

This is a repository copy of Summary vision screening data : Luxembourg.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/148031/

Version: Published Version

#### Monograph:

Mazzone, P. orcid.org/0000-0003-0944-8031, Carlton, J. orcid.org/0000-0002-9373-7663 and Griffiths, H. orcid.org/0000-0003-4286-5371 (2019) Summary vision screening data : Luxembourg. Report. Vision Screening Country Reports . EUScreen

©2019 EUScreen. For reuse permissions, please contact the publisher.

#### Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

#### Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/







# **Produced as part of Work Package 3**

## Paolo Mazzone<sup>1</sup>, Dr Jill Carlton<sup>2</sup>, Dr Helen Griffiths<sup>3</sup>

- 1. Research Assistant, School of Health and Related Research, University of Sheffield, United Kingdom (UK)
- 2. Senior Research Fellow, School of Health and Related Research, University of Sheffield, United Kingdom (UK)
- 3. Senior Lecturer, Academic Unit of Ophthalmology and Orthoptics, University of Sheffield, United Kingdom (UK)

Information provided by Beatrice Bogdan, Orthoptist, Head of Orthoptic Service, Ministry of Health

#### 23<sup>rd</sup> January 2019

Disclaimer: This is a summary report representing the responses from a country representative working within eye care services of the country reported. This report does not represent conclusions made by the authors, and is the product of professional research conducted for the EUSCREEN study. It is not meant to represent the position or opinions of the EUSCREEN study or its Partners. The information cannot be fully verified by the authors and represent only the information supplied by the country representatives.

> This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Grant Agreement No 733352



# Summary Vision Screening Data: Luxembourg

### Contents

1.	G	Glossary of Terms: Vision Screening					
2.	. Abbreviations						
3.	Population and Healthcare Overview						
4	V	/ision Screening Commissