

This is a repository copy of *Delivering speech and language therapy services to young people in custody*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/149214/

Version: Accepted Version

Proceedings Paper:

Turner, K. orcid.org/0000-0002-2211-6309, Clegg, J. and Spencer, S. (2019) Delivering speech and language therapy services to young people in custody. In: Journal of Intellectual Disability Research. Future4All - World Congress of the International Association for the Scientific Study of Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities, 2019, 06-09 Aug 2019, Glasgow, Scotland. Wiley, p. 701.

https://doi.org/10.1111/jir.12669

This abstract has been published in final form at https://doi.org/10.1111/jir.12669. This poster may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



Introduction: International research (Anderson, Hawes, & Snow 2016) has consistently found high levels of speech, language and communication needs (SLCN) amongst young people in contact with the criminal justice system. These SLCN may occur with co-morbid intellectual and/or other developmental disabilities. Speech and language therapy services are now provided in the majority of young offender institutions (YOI) in England. However, little is known about how these services are provided.

Methods: A survey was conducted, followed by a semi-structured interview with the lead clinician in each YOI in England. Six months of service provision data was also collected from each site.

Results: All services were providing 1:1 assessment and interventions for a range of different speech, language and communication needs. Benefits could be seen in more universal service provision, but resource and regime issues were seen as barriers. It was felt that the speed of change and levels of pressure were higher in this field than in other clinical settings.

Implications: Speech and language therapy services in this setting should be led by experienced clinicians with high levels of support. Where resources are limited, careful consideration should be given to as where these are targeted.

Keywords: communication support needs, custody, service delivery, youth offending