

This is a repository copy of *F-thresholds and generalized test ideals of determinantal ideals of maximal minors*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/151504/

Version: Submitted Version

# Article:

Henriques, I.B.D.A. orcid.org/0000-0003-3916-7556 (Submitted: 2014) F-thresholds and generalized test ideals of determinantal ideals of maximal minors. arXiv. (Submitted)

© 2014 The Author(s). For reuse permissions, please contact the Author(s).

## Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

## **Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



# F-THRESHOLDS AND GENERALIZED TEST IDEALS OF DETERMINANTAL IDEALS OF MAXIMAL MINORS

#### I. BONACHO DOS ANJOS HENRIQUES

ABSTRACT. We study higher jumping numbers and generalized test ideals associated to determinantal ideals over a field of positive characteristic. We work in positive characteristic to give a complete characterization of both families for ideals generated by maximal minors; and make a conjecture for the general case. Although these invariants are understood asymptotically, as their positive characteristic grows to infinity they essentially coincide with their characteristic zero analogues, there are known examples where the behavior in positive characteristic differs significantly from that of characteristic zero. This new knowledge associated to determinantal ideals strengthens the case that test ideals and multiplier ideals exhibit essentially the same description.

#### 1. Introduction

Test ideals first appeared in the context of Tight Closure theory and were proved to reflect the singularities of a ring of positive characteristic. *Generalized test ideals* in algebra, as well as *multiplier ideals* in birational geometry and analysis, spurred from an effort to better measure singularities. These far more subtle measurements emerged from analytic, geometric and algebraic points of view and have been the subject of a number of recent survey articles, e.g. [ScTu],[BeFaS].

Motivated by a close connection to multiplier ideals in characteristic zero, N. Hara and K. Yoshida defined generalized test ideals as their prime characteristic analogue, cf. [HaY]. Whereas multiplier ideals are defined geometrically, using log resolutions (see [Laz]), or even analytically using integration, test ideals are defined algebraically using the Frobenius endomorphism. Let R be an F-finite regular ring of positive characteristic, the generalized test ideals of an ideal I form a non-increasing, right continuous family,  $\{\tau(c \bullet I)\}$ , parametrized by a positive real parameter c. The points of discontinuity in this parametrization, are called F-thresholds of I. It is known that the F-thresholds of an ideal form a discrete subset of the rational numbers, if the ring is nice enough. The issue of discreteness and

Date: April 22, 2019.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 13A35,14M12 (primary), 14B05, 14F18 (secondary)

Key words and phrases. Determinantal ideals, Generalized Test ideals, Multiplier ideals, F-pure thresholds, log canonical thresholds.

Research supported by EPSRC grant EP/J005436/1.

rationality was first raised in [MTW], and shown to hold for every ideal in regular, F-finite rings essentially of finite type over a field of positive characteristic, see [BMS1]. Contributions of Hara, Katzman, Lyubeznik, Takagi, Takahashi, Schwede, Tucker and Zhang widened the class of rings over which it rationality and discreteness are known to hold (cf. [ScTu12, ScTu13]).

The first jump, i.e. the smaller threshold of an ideal I, plays an important role in both characteristics. In characteristic positive p, it is called the F-pure threshold, denoted  $\mathsf{fpt}\,(I_p)$ , and defined algebraically as  $\,\mathsf{fpt}(I_p) = \min\{c \in \mathbb{R}_+ \,|\, \tau(c \bullet I_p) \neq R\}$ . In characteristic zero, the first jumping number of the multiplier ideals, is called the  $\log$  canonical threshold and denoted  $\mathsf{lct}(I)$ .

Defining essentially the same invariant, lct(I) and  $fpt(I_p)$ , transpire that the smaller their values, the worse the F-singularities of the ring  $R/I_p$ . In [HaW] Hara and Watanabe show that  $fpt(f_p) \leq lct(f)$  and  $\lim_{p \to \infty} fpt(f_p) = lct(f)$ , where  $f_p$  is reduced from a polynomial f in characteristic zero, to a polynomial  $f_p$  in characteristic p. Mustața-Takagi-Watanabe conjectured in [MTW], that the equality  $fpt(f_p) = lct(f)$ , holds for infinitely many primes p.

Relying on a log resolution of singularities, in characteristic zero, one associates to I the family of multiplier ideals of I, denoted  $\{\mathcal{G}(c \bullet I)\}_{c>0}$ . Parametrized by a positive real number c,  $\mathcal{I}(c \bullet I)$  form a right continuous, non-decreasing family of ideals whose first jumping number defines the lct(I). Just as was the case for the thresholds fpt and lct (see [HaY, 3.4, 6.8], [BeFaS, §4]), it is conjectured in [MTW] that a parameter-wise equality of the test ideal and the (reduction to positive characteristic of the) multiplier ideal, holds in infinitely many prime characteristics. In [HaY] Hara and Yoshida show that this coincidence holds for any monomial (Theorem 6.10) and any toric ideal I in a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein toric ring over a field of positive characteristics. Work of Hernandez on computing the F-pure threshold of binomial and diagonal hypersurfaces [Her1], [Her2], work of Miller-Singh-Varbaro [MiSiV] and Bhatt [Bh] shine some light into the complexity of the coincidence between the reductions of multiplier ideals and generalized test ideals. Recent results of Tucker and Schwede [BScTu11] claim that "test ideals and multiplier ideals are morally equivalent" in the sense that they allow a unified description, in terms of alterations, that holds in both of the characteristics, yet, better knowledge of their precise coincidence remains an open question.

