

This is a repository copy of 300 years of tropospheric ozone changes using CMIP6 scenarios with a parameterised approach.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/151040/

Version: Accepted Version

## Article:

Turnock, ST orcid.org/0000-0002-0036-4627, Wild, O, Sellar, A et al. (1 more author) (2019) 300 years of tropospheric ozone changes using CMIP6 scenarios with a parameterised approach. Atmospheric Environment, 213. pp. 686-698. ISSN 1352-2310

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.07.001

Crown Copyright © 2019 Published by Elsevier Ltd. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

#### Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

### Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/ **300** years of Tropospheric Ozone changes using CMIP6 Scenarios with a

- 2 Parameterised Approach
- 3 Steven T. Turnock<sup>1</sup>, Oliver Wild<sup>2</sup>, Alistair Sellar<sup>1</sup>, Fiona M. O'Connor<sup>1</sup>
- 4 <sup>1</sup> Met Office Hadley Centre, Exeter, UK
- 5 <sup>2</sup> Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK
- 6 Correspondence: Steven Turnock (<u>steven.turnock@metoffice.gov.uk</u>)
- 7

## 8 Abstract

9 Tropospheric Ozone  $(O_3)$  is both an air pollutant and a greenhouse gas. Predicting changes 10 to  $O_3$  is therefore important for both air quality and near-term climate forcing. It is 11 computationally expensive to predict changes in tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> from every possible future 12 scenario in composition climate models like those used in the 6<sup>th</sup> Coupled Model 13 Intercomparison Project (CMIP6). Here we apply the different emission pathways used in 14 CMIP6 with a model based on source-receptor relationships for tropospheric  $O_3$  to predict 15 historical and future changes in  $O_3$  and its radiative forcing over a 300 year period (1750 to 16 2050). Changes in regional precursor emissions (nitrogen oxides, carbon monoxide and 17 volatile organic compounds) and global methane abundance are used to quantify the impact 18 on tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> globally and across 16 regions, neglecting any impact from changes in 19 climate. We predict large increases in global surface  $O_3$  (+8 ppbv) and  $O_3$  radiative forcing 20 (+0.3 W m<sup>-2</sup>) over the industrial period. Nine different Shared Socio-economic Pathways are 21 used to assess future changes in  $O_3$ . Scenarios involving weak air pollutant controls and 22 climate mitigation are inadequate in limiting the future degradation of surface  $O_3$  air quality 23 and enhancement of near-term climate warming over all regions. Middle-of-the-road and 24 strong mitigation scenarios reduce both surface  $O_3$  concentrations and  $O_3$  radiative forcing by 25 up to 5 ppbv and 0.17 W m<sup>-2</sup> globally, providing benefits to future air quality and near-term 26 climate forcing. Sensitivity experiments show that targeting mitigation measures towards 27 reducing global methane abundances could yield additional benefits for both surface O<sub>3</sub> air 28 quality and near-term climate forcing. The parameterisation provides a valuable tool for rapidly 29 assessing a large range of future emission pathways that involve differing degrees of air 30 pollutant and climate mitigation. The calculated range of possible responses in tropospheric 31  $O_3$  from these scenarios can be used to inform other modelling studies in CMIP6.

32 Keywords – Ozone, air quality, climate, radiative forcing, CMIP6

## 33 **1.0 Introduction**

34 Tropospheric Ozone ( $O_3$ ) is an important trace gas in the atmosphere, and is both an air 35 pollutant and a climate forcing agent. At the Earth's surface elevated concentrations of O<sub>3</sub> are harmful to human health (Jerrett et al., 2009; Malley et al., 2017; Turner et al., 2016) and can 36 37 affect ecosystems (Fowler et al., 2009).  $O_3$  in the troposphere acts as a greenhouse gas by 38 interacting with outgoing longwave radiation, resulting in a net warming impact on climate of 39 +0.4 (0.2 to 0.6) W m<sup>-2</sup> over the industrial period (Myhre et al., 2013; Stevenson et al., 2013). 40 The relatively short lifetime of  $O_3$  in the troposphere (~3 weeks, Young et al., 2013) means that it is classified as a Near Term Climate Forcer (NTCF), having an important influence on 41 climate over shorter timescales. Understanding how tropospheric O3 changes is important for 42 43 both future air quality and climate.

44 Tropospheric  $O_3$  is a secondary pollutant that can be formed from both local and remote 45 precursor emissions (Fiore et al., 2009). It is therefore influenced by both national and 46 international emission control measures. Changes in global methane  $(CH_4)$  abundance and 47 climate change also affect  $O_3$  formation. These global changes are influenced by changes in 48 future emission policies, adding additional uncertainty to the  $O_3$  response (Fiore et al., 2012; 49 Jacob and Winner, 2009; von Schneidemesser et al., 2015). It is therefore vital to consider the 50 impact on tropospheric  $O_3$  from a range of different future scenarios to ascertain which have 51 beneficial effects over key regions.

52 A multi-model assessment of historical and future changes in tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> was made in 53 the Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP), using 54 future changes in climate and O<sub>3</sub> precursor emissions from the Representative Concentration 55 Pathways (RCPs) (Lamarque et al., 2013). The models participating in ACCMIP predicted 56 changes in global annual mean surface  $O_3$  concentrations between 2000 and 2030 of ±1.5 57 ppbv using the different RCPs (Young et al., 2013). More recent single model estimates by 58 O'Connor et al., (2014) and Kim et al., (2015) predict a surface  $O_3$  response across the 59 different RCPS of between -4.0 to +2.0 ppbv by 2050 (relative to 2000). Global annual mean 60 tropospheric  $O_3$  burden was predicted to change by between -18% and +20% from 2000 to 2100 in the different RCPs (Cionni et al., 2011; Kawase et al., 2011; O'Connor et al., 2014; 61 Young et al., 2013). For the ACCMIP models, Stevenson et al., (2013) calculated that 62 63 tropospheric  $O_3$  radiative forcing (relative to 2000) varies between -0.05 and +0.08 W m<sup>-2</sup> in 64 2030 and between -0.19 and +0.22 W m<sup>-2</sup> in 2100 across the range of the RCPs. For RCP8.5, Iglesias-Suarez et al., (2018) predicted a whole atmosphere  $O_3$  radiative forcing of +0.43 ± 65 66 0.11 W m<sup>-2</sup> in 2100 (relative to 2000), with +0.3  $\pm$  0.05 W m<sup>-2</sup> due to tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> and +0.13 67  $\pm$  0.04 W m<sup>-2</sup> from stratospheric O<sub>3</sub>. Future tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> may therefore either increase or 68 decrease depending on the mitigation measures assumed in the scenario. In addition, the 69 spread of the model responses in ACCMIP can be as much as 50% of the reported multi-70 model mean values, highlighting the large uncertainty in the future prediction of tropospheric 71 O<sub>3</sub> across different models.

ACCMIP formed part of the 5<sup>th</sup> Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP5) and used air pollutant precursor emissions that spanned a relatively narrow range of future trajectories in the RCPs (Rao et al., 2017). In preparation for the 6<sup>th</sup> CMIP (CMIP6) a new set of historical and future pathways have been created. Five different socio-economic pathways (SSPs) have been developed with centennial trends based on different combinations of social, economic and environmental developments (O'Neill et al., 2014). Different levels of emission mitigation are included within a specific SSP, to meet a particular climate target and a defined amount
of air pollution control (Rao et al., 2017; Riahi et al., 2017). The SSPs allow for a wider range
of future trajectories in air pollutant precursors to be explored than was possible in CMIP5 with
the RCPs.

82 Here we utilise the historical and future scenarios used in CMIP6 with the parameterised 83 approach of Turnock et al., (2018) to quantify the response of tropospheric  $O_3$  to changes in 84 regional precursor emissions and global CH<sub>4</sub> abundances. The parameterisation uses source-85 receptor relationships derived from the response of models to emission precursor perturbation 86 experiments, conducted as part of Phase 2 of the Hemispheric Transport of Air Pollutants 87 (HTAP) project (Turnock et al., 2018). Whilst it is not intended to replace full atmospheric chemistry simulations, the parameterisation allows rapid assessment and provides a first look 88 89 at the impact of all future CMIP6 scenarios on surface  $O_3$  air quality and near-term  $O_3$  radiative 90 forcing. It calculates the response of tropospheric  $O_3$  across the industrial period and to all 91 available future SSPs, permitting simple identification of the most interesting SSPs to 92 investigate in more detail using the current generation of chemistry, climate and Earth system 93 models.

94 In this paper we briefly describe the historical emissions and those in the SSPs that are used 95 with the parameterisation to predict changes in tropospheric  $O_3$  and its radiative forcing. We 96 quantify changes in surface  $O_3$ , tropospheric  $O_3$  burden and  $O_3$  radiative forcing over the 97 historical period (1750 to 2014) and provide the first results from future scenarios used in 98 CMIP6 (2015 to 2050, when the impact of climate change is relatively small). The  $O_3$  response 99 is solely due to changes in anthropogenic emissions of O<sub>3</sub> precursors and CH<sub>4</sub> abundance, 100 neglecting any impact from climate change. Here, we extend the analysis with a limited 101 number of CMIP6 scenarios by attributing changes in  $O_3$  to anthropogenic emission source 102 sectors and by using idealised experiments to explore the impact on  $O_3$  of mitigation measures 103 solely targeting CH<sub>4</sub>. Finally, we summarise how different policy measures in the SSPs impact 104 future surface O<sub>3</sub> air quality and O<sub>3</sub> climate forcing.

# 105 2.0 Methods

## 106 <u>2.1 Ozone Parameterisation</u>

107 The approach used in this study is a parameterisation of  $O_3$  changes developed previously by 108 Wild et al., (2012) and Turnock et al., (2018). The parameterisation uses the source-receptor 109 relationships from models participating in the HTAP project, derived from perturbation 110 experiments of regional precursor emissions and global CH<sub>4</sub> abundances. The input to the 111 parameterisation is the individual model O<sub>3</sub> response to changes in global CH<sub>4</sub> abundance 112 and to 20% reductions of nitrogen oxide (NOx), carbon monoxide (CO) and non-methane 113 volatile organic compound (NMVOC) emissions within each source region (14 source regions 114 in total over the globe, see Figure S1). The parameterisation accounts for the effect of 115 emission and CH<sub>4</sub> changes on  $O_3$  but neglects any influence from changes in climate, as the 116 HTAP simulations were performed for a single meteorological year corresponding to 2010.

For a particular emission scenario, the fractional precursor emission (NOx, CO, NMVOCs) and CH<sub>4</sub> abundance change (*r*) is calculated relative to the original 20% emission (*E*) perturbation (Eq. 1). This linear emission scaling factor is applied to the O<sub>3</sub> response for changes in CO and NMVOCs (Eq. 2), but a non-linear scaling factor (Eq. 3) is used for changes in NOx and CH<sub>4</sub> and (Eq. 4) for conditions where O<sub>3</sub> titration occurs (an O<sub>3</sub> increase for a decrease in NOx). For each source region the multi-model monthly O<sub>3</sub> response (Eq. 5) from the 20% emission perturbation experiments ( $\Delta O_3$  for emissions of NOx, CO and NMVOCs and  $\Delta O_{3m}$  for CH<sub>4</sub>) are then scaled by the relevant emission scaling factor (*f*). The total monthly mean O<sub>3</sub> response ( $\Delta O_3$ ) over each receptor region (*k*) is the sum of the individual O<sub>3</sub> responses from each model to global CH<sub>4</sub> changes and the different emission precursors (*i*) across all source regions (*j*).

128 
$$r_{ij} = \frac{\Delta E_{ij}}{-0.2 \times E_{ij}} \text{ or } \frac{\Delta [CH_4]}{-0.2 \times [CH_4]}$$
 (1)

129 
$$f_{ij} = r_{ij}$$
 Linear Scaling of  $O_3$  response (2)

130  $f_{ij} = 0.95r_{ij} + 0.05r_{ij}^2$  Scaling accounting for reduced  $O_3$  increases from  $NO_X$  and  $CH_4$  (3)

131 
$$f_{ij} = 1.05r_{ij} - 0.05r_{ij}^2$$
 Scaling for titration regimes where decreasing NO<sub>X</sub> increases (4)

132 
$$\Delta O_3(k) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^5 f_{ij} \Delta O_{3e}(i,j,k) + f_m \Delta O_{3m}(k)$$
(5)

133 The parameterisation provides the global and regional  $O_3$  response at the surface and 134 throughout the troposphere. The  $O_3$  radiative forcing is then derived from the change in 135 tropospheric  $O_3$  burden using the multi-model ensemble mean relationship from ACCMIP 136 (Stevenson et al., 2013). In this way the parameterisation can be used to rapidly assess the 137 impact of changes in precursor emissions and CH<sub>4</sub> abundance on surface  $O_3$  air quality and 138 near term climate forcing due to  $O_3$ . In addition, a measure of uncertainty is generated by the 139 parameterisation based on the range of HTAP multi-model responses.

We have updated the parameterisation used in Turnock et al., (2018) to rectify some small coding errors subsequently found in the calculation of tropospheric  $O_3$  burden and  $O_3$  radiative forcing. Table S1 reproduces Table 10 of Turnock et al., (2018) but includes results from the updated parameterisation used here. The surface  $O_3$  response is unaffected by the updates, but the tropospheric  $O_3$  burden and  $O_3$  radiative forcing are reduced compared to that in Turnock et al., (2018), although still within or at the lower end of the range of the ACCMIP multi-model response.

- The parameterisation has previously been shown to reproduce the  $O_3$  response to different emission perturbations from full model simulations (Turnock et al., 2018; Wild et al., 2012). Here we use the parameterisation to quantify the  $O_3$  response to CMIP6 historical emissions and to the full range of future SSPs, which include differing climate mitigation targets and various levels of air pollutant control. Using a combination of historical and future emissions we calculate 300 years of changes to tropospheric  $O_3$ . Further experiments are conducted with the parameterisation to attribute the change in tropospheric  $O_3$  from different emission
- source sectors and the impact from mitigation scenarios solely targeting reductions in CH<sub>4</sub>.

### 155 <u>2.2 CMIP6 Emissions</u>

A new set of historical anthropogenic emissions has been developed with the Community
 Emissions Data System (CEDS) (Hoesly et al., 2018). CEDS uses updated emissions factors
 to provide monthly emissions of the major aerosol and trace gas species over the period 1750
 to 2014 for use in CMIP6, and includes the interannual variation in biomass burning.

160 The SSPs used in CMIP6 represent an update from CMIP5 as they combine pathways of 161 different greenhouse gas concentrations (RCPs) with new scenarios of socio-economic 162 development that encompass a range of challenges to mitigation and adaption (O'Neill et al., 2014; van Vuuren et al., 2014). Five different baseline SSPs (1-5) are used to represent 163 164 different combinations of future social, environmental and economic development over the 21st Century (O'Neill et al., 2014; Riahi et al., 2017). The SSPs vary from those with lower resource 165 166 and energy use (sustainability - SSP1) to those focussing on energy intensification and fossil 167 fuel use (SSP5). Mapped onto each SSP is an assumption about the degree of air pollution 168 control (strong, medium or weak), representing the different speeds and technological 169 pathways to meet targets. Rising income levels and stricter air pollution controls are assumed 170 to occur together, as control technology costs are lowered and a greater emphasis is placed 171 on improving human health (Rao et al., 2017). This allows the SSPs to cover a wider range of 172 future air pollutant emission trajectories in a greater number of scenarios than was possible in 173 CMIP5, and supports the need for a rapid assessment tool to evaluate all scenarios.

174 The baseline SSPs are unable to reach lower radiative forcing targets and result in a climate 175 radiative forcing of 5.0 - 8.7 W m<sup>-2</sup> by 2100 (Riahi et al., 2017). Different greenhouse gas 176 mitigation strategies are introduced on top of each baseline SSP to achieve a defined climate 177 radiative forcing target in 2100. A wide range of measures are used to achieve emission 178 reductions and reflect the specific storyline of the SSP, e.g., carbon capture and storage, 179 increased use of renewables, reduced agriculture emissions and afforestation. A summary of 180 each scenario used in this study is shown in Table 1, with further details on each pathway 181 presented in O'Neill et al., (2014), Rao et al., (2017), Riahi et al., (2017) and (Gidden et al., 182 2019).

		Challen	ges for:	Climate Target (radiative forcing	Level of Air Pollution	
Scenario	SSP Narrative	Mitigation	Adaption	by 2100, W m <sup>-2</sup> )	Controls	
SSP1 1.9	Sustainability	Low	Low	1.9	Strong	
SSP1 2.6	Sustainability	Low	Low	2.6	Strong	
SSP2 4.5	Middle of the Road	Medium	Medium	4.5	Medium	
SSP3 7.0	Regional Rivalry	High	High	7.0	Weak	
SSP3 7.0	Pogional Pivalny	High	High	6.2*	Strong	
lowNTCF	Regional Rivally	High	nigh	0.5	Strong	
SSP4 3.4	Inequality	Low	High	3.4	Weak	
SSP4 6.0	Inequality	Low	High	6.0	Weak	
SSP5 3.4	Fossil-fuelled Development	High	Low	3.4	Strong	
SSP5 8.5	Fossil-fuelled Development	High	Low	8.5	Strong	

183 Table 1 – Summary of all CMIP6 scenarios with gridded emissions that are used in this study

184 \* Climate target is lowered due to reduced contribution of NTCFs to end of century warming (Gidden et al., 2019).

185 In this study we have used changes in CH<sub>4</sub> abundance and anthropogenic emissions of NOx, 186 CO and NMVOCs from the historical dataset and nine SSPs developed for CMIP6 (Table 1). 187 Similar climate forcing targets to those used in CMIP5 are included within SSP1 2.6, SSP2 188 4.5, SSP3 7.0 and SSP5 8.5 scenarios. Additional scenarios with differing levels of climate mitigation (SSP1 1.9, SSP3 lowNTCF, SSP4 3.4, SSP4 6.0 and SSP5 3.4) are provided for 189 190 CMIP6. The radiative forcing targets range from a strong mitigation scenario of 1.9 W m<sup>-2</sup>, 191 which keeps temperatures well below 2°C by 2100 (in accordance with the Paris Agreement, 192 (United Nations, 2016)), to a weak mitigation scenario with a radiative forcing of 8.5 W m<sup>-2</sup>, 193 resulting in a temperature change of ~5°C by 2100 (Riahi et al., 2017). SSP3 7.0 lowNTCF is 194 provided for the Aerosol and Chemistry Model Intercomparison Project (AerChemMIP) 195 experiments (Collins et al., 2017) and represents a direct comparison to SSP3 7.0 but with 196 substantially reduced NTCFs. SSP4 scenarios are included to study pathways with low

mitigation challenges that have strong land use and aerosol-climate effects (Gidden et al.,
2019). SSP5 3.4 is a delayed mitigation scenario which follows the same pathway as SSP5
8.5 up until 2040 but then implements policy measures to reduce warming in the latter half of
the century.



201

Figure 1 – Global total annual emissions of NOx, CO and NMVOCs and the global CH4 abundance
 from the CMIP6 historical and future scenarios dataset.

204 Figure 1 shows the global change in the emission of air pollutants (NOx, CO and NMVOCs) 205 and CH<sub>4</sub> abundance over the period 1750 to 2014 in the historical emissions and in the nine 206 different future SSPs from 2015 to 2050. Global emissions of air pollutants remain low up until 207 the early part of the 20<sup>th</sup> Century. In the second half of the 20<sup>th</sup> Century global emissions rapidly 208 increase in response to industrialisation, particularly over Europe, North America and Asia 209 (Table S2). On a global basis, SSP3 7.0 is the only scenario where global air pollutant 210 emissions are not declining (relative to 2015). Large reductions in all air pollutant emissions 211 are seen across all regions in SSP3 7.0 lowNTCF and the SSP1s (Table 1 and Table S3-S4) 212 due to large reductions in the energy, industrial, residential and transport sectors (Figures S2-213 S4, Tables S5-S7). In SSP3 7.0 and SSP5 8.5 air pollution emissions tend to increase in most 214 regions, apart from Europe and North America. Emissions decrease across most regions in 215 the SSP2 and SSP4 scenarios, apart from in South Asia where emissions increase for almost 216 all scenarios, mainly from the energy, industrial and transport sectors (Figure S5).

217  $CH_4$  abundances show a continuous increasing trend over the historical period from 731 ppbv 218 in 1750 to 1831 ppbv in 2014, with the most rapid changes occurring since the 1950s. Over 219 the period 2015 to 2050 most of the future scenarios show an increase in global  $CH_4$  abundance of between 10% and 36%, apart from the three scenarios with the strongest climate and air pollutant mitigation (SSP1 1.9, SSP1 2.6 and SSP3 7.0 lowNTCF), where  $CH_4$ abundance reduces by 18% to 26% (Table 2).

Table 2 – Percentage change in global methane abundance and in global and regional total
 (anthropogenic, shipping and biomass burning sectors) annual NOx emissions in 2050, relative to 2015,
 over each source region for the different CMIP6 emission scenarios. Positive changes are shown in
 bold. Regions are as defined in Figure S1.

Annual Total Emissions Change in 2050 (%) from 2015 SSP1 SSP1 SSP2 SSP3 SSP4 SSP4 SSP3 7.0 SSP5 SSP5 Region 1.9 2.6 4.5 7.0 NTCF 3.4 6.0 3.4 8.5 Global CH<sub>4</sub> -22 -18 +10 +34 -26 +21 +36 +15 +33 Global NOx -66 -51 -27 +7 -46 -35 -15 -21 +2 Central -25 -58 -42 -20 +27 -51 -41 0 +32 America -32 -6 +11 +27 -37 -26 -11 -13 +4 **Central Asia** -79 -68 +17 -44 -27 -29 -12 East Asia -53 -61 -79 -74 -50 -38 -58 -64 -43 -34 -14 Furope +46 -63 -25 -12 Middle East -77 -55 -22 -42 -39 North Africa -45 -4 +10 +54 -46 -1 +18 +10 +51 North -37 -29 -82 -74 -59 -60 -61 -45 -49 America -32 -92 -86 -36 -43 -84 -56 -48 -46 North Pole -78 -27 -79 -34 -14 Ocean -88 -29 -47 -35 Pacific Aus -13 -18 -22 -14 -52 -46 -37 -42 -32 NZ Rus Bel Ukr -50 -17 -14 -60 -59 -37 -42 -26 -56 South -59 -46 -23 +13 -38 -39 -18 -9 +12 America +72 +3 +50 +52 South Asia -59 -25 +29 +11 +12 South East -49 -26 -24 +33 -31 +46 +18 -22 +6 Asia Southern -10 +3 -8 -33 -9 -2 +9 +25 -14 Africa

227

### 228 3.0 Results

#### 229 <u>3.1 Historical Changes</u>

230 The parameterisation reveals that global annual mean surface O<sub>3</sub>, tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> burden and 231  $O_3$  radiative forcing (± 1 standard deviation) increased by 7.6 ± 0.7 ppbv, 73 ± 8.9 Tg and 0.29 232 ± 0.03 W m<sup>-2</sup> over the period 1850 to 2014 (Table 3 and Figure 2). The change in these 233 variables over the period 1850 to 2000 simulated by the ACCMIP models is  $10 \pm 1.6$  ppbv, 98 234  $\pm$  17 Tg and 0.36  $\pm$  0.06 W m<sup>-2</sup> respectively (Stevenson et al., 2013; Young et al., 2013), slightly larger than the changes from the parameterisation. The large standard deviations show 235 236 that there is a substantial diversity in model responses in the ACCMIP results. UKESM1, an 237 Earth system model conducting experiments for CMIP6 (Sellar et al., 2019, in prep.), and a 238 successor to the HadGEM2 model used for building the parameterisation, gives global 239 changes of 7.3  $\pm$  0.4 ppbv in surface O<sub>3</sub> and 66.7  $\pm$  5.1 Tg in O<sub>3</sub> burden, very similar to the parameterisation (Figure 2). 240

The parameterisation does not account for the impacts on tropospheric  $O_3$  from changes in stratosphere-to-troposphere exchange, chemical regime ( $O_3$  production/titration) or climate, 243 which could explain the discrepancy with the ACCMIP models. A discrepancy could also occur from the use of the different emission datasets in ACCMIP and CMIP6, but a comparison with 244 245 the parameterisation itself found this contribution to be very small. Within ACCMIP some 246 models calculated the impact of climate change over the historical period by conducting 247 experiments with a varying climate and emissions fixed at 1850 values. These models 248 calculated that climate change has a relatively small impact on tropospheric  $O_3$  over the 249 industrial period, reducing the change in surface  $O_3$ ,  $O_3$  burden and  $O_3$  radiative forcing by 2.7 250 ppbv, 22 Tg and 0.024 W m<sup>-2</sup> respectively (Stevenson et al., 2013; Young et al., 2013). Most 251 of this reduction is anticipated to occur from enhanced O<sub>3</sub> destruction due to increases in water 252 vapour from rising temperatures (Doherty et al., 2013). If the reduction in tropospheric  $O_3$  due 253 to the effects of climate change is removed from the ACCMIP estimate of change in  $O_3$  over 254 the industrial period, then there is better agreement with the estimate of historical change from 255 the parameterisation.

There is no agreed observed change in tropospheric  $O_3$  over the industrial period due to the absence of reliable measurements (Young et al., 2018). Chemistry-climate models are only able to reproduce half of the observed trend in  $O_3$  over the second half of the 20<sup>th</sup> Century, when reliable measurements are available and most of the change in  $O_3$  occurred (Gaudel et al., 2018; Young et al., 2018). Tropospheric  $O_3$  changes from the parameterisation over the industrial period are consistent with those from ACCMIP models and UKESM1 but all tend to underestimate the measured change.

263 Figure 2 shows that surface  $O_3$ , tropospheric  $O_3$  burden and  $O_3$  radiative forcing rapidly 264 increase through the 20<sup>th</sup> Century, coinciding with the largest changes in emissions and CH<sub>4</sub> 265 (Figure 1). Larger regional increases in annual mean surface  $O_3$  of >10 ppbv occur over 266 Europe, North America, Asia and the Middle East (Table 3 and Figure S6). The changes in 267 annual mean surface O<sub>3</sub> since 1750 show the impact of industrialisation and increasing 268 emissions over the 20th century across most regions. However, they also show the more recent 269 decline in surface  $O_3$  concentrations across Europe and North America (<2 ppbv) over the last 270 30 years due to the reduction in precursor emissions from the implementation of air pollution 271 controls. Historical changes in tropospheric  $O_3$  over the industrial period provide a context in 272 which to frame the predicted changes from different future scenarios.



273

274 Figure 2 – Change in the global annual mean surface  $O_3$  concentrations, total  $O_3$  burden and  $O_3$ 275 radiative forcing over the historical period, relative to 2014, from the parameterisation using historical 276 emissions provided for CMIP6. A 5-year running mean of the change in global surface O<sub>3</sub> concentrations 277 and total O<sub>3</sub> burden, relative to 2014, is also shown from UKESM1. Shaded areas show the spread in 278 the response from the multi-model parameterisation and ensemble members of UKESM1 (±1 standard 279 deviation).

280 **Table 3** – Regional and global change in annual mean surface  $O_3$  concentrations over the historical 281 period, relative to 2014.

Region	1750	1850	1900	1950	1980	2000			
Global	-7.9	-7.6	-6.9	-4.8	-1.2	-0.4			
Central America	-9.1	-8.7	-8.2	-5.3	-1.0	+0.5			
Central Asia	-10.6	-9.9	-8.8	-5.8	+0.9	+0.4			
East Asia	-12.9	-12.4	-11.5	-8.7	-2.5	-1.2			
Europe	-10.5	-9.6	-8.3	-5.0	+2.0	+1.0			
Middle East	-17.6	-16.8	-15.7	-11.9	-3.4	-1.0			
North Africa	-12.2	-11.6	-10.4	-6.9	-0.1	+0.4			
North America	-10.8	-10.1	-9.1	-4.5	+1.0	+0.7			
North Pole	-7.8	-7.3	-6.6	-4.2	+0.2	+0.2			
Ocean	-7.8	-7.4	-6.9	-4.8	-1.4	-0.4			
Pacific Aus NZ	-4.7	-4.5	-4.0	-3.0	-1.2	-0.3			
Rus Bel Ukr	-7.9	-7.4	-6.5	-4.0	+0.4	+0.2			
South America	-4.6	-4.4	-4.1	-3.2	-1.5	-0.5			
South Asia	-17.7	-17.1	-16.3	-13.6	-6.9	-3.8			
South East Asia	-9.7	-9.5	-9.1	-7.7	-4.8	-2.9			
South Pole	-3.5	-3.3	-3.0	-2.2	-0.9	-0.3			
Southern Africa	-5.1	-4.8	-4.4	-3.1	-1.1	-0.3			

### 282 <u>3.2 Future Scenarios</u>

The nine CMIP6 SSPs (Table 1) are used with the O<sub>3</sub> parameterisation to predict the impact on tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> over the near-term future (2015 to 2050), when effects of climate are likely to be small (Doherty et al., 2017; Fiore et al., 2015). Figure 3 shows that there are a variety of responses in global annual mean surface O<sub>3</sub>, O<sub>3</sub> burden and O<sub>3</sub> radiative forcing to the different SSPs. The predictions from the parameterisation are compared to the global mean changes simulated by UKESM1 for four representative SSPs.

289 The three strong mitigation pathways (SSP1 1.9, SSP1 2.6 and SSP3 7.0 lowNTCF) all show 290 (relative to 2015) large reductions of >3.5 ppbv in surface  $O_3$ , >30 Tg in  $O_3$  burden and an  $O_3$ 291 radiative forcing of <-0.1 W m<sup>-2</sup> in 2050, due to the large reductions in precursor emissions 292 and CH<sub>4</sub>. Figure 3 shows that the global changes in surface  $O_3$  and  $O_3$  burden in 2050 from 293 the parameterisation are consistent with those simulated using UKESM1. Using the simplified 294 expression of Etminan et al., (2016) a direct CH<sub>4</sub> radiative forcing of <-0.15 W m<sup>-2</sup> is calculated 295 for the strong mitigation scenarios, providing an additional direct benefit to climate on top of 296 the reduction in O<sub>3</sub> forcing. However, the benefits to surface air quality and near-term climate 297 forcing in the most ambitious mitigation scenarios are still less than half of the changes that 298 occurred over the industrial period.

The middle of the road scenario (SSP2 4.5) is predicted to have slightly reduced global surface O<sub>3</sub> concentration, O<sub>3</sub> burden and O<sub>3</sub> radiative forcing in 2050 compared to 2015. In this scenario decreases in precursor emissions are offset by increases in global CH<sub>4</sub> abundance of 10%. The global changes predicted by the parameterisation are again in agreement with those from UKESM1, which shows a slight reduction in surface O<sub>3</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> burden.

304 All the weak mitigation scenarios (SSP 7.0, SSP5 8.5 and SSP4 6.0) are predicted to increase global annual mean surface  $O_3$  by up to 1.5 ppbv,  $O_3$  burden by up to 18 Tg and  $O_3$  radiative 305 306 forcing by up to +0.07 W m<sup>-2</sup> in 2050. The predicted changes in surface  $O_3$  and  $O_3$  burden for 307 SSP5 8.5 are consistent with those from UKESM1. For SSP3 7.0 the predicted increases in 308 O<sub>3</sub> are larger than those in UKESM1, particularly over South Asia (Figure S7). These regions experience large increases in NOx emissions of up to 70% in SSP3 7.0, resulting in changes 309 310 in chemical regime from  $O_3$  production to  $O_3$  titration. This change in chemical regime cannot 311 be captured with the parameterisation and it therefore overestimates the O<sub>3</sub> response in these 312 regions, as previously shown in Turnock et al., (2018). A direct CH<sub>4</sub> radiative forcing of up to 313 +0.27 W m<sup>-2</sup> is calculated using the simplified expression of Etminan et al., (2016) for the weak 314 SSP mitigation scenarios. This is in addition to the positive forcing from  $O_3$ , which will both 315 have a detrimental effect on climate. The large global increase in CH<sub>4</sub> abundance in these 316 scenarios, of up to 36%, offsets any benefits to  $O_3$  from reducing precursor emissions, 317 highlighting the importance of controlling future CH<sub>4</sub> emissions for reducing tropospheric O<sub>3</sub>.



318

**Figure 3** – Changes in global annual mean surface  $O_3$  concentrations, total  $O_3$  burden and  $O_3$  radiative forcing, relative to 2015, from the parameterisation for different future CMIP6 pathways. Shaded area shows the spread in multi-model response (±1 standard deviation). The spread in multi-model response in 2050 is represented by the vertical line at the end of each plot. The change in surface  $O_3$  and  $O_3$ burden (±1 standard deviation) simulated by UKESM1 in 2050 is represented by the circles at the far end of the plot.

325 Figure 4 and Table S8 show that there is a large range in annual regional mean surface  $O_3$ 326 responses for the nine SSPs. The largest range occurs over the Middle East and South Asia 327 where a reduction of >10 ppbv (relative to 2015) is predicted for the strong mitigation scenario 328 (SSP1 1.9) and an increase of >3 ppbv is predicted for the weak mitigation scenario (SSP3 329 7.0). However, the range in  $O_3$  responses over these particular regions may be overestimated 330 with the parameterisation, as noted above. Across Europe, North America and East Asia, the 331 range of response in surface  $O_3$  is smaller but reductions of >6 ppbv occur in the strong 332 mitigation scenarios where precursor emissions and CH<sub>4</sub> abundances are heavily reduced. 333 However, even the most ambitious mitigation scenario results in regional annual mean surface 334 O<sub>3</sub> concentrations over Europe and North America that are 30% greater than estimated for the 335 pre-industrial period (Figure S6).

For the weak mitigation scenario of SSP3 7.0 surface  $O_3$  increases by 2.6 ppbv for East Asia and by ~1 ppbv for Europe and North America. Increases in surface  $O_3$  occur in both SSP3 7.0 and SSP5 8.5 due to the >30% increase in global CH<sub>4</sub> abundances, despite reductions in NOx emissions. This again highlights the importance of implementing both local and hemispheric emission control measures, particularly for CH<sub>4</sub>, to control future regional changes in surface  $O_3$ .



**Figure 4** – Changes in global and regional annual mean surface  $O_3$  concentrations, relative to 2015, for different future CMIP6 pathways. The spread in multi-model response in 2050 is represented by the vertical line at the end of each plot (±1 standard deviation).

346 A source attribution is presented for selected SSPs for East Asia (Figure 5) and South Asia 347 (Figure 6) to show the influence of local and remote emission sources on surface O<sub>3</sub>. Across East Asia the contribution of locally formed  $O_3$  to the total surface  $O_3$  response in 2050 348 349 decreases by up to 2.8 ppbv across most scenarios due to the reduction of local emissions. SSP3 7.0 is an exception to this, as local emissions increase and consequently the  $O_3$ 350 351 response increases by 0.5 ppbv in 2050. The influence from regions external to East Asia is 352 shown to be important in achieving reductions in regional surface  $O_3$ , particularly for the 353 stronger mitigation scenarios where reductions of more than 1 ppbv can be achieved. In most 354 scenarios, apart from SSP1 1.9, global CH<sub>4</sub> abundances rise and contribute to an increase in 355 surface  $O_3$  of up to 2 ppbv.

Over South Asia, local emission sources are more important in influencing the total  $O_3$ response and counteract changes from external source regions. Large reductions in surface  $O_3$  concentrations are achieved in the strong mitigation scenario from local (-4.8 ppbv), remote (-4.1 ppbv) and CH<sub>4</sub> (-1.5 ppbv) sources. However, in the medium and weak mitigation scenarios surface  $O_3$  increases from local emissions (up to +4.1 ppbv) and global CH<sub>4</sub> abundance (up to +1.9 ppbv). This increase outweighs any reductions in  $O_3$  obtained from emission sources remote to South Asia (up to -1.6 ppbv).

This analysis of source contributions highlights that local emission reductions are not always enough to reduce regional surface  $O_3$  concentrations in the future. Emission controls on a

hemispheric scale are also required, particularly for  $CH_4$ , to reduce transboundary sources of  $O_3$  and keep the regional surface  $O_3$  below present-day concentrations.



**Figure 5** - Total annual mean changes in regional surface O<sub>3</sub> concentrations over East Asia and the contribution of local (blue), remote (red) and methane (gold) sources between 2015 and 2050 from the parameterisation for the CMIP6 emissions under the a) SSP1 1.9, b) SSP2 4.5, c) SSP3 7.0, d) SSP4 6.0 and e) SSP5 8.5 scenarios. Grey lines on the local and methane panels represent individual model estimates of O<sub>3</sub> changes, showing the spread in model responses; solid lines show the multi-model mean. Error bars represent one standard deviation over the entire multi-model range. The bottom panels show the O<sub>3</sub> response from individual sources plotted together.



Figure 6 - Total annual mean changes in regional surface O<sub>3</sub> concentrations over South Asia and the contribution of local (blue), remote (red) and methane (gold) sources between 2015 and 2050 from the parameterisation for the CMIP6 emissions under the a) SSP1 1.9, b) SSP2 4.5, c) SSP3 7.0, d) SSP4 6.0 and e) SSP5 8.5 scenarios. Grey lines on the local and methane panels represent individual model estimates of O<sub>3</sub> changes, showing the spread in model responses; solid lines show the multi-model mean. Error bars represent one standard deviation over the entire multi-model range. The bottom panels shows the O<sub>3</sub> response from individual sources plotted together.

### 383 <u>3.3 Emission Source Sectors</u>

We have used the fractional emission change from different emission source sectors, relative to the total (Figures S2-S4, Tables S5-S7), to understand their contribution to the overall response in annual mean surface  $O_3$  and  $O_3$  radiative forcing in four SSPs (SSP1 1.9, SSP2 4.5, SSP3 7.0, SSP5 8.5). The sum of the  $O_3$  response from the individual source sectors closely matches (within 7%) the combined  $O_3$  response in each scenario (Figure 7).



Figure 7 – Changes in global annual mean surface O<sub>3</sub> concentrations (ppbv) and O<sub>3</sub> radiative forcing
 (mW m<sup>-2</sup>) due to individual emission sectors and the total overall response between 2015 and 2050
 using four different CMIP6 future scenarios.

393 Figure 8 shows the surface  $O_3$  response from each source sector in 2050 across four regions. 394 Both Figures 7 and 8 show that the dominant driver of changes in surface  $O_3$  both globally 395 and regionally is changes in CH<sub>4</sub> abundance. An analysis of individual source sectors 396 contributing to CH<sub>4</sub> emissions shows that the largest changes occur in the energy, waste and 397 agricultural sectors (Figure S8). Globally, other emission source sectors make smaller 398 contributions to surface  $O_3$ . The energy, industrial, transport and residential source sectors are more important regionally. Strong emission controls in these sectors, particularly on 399 400 transport, could reduce surface  $O_3$  by up to 2 ppbv over Europe and East Asia and by more 401 than 4 ppbv over South Asia. In contrast, scenarios that include weak emission controls show 402 increases in surface O<sub>3</sub> of up to 2 ppbv over South Asia.

From the individual source contributions to surface  $O_3$  in 2050, we find most of the benefit for surface  $O_3$  air quality occurs from emission reduction measures in a limited number of sectors, e.g., transport. This highlights where more action to reduce precursor emissions could provide additional benefits to surface  $O_3$ , e.g. from agriculture. For regions like Europe and East Asia local emission policies targeting the energy and transport sectors will not be sufficient to achieve substantial  $O_3$  air quality benefits compared to the present day. Benefits can only be achieved by targeting CH<sub>4</sub> sources, as well as local precursor emissions.



Figure 8 – Changes in annual mean surface O<sub>3</sub> concentrations (ppbv) due to individual emission
 sectors between 2015 and 2050 for different regions using four different CMIP6 future scenarios.

413 The contribution of different source sectors to global O<sub>3</sub> radiative forcing in 2050 is shown in 414 Figure 7 and Table S9. The largest source contribution in all scenarios comes from changes 415 in CH<sub>4</sub> abundance, with the energy, waste and agricultural sectors being important sources 416 contributing to changes in CH<sub>4</sub> emissions (Figure S8). For the weak mitigation scenarios 417 (SSP3 7.0 and SSP5 8.5) CH<sub>4</sub> is shown to be the main contributor, causing a positive  $O_3$ 418 radiative forcing in 2050. There are smaller positive contributions under these scenarios from 419 the energy, industrial and transport sectors. For the medium mitigation scenario (SSP2 4.5) 420 the small positive O<sub>3</sub> radiative forcing due to CH<sub>4</sub> is offset by the negative forcing from the energy, transport and residential sectors. For the strongest mitigation scenario (SSP1 1.9) 421 422 there is a negative  $O_3$  radiative forcing from the energy, transport, residential and shipping 423 sectors, as well as from  $CH_4$ , which combine to produce the largest negative  $O_3$  radiative 424 forcing by 2050. Strong reductions in both CH<sub>4</sub> and precursor emissions are needed to reduce 425 the warming effect of  $O_3$ . Controlling CH<sub>4</sub> would have the largest impact on reducing future  $O_3$ 426 radiative forcing, as the strong mitigation scenarios show that decreasing CH<sub>4</sub> can significantly 427 reduce the overall positive  $O_3$  radiative forcing, as well as the direct CH<sub>4</sub> radiative forcing.

#### 428 <u>3.4 Reductions in Global Methane</u>

To assess the additional benefits from further reductions in global methane we have performed sensitivity experiments with the parameterisation using different values of global CH<sub>4</sub> 431 abundances (-25% to +25%) compared to that in five of the SSPs (Table 4). For all SSPs 432 using lower values of CH<sub>4</sub> (of up to -25%) additionally reduces the predicted global surface O<sub>3</sub> 433 concentration by more than 1 ppbv in 2050 (Table 4 and Figure 9), with larger reductions on a regional scale (Figure S9). For example, the predicted increases in global surface O3 434 435 concentrations in 2050 under the weaker mitigation scenarios (SSP3 7.0, SSP4 6.0 and SSP5 436 8.5) could be eliminated if the global  $CH_4$  abundance was reduced by 15–25% of the original 437 value used in each scenario. A similar benefit is seen in climate forcing (both  $O_3$  and direct 438  $CH_4$ ). The O<sub>3</sub> radiative forcing in SSP1 1.9 would not be as large if the reductions in  $CH_4$ 439 abundances were smaller under this scenario. If larger reductions in global CH<sub>4</sub> abundances 440 occurred for the weaker mitigation scenarios then O<sub>3</sub> radiative forcing in 2050 relative to 2015 441 could be reduced to near zero, with an additional reduction in the direct CH<sub>4</sub> radiative forcing 442 of up to 0.2 W m<sup>-2</sup>. Further reductions to the global CH<sub>4</sub> abundance by 2050 would deliver 443 clear additional benefits to surface  $O_3$  air quality and near-term climate forcing (both  $O_3$  and 444 CH<sub>4</sub>) under all SSPs.

