification of potential conflicts and undermine the operationalisation of integrated management plans (de Jaun et al., 2017). Weak policy and/or poor policy implementation can exacerbate the current trend of unprecedented loss and deterioration impacting coastal systems globally, driven by climate change and anthropogenic pressures (Gedan et al., 2009; Neubauer, 2009; Moser et al., 2012), both contributing to habitat change, biodiversity loss and alteration of ecological functions (IPBES, 2019).

Saltmarshes are not extraneous to this dynamic. Although extremely valuable in contributing to livelihoods locally and globally, saltmarshes are often overlooked ecosystems (Barbier et al., 2011). The database provided by Mcowen et al. (2017), presents the first global estimate of saltmarsh extent, and can help track progress towards global conservation targets set by the Aichi Biodiversity

44 Targets (2011), the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (2015) and the Ramsar  
45 Convention (1971). However, to achieve the goals established by these international agreements,  
46 there is a real need to develop a more comprehensive understanding of the social-ecological  
47 dimension of these fringe systems worldwide. In June 2017, at the UN Ocean Conference, 193  
48 countries expressed their commitment to coastal systems by setting out a guidance to mitigate  
49 against the impacts of climate change, encompassing global saltmarshes. Recognition of the  
50 importance of protecting and restoring coastal systems, such as saltmarshes, is clearly growing.  
51 However, this endeavour requires better awareness of the drivers influencing decision-making and  
52 management, including, the inherent variation in protection and differences in prioritisation of  
53 saltmarsh ecosystem function and services exhibited by different countries globally.

54 Through a global online questionnaire survey, this research targeted respondents representing  
55 professional stakeholders working on/ with or researching saltmarshes in some manner, with  
56 responses received across 40 countries, all populated continents and including 12 countries that  
57 have yet to be the subject of any peer-reviewed saltmarsh publication. This paper examines three  
58 pivotal research questions:


- 59 1) How does the perceived importance of saltmarshes and their ecosystem services vary  
60 globally?
- 61 2) What are the main threats and challenges facing saltmarsh environments?
- 62 3) What is the perceived effectiveness of current saltmarsh management and how does this  
63 vary globally?

64 This study provides a significant contribution to the ongoing development of the global knowledge  
65 base about the management of ecosystem services associated with saltmarsh environments, the  
66 priorities for different professional stakeholder groups, current gaps in research and understanding,  
67 as well as insight into the perceived challenges to effective saltmarsh management. This paper  
68 presents a review of the current literature relating to saltmarshes, their ecosystem services and their  
69 management, before outlining the methodological approach taken to survey a self-selected  
70 community of international and interdisciplinary saltmarsh researchers and practitioners. The paper  
71 draws on the data collected and highlights key trends and drivers influencing global variation in  
72 prioritisation and management of saltmarshes and their ecosystem services. Finally, a series of  
73 recommendations for future work are presented to support ongoing management of global  
74 saltmarshes.

## 75 **2. Global uses and management of saltmarshes**

76 When compared with other coastal habitats, such as seagrass and mangroves, saltmarshes have  
77 received limited research attention with respect to understanding the ecosystem services and  
78 benefits that they deliver (Duarte et al., 2008; Mcowen et al. 2017). However, as seen in other  
79 coastal fringe environments, saltmarshes are highly valuable and productive, contributing a diverse  
80 range of ecosystem services from which society derives numerous benefits in terms of provisioning  
81 (Luisetti et al., 2014), regulating (e.g. Sousa et al., 2010; Beaumont et al., 2014; Himes-Cornell et al.,  
82 2018), and supporting services (e.g. Colclough et al., 2005). Among the regulating services, research  
83 has focused on the quantification and valuation of carbon sequestration (e.g. Macreadie et al., 2017;  
84 Muenzel and Martino, 2018) and flood defence capacity (e.g. Möller et al., 2014; McDonald et al.,  
85 2017). In contrast, cultural ecosystem services have received comparatively less attention. Although  
86 limited, this literature shows that stakeholders tend to attribute high rankings to tourism and

87 recreation (Hutchinson et al., 2012; Sousa et al., 2013; Clemente et al., 2014; Cabral et al. 2014), but  
 88 rarely consider sense of experience (Fletcher et al., 2011; Christie and Raymant, 2012; Carollo et al.,  
 89 2013; da Silva et al., 2014) and spiritual and inspirational benefits (Church et al., 2014). Although  
 90 aspects of wellbeing such as physical and mental health provided by coastal habitats, or “blue  
 91 infrastructure” more generally, have been studied (White et al., 2013; Gascon et al., 2017), and  
 92 although there are some examples of this type of work (see for example, Rendon et al., 2019),  
 93 similar human benefits provided by saltmarshes have not yet been widely reported. A summary of  
 94 the relative importance of saltmarshes in providing intermediate ecosystem services and benefits is  
 95 provided in Figure 1 (after Potts et al., 2014). This figure illustrates the range and relative importance  
 96 of ecosystem services and benefits that saltmarsh provides when compared with other marine and  
 97 coastal habitats in the UK.



**Figure 1. Relative importance (low (inner ring), medium (middle ring), high (outer ring)) of ecosystem services and benefits provided by coastal saltmarsh. Blue = supporting services, Purple = regulating services, Light green =provisioning services, Dark green = cultural services. Services and benefits in bold were not assessed (after Potts et al., 2014).**

98 Globally, saltmarshes, like other coastal systems, have undergone a significant period of decline,  
 99 with between 25% and 50% of their historical coverage lost as a result of anthropogenic pressures  
 100 and changes in land use (Duarte et al., 2008; Gedan et al., 2009; Mcowen et al., 2017). Socio-  
 101 economic drivers such as land reclamation (Rodrigues et al., 2017) and eutrophication (Deegan et al.,  
 102 2012) are among the drivers found to negatively affect saltmarshes, as well as the ongoing impacts  
 103 of climate change (Rocha et al., 2015).

104 Given that pressures on these systems show no sign of abating, there is a growing call to maintain  
 105 and improve (i.e. through restoration) current levels of saltmarsh coverage (Shepard et al., 2011). To  
 106 achieve these goals in a way that is sustainable and effectively managed, it is necessary to inform  
 107 our understanding of the relative role that a range of factors play in the formation of management  
 108 strategies for coastal habitats (Martino et al., 2019). In addition, more efforts to bridge the historic  
 109 gaps between research, policy and practice are necessary (McKinley et al., 2018); therefore, this is  
 110 not just a case of developing new policy – the impact and effectiveness of these new policies must  
 111 also be understood (McKinley and Ballinger, 2018). Despite a growth in participatory approaches in  
 112 saltmarsh management (Burdon et al., 2019), and calls for greater inclusion of research in policy,

113 legislation and practice (Jarvis et al., 2015; Drakou et al., 2017), to date this has had limited impact  
114 on ground-level management of saltmarshes and other coastal environments (Foster et al., 2014).  
115 Reducing the gap between ground-level management and research is a requisite to delivering  
116 effective ecosystem-based management that supports benefits to communities across the globe  
117 (Baulcomb et al., 2015; Hattam et al., 2015a; Bryce et al., 2016; Broszeit et al., 2017).

118 Although there has historically been a greater focus on ecological aspects of management (de Juan  
119 et al., 2017), social factors are increasingly represented in the literature. These are most commonly  
120 accounted for as socio-economic valuation and tend to be dominated by monetary valuation  
121 (Barbier, 2013; Le Gentil and Mongruel, 2015). However, there is a growing recognition that  
122 stakeholders (including communities) and decision-makers may be motivated by non-monetary  
123 drivers; indeed, social and institutional values for many marine ecosystem services often conflict  
124 with economic priorities (Martino et al., 2019). The current challenge is, therefore, to effectively  
125 integrate biophysical, ecological and the socio-economic information (Bennett et al., 201) and to  
126 explore how preferences for different management scenarios are related to drivers of change, and  
127 efficacy of results (benefits) (Fulton et al., 2011). Through an analysis of drivers and impact on  
128 ecosystem services, Rocha et al. (2015) found that many marine regime shifts are caused by multiple  
129 drivers, have multiple consequences and need concerted local, national and international  
130 management action.

131 To date, research into drivers of saltmarsh change, priorities, needs, management strategies,  
132 stakeholder perceptions and values of saltmarsh ecosystem services is fragmented in terms of the  
133 representation of geographies, types of services and stakeholders. A search carried out in “Scopus”  
134 in March 2019 ([www.scopus.com](http://www.scopus.com)) using the keywords “saltmarshes” and “management” for all  
135 countries characterised by the presence of saltmarshes showed that the majority of studies consider  
136 biological and ecological aspects of saltmarsh management, but that only a fraction (1%) examine  
137 the socio-ecological dimensions of saltmarsh ecosystems. In terms of number, 20 papers dealing  
138 with saltmarsh management (be it from an ecological or socioecological point of view) have been  
139 produced in Africa (principally from South Africa), 53 in Asia (mainly from China), 213 in Europe  
140 (mainly from the UK), 234 in the Americas (with particular focus on the USA), and 77 in Oceania  
141 (mainly Australia). Although this finding might, in part, reflect the geographical areas with higher  
142 saltmarsh abundance, it should be noted that the literature is also biased towards those countries  
143 with stronger academic influence.

144 This paper seeks to address these knowledge gaps, providing insights into the current priorities for  
145 saltmarsh management, how priorities vary globally, and will support the development of  
146 recommendations for future work that will continue to address existing gaps in the literature and  
147 evidence base.

148

## 149 **3. Methodology**

150

### 151 **3.1. Questionnaire Development and Sampling**

152 To obtain global responses, a multi-lingual online questionnaire (English, Spanish, Italian, Chinese,  
153 Welsh, French, German and Portuguese) was developed comprising four sections (See SM1). The  
154 questionnaire included a mix of both open and closed questions, providing both quantitative and  
155 qualitative data. Section 1 asked background questions about the respondent, their experience in  
156 saltmarsh management and/or research, and the main geographical location for their work. Section



157 2 posed questions regarding saltmarsh management, drivers influencing management decisions, the  
158 perceived effectiveness of management and key challenges facing saltmarsh management in the  
159 future. Section 3 required respondents to consider the benefits derived from saltmarshes, and to  
160 gauge the importance of these benefits to society. Finally, Section 4 gave respondents an  
161 opportunity to attribute values to ecosystem services provided by saltmarshes through a series of  
162 pairwise comparison-based questions adopted from the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP)  
163 approach.

164 The questionnaire was piloted through the RESILCOAST<sup>1</sup> and CoastWEB<sup>2</sup> project consortia, in  
165 addition to colleagues in academic institutions and key local stakeholders who have experience in  
166 interdisciplinary saltmarsh research. Once translated, each version of the questionnaire was checked  
167 by a native speaker. Respondents were recruited through a self-selection process based on their  
168 access to the online questionnaire. To maximise the geographical reach, the questionnaire was  
169 disseminated between September and November 2018, using Survey Monkey, through the recently  
170 established SaltMarshNet network<sup>3</sup>, the Communication for Sustainability and Management (CMS)  
171 Network<sup>4</sup>, the Marine Social Science Network<sup>5</sup>, and through the research team's own professional  
172 networks. These networks were selected for their diverse membership, comprising both research  
173 and practitioner audiences spanning across geographical regions. The survey intended to target  
174 professional practitioners working on/ with or researching saltmarshes in some way (for example,  
175 this could include a protected area manager, a local authority officer responsible for a saltmarsh site  
176 or a researcher working on any aspect of saltmarshes) and other key beneficiaries using saltmarshes  
177 in some way (e.g. wildfowlers).