This paper considers ideals generated by maximal minors of a matrix of indeterminates, in its polynomial ring over a field of positive characteristic. We give a complete description of their generalized test ideals and F-thresholds, thus proving that the above mentioned conjectures hold for a determinantal ideal I of maximal minors. It is shown that the equality  $\tau(c \bullet I_p) = \mathcal{G}_p(c \bullet I)$  holds for all values of c and all primes p, where  $I_p$ , and  $\mathcal{G}_p(c \bullet I)$  denote the reductions of I and  $\mathcal{G}(c \bullet I)$  to positive characteristic (cf. [HaY, 3.3], [BeFaS, 3.4,4.1]).

In Section 1, we recall the necessary definitions, and provide some context for our computations. We recall previous work of several authors ([BMS1],[BMS2],[HaY], [MTW]) including recent work of Miller, Singh and Varbaro [MiSiV] proving that the F-pure threshold of an ideal generated by arbitrary size minors of a matrix of indeterminates in a polynomial ring over a field of positive characteristic p, is independent of p. The formula given coincides with the known formula for the log canonical threshold of such ideals (seen as ideals in a ring of characteristic zero).

In Section 2, we prove the main result of the paper Theorem 3.2. It establishes the parameter-wise coincidence of the test ideal and the reduction to positive characteristic of the multiplier ideal, of a determinantal ideal of maximal minors, regardless of the value of the prime characteristic. Motivated by this result and others in [HaY] and [ScTu13], we formulate Conjecture 3.5 regarding determinantal ideals of arbitrary size minors.

#### 2. Summary of F-thresholds and Generalized Test ideals

In this section we introduce the necessary definitions and results, and provide a context for our computations. Throughout we will let R be a Noetherian polynomial ring over a field k of prime characteristic (or even a Noetherian regular F-finite ring of prime characteristic p).

2.1. F-thresholds of ideals. We recall the definition of F-thresholds as the limit of a rational sequence, noting its existence and finiteness (cf.[BMS1, BMS2, §2,§3]).

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$  be ideals of R such that  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \operatorname{rad}(\mathfrak{b})$ . For every  $q = p^e \ge 1$ , define the F- threshold of  $\mathfrak{a}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{b}$  to be  $c^{\mathfrak{b}}(\mathfrak{a}) = \lim_{q \to \infty} \frac{\nu_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathfrak{b}}(q)}{q} = \sup_{e \ge 0} \frac{\nu_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathfrak{b}}(q)}{q}$  where  $\nu_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathfrak{b}}(q) = \max\{r \ge 0 \mid \mathfrak{a}^r \nsubseteq \mathfrak{b}^{[q]}\}$ .

If  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a maximal ideal, the F- threshold of  $\mathfrak{a}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{m}$  plays a particularly important role. We refer the reader to [BMS1, §2] and [BMS2, §3].

**Example 2.2.** [MTW, 1.3] If  $\mathfrak{b}$  is generated by a regular sequence of length r then  $c^{\mathfrak{b}}(\mathfrak{b}) = \lim_{q \to \infty} r(q-1)/q = r$ . If  $\mathfrak{b}$  is a maximal ideal, then  $c^{\mathfrak{b}}(\mathfrak{b}) = \dim R$ .

2.2. The  $e^{\mathbf{th}}$  root ideal. Given  $e \in \mathbb{N}$  set  $q = p^e$ . The ring R is flat, hence projective, over  $R^q$ . Thus  $R^q$  is an  $\cap$ -flat R-module in the sense of [HH1], every ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of R satisfies  $\bigcap_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}^{[q]} \text{ideal } \mathfrak{b}}} \mathfrak{b}^{[q]} = \Big(\bigcap_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}^{[q]} \text{ideal } \mathfrak{b}}} \mathfrak{b}\Big)^{[q]}$ , and we make the following definition:

**Definition 2.3.** Fix  $e \ge 0$  and let  $q = p^e$ . The  $e^{th}$  root ideal of an ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  in R, denoted  $\mathfrak{a}^{[1/p^e]}$  is defined to be the unique smallest ideal  $\mathfrak{b}$ , such that  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}^{[q]}$ .

