



This is a repository copy of *Classification of simple modules of the ore extension $K[X][Y; fddX]$* .

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/154252/>

Version: Published Version

Article:

Bavula, V.V. (2020) Classification of simple modules of the ore extension $K[X][Y; fddX]$.
Mathematics in Computer Science, 14. pp. 317-325. ISSN 1661-8270

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11786-019-00414-7>

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here:
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>



Classification of Simple Modules of the Ore Extension $K[X][Y; f \frac{d}{dX}]$

V. V. Bavula

Received: 29 November 2018 / Revised: 10 April 2019 / Accepted: 12 April 2019
© The Author(s) 2019

Abstract For the algebras Λ in the title of the paper, a classification of simple modules is given, an explicit description of the prime and completely prime spectra is obtained, the global and the Krull dimensions of Λ are computed.

Keywords A skew polynomial ring · A prime ideal · A completely prime ideal · A simple module · The global dimension · The Krull dimension · A normal element

Mathematics Subject Classification 16D60 · 13N10 · 16S32

1 Introduction

Let D be a ring and $A = D[x; \sigma, \delta]$ be a skew polynomial ring where σ is an automorphism of D and δ is a σ -derivation of D (for all $a, b \in D$, $\delta(ab) = \delta(a)b + \sigma(a)\delta(b)$). The ring A is generated by D and x subject to the defining relations $xa = \sigma(a)x + \delta(a)$ for all elements $a \in D$. When D is a Dedekind domain, a classification of simple A -modules is given in [4]. This is a large class of rings. A machinery is developed in [4] to cover all possible situations (non-commutative valuations, etc).

The algebra

$$\Lambda = K[X] \left[Y; \delta := f \frac{d}{dX} \right] = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} K[X]Y^i$$

is a particular example of the ring A where $\sigma = \text{id}$ is the identity automorphism of the polynomial ring $K[X]$, $f \in K[X]$ and $\delta = f \frac{d}{dX}$ is a K -derivation of $K[X]$ ($\delta(X) = f$). If $f = 1$ (or, more generally, $f \in K^\times \setminus \{0\}$) then the algebra $\Lambda(1)$ is the *Weyl algebra*

$$A_1 = K \langle X, \partial \mid \partial X - X\partial = 1 \rangle \simeq K[X] \left[Y; \frac{d}{dX} \right].$$

In 1981, a classification of simple A_1 -modules was obtained by Block (over the field of complex numbers) in [9] (see also [2, 3] for an alternative approach via generalized Weyl algebras in a more general situation).

V. V. Bavula (✉)
School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Sheffield, Hicks Building, Sheffield S3 7RH, UK
e-mail: v.bavula@sheffield.ac.uk

Recently, classifications of simple *weight* modules are obtained for some classical algebras (the *Euclidean* algebra, the *Schrödinger* algebra, the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2 \ltimes V_2)$), see [5–7]. In these classifications, classifications of *all simple* modules over certain subalgebras of the Weyl algebra A_1 that contain the polynomial algebra $K[X]$ (the, so-called, *polyonic* algebras) play a crucial role. The polyonic algebras are investigated in [8]. Each polyonic algebra contains the algebra $\Lambda = \Lambda(f)$ for some non-scalar polynomial $f \in K[X]$ which play an important role in studying of its properties. This is the main reason why we decided to collect main properties of the algebras Λ in this paper. In particular, a classification of simple Λ -modules is given in Sect. 2 (Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.10). This classification can be derived from [4] but we give different and simpler proofs which are based on generalized Weyl algebras rather than skew polynomial rings.

An ideal \mathfrak{p} of a ring R is called a *completely prime ideal* if the factor ring R/\mathfrak{p} is a domain. A completely prime ideal is a prime ideal. The sets of prime and completely prime ideals of the ring R are denoted by $\text{Spec}(R)$ and $\text{Spec}_c(R)$, respectively.

In Theorem 1.1, a classification of prime and completely prime ideals of the algebra Λ is given, the Krull and global dimensions of the algebra Λ are found. The algebra Λ is a Noetherian domain of Gelfand-Kirillov dimension 2.

Theorem 1.1 *Let K be a field of characteristic zero, $\Lambda = K[X][Y; \delta := f \frac{d}{dX}]$ where $f \in K[X] \setminus K$. Let $f = p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_s^{n_s}$ be a unique (up to permutation) product of irreducible polynomials of $K[X]$. Then*

1. *The Krull dimension of Λ is $\text{Kdim}(\Lambda) = 2$.*
2. *The global dimension of Λ is $\text{gldim}(\Lambda) = 2$.*
3. *The elements p_1, \dots, p_s are regular normal elements of the algebra Λ (i.e. p_i is a non-zero-divisor of Λ and $p_i \Lambda = \Lambda p_i$).*
4. *$\text{Spec}(\Lambda) = \text{Spec}_c(\Lambda) = \{0, \Lambda p_i, (p_i, q_i) \mid i = 1, \dots, s; q_i \in \text{Irr}_m(F_i[Y])\}$ where $F_i := K[X]/(p_i)$ is a field and $\text{Irr}_m(F_i[Y])$ is the set of monic irreducible polynomials of the polynomial algebra $F_i[Y]$ over the field F_i in the variable Y . If, in addition, the field K is an algebraically closed and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s$ are the roots of the polynomial f then $\text{Spec}(\Lambda) = \{0, \Lambda(X - \lambda_i), (X - \lambda_i, Y - \mu) \mid i = 1, \dots, s; \mu \in K\}$.*

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is given in Sect. 3.

2 Classification of Simple Λ -Modules

In this section, ‘module’ means left module, K is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, $\Lambda = K[X][Y, \delta = f \frac{d}{dX}]$ where $f \in K[X] \setminus K$. The algebra Λ is a Noetherian domain. The aim of the section is to give a classification of simple Λ -modules (Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.10).

The element f is a regular normal element of Λ . It follows from

$$fY = Yf - f'f = (Y - f')f, \quad \text{where } f' = \frac{df}{dX},$$

that the element f is a *normal* element of Λ (i.e. $\Lambda f = f\Lambda$). It determines a K -automorphism ω_f of the algebra Λ :

$$fu = \omega_f(u)f, \quad u \in \Lambda,$$

$$\omega_f : X \mapsto X, \quad Y \mapsto Y - f'.$$

The algebra Λ can be identified with a subalgebra of the first Weyl algebra A_1 by the map

$$\Lambda \rightarrow A_1, \quad X \mapsto X, \quad Y \mapsto f\partial. \tag{1}$$

The Weyl algebra A_1 is a generalized Weyl algebra. The Weyl algebra A_1 is a simple Noetherian domain with *restricted minimum condition*, i.e. any proper left or right factor module of A_1 has finite length, [10].

Definition, [1,2]. Let D be a ring, σ be an automorphisms of D and a be a central element of D . A **generalized Weyl algebra** (GWA) $A = D(\sigma, a)$ of degree 1, is the ring generated by D and by two indeterminates X and Y subject to the relations [1,2]: For all $\alpha \in D$,

$$X\alpha = \sigma(\alpha)X \text{ and } Y\alpha = \sigma^{-1}(\alpha)Y, \quad YX = a \text{ and } XY = \sigma(a).$$

The algebra

$$A = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} A_n$$

is a \mathbb{Z} -graded algebra, where $A_n = Dv_n$, $v_n = X^n$ ($n > 0$), $v_n = Y^{-n}$ ($n < 0$), $v_0 = 1$.

The Weyl algebra A_1 is a GWA,

$$A_1 = D(\sigma, a = H), \quad X \leftrightarrow X, \quad \partial \leftrightarrow Y, \quad \partial X \leftrightarrow H, \quad D = K[H],$$

with coefficients from a polynomial ring $K[H]$ where $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_K K[H]$ and $\sigma : H \rightarrow H - 1$.

We denote by Λ_f (resp., $A_{1,f}$) the localization of the ring Λ (resp., A_1) at the powers of the element f , i.e.

$$\Lambda_f = S_f^{-1}\Lambda, \quad (\text{resp., } A_{1,f} = S_f^{-1}A_1) \text{ where } S_f = \{f^i, i \geq 0\}.$$

By (1), Λ_f is a subalgebra of $A_{1,f}$ such that

$$\Lambda_f = A_{1,f}. \tag{2}$$

The algebras Λ and A_1 can be considered as subalgebras of $A_{1,f}$,

$$\Lambda \subseteq A_1 \subseteq \Lambda_f = A_{1,f}. \tag{3}$$

The algebra $A_{1,f}$ is a simple Noetherian domain with restricted minimum condition.

$\hat{\Lambda}(f - \text{torsion})$. The sets of isoclasses of Λ -modules $\hat{\Lambda}$ and of A_1 -modules \hat{A}_1 are disjoint unions of f -torsion ($M_f = 0$) and f -torsionfree ($M_f \neq 0$) simple Λ -modules and A_1 -modules, respectively,

$$\hat{\Lambda} = \hat{\Lambda}(f - \text{torsion}) \coprod \hat{\Lambda}(f - \text{torsionfree}), \tag{4}$$

$$\hat{A}_1 = \hat{A}_1(f - \text{torsion}) \coprod \hat{A}_1(f - \text{torsionfree}). \tag{5}$$

Lemma 2.1 is a classification of simple f -torsion Λ -modules.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s$ be the roots of the polynomial f . Then*

$$\hat{\Lambda}(f - \text{torsion}) = \{[\Lambda/\Lambda(X - \lambda_i, Y - \mu)] \mid i = 1, \dots, s; \mu \in K\}.$$

All these Λ -modules are 1-dimensional and they are the only simple finite dimensional Λ -modules (by Theorem 2.10).

Proof Each simple f -torsion Λ -module M is annihilated by the (normal) element f ($fM = 0$). So, in fact, the Λ -module M is a simple module over the factor algebra

$$\Lambda/(f) = K[X, Y]/(f)$$

which is isomorphic to the factor algebra of the polynomial ring $K[X, Y]$ in two variables at the ideal (f) generated by f , and the equality in the lemma follows.

Let N be a simple Λ -module. Then the map

$$f_N : N \rightarrow N, \quad n \mapsto fn$$

is either 0 or a bijection (f is normal in Λ). In the second case N is, in fact, a simple $(\Lambda_f \equiv A_{1,f})$ -module, so $\dim_K N = \infty$, since $A_{1,f}$ is a simple infinite dimensional algebra. If the module N is finite dimensional, then $fN = 0$, i.e. $[N] \in \hat{\Lambda}(f - \text{torsion})$. \square

$\hat{\Lambda}(f - \text{torsionfree})$. The sum of all simple submodules of a Λ -module M is called the *socle* of M which is denoted by $\text{soc}_\Lambda M$. It is the largest semisimple submodule of M . A Λ -module N is called Λ -*socle* (or, *socle*, for short) provided $\text{soc}_\Lambda N \neq 0$. Denote by $\hat{A}_1(\Lambda\text{-socle})$ the set of isoclasses of simple Λ -socle A_1 -modules. The proof of the following lemma is evident (see [2, Lemma 3.4] for details).

Lemma 2.2 1. *The canonical map*

$$(\cdot)_f : \hat{\Lambda}(f - \text{torsionfree}) \rightarrow \hat{A}_{1,f}(\Lambda - \text{socle}), [M] \mapsto [M_f := A_{1,f} \otimes_\Lambda M]$$

is a bijection with inverse $[N] \rightarrow [\text{soc}_\Lambda(N)]$.

2. *Each simple f -torsionfree Λ -module has the form*

$$M_{\mathfrak{m}} := \Lambda / \Lambda \cap \mathfrak{m} \tag{6}$$

for some maximal left ideal \mathfrak{m} of the ring $A_{1,f}$. Two such modules are isomorphic, $M_{\mathfrak{m}} \simeq M_{\mathfrak{n}}$, iff the $A_{1,f}$ -modules $A_{1,f}/\mathfrak{m}$ and $A_{1,f}/\mathfrak{n}$ are isomorphic. \square

Lemma 2.3 *Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s$ be the roots of the polynomial f . Then*

$$\hat{A}_1(f - \text{torsion}) = \{[M_i := A_1/A_1(X - \lambda_i)] \mid i = 1, \dots, s\}.$$

Proof As a vector space the module M_i can be identified with the polynomial ring $K[y]$ in a variable $y = \partial + A_1(X - \lambda_i)$ and

$$\partial y^j = y^{j+1}, \quad X y^j = \lambda_i y^j + \dots \quad \text{for } j \geq 0$$

where by three dots we denote the sum of elements of smaller degree in the variable y . Thus the linear operator

$$X - \mu : M_i \rightarrow M_i, \quad m \mapsto (X - \mu)m, \quad m \in M_i,$$

is nilpotent if and only if $\mu = \lambda_i$; otherwise, $X - \mu$ is an isomorphism of the vector space M_i . From this fact it follows that the A_1 -modules $\{M_i\}$ are simple and non-isomorphic.