## 178 **3.2. Data Analysis**

### 179 **3.2.1. Quantitative data analysis**

181 Initially, a range of different data visualisation methods were used to explore overall trends in the  
182 data set. To statistically examine respondent perceptions towards saltmarsh management and  
183 saltmarsh benefits, one-sample Wilcoxon tests were used to determine if the responses differed  
184 from the mid-point (i.e. 'neither agree nor disagree'; 'somewhat beneficial' or 'important' depending  
185 on the question). Given the non-normal distribution of responses, Wilcoxon tests were the most  
186 appropriate. Further analyses examined the influence of respondents' characteristics in moderating  
187 their answers, using ordinal regression models.

188 Ordinal regressions were used to examine the influence of several moderators on respondents'  
189 perceived importance/level of agreement towards the questions/statements presented. The  
190 moderators included: respondents' place of work (i.e. continent), type of organisation, primary role  
191 within the organisation, duration of respondents' work experience in the area, proportion of their  
192 work that was related to saltmarshes and educational attainment. In all cases, model selection  
193 started with a full model including one response variable and the above-cited moderator variables.

---

<sup>1</sup> <http://www.nrn-lcee.ac.uk/resilcoast/>

<sup>2</sup> <https://www.pml.ac.uk/Research/Projects/CoastWEB>

<sup>3</sup> SaltMarshNet is an international, interdisciplinary network of researchers, representing a range of both natural and social science related academic disciplines from saltmarsh ecology, to sediment process, to ecosystem services assessment, to coastal governance and policy.

<sup>4</sup> CMS is a network of 6,000 researchers and practitioners engaging in marine and coastal issues.

<sup>5</sup> [www.marsocsci.net](http://www.marsocsci.net)

194 Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) and Log-likelihood ratio tests were then used to eliminate non-  
195 significant variables one by one until no more variables could be removed (Zuur et al., 2009).  
196 Assumptions of proportional odds and scale effects were tested, and care was taken to discard any  
197 ordinal regression with a Hessian number  $> 10,000$ , which is a sign of non-identifiable models  
198 (Christensen, 2019). Finally, Chi-squared tests were applied to examine geographical variation in the  
199 responses, whenever the response variable was nominal.

200 All analyses were run in R (R Development Core 2018). Additionally, the package Ordinal was used to  
201 run ordinal regressions (Christensen, 2019). The R scripts used to run all of the analyses reported are  
202 available as a GitHub repository ([https://github.com/jordipages-](https://github.com/jordipages-repo/smnet_globalQ_data_analysis)  
203 [repo/smnet\\_globalQ\\_data\\_analysis](https://github.com/jordipages-repo/smnet_globalQ_data_analysis)).

204 Further analysis was carried out on the pairwise comparisons. Originally developed by Saaty (1980),  
205 Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) is a flexible multi-criteria analysis method that encourages  
206 respondents to consider trade-offs between different 'attributes' or elements – in the case of this  
207 work, these attributes were six categories of ecosystem services provided by saltmarshes (as  
208 outlined in McKinley et al., 2018). Although initially designed for one individual respondent, the AHP  
209 process has been used in a range of studies to elucidate non-monetary values relating to  
210 environmental issues within group settings (Duke and Aull-Hyde, 2002; and see Huang et al., 2011  
211 for examples). For a detailed description of the methodology, see Innes and Pascoe (2010) and Duke  
212 and Aull-Hyde (2002).

213 Respondents were asked to indicate their preferences across six categories of saltmarsh derived  
214 ecosystem services, namely: 'Coastal Protection', 'Carbon Storage', 'Food Production' (including  
215 agricultural and foraging uses), 'Fisheries', 'Human Connection to the Marsh' (a broad category  
216 taking account of cultural ecosystem services), and 'Biodiversity and Natural Landscape'. The  
217 descriptions of ecosystem services provided to respondents is presented in SM2.


### 218 **3.2.2. Qualitative data analysis**

219 The open-ended questions allowed respondents to articulate their views on the priorities and  
220 perceived effectiveness of saltmarsh management, as well as the threats and/or challenges to the  
221 sustainable use of saltmarshes. To identify key priorities, the survey asked respondents to list their  
222 top three priorities for saltmarsh management in their region. Based on the responses received,  
223 themes were identified and used to transform the qualitative data into categorical data, thereby  
224 enabling further statistical exploration of the dataset. In contrast, questions relating to management  
225 effectiveness and threats and challenges to sustainable saltmarsh use often inspired more detailed  
226 responses, therefore the qualitative integrity and richness of the dataset was maintained and was  
227 not transformed into categorical data. These data were analysed inductively using the qualitative  
228 data analysis computer software, NVivo (QSR International), and were subject to thematic coding to  
229 identify recurring themes through iterative first and second cycle coding (Bryman, 2016).

## 230 **4. Results**

### 231 **4.1. Respondent Profile**

232 A total of 438 questionnaires were completed, representing individuals working on saltmarsh in 40  
233 different countries across 5 continents. Most respondents worked in European countries (71%),  
234 followed by America (20%), Africa (4%), Australia (3%) and Asia (2%). With respect to individual  
235 countries, respondents working on UK saltmarshes (32%), USA saltmarshes (15%) and Italian  
236 saltmarshes (14%) dominated the sample (Figure 2 and in Table SM3).



237

238 Figure 2. Map showing the distribution of respondents per country.


239 The questionnaire was completed by representatives of a range of organisations, including  
 240 academics (27%) and civil servants (24%) but also included responses from research Institutions  
 241 (11%), non-profit organisations (10%), local government (7%), Non-Governmental Organisations  
 242 (6%), private sector (5%) and wildfowling (4%). The majority of respondents (65%) had been working  
 243 on saltmarshes for over 5 years (41% over ten years) with their main focus on conservation (20%),  
 244 research (18%), habitat restoration (13%), natural resource management (10%), and public  
 245 engagement, education and outreach (9%).

#### 246 **4.2. How does the perceived importance of saltmarshes and their ecosystem** 247 **services vary globally?**

248 Using the AHP methodology, the research examined how potential differences in prioritisation or  
 249 preference towards different ecosystem services might influence management decisions globally.  
 250 AHP data provided 183 valid responses (i.e. individuals who provided a response to every pairwise  
 251 comparison set), representing 42% of the total respondent sample. Analysis of this data found that,  
 252 overall, these respondents rated 'Natural diversity' and 'Landscape and Coastal protection' as the  
 253 top two priorities (with 26% and 25% respectively); this was followed by 'Fisheries' (18%) and  
 254 'Carbon Storage' (15%), with the lowest levels of priority allocated to 'Human connection to the  
 255 marsh' (10%) and 'Food Production' (6%). Further analysis will be conducted on these variations  
 256 within this data set for a later publication and have therefore not been included in this paper.

257 These trends of preferences were further examined through a series of statement-based questions,  
 258 whereby respondents were required to indicate the level of importance of a range of ecosystem


259 services and benefits derived from saltmarshes (framework taken from McKinley et al., 2018).  
 260 Interestingly, there were some differences in the data collected from these questions to the views  
 261 collected through the AHP. For example, the benefits most widely identified included a 'sense of  
 262 place and cultural connections' – represented by the 'human connection to the marsh' which  
 263 received the second lowest rating in the AHP. Conversely, the second most commonly identified  
 264 benefit was being a 'natural landscape', which was rated as one of the top two priorities in the  
 265 pairwise comparisons. Other widely acknowledged benefits were 'Fisheries/fish nursery habitats',  
 266 'Provision of habitat for natural biodiversity', 'Physical and mental health', 'Water quality regulation',  
 267 'Recreation', followed by 'coastal protection'. Conversely, 'disease/pest regulation', 'Agriculture',  
 268 'Pollination' and 'Wild food foraging and/or wild fowling' (as mirrored in the pairwise comparison  
 269 findings) were the least frequently identified benefits (Figure 3).



270

271 Figure 3: Relative frequency (%) of perceived benefits and services provided by saltmarsh in the  
 272 regions respondents are working in. Colour codes: blue = no, green = yes.


273 Chi-squared tests were used to identify geographical differences in the perceived benefits provided  
 274 by saltmarshes (Figure 4). From the 16 benefits assessed, 12 of them were influenced by the  
 275 continent respondents worked on, except 'inspiration for the arts', 'climate regulation',  
 276 'fisheries/fish nursery habitats' and 'disease/pest regulation' (Figure 4). As an example, agriculture  
 277 was widely identified as a benefit provided by saltmarshes in Europe and Asia, but less so in America,  
 278 Australia or Africa. It is interesting to note that not a single respondent from Asia identified  
 279 saltmarshes as contributing to 'sense of place/cultural' and 'physical & mental health'.



280

281 Figure 4: Influence of continent on respondents' perceptions of saltmarsh ecosystem services and  
 282 benefits. Asterisks indicate a significant effect of continent on the associated saltmarsh benefit,  
 283 according to a chi-squared test. Significance codes  $p < 0.001$  '\*\*\*',  $p < 0.01$  '\*\*',  $p < 0.05$  '\*'. Colour  
 284 codes: blue = no, green = yes.

285 Regarding the contributions of saltmarshes to society, respondents tended to agree with all of the  
 286 statements provided (all significantly different from the midpoint according to Wilcoxon tests  
 287 [midpoint being neither agree or disagree], presented in Figure SM4), and for most statements less  
 288 than 2 % of respondents were unsure. Respondents indicated lower levels of certainty to statements  
 289 related to the spiritual, sacred and religious values and on whether saltmarshes improve water  
 290 quality.



291  
 292 Figure 5: Respondents' agreement to the perceived contribution of saltmarshes to society (left  
 293 panel). Right panel indicates the % of respondents that answered "unsure" for each of the  
 294 statements. We tested if these perceptions differed from the midpoint ("Neither agree or disagree")  
 295 with a Wilcoxon test (see Figure SM4).

296 The geographic variable (i.e. continent) was shown to moderate participants' perceptions regarding  
 297 the contribution of saltmarshes to society (Table 1). In particular, respondents working in Europe  
 298 were less likely to agree to some of the statements. Overall, levels of agreement to these statements  
 299 were very high, meaning that the effect of working in Europe was more likely a moderation of very  
 300 high ratings rather than these respondents giving negative ratings. This may be due to the majority  
 301 of respondents being from Europe, which might make this group more buffered against extremes.  
 302 Level of education was also found to be an important moderator of the levels of agreement to  
 303 saltmarsh contributions to society (Table 1). In general, lower levels of education decreased the  
 304 likelihood of agreeing to the statements, and having a PhD increased the likelihood of agreeing more  
 305 to the statements. Finally, the type of organisation for which the respondents worked influenced  
 306 their levels of agreement as well; respondents affiliated in research institutions or private companies  
 307 were less likely to give high ratings of agreement to the statements.


308

309 Table 1: Influence of respondent characteristics on perceptions of the contribution of saltmarshes to  
 310 society in respondents' regions. Green cells indicate those levels from the different predictor  
 311 variables that increased the odds of giving high ratings to the statements. Red cells indicate those  
 312 levels from the different predictor variables that decreased the odds of giving high ratings to the  
 313 statements. Grey cells indicate predictor variables that were dropped during ordinal model selection  
 314 for each statement.

