

This is a repository copy of Double diabetes: A distinct high-risk group?.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/150705/

Version: Accepted Version

#### Article:

Kietsiriroje, N, Pearson, S, Campbell, M orcid.org/0000-0001-5883-5041 et al. (2 more authors) (2019) Double diabetes: A distinct high-risk group? Diabetes, Obesity and Metabolism, 21 (12). pp. 2609-2618. ISSN 1462-8902

https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.13848

© 2019 John Wiley & Sons Ltd. This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Kietsiriroje, N, Pearson, S, Campbell, M, Ariëns, RAS, Ajjan, RA. Double diabetes: A distinct high-risk group? Diabetes Obes Metab. 2019; 21: 2609–2618. https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.13848, which has been published in final form at https://doi.org/rg/10.1111/dom.13848. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

#### Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

#### Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/ 1

# Double diabetes: A distinct high-risk group?

- 2
- 3 Noppadol Kietsiriroje<sup>1,2</sup>, Sam Pearson<sup>1</sup>, Matthew Campbell<sup>3</sup>, Robert A.S. Ariëns<sup>1</sup>,
- 4 Ramzi A. Ajjan<sup>1\*</sup>
- <sup>5</sup> <sup>1</sup>Leeds Institute of Cardiovascular and Metabolic Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and
- 6 Health, University of Leeds, Leeds, West Yorkshire, United Kingdom, LS2 9JT
- <sup>7</sup> <sup>2</sup>Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty
- 8 of Medicine, Prince of Songkla University, Hatyai, Songkhla, Thailand, 90110
- <sup>9</sup> <sup>3</sup>School of Food Science and Nutrition, University of Leeds, Leeds, West Yorkshire,
- 10 United Kingdom, LS2 9JT
- 11
- 12 \*Corresponding author
- 13 Ramzi A. Ajjan
- 14 e-mail: r.ajjan@leeds.ac.uk
- 15 Phone number: 0113-343 7475
- 16 ORCID iD: 0000-0002-1636-3725
- 17 Word count: 3,781 words
- 18 Number of Tables: 1
- 19 Number of Figures: 5
- 20 Keywords: Double diabetes, Type 1 diabetes, Estimated glucose disposal rate,
- 21 Metabolic syndrome, Obesity.

### 22 Abstract (250 words)

23 The term double diabetes (DD) has been used to refer to individuals with type 1 diabetes who are overweight, have a family history of type 2 diabetes and/or clinical 24 features of insulin resistance. Several pieces of evidence indicate that individuals who 25 display features of DD are at higher risk of developing future diabetes complications, 26 independent of average glucose control, measured as glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c). 27 28 Given the increased prevalence of individuals with features of DD, pragmatic criteria 29 are urgently required to identify and stratify this group, which will help with subsequent 30 implementation of more effective personalised interventions.

31 In this review, we discuss the potential criteria for the clinical identification of 32 individuals with DD, highlighting the strengths and weaknesses of each definition. We also cover potential mechanisms of DD and how these contribute to increased risk of 33 diabetes complications. Special emphasis is placed on the role of estimated glucose 34 35 disposal rate (eGDR) in the diagnosis of DD, which can be easily incorporated into clinical practice and is predictive of adverse clinical outcome. In addition to the 36 37 identification of individuals with DD, eGDR has the potential utility to monitor response to different interventions. 38

Type 1 diabetes is a more heterogeneous condition than initially envisaged and those with features of DD represent a subgroup at higher risk of complications. Pragmatic criteria for the diagnosis of individuals with DD will help with risk stratification, allowing a more personalised and targeted management strategy to improve outcome and quality of life in this population.

44

#### 46 Introduction

47 Type 2 diabetes (T2D), usually due to insulin resistance and gradually progressive pancreatic  $\beta$ -cell failure<sup>1</sup>, is a common condition and characterised by high 48 heterogeneity. In contrast, Type 1 diabetes (T1D), insulin deficiency, has been 49 regarded as a condition with a largely uniform phenotype However, the development 50 of insulin resistance in individuals with T1D has led to the emergence of a distinct 51 52 phenotype of mixed T1D and T2D, or double diabetes. Therefore, classification of diabetes is not that simple and indeed recent work has stratified these individuals into 53 54 different subgroups. It was suggested that this will help predict disease progression 55 and predisposition to complications, offering the possibility of future individualised and tailored therapies<sup>2,3</sup>. 56

Despite first using the term 'double diabetes' (DD) over a quarter century ago, 57 there is still a lack of clear criteria to define this group of individuals. The earliest 58 description of DD dates back to 1991<sup>4</sup>, when Teupe and Bergis demonstrated that 59 T1D individuals who had at least one relative with T2D had worse glycaemic control 60 with increased insulin requirements, and tended to have a higher body weight 61 compared to those without a family history of T2D. The authors, therefore, proposed 62 63 a subtype of T1D with family history of T2D as having DD. A number of case reports 64 followed describing individuals with DD using similar criteria; the case by Libman and Becker was particularly interesting by demonstrating that features of DD can manifest 65 as early as 5 years of age with full traits of insulin resistance and the metabolic 66 syndrome (MS) evident by the age of 14 years<sup>5</sup>. However, no clear recommendations 67 were made for identifying these individuals or implementing alternative and targeted 68 69 management strategies.

In this review, we provide an update on DD and attempt to address three mainquestions:

1) What is the best and most pragmatic measure to identify individuals with DD?

2) Is there a difference in the rate or severity of diabetes complications in DD,

and if this is the case, what are the mechanisms involved?

3) To what extent do patients with DD require different management strategies?

76

77

#### Definition of double diabetes

Criteria for the definition of DD to date have relied on the presence of clinical features of insulin resistance, as summarised in two comprehensive review articles (Table 1) <sup>6,7</sup>. While these proposals have raised awareness of the DD population, criteria used to make a diagnosis have been difficult to incorporate into daily clinical practice. In order to provide an accurate definition of DD, we need to explore the strengths and weaknesses of the existing criteria, which can be largely divided into three groups: family history, obesity/MS, and insulin resistance.

85

#### 1. Family history

86 There is a genetic predisposition in T1D as concordance rate in monozygotic twins is 5-fold higher than dizygotic twins <sup>8,9</sup>. However, almost 90% of patients report 87 no family history of T1D and therefore the genetic influence is modest. In contrast, the 88 role of genetic factors are far stronger in T2D with 3- and 6-fold increased risk in 89 offspring if one or both parents have type 2 diabetes, respectively <sup>10</sup>. At least 88 genetic 90 loci for T2D have been discovered by linkage and genome-wide association and 91 92 sequencing (GWAS) studies, where identified loci have been implicated in both pancreatic β-cell function and insulin resistance/MS<sup>11,12</sup>. One particular variant of FTO 93

94 )fat mass- and obesity-associated(gene is linked to insulin resistance, increased fat
95 mass and preferential visceral fat distribution, thus increasing T2D risk <sup>13</sup>. Moreover,
96 several common gene variants are also related to insulin resistance in T2D,
97 independently of obesity<sup>14</sup>.

98 In DD, it is possible that individuals with T1D have a genetic predisposition to 99 insulin resistance and T2D, particularly in those with concomitant family history of T2D. 100 Healthy subjects with family history of T2D exhibit a greater degree of insulin 101 resistance and are prone to have higher BMI, and body fat composition, even prior to 102 the development beta-cell failure <sup>15</sup>. A similar mechanism may be operating in double diabetes but not necessarily in the same order; insulin resistance may develop later in 103 104 the course of T1D, although it can be present at diagnosis and may even contribute to an earlier presentation of T1D. This explains the first description of Teupe and Bergis 105 106 in 70 T1D patients with a family history of T2D, of a total group of 448 individuals <sup>4</sup>. 107 Those with DD had higher BMI, insulin dose and glycated haemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) compared with the rest of the group. Supported by a larger study of 1,860 T1D 108 109 individuals aged less than 35 years (from the Finnish Diabetic Nephropathy study), it showed that 620 individuals had a family history of T2D, who again had higher BMI, 110 insulin dose, HbA1c and triglyceride levels. 111

Data from 1,168 T1D patients from the Diabetes Control and Complication study (DCCT) has shown that a family history of T2D was related to greater central weight gain, insulin dose and triglyceride levels in the intensive arm of the study <sup>16</sup>. Moreover, family history of T2D was also related to elevated LDL cholesterol and apolipoprotein B levels in both study arms. The greater weight gain in the intensive arm suggests that intensive insulin therapy to optimise glycaemia further increases the risk of developing DD in susceptible individuals.

119 Despite the increase in vascular risk factors in T1D with a family history of T2D, the association with diabetes complications is not always clear. A cross-sectional 120 study of 3,162 T1D individuals, aged 15-60 years from the EURODIAB IDDM 121 122 Complications Study, only showed an association between a family history of T2D and albuminuria in female subjects <sup>17</sup>. Similarly, an observational study in 658 T1D patients 123 failed to demonstrate causal relationship between a family history of T2D and coronary 124 artery disease after adjustment for confounders <sup>18</sup>. However, it can be disputed that 125 the number of individuals studied is limited and the period of follow up is relatively 126 127 short to make concrete conclusions.

Taken together, a family history of T2D is a risk for developing poorer metabolic 128 traits and obesity in T1D, yet it does not appear to be a strong independent predictor 129 of diabetes-related complications. However, studies have been conducted on 130 131 relatively small numbers of younger individuals and silent vascular events were not ruled out, which have been shown to affect up to a fifth of asymptomatic T2D 132 individuals <sup>19</sup>, and this may explain the negative findings. Further adequately powered 133 134 longer-term studies are required to understand the role of a family history of T2D in predisposing to complications in individuals with T1D. 135

136

137

#### 2. Excessive weight gain/obesity and metabolic syndrome (MS)

Insulin is an anabolic hormone, so intensification of therapy is likely to lead to weight gain. While this is an acceptable compromise in those with poor glycaemic control, continued administration of insulin subcutaneously can lead to peripheral resistance to the action of this hormone<sup>20,21</sup>, consequently increasing DD risk. The secondary analysis of the whole DCCT study population showed that T1D individuals

whose weight gain stratified into the fourth quartile (excessive gainers) had higher
insulin dose, blood pressure and non-HDL cholesterol <sup>22</sup>. Moreover, individuals whose
BMI increased over 4.39 kg/m<sup>2</sup> during DCCT study period, had greater intima-media
thickness and displayed a trend toward greater coronary artery calcium scores <sup>23</sup>,
providing strong evidence for vascular pathology in this group. Also, excessive gainers
displayed tendency towards higher CV events after a mean follow-up of 26 years <sup>24</sup>.

We should, nevertheless, be careful when interpreting weight data, as initial moderate weight gain following diagnosis of T1D correlates with improved HbA1c and reduction in mortality. However, excessive weight gain, reaching a BMI  $\geq$  30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, has repeatedly shown an association with increased mortality <sup>25,26</sup>.

Therefore, while weight gain should not be used as the sole identifier for DD, excessive weight gain, particularly in those with BMI  $\geq$  30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, may provide a simple clinical marker to identify DD and risk of future adverse vascular outcome.

The presence of MS has been proposed as a more comprehensive marker for the identification of DD. MS integrates central obesity and other traditional CV risk factors including hypertension, hypertriglyceridaemia and decreased levels of high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol. The EURODIAB Prospective Complications Study )PCS(, observed 3,250 T1D patients for 7 years from 16 European countries and documented that some components of the MS were associated with increased CV and all-cause mortality <sup>27</sup>.

The relationship between MS and diabetes-related complications among adults with T1D has been extensively reviewed by Gingras et al. <sup>28</sup>, and the authors concluded that the presence of MS is associated with increased risk of both microand macrovascular disease.

167 The association of MS with future complications can depend on the type of definition used for MS with some studies, albeit not all, suggesting that WHO definition 168 of MS is the best predictor of future complications <sup>29,30</sup>. However, it is not practical in 169 170 daily clinical practice to use a binary variable like MS to assess the risk of future complications, particularly in the presence of various definitions. Also, the effects of 171 managing components of MS will not be apparent until an individual drops into the 172 173 non-MS range, which may be a challenge in some, making patients frustrated and potentially disengaged. Therefore, MS has too many flaws to be a reliable and 174 175 practical marker of DD.

176

#### **3.** Insulin resistance and estimated glucose disposal rate (eGDR)

Insulin resistance is associated with asymptomatic atherosclerosis and 178 coronary artery disease in individuals without diabetes <sup>31,32</sup>. A meta-analysis of 65 179 studies, which included 516,325 adults without diabetes, has shown that insulin 180 resistance, measured by HOMA-IR, is a good predictor of CV disease <sup>33</sup>. In line with 181 these findings, insulin resistance in T1D has been associated with increased risk of 182 cardiovascular disease <sup>34</sup>. Furthermore, the CACTI study demonstrated that insulin 183 resistance, measured by clamp techniques, predicted the presence of coronary artery 184 calcification in T1D <sup>35</sup>. 185

The gold standard method to measure insulin resistance is the euglycaemichyperinsulinemic clamp <sup>36</sup>. However, due to the invasive and time-consuming nature of the procedure, it is not suitable for daily clinical practice. Estimated glucose disposal rate (eGDR) has been proposed as an alternative method to measure insulin resistance that is easy to apply in clinical settings. The eGDR score was originally developed and validated by the euglycaemic-hyperinsulinemic clamp in a subset of 24 T1D patients from the Pittsburgh EDC study <sup>37</sup>. William and colleagues initially calculated eGDR using clinical factors including waist-hip ratio (WHR), presence of hypertension and HbA1c. However, the authors also stated that replacing WHR with either BMI or waist circumferences (WC) provided a comparable association with insulin resistance <sup>37-39</sup>. All formulae for eGDR calculation are displayed in Box 1.

**Box 1. Formulae for eGDR calculation** 

eGDR <sub>WHR</sub> = 24.31 – (12.22 x WHR( – (3.29 x HTN( – (0.57 x HbA1c(	WHR = waist-hip ratio
	WC = waist circumference, cm
eGDR <sub>WC</sub> = 21.16 – (0.09 x WC) – (3.41 x HTN) – (0.55 x HbA1c)	BMI = body-mass index, kg/m <sup>2</sup>
	HTN = hypertension, 1=yes, 0=no
eGDR <sub>вмі</sub> = 19.02 – (0.22 х ВМІ) – (3.26 х НТN) – (0.61 х НbА1с)	HbA1c = glycated haemoglobin A1c, %

198

Similar to MS, eGDR incorporates weight and blood pressure, however, it is a 199 200 continuous variable allowing to monitor the effectiveness of a particular therapy, 201 making it attractive for clinical use. This is particularly important as a decrease in eGDR is associated with increased risk of nephropathy <sup>40</sup>, peripheral vascular disease 202 <sup>41</sup>, coronary artery disease <sup>42,43</sup> and death <sup>43</sup> with lower values conferring greater risk. 203 204 The result from DCCT study also supports the relationship between low eGDR and increased risk of both micro- and macrovascular complications <sup>44</sup>, and shows 205 superiority at predicting complications compared with the use of MS to define DD. 206

While eGDR appears to be a promising marker to identify DD, the cut off value requires careful consideration. Nyström et al. performed a nationwide cohort study on 17,050 T1D individuals, using data from healthcare registers in Sweden. Patients were categorized into 4 eGDR groups: <4, 4 to 5.99, 6 to 7.99, and ≤8. Clinical outcomes, including CV events and death, were collected using national registry data, over a median follow-up of 7.1 years. An eGDR <8 was associated with increased CV risk or death compared to those with eGDR  $\geq$ 8. The risk further increased with lower eGDR values (Fig. 1) <sup>39</sup>. Interestingly, survival rate of individuals with eGDR  $\geq$ 8 was identical to a matched reference population. Hence, the eGDR value of <8 is convincingly suitable to identify those with DD among individuals with T1D, with higher risk incurred in those with progressively lower eGDR.

218

### 219 **Prevalence of double diabetes according to each definition**

Using obesity (BMI $\geq$ 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) as a measurement, the prevalence of DD amongst T1D can reach 30%, particularly as the prevalence of obesity has been increasing in the T1D population (Fig. 2) <sup>45</sup>. The prevalence of obesity in the DCCT/EDIC study has shown an increase from 2% at baseline (1983-1989) to 28% at 12 years of follow-up <sup>46</sup>. This may be an easy marker to use but it is likely to miss significant number of individuals with DD and therefore more accurate measures are needed.

When MS is applied for identification of DD, the prevalence is dependent on study period, population analysed, and MS definition used (Fig. 3). A range of 30-45% of T1D individuals have MS and therefore up to half the patients will have DD using this criterion. However, given the binary nature of MS definition, its only possible role in clinical management is identification of individuals at risk and it is not a useful marker to assess response to a particular management strategy.

In the study by Nyström et al <sup>39</sup>, the prevalence of DD in T1D at the beginning of the study was 51%, when applying eGDR<8 as a proposed diagnostic criterion. The increased risk of complications with lower eGDR, makes this a suitable marker toassess response to a particular intervention, in contrast to MS.

The increasing trend of DD is consistent across all measurements. Therefore, unless acted upon, DD will possibly become the predominant phenotype in T1D in next few decades.

239

### 240 **Pathogenesis of double diabetes**

If we accept that T1D individuals who are overweight are likely to form the core 241 group of DD, then the pathogenic mechanisms are related to genetic predisposition 242 243 and environmental factors. The latter factors can interact with T1D duration making DD a time-dependent condition. Even those with initial good insulin sensitivity and no 244 genetic predisposition may transition to DD secondary to unhealthy lifestyle that leads 245 246 to weight gain <sup>47</sup>. While genetic predisposition is non-modifiable, environmental factors can be controlled thus limiting the prevalence of DD. Exposure to obesogenic 247 environments affect the rates of overweight and obesity, particularly among children. 248 Almost 32% and 16% of children with poor physical activity and unhealthy nutritional 249 environment are overweight and obese, whereby 24% and 8% of those living in 250 healthier environments are overweight and obese, respectively <sup>48</sup>. However, the 251 percentage of younger T1D individuals with a weight problem is higher than those 252 without diabetes <sup>49,50</sup>, indicating the presence of additional mechanisms. For example, 253 repeated hypoglycaemia or even the fear of hypoglycaemia results in maladaptive 254 eating habits that favour the development of obesity <sup>51</sup>. Peripheral insulin resistance 255

precipitated by subcutaneous insulin administration rather than the physiological portal
 vein delivery, is another additional factor for the development of DD <sup>21,34</sup>.

Therefore, DD in T1D develops secondary to a combination of lifestyle behaviour, akin to individuals without diabetes, and, diabetes-specific mechanisms related to hypoglycaemia and the non-physiological administration of insulin subcutaneously.

### 262 **Double diabetes, glycaemic control and complications**

The DCCT and the extended observational EDIC studies have clearly shown that improving glycaemia, measured as a reduction in HbA1c, decreases microvascular complications and long term macrovascular disease <sup>52,53</sup>. However, it became apparent that there was a great heterogeneity in the rate of complications, indicating that factors other than HbA1c also had a role.

268 Merger and colleagues conducted a cross-sectional study to measure the prevalence of comorbidities in DD by analysing data in the DPV 1Diabetes-Patienten 269 Verlaufsdokumentation[registry from 392 specialized centres in Germany and Austria 270 271 <sup>54</sup>. DD was defined as individuals with T1D and MS using the Third National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel (NCEP/ATPIII) criteria. Of a 272 total of 31,119 T1D individuals, 7,926 had DD (25.5%), a group that displayed 273 markedly higher micro- and macrovascular complications, even after adjustments for 274 age, sex and diabetes duration. In a subgroup analysis of individuals with well-275 276 controlled glycaemia (HbA1c <7% or 53mmol/mol), 1892 of 9203 had DD (20.6%), and showed reduced risk of complications compared to those with inadequate glucose 277 278 control. However, this group still had up to 3.5 times higher rate of complications

compared with T1D patients without MS having identical HbA1c. More worryingly, the
rate of complications in the well-controlled DD subgroup was higher than all T1D
without MS regardless of glycaemic control (Fig. 4).

In addition to increased rate of complications, mortality is also increased in individuals with DD. The hazard ratio (HR) for diabetes-related mortality from FinnDiane study was significantly higher in DD (defined as presence of MS by WHO criteria), compared to T1D without MS (adjusted HR 2.52 [95%CI: 1.53-4.16]) <sup>29</sup>. Allcause mortality in DD defined by eGDR<8 was increased 1.6-fold compared to those with eGDR >8 <sup>39</sup>.

288

## 289 Potential mechanisms for increased complications in

### 290 double diabetes

A key component of DD that may increase complication rate is insulin resistance and the need for relatively larger dose of subcutaneous insulin. While HbA1c on its own does not explain the increased rate of complications in DD, other glycaemic markers such as glucose variability (GV) and/or hypoglycaemia may have a role. Alterations in traditional CV risk factors such as dyslipidaemia and hypertension are likely to play a role in increased rate of complications. The potential mechanisms for increased complications in DD are illustrated in Fig. 5.

299 The role of glycaemia

The observational study by Merger and colleagues <sup>54</sup> suggests that individuals 300 301 with DD who are generally more obese than those with T1D, tend to have higher HbA1c, which may, at least in part, be responsible for the increased risk of 302 complications in DD. It should be noted that HbA1c measures average glucose levels 303 304 and does not address GV or hypoglycaemia, both of which appear to be associated with adverse vascular outcome <sup>55,56</sup>. In particular, higher insulin doses, commonly 305 used in DD, may lead to increased risk of hypoglycaemia <sup>57</sup>, which in turn enhances 306 the inflammatory/thrombotic milieu thus contributing to vascular pathology <sup>58</sup>. 307 Moreover, the potential for larger fluctuations in glucose levels in this population may 308 implicate GV in the increased risk of complications. However, these are merely 309 hypotheses at present and studies are required to establish whether individuals with 310 311 DD experience more hypoglycaemic events and/or higher GV, particularly in those with well controlled HbA1c. If a difference is detected, longitudinal studies are 312 warranted to understand the relationship between these glycaemic markers and 313 vascular complications in DD. 314

315

#### 316 The role of Insulin resistance

Insulin resistance is associated with an enhanced inflammatory environment due to the release of cytokines by adipose tissue macrophages <sup>59</sup> or inflammatory proteins such as complement by adipocytes <sup>60</sup>. This in turn enhances insulin resistance by interfering with insulin-mediated phosphinositide-3 kinase (PI3K) pathway <sup>61,62</sup>, creating a vicious cycle. Interestingly, blocking inflammatory cytokines with the use of interleukin-1 antagonist can improve insulin sensitivity in insulin resistant patients with T1D <sup>63</sup>. Moreover, systemic cytokines leakage into the circulation contributes to low grade generalized inflammatory milieu, which in turn promotes endothelial dysfunction, the earliest abnormality in the atherosclerotic process <sup>64</sup>.

Insulin resistance also increases lipolysis leading to non-esterified free fatty acid 326 327 flux into the systemic circulation, where triglyceride deposition in muscle and liver tissues augments insulin resistance <sup>65</sup>. Insulin resistance also leads to hyperglycaemia 328 through unsuppressed hepatic gluconeogenesis and decreased muscular glucose 329 uptake <sup>66,67</sup>, thus resulting in higher insulin requirements. Insulin resistance contributes 330 to an increase in blood pressure by diminishing the vasodilatation efficiency and 331 promoting smooth muscle growth. Moreover, insulin resistance impairs PI3K-332 dependent signalling pathway while keeping the mitogen-activated protein kinase 333 (MAPK)-dependent pathway intact <sup>68</sup>, resulting in imbalance between the two 334 335 pathways. Compensatory hyperinsulinemia, therefore, increases production of the vasoconstrictor endothelin-1<sup>69</sup>, which opposes vasodilator action of nitric oxide <sup>70</sup>, 336 through the overstimulation of the unaffected MAPK pathway <sup>71</sup>. The overstimulation 337 338 of MAPK pathway additionally activates vascular smooth muscle cell migration and proliferation <sup>72</sup>, leading to vascular wall thickening and increased peripheral vascular 339 resistance. 340

Apart from insulin-signalling pathways, hyperinsulinemia results in sodium retention <sup>73-75</sup> through a direct anti-natriuretic effect and by upregulation of the reninangiotensin-aldosterone system <sup>76</sup>.

Other than the inflammatory environment, insulin resistance predisposes to hypofibrinolysis leading to a thrombotic environment through altered levels and/or activity of coagulation factors such as fibrinogen <sup>77,78</sup>, plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 <sup>79,80</sup> and the inflammatory thrombotic protein complement C3 <sup>81,82</sup>.

### 348 **Conclusions and future directions**

349 Evidence to date indicates that individuals with features of DD have increased risk of complications yet the clinical management of this group remains similar to 350 others with T1D. A difficulty is the absence of reliable criteria to identify individuals with 351 DD. Relying on a family history of T2D is inadequate while the presence of the MS is 352 353 problematic given the different definitions and the difficulty in incorporating into routine 354 clinical practice. This leaves eGDR as a credible measure of DD, which is easy to adapt clinically and has the advantage of offering a numerical value that can be used 355 to monitor response to a particular intervention, similarly to HbA1c. 356

357 We need to better understand the mechanisms leading to DD and the pathways 358 implicated in increased risk of complications in this group. This includes the effects of different glycaemic markers such as hypoglycaemia and GV, made possible with 359 modern glucose monitoring strategies that rely on continuous glucose values rather 360 361 than sporadic capillary glucose measurements. The contribution of genetic and environmental factors to the development of DD requires further research, including 362 the role of different insulin preparations and mode of administration. For example, it is 363 not entirely clear whether insulin pump-treated patients have different rates of DD 364 365 compared with those on multiple daily injection.

The most challenging aspect, however, is clarifying the best treatment strategy in individuals with DD, a group in itself with varying degree of risk. It is possible that routine use of eGDR will allow risk stratification, potentially using this marker as an adjunct to HbA1c when assessing individuals with T1D. Naturally, lifestyle changes should be advocated in individuals with DD, including healthy diet and regular exercise. However, more sophisticated diets may be required for effective weight loss

and possibly adjunctive therapy with agents that promote an increase in eGDR. Work
is also needed to elucidate whether more aggressive vascular protective strategies
are required, and at an early age, in the form of blood pressure lowering antihyperlipidaemic and anti-thrombotic agents, which will help to reduce morbidity and
improve quality of life in these patients.

# 378 List of Abbreviations

CV	cardiovascular
DCCT	the Diabetes Control and Complication study
DD	double diabetes
EDIC	the Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications
	study
eGDR	estimated glucose disposal rate
FinnDiane	the Finnish Diabetic Nephropathy study
GV	glucose variability
MAPK	Mitogen-activated protein kinase
MS	metabolic syndrome
PAI-1	plasminogen activator inhibitor-1
PI3K	insulin-mediated phosphinositide-3 kinase
T1D	type 1 diabetes
T2D	type 2 diabetes
WC	waist circumferences
WHR	waist-hip ratio

## **Conflicts of interest**

All authors have no conflict of interest to be declared.

## Author contributions

NK was responsible for drafting and writing of the manuscript, searching of literature and interpreting of data. RAA was responsible for the drafting and writing of the manuscript and critical revision of important intellectual content. SP, MC, and RASA were responsible for critical revision of important intellectual content. All authors approved the version to be published

### **Figure legends**

- Fig. 1. Estimated glucose disposal rate (eGDR) and mortality in type 1 diabetes (T1D). All-cause mortality was related to eGDR, calculated using waist circumference, in 17,050 individuals with T1D diabetes. Data were adapted from <sup>39</sup>.
- Fig. 2. Temporal patterns of overweight and obesity in type 1 diabetes. Data were modified from <sup>45</sup>.
- Fig. 3. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome (MS) in type 1 diabetes. The role of different MS definitions in predicting double diabetes is shown. of the MS are reviewed. Data were obtained from references <sup>29,30,39,43,44,83-86</sup>.
- Fig. 4. Prevalence of diabetes complications in individuals with type 1 diabetes (T1D) and metabolic syndrome (MS). Complication rates (a, b) and risk ratios (c, d) of diabetes complications is shown in the presence and absence of MS in individuals withT1D. (CHD, coronary heart disease; MI, myocardial infarction; PAD, peripheral arterial disease; DR, diabetic retinopathy; PDR, proliferative retinopathy; ALB, albuminuria). Data were modified from <sup>54</sup>.
- **Fig. 5 Overview of the mechanisms for increased risk of complications in double diabetes.** Insulin resistance and obesity create a low-grade inflammatory milieu which aggravates insulin resistance. This, in turn, leads to hyperglycemia by decreasing glucose uptake in peripheral tissue and increasing hepatic gluconeogenesis. Insulin resistance also causes atherogenic low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol oxidation and hypertension by various mechanisms. Hyperglycaemia, atherogenic dyslipidaemia and hypertension promote endothelial dysfunction and atherosclerotic plaque formation. Insulin resistance and inflammation sequentially promote hypofibrinolysis leading to prothrombotic clot formation and vascular occlusion (IL-6, interleukin 6; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor α; PAI-1, plasminogen activator inhibitor 1; C3, complement C3; FFA, free fatty acid; sdLDL, small-dense LDL; oxLDL, oxidized LDL; NO, nitric oxide; ET-1, endothelin-1; PKC, protein kinase pathway C; AGEs, advanced glycation end products; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase).

## References

- 1. Leahy JL. Pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Archives of medical research.* May-Jun 2005;36:197-209.
- 2. Ahlqvist E, Storm P, Karajamaki A, et al. Novel subgroups of adult-onset diabetes and their association with outcomes: a data-driven cluster analysis of six variables. *The lancet. Diabetes & endocrinology.* May 2018;6:361-369.
- 3. Dennis JM, Shields BM, Henley WE, Jones AG, Hattersley AT. Disease progression and treatment response in data-driven subgroups of type 2 diabetes compared with models based on simple clinical features: an analysis using clinical trial data. *The lancet. Diabetes & endocrinology.* Jun 2019;7:442-451.
- 4. Teupe B, Bergis K. Epidemiological evidence for "double diabetes". *Lancet.* Feb 9 1991;337:361-362.
- 5. Libman IM, Becker DJ. Coexistence of type 1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus: "double" diabetes? *Pediatric diabetes.* Jun 2003;4:110-113.
- 6. Cleland SJ. Cardiovascular risk in double diabetes mellitus--when two worlds collide. *Nature reviews. Endocrinology.* Apr 10 2012;8:476-485.
- 7. Pozzilli P, Buzzetti R. A new expression of diabetes: double diabetes. *Trends in endocrinology and metabolism: TEM.* Mar 2007;18:52-57.
- 8. Kaprio J, Tuomilehto J, Koskenvuo M, et al. Concordance for type 1 (insulin-dependent) and type 2 (non-insulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus in a population-based cohort of twins in Finland. *Diabetologia*. Nov 1992;35:1060-1067.
- 9. Kyvik KO, Green A, Beck-Nielsen H. Concordance rates of insulin dependent diabetes mellitus: a population based study of young Danish twins. *Bmj.* Oct 7 1995;311:913-917.
- 10. Meigs JB, Cupples LA, Wilson PW. Parental transmission of type 2 diabetes: the Framingham Offspring Study. *Diabetes*. Dec 2000;49:2201-2207.
- 11. Mohlke KL, Boehnke M. Recent advances in understanding the genetic architecture of type 2 diabetes. *Human molecular genetics.* Oct 15 2015;24:R85-92.
- 12. Bowden DW, Rudock M, Ziegler J, et al. Coincident linkage of type 2 diabetes, metabolic syndrome, and measures of cardiovascular disease in a genome scan of the diabetes heart study. *Diabetes.* Jul 2006;55:1985-1994.
- 13. Legry V, Cottel D, Ferrieres J, et al. Effect of an FTO polymorphism on fat mass, obesity, and type 2 diabetes mellitus in the French MONICA Study. *Metabolism: clinical and experimental.* Jul 2009;58:971-975.
- 14. Scott RA, Fall T, Pasko D, et al. Common genetic variants highlight the role of insulin resistance and body fat distribution in type 2 diabetes, independent of obesity. *Diabetes.* Dec 2014;63:4378-4387.
- 15. Arslanian SA, Bacha F, Saad R, Gungor N. Family history of type 2 diabetes is associated with decreased insulin sensitivity and an impaired balance between insulin sensitivity and insulin secretion in white youth. *Diabetes care.* Jan 2005;28:115-119.
- 16. Purnell JQ, Dev RK, Steffes MW, et al. Relationship of family history of type 2 diabetes, hypoglycemia, and autoantibodies to weight gain and lipids with intensive and conventional therapy in the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial. *Diabetes.* Oct 2003;52:2623-2629.

- 17. Roglic G, Colhoun HM, Stevens LK, Lemkes HH, Manes C, Fuller JH. Parental history of hypertension and parental history of diabetes and microvascular complications in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus: the EURODIAB IDDM Complications Study. *Diabetic medicine : a journal of the British Diabetic Association*. May 1998;15:418-426.
- 18. Erbey JR, Kuller LH, Becker DJ, Orchard TJ. The association between a family history of type 2 diabetes and coronary artery disease in a type 1 diabetes population. *Diabetes care.* Apr 1998;21:610-614.
- 19. Swoboda PP, McDiarmid AK, Erhayiem B, et al. A Novel and Practical Screening Tool for the Detection of Silent Myocardial Infarction in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism.* Sep 2016;101:3316-3323.
- 20. Liu HY, Cao SY, Hong T, Han J, Liu Z, Cao W. Insulin is a stronger inducer of insulin resistance than hyperglycemia in mice with type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM). *The Journal of biological chemistry.* Oct 2 2009;284:27090-27100.
- 21. Edgerton DS, Moore MC, Winnick JJ, et al. Changes in glucose and fat metabolism in response to the administration of a hepato-preferential insulin analog. *Diabetes.* Nov 2014;63:3946-3954.
- 22. Purnell JQ, Hokanson JE, Marcovina SM, Steffes MW, Cleary PA, Brunzell JD. Effect of excessive weight gain with intensive therapy of type 1 diabetes on lipid levels and blood pressure: results from the DCCT. Diabetes Control and Complications Trial. *Jama*. Jul 8 1998;280:140-146.
- 23. Purnell JQ, Zinman B, Brunzell JD, Group DER. The effect of excess weight gain with intensive diabetes mellitus treatment on cardiovascular disease risk factors and atherosclerosis in type 1 diabetes mellitus: results from the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications Study (DCCT/EDIC) study. *Circulation.* Jan 15 2013;127:180-187.
- 24. Purnell JQ, Braffett BH, Zinman B, et al. Impact of Excessive Weight Gain on Cardiovascular Outcomes in Type 1 Diabetes: Results From the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications (DCCT/EDIC) Study. *Diabetes care.* Dec 2017;40:1756-1762.
- 25. Williams KV, Erbey JR, Becker D, Orchard TJ. Improved glycemic control reduces the impact of weight gain on cardiovascular risk factors in type 1 diabetes. The Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications Study. *Diabetes care.* Jul 1999;22:1084-1091.
- 26. Conway B, Miller RG, Costacou T, et al. Adiposity and mortality in type 1 diabetes. *International journal of obesity*. Jul 2009;33:796-805.
- 27. Soedamah-Muthu SS, Chaturvedi N, Witte DR, et al. Relationship between risk factors and mortality in type 1 diabetic patients in Europe: the EURODIAB Prospective Complications Study (PCS). *Diabetes care.* Jul 2008;31:1360-1366.
- 28. Gingras V, Leroux C, Fortin A, Legault L, Rabasa-Lhoret R. Predictors of cardiovascular risk among patients with type 1 diabetes: A critical analysis of the metabolic syndrome and its components. *Diabetes & metabolism.* Jun 2017;43:217-222.
- 29. Thorn LM, Forsblom C, Waden J, et al. Metabolic syndrome as a risk factor for cardiovascular disease, mortality, and progression of diabetic nephropathy in type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes care.* May 2009;32:950-952.
- 30. Davis TM, Bruce DG, Davis WA. Prevalence and prognostic implications of the metabolic syndrome in community-based patients with type 1 diabetes: the Fremantle Diabetes Study. *Diabetes research and clinical practice.* Dec 2007;78:412-417.

- 31. Laakso M, Sarlund H, Salonen R, et al. Asymptomatic atherosclerosis and insulin resistance. *Arteriosclerosis and thrombosis : a journal of vascular biology.* Jul-Aug 1991;11:1068-1076.
- 32. Bressler P, Bailey SR, Matsuda M, DeFronzo RA. Insulin resistance and coronary artery disease. *Diabetologia*. Nov 1996;39:1345-1350.
- 33. Gast KB, Tjeerdema N, Stijnen T, Smit JW, Dekkers OM. Insulin resistance and risk of incident cardiovascular events in adults without diabetes: meta-analysis. *PloS one.* 2012;7:e52036.
- 34. Donga E, Dekkers OM, Corssmit EP, Romijn JA. Insulin resistance in patients with type 1 diabetes assessed by glucose clamp studies: systematic review and meta-analysis. *European journal of endocrinology*. Jul 2015;173:101-109.
- 35. Schauer IE, Snell-Bergeon JK, Bergman BC, et al. Insulin resistance, defective insulinmediated fatty acid suppression, and coronary artery calcification in subjects with and without type 1 diabetes: The CACTI study. *Diabetes.* Jan 2011;60:306-314.
- 36. DeFronzo RA, Tobin JD, Andres R. Glucose clamp technique: a method for quantifying insulin secretion and resistance. *The American journal of physiology*. Sep 1979;237:E214-223.
- 37. Williams KV, Erbey JR, Becker D, Arslanian S, Orchard TJ. Can clinical factors estimate insulin resistance in type 1 diabetes? *Diabetes*. Apr 2000;49:626-632.
- 38. Epstein EJ, Osman JL, Cohen HW, Rajpathak SN, Lewis O, Crandall JP. Use of the estimated glucose disposal rate as a measure of insulin resistance in an urban multiethnic population with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes care*. Aug 2013;36:2280-2285.
- 39. Nystrom T, Holzmann MJ, Eliasson B, Svensson AM, Sartipy U. Estimated glucose disposal rate predicts mortality in adults with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes, obesity & metabolism.* Mar 2018;20:556-563.
- 40. Orchard TJ, Chang YF, Ferrell RE, Petro N, Ellis DE. Nephropathy in type 1 diabetes: a manifestation of insulin resistance and multiple genetic susceptibilities? Further evidence from the Pittsburgh Epidemiology of Diabetes Complication Study. *Kidney international*. Sep 2002;62:963-970.
- 41. Olson JC, Erbey JR, Forrest KY, Williams K, Becker DJ, Orchard TJ. Glycemia (or, in women, estimated glucose disposal rate) predict lower extremity arterial disease events in type 1 diabetes. *Metabolism: clinical and experimental.* Feb 2002;51:248-254.
- 42. Orchard TJ, Olson JC, Erbey JR, et al. Insulin resistance-related factors, but not glycemia, predict coronary artery disease in type 1 diabetes: 10-year follow-up data from the Pittsburgh Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications Study. *Diabetes care*. May 2003;26:1374-1379.
- 43. Pambianco G, Costacou T, Orchard TJ. The prediction of major outcomes of type 1 diabetes: a 12-year prospective evaluation of three separate definitions of the metabolic syndrome and their components and estimated glucose disposal rate: the Pittsburgh Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications Study experience. *Diabetes care*. May 2007;30:1248-1254.
- 44. Kilpatrick ES, Rigby AS, Atkin SL. Insulin resistance, the metabolic syndrome, and complication risk in type 1 diabetes: "double diabetes" in the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial. *Diabetes care.* Mar 2007;30:707-712.
- 45. Conway B, Miller RG, Costacou T, et al. Temporal patterns in overweight and obesity in Type 1 diabetes. *Diabetic medicine : a journal of the British Diabetic Association*. Apr 2010;27:398-404.
- 46. Diabetes C, Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes I, Complications Research G, et al. Modern-day clinical course of type 1 diabetes mellitus after 30 years' duration: the diabetes

control and complications trial/epidemiology of diabetes interventions and complications and Pittsburgh epidemiology of diabetes complications experience (1983-2005). *Archives of internal medicine*. Jul 27 2009;169:1307-1316.

- 47. De Keukelaere M, Fieuws S, Reynaert N, et al. Evolution of body mass index in children with type 1 diabetes mellitus. *European journal of pediatrics*. Nov 2018;177:1661-1666.
- 48. Saelens BE, Sallis JF, Frank LD, et al. Obesogenic neighborhood environments, child and parent obesity: the Neighborhood Impact on Kids study. *American journal of preventive medicine*. May 2012;42:e57-64.
- 49. Liu LL, Lawrence JM, Davis C, et al. Prevalence of overweight and obesity in youth with diabetes in USA: the SEARCH for Diabetes in Youth study. *Pediatric diabetes.* Feb 2010;11:4-11.
- 50. DuBose SN, Hermann JM, Tamborlane WV, et al. Obesity in Youth with Type 1 Diabetes in Germany, Austria, and the United States. *The Journal of pediatrics*. Sep 2015;167:627-632 e621-624.
- 51. Martyn-Nemeth P, Quinn L, Penckofer S, Park C, Hofer V, Burke L. Fear of hypoglycemia: Influence on glycemic variability and self-management behavior in young adults with type 1 diabetes. *Journal of diabetes and its complications*. Apr 2017;31:735-741.
- 52. Diabetes C, Complications Trial Research G, Nathan DM, et al. The effect of intensive treatment of diabetes on the development and progression of long-term complications in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *The New England journal of medicine*. Sep 30 1993;329:977-986.
- 53. Diabetes C, Complications Trial /Epidemiology of Diabetes I, Complications Study Research G. Intensive Diabetes Treatment and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Type 1 Diabetes: The DCCT/EDIC Study 30-Year Follow-up. *Diabetes care.* May 2016;39:686-693.
- 54. Merger SR, Kerner W, Stadler M, et al. Prevalence and comorbidities of double diabetes. *Diabetes research and clinical practice.* Sep 2016;119:48-56.
- 55. Hanefeld M, Frier BM, Pistrosch F. Hypoglycemia and Cardiovascular Risk: Is There a Major Link? *Diabetes care.* Aug 2016;39 Suppl 2:S205-209.
- 56. Liang S, Yin H, Wei C, Xie L, He H, Liu X. Glucose variability for cardiovascular risk factors in type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis. *Journal of diabetes and metabolic disorders*. 2017;16:45.
- 57. Malkani S, Kotwal A. Frequency and Predictors of Self-Reported Hypoglycemia in Insulin-Treated Diabetes. *Journal of diabetes research.* 2017;2017:7425925.
- 58. King R, Ajjan R. Hypoglycaemia, thrombosis and vascular events in diabetes. *Expert review of cardiovascular therapy*. Oct 2016;14:1099-1101.
- 59. Wieser V, Moschen AR, Tilg H. Inflammation, cytokines and insulin resistance: a clinical perspective. *Archivum immunologiae et therapiae experimentalis*. Apr 2013;61:119-125.
- 60. Moreno-Navarrete JM, Fernandez-Real JM. The complement system is dysfunctional in metabolic disease: Evidences in plasma and adipose tissue from obese and insulin resistant subjects. *Seminars in cell & developmental biology*. Jan 2019;85:164-172.
- 61. Weisberg SP, McCann D, Desai M, Rosenbaum M, Leibel RL, Ferrante AW, Jr. Obesity is associated with macrophage accumulation in adipose tissue. *The Journal of clinical investigation*. Dec 2003;112:1796-1808.

- 62. Hotamisligil GS, Peraldi P, Budavari A, Ellis R, White MF, Spiegelman BM. IRS-1-mediated inhibition of insulin receptor tyrosine kinase activity in TNF-alpha- and obesity-induced insulin resistance. *Science.* Feb 2 1996;271:665-668.
- 63. van Asseldonk EJ, van Poppel PC, Ballak DB, Stienstra R, Netea MG, Tack CJ. One week treatment with the IL-1 receptor antagonist anakinra leads to a sustained improvement in insulin sensitivity in insulin resistant patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Clinical immunology*. Oct 2015;160:155-162.
- 64. Mehta NN, McGillicuddy FC, Anderson PD, et al. Experimental endotoxemia induces adipose inflammation and insulin resistance in humans. *Diabetes.* Jan 2010;59:172-181.
- 65. Kovacs P, Stumvoll M. Fatty acids and insulin resistance in muscle and liver. *Best practice & research. Clinical endocrinology & metabolism.* Dec 2005;19:625-635.
- 66. Magnusson I, Rothman DL, Katz LD, Shulman RG, Shulman GI. Increased rate of gluconeogenesis in type II diabetes mellitus. A 13C nuclear magnetic resonance study. *The Journal of clinical investigation*. Oct 1992;90:1323-1327.
- 67. Yang C, Coker KJ, Kim JK, et al. Syntaxin 4 heterozygous knockout mice develop muscle insulin resistance. *The Journal of clinical investigation*. May 2001;107:1311-1318.
- 68. Cusi K, Maezono K, Osman A, et al. Insulin resistance differentially affects the PI 3-kinaseand MAP kinase-mediated signaling in human muscle. *The Journal of clinical investigation*. Feb 2000;105:311-320.
- 69. Potenza MA, Marasciulo FL, Chieppa DM, et al. Insulin resistance in spontaneously hypertensive rats is associated with endothelial dysfunction characterized by imbalance between NO and ET-1 production. *American journal of physiology. Heart and circulatory physiology.* Aug 2005;289:H813-822.
- 70. Marasciulo FL, Montagnani M, Potenza MA. Endothelin-1: the yin and yang on vascular function. *Current medicinal chemistry*. 2006;13:1655-1665.
- 71. Formoso G, Chen H, Kim JA, Montagnani M, Consoli A, Quon MJ. Dehydroepiandrosterone mimics acute actions of insulin to stimulate production of both nitric oxide and endothelin 1 via distinct phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase- and mitogen-activated protein kinase-dependent pathways in vascular endothelium. *Molecular endocrinology.* May 2006;20:1153-1163.
- 72. Nelson PR, Yamamura S, Mureebe L, Itoh H, Kent KC. Smooth muscle cell migration and proliferation are mediated by distinct phases of activation of the intracellular messenger mitogen-activated protein kinase. *Journal of vascular surgery*. Jan 1998;27:117-125.
- 73. DeFronzo RA, Cooke CR, Andres R, Faloona GR, Davis PJ. The effect of insulin on renal handling of sodium, potassium, calcium, and phosphate in man. *The Journal of clinical investigation*. Apr 1975;55:845-855.
- 74. Skott P, Hother-Nielsen O, Bruun NE, et al. Effects of insulin on kidney function and sodium excretion in healthy subjects. *Diabetologia*. Sep 1989;32:694-699.
- 75. Stenvinkel P, Bolinder J, Alvestrand A. Effects of insulin on renal haemodynamics and the proximal and distal tubular sodium handling in healthy subjects. *Diabetologia*. Nov 1992;35:1042-1048.
- Sarafidis PA, Bakris GL. The antinatriuretic effect of insulin: an unappreciated mechanism for hypertension associated with insulin resistance? *American journal of nephrology*. 2007;27:44-54.

- 77. Barazzoni R, Kiwanuka E, Zanetti M, Cristini M, Vettore M, Tessari P. Insulin acutely increases fibrinogen production in individuals with type 2 diabetes but not in individuals without diabetes. *Diabetes*. Jul 2003;52:1851-1856.
- 78. Ganda OP, Arkin CF. Hyperfibrinogenemia. An important risk factor for vascular complications in diabetes. *Diabetes care*. Oct 1992;15:1245-1250.
- 79. Stegenga ME, van der Crabben SN, Levi M, et al. Hyperglycemia stimulates coagulation, whereas hyperinsulinemia impairs fibrinolysis in healthy humans. *Diabetes*. Jun 2006;55:1807-1812.
- 80. Juhan-Vague I, Roul C, Alessi MC, Ardissone JP, Heim M, Vague P. Increased plasminogen activator inhibitor activity in non insulin dependent diabetic patients--relationship with plasma insulin. *Thrombosis and haemostasis.* Jun 30 1989;61:370-373.
- 81. Hess K, Alzahrani SH, Mathai M, et al. A novel mechanism for hypofibrinolysis in diabetes: the role of complement C3. *Diabetologia*. Apr 2012;55:1103-1113.
- 82. Hess K, Alzahrani SH, Price JF, et al. Hypofibrinolysis in type 2 diabetes: the role of the inflammatory pathway and complement C3. *Diabetologia*. Aug 2014;57:1737-1741.
- 83. Chillaron JJ, Flores-Le-Roux JA, Goday A, et al. [Metabolic syndrome and type-1 diabetes mellitus: prevalence and associated factors]. *Revista espanola de cardiologia*. Apr 2010;63:423-429.
- 84. Metascreen Writing C, Bonadonna R, Cucinotta D, Fedele D, Riccardi G, Tiengo A. The metabolic syndrome is a risk indicator of microvascular and macrovascular complications in diabetes: results from Metascreen, a multicenter diabetes clinic-based survey. *Diabetes care.* Dec 2006;29:2701-2707.
- 85. Thorn LM, Forsblom C, Fagerudd J, et al. Metabolic syndrome in type 1 diabetes: association with diabetic nephropathy and glycemic control (the FinnDiane study). *Diabetes care.* Aug 2005;28:2019-2024.
- 86. McGill M, Molyneaux L, Twigg SM, Yue DK. The metabolic syndrome in type 1 diabetes: does it exist and does it matter? *Journal of diabetes and its complications.* Jan-Feb 2008;22:18-23.