Now, let $[M] \in \hat{A}_1(f - \text{torsion})$. Then there exists i such that M is an epimorphic image of M_i , hence $M \simeq M_i$. \square

Theorem 2.4 *The map*

$$\hat{A}_1(f - \text{torsionfree}) = \hat{A}_1 \setminus \{[M_1], \dots, [M_s]\} \rightarrow \hat{A}_{1,f}, \quad [M] \mapsto [M_f]$$

is bijective.

Proof The map above is well defined and injective.

Let $[N] \in \hat{A}_{1,f}$. Then $N \simeq A_{1,f}/J$ for some nonzero maximal left ideal J of $A_{1,f}$. Then $I = J \cap A_1 \neq 0$ and A_1/I is a A_1 -submodule of N . The A_1 -module A_1/I has finite length [10], thus it contains a simple A_1 -submodule, say M . Then $N \simeq M_f$ which means that the map above is surjective. \square

Recall that $D = K[H]$. The localization $B = S^{-1}A_1$ of the Weyl algebra A_1 at the Ore set $S = D \setminus \{0\}$ is a *skew Laurent polynomial ring*

$$B = K(H)[X, X^{-1}; \sigma], \quad \sigma(H) = H - 1,$$

with coefficients from the field $K(H)$ of rational functions. The algebra B is a right and left *Euclidean* domain with respect to the ‘length’ function

$$l : B \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}, \quad l(\alpha X^m + \beta X^{m+1} + \dots + \gamma X^n) = n - m, \quad \alpha \neq 0, \gamma \neq 0 \in K(H),$$

hence, it is a right and left principal ideal domain.

We have

$$\hat{A}_1 = \hat{A}_1(D - \text{torsion}) \coprod \hat{A}_1(D - \text{torsionfree})$$

where a simple A_1 -module M belongs to the first (resp., second) set if $S^{-1}M = 0$ (resp., $S^{-1}M \neq 0$).

For $\lambda \in K$ set $\mathcal{O}(\lambda) := \lambda + \mathbb{Z}$. We say that scalars λ and μ are *equivalent*, $\lambda \sim \mu$, if either $\mathcal{O}(\lambda) = \mathcal{O}(\mu) \neq \mathbb{Z}$ or both λ and μ belong either to $(-\infty, 0] := \{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid i \leq 0\}$ or to $[1, \infty) := \{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid i \geq 1\}$. Then \sim is an equivalence relation on K . Let K/\sim be the set of equivalence classes of K under \sim . So, the elements of the set K/\sim are distinct sets $\lambda + \mathbb{Z}$ where $\lambda \notin \mathbb{Z}$ and the two sets $(-\infty, 0]$ and $[1, \infty)$. Notice that $\mathbb{Z} = (-\infty, 0] \coprod [1, \infty)$.

Proposition 2.5 ([2, Theorem 3.1]) *The map*

$$K/\sim \rightarrow \hat{A}_1(D - \text{torsion}), \quad [\Gamma] \mapsto [L(\Gamma)],$$

is a bijection, where

1. *If $\Gamma = \mathcal{O}(\lambda) \neq \mathbb{Z}$, then $L(\Gamma) = A_1/A_1(H - \lambda)$.*
2. *If $\Gamma = (-\infty, 0]$, then $L(\Gamma) = A_1/A_1X$.*
3. *If $\Gamma = [1, \infty)$, then $L(\Gamma) = A_1/A_1(H - 1, Y)$.* □

Corollary 2.6

$$\hat{A}_1(D - \text{torsion}, f - \text{torsion}) = \begin{cases} \{[L((-\infty, 0]) = A_1/A_1X]\} & \text{if } 0 \text{ is a root of } f(X), \\ \emptyset & \text{if } 0 \text{ is not a root of } f(X). \end{cases}$$

Proof Straightforward. □

Corollary 2.7 *Let $[M] \in \hat{A}_1(D - \text{torsion}, f - \text{torsionfree})$.*

1. *If $M = A_1/A_1X$ (i.e. 0 is not a root of f , by Corollary 2.6) then M is a simple f -torsionfree Λ -module with $M = M_f$.*
2. *If $M \neq A_1/A_1X$ then $\text{soc}_\Lambda M = \text{soc}_\Lambda M_f = 0$. The set $\hat{\Lambda}(D - \text{torsion}, f - \text{torsionfree})$ is equal to $\{A_1/A_1/X\}$ if 0 is not a root of f and \emptyset , otherwise.*