Question/statements to rate (response variables)	Respondents' characteristics that moderated the responses (predictor variables)					
	Organisation	Primary role within organisation	Duration of your work in this area	% of your work related to marshes	Continent	Highest level of education
Please indicate how much you agree with the following statements about saltmarshes in your region and their contribution to society:						
Saltmarshes are important for food provision						
Saltmarshes offer protection from flooding	Research Private					Diploma, secondary or lower
Saltmarsh plants are a valuable resource					Europe	
Saltmarshes provide food and shelter for young fish					Europe	Masters
Saltmarshes can help to prevent coastal erosion						
Saltmarshes are important habitats for wildlife including birds, mammals and invertebrates.	Private Research					Diploma, secondary or lower
Saltmarshes improve water quality	Private Research				Europe	PhD
Saltmarshes are important for societal health and wellbeing					Europe	
Saltmarshes are a valuable environment for recreation and tourism					Europe	Other
Saltmarshes are important to protect cultural heritage	Private Research					PhD
Saltmarshes are important to inspire culture art and design						
Saltmarshes are important for a sense of place						
Saltmarshes are important for spiritual sacred and religious values					Europe	

315  
 316 Respondents considered all of the saltmarsh benefits and services presented to be beneficial  
 317 (significantly different from the midpoint [somewhat beneficial], according to a Wilcoxon test; Figure  
 318 SM5), with the exception of '[being] agricultural land', which was the service with the lowest level of  
 319 importance, according to respondents (i.e. not significantly different than somewhat beneficial). In  
 320 contrast, respondents identified [being] habitats for biodiversity, natural landscapes, and nursery  
 321 habitats as the most beneficial services provided by saltmarshes. Respondents also perceived  
 322 recreation as a very important service provided by saltmarshes, and with a high level of confidence.  
 323 Services related to coastal protection were also considered very beneficial. Interestingly, there was  
 324 higher level of uncertainty around pollination as a service provided by saltmarshes, despite being  
 325 considered moderately beneficial (17 % selected unsure).

326



327  
 328 Figure 6: Perceived value attributed to the benefits and services provided by saltmarshes (left panel).  
 329 Right panel indicates the % of respondents that answered “unsure” for each of the  
 330 benefits/services. Each statement was analysed with a Wilcoxon test (see Figure SM5)

331 By subjecting the data to ordinal regression, the continent where respondents worked was again  
 332 found to be an important moderator (Table 2). Specifically, the likelihood of attributing high levels of  
 333 importance to the benefits of tourism, ‘reducing the impacts of waste production’, and ‘health and  
 334 wellbeing’ was seen to be lower for respondents working in Australia and Europe. Similar results  
 335 were also observed for respondents working in Australia and services relating to ‘environmental  
 336 education’ and ‘reducing climate change impacts’. In contrast, the likelihood of assigning high ratings  
 337 towards agricultural land was greatest amongst those working in Europe and Asia, while those  
 338 working in America rated ‘wild food and foraging’ more highly. Respondents’ highest level of  
 339 education, percentage of their worktime devoted to saltmarshes, their primary role in their  
 340 organisation and organisation type were also found to influence respondents’ views towards some  
 341 of the ecosystem services presented (Table 2).

342



343 Table 2: Summary of respondent characteristics and their moderating effect on perceptions of  
 344 saltmarsh services and benefits. Legend as in Table 1.

Question/statements to rate (response variables)	Respondents' characteristics that moderated the responses (predictor variables)					
	Organisation	Primary role within organisation	Duration of your work in this area	% of your work related to marshes	Continent	Highest level of education
Please indicate the level of importance of the benefits and services provided by saltmarshes to society:						
Recreation e.g. birdwatching						
Tourism					Australia Europe	
Coastal protection from flooding				50-75%		
Habitats for biodiversity	The model is overidentified (Hessian > 10 000)					
Reducing impacts of waste and pollution					Australia Europe	Diploma, secondary or lower
Health and Wellbeing					Australia Europe	Masters PhD Other
Agricultural land					Asia Europe	
Natural landscape	The model is overidentified (Hessian > 10 000)					
Nursery habitats for fisheries	The model is overidentified (Hessian > 10 000)					
Pollination	The model is overidentified (Hessian > 10 000)					
Environmental Education					Australia	
Carbon Storage						
Prevention of coastal erosion						
Reducing climate change impacts		Policy/strategic planning Researcher		25-50% 50-75%	Australia	
Wild food and foraging	Other				America	

345

346


### 347 4.3. What are the main threats and challenges facing saltmarsh 348 environments?

349 Respondents were asked to give an indication of their views on the global status of saltmarsh  
 350 management, drivers of impacts, level of protection and benefits – this is summarised in Figure 7.  
 351 This information provided valuable insight into the perceived threats and challenges facing  
 352 saltmarshes globally. Respondents agreed or even strongly agreed with most of the statements  
 353 about saltmarshes, the threats they face and their management (scores were significantly different  
 354 than the midpoint [neither agree nor disagree], according to a Wilcoxon test, see Figure SM6). The  
 355 highest levels of agreement are seen for factors pertaining to wider views towards management  
 356 (e.g. resourcing), and threats from sea level rise and climate change. In contrast, respondents' views  
 357 were split for the statements 'Saltmarshes are effectively protected by existing legislation' and  
 358 'Changing climates can be positive for coastal areas' (overall views did not differ from the midpoint  
 359 [neither agree or disagree] for these statements, according to Wilcoxon tests; see Figure SM6)  
 360 Continent, type of organisation and education were also identified as important moderators (Table  
 361 3).

362

363

364



365  
 366 Figure 7: Respondent agreement to statements on saltmarsh management and future threats (left  
 367 panel). Right panel indicates the % of respondents that answered “unsure” for each of the  
 368 benefits/services. Each statement was analysed with a Wilcoxon test (see Figure SM6).

369

370 Table 3: Summary of respondent characteristics and their moderating effect on perceptions of the  
 371 management of saltmarshes and the threats they face. Legend as in Table 1.

Question/statements to rate (response variables)	Respondents' characteristics that moderated the responses (predictor variables)					
	Organisation	Primary role within organisation	Duration of your work in this area	% of your work related to marshes	Continent	Highest level of education
Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements about saltmarshes, the threats they face and their management:						
Saltmarshes are effectively protected by existing legislation					Europe	
Saltmarshes are impacted by sea level rise	Private Research					
Saltmarshes are an under-valued resource					Asia	
Climate change means there is a need to ensure saltmarshes are well managed				10-25% >75%	Europe	Masters PhD Other
Changing climates can be positive for coastal areas						
Saltmarshes are impacted by urban development					Europe	PhD Diploma, secondary or lower
The need to conserve and create saltmarshes is recognised in policy						
There are a lack of resources for conserving saltmarshes	Private					
There is a need to improve the monitoring of saltmarshes					Europe	Diploma, secondary or lower
Saltmarsh restoration activities have been successful in re-establishing degraded wetlands						
There is a need for a more holistic approach to management, taking the entire catchment into consideration.						PhD
Restoring sediment inputs is crucial for the maintenance of salt marshes under increasing sea levels	Other					

372

373 Further insights into the perceived threats and challenges facing the sustainability of saltmarshes  
 374 and their usage, were furnished through qualitative analysis. Using a process of thematic coding and  
 375 categorisation, a total of 36 different themes were identified, with the top ten themes outlined in  
 376 Table 4. The results show that the biggest threat or challenge to sustainable saltmarsh use is  
 377 attributed to sea level rise, followed by anthropogenic pressures related to development and  
 378 different uses/users of saltmarshes and neighbouring spaces. Interestingly the themes reflect a  
 379 combination of physical/natural driven processes and anthropogenic pressures. Issues related to  
 380 management practices and governance were also found to be embedded in numerous themes (see  
 381 more detailed codebook in Table SM7), with issues related to resource constraints, societal and  
 382 political interest, implementation and enforcement of policies/legislation and managing conflicting  
 383 priorities. It is noteworthy that concerns related to societal interest and attitudes towards  
 384 saltmarshes appear fairly high on the list, with one respondent commenting that '*saltmarshes are a*  
 385 *forgotten habitat*', suggesting the need to raise awareness of the different values and benefits on  
 386 saltmarsh ecosystems within society.

387

388 Table 4: Top ten themes identified as threats or challenges facing the sustainable use of saltmarshes  
 389 (N=288). Note: This analysis looked at responses on a country level to provide a more nuanced  
 390 understanding of the responses.

Code (theme)	Example	No. of mentions	Countries
Sea level rise	<i>SLR; coastal squeeze and lack of landward migration zones</i>	75	France, Germany, Italy, Netherlands, Portugal, South Africa, Spain, Taiwan, U.K., U.S., multiple regions
Development pressures, urbanisation and hardened shorelines (Main theme)	<i>...The dynamic system being constrained by hardening of shoreline is a major threat.</i>	54	Argentina, Australia, Bahamas, East and West Asia, France, Indonesia, Italy, Pakistan, Poland, South Africa, Spain, U.K., U.S., Uruguay, multiple regions
Impact & management of different users/uses or anthropogenic impacts more broadly	<i>Over-exploitation by the daily use of these areas as a playground for mountain bikers, joggers and dog walkers.</i>	40	Australia, China, France, Italy, Netherlands, Portugal, Spain, U.K., U.S., multiple regions
Climate change	<i>Climate Change, sea level rise and lack of management measures</i>	38	Argentina, Australia, France, Germany, Italy, Netherlands, Pakistan, Spain, Taiwan, U.K., U.S.
Management measures, approaches and spatial scale (sub-theme of "Governance matters")	<i>Saltmarsh should not be considered something we can USE; it passively provides its services best when left alone and allowed to migrate landward at its own pace. Doing so will allow us to move communities away from flood effected areas as put simply saltmarsh represents the maximal extent that seawater can reach</i>  <i>Money available to manage, protect and re-create the habitat. Better knowledge of success of creation sites as well as an understanding of what is taking place across a whole estuary</i>	30	France, Germany, India, Italy, Netherlands, South Africa, Spain, Taiwan, UK
Nutrients, water quality and pollution	<i>Chemical, plastic and nutrient pollution</i>  <i>Pollution run off from farm land</i>  <i>Invasive species, urbanization and discharge of pollutants</i>	30	Argentina, France, Germany, Italy, Netherlands, Poland, South Africa, Spain, Uruguay, U.S., U.K.
Physical processes and factors influencing saltmarshes	<i>Biophysical variability and influence on biogeochemical cycles</i>  <i>in Venice: imbalance of sediment budget, focus on technical solutions</i>	26	Belgium, Germany, Italy, Netherlands, South Africa, Spain, U.K., U.S.

Code (theme)	Example	No. of mentions	Countries
	<i>for flood prevention (MOSE project), hydrological changes of the entire lagoon (shipping channels, relocation of rivers)</i>		
Societal interest, attitudes & awareness of saltmarshes and their different values	<i>Ignorance; saltmarshes are the forgotten habitat, with little public appreciation or appeal.</i>  <i>Public sentiments towards marshes, which affects politicians and therefore policy implementation / conservation</i>	25	Australia, Brazil, France, India, Italy, U.S., Poland, Senegal, Spain, U.K., multiple regions
Resource & capacity constraints	<i>lack of resources for management at required levels</i>  <i>Financial restrictions and limitations</i>	21	Australia, South Africa, U.S., U.K.
Coastal Squeeze (Main theme)	<i>Coastal squeeze is impacting on saltmarshes by limiting the ability to roll back and preventing natural coastal processes</i>	18	Australia, Netherlands, South Africa, U.S., U.K.