Table 4 – The response in global surface O<sub>3</sub>, O<sub>3</sub> radiative forcing and CH<sub>4</sub> radiative forcing to additional
 perturbations in CH<sub>4</sub> abundance compared to that in five of the existing SSPs.

Initial SSP Scenario		% change from the initial scenario concentration										
		-25	-20	-15	-10	-5	Initial	+5	+10	+15	+20	+25
	ΔCH <sub>4</sub> (ppbv)	-	-	-	-	-	1428	1499	1571	1642	1714	1785
SSP1 1.9	$\Delta O_3$ (ppbv)	-	-	-	-	-	-4.7	-4.5	-4.3	-4.1	-4.0	-3.8
	O <sub>3</sub> RF (Wm <sup>-2</sup> )	-	-	-	-	-	-0.17	-0.16	-0.15	-0.14	-0.14	-0.13
	CH <sub>4</sub> RF (Wm <sup>-2</sup> )	-	-	-	-	-	-0.20	-0.16	-0.13	-0.09	-0.06	-0.03
SSP2 4.5	ΔCH <sub>4</sub> (ppbv)	-	-	1717	1818	1919	2020	2121	2222	-	-	-
	∆O₃ (ppbv)	-	-	-1.7	-1.4	-1.2	-0.9	-0.7	-0.4	-	-	-
	O <sub>3</sub> RF (Wm <sup>-2</sup> )	-	-	-0.06	-0.05	-0.04	-0.03	-0.02	-0.01	-	-	-
	CH <sub>4</sub> RF (Wm <sup>-2</sup> )	-	-	-0.06	-0.01	+0.03	+0.08	+0.12	+0.16	-	-	-
SSP3 7.0	ΔCH <sub>4</sub> (ppbv)	1854	1978	2101	2225	2348	2472	-	-	-	-	-
	$\Delta O_3$ (ppbv)	+0.1	+0.4	+0.7	+1.0	+1.3	+1.5	-	-	-	-	-
	O <sub>3</sub> RF (Wm <sup>-2</sup> )	+0.01	+0.03	+0.04	+0.05	+0.06	+0.07	-	-	-	-	-
	CH <sub>4</sub> RF (Wm <sup>-2</sup> )	+0.01	+0.06	+0.11	+0.16	+0.21	+0.26	-	-	-	-	-
	ΔCH <sub>4</sub> (ppbv)	-	2003	2128	2253	2378	2504	2629	-	-	-	-
SSP4 6.0	$\Delta O_3$ (ppbv)	-	-0.5	-0.2	+0.1	+0.4	+0.6	+0.9	-	-	-	-
	O <sub>3</sub> RF (Wm <sup>-2</sup> )	-	-0.01	+0.01	+0.02	+0.03	+0.04	+0.05	-	-	-	-
	CH <sub>4</sub> RF (Wm <sup>-2</sup> )	-	+0.07	+0.12	+0.17	+0.22	+0.27	+0.31	-	-	-	-
SSP5 8.5	ΔCH <sub>4</sub> (ppbv)	1835	1957	2079	2202	2324	2446	-	-	-	-	-
	∆O <sub>3</sub> (ppbv)	-0.4	-0.1	+0.2	+0.5	+0.8	+1.0	-	-	-	-	-
	O <sub>3</sub> RF (Wm <sup>-2</sup> )	-0.01	+0.00	+0.01	+0.03	+0.04	+0.05	-	-	-	-	-
	CH <sub>4</sub> RF (Wm <sup>-2</sup> )	+0.00	+0.05	+0.10	+0.15	+0.20	+0.25	-	-	-	-	-



448Figure 9 – Changes in the global annual mean response of surface  $O_3$  concentrations,  $O_3$  radiative449forcing and direct  $CH_4$  radiative forcing in 2050 due to different amounts of methane mitigation assumed450in a particular SSP.

## 451 **4.0 Conclusions**

447

We have used a parameterisation based on source-receptor relationships to predict changes in tropospheric  $O_3$  and its radiative forcing over the period 1750 to 2050. Changes in CH<sub>4</sub> abundance and  $O_3$  precursor emissions (NOx, CO and NMVOCs) from historical and future scenarios of the CMIP6 emission dataset are used within the parameterisation. This allows an initial assessment of the full range of CMIP6 scenarios to be conducted prior to full chemistry climate modelling studies.

458 Using changes in precursor emissions and CH₄ abundances over the industrial period (1750-459 2014) we find changes in surface  $O_3$ , tropospheric  $O_3$  burden and  $O_3$  radiative forcing of +8 460 ppbv, +76 Tg and +0.3 W m<sup>-2</sup>. These changes in  $O_3$  over the historical period are within the 461 range of multi-model changes simulated in the ACCMIP project, although the parameterisation does not account for changes in climate, stratosphere-to-troposphere exchange or chemical 462 463 regime ( $O_3$  production/titration). There is a much better agreement over the historical period 464 between the parameterisation and ACCMIP if the impact of climate change on tropospheric 465  $O_3$  is accounted for.

Nine future SSPs are used to explore changes to tropospheric  $O_3$  over the period 2014 to 2050, when the effects of climate change are assumed to be small. Future scenarios that include strong climate and air pollutant mitigation measures show reductions in global surface  $O_3$  concentrations of more than 3.5 ppbv and have a global  $O_3$  radiative forcing of less than -0.1 W m<sup>-2</sup>. There is an additional benefit in these scenarios from the reduction in direct CH<sub>4</sub> 471 radiative forcing, to less than -0.15 W m<sup>-2</sup>. Large reductions in surface  $O_3$  occur across the 472 Middle East and South Asia, due to substantial reductions in  $O_3$  precursor emissions and 473 global CH<sub>4</sub> abundance. These reductions will benefit both future surface  $O_3$  air quality and 474 near-term climate forcing but remain well above pre-industrial values.

Surface  $O_3$  increases across all regions in future scenarios with assumed weak climate and air pollutant mitigation measures, with the largest increase of >6 ppbv over South Asia. The weak mitigation scenarios result in an  $O_3$  radiative forcing of >+0.05 W m<sup>-2</sup>, along with a direct CH<sub>4</sub> radiative forcing of up to +0.27 W m<sup>-2</sup>. This highlights that without reductions to  $O_3$ precursor emissions, particularly CH<sub>4</sub>, it will not be possible to prevent the future degradation of surface  $O_3$  air quality and the enhancement of anthropogenic climate forcing.

481 A source attribution for East Asia shows that any benefits to surface O<sub>3</sub> from reducing local 482 emission sources could be offset by intercontinental transport of  $O_3$  formed from sources 483 remote to the region and that from global CH<sub>4</sub> sources. In contrast, for South Asia local sources 484 of  $O_3$  are shown to be more important than those remote to the region. Global CH<sub>4</sub> and the 485 transport, industrial and energy sectors have the largest contribution to changes in surface O<sub>3</sub>. 486 Our analysis shows that local emission control measures are required alongside 487 intercontinental controls to provide regional benefits to future air quality and near-term climate 488 forcing. In particular, the level of climate mitigation measures for CH<sub>4</sub> within a scenario has a 489 strong influence on the magnitude of benefits that can be achieved. Additional reductions in 490 global CH<sub>4</sub> abundance within a scenario have the potential to provide larger benefits for air 491 quality and climate.

492 The O<sub>3</sub> parameterisation used here provides an easy-to-use tool with which to rapidly assess 493 the impact on tropospheric  $O_3$  from a large range of future emission scenarios. The results of 494 this study highlight the need for emission reduction measures both locally and internationally, 495 particularly for CH<sub>4</sub>. While not replacing full model simulations, the tool can provide useful 496 information on a range of future trajectories for tropospheric  $O_3$ . This is particularly valuable 497 for modelling centres conducting full chemistry-climate model simulations, allowing them to 498 make better informed decisions on selecting a more limited range of scenarios for detailed 499 analysis.

### 500 Acknowledgements

501 Steven Turnock, Alistair Sellar and Fiona O'Connor would like to acknowledge the BEIS Met 502 Office Hadley Centre Climate Program (GA01101). Steven Turnock was also supported by 503 the UK-China Research and Innovation Partnership Fund through the Met Office Climate 504 Science for Service Partnership (CSSP) China, as part of the Newton Fund.

### 505 References

- Cionni, I., Eyring, V., Lamarque, J.F., Randel, W.J., Stevenson, D.S., Wu, F., Bodeker, G.E., Shepherd, T.G.,
   Shindell, D.T., Waugh, D.W., 2011. Ozone database in support of CMIP5 simulations: results and
   corresponding radiative forcing. Atmos. Chem. Phys. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 11, 11267–11292.
   https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-11267-2011
- Collins, J.W., Lamarque, J.F., Schulz, M., Boucher, O., Eyring, V., Hegglin, I.M., Maycock, A., Myhre, G., Prather, M., Shindell, D., Smith, J.S., 2017. AerChemMIP: Quantifying the effects of chemistry and aerosols in CMIP6. Geosci. Model Dev. 10, 585–607. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-585-2017
- 513 Doherty, R.M., Heal, M.R., O'Connor, F.M., 2017. Climate change impacts on human health over Europe through 514 its effect on air quality. Environ. Heal. 16, 118. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12940-017-0325-2
- 515 Doherty, R.M., Wild, O., Shindell, D.T., Zeng, G., MacKenzie, I.A., Collins, W.J., Fiore, A.M., Stevenson, D.S.,

- 516 Dentener, F.J., Schultz, M.G., Hess, P., Derwent, R.G., Keating, T.J., 2013. Impacts of climate change on
   517 surface ozone and intercontinental ozone pollution: A multi-model study. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 118,
   518 3744–3763. https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50266
- Etminan, M., Myhre, G., Highwood, E.J., Shine, K.P., 2016. Radiative forcing of carbon dioxide, methane, and nitrous oxide: A significant revision of the methane radiative forcing. Geophys. Res. Lett. 43, 12,614-12,623. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL071930
- 522 Fiore, A.M., Dentener, F.J., Wild, O., Cuvelier, C., Schultz, M.G., Hess, P., Textor, C., Schulz, M., Doherty, R.M., 523 Horowitz, L.W., MacKenzie, I.A., Sanderson, M.G., Shindell, D.T., Stevenson, D.S., Szopa, S., Van 524 Dingenen, R., Zeng, G., Atherton, C., Bergmann, D., Bey, I., Carmichael, G., Collins, W.J., Duncan, B.N., 525 Faluvegi, G., Folberth, G., Gauss, M., Gong, S., Hauglustaine, D., Holloway, T., Isaksen, I.S.A., Jacob, 526 D.J., Jonson, J.E., Kaminski, J.W., Keating, T.J., Lupu, A., Manner, E., Montanaro, V., Park, R.J., Pitari, G., 527 Pringle, K.J., Pyle, J.A., Schroeder, S., Vivanco, M.G., Wind, P., Wojcik, G., Wu, S., Zuber, A., 2009. Multimodel estimates of intercontinental source-receptor relationships for ozone pollution. J. Geophys. Res. 528 Atmos. 114, 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD010816 529
- Fiore, A.M., Naik, V., Leibensperger, E.M., 2015. Air Quality and Climate Connections. J. Air Waste Manage.
   Assoc. 65, 645–685. https://doi.org/10.1080/10962247.2015.1040526
- Fiore, A.M., Naik, V., Spracklen, D. V, Steiner, A., Unger, N., Prather, M., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P.J.,
  Cionni, I., Collins, W.J., Dalsøren, S., Eyring, V., Folberth, G. a, Ginoux, P., Horowitz, L.W., Josse, B.,
  Lamarque, J.-F., MacKenzie, I. a, Nagashima, T., O'Connor, F.M., Righi, M., Rumbold, S.T., Shindell, D.T.,
  Skeie, R.B., Sudo, K., Szopa, S., Takemura, T., Zeng, G., 2012. Global air quality and climate. Chem. Soc.
  Rev. 41, 6663–83. https://doi.org/10.1039/c2cs35095e
- 537 Fowler, D., Pilegaard, K., Sutton, M.A., Ambus, P., Raivonen, M., Duyzer, J., Simpson, D., Fagerli, H., Fuzzi, S., 538 Schjoerring, J.K., Granier, C., Neftel, A., Isaksen, I.S.A., Laj, P., Maione, M., Monks, P.S., Burkhardt, J., 539 Daemmgen, U., Neirynck, J., Personne, E., Wichink-Kruit, R., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Flechard, C., Tuovinen, 540 J.P., Coyle, M., Gerosa, G., Loubet, B., Altimir, N., Gruenhage, L., Ammann, C., Cieslik, S., Paoletti, E., 541 Mikkelsen, T.N., Ro-Poulsen, H., Cellier, P., Cape, J.N., Horváth, L., Loreto, F., Niinemets, Ü., Palmer, P.I., 542 Rinne, J., Misztal, P., Nemitz, E., Nilsson, D., Pryor, S., Gallagher, M.W., Vesala, T., Skiba, U., 543 Brüggemann, N., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S., Williams, J., O<sup>7</sup>Dowd, C., Facchini, M.C., de Leeuw, G., 544 Flossman, A., Chaumerliac, N., Erisman, J.W., 2009. Atmospheric composition change: Ecosystems-545 Atmosphere interactions. Atmos. Environ. 43, 5193–5267. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.07.068
- 546 Gaudel, A., Cooper, O.R., Ancellet, G., Barret, B., Boynard, A., Burrows, J.P., Clerbaux, C., Coheur, P.-F., 547 Cuesta, J., Cuevas, E., Doniki, S., Dufour, G., Ebojie, F., Foret, G., Garcia, O., Granados-Muñoz, M.J., 548 Hannigan, J.W., Hase, F., Hassler, B., Huang, G., Hurtmans, D., Jaffe, D., Jones, N., Kalabokas, P., Kerridge, B., Kulawik, S., Latter, B., Leblanc, T., Le Flochmoën, E., Lin, W., Liu, J., Liu, X., Mahieu, E. 549 550 McClure-Begley, A., Neu, J.L., Osman, M., Palm, M., Petetin, H., Petropavlovskikh, I., Querel, R., Rahpoe, 551 N., Rozanov, A., Schultz, M.G., Schwab, J., Siddans, R., Smale, D., Steinbacher, M., Tanimoto, H., 552 Tarasick, D.W., Thouret, V., Thompson, A.M., Trickl, T., Weatherhead, E., Wespes, C., Worden, H.M., 553 Vigouroux, C., Xu, X., Zeng, G., Ziemke, J., Helmig, D., Lewis, A., 2018. Tropospheric Ozone Assessment 554 Report: Present-day distribution and trends of tropospheric ozone relevant to climate and global 555 atmospheric chemistry model evaluation. Elem Sci Anth 6. https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.291
- Gidden, M.J., Riahi, K., Smith, S.J., Fujimori, S., Luderer, G., Kriegler, E., van Vuuren, D.P., van den Berg, M.,
  Feng, L., Klein, D., Calvin, K., Doelman, J.C., Frank, S., Fricko, O., Harmsen, M., Hasegawa, T., Havlik, P.,
  Hilaire, J., Hoesly, R., Horing, J., Popp, A., Stehfest, E., Takahashi, K., 2019. Global emissions pathways
  under different socioeconomic scenarios for use in CMIP6: a dataset of harmonized emissions trajectories
  through the end of the century. Geosci. Model Dev. 12, 1443–1475. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-12-14432019
- Hoesly, R.M., Smith, S.J., Feng, L., Klimont, Z., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Pitkanen, T., Seibert, J.J., Vu, L.,
  Andres, R.J., Bolt, R.M., Bond, T.C., Dawidowski, L., Kholod, N., Kurokawa, J., Li, M., Liu, L., Lu, Z.,
  Moura, M.C.P., O'Rourke, P.R., Zhang, Q., 2018. Historical (1750–2014) anthropogenic
  emissions of reactive gases and aerosols from the Community Emissions Data System (CEDS). Geosci.
  Model Dev. 11, 369–408. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-11-369-2018
- Iglesias-Suarez, F., Kinnison, D.E., Rap, A., Maycock, A.C., Wild, O., Young, P.J., 2018. Key drivers of ozone
   change and its radiative forcing over the 21st century. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 18, 6121–6139.
   https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-6121-2018
- Jacob, D.J., Winner, D. a., 2009. Effect of climate change on air quality. Atmos. Environ. 43, 51–63.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.09.051
- Jerrett, M., Burnett, R.T., Pope, C.A., Ito, K., Thurston, G., Krewski, D., Shi, Y., Calle, E., Thun, M., 2009. Long Term Ozone Exposure and Mortality. N. Engl. J. Med. 360, 1085–1095.

- 574 https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0803894
- 575 Kawase, H., Nagashima, T., Sudo, K., Nozawa, T., 2011. Future changes in tropospheric ozone under
  576 Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs). Geophys. Res. Lett. 38, L05801.
  577 https://doi.org/10.1029/2010GL046402
- 578 Kim, M.J., Park, R.J., Ho, C.-H., Woo, J.-H., Choi, K.-C., Song, C.-K., Lee, J.-B., 2015. Future ozone and oxidants change under the RCP scenarios. Atmos. Environ. 101, 103–115.
  580 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ATMOSENV.2014.11.016
- Lamarque, J.-F., Shindell, D.T., Josse, B., Young, P.J., Cionni, I., Eyring, V., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P.,
  Collins, W.J., Doherty, R., Dalsoren, S., Faluvegi, G., Folberth, G., Ghan, S.J., Horowitz, L.W., Lee, Y.H.,
  MacKenzie, I.A., Nagashima, T., Naik, V., Plummer, D., Righi, M., Rumbold, S.T., Schulz, M., Skeie, R.B.,
  Stevenson, D.S., Strode, S., Sudo, K., Szopa, S., Voulgarakis, A., Zeng, G., 2013. The Atmospheric
  Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP): overview and description of models,
  simulations and climate diagnostics. Geosci. Model Dev. 6, 179–206. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-6-179-
- Malley, C.S., Henze, D.K., Kuylenstierna, J.C.I., Vallack, H.W., Davila, Y., Anenberg, S.C., Turner, M.C.,
   Ashmore, M.R., 2017. Updated Global Estimates of Respiratory Mortality in Adults ≥30 Years of Age
   Attributable to Long-Term Ozone Exposure. Environ. Health Perspect. 125, 87021.
   https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP1390
- Myhre, G., Shindell, D., Breon, F.-M., Collins, W., Fuglestvedt, J., Huang, J., Koch, D., Lamarque, J.-F., Lee, D.,
   Mendoza, B., Nakajima, T., Robock, A., Stephens, G., Takemura, T., Zhang, H., 2013. Anthropogenic and
   Natural Radiative Forcing. In: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working
   Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernemental Panel on Cliamte Change. Cambridge
   University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
- 597 O'Connor, F.M., Johnson, C.E., Morgenstern, O., Abraham, N.L., Braesicke, P., Dalvi, M., Folberth, G.A.,
   598 Sanderson, M.G., Telford, P.J., Voulgarakis, A., Young, P.J., Zeng, G., Collins, W.J., Pyle, J.A., 2014.
   599 Evaluation of the new UKCA climate-composition model Part 2: The Troposphere. Geosci. Model Dev. 7,
   600 41–91. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-7-41-2014
- O'Neill, B.C., Kriegler, E., Riahi, K., Ebi, K.L., Hallegatte, S., Carter, T.R., Mathur, R., van Vuuren, D.P., 2014. A
   new scenario framework for climate change research: the concept of shared socioeconomic pathways.
   Clim. Change 122, 387–400. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-013-0905-2
- Rao, S., Klimont, Z., Smith, S.J., Dingenen, R. Van, Dentener, F., Bouwman, L., Riahi, K., Amann, M., Bodirsky,
  B.L., Van Vuuren, D.P., Reis, L.A., Calvin, K., Drouet, L., Fricko, O., Fujimori, S., Gernaat, D., Havlik, P.,
  Harmsen, M., Hasegawa, T., Heyes, C., Hilaire, J., Luderer, G., Masui, T., Stehfest, E., Strefler, J., Van Der
  Sluis, S., Tavoni, M., 2017. Future air pollution in the Shared Socio-economic Pathways. Glob. Environ.
  Chang. 42, 346–358. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2016.05.012
- 609 Riahi, K., Van Vuuren, D.P., Kriegler, E., Edmonds, J., O 'neill, B.C., Fujimori, S., Bauer, N., Calvin, K., Dellink, 610 R., Fricko, O., Lutz, W., Popp, A., Cuaresma, J.C., Kc, S., Leimbach, M., Jiang, L., Kram, T., Rao, S., 611 Emmerling, J., Ebi, K., Hasegawa, T., Havlik, P., Humpenöder, F., Aleluia, L., Silva, D., Smith, S., Stehfest, 612 E., Bosetti, V., Eom, J., Gernaat, D., Masui, T., Rogelj, J., Strefler, J., Drouet, L., Krey, V., Luderer, G., 613 Harmsen, M., Takahashi, K., Baumstark, L., Doelman, J.C., Kainuma, M., Klimont, Z., Marangoni, G., 614 Lotze-Campen, H., Obersteiner, M., Tabeau, A., Tavoni, M., 2017. The Shared Socioeconomic Pathways 615 and their energy, land use, and greenhouse gas emissions implications: An overview. Glob. Environ. 616 Chang. 42, 153-168. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2016.05.009
- 617 Sellar, A., 2019. UKESM1: description and evaluation of the UK Earth system model. in prep.
- Stevenson, D.S., Young, P.J., Naik, V., Lamarque, J.-F., Shindell, D.T., Voulgarakis, A., Skeie, R.B., Dalsoren,
  S.B., Myhre, G., Berntsen, T.K., Folberth, G.A., Rumbold, S.T., Collins, W.J., MacKenzie, I.A., Doherty,
  R.M., Zeng, G., van Noije, T.P.C., Strunk, A., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P., Plummer, D.A., Strode,
  S.A., Horowitz, L., Lee, Y.H., Szopa, S., Sudo, K., Nagashima, T., Josse, B., Cionni, I., Righi, M., Eyring,
  V., Conley, A., Bowman, K.W., Wild, O., Archibald, A., 2013. Tropospheric ozone changes, radiative forcing
  and attribution to emissions in the Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project
  (ACCMIP). Atmos. Chem. Phys. 13, 3063–3085. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-3063-2013
- Turner, M.C., Jerrett, M., Pope, C.A., Krewski, D., Gapstur, S.M., Diver, W.R., Beckerman, B.S., Marshall, J.D.,
   Su, J., Crouse, D.L., Burnett, R.T., 2016. Long-Term Ozone Exposure and Mortality in a Large Prospective
   Study. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 193, 1134–1142. https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201508-1633OC
- Turnock, S.T., Wild, O., Dentener, F.J., Davila, Y., Emmons, L.K., Flemming, J., Folberth, G.A., Henze, D.K.,
   Jonson, J.E., Keating, T.J., Kengo, S., Lin, M., Lund, M., Tilmes, S., O'Connor, F.M., 2018. The impact of
   future emission policies on tropospheric ozone using a parameterised approach. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 18,

- 631 8953–8978. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-8953-2018
- 632 United Nations, 2016. Paris Agreement.
- van Vuuren, D.P., Kriegler, E., O'Neill, B.C., Ebi, K.L., Riahi, K., Carter, T.R., Edmonds, J., Hallegatte, S., Kram,
   T., Mathur, R., Winkler, H., 2014. A new scenario framework for Climate Change Research: scenario matrix
   architecture. Clim. Change 122, 373–386. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-013-0906-1
- von Schneidemesser, E., Monks, P.S., Allan, J.D., Bruhwiler, L., Forster, P., Fowler, D., Lauer, A., Morgan, W.T.,
   Paasonen, P., Righi, M., Sindelarova, K., Sutton, M. a., 2015. Chemistry and the Linkages between Air
   Quality and Climate Change. Chem. Rev. 115, 3856–3897. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.5b00089
- Wild, O., Fiore, A.M., Shindell, D.T., Doherty, R.M., Collins, W.J., Dentener, F.J., Schultz, M.G., Gong, S.,
  Mackenzie, I.A., Zeng, G., Hess, P., Duncan, B.N., Bergmann, D.J., Szopa, S., Jonson, J.E., Keating, T.J.,
  Zuber, A., 2012. Modelling future changes in surface ozone: A parameterized approach. Atmos. Chem.
  Phys. 12, 2037–2054. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-2037-2012
- Young, P.J., Archibald, A.T., Bowman, K.W., Lamarque, J.-F., Naik, V., Stevenson, D.S., Tilmes, S., Voulgarakis,
  A., Wild, O., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P., Cionni, I., Collins, W.J., Dalsøren, S.B., Doherty, R.M.,
  Eyring, V., Faluvegi, G., Horowitz, L.W., Josse, B., Lee, Y.H., MacKenzie, I.A., Nagashima, T., Plummer,
  D.A., Righi, M., Rumbold, S.T., Skeie, R.B., Shindell, D.T., Strode, S.A., Sudo, K., Szopa, S., Zeng, G.,
  2013. Pre-industrial to end 21st century projections of tropospheric ozone from the Atmospheric Chemistry
  and Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP). Atmos. Chem. Phys. 13, 2063–2090.
  https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-2063-2013
- Young, P.J., Naik, V., Fiore, A.M., Gaudel, A., Guo, J., Lin, M.Y., Neu, J.L., Parrish, D.D., Rieder, H.E., Schnell,
  J.L., Tilmes, S., Wild, O., Zhang, L., Ziemke, J.R., Brandt, J., Delcloo, A., Doherty, R.M., Geels, C.,
  Hegglin, M.I., Hu, L., Im, U., Kumar, R., Luhar, A., Murray, L., Plummer, D., Rodriguez, J., Saiz-Lopez, A.,
  Schultz, M.G., Woodhouse, M.T., Zeng, G., 2018. Tropospheric Ozone Assessment Report: Assessment of
  global-scale model performance for global and regional ozone distributions, variability, and trends. Elem
  Sci Anth 6, 10. https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.265