Proof 1. As a vector space the module $M = A_1/A_1X$ has the basis $\{y^i = \partial^i + A_1X, i \geq 0\}$, and

$$\partial y^i = y^{i+1}, \quad X y^i = -i y^{i-1} \quad \text{and} \quad Y y^i = f(0) y^{i+1} + \sum_{0 \leq j < i} \mu_j y^j,$$

for some scalars $\mu_j \in K$. Now, it is obvious that the Λ -module M is a simple f -torsionfree Λ -module ($f(0) \neq 0$). Moreover, the linear map $f_M : M \rightarrow M, m \mapsto fm$ is a bijection, hence, $M = M_f$.

2. Since $\Lambda_f = A_{1,f}$, $\text{soc}_\Lambda M = \text{soc}_\Lambda M_f$. Let M belongs to the first (resp., third) class of modules from Proposition 2.5, i.e.

$$M = L(\Gamma), \quad \Gamma = \mathcal{O}(\lambda) \neq \mathbb{Z} \quad (\text{resp.}, \quad \Gamma = [1, \infty)).$$

The element $\bar{1} = 1 + A_1(H - \lambda)$ (resp., $\bar{1} = 1 + A_1(H - 1, Y)$) is a canonical generator of the A_1 -module M . In both cases, for $i \geq 0$, set $x^i = X^i \bar{1}$. In the first case, for $i < 0$, set $x^i = \mu_i \partial^{-i} \bar{1}$, $\mu_i \in K$. The scalars μ_i can be chosen in such a way that (in both cases) $X x^i = x^{i+1}$ for all possible i . Degree argument shows that the module M contains a strictly descending chain of Λ -submodules

$$M \supset fM \supset \cdots \supset f^n M \supset \cdots \quad \text{with} \quad \bigcap_{n \geq 0} f^n M = 0.$$

Suppose that $N := \text{soc}_\Lambda M \neq 0$, then, in a view of Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 2.4, N is an essential simple Λ -submodule of both M_f and M , hence $0 \neq N \subseteq \bigcap_{n \geq 0} f^n M = 0$, a contradiction. □

An element of a ring is called *regular* if it is not a zero divisor. Given a ring A and a multiplicatively closed subset S of A which consists of regular normal elements. Let $B = S^{-1}A$ be the localization of A at S .

Theorem 2.8 *Let A , B , and S be as above and let \mathfrak{m} be a maximal left ideal of B . The following are equivalent.*

1. The A -module $M_{\mathfrak{m}} := A/A \cap \mathfrak{m}$ is simple.
2. The socle $\text{soc}_A(M_{\mathfrak{m}}) \neq 0$.
3. $A = As + A \cap \mathfrak{m}$ for all $s \in S$.

□

Remark. If $S = \{f^n, n \geq 0\}$ for some regular normal element f of A , then the last condition of this lemma is equivalent to $A = Af + A \cap \mathfrak{m}$. We shall use this fact in what follows. In general situation, it suffices to check whether the third condition holds only for generators of the monoid S .

Proof The implications $(1 \Rightarrow 2)$ and $(1 \Rightarrow 3)$ are obvious.

$(2 \Rightarrow 1)$ If $\text{soc}_A(M_{\mathfrak{m}}) \neq 0$ then it is a simple A -module which for some $s \in S$ is equal to

$$(As + A \cap \mathfrak{m})/A \cap \mathfrak{m} \simeq As/As \cap \mathfrak{m} \simeq A/A \cap \mathfrak{m}s^{-1} = \omega_s(A)/\omega_s(A \cap \mathfrak{m}) \simeq \omega_s^{-1}M_{\mathfrak{m}},$$

where $\omega_s^{-1}M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is the twisted A -module $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ by the automorphism ω_s^{-1} of A (the element s is regular and normal). Since the A -module $\omega_s^{-1}M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is simple, so is $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

$(3 \Rightarrow 1)$ If J is a left ideal of A which contains $A \cap \mathfrak{m}$ but does not coincide with it, then, by the maximality of \mathfrak{m} , $S^{-1}J = B$. Therefore $J \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Let $s \in J \cap S$. Then $J \supseteq As + A \cap \mathfrak{m} = A$, that is $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a simple A -module. □

$\hat{A}_1(D - \text{torsionfree})$. Let us recall a description of $\hat{A}_1(D - \text{torsionfree})$ from [2]. In the set $S = K[H] \setminus \{0\}$ consider the relation $<: \alpha < \beta$ if there are no roots λ and μ of the polynomial α and β respectively and such that $\lambda - \mu$ is non-negative integer.