2.2.1. Computing  $e^{th}$  root ideals. [BMS1, 2.5] Fix  $e \geq 0$  and a basis  $\mathcal{B}_e$  for R over  $\mathbb{R}^{p^e}$ . If  $\mathfrak{a}=(a_1,\ldots,a_s)$  is an ideal whose generators are expressed in terms of  $\mathcal{B}_e$  as

$$a_i = \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{B}_e} \left( g_{i\mu} \right)^{p^e} \mu,$$

for some  $g_{i\mu} \in R$ , and i = 1, ..., s, then  $\mathfrak{a}^{[1/p^e]} = (\{ g_{i,\mu} \mid \mu \in \mathcal{B}_e, 1 \le i \le s \})$ . If  $R = K[z_1, \ldots, z_s]$  is a polynomial ring over a field K of characteristic p > 0, and  $\mathfrak{a}$  has coefficients in an F-finite subfield  $k \subseteq K$ , then one may use the basis

$$\mathcal{B}_e = \{ \alpha \, x_1^{u_1} \dots x_s^{u_s} \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{B}_k, \ 0 \le u_1, \dots, u_s \le p^e - 1 \}$$

where  $\mathcal{B}_k$  forms a basis of k over  $k^p$ , to compute the  $e^{\text{th}}$  root of  $\mathfrak{a}$  in  $k[z_1,\ldots,z_s]$ . Thought of as an ideal of R, it coincides with the  $e^{th}$  root of  $\mathfrak{a}$  in R,  $\mathfrak{a}^{[1/p^e]}$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** The following hold for ideals  $\mathfrak{a}$ ,  $\mathfrak{b}$  of R and integers e,  $\ell$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ :

- $\begin{array}{l} (1) \ \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \left(\mathfrak{a}^{[1/p^e]}\right)^{[p^e]} \\ (2) \ (\mathfrak{a}^{[p^\ell]})^{[1/p^e]} = \mathfrak{a}^{[p^{\ell-e}]} \quad \text{ for } \ell \ge e \,. \end{array}$
- (3) If  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$ , then  $\mathfrak{a}^{[1/p^e]} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}^{[1/p^e]}$ .
- (4)  $(W^{-1}\mathfrak{a})^{[1/p^e]} = W^{-1}(\mathfrak{a}^{[1/p^e]})$  for any multiplicative system W of R.
- (5) If  $\phi$  is a ring isomorphism, then  $\phi(\mathfrak{a}^{[1/p^e]}) = \phi(\mathfrak{a})^{[1/p^e]}$ .
- (6) If  $R = k[z_1, ..., z_r] \subseteq k[z_1, ..., z_r, ..., z_s] = T$ , then  $\mathfrak{a} T^{[1/p^e]} = \mathfrak{a}^{[1/p^e]} T$ .

We refer the reader to [BMS1, 2.13, 2.4] for the proofs of (1) to (5). Part (6) follows from 2.2.1, as a generating for set for  $\mathfrak a$  over R is a generating for set for  $\mathfrak a T$ over T, and a basis of R over  $\mathbb{R}^{p^e}$  can be extended to one of T over  $\mathbb{T}^{p^e}$ , e.g.

$$\mathcal{B}_{e}^{R} = \{\alpha z_{1}^{u_{1}} \dots z_{r}^{u_{r}} \mid \alpha \in k, \ 0 \leq u_{1}, \dots, u_{r} \leq p^{e} - 1\}$$

$$\subseteq \{\alpha z_{1}^{u_{1}} \dots z_{s}^{u_{s}} \mid \alpha \in k, \ 0 \leq u_{1}, \dots, u_{s} \leq p^{e} - 1\} = \mathcal{B}_{e}^{T}$$

2.3. Generalized test ideals. We now recall the notion of Generalized test ideals as introduced in [HaY].

**Definition 2.5.** Given an ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of R and a positive real parameter  $\lambda$  in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , we define the generalized test ideal of  $\mathfrak{a}$  at  $\lambda$  to be the ideal

$$\tau\left(\lambda \bullet \mathfrak{a}\right) = \bigcup_{e > 0} \; (\mathfrak{a}^{\lceil \lambda p^e \rceil})^{[1/p^e]} = (\mathfrak{a}^{\lceil \lambda p^e \rceil})^{[1/p^e]} \quad \text{ for } e \gg 0.$$

**Remark 2.6.** Note that  $\tau(0 \bullet \mathfrak{a}) = R$ , and that  $\{\tau(\lambda \bullet \mathfrak{a})\}_{\lambda > 0}$  defines a nonincreasing, right continuous family of ideals in the parameter  $\lambda$ . Indeed:

- (1) For all  $\lambda \geq \lambda'$ ,  $\tau(\lambda \bullet \mathfrak{a}) \subseteq \tau(\lambda' \bullet \mathfrak{a})$ ;
- (2) For all  $\lambda$ , there exists  $\varepsilon_{\lambda} > 0$  such that  $\tau(\lambda \bullet \mathfrak{a}) = \tau(\lambda' \bullet \mathfrak{a})$ , for  $\lambda' \in [\lambda, \lambda + \varepsilon_{\lambda})$ .