391 \*Note that entries may have been coded at more than one code accordingly

392 \* 'multiple regions' refers to participants that have listed multiple locations within which their work is situated.

393 \* Countries are listed in alphabetical order.


394

#### 395 **4.4. What is the perceived effectiveness of current saltmarsh** 396 **management and how does this vary globally?**

397 To address the third research question, the survey elicited views on the perceived priorities and  
398 drivers influencing saltmarsh management, drawing insight as to how these might influence  
399 management decisions and their effectiveness, as well as the perceived effectiveness of saltmarsh  
400 management overall.

401 Analysis found that respondents regarded the majority of priorities presented as important for  
402 saltmarsh management in their region, particularly coastal protection, flooding from sea and  
403 prevention/mitigation of biodiversity loss (scores significantly higher than 'important' according to  
404 the Wilcoxon test, Figure SM8). Conversely, managing invasive species, protection against flooding  
405 from land and minimising other environmental hazards were perceived as the least important  
406 (Figure 8, Figure SM8 and Table 5). It should be noted that 3-12% of the respondents answered  
407 unsure depending on the statement.

408



409

410

411 Figure 8: Level of importance attributed to priorities of saltmarsh management (left panel). Right  
 412 panel indicates the % of respondents that answered “unsure” for each of the priorities. Each  
 statement was analysed with a Wilcoxon test (see Figure SM8).

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

Further ordinal regression analysis was conducted to identify potential moderating factors of the perceived importance of the management priorities presented (Table 5). Again, differences were observed in relation to continent, percentage of work time devoted to saltmarshes and educational attainment. For example, respondents working in Europe, America and Australia were more likely to give high ratings to the priority of coastal protection, while respondents working in Australia perceived the priority of ‘managing invasive/non-native species’ as more important than other respondents.

420

421 Table 5: Influence of respondent characteristics on saltmarsh management priorities. Legend as in  
 422 Table 1.

Question/statements to rate (response variables)	Respondents' characteristics that moderated the responses (predictor variables)					
	Organisation	Primary role within organisation	Duration of your work in this area	% of your work related to marshes	Continent	Highest level of education
What are the main priorities influencing saltmarsh management in your region? For this question we are interested in the priorities that have been set by policymakers.						
Protect against inundation caused by sea level rise						
Protect against loss of land and property due to erosion				>75%	America Australia Europe	
Protect against flooding from the sea (e.g., tidal, storm surge)						
Protect against flooding from land (e.g., flash floods, river/estuarine floods)						
Minimise other environmental hazards (e.g., disease)				10-25% 25-50%		Masters PhD
Prevent or mitigate biodiversity loss					America	
Reduce impacts of natural resource exploitation	Non-profit Research					
Minimise the threat and impact of pollution (e.g. heavy metals and contaminants)				10-25% 25-50% 50-75%		
Reduce the risks of excess nutrient loading				25-50%		
Support climate regulation e.g. carbon storage						Diploma, secondary or lower
Reduce loss of land and land reclamation			5-10 years >10 years			Diploma, secondary or lower
Managing invasive/ non-native species				>75% 10-25%	Australia	
Support and improve sense of identity and place						

423

424 Rather than restricting respondents to specific options, a further question was included in the survey  
 425 to directly ask respondents to identify the top three priorities for saltmarsh management in their  
 426 region (Figure SM9). On the basis of this analysis, 33 distinct themes were identified, thus  
 427 demonstrating the considerable diversity of priorities that concern saltmarsh management  
 428 worldwide. In particular, the reduction, maintenance, enhancement and conservation of  
 429 biodiversity, habitats and specific species was found to be a prominent management concern across  
 430 all regions. This was followed by i) erosion prevention and management (both in terms of the  
 431 shoreline and saltmarshes themselves, ii) matters around flood risk management and iii) responding  
 432 to sea level rise. General management concerns were expressed around coastal protection, defence  
 433 and adaptation, as well as restoring or allowing saltmarshes to migrate landward in response to sea  
 434 level rise and coastal squeeze. A range of issues were further raised relating to ecosystem functions  
 435 and processes underpinning healthy functioning saltmarshes (e.g. geomorphological, hydrological,  
 436 sediment supply).

437

438 In terms of the factors driving management globally, respondents perceived national/federal policy  
 439 and legislation, state/regional policies and the availability of financial and monitoring resources as  
 440 key drivers influencing saltmarsh management in their region (all significantly higher than the  
 441 midpoint [important] according to the Wilcoxon test, see Figure SM10). Local policies were also

442 perceived as being very important to management. The drivers with lower ratings in influencing  
 443 saltmarsh management were cultural myths and traditions, international policy, and local champions  
 444 and/or community action groups – although all of them were still considered important. Note there  
 445 was some variability on the amount of uncertainty for each driver, with 5-10% of respondents  
 446 leaving the ratings as ‘unsure’. Again, trends were moderated by respondents’ characteristics,  
 447 particularly by their geographical area of expertise (Table 6). For example, respondents who  
 448 indicated they worked in America were less likely to perceive international policy as a key driver of  
 449 saltmarsh management, while Europeans were less likely to perceive national policy legislation as an  
 450 important driver.

451 Table 6: Influence of respondent characteristics on drivers of saltmarsh management. Legend as in  
 452 Table 1.

Question/statements to rate (response variables)	Respondents' characteristics that moderated the responses (predictor variables)					
	Organisation	Primary role within organisation	Duration of your work in this area	% of your work related to marshes	Continent	Highest level of education
What are the main drivers influencing saltmarsh management in your region?						
International policy (e.g., IPCC)					America	
National/federal policy and legislation					Europe	
Policies of your state/region		Field/Park Ranger or Warden				
Local policies (specific to village, council, national park, etc)					Australia	Diploma, secondary or lower Masters
Cultural myths and traditions			1-5 years 5-10 years >10 years			Diploma, secondary or lower Masters
Local champions and/or community action groups			5-10 years		Europe	
Availability of financial resources						
Availability of resources (equipment/ personnel) to carry out monitoring for management					Europe	


453

454 Only 11% of the respondents said there was no form of land protection for the areas they were  
 455 working in, whereas 55% and 52% of respondents worked within sites listed within the RAMSAR  
 456 convention or other supranational legislation respectively, or within areas designated for their  
 457 national (46%) or local importance (56%). 30% of respondents acknowledged the existence of  
 458 privately-owned protected areas in their areas of work.

459 These results were moderated by continent (Figure 9). Specifically, national protection was widely  
 460 perceived as a driver influencing saltmarsh management in Australia more than any other region,  
 461 private protection was mainly considered in America and Europe, areas designated under the  
 462 RAMSAR Convention were least considered in America, subnational protection was least considered  
 463 in Asia, and supranational protection was highly considered in Europe but not in the rest of regions.

464





465  
 466 Figure 9: Influence of geographical location (continent) on saltmarsh protection. Asterisks indicate a  
 467 significant effect of continent on the associated form of land protection, according to a Chi-squared  
 468 test. Significance codes  $p < 0.001$  '\*\*\*',  $p < 0.01$  '\*\*',  $p < 0.05$  '\*'.

469 Further analysis found that 75% of respondents acknowledged that the protection measures  
 470 examined above led to some limitation in the uses of saltmarshes. This again differed according to  
 471 the continent, with only half of the respondents in Asia acknowledging some limitations in their  
 472 areas of work (supplementary Figure SM11).

473

#### 474 4.4.1. Factors influencing the perceived effectiveness of saltmarsh management

475

476 Respondents were asked to rate the perceived effectiveness of saltmarsh management in their  
 477 region, based on a Likert 1 to 5 scale (where 1 is not effective and 5 is very effective). The results are  
 478 illustrated in Figure 10. Only 27% of respondents regard current management to be effective or  
 479 highly effective, compared to 57% who feel that saltmarsh management is either not, or only  
 480 somewhat, effective. Interestingly, the only moderator of perceived effectiveness of saltmarsh  
 481 management, identified in the ordinal regressions, was the primary role of the respondents within  
 482 their organisation: with policy/strategic planners more likely to perceive saltmarsh management as  
 483 more effective.



Figure 10: The perceived effectiveness of saltmarsh management (N=313)

Respondents were invited to explain the reason for their answer. This was an optional question that received 133 responses. Responses were split between those who regarded saltmarsh management to be effective/very effective (N=83), ineffective/somewhat effective (N=178) and neither effective or ineffective responses (N=52) and coded within these categories (and organised thematically therein).

Perceived effectiveness was largely attributed to strong arrangements of '*governance, regulation and legislation*', this included references to strong partnerships between key actors and protections mandated through legislation (see quote below and Table 7). Another recurring theme centred on the '*active management, restoration, maintenance and monitoring*' of saltmarsh environments, as well as effective '*protection, conservation and restoration*'. Signs of '*visible success*' were also mentioned, while one respondent also commented on the importance of awareness – "*its benefits are well known, so taking care and protection is common practice*".

*All Dutch saltmarshes are being mapped each 6 yrs. Each 6 yrs. the monitoring results are being reported for WFD, N2000, TMAP and PAS (national nitrogen vs habitat types program). If the results are negative within the aims there has to be managing solutions (protection groins, grazing, mowing etc.).*

Despite regarding saltmarsh management to be (highly) effective, this group of respondents still offered some negative reflections and considered ongoing threats or pressures (such as urban development or sea level rise), or calls for improvement, such as the need for further research or more consistent practices. These issues were reflected upon in greater depth by those respondents who regarded current management to be ineffective. A central theme was around the '*lack of requirement, regulation, legislation or enforcement*' (N=13), such as enforcement of certain activities or public access, or absence of specific protections for saltmarshes. The second most frequent theme focused on the '*limited success or visible failure*' of current management approaches, followed by '*resource constraints*', particularly in terms of financial resources. Despite perceiving saltmarsh management to be ineffective, certain respondents offered some positive reflections on current management practices and remarked on the growing interest from government agencies or good monitoring, to name a few. Other topics included poor management or governance, inconsistency, conflicting priorities and the narrow focus of saltmarsh management (Table 7).

522  
523 Table 7: Top ten codes identified regarding perceived effectiveness of saltmarsh management. Listed  
524 in descending order.  
525

Perceived effectiveness (respondents gave a score of 4 or 5)	Neither effective nor ineffective (respondent gave a score of 3)	Perceived ineffectiveness (respondents gave a score of 1 or 2)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Negative reflections – ongoing threats, pressures or caveats;</li> <li>▪ Good governance, regulation and legislation;</li> <li>▪ Active management, restoration, maintenance and monitoring;</li> <li>▪ Protection, conservation and restoration;</li> <li>▪ Visible success;</li> <li>▪ Awareness of saltmarsh benefits and value.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Ongoing pressures;</li> <li>▪ Social acceptability &amp; interest;</li> <li>▪ Limited management;</li> <li>▪ Limited improvement, continued deterioration or loss;</li> <li>▪ Inconsistency;</li> <li>▪ <i>{All other categories thereafter tied N =1}</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Lack of requirement, regulation, legislation or enforcement;</li> <li>▪ Limited success or visible failure;</li> <li>▪ Resource constraints;</li> <li>▪ Poor management or governance;</li> <li>▪ Inconsistency;</li> <li>▪ Positive reflections;</li> <li>▪ Ongoing threats and pressures;</li> <li>▪ Conflicting priorities;</li> <li>▪ Narrow focus;</li> <li>▪ <i>{10th place tied between}</i> –               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Gaps in knowledge and understanding;</li> <li>▪ Lack of awareness of saltmarsh benefits and value;</li> <li>▪ Limited effectiveness of approaches &amp; monitoring;</li> <li>▪ Scale misalignment.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

526

## 527 5. Discussion

528 Coastal environments are under increasing threats, not least from climate change, increased coastal  
529 populations and environmental degradation. Despite these seemingly unsurmountable challenges,  
530 there are efforts underway to address these ‘wicked’ problems and deliver management and policy  
531 that is sustainable and future-proofed to protect coastal environments. The implementation and  
532 delivery of international conservation policy (including, for example, the RAMSAR Declaration (1971)  
533 and the more recent Aichi Biodiversity Targets (2011) and UN Sustainable Development Goals  
534 (2015), requires an holistic and interdisciplinary understanding of saltmarsh ecosystems and their  
535 management; yet to date, saltmarshes have typically represented an under-studied coastal fringe  
536 system and the subject of predominantly natural science-based research. While efforts have been  
537 made to document the global distribution of saltmarsh environments (Mcowen et al., 2017), there  
538 has been no attempt to complement these data with additional information on the global  
539 distribution of ecosystem services/benefits or crucially the perceived threats, challenges, priorities  
540 and effectiveness of saltmarsh management.