Definition, [2]. An element $b = Y^m \beta_{-m} + \dots + \beta_0 \in A_1, m > 0$, all $\beta_i \in D$, is called ***l-normal*** if $\beta_0 < \beta_{-m}$ and $\beta_0 < H$, (i.e. the polynomial β_0 has no root from $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$) and there are no roots λ and μ of the polynomials β_0 and β_m respectively with $\lambda - \mu \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

Theorem 2.9 ([2, Theorem 3.8]) *Let $b = Y^m \beta_{-m} + \dots + \beta_0 \in A_1, m > 0$, all $\beta_i \in D$, be an *l-normal* and irreducible element in B . Then*

$$\mathcal{M}_b := A_1/A_1 \cap Bb$$

is a simple D -torsionfree A_1 -module. Two such A_1 -modules are isomorphic, $\mathcal{M}_b \simeq \mathcal{M}_c$, iff $B/Bb \simeq B/Bc$ as B -modules. Each simple D -torsionfree A_1 -module is isomorphic to some \mathcal{M}_b . □

Set

$$B_f := S_f^{-1}B = A_{1,f} \otimes_{\Lambda} B = \Lambda_f \otimes_{\Lambda} B$$

for the localization of the (left) Λ -module B at S_f . Then the algebra $A_{1,f} = \Lambda_f$ can be considered as a $(A_{1,f} = \Lambda_f)$ -submodules of B_f . For any nonzero $b \in B$, $(Bb)_f = B_f b$.

Theorem 2.10 is a classification of simple f -torsionfree Λ -modules.

Theorem 2.10 *Let $b = Y^m \beta_{-m} + \dots + \beta_0 \in A_1, m > 0$, all $\beta_i \in D$, be an *l-normal* and irreducible element in B such that*

1. $\Lambda = \Lambda f + \Lambda \cap B_f b (= \Lambda f + \Lambda \cap Bb)$, and
2. the simple B -module B/Bb is not isomorphic to any of modules $B/B(X - \lambda)$ where λ runs through the nonzero roots of f .

Then

$$\mathcal{M}_b := \Lambda/\Lambda \cap Bb \quad (= \Lambda/\Lambda \cap B_f b)$$

is a simple f -torsionfree Λ -module. Two such Λ -modules are isomorphic, $\mathcal{M}_b \simeq \mathcal{M}_c$, iff $B/Bb \simeq B/Bc$ as B -modules.

Each simple f -torsionfree Λ -module is isomorphic either to some \mathcal{M}_b or to the module $M = A_1/A_1X$ from Corollary 2.7, if 0 is not a root of f (the Λ -module M is not isomorphic to any \mathcal{M}_b). The condition 1 above is equivalent to the condition that $\Lambda = \Lambda(X - \lambda_i) + \Lambda \cap B_f b \quad (= \Lambda(X - \lambda_i) + \Lambda \cap Bb)$ for all roots λ_i of the polynomial f .

Each simple f -torsionfree Λ -module is infinite dimensional.

Proof By Lemma 2.2,

$$[M] \in \hat{\Lambda}(f - \text{torsionfree}) \Leftrightarrow [M_f] \in \hat{A}_{1,f}(\Lambda - \text{socle})$$

and $M = \text{soc}_\Lambda(M_f) \simeq \Lambda/\Lambda \cap \mathfrak{m}$ for some maximal left ideal \mathfrak{m} of $A_{1,f}$. By Corollary 2.7, either $M_f \simeq A_1/A_1X$ (0 is not a root of f) or $M_f \in \hat{A}_{1,f}(D - \text{torsionfree}, \Lambda - \text{socle})$. In the first case, $M = \text{soc}_\Lambda(M_f) = M_f = A_1/A_1X$ (Corollary 2.7).

In the second case, by Theorems 2.4 and 2.9,

$$M_f \simeq (\mathcal{M}_b)_f = A_{1,f}/A_{1,f} \cap B_f b$$

for some l -normal irreducible element b from Theorem 2.9. Note that the left ideal $\mathfrak{m} = A_{1,f} \cap B_f b$ of $A_{1,f}$ is maximal. By Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 2.9, $[\mathcal{M}_b] \in \hat{A}_1(D - \text{torsionfree}, f - \text{torsionfree})$ iff the second condition of the theorem holds. Now,

$$\text{soc}_\Lambda(M_f) = \text{soc}_\Lambda(\mathcal{M}_b)_f = \text{soc}_\Lambda(\Lambda/\Lambda \cap A_{1,f} \cap B_f b) = \text{soc}_\Lambda(\Lambda/\Lambda \cap B_f b). \quad (7)$$

By Theorem 2.8 and by the Remark after it,

$$\text{soc}_\Lambda(M_f) \neq 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad \Lambda = \Lambda f + \Lambda \cap (A_{1,f} \cap B_f b) = \Lambda f + \Lambda \cap B_f b.$$

In this case,

$$\text{soc}_\Lambda(M_f) = \Lambda/\Lambda \cap (A_{1,f} \cap B_f b) = \Lambda/\Lambda \cap B_f b.$$

Let us show that (in this case) the natural Λ -module epimorphism

$$\varphi : M_b = \Lambda/\Lambda \cap Bb \rightarrow \Lambda/\Lambda \cap B_f b, \quad \lambda + \Lambda \cap Bb \rightarrow \lambda + \Lambda \cap B_f b,$$

is an isomorphism. Note that

$$\ker \varphi = \Lambda \cap B_f b / \Lambda \cap Bb.$$

The A_1 -module \mathcal{M}_b is a submodule of $(\mathcal{M}_b)_f \simeq M_f$. So,

$$\text{soc}_\Lambda(M_f) = \text{soc}_\Lambda(\mathcal{M}_b) = \text{soc}_\Lambda(\Lambda/\Lambda \cap Bb).$$

By assumption $\text{soc}_\Lambda(M_f) \neq 0$, then it is a simple essential f -torsionfree Λ -submodule of M_f . If $\ker \varphi \neq 0$, then $\text{soc}_\Lambda(M_f) \subseteq \ker \varphi$, but $\ker \varphi$ is an f -torsion Λ -module, a contradiction.

Let \mathcal{M}_b and \mathcal{M}_c be as in the theorem. By Lemma 2.2, $\mathcal{M}_b \simeq \mathcal{M}_c$ as Λ -modules $\Leftrightarrow A_{1,f} \otimes_\Lambda \mathcal{M}_b \simeq A_{1,f} \otimes_\Lambda \mathcal{M}_c$ as $A_{1,f}$ -modules. Since

$$A_{1,f} \otimes_\Lambda \mathcal{M}_b \simeq A_{1,f}/A_{1,f} \cap B_f b \simeq (\mathcal{M}_b)_f,$$

by Theorem 2.4, the above $A_{1,f}$ -modules are isomorphic iff $\mathcal{M}_b \simeq \mathcal{M}_c$ as A_1 -modules, so, by Theorem 2.9, $B/Bb \simeq B/Bc$ as B -modules.

The condition 1 of the theorem is equivalent to the condition that $\Lambda = \Lambda(X - \lambda_i) + \Lambda \cap B_f b (= \Lambda(X - \lambda_i) + \Lambda \cap Bb)$ for all roots λ_i of the polynomial f (since the elements $X - \lambda_i$ are regular normal elements of Λ and λ_i are the roots of f).

By Lemma 2.1, each simple f -torsionfree Λ -module is infinite dimensional. If 0 is not a root of f , then the modules $M = A_1/A_1X$ and \mathcal{M}_b (from the theorem) are not isomorphic, since the linear map $X_M : M \rightarrow M, m \mapsto Xm$ is locally nilpotent but $\ker X_{\mathcal{M}_b} = 0$. □

3 The Prime Ideals, the Krull and Global Dimensions of the Algebra Λ

In this section, K is a field of characteristic zero (not necessarily algebraically closed) and $f = p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_s^{n_s}$ is a nonscalar polynomial of $K[X]$ where p_1, \dots, p_s are irreducible, co-prime divisors of f (i.e. $K[X]p_i + K[X]p_j = K[X]$ for all $i \neq j$). The aim of this section is to give a proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 3. The elements p_1, \dots, p_s are regular normal elements of the algebra Λ since

$$Yp_i = p_i(Y - p_i^{-1}f) \text{ and } Xp_i = p_iX.$$

4. The algebra Λ is a domain, hence $0 \in \text{Spec}_c(\Lambda)$.

Since

$$\Lambda/\Lambda p_i \simeq F_i[Y] \tag{8}$$

is a polynomial algebra with coefficients in the field F_i (since $YX - XY = f \in \Lambda p_i$), the ideal Λp_i is a completely prime ideal of Λ .

By (3), $\Lambda_f = A_{1,f}$ is a simple algebra (as a localization of a simple Noetherian algebra). If \mathfrak{p} is a nonzero prime ideal of the algebra Λ then $f^n \in \mathfrak{p}$ for some natural number $n \geq 1$. Hence, $p_i \in \mathfrak{p}$ for some i , by statement 3. By (8), $\mathfrak{p} = (p_i, g_i)$ for some monic irreducible polynomial g_i of the polynomial algebra $F_i[Y]$.

1. By [11, Theorem 6.5.4.(i)], $\text{Kdim}(\Lambda) \leq \text{Kdim}(K[X]) + 1 = 1 + 1 = 2$.

Since p_i is a regular normal element of the algebra Λ ,

$$\text{Kdim}(\Lambda) \geq \text{Kdim}(\Lambda/\Lambda p_i) + 1 \stackrel{(8)}{=} \text{Kdim}(F_i[Y]) + 1 = 1 + 1 = 2,$$

by [11, Theorem 6. 5.9]. Therefore, $\text{Kdim}(\Lambda) = 2$.

2. By [11, Theorem 7.5.3.(i)], $\text{gldim}(\Lambda) \leq \text{gldim}(K[X]) + 1 = 1 + 1 = 2$.

By (8), $\text{gldim}(\Lambda/\Lambda p_i) = \text{gldim}(F_i[Y]) = 1 < \infty$. Now, by [11, Theorem 7.3.5.(i)],

$$\text{gldim}(\Lambda) \geq \text{gldim}(\Lambda/\Lambda p_i) + 1 \stackrel{(8)}{=} \text{gldim}(F_i[Y]) + 1 = 1 + 1 = 2.$$

Therefore, $\text{gldim}(\Lambda) = 2$. □

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

1. Bavula, V.V.: The finite-dimensionality of Ext^n 's and Tor_n 's of simple modules over a class of algebras. *Funktion. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **25**(3), 80–82 (1991)

2. Bavula, V.V.: Generalized Weyl algebras and their representations. *Algebra i Analiz* **4**(1), 75–97 (1992). English transl. in *St.Petersburg Math. J.* **4**(1) (1993), 71–92
3. Bavula, V.V.: Generalized Weyl algebras, kernel and tensor-simple algebras, their simple modules, representations of algebras. In: Dlab, V., Lenzing, H. (eds.) *Sixth International Conference, August 19–22, 1992. CMS Conference proceedings, vol. 14*, pp. 83–106 (1993)
4. Bavula, V.V.: The simple modules of the ore extensions with coefficients from Dedekind ring. *Commun. Algebra* **27**(6), 2665–2699 (1999)
5. Bavula, V.V., Lu, T.: Prime ideals of the enveloping algebra of the Euclidean algebra and a classification of its simple weight modules. *J. Math. Phys.* **58**(1), 011701 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4973378>
6. Bavula, V.V., Lu, T.: Classification of simple weight modules over the Schrödinger algebra. *Canad. Math. Bull.* **61**(1), 16–39 (2018)
7. Bavula, V.V., Lu, T.: The universal enveloping algebra $U(2 \ltimes V_2)$, its prime spectrum and a classification of its simple weight modules. *J. Lie Theory* **28**(2), 525–560 (2018)
8. Bavula, V.V., Cai, Y., Lü, R., Tan, H., Zhao, K.: The Polyonic Algebras and their simple modules (work in progress)
9. Block, R.E.: The irreducible representations of the Lie algebra $sl(2)$ and of the Weyl algebra. *Adv. Math.* **39**, 69–110 (1981)
10. Dixmier, J.: Sur les algèbres de Weyl, II. *Bull. Sci. Math.* **94**(2), 289–301 (1970)
11. McConnell, J.C., Robson, J.C.: *Noncommutative Noetherian rings. With the cooperation of L. W. Small. Revised edition.* In: *Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 30.* American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (2001)