2.3.1. Jumping numbers are F-thresholds.

**Definition 2.7.** The points of discontinuity, or "jumps",  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$  for which

$$\tau(\lambda \bullet \mathfrak{a}) \neq \tau(\lambda - \delta \bullet \mathfrak{a})$$
 for all  $\delta > 0$ ,

are called F-jumping numbers of the ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$ . The smallest F-jumping number of  $\mathfrak{a}$ ,  $\mathsf{fpt}(\mathfrak{a}) = \min\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mid \tau(\lambda \bullet \mathfrak{a}) \neq R\}$ , is called the F-pure threshold of the ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$ .

**Theorem 2.8.** [BMS1, 3.1] The set of F-jumping exponents of an ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of R forms a discrete subset of the rational numbers.

**Theorem 2.9.** [HaY, 3.4, 6.8], [BMS1, 2.29, 2.30], [MTW, 2.7]

The set of jumping numbers of  $\mathfrak{a}$  corresponds to the set of F-thresholds  $\{c^J(\mathfrak{a}) \mid \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \operatorname{rad}(J)\}.$ 

- (1) If  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \operatorname{rad}(J)$ , then  $\tau(c^J(\mathfrak{a}) \bullet \mathfrak{a}) \subseteq \tau(\lambda \bullet \mathfrak{a})$ , for all  $\lambda < c^J(\mathfrak{a})$ .
- (2) If  $\lambda$  is an F-jumping number for  $\mathfrak{a}$ , then  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \operatorname{rad}(\tau(\lambda \bullet \mathfrak{a}))$  and  $\lambda = c^{\tau(\lambda \bullet \mathfrak{a})}(\mathfrak{a})$ .
- 2.3.2. Briançon-Skoda type theorems.

**Theorem 2.10** (Skoda's Theorem). [BMS1, 2.25] Let R be a Noetherian regular ring of prime characteristic p, and  $\mathfrak{a}$  be an ideal generated by r elements. For all  $\lambda \geq r$ ,  $\tau(\lambda \bullet \mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{a} \cdot \tau((\lambda - 1) \bullet \mathfrak{a}) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}^{\lfloor \lambda \rfloor - r + 1}$ .

Proof. From [BMS1, 2.25] one has  $\tau(\lambda \bullet \mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{a} \cdot \tau((\lambda - 1) \bullet \mathfrak{a})$  for all  $\lambda \geq r$ . Letting  $\lambda = r$ , one retrieves  $\tau(r \bullet \mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{a} \cdot \tau((r-1) \bullet \mathfrak{a}) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ . An induction argument shows that for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\tau((r+\ell) \bullet \mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{a}^{\ell+1} \cdot \tau((r-1) \bullet \mathfrak{a}) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}^{\ell+1}$ . For any  $\lambda \geq r$ , letting  $\ell = |\lambda| - r$  one has,

$$\tau\left(\lambda \bullet \mathfrak{a}\right) \subseteq \tau\left(\lfloor \lambda \rfloor \bullet \mathfrak{a}\right) = \tau\left(r + \left(\lfloor \lambda \rfloor - r\right) \bullet \mathfrak{a}\right) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}^{\lfloor \lambda \rfloor - r + 1}\,. \qquad \qquad \square$$

The following Lemma, on test ideals of ideals generated by indeterminates, can also be derived (for F-finite rings) from [HaY, Cor 5.9] and Proposition 2.4(6), in view of [BMS2, 2.22].

**Lemma 2.11.** Let  $R = k[z_1, \ldots, z_s]$  be a polynomial ring over a field with char k = p. If  $\mathfrak{a} = (z_1, \ldots, z_r)$  then  $fpt(\mathfrak{a}) = r$  and  $\tau(\lambda \bullet \mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{a}^{\lfloor \lambda \rfloor - r + 1}$  for all  $\lambda \geq r - 1$ .

Proof. Letting  $\lambda \geq r-1$  and  $\ell = \lfloor \lambda \rfloor - r + 1$ , we let  $e \geq \log_p (r/r + \ell - \lambda)$ ,  $q = p^e$  and the monomial  $\mu = (z_1 z_2 \dots z_r)^{q-1}$ . Using notation set in 2.2.1, one has  $\mu \in \mathcal{B}_e$ , and given  $g \in \mathfrak{a}^\ell$ , one has  $g^q \cdot \mu \in \mathfrak{a}^{(r+\ell-\frac{r}{q})\cdot q} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}^{\lambda \cdot q}$ . As discussed in 2.2.1,  $g \in (\mathfrak{a}^{\lambda \cdot q})^{[1/q]}$ , thus  $\mathfrak{a}^\ell \subseteq (\mathfrak{a}^{\lambda \cdot q})^{[1/q]}$ . Considering large enough values of q yields  $\mathfrak{a}^\ell \subseteq \tau (\lambda \bullet \mathfrak{a})$ . For  $\lambda < r$ , it implies that  $R \subseteq \tau (\lambda \bullet \mathfrak{a})$ , thus  $\tau (\lambda \bullet \mathfrak{a}) = R$ . Skoda's Theorem (2.10), establishes the reverse inclusion when  $\lambda \geq r$ , and we conclude that  $\tau (\lambda \bullet \mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{a}^\ell = \mathfrak{a}^{\lfloor \lambda \rfloor - r + 1}$  and  $\mathsf{fpt}(\mathfrak{a}) = r$ .

#### 3. Generalized test ideals of Determinantal ideals

Throughout this section we let R be the polynomial ring  $k[x_{11}, \ldots, x_{mn}]$ , over a field k of prime characteristic p, and let  $I = I_t(X_{m \times n})$  be the ideal generated by t-minors of an  $m \times n$  matrix of indeterminates  $X_{m \times n} = [x_{i,j}]$ . We give a complete characterization of the test ideals, when t = m, and of the set of F-thresholds as the set of all integers greater than or equal to the F-pure threshold  $\mathsf{fpt}(I)$ .

# 3.1. Elementary row operations. [BrVe] Consider the matrix of indeterminates

$$\underline{Y} = [y_{i,j} | 2 \le i \le m-1, 2 \le j \le n-1]$$

and let  $I' = I_{m-1}(\underline{Y})$  be the ideal generated by its (t-1)-minors in  $k[\underline{Y}]$ . Let

$$T = k[\{y_{ij}, | 2 \le i \le m-1, 2 \le j \le n-1\}][x_{11}, \dots, x_{1n}, x_{21}, \dots, x_{m1}][x_{11}^{-1}]$$

and  $S = k[x_{11}, \dots, x_{mn}][x_{11}^{-1}]$ . The isomorphism  $\varphi: S \to T$  induced by:

$$\{x_{ij} \mapsto y_{ij} + x_{1j} x_{i1} x_{11}^{-1}, x_{1j} \mapsto x_{1j}, x_{i1} \mapsto x_{i1}\}\ _{2 \le i \le m-1, \ 2 \le j \le n-1}$$

has inverse,  $\psi: T \to S$ , induced by the reverse substitution:

$$\{y_{ij} \mapsto x_{ij} - x_{1j} x_{i1} x_{11}^{-1}, x_{1j} \mapsto x_{1j}, x_{i1} \mapsto x_{i1}\} \underset{2 \le i \le m-1, \ 2 \le j \le n-1}{}.$$

Note that  $I^{(\ell)} = I^{\ell}$  and  $I'^{(\ell)} = I'^{\ell}$  for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , as symbolic powers coincide with the usual powers for ideals generated by of maximal minors of a matrix of indeterminates. For all  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $I^{\ell} = I^{\ell}S \cap R$  and  $I^{\ell}S = \psi (I'T)^{\ell} = \psi (I'T)^{\ell}$ , as follows from the discussions in [BrVe, §10].

## 3.2. The F-pure threshold of determinantal ideals.

We recall the following result of Miller, Singh and Varbaro yielding a formula for the F-pure threshold of a determinantal ideal [MiSiV]:

**Theorem 3.1.** [MiSiV] Let  $R = k[x_{11}, ..., x_{mn}]$  where k is a field of positive characteristic, and let  $I = I_t(X_{m \times n})$  be the ideal generated by t-minors of the  $\underline{X}$ . The F-pure threshold of I is determined by:

$$fpt(I) = \min \left\{ \frac{(n-k)(m-k)}{(t-k)} \mid k = 0, \dots, t-1 \right\}.$$

This formula was first used to describe the log canonical threshold of a determinantal variety in [Jo], and conveys that the connection between these invariants is stronger than the current results may suggest (see [HaY, 3.4], [ScTu13]).

## 3.3. Generalized test ideals of determinantal ideals of maximal minors.

We proceed to state the main result of the paper, yielding a complete description of the generalized test ideals of a determinantal ideal of maximal minors. **Theorem 3.2.** Let  $R = k[x_{11}, \ldots, x_{mn}]$  be a polynomial ring over a field k of positive characteristic, and let  $I = I_m(X_{m \times n})$  be the ideal generated by m-minors of  $\underline{X}$ . The set of F-thresholds of I is  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \geq fpt(I)\}$  and for all  $\lambda \geq fpt(I)-1$ ,

$$\tau\left(\lambda \bullet I\right) = I^{\lfloor \lambda \rfloor - fpt(I) + 1}.$$

The proof of Theorem 3.2 will use and follow that of Proposition 3.3.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $R = k[x_{11}, \ldots, x_{mn}]$  be a polynomial ring over a field of positive characteristic and let  $I = I_m(X_{m \times n})$  be the ideal generated by the maximal minors of a matrix of indeterminates  $X_{m \times n}$ . For all  $\lambda \geq n-m$ ,  $\tau(\lambda \bullet I) \subseteq I^{\lfloor \lambda \rfloor - n + m}$ , where  $n-m+1= \mathit{fpt}(I)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda \geq n-m$  be given. As remarked in Proposition 2.4, the  $e^{\text{th}}$  root construction behaves well with respect to the isomorphisms, localization and extension of variables. Using notation from 3.3, for  $e \gg 0$ , and  $q = p^e$ , one has

$$\begin{split} \tau\left(\lambda\bullet I\right)S &= \left(I^{\lceil\lambda q\rceil}\right)^{[1/q]}S \overset{2.4\text{\tiny{$(4)$}}}{=} \left(I^{\lceil\lambda\cdot p^e\rceil}S\right)^{[1/q]} \overset{3.1}{=} \left(\psi\left(I_{m-1}(\underline{Y})^{\lceil\lambda\cdot q\rceil}T\right)\right)^{[1/q]} \\ \overset{2.4\text{\tiny{$(5)$}}}{=} \psi\left(\left(I'^{\lceil\lambda\cdot q\rceil}T\right)^{[1/q]}\right) \overset{2.4\text{\tiny{$(6)$}}}{=} \psi\left(\left(I'^{\lceil\lambda\cdot q\rceil}\right)^{[1/q]}T\right) \overset{3.1}{=} \psi\left(\tau\left(\lambda\bullet I'\right)T\right). \end{split}$$

We argue by induction, on the size m of the generating minors of the ideal. If m=1, Lemma 2.11 establishes that  $\tau(\lambda \bullet I) = (\underline{X})^{\lfloor \lambda \rfloor - n + 1}$  for all  $\lambda \geq n - 1$ . If m > 1, the induction hypothesis yields  $\tau(\lambda \bullet I_{m-1}(\underline{Y})) \subseteq I_{m-1}(\underline{Y})^{\lfloor \lambda \rfloor - (n-1) + (m-1)}$ . Thus

$$\tau \left(\lambda \bullet I\right) S = \psi \left(\tau \left(\lambda \bullet I_{m-1}(\underline{Y})\right) T\right) \subseteq \psi \left(I_{m-1}(\underline{Y})^{\lfloor \lambda \rfloor - (n-1) + (m-1)} T\right)$$
$$= \psi \left(I'^{\lfloor \lambda \rfloor - (n-1) + (m-1)} T\right) = \psi \left(I'^{\lfloor \lambda \rfloor - n + m} T\right) = I^{\lfloor \lambda \rfloor - \mathsf{fpt}(I_m) + 1} S.$$

where the last equality follows from §3.1 and, the equality  $\operatorname{fpt}(I_m) = n - m + 1$ , from Theorem 3.1. As proved in [BrC, 2.2, 2.3],  $x_{11}$  is not a zero divisor in  $R/I^{\lfloor \lambda \rfloor - n + m}$ , thus  $\tau(\lambda \bullet I) \subseteq (I^{\lfloor \lambda \rfloor - n + m} :_R x_{11}) = I^{\lfloor \lambda \rfloor - n + m}$  holds, as claimed.

We proceed to proof our main result:

Proof of Theorem 3.2. First note that the inclusion  $\tau\left(\lambda \bullet I\right) \subseteq I^{\lfloor \lambda \rfloor - \mathsf{fpt}(I) + 1}$  follows from Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 3.1. To establish the reverse inclusion, let  $\lambda > n - m$  be given, fix  $e \gg 0$  and  $q = p^e$ . Setting  $\varepsilon = \frac{\mathsf{fpt}(I)}{q}$ , one has  $\lambda < \lfloor \lambda \rfloor + 1 - \varepsilon \in \frac{1}{q}\mathbb{N}$ , and  $\tau\left((\lfloor \lambda \rfloor + 1 - \varepsilon) \bullet I\right) = \left(I^{(\lfloor \lambda \rfloor + 1 - \varepsilon) \cdot q}\right)^{[1/q]}$ . As  $\tau\left((\lfloor \lambda \rfloor + 1 - \varepsilon) \bullet I\right) \subseteq \tau\left((\lfloor \lambda \rfloor + 1 - (\lfloor \lambda \rfloor + 1 - \lambda)) \bullet I\right) = \tau(\lambda \bullet I)$ , it is enough to show that  $I^{\lfloor \lambda \rfloor - \mathsf{fpt}(I) + 1} \subseteq \left(I^{(\lfloor \lambda \rfloor + 1 - \varepsilon) \cdot q}\right)^{[1/q]}$ .

For each  $0 \le i \le n - m$ , set

each 
$$0 \le i \le n - m$$
, set 
$$\begin{cases} \delta_i := [1 \ 2 \ \dots \ m \ | \ (1+i) \ (2+i) \ \dots \ (m+i)] \in I \\ \mu_i := x_{1(1+i)} \ x_{2(2+i)} \ \dots \ x_{m(m+i)} \\ \Delta := \delta_0^{q-1} \ \delta_1^{q-1} \ \dots \ \delta_{n-m}^{q-1} \ \in I^{(n-m+1) \ (q-1)} = I^{\mathsf{fpt}(I) \ (q-1)} \\ \text{and} \\ \eta := \mu_0^{q-1} \ \mu_1^{q-1} \ \dots \ \mu_{n-m}^{q-1} \end{cases}$$
or the levicographical term order  $<$  induced by the variable order:

Consider the lexicographical term order  $\prec$  induced by the variable order:

$$x_{11} \succ x_{12} \succ \ldots \succ x_{1n} \succ x_{21} \succ x_{22} \succ \ldots \succ x_{2n} \succ \ldots \succ x_{m1} \succ x_{m2} \succ \ldots \succ x_{mn}$$

for which one has  $\mu_0 = \operatorname{in}_{\prec} \delta_0$ ,  $\mu_1 = \operatorname{in}_{\prec} \delta_1$ , ...,  $\mu_{n-m} = \operatorname{in}_{\prec} \delta_{n-m}$ (here  $\mathsf{in}_{\prec} g$  denotes the inicial form with respect to the term  $\mathsf{order} \prec \mathsf{of}$  a polynomial g). Thus  $\eta = (\operatorname{in}_{\prec} \delta_0)^{q-1} (\operatorname{in}_{\prec} \delta_1)^{q-1} \cdots (\operatorname{in}_{\prec} \delta_{n-m})^{q-1} = \operatorname{in}_{\prec} \Delta \in \operatorname{supp}\Delta$ , and  $\eta = (x_{11} \ x_{22} \dots x_{mm} \ x_{12} \ x_{23} \dots x_{m(m+1)} \ \dots \ x_{1(n-m+1)} \ x_{2(n-m+2)} \dots x_{mn})^{q-1} \in \mathcal{B}_e$ One can then write

$$\Delta = \quad g_{\eta}{}^{q} \, \cdot \, \operatorname{in}_{\prec} \Delta \quad + \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{B}_{e}, \, \nu \prec \operatorname{in}_{\prec} \Delta} g_{\nu}{}^{q} \cdot \, \nu \quad = \quad g_{\eta}{}^{q} \, \cdot \, \eta \quad + \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{B}_{e}, \, \nu \neq \eta} g_{\nu}{}^{q} \cdot \, \nu$$

where, by degree considerations,  $g_{\eta} \in k$ . For all g in  $I^{\lfloor \lambda \rfloor - \mathsf{fpt}(I) + 1}$ , one has

$$g^{q} \Delta \in I^{\mathsf{fpt}(I) \cdot (q-1) + (\lfloor \lambda \rfloor - \mathsf{fpt}(I) + 1) \cdot q} = I^{(\lfloor \lambda \rfloor + 1 - \frac{\mathsf{fpt}(I)}{q}) \cdot q} = I^{(\lfloor \lambda \rfloor + 1 - \varepsilon) \cdot q}$$

$$g^{q} \Delta = (g g_{\eta})^{q} \cdot \eta + \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{B}, \nu \neq \eta} (g g_{\nu})^{q} \nu.$$

Note 2.2.1, yields 
$$g \in \left(I^{(\lfloor \lambda \rfloor + 1 - \varepsilon) \cdot q}\right)^{[1/q]}$$
 hence  $I^{\lfloor \lambda \rfloor - \mathsf{fpt}(I) + 1} \subseteq \left(I^{(\lfloor \lambda \rfloor + 1 - \varepsilon) \cdot q}\right)^{[1/q]}$ . Therefore  $\tau\left(\lambda \bullet I\right) = I^{\lfloor \lambda \rfloor - \mathsf{fpt}(I) + 1}$  for all  $\lambda \geq \mathsf{fpt}(I) - 1$ .

## 3.4. Test ideals versus Multiplier ideals.

This family of ideals was shown to describe the multiplier ideals of determinantal varieties over fields of characteristic zero, in Amanda Johnson's thesis (cf. [Jo]). Letting R and  $I = I_t(X_{m \times n})$  we let  $\mathcal{G}(\lambda \bullet I)$  denote the multiplier ideal of I at  $\lambda$  (cf.[BLaz]). The ideal I can be viewed as ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}[x_{11},\ldots,x_{mn}]$ , or of  $\mathbb{Z}_p[x_{11},\ldots,x_{mn}]$ , and the same holds for  $\tau(\lambda \bullet I)$  and  $\mathcal{G}(\lambda \bullet I)$ , from the description given in 3.2 and [Jo]. Indeed, their reduction modulo p, as described in [HaY, 3.3], [BeFaS, 3.4,4.1] or [ScTu, §4.2], coincides with the ideals themselves, viewed as ideals in  $\mathbb{Z}_p[x_{11},\ldots,x_{mn}].$ 

Corollary 3.4. Let  $R = k[x_{11}, \ldots, x_{mn}]$  be a polynomial ring over a field k of positive characteristic p, and let  $I = I_{\star}(X_{m \times n})$  be the ideal generated by the maximal minors of  $X_{m \times n}$ . For all  $\lambda \geq 0$ ,

$$\tau(\lambda \bullet I) = \mathcal{Q}_n(\lambda \bullet I).$$

Motivated by this, and other supporting results from [HaY] and [ScTu13], we make the following conjecture:

Conjecture 3.5. Let  $R = k[x_{11}, \ldots, x_{mn}]$  be a polynomial ring over a field k of positive characteristic p, and let  $I = I_t(X_{m \times n})$  be the ideal generated by t-minors of  $X_{m \times n}$  for  $1 \le t \le m \le n$ . For all  $\lambda \ge 0$ ,  $\tau(\lambda \bullet I) = \mathcal{G}_p(\lambda \bullet I)$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to thank Matteo Varbaro for the stimulating discussions, as well as the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council and M. Katzman for the grant support (EP/J005436/1).

#### References

- [BeFaS] A. Benito, E. Faber, K. E. Smith. *Measuring Singularities with Frobenius: The Basics*, Commutative algebra. Expository papers dedicated to David Eisenbud on the occasion of his 65th birthday. Edited by Irena Peeva. Springer, New York, (2013). viii+707 pp.
- [Bh] B. Bhatt. The F-pure threshold of an elliptic curve, Preprint. http://www-personal.umich.edu/ bhattb/math/cyfthreshold.pdf
- [BScTu11] M. Blickle, K. Schwede, and K. Tucker. F-singularities via alterations, arXiv:1107.3807.
- [BLaz] M. Blickle, R. Lazarsfeld. An informal introduction to multiplier ideals. Trends in commutative algebra, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 51, Cambridge Univ. Press, (2004), pp. 87-114.
- [BMS1] M. Blickle, M. Mustata and K. E. Smith. Discreteness and rationality of F-thresholds. Special volume in honor of Melvin Hochster. Michigan Math. J. 57 (2008), pp. 43-61.
- [BMS2] M. Blickle, M. Mustata and K. E. Smith. F-thresholds of hypersurfaces. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 361 (2009), no. 12, pp. 6549-6565.
- [BrC] W. Bruns, A. Conca. Gröebner bases and determinantal ideals. Commutative algebra, singularities and computer algebra (Sinaia, 2002), NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem., 115 (2003), pp. 9-66, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht.
- [BrVe] W. Bruns, U. Vetter. *Determinantal rings*. Monografías de Matemática [Mathematical Monographs], **45**. Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada (IMPA), Rio de Janeiro, (1988), viii+236 pp.
- [EI] N. Elkies. Supersingular primes for elliptic curves over a real number field. Composition, 72 (1989), pp.165–172.
- [HaW] N. Hara, K.-i. Watanabe. F-regular and F-pure rings vs. log terminal and log canonical singularities. J. Algebraic Geom. 11 (2002), 363–392.
- [HaY] N. Hara, K. Yoshida. A generalization of tight closure and multiplier ideals. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 355 (2003), no. 8, pp. 3143–3174.
- [Her1] Daniel J. Hernandez. F-pure thresholds of binomial hypersurfaces. To appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [Her2] Daniel J. Hernandez. F-invariants of diagonal hypersurfaces. To appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 2011.
- [HeHi] J. Herzog, T. Hibi. Monomial ideals. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer Verlag 260 (2010).
- [HH1] M. Hochster, C. Huneke. F-regularity, test elements, and smooth base change. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 346 (1994), no. 1, pp. 349-369.
- [HH2] M. Hochster, C. Huneke. Comparison of symbolic and ordinary powers of ideals. Inventiones Math. 147 (2002), pp. 349-369.
- [Jo] A. A. Johnson. Multiplier Ideals of Determinantal Ideals. Doctoral Thesis. n.p.: ProQuest, UMI Dissertations Publishing, (2003).

- [Laz] R. Lazarsfeld. A short course on multiplier ideals, Analytic and algebraic geometry, pp. 451 - 494, IAS/Park City Math. Ser., 17, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2010).
- [MTW] M. Mustata, S. Takagi and K. Watanabe. F-thresholds and Bernstein-Sato polynomials. European Congress of Mathematics, Eur. Math. Soc., Zrich, (2005), pp. 341-364.
- [MiSiV] L. Miller, A. Singh and M. Varbaro. The F-threshold of a determinantal ideal. preprint 2012. arXiv:1210.6729.
- [ScTu] K. Schwede, K. Tucker. A survey of test ideals, Progress in Commutative Algebra 2, Closures, Finiteness and Factorization, Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin, (2012), pp. 39–99
- [ScTu13] K. Schwede, K. Tucker. Test ideals of non-principal ideals: Computations, Jumping numbers, Alterations and Division theorems, preprint 2013. arXiv:1212.6956.
- [ScTu12] K. Schwede, K. Tucker. Test ideals via a single alteration and discreteness and rationality of F-jumping numbers, Math. Res. Let, 19 (2012), no. 1, pp. 191 - 197.

School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Sheffield, Hicks Building, Hounsfield Road, Sheffield S3 7RH, United Kingdom.

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: i.henriques@sheffield.ac.uk}$