541 In order to deliver on international goals, understanding global variation is crucial, particularly given  
542 that timeframes, priorities and scales of work and interest across the science-policy-practice  
543 interface vary, and that mismatches often occur between researchers and practitioners (Jarvis et al.,  
544 2015). This paper provides the first global overview of these issues, drawing from the perspective of  
545 professional stakeholders and provides insight into the similarities and differences of saltmarsh-  
546 related ecosystem services, threats/challenges and the perceived effectiveness and priorities for  
547 saltmarsh management. Reflecting on the three research questions presented in the introduction,

548 the next section discusses the key observations, taking account the limitations of the research and  
549 implications for future research needs and saltmarsh management.

550 *How does the importance of saltmarshes and their ecosystem services vary globally?*

551 Firstly, it is important to note that the overall perception of the importance of ecosystem services  
552 and benefits derived from saltmarshes varied across respondents. The benefits most commonly  
553 positively identified by respondents spanned a diverse range of ecosystem services and benefits –  
554 for example supporting ‘fisheries and providing fish nursery habitats’, ‘provision of habitat for  
555 natural biodiversity’, supporting ‘Physical and mental health’, supporting ‘Water quality regulation’,  
556 ‘Recreation’ and ‘coastal protection’. Although, ‘Agriculture’, and ‘food production’ more generally,  
557 were seen as less beneficial by the overall sample of respondents, it should be noted that the role of  
558 saltmarsh environments in agriculture and other artisanal processes of food production is well  
559 documented in certain places (Gedan et al., 2009; Barr and Bell, 2016; Davidson et al., 2017).

560 In addition to understanding overall trends, socio-demographic factors were used to further  
561 interrogate the data and provide insight into the potential influence of the socio-cultural-political-  
562 environmental context of each region. While all socio-demographic factors were found to influence  
563 at least some of the responses to the questions presented, overall, geographic location (i.e.  
564 continent) was found to be the most frequent moderator influencing perceptions and attitudes  
565 towards saltmarshes, their ecosystem services and benefits, and even perceptions of management.  
566 For example, while the agricultural role of saltmarshes was not perceived as very beneficial overall,  
567 respondents working in European and Asian saltmarshes were more likely to perceive this service as  
568 very beneficial, concurrent with previous observations (Davidson et al., 2017). Australian  
569 respondents were most likely to attribute higher levels of importance to management of invasive  
570 species, which may be expected given the pressure on endemic species in Australia (Adam, 2009).  
571 This, and similar trends, clearly evidence the presence of variation in global attitudes. Other  
572 examples from the data further support this. For example, tourism and health and wellbeing, two  
573 factors more commonly linked to the category of cultural ecosystem services, were less likely to be  
574 seen to be important by respondents working in Europe or Australia, while American respondents  
575 were more likely to value “wild food and foraging” benefits compared to respondents working in  
576 other geographic locations.

577 Increasingly, there is a growing understanding of the role of perceptions and related values (both  
578 monetary and non-monetary) attributed to natural resources in both development and  
579 implementation of effective management and governance (Stefanski and Villasante, 2015; Arkema  
580 et al., 2015; Cavanagh et al., 2016; Börger et al., 2014; Ainsworth et al., 2019). Building an  
581 understanding of the human dimensions of saltmarshes and how they are perceived across different  
582 user groups and audiences would contribute to a more integrated understanding of their true value  
583 to society (Foster et al., 2013; Josephs and Humphries, 2018), and support development of more  
584 socially acceptable management strategies delivering on multiple management goals and objectives.

585 *What are the main threats and challenges facing saltmarsh environments?*

586 Through this study, the range and varying scales of challenges facing saltmarshes are evident. At a  
587 global scale, it is clear, for example, that saltmarsh management faces significant global challenges  
588 e.g. sea level rise and climate change; but equally, there is also evidence of challenges which may be  
589 more easily addressed at a local or regional scale (namely around management practices and  
590 resourcing). While the number and diversity of challenges identified by respondents may appear  
591 daunting, it is important to recognise the potential for ‘quick wins’ and the role of collaboration and

592 interdisciplinary working. In terms of quick wins, there is an opportunity to continue to build the  
593 active and growing saltmarsh research community, and to use the challenges identified here as the  
594 building blocks for an international and interdisciplinary research agenda. Some of the more  
595 commonly identified challenges (e.g. climate change and sea level rise) are not specific to  
596 saltmarshes, and there is a role for whole system and cross-sectoral thinking to identify and  
597 implement solutions. For example, how can a better understanding of the coastal protection  
598 provided by saltmarshes be used to support development of more effective coastal flood risk  
599 management? Or developing the understanding of the carbon storage capacity to support  
600 conservation and restoration programmes, which in turn can support climate change mitigation  
601 schemes. Furthermore, given their position at the land-sea interface, saltmarshes provide a valuable  
602 opportunity to adopt 'catchment to coast' based thinking (Nelson and Zavaleta 2012) – for example,  
603 understanding the interaction between land use and coastal environments such as agricultural land  
604 use practices (Muenzel and Martino, 2018; Dokter et al., 2018), or the impact of plastic pollution on  
605 saltmarsh environments and their role as plastic sinks (Browne et al. 2010; Ball et al., 2016), and how  
606 this might need to be taken account of within management.

607 It should also be noted that while there are ongoing calls to raise public awareness of the role and  
608 value of saltmarsh environments, this is not without its own challenges. A recent study found public  
609 awareness of saltmarshes in Wales to be quite low, with a high level of uncertainty around  
610 understanding of ecosystem benefits (McKinley et al., 2020). When compared with the results from  
611 this study, the difference between public and practitioner or expert perceptions is evident (low  
612 uncertainty in most responses, in this study). From public perspective, saltmarshes are complex,  
613 dynamic systems and their tidal nature can make them dangerous and difficult to access. If  
614 saltmarshes are to be safeguarded for the future, it is necessary that there are efforts to raise public  
615 awareness; however, these will need to take advantage of innovative communication approaches  
616 and technologies to reduce risk to both saltmarshes and the people using them. At the domestic  
617 scale, recent years has seen funding cuts for many organisations involved in natural resource  
618 management across the world (Borja and Elliott, 2013; 2018); this trend must be reversed to provide  
619 adequate resources to support management (e.g. developing a knowledge base about the social  
620 values attributed to saltmarshes or implementing longitudinal monitoring programmes) and fund  
621 restoration schemes.

622 *What is the perceived effectiveness of current saltmarsh management and how does this vary*  
623 *globally?*

624 While there was some variation across the data collected, continent was not seen to influence views  
625 on management effectiveness; instead, the only moderator was related to respondents' job role,  
626 with those involved in policy development more likely to consider current management to be  
627 effective. The 27% of respondents who indicated management to be effective cited the presence of  
628 good governance and active management approaches as being key to that effectiveness. However,  
629 overall, there was a feeling that saltmarsh management faces a number of challenges, including a  
630 lack of effective regulation or inclusion at a legislative level. This is supported by recent work by  
631 McKinley et al. (2018) who found ecosystem services derived by saltmarshes to be inadequately  
632 considered in key legislation in Wales, UK. The presence of conflicting needs and priorities across  
633 user groups and scales and the challenge these pose to delivery of management was also highlighted  
634 by respondents. Again, this diversity of views and its influence on prioritisation within management  
635 was a common theme. In terms of providing context for management, there was a call for evidence  
636 of success, drawing on best practice and where things have worked well to develop positive case  
637 studies included as examples within management guidance. Respondents were also asked about the

638 drivers influencing saltmarsh management – here, the influence of different policy and management  
639 drivers was seen to vary with location, although local policies were found to be important across the  
640 board. This lack of consistency regarding the role of different drivers (e.g. international policy goals)  
641 may have contributed to the variation in management approaches, and indeed the perceived  
642 effectiveness of these, seen in saltmarsh management globally. Furthermore, the emphasis placed  
643 on local policy may pose a challenge to the development of a strategic, global approach to  
644 restoration and management. Overall, the research suggests there is significant scope for  
645 management of saltmarshes, and perhaps of wetlands more broadly, to be improved globally. This  
646 paper provides insights into areas which may need improvement, including, use of effective  
647 stakeholder engagement techniques (such as participatory mapping; Rova et al., 2015; Burdon et al.,  
648 2019; Lillebø et al., 2019) and using interdisciplinary projects to add depth and breadth to current  
649 understanding of saltmarshes across a range of scales (e.g. the RESILCOAST [[http://www.nrn-](http://www.nrn-lcee.ac.uk/resilcoast/)  
650 [lcee.ac.uk/resilcoast/](http://www.nrn-lcee.ac.uk/resilcoast/)] and CoastWEB [<https://valuing-nature.net/coastweb>] projects).

### 651 *Implications and next steps*

652 This paper presents an overview of existing knowledge and data gaps associated with saltmarsh  
653 ecosystems and provides valuable insights into the variation in the perceived importance of different  
654 services and benefits from saltmarshes. The data collected through this study contributes to the  
655 knowledge base and understanding around global saltmarsh management and provides a baseline  
656 for developing a future research agenda. A key strength of this work has been having access to a  
657 global network of saltmarsh experts through the SaltMarshNet community; however, gaps remain in  
658 terms of achieving a truly global coverage of saltmarsh management. Despite utilising a multi-lingual  
659 approach to data collection, responses were dominated by English speakers and those working in  
660 America and Europe. While this work has broadened the knowledge base for these areas, it must be  
661 recognised that some areas remain understudied. Future work seeking to add to the baseline  
662 provided by this paper should consider a more targeted approach, perhaps linking up with  
663 universities and research institutions in harder to reach countries, recognising their role as gate  
664 keepers to key stakeholders and actions. There is, perhaps, an opportunity for the SaltMarshNet  
665 community to support this with individual researchers acting as multiple contact points within  
666 countries of interest.

667 Moving forward, some of the key threats facing saltmarshes, particularly climate change and sea  
668 level rise, will require development and implementation of effective forms of governance and skilful  
669 negotiations around sometimes conflicting priorities. For instance, in some places difficult decisions  
670 will need to be made about coastal communities and making space for saltmarshes to migrate  
671 landward. Taking a whole system approach to developing collaborative governance mechanisms that  
672 deliver integrated and joined up thinking to address these issues will be key. The findings from this  
673 study provide valuable insights into the regional variations in ecosystem services and benefits  
674 provided by saltmarshes. In addition, the results could be used to inform design and implementation  
675 of a range of interventions, including raising public and political awareness of saltmarsh systems and  
676 their contribution to society more broadly. When compared with other environments, saltmarshes  
677 and their ecosystem services are poorly understood (Curado et al., 2014; McKinley et al., 2020) and  
678 have been perceived as sites of disease and danger in some cultures (Barbier et al., 1997). Having a  
679 better spatio-temporal understanding of the importance of ecosystem services, could help inform an  
680 appropriate suite of interventions, which are socially acceptable, contribute to social, economic and  
681 cultural wellbeing, as well as protecting ecological integrity. For this to be achieved, there is a need  
682 for more explicit recognition of saltmarshes and other coastal fringe systems (McKinley et al., 2018),  
683 particularly given the growing emphasis on nature-based solutions as a component of climate



684 change adaptations (Möller, 2019; Powell et al., 2019). Alongside there is a need for stronger global  
685 leadership and development of tangible commitments to support improved management of  
686 saltmarsh environments (for example through managed realignment), and indeed other coastal  
687 fringe systems. This can be achieved through achieving stakeholder consensus to reduce the risk of  
688 policy failure and potential conflicts among parties. This aspect has been recently recognised in the  
689 literature that considers mainly the economic monetary values of the ecosystem services as an  
690 instrument to achieve saltmarsh sustainability. However, relying solely on monetary valuation can be  
691 limited by institutional and social aspects, as already documented in the realignment schemes  
692 adopted for the Somerset saltmarshes (UK) (da Silva et al., 2014; Martino et al., 2019) or in France by  
693 coastal flooding policies allowing the reopening of polders to the sea (Goeldner-Gianella et al.,  
694 2015).

## 695 **6. Concluding comments and recommendations**

696 This study has provided valuable insights into the global variation in how saltmarshes and their  
697 ecosystem services are perceived by different stakeholders, and how this and other factors can drive  
698 management and decision making. As efforts to improve, maintain and restore saltmarshes and  
699 other wetlands systems grow in a bid to mitigate against climate change and other environmental  
700 issues, understanding global variation in these systems and how they are managed has a valuable  
701 role to play. Drawing on the results from this study, and the existing evidence base, the following are  
702 set out as a series of future recommendations for saltmarsh management:

- 703 ○ **Development of a truly global, interdisciplinary research agenda.** Building on the efforts of  
704 SaltMarshNet, continuing to develop a research agenda and community which encompasses  
705 sciences traditionally associated with saltmarsh systems (e.g. ecologists, geographers,  
706 biophysical modellers, geomorphologists, etc.) with under-utilised research disciplines (e.g.  
707 marine social sciences) will add depth and breadth. Furthermore, this agenda and the  
708 underpinning community must actively ensure that research is geographically representative.  
709 As outlined in Table SM12, 12 countries included in this paper had previously not been  
710 represented with saltmarsh-related research in the peer-reviewed literature. However, there  
711 are 16 remaining countries where saltmarshes have been the subject of studies but that are  
712 not covered by our survey, as well as others not covered by this work that have not yet  
713 received attention in the literature (6 in the Americas, 12 in Africa and Middle East, 8 in  
714 Europe, 6 in Oceania, and 2 in Asia). For a truly global approach to maintenance and  
715 improvement of global saltmarsh systems, there is first a need to understand the baseline  
716 context globally.
- 717 ○ **Take account of multiple values when developing management strategies.** This work has  
718 shown there to be a significant variation in the perceived importance, and consequently in  
719 the level of priority assigned to saltmarsh ecosystem services and benefits by managers and  
720 stakeholders globally. This paper presents insights into this, but the dataset is incomplete.  
721 Further work is required to better understand the multiplicity of values and priorities that can  
722 be associated with saltmarshes across the globe. It is also important to note that there was  
723 some degree of variation between the two methods of prioritisation in this study i.e. the AHP  
724 pairwise comparisons and the simple ranking/ prioritisation exercises. Approaching  
725 ecosystem services values from multiple perspectives, using multiple methods (e.g. Hattam et  
726 al., 2015b), and encompassing these differences in a global approach to restoration and  
727 management is a challenge and will require flexibility within any future management  
728

729 guidance to allow for different interpretations of management in response to local, regional  
730 and national needs and priorities.

731

732 ○ **Utilise alternative and innovative methods of research and engagement for further**  
733 **knowledge development.** Although this paper draws on data from work conducted in 40  
734 countries, it is by no means exhaustive. It is also important to recognise that due to self-  
735 selection biases, the research findings reflect the dominant interests of the countries best  
736 represented in the data set (particularly the UK and Europe). Care has been taken to  
737 contextualise the findings in order to highlight where gaps in the knowledge base persist. It is  
738 therefore recommended that the work presented here is recognised as a baseline, and that  
739 further research is carried out to continue to develop the database, increase the depth and  
740 breadth of the geographical scope and ensure there is representation across sectors and  
741 disciplines. By better understanding global variation in priorities, and also the factors  
742 influencing and driving saltmarsh management globally, there is an opportunity to use this  
743 study to develop the existing dataset, which includes primarily ecological and economic data  
744 (Mcowen, 2017). Furthermore, it is recommended that future work looks to other disciplines  
745 and draws on the more qualitative approaches of the social sciences where appropriate. For  
746 example, the data collected in this study could be used to identify “hot spot” locations which  
747 could identify sites for in-depth, qualitative research at a case study level.

748

749 ○ **Adopt an ecosystem, “catchment-to-coast” approach to management.** There is a need to  
750 understand the interlinkages between upland and coastal ecosystems, so as to deliver  
751 effective management and mitigate for impacts across the entire catchment to coast  
752 system. Moser et al. (2012) highlight this as a contributing factor towards the ‘wicked’  
753 problems facing global coastal ecosystems, recognising that all coastal zones will be  
754 influenced by activities happening further in- and upland, in places resulting in degradation,  
755 habitat and biodiversity loss and deterioration in ecosystem service provision. Recent  
756 interest in the role of coastal fringe systems, such as saltmarshes, as sinks for plastic  
757 pollution reiterates the need to better understand this connectivity across the entire  
758 ecosystem. This research contributes to this by adding to the global understanding of  
759 saltmarsh management and the variation in ecosystem service priorities in different  
760 locations.

761

762 ○ **Embracing opportunities to conserve and restore saltmarsh.** Although outside the scope of  
763 the current study, there is an opportunity to build on the success stories of saltmarsh  
764 restoration projects across the globe. Sharing best practice and lessons learned from  
765 management interventions to restore saltmarsh may be a crucial step to the delivery of  
766 future interventions. There is an opportunity to build on the global SaltMarshNet Network  
767 and the background evidence which has been gathered by the current questionnaire survey  
768 to better understand where successes have happened, with a view to developing global best  
769 practice. We fully advocate the integral role of active stakeholder engagement in co-  
770 producing solutions/strategies for managing the threats/challenges identified in this study at  
771 a local, regional and national scale.

772 Once considered by some as wasteland or non-productive, saltmarshes are now increasingly  
773 recognised for their integral role in environmental resilience and as natural buffers against  
774 environmental degradation and climate change. Conservation, restoration and management of  
775 saltmarshes globally will take a comprehensive understanding of how their importance varies across



776 time and space; this paper provides a starting point for this discussion to feed into saltmarsh  
777 management worldwide.

#### 778 Acknowledgements

779 The Authors acknowledge the financial support provided by the Welsh Government and Higher  
780 Education Funding Council for Wales through the Sêr Cymru National Research Network for Low  
781 Carbon, Energy and Environment. Additionally, the authors would like to acknowledge the Valuing  
782 Nature Programme (valuing-nature.net) which is funded by the Natural Environment Research  
783 Council, the Economic and Social Research Council, the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences  
784 Research Council, the Arts and Humanities Research Council and the Department for Environment,  
785 Food and Rural Affairs. This research was supported by the UK Research Councils under Natural  
786 Environment Research Council award NE/N013573/1, Title CoastWEB: Valuing the contribution  
787 which COASTal habitats make to human health and WELLBeing, with a focus on the alleviation of  
788 natural hazards. JFP acknowledges financial support from the European Union's Horizon 2020  
789 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No  
790 795315. The authors would also like to thank the organisers and attendees of the SaltMarshNet  
791 Workshop held in Wales in December 2017, as well as all of the respondents to the questionnaire.  
792 Finally, the authors thank the anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments and reviews of  
793 the manuscript.

794

#### 795 References

796 Adam, P. 2009. Chapter 1 - Australian saltmarshes in a global context. In Saintilan, N., 2009  
797 Australian Saltmarsh Ecology. CSIRO Publishing, Australia. ISBN: 9780643096844.

798

799 Ainsworth, G.B., Kenter, J.O., O'Connor, S., Daunt, F., Young, J.C., 2019. A fulfilled human life:  
800 eliciting sense of place and cultural identity in two UK marine environments through the  
801 community voice methods. *Ecosystem Services*, 39:  
802 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoser.2019.100992>.

803

804 Arkema, K.K., Verutes, G.M., Wood, S.A., Clarke-Samuels, C., Rosado, S., Canto, M., Rosenthal,  
805 A., Ruckelshaus, M., Guannel, G., Toft, J., Faries, J., Silver, J.M., Griffin, R., Guerry, A.D., 2015.  
806 Embedding ecosystem services in coastal planning leads to better outcomes for people and  
807 nature. *Proceedings of National Academy of Science*, 112: 7390e7395.  
808 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1406483112>.

809

810 Ball, H., Kirby, J., Whitfield, E., Kiriakoulakis, K. 2016. The Origin and Fate of Microplastics in  
811 Saltmarshes. In Juan Baztan Bethany Jorgensen Sabine Pahl Richard Thompson Jean-Paul  
812 Vanderlinde (Eds). *Fate and impact of Microplastic in marine ecosystems*, Elsevier, 294 pp.

813

814 Barbier, E.B., 2013. Valuing ecosystem services for coastal wetland protection and restoration:  
815 progress and challenges. *Resources*, 2, 213-230; doi:10.3390/resources2030213.

816

817 Barbier, E.B., Hacker, S.D., Kennedy, C., Koch, E.W., Stier, A.C. 2011. The value of estuarine and  
818 coastal ecosystem services. *Ecological Monographs*, 81(2): 169–193.

819 Barbier, E.B., Acreman, M.C., Knowler, D. 1997. *Economic valuation of wetlands: A guide for  
820 policy makers and planners*. Ramsar Convention Bureau, Gland, Switzerland.

821 <https://portals.iucn.org/library/node/7212>

822

- 823 Barr, K. and Bell, LK. 2016. Neolithic and bronze age ungulate footprint tracks of the Severn  
824 Estuary: species, age, identification, and the interpretation of husbandry practices. *Ecological*  
825 *Monographs*, 81: 169-193
- 826
- 827 Baulcomb, C., Fletcher, R., Lewis, A., Akoglu, E., Robinson, L., von Almen, A., Hussain, S., Glenk,  
828 K. 2015. A pathway to identifying and valuing cultural ecosystem services: An application to  
829 marine food webs. *Ecosystem Services*, 11: 128–139.
- 830
- 831 Beaumont, N.J., Jones, L., Garbutt, A., Hansom, J.D., Toberman, M., 2014. The value of carbon  
832 sequestration and storage in coastal habitats. *Estuarine and Coastal Shelf Science*, 137: 32–40.  
833 doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2013.11.022
- 834
- 835 Bennett, E.M., Cramer, W., Begossi, A., Cundill, G., Díaz, S., Egoh, B.N., Geizendorffer, I.R.,  
836 Krug, C.B., Lavorel, S., Lazos, E., Lebel, L., Martín-Lopez, B., Meyfroidt, P., Mooney, H.A., Nel,  
837 J.L., Pascual, U., Payet, K., Harguindeguy, N.P., Peterson, G.D., Prieur-Richard, A.-H., Reyers, B.,  
838 Roebeling, P., Seppelt, R., Solan, M., Tschakert, P., Tschardtke, T., Turner II, B.L., Verburg, P.H.,  
839 Viglizzo, E.F., White, P.C., Woodward, G., 2015. Linking biodiversity, ecosystem services, and  
840 human well-being: three challenges for designing research for sustainability. *Current Opinion in*  
841 *Environmental Sustainability*, 14, 76e85. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2015.03.007>
- 842
- 843 Börger, T., Beaumont, N., Pendleton, L., Hussain, S., Kevin, J.B., Cooper, P., Austen, M.C., 2014.  
844 Incorporating ecosystem services in marine planning: the role of valuation. *Marine Policy*, 46:  
845 161-170.
- 846
- 847 Borja, A. and Elliott, M., 2013. Marine monitoring during an economic crisis: The cure is worse  
848 than the disease. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 68: 1-3.
- 849
- 850 Borja, A. and Elliott, M., 2018. There is no Planet B: A healthy Earth requires greater parity  
851 between space and marine research. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 130: 28-30.
- 852
- 853 Broszeit, S., Beaumont, N.J., Uyarra, M.C., Heiskanen, A., Frost, M., Somerfield, P.J., Rossberg,  
854 A.G.,Teixeira, H., Austen, M.C. 2017. What can indicators of good environmental status tell us  
855 about ecosystem services?: Reducing efforts and increasing cost-effectiveness by reapplying  
856 biodiversity indicator data. *Ecological Indicators*, 81:409–442.
- 857
- 858 Browne, M.A., Galloway, T.S., Thomson, R.C., 2010. Spatial patterns of plastic debris along  
859 estuarine Shorelines. *Environmental Science and Technology* 44 (9): 3404-3409.
- 860
- 861 Bryce, R., Irvine, K.N., Church, A., Fish, R. Ranger, S., Kenter, J.O., 2016. Subjective well-being  
862 indicators for large-scale assessment of cultural ecosystem services. *Ecosystem Service*,  
863 21:258-269. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoser.2016.07.015>
- 864
- 865 Bryman, A. 2016. *Social research methods*. 5<sup>th</sup> edition. Oxford University Press. Oxford, UK.
- 866
- 867 Burdon, D., Potts, T. McKinley, E.J., Lew, S., Shilland, R., Gormley, K., Thomson, S., Forster, R.,  
868 2019. Expanding the role of participatory mapping to assess ecosystem service provision in  
869 local coastal environments. *Ecosystem Services* 39: 101009  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoser.2019.101009>.

- 870 Cabral, P., Levrel, H., Schoenn, J., Thiébaud, E., LeMao, P., Mongruel, R., Rollet, C., Dedieu, K.,  
871 Carrier, S., Morisseau, F., Daures, F., 2014. Marine habitats ecosystem service potential: A  
872 vulnerability approach in the Normand-Breton (Saint Malo) Gulf, France. *Ecosystem Services*  
873 16: 306-318.
- 874
- 875 Carollo, C., Allee, R.J., Yoskowitz, D.W., 2013. Linking the Coastal and Marine Ecological  
876 Classification Standard (CMECS) to ecosystem services: an application to the US Gulf of Mexico,  
877 *International Journal of Biodiversity Science, Ecosystem Services & Management*, 9 (3):249-  
878 256.
- 879
- 880 Cavanagh, R., Broszeit, S., Pilling, G.M., Grant, S.M., Murphy, E.J., Austen, M.C., 2016. Valuing  
881 biodiversity and ecosystem services: a useful way to manage and conserve marine resources?  
882 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 20161635. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2016.1635>.
- 883 Christensen, R.H.B., 2019. Ordinal - Regression Models for Ordinal Data. R package version  
884 2019.4-25.
- 885
- 886 Christie, M. and Rayment, M. 2012. An economic assessment of the ecosystem service benefits  
887 derived from SSSI biodiversity conservation policy in England and Wales. *Ecosystem Services* 1,  
888 70-84.
- 889
- 890 Church, A., Fish, R., Haines-Young, R., Mourato, S., Tratalos, J., Stapleton, L., Willis, C., Coates,  
891 P., Gibbons, S., Leyshon, C., Potschin, M., Ravenscroft, N., Sanchis-Guarner, R., Winter, M.,  
892 Kenter, J., 2014. UK National Ecosystem Assessment Follow-on. Work Package Report 5:  
893 Cultural ecosystem services and indicators. UNEP-WCMC, LWEC, UK.
- 894
- 895 Clemente, P., Calvache, M.F., Antunes, P., Santos, R., 2014. Mapping Stakeholder perception on  
896 ecosystem services provision within the Portuguese southwest Alentejo and Vicentine coastal  
897 natural park. III Congresso sobre Planeamento e Gestão das Zonas Costeiras dos Países de  
898 Expressão Portuguesa.
- 899
- 900 Colclough, S., Fonseca, L.P., Astley, T., Thomas, K.C., Watts, W., 2005. Fish utilisation of  
901 managed realignment. *Fisheries, Management and Ecology*, 12: 351-360.
- 902
- 903 Curado, G., Manzano-Arrondo, V., Figueroa, E., Castillo, J.M., 2014. Public perceptions and uses  
904 of natural and restored salt marshes. *Landscape Research*, 39(6): 668-679, DOI:  
905 10.1080/01426397.2013.772960
- 906
- 907 da Silva, L.V., Everard, M., Shore, R.G., 2014. Ecosystem services assessment at Steart  
908 Peninsula, Somerset, UK. *Ecosystem Services*, 10: 19-34.
- 909
- 910 de Juan, S., Gelcich, S., Fernandez, M., 2017. Integrating stakeholder perceptions and  
911 preferences on ecosystem services in the management of coastal areas. *Ocean and Coastal*  
912 *Management*, 136: 8-48.
- 913
- 914 Davidson, K.E., Fowler, M.S., Skov, M.W., Doerr, S.H., Beaumont, N. Griffin, J.N., 2017.  
915 Livestock grazing alters multiple ecosystem properties and services in salt marshes: a meta  
916 analysis. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 54: 1395-1405.
- 917

- 918 Deegan, L., Johnson, D.S., Warren, R.S., Peterson, B.J., Fleeger, K.W., Fagherazzi, S., Wollheim,  
919 W.M., 2012. Coastal eutrophication as a driver of saltmarsh loss. *NATURE*, 490: 388- 392.  
920
- 921 Dokter, A.M., Fokkema, W., Ebbinge, B.S., Olf, H., van der Jeud, H.O., Nolet, B.A., 2018.  
922 Agricultural pastures challenge the attractiveness of natural saltmarsh for migratory goose.  
923 *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 55(6): 2707-2718.  
924
- 925 Drakou, E.G., Kermagoret, C., Liqueste, C., Ruiz-Frau, A., Burkhard, K., Lillebø, A.I., van  
926 Oudenhoven, A.P.E., Ballé-Béganton, J., Rodrigues, J.G., Nieminen, E., Oinonen, S., Ziemba, A.,  
927 Gissi, E., Depellegrin, D., Veidemane, K., Ruskule, A., Delangue, J., Böhnke-Henrichs, A., Boon,  
928 A., Wenning, R., Martino, S., Hasler, B., Ternessen, M., Rockel, M., Hummel, H., El Serafy, G.,  
929 Peev, P., 2017. Marine and coastal ecosystem services on the science–policy–practice nexus:  
930 challenges and opportunities from 11 European case studies, *International Journal of*  
931 *Biodiversity Science, Ecosystem Services & Management*, 13:3, 51-67. DOI:  
932 10.1080/21513732.2017.1417330  
933
- 934 Duarte, C., Dennison W., Orth, R., Carruthers T., 2008. The charisma of coastal ecosystems:  
935 addressing the imbalance. *Estuaries and Coasts*, 31:233–238.  
936
- 937 Duke, J.M. and Aull-Hyde, R., 2002. Identifying public preferences for land preservation using  
938 the analytic hierarchy process. *Ecological Economics*, 42: 131-145.  
939
- 940 Ferrol-Sxhulte, D., Gorris, P., Baitoningsih, W., Adhuri, D.S., Ferse, S. 2015. Coastal livelihood  
941 vulnerability to marine resource degradation: a review of the Indonesian national coastal and  
942 marine policy framework. *Marine Policy* 52: 163-171.  
943
- 944 Fletcher, S., Saunders, J., Herbert, R.J.H, 2011. A review of the ecosystem services provided by  
945 broad-scale marine habitats in England’s MPA network. *Journal of Coastal Research*, SI 64.  
946
- 947 Foster, N.M., Hudson, M.D., Bray, S., Nicholls, R.J., 2014. Research, policy and practice for the  
948 conservation and sustainable use of intertidal mudflats and saltmarshes in the Solent from  
949 1800 to 2016. *Environmental Science and Policy*, 38: 59-71.  
950
- 951 Fulton, E.A., Smith, A.D.M., Smith, D.C., van Putten, I.E., 2011. Human behaviour: the key  
952 source of uncertainty in fisheries management. *Fish and Fisheries*, 12: 2e17. [http://](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-2979.2010.00371.x)  
953 [dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-2979.2010.00371.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-2979.2010.00371.x).  
954
- 955 Gascon, M., Zijlema, W., Vert, C., White, M.P., Nieuwenhuijsen, J., 2017. Outdoor blue spaces,  
956 Human health and well-being: a systematic review of quantitative studies. *International Journal*  
957 *of Hygiene and Environmental Health*, 220: 1207-1221.  
958
- 959 Gedan, K.B., Silliman, B.R., Bertness, M.D. 2009. Centuries of Human-Driven Change in  
960 Saltmarsh Ecosystems. *Annual Review of Marine Science*, 1:117–41.  
961
- 962 Goeldner-Gianella, L., Bertrand, F., Oiry, A., Grancher, D., 2015. Depolderisation policy against  
963 coastal flooding and social acceptability on the French Atlantic coast: The case of the Arcachon  
964 Bay. *Ocean and Coastal Management*, 116: 98-107.  
965

- 966 Hattam, C., Atkins, J.P., Beaumont N., Börger T., Böhnke-Henrichs A., Burdon D., de Groot R.,  
967 Hoefnagel H., Nunes PALD, Piwowarczyk J., Sastre S., Austen M., 2015a. Marine ecosystem  
968 services: Linking indicators to their classification. *Ecological Indicator*, 49: 61-75.  
969
- 970 Hattam, C., Böhnke-Henrichs, A., Börger, T., Burdon, D., Hajimicheale, M. Delaney, A., Atkins,  
971 J.P., Garrard, S., Austen, M., 2015b. Integrating methods for ecosystem service assessment and  
972 valuation: mixed methods or mixed messages? *Ecological Economics*, 120:126–138.  
973
- 974 Himes-Cornell, A., Pendleton, L., Atiyah, P., 2018. Valuing ecosystem services from blue forests:  
975 A systematic review of the valuation of saltmarshes, sea grass beds and mangrove forests.  
976 *Ecosystem Services*, 30: 36-48.  
977
- 978 Huang, I.B., Keisler, J., Linkov, I., 2011. Multi-criteria decision analysis in environmental  
979 sciences: Ten years of applications and trends. *Science of the Total Environment*, 409:3578-  
980 3594.
- 981 Hutchinson, L., Montagna, P., Yoskowitz, D., Scholz, D., Tunnell, J., 2012. Stakeholder  
982 perceptions of coastal habitat ecosystem services. *Estuaries and Coasts*, DOI 10.1007/s12237-  
983 013-9647-7.  
984
- 985 Innes, J.P. and Pascoe, S., 2010. A multi-criteria assessment of fishing gear impacts in demersal  
986 fisheries. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 91: 932-939  
987
- 988 IPBES, 2019. Summary for policymakers of the global assessment report on biodiversity and  
989 ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and  
990 Ecosystem Services. S. Díaz, J. Settele, E. S. Brondizio E.S., H. T. Ngo, M. Guèze, J. Agard, A.  
991 Arneeth, P. Balvanera, K. A. Brauman, S. H. M. Butchart, K. M. A. Chan, L. A. Garibaldi, K. Ichii, J.  
992 Liu, S. M. Subramanian, G. F. Midgley, P. Miloslavich, Z. Molnár, D. Obura, A. Pfaff, S. Polasky,  
993 A. Purvis, J. Razaque, B. Reyers, R. Roy Chowdhury, Y. J. Shin, I. J. Visseren-Hamakers, K. J.  
994 Willis, and C. N. Zayas (eds.). IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany.  
995
- 996 Jarvis, R.M., Borrelle, S.B., Bollard Breen, B., Towns, D.R., 2015. Conservation, mismatch and  
997 the research-implementation gap. *Pacific Conservation Biology*, 21: 105-107.
- 998 Josephs, L.I. and Humphries, A.T., 2018. Identifying social factors that undermine support for  
999 nature-based coastal management. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 212: 32-38.  
1000
- 1001 Laffaille, P., Feunteun, E., Lefeuvre, J.-C., 2000. Composition of fish communities in a European  
1002 macrotidal saltmarsh (the Mont Saint-Michel Bay, France). *Estuarine and Coastal Shelf Science*,  
1003 51:429–438. doi:10.1006/ecss.2000.0675.  
1004
- 1005 Le Gentil, E. and Mongrueil, R., 2015. A systematic review of socio-economic assessments in  
1006 support of coastal zone management. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 149: 85-96.  
1007
- 1008 Lillebø, A.I., Teixeira, H., Morgado, M., Martínez-López, J., Marhubi, A., Delacámara, G.,  
1009 Strosser, P., Nogueira, A.J.A., 2019. Ecosystem-based management planning across aquatic  
1010 realms at the Ria de Aveiro Natura 2000 territory. *Science of the Total Environment*, 650 (Pt 2),  
1011 1898–1912. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.09.317>.  
1012

- 1013 Luisetti, T., Turner, R.K., Jickells, T., Andrews, J., Elliott, M., Schaafsma, M., Beaumont, N.,  
1014 Malcolm, S., Burdon, D., Adams, C., Watts, W. 2014. Coastal Zone Ecosystem Services: From  
1015 science to values and decision making; a case study. *Science of the Total Environment*, 493:  
1016 682-693.
- 1017
- 1018 Macreadie, P.I., Nielsen, D.A., Kelleway, J.J., Atwood, T., Seymour, J., Petrou, K., Connolly, R.M.,  
1019 Thomson, A.C.G., Trevathan-Tackett, S., Ralph, P., 2017. Can we manage coastal ecosystems to  
1020 sequester more blue carbon? *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 15: 206-213.
- 1021
- 1022 Martino, S., Tett, P., Kenter, J.O., 2019. The interplay between economics, legislative power  
1023 and social influence examined through a social-ecological framework for marine ecosystems  
1024 services. *Science of the Total Environment*, 651: 1388–1404.
- 1025
- 1026 McDonald, M.A., de Ruyck, C., Field, R.H., Bedford, A., Bradbury, R.B., 2017. Benefits of coastal  
1027 managed realignment for society: evidence from ecosystem services assessment in two UK  
1028 regions. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2017.09.007>
- 1029
- 1030 McKinley, E., Pages, J.F., Ballinger, R.C., Beaumont, N., 2020. Forgotten landscapes: Public  
1031 attitudes and perceptions of coastal saltmarshes. *Ocean and Coastal Management*, 187, 105-  
1032 117. [doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2020.105117](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2020.105117).
- 1033
- 1034 McKinley, E. and Ballinger, R., 2018. Welsh legislation in a new era: A stakeholder perspective  
1035 for coastal management. *Marine Policy*, 97: 253-261.
- 1036
- 1037 McKinley, E., Ballinger, R., Beaumont, N., 2018. Saltmarshes, ecosystem services, and an  
1038 evolving policy landscape: A case study of Wales, UK. *Marine Policy*, 91: 1-10.
- 1039
- 1040 Mcowen, C.J., Weatherdon, L.V., Van Bochove, J.W., Sullivan, E., Blyth, S., Zockler, C., Stanwell-  
1041 Smith, D., Kingston, N., Martin, C.S., Spalding, M., Fletcher, S., 2017. A global map for  
1042 saltmarshes. *Biodiversity Data Journal*, 5(1), article number e11764.
- 1043
- 1044 Möller, I., Kudella, M., Rupprecht, F., Spencer, T., Paul, M., van Wesenbeeck, B.K., Wolters, G.,  
1045 Jensen, K., Bouma T.J., Miranda-Lange, M., Schimmels, M., 2014. Wave attenuation over  
1046 coastal saltmarshes under storm surge conditions. *Nature geoscience*, 7: 727-731.
- 1047
- 1048 Möller, I. 2019. Applying uncertain science to nature-based coastal protection: lessons from  
1049 shallow wetland-dominated shores. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*.  
1050 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2019.00049>
- 1051
- 1052 Moser, S.C., Williams, S.J., Boesch, D.F., 2012. Wicked challenges at Land's end: Managing  
1053 coastal vulnerability under climate change. *Annual Review of Environmental Resources*, 37: 51-  
1054 57.
- 1055
- 1056 Muenzel, D. and Martino, S., 2018. Assessing the feasibility of carbon payments and Payments  
1057 for Ecosystem Services to reduce livestock grazing pressure on saltmarshes. *Journal of*  
1058 *Environmental Management*, 225: 46–61.
- 1059



- 1060 Nelson, J.L. and Zavaleta, E.S., 2012. Saltmarsh as coastal filter for the oceans: changes in  
1061 function with experimental increases in nitrogen loading and sea level rise. PLOS ONE, 7(8):  
1062 e38558. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0038558>.  
1063
- 1064 Poe, M.R., Norman, K.C., Levin, P.S., 2014. Cultural dimensions of socioecological systems: key  
1065 connections and guiding principles for conservation in coastal environments. Conservation  
1066 Letters, 7: 166-175.  
1067
- 1068 Potts T., Burdon D., Jackson E., Atkins J., Saunders S., Hastings E., Langmead O., 2014. Do  
1069 marine protected areas deliver flows of ecosystem services to support human welfare? Marine  
1070 Policy, 44: 139-148.  
1071
- 1072 Powell, E., Tyrrell, M.C., Milliken, A., Tirpak, J.M., Staudinger, M.D., 2018. A review of coastal  
1073 management approaches to support the integration of ecological and human community  
1074 planning for climate change. Journal of Coastal Conservation, 23(1): 1-18.  
1075
- 1076 Rebours, C., Marinho-Soriano, E., Zertuche-Gonzales, J., Vasquez, J.A., Kradolfer, P., Soriano, G.,  
1077 Ugarte, R., Abreu, M.E., Bay-Larsen, I., Hovelsrud, G., Rodven, R., Roblendo, D., 2014.  
1078 Seaweeds: an opportunity for wealth and sustainable livelihood for coastal communities.  
1079 Journal of Applied Phycology, 26(5): 1939-1951.
- 1080 Rendon, O.R., Garbutt, A., Skov, M., Möller, I., Alexander, M., Ballinger, R., C., Wyles, K.J.,  
1081 Smith, S., McKinley, E., Griffin, J., Thomas, M., Davidson, K., Pagès, J.F., Read, S., Beaumont, N.,  
1082 2019. A framework linking ecosystem services and human well-being: operationalising the  
1083 concept in saltmarsh as an illustrative coastal habitat. People and Nature: 00:1–11.  
1084 <https://doi.org/10.1002/pan3.10050>
- 1085 Rocha, J., Yletyinen, J., Biggs, R., Blenckner, T., Peterson, G., 2015. Marine regime shifts: drivers  
1086 and impacts on ecosystems services. Philosophical Transactions of The Royal Society B  
1087 Biological Sciences 370: 20130273. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0273>  
1088
- 1089 Rodrigues, J.G., Conides, A., Rivero Rodriguez, S., Raicevich, S., Pita, P., Kleisner, K., Pita, C.,  
1090 Lopes, P., Alonso Roldán, V., Ramos, S., Klaoudatos, D., Outeiro, L., Armstrong, C., Teneva, L.,  
1091 Stefanski, S., Böhnke-Henrichs, A., Kruse, M., Lillebø, A., Bennett, E., Belgrano, A., Murillas, A.,  
1092 Sousa Pinto, I., Burkhard, B., Villasante, S., 2017. Marine and Coastal Cultural Ecosystem  
1093 Services: knowledge gaps and research priorities. One Ecosystem 2: e12290.  
1094
- 1095 Rova, S., Pranovi, F., Muller, F. 2015. Provision of ecosystem services in the lagoon of Venice  
1096 (Italy): an initial spatial assessment. Ecohydrology & Hydrobiology, 15: 13–25.  
1097
- 1098 Shepard, C.C., Crain, C.M., Beck, M.W., 2011. The protective role of coastal marshes: a  
1099 systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One 6(11), e27374.  
1100 [doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0027374](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0027374)  
1101
- 1102 Sousa, L.P., Lillebø, A.I., Pardal, M.A., Caçador, I., 2010. Productivity and nutrient cycling in  
1103 saltmarshes: Contribution to ecosystem health. Estuarine and Coastal Shelf Science, 87(4): 640-  
1104 646  
1105

- 1106 Sousa, L.P., Lillebø, A.I., Gooch, G.D., Soares, J.A., Alves, F. 2013. Incorporation of Local  
1107 Knowledge in the Identification of Ria de Aveiro Lagoon Ecosystem Services (Portugal). Journal  
1108 of Coastal Research: Special Issue, 65:1051 – 1056.  
1109
- 1110 Stefanski, S.F. and Villasante, S., 2015. Whale vs gulls: assessing trade-offs in wildlife and waste  
1111 management in Patagonia, Argentina. Ecosystem Services, 16: 294-305.  
1112
- 1113 White, M.P., Alcock, I., Wheeler, B.W., Depledge, M.H., 2013. Coastal proximity, health and  
1114 well-being: results from a longitudinal panel survey. Health Place, 23: 97–103.

Journal Pre-proof



### Highlights

- A global study of saltmarsh stakeholders (n=438) examining global variation in importance of ecosystem services.
- Perceived importance of saltmarsh ecosystems services varies with geographical location.
- This variation has implications for efforts to restore, conserve and maintain saltmarshes.
- Recommend adoption of a catchment to coast approach to account for multiple values of saltmarshes.

Journal Pre-proof

**Declaration of interests**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: