

This is a repository copy of *Precision of maxillo-mandibular registration with intraoral* scanners in vitro.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/152693/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Gintaute, A, Keeling, AJ orcid.org/0000-0003-4598-3744, Osnes, CA orcid.org/0000-0003-4652-3854 et al. (3 more authors) (2020) Precision of maxillo-mandibular registration with intraoral scanners in vitro. Journal of Prosthodontic Research, 64 (2). pp. 114-119. ISSN 1883-1958

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpor.2019.05.006

© 2019 Japan Prosthodontic Society. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



Table 1.Occlusal contact surface areas (means, in mm²) with 95% CIs, by groups and scanner. The p-value represents the ANOVA results for each group.

	Surface area (95% CI)			
Group	CEREC	TRIOS	PLANMECA	p-value
Α	9.5 (7.32–11.7)	33.7 (7.8–59.6)	57.7 (36.5–78.8)	0.001
В	26.5 (17.3–35.7)	49.9 (24.4–75.4)	58.8 (41.0–76.6)	0.015
С	19.6 (8.4–30.8)	23.37 (9.9–36.8)	60.2 (20.2–100.2)	0.015
D	25.3 (15.2–35.4)	66.7 (44.2–89.2)	52.3 (27.6–77.0)	0.011
Е	5.7 (3.51–7.9)	7.8 (0.3–15.2)	25.2 (16.6–33.9)	<0.001
F	11.7 (8.4–15.0)	8.7 (6.9–10.4)	22.2 (19.7–24.8)	<0.001