



Deposited via The University of Sheffield.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/154977/>

Version: Accepted Version

Proceedings Paper:

Lan, X. and Liu, W. (2019) Direction finding for a mixture of single-transmission and dual-transmission signals. In: Proceedings of the 2019 IEEE International Symposium on Signal Processing and Information Technology (ISSPIT). 2019 IEEE International Symposium on Signal Processing and Information Technology (ISSPIT), 10-12 Dec 2019, Ajman, United Arab Emirates. IEEE. ISBN: 9781728153421. ISSN: 2162-7843. EISSN: 2641-5542.

<https://doi.org/10.1109/ISSPIT47144.2019.9001893>

© 2019 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. Permission from IEEE must be obtained for all other users, including reprinting/ republishing this material for advertising or promotional purposes, creating new collective works for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or reuse of any copyrighted components of this work in other works. Reproduced in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

Direction Finding for a Mixture of Single-Transmission and Dual-Transmission Signals

Xiang Lan and Wei Liu

Department of Electronic and Electrical Engineering

University of Sheffield, United Kingdom

Email: {xlan2, w.liu}@sheffield.ac.uk

Abstract—Currently, most of existing research in direction of arrival (DOA) estimation is focused on single signal transmission (SST) based signal. However, to make full use of the degree of freedom provided by the system in the polarisation domain, the dual signal transmission (DST) model has been adopted more and more widely in wireless communications. In this work, a DOA estimation method for a mixture of SST and DST signals (referred to as the mixed signal transmission (MST) model) is proposed. To our best knowledge, this is the first time to study the DOA estimation problem for such an MST model. There are two steps in the proposed method, which deals with the two kinds of signals separately. The performance of the proposed method is compared with the Cramér-Rao Bound (CRB) based on computer simulations.

Keywords—DOA estimation, linear tripole array, dual signal transmission, mixed signal transmission.

I. INTRODUCTION

Direction of arrival (DOA) estimation has been widely studied in recent years and many algorithms have been introduced to solve the problem, such as multiple signal classification (MUSIC) [1], estimation of signal parameters via rotational invariance techniques (ESPRIT) [2] and those based on sparsity or compressive sensing (CS) [3]–[5]. In its early time, most research on DOA estimation was based on omnidirectional antennas, ignoring the polarization information of impinging signals. To consider the polarization information, electromagnetic (EM) vector sensor arrays were proposed to jointly estimate the DOA and polarization information [6]–[12]. The traditional algorithms can be extended to solve the joint DOA and polarization estimation problem [13]–[16]. However, in their models, for each direction, it is assumed either explicitly or implicitly that there is only one signal impinging upon the array; in other words, each source only emits one single signal with specific direction and polarization and we refer to such a system as a single signal transmission (SST) system.

To make full use of the degree of freedom provided by a vector sensor array, two separate signals could be transmitted simultaneously from each source, and this is refer to the dual signal transmission (DST) model [17]. For a DST signal, the

two sub-signals have the same DOA but different polarizations. One DST example is to use two orthogonal linearly polarized signals with amplitude or phase modulation [18]–[20]. However, there has rarely been any research reported on estimating the DOAs of DST signals. Instinctively, we could consider a DST signal as two independent SST signals and estimate their DOAs one by one. However, as we will see later, a direct application of the traditional DOA estimation methods such as the subspace-based ones may not work as expected for DST signals and a new approach is needed.

In this work, based on a uniform linear tripole sensor array, we first try to extend the classic MUSIC algorithm in a straightforward way to the 4-D case to find the parameters of a mixture of impinging SST and DST signals, which is referred to as the mixed signal transmission (MST) model. As analysed later, due to inherent physical property of signal polarisation and array structure, we can only find the DOA and polarisation parameters of SST signals and for the DST signals, it fails due to an ambiguity problem with their estimation. As a solution and also to reduce the complexity of the 4-D search process of the extended MUSIC algorithm and exploit the additional information provided by DST signals, i.e. the two sub-signals of each DST signal share the same DOA, a two-step algorithm is proposed. In this solution, the DOA and polarisation information of SST signals are found first by a rank-reduction algorithm (referred to as the SST estimator) and then the DOA information of the DST signals is estimated by a specifically designed estimator (referred to as the DST estimator). To our best knowledge, this is the first time to study the DOA estimation problem for such an MST model with a successful solution.

This paper is structured as follows. The MST signal model is introduced in Section II. In Section III, the traditional subspace based estimator is extended to the 4-D case, followed by the two-step method associated with SST and DST estimators. Finally, conclusions are drawn in Section VI.




Fig. 1. Structure of a uniform linear tripole array.

II. SIGNAL MODELS

Assume that there are M_1 SST and M_2 DST narrowband non-linearly polarized sources impinging on a uniform linear array (ULA) with N tripole sensors from the far field as shown in Fig. 1. Each SST source generates one signal $s_m(t)$, $m = 1, \dots, M_1$, and each DST source generates two sub-signals $s_{M_1+2m-1}(t)$ and $s_{M_1+2m}(t)$, $m = 1, \dots, M_2$, with the same elevation-azimuth angle (θ, ϕ) but different polarization (γ, η) , where γ, η denote the polarization auxiliary angle and the polarization phase difference, respectively.

For convenience, the parameters of the DST signal s_{M_1+2m-1} and s_{M_1+2m} are denoted by $(\theta_{M_1+2m-1}, \phi_{M_1+2m-1}, \gamma_{M_1+2m-1}, \eta_{M_1+2m-1})$ and $(\theta_{M_1+2m}, \phi_{M_1+2m}, \gamma_{M_1+2m}, \eta_{M_1+2m})$, respectively, with $\theta_{M_1+2m-1} = \theta_{M_1+2m}$ and $\phi_{M_1+2m-1} = \phi_{M_1+2m}$.

In discrete form, the received SST signals of a single tripole sensor at the k -th time instant can be denoted by a 3×1 vector $\mathbf{x}_s[k]$ (noise-free)

$$\mathbf{x}_s[k] = \sum_{m=1}^{M_1} \mathbf{p}_m s_m[k] \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{p}_m is the SST angular-polarization vector given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{p}_m &= \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_m \cos \phi_m & -\sin \phi_m \\ \cos \theta_m \sin \phi_m & \cos \phi_m \\ -\sin \theta_m & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sin \gamma_m e^{j\eta_m} \\ \cos \gamma_m \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \boldsymbol{\Omega}_m \cdot \mathbf{g}_m \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

In the above equation, $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_m$ denotes the angular matrix associated with DOA parameters θ and ϕ , and \mathbf{g}_m is the polarization vector including polarization parameters γ and η .

The DST signals collected by a single tripole sensor can be considered as the sum of all $2M_2$ sub-signals, where each sub-signal can be viewed as a SST signal. Hence, the received DST signals are in the form

$$\mathbf{x}_d[k] = \sum_{m=M_1+1}^{M_1+2M_2} \mathbf{p}_m s_m[k] \quad (3)$$

Considering a pair of sub-signals as a single composite DST signal, we can use a 2×1 vector \mathbf{s}_m to denote the m -th DST

signal corresponding to the pair of sub-signals s_{M_1+2m-1} and s_{M_1+2m} , which is defined by

$$\mathbf{s}_m[k] = \begin{bmatrix} s_{M_1+2m-1}[k] \\ s_{M_1+2m}[k] \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

Then, (3) can be transformed to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_d[k] &= \sum_{m=1}^{M_2} [\mathbf{p}_{M_1+2m-1} \quad \mathbf{p}_{M_1+2m}] \begin{bmatrix} s_{M_1+2m-1}[k] \\ s_{M_1+2m}[k] \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{M_2} \mathbf{P}_m \mathbf{s}_m[k] \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where \mathbf{P}_m is the angular-polarization matrix for DST signals

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_m &= [\mathbf{p}_{M_1+2m-1} \quad \mathbf{p}_{M_1+2m}] \\ &= [\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{M_1+2m-1} \mathbf{g}_{M_1+2m-1} \quad \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{M_1+2m} \mathbf{g}_{M_1+2m}] \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Note that the two sub-signals of the same DST signal share the same angular matrix, and here we use $\boldsymbol{\Xi}_m$ to represent the common angular matrix of the m -th DST signal, i.e.

$$\boldsymbol{\Xi}_m = \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{M_1+2m-1} = \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{M_1+2m} \quad (7)$$

We use \mathbf{G}_m to denote the polarization matrix of the m -th DST signal, defined as $\mathbf{G}_m = [\mathbf{g}_{M_1+2m-1} \quad \mathbf{g}_{M_1+2m}]$. Then, we have $\mathbf{P}_m = \boldsymbol{\Xi}_m \mathbf{G}_m$.

The total received signal $\mathbf{x}[k]$ is given by

$$\mathbf{x}[k] = \sum_{m=1}^{M_1} \mathbf{p}_m s_m[k] + \sum_{m=1}^{M_2} \mathbf{P}_m \mathbf{s}_m[k] \quad (8)$$

Now consider the whole array system. The steering vector \mathbf{a}_m is defined by

$$\mathbf{a}_m = [1, e^{-j\tau \sin \theta_m}, \dots, e^{-j(N-1)\tau \sin \theta_m}]^T \quad (9)$$

where τ is the phase shift between two adjacent sensors.

With noise vector $\mathbf{n}[k]$, the array output $\mathbf{y}[k]$ at the k -th snapshot is either given by [15]

$$\mathbf{y}[k] = \sum_{m=1}^{M_1+2M_2} \mathbf{a}_m \otimes \mathbf{p}_m \cdot s_m[k] + \mathbf{n}[k] \quad (10)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}[k] &= \sum_{m=1}^{M_1} \mathbf{a}_m \otimes \mathbf{p}_m \cdot s_m[k] \\ &+ \sum_{m=1}^{M_2} \mathbf{a}_{M_1+2m-1} \otimes \mathbf{P}_m \cdot \mathbf{s}_m[k] + \mathbf{n}[k] \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Note that in the DST signal part, $\mathbf{a}_{M_1+2m-1} = \mathbf{a}_{M_1+2m}$.

Define \mathbf{q}_m as the direction-polarization joint steering vector for SST signals, with

$$\mathbf{q}_m = \mathbf{a}_m \otimes \mathbf{p}_m \quad (12)$$

and \mathbf{Q}_m as the DST joint steering matrix, given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{Q}_m &= \mathbf{a}_{M_1+2m-1} \otimes \mathbf{P}_m \\ &= \mathbf{a}_{M_1+2m-1} \otimes [\mathbf{p}_{M_1+2m-1} \quad \mathbf{p}_{M_1+2m-1}] \\ &= [\mathbf{q}_{M_1+2m-1} \quad \mathbf{q}_{M_1+2m}] \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Then, (11) is simplified to

$$\mathbf{y}[k] = \sum_{m=1}^{M_1} \mathbf{q}_m \cdot s_m[k] + \sum_{m=1}^{M_2} \mathbf{Q}_m \cdot s_m[k] + \mathbf{n}[k] \quad (14)$$

III. PROPOSED ESTIMATORS

In this section, we first try to extend the classic MUSIC algorithm to the 4-D case to show that it will not work for DST signals, and then propose a two-step algorithm as a solution.

A. Extension of the Traditional MUSIC Estimator to 4-D

A traditional DOA estimator considers all incoming signals as individual SST signals, i.e. the given M_1 SST signals and M_2 DST signals will be considered as M_1+2M_2 SST signals.

For the general MST model, assume $M_1 + 2M_2 < 3N$. With eigen-decomposition, the covariance matrix $\mathbf{R} = E\{\mathbf{y}[k]\mathbf{y}[k]^H\}$ can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{R} = \sum_{n=1}^{3N} \lambda_n \mathbf{u}_n \mathbf{u}_n^H \quad (15)$$

where λ_n is the n -th eigenvalue and \mathbf{u}_n is the associated eigenvector. After sorting the $3N$ eigenvalues in descending order, the eigenvectors $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{M_1+2M_2}$ form the signal subspace \mathbf{U}_s , while $\mathbf{u}_{M_1+2M_2+1}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{3N}$ form the noise subspace \mathbf{U}_n . Both the SST joint steering vector \mathbf{q}_m and the DST joint steering matrix \mathbf{Q}_m are orthogonal to the noise subspace \mathbf{U}_n .

Then, we have

$$\mathbf{U}_n^H \mathbf{a}_m \otimes (\mathbf{\Omega}_m \mathbf{g}_m) = \mathbf{0} \quad (16)$$

The DOA and polarization parameters could be found by the peaks of the following cost function through a 4-D search.

$$F(\theta, \phi, \gamma, \eta) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{q}_m^H \mathbf{U}_n \mathbf{U}_n^H \mathbf{q}_m} \quad (17)$$

However, as shown in the following, there is an ambiguity problem with both DOA and polarisation of DST signals, which can not be obtained by the subspace based method.

Firstly, the ambiguity problem associated with the polarisation parameters is analysed. Suppose that the two sub-signals of the DST signal comes from $(\theta, \phi, \gamma_1, \eta_1)$ and $(\theta, \phi, \gamma_2, \eta_2)$, and they are also considered as two separate SST signals. \mathbf{a} is used to denote the common steering vector of the two sub-signals. \mathbf{p}_1 and \mathbf{p}_2 are used to denote their angular-polarisation vectors based on distinct polarisation parameters. According to (2), it can be obtained that

$$\mathbf{p}_1 = \mathbf{\Omega} \mathbf{g}_1, \mathbf{p}_2 = \mathbf{\Omega} \mathbf{g}_2 \quad (18)$$

Consider a non-existing signal from the same DOA of the sub-signals above (θ, ϕ) with an arbitrary polarisation (γ_3, η_3) different from (γ_1, η_1) and (γ_2, η_2) . The angular-polarisation vector \mathbf{p}_3 can be denoted as

$$\mathbf{p}_3 = \mathbf{\Omega} \mathbf{g}_3 \quad (19)$$

From (2), it can be learned that $\mathbf{g}_m, m \in [1, 3]$, is a column vector with two elements and $\mathbf{\Omega}$ is a matrix with two columns. Then (18) and (19) can be changed to

$$\mathbf{p}_m = g_{m1} \omega_1 + g_{m2} \omega_2 \quad (20)$$

where ω_1 and ω_2 denote the first and second column vector of $\mathbf{\Omega}$. g_{m1} and g_{m2} are the first and second elements in \mathbf{g}_m , respectively. As ω_1 is not in parallel with ω_2 from (2), (20) indicates that $\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2$ and \mathbf{p}_3 are three vectors in the same two-dimensional space determined by ω_1 and ω_2 . That means there exists a linear relationship among $\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2$ and \mathbf{p}_3 , which can be denoted by

$$\mathbf{p}_3 = \lambda_1 \mathbf{p}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{p}_2 \Rightarrow \mathbf{q}_3 = \lambda_1 \mathbf{q}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{q}_2 \quad (21)$$

where λ_1 and λ_2 are constants.

When the estimator is applied to a DST signal, the noise subspace will be orthogonal to the joint steering vectors of both sub-signals, where

$$\mathbf{U}_n^H \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{p}_1 = \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{U}_n^H \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{p}_2 = \mathbf{0} \quad (22)$$

Then, we have

$$\mathbf{U}_n^H \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{p}_3 = \mathbf{U}_n^H \mathbf{a} \otimes (\lambda_1 \mathbf{p}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{p}_2) = \mathbf{0} \quad (23)$$

As a result, $F(\theta, \phi, \gamma_3, \eta_3)$ will be recognised as a peak in the spectrum and wrongly identified as the parameters of a non-existing source. This means the algorithm fails when trying to estimate the polarization of DST signals. Note that this is an inherent limitation to the DST signals and there is no way to identify their polarisation parameters.

Next, we give an analysis to the ambiguity problem associated with the DOA of DST signals. (21) shows that by 4-D MUSIC, the DST signal's direction with arbitrary polarisation will be recognised as a false peak. The 'arbitrary polarisation' includes a special polarisation: the linear polarisation. As introduced in [21], there are infinite number of ambiguity steering vectors in parallel with a linearly polarised signal, where the ambiguity directions are in linear polarisation as well. From (2), the angular-polarisation vector \mathbf{p}_m can be viewed as an arbitrary vector in a 2-D space built by the two column vectors of $\mathbf{\Omega}_m$, where the elements in \mathbf{g}_m denote the weights of the vectors to indicate how these two column vectors form \mathbf{p}_m . If a signal s_1 is linearly polarised, it means that the angular-polarisation vector \mathbf{p}_1 is real-valued. As the two column vectors in $\mathbf{\Omega}_1$ are both real-valued, the intersection vector between $\mathbf{\Omega}_1$ and another different 2-D space $\mathbf{\Omega}_2$ is also

real-valued. Consequently, it is possible to locate the angular-polarisation vector \mathbf{p}_1 as the intersection vector between Ω_1 and Ω_2 . This means in the 2-D space Ω_2 , there exists another angular-polarisation vector \mathbf{p}_2 in parallel with \mathbf{p}_1 . If \mathbf{a}_1 is also in parallel with \mathbf{a}_2 , for example, $\theta_1 = \theta_2$ while $\phi_1 \neq \phi_2$, \mathbf{q}_2 will be in parallel with \mathbf{q}_1 and the parameters in \mathbf{q}_2 will be recognised as a false peak.

For the MST scenario, although the 4-D search algorithm cannot identify the DST signals, it works for SST signals. However, an obvious problem is its very high computational complexity. In the next subsection, a low-complexity two-step algorithm is proposed, which estimates the DOAs of SST and DST signals separately.

B. The Proposed Two-Step Method

In the proposed method, the first step is to apply a newly proposed SST estimator to obtain the DOA and polarization of SST signals, while the second step is to apply a specifically designed DST estimator to find the DOA of DST signals.

In the first step, we focus on the SST signals. By exploiting the orthogonality between the joint steering vector \mathbf{q}_m and the noise subspace \mathbf{U}_n and with $\mathbf{B}_m = \mathbf{a}_m \otimes \Omega_m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0} &= \mathbf{U}_n^H [\mathbf{a}_m \otimes (\Omega_m \mathbf{g}_m)] \\ &= \mathbf{U}_n^H [(\mathbf{a}_m \otimes \Omega_m) \mathbf{g}_m] = [\mathbf{U}_n^H \mathbf{B}_m] \mathbf{g}_m \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

For SST signals, there is only one polarization vector \mathbf{g}_m from a specific direction (θ_m, ϕ_m) satisfying $[\mathbf{U}_n^H \mathbf{B}_m] \mathbf{g}_m = \mathbf{0}$ and (24) indicates that the column rank of $\mathbf{U}_n^H \mathbf{B}_m$ equals to 1. Notice that $\mathbf{U}_n^H \mathbf{B}_m$ is a $(3N - M_1 - 2M_2) \times 2$ matrix. By multiplying its Hermitian transpose on the right side, the product matrix is a 2×2 matrix with rank 1, i.e.,

$$\text{rank}\{\mathbf{B}_m^H \mathbf{U}_n \mathbf{U}_n^H \mathbf{B}_m\} = 1 \quad (25)$$

As the matrix is not of full rank, we have

$$\det\{\mathbf{B}_m^H \mathbf{U}_n \mathbf{U}_n^H \mathbf{B}_m\} = 0 \quad (26)$$

where $\det\{\}$ represents the determinant of the matrix. By taking the inverse of (26), a DOA estimator for SST signals is given by

$$F_1(\theta_m, \phi_m) = \frac{1}{\det\{\mathbf{B}_m^H \mathbf{U}_n \mathbf{U}_n^H \mathbf{B}_m\}} \quad (27)$$

With the DOA information obtained, the polarization parameters can then be estimated through another 2-D search using (24). Besides, the first step will also detect the desired DOA angles of DST signals but with an infinite number of ambiguity directions. In the next step, the DOA of DST signals will be extracted from these results.

Since a DST signal s_m consists of two sub-signals s_{M_1+2m-1} and s_{M_1+2m} with different polarizations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{U}_n^H \mathbf{B}_{M_1+2m-1}] \mathbf{g}_{M_1+2m-1} &= \mathbf{0} \\ [\mathbf{U}_n^H \mathbf{B}_{M_1+2m}] \mathbf{g}_{M_1+2m} &= \mathbf{0} \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Since $\mathbf{B}_{M_1+2m-1} = \mathbf{B}_{M_1+2m}$, \mathbf{g}_{M_1+2m-1} and \mathbf{g}_{M_1+2m} are two distinct null vectors for $\mathbf{U}_n^H \mathbf{B}_{M_1+2m-1}$, which means $\mathbf{U}_n^H \mathbf{B}_{M_1+2m-1}$ is a zero matrix. Hence, the following cost function can be used to estimate directions of DST signals

$$F_2(\theta_n, \phi_n) = \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{B}_m^H \mathbf{U}_n \mathbf{U}_n^H \mathbf{B}_m\|_2} \quad (29)$$

where $\|\cdot\|_2$ denotes the l_2 -norm of the vector.

When the above DST estimator is applied to a mixture of SST and DST signals, it only selects directions with

$$\text{rank}\{\mathbf{b}_m^H \mathbf{U}_n \mathbf{U}_n^H \mathbf{b}_m\} = 0 \quad (30)$$

However, for SST signals, (25) indicates that the rank of $\mathbf{b}_m^H \mathbf{U}_n \mathbf{U}_n^H \mathbf{b}_m$ is 1 and therefore the DST estimator in (29) will miss the SST signals.

A summary to the proposed two-step algorithm:

- Calculate the noise subspace \mathbf{U}_n by applying eigenvalue decomposition to the estimated covariance matrix $\hat{\mathbf{R}}$.
- Apply the SST estimator (27) and find the DOAs of SST signals by 2-D search.
- Find the polarization parameters of SST signals using (24) by 2-D search if needed.
- Apply (29) to estimate the DOAs of DST signals.

IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

In this section, simulations are performed based on a scenario with one SST signal and one DST signal impinging on the array from the far field. A uniform linear tripole sensor array is employed with $M = 5$ sensors and an inter-element distance d equal to $\lambda/2$. The SST signal and each sub-signal of a DST signal have the same power σ_s^2 . The SST signal comes from $(\theta_1, \phi_1, \gamma_1, \eta_1) = (20^\circ, 20^\circ, 50^\circ, 10^\circ)$ and the DST signal comes from $(\theta_2, \phi_2, \gamma_2, \eta_2, \gamma_3, \eta_3) = (60^\circ, 60^\circ, 20^\circ, 50^\circ, 70^\circ, -40^\circ)$.

The performance of the proposed method is compared with the Cramér-Rao bound (CRB). The RMSE (root mean square error) of the azimuth-elevation angle θ, ϕ is calculated by 200 Monte-Carlo trials. The number of snapshots is $K = 100$ and the searching step size is 0.1° . As shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3, with the increase of SNR, both RMSE and CRB of all four DOA parameters gradually decrease. Although the DST signal has a higher total SNR than the SST signal, the RMSE of SST signal's DOA (θ_1 and ϕ_1) is closer to the CRB than that of the DST signal (θ_2 and ϕ_2).

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the DOA estimation problem for a mixture of SST and DST signals has been studied based on a tripole linear array for the first time. Firstly, an analysis of the direct 4-D extension of the original MUSIC algorithm was provided to explain that the 4-D MUSIC algorithm has an ambiguity problem with mixed signals. To avoid the DOA




Fig. 2. RMSE of SST DOA versus SNR.




Fig. 3. RMSE of DST DOA versus SNR.

ambiguity problem, a new subspace based DOA estimation method was proposed with two steps. The proposed method estimates the SST and DST signals' directions separately with two corresponding estimators, one for the SST signals and one for the DST signals. Simulation results showed that the proposed method was able to solve the estimation problem of mixed source signals effectively.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. O. Schmidt, "Multiple emitter location and signal parameter estimation," *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 276–280, March 1986.
- [2] R. Roy and T. Kailath, "ESPRIT-estimation of signal parameters via rotational invariance techniques," *IEEE Transactions on acoustics, speech, and signal processing*, vol. 37, no. 7, pp. 984–995, 1989.
- [3] J. M. Kim, O. K. Lee, and J. C. Ye, "Compressive MUSIC: Revisiting the link between compressive sensing and array signal processing," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 278–301, 2012.
- [4] Q. Shen, W. Liu, W. Cui, S. L. Wu, Y. D. Zhang, and M. Amin, "Low-complexity direction-of-arrival estimation based on wideband co-prime arrays," *IEEE Trans. Audio, Speech and Language Processing*, vol. 23, pp. 1445–1456, September 2015.
- [5] Q. Shen, W. Liu, W. Cui, and S. Wu, "Underdetermined DOA estimation under the compressive sensing framework: A review," *IEEE Access*, vol. 4, pp. 8865–8878, 2016.
- [6] R. Compton Jr, "On the performance of a polarization sensitive adaptive array," *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 718–725, September 1981.
- [7] J. Li and R. Compton, "Angle and polarization estimation in a coherent signal environment," *IEEE transactions on aerospace and electronic systems*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 706–716, 1993.
- [8] A. Nehorai and E. Paldi, "Vector-sensor array processing for electromagnetic source localization," *IEEE transactions on signal processing*, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 376–398, 1994.
- [9] K. T. Wong, "Direction finding/polarization estimation-dipole and/or loop triad (s)," *IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 679–684, 2001.
- [10] S. Miron, N. Le Bihan, and J. I. Mars, "Quaternion-MUSIC for vector-sensor array processing," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 54, no. 4, pp. 1218–1229, April 2006.
- [11] X. Zhou, Y. Shi, and W. Yang, "2-D DOA and polarization estimation of LFM signals with one electromagnetic vector sensor," in *Signal Processing, 2008. ICSP 2008. 9th International Conference on*. IEEE, 2008, pp. 386–389.
- [12] X. R. Zhang, Z. W. Liu, W. Liu, and Y. G. Xu, "Quasi-vector-cross-product based direction finding algorithm with a spatially stretched tripole," in *Proc. of the IEEE TENCON Conference*, Xi'an, China, October 2013.
- [13] Y. Xu and Z. Liu, "Simultaneous estimation of 2-D DOA and polarization of multiple coherent sources using an electromagnetic vector sensor array," *Journal-China Institute of Communications*, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 28–38, 2004.
- [14] X. Zhang, C. Chen, J. Li, and D. Xu, "Blind DOA and polarization estimation for polarization-sensitive array using dimension reduction MUSIC," *Multidimensional Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 67–82, 2014.
- [15] X. Lan, W. Liu, and H. Y. Ngan, "Joint 4-D DOA and polarization estimation based on linear tripole arrays," in *Digital Signal Processing (DSP), 2017 22nd International Conference on*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 1–5.
- [16] S. Liu, M. Jin, and X. Qiao, "Joint polarization-DOA estimation using circle array," in *Proc. IET International Radar Conference*, 2009, pp. 1–5.
- [17] A. Nehorai and E. Paldi, "Electromagnetic vector-sensor array processing," pp. 65–1, 1998.
- [18] W. Liu, "Channel equalization and beamforming for quaternion-valued wireless communication systems," *Journal of the Franklin Institute*, vol. 354, no. 18, pp. 8721–8733, 2017.
- [19] X. Lan and W. Liu, "Fully quaternion-valued adaptive beamforming based on crossed-dipole arrays," *Electronics*, vol. 6, no. 2, p. 34, 2017.
- [20] S. S. Qureshi, S. Ali, and S. A. Hassan, "Optimal polarization diversity gain in dual-polarized antennas using quaternions," *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 467–471, 2018.
- [21] K.-C. Ho, K.-C. Tan, and B. Tan, "Linear dependence of steering vectors associated with tripole arrays," *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, vol. 46, no. 11, pp. 1705–1711, 1998.