



This is a repository copy of *The role of binocularity in anisometropic amblyopia*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/150646/>

Version: Supplemental Material

Article:

Murray, S.J. and Codina, C.J. orcid.org/0000-0003-0094-3052 (2019) The role of binocularity in anisometropic amblyopia. *Journal of Binocular Vision and Ocular Motility*, 69 (4). pp. 141-152. ISSN 2576-117X

<https://doi.org/10.1080/2576117x.2019.1656034>

This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis in *Journal of Binocular Vision and Ocular Motility* on 5th September 2019, available online:
<http://www.tandfonline.com/10.1080/2576117X.2019.1656034>

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

Authors	Types of amblyopes included	Total Participants n =	Age Range	Test Group n =	Control Group n =	Length of study	Study group treatment	Control group treatment	Visual gains in treatment group	Visual gains in control group	Follow up group	Length of Follow up	Other significant findings
Vedamurthy ⁷²	Anisometropic Strabismus	38	19 - 66 years	23	15	40 hours of treatment Sessions were 90 – 120 minutes	Dichoptic action video game	Monocular action movies (patched)	0.14 +/- 0.01 logMAR	0.07 +/- 0.03 logMAR	35	2 months	Anisometropic amblyopes did better in the movie group vs game group
Li ⁷³	Not specified	18	Adults - ages not specified	9	9	4 weeks - Active treatment was a one hour session per day for two weeks	Dichoptic Tetris (2 weeks)	Monocular tetris (2 weeks monocular followed by 2 weeks binocular)	t8 = 12.4 p<0.001	t8 = 2.5 p=0.04	5	3 months	There was a four-fold improvement in stereopsis and reduction in suppression for the dichoptic group
Bossi ⁷⁴	Anisometropic Strabismic Combined	22	3.5 - 11.3 years	22	-	24 weeks (8 week pilot study included in analysis)	BBV of dichoptic movies (1 hour per day)	-	0.27 +/- 0.22 logMAR P<0.001	-	11 7	47 weeks +/-10 weeks 95 +/- 30 weeks	Seven out of the 11 follow up patients received standard treatment following their BBV treatment
Mansouri ⁷⁵	Anisometropic Strabismic	22	5 - 73 years	22	-	6 weeks (18 two hour sessions)	Random dot kinematograms	-	0.34 logMAR	-	21	6 months	Visual gains made during treatment were mostly maintained at the 6 month follow up appointment
Knox ⁷⁶	Anisometropic Strabismic Combined	14	5 – 14 yrs	14	-	1 week – 5 one hour sessions	Dichoptic Tetris	-	0.09 logMAR	-	-	-	Only 6 participants achieved clinically significant visual gains >0.1 logMAR
Rajavi ⁷⁷	Unilateral Deviation <10pd	50	3 – 10 years	25	25	2 months	One month of I-BiT (6.6hr total) + patching, followed by one month of patching alone	Two months of patching – 1 hour per line of IOD in BCVA	1 st month – 0.17 +/- 0.09 logMAR 2 nd month – 0.18 +/- 0.09 logMAR	1 st month- 0.06 +/- 0.08 logMAR 2 nd month – 0.14 +/- 0.11	-	-	
Gao ⁷⁹	Anisometropic Strabismic Combined	115	Not stated.	56	59	6 weeks – one hour daily	Dichoptic Tetris	Placebo Tetris	0.06 +/- 0.12 logMAR n = 50	0.07 +/- 0.10 logMAR n = 57	test group - 48 control group - 51	18 weeks	Results of any visit after the 6 week visit were not discussed in this paper

Holmes ⁸⁰	Anisometropic Strabismic Combined	385	5 – 13 years	190 177 were included in primary analysis	195 186 were included in primary analysis	16 weeks	Dichoptic Tetris for 1 hour a day	Patching 2 hours a day	1.08 lines n = 177	1.32 lines n = 186	-	-	66.7% and 92.5% of participants reported a >75% compliance rate with the iPad and patching treatment respectively. Logs on iPad showed only 22.2% of participants completed >75% of prescribed treatment
PEDIG ⁸¹	Anisometropic Strabismic Combined	138	7-12 years	69	69	16 weeks	Binocular Dig Rush game for 1 hour 5 times a week for 8 weeks	Full time glasses wear For 8 weeks	At the end of 8 weeks 1.3 logMAR	At the end of 8 weeks 1.7 logMAR	-	-	At the 8 week visit 99% control group participants chose to complete 8 weeks of binocular therapy. Only 15% cohort achieved >75% compliance. The mean change in VA at 16 weeks was 0.4 letters with no change in stereoacuity
Herbison ⁸²	Anisometropic Strabismic Combined	75	4-8 years	2 test groups I-Bit DVD = 24 I-Bit Game= 26	25	10 weeks	I-Bit DVD and I-Bit Game 30 minutes weekly for 6 weeks	Non- I-Bit Game 30 minutes weekly for 6 weeks	At end of treatment (6 weeks) I-Bit DVD 0.1 logMAR I-Bit Game= 0.06 logMAR At 10 weeks I-Bit DVD 0.07 logMAR I-Bit Game= 0.07 logMAR	At end of treatment (6 weeks) 0.02 At 10 weeks 0.06	I-Bit DVD = 23 I-Bit Game= 26 Non I-Bit Game = 22	4 weeks	Study reported excellent compliance rate but it utilized in-office therapy. The continued improvement of the control group from week 6 to the follow up appointment at week 10 was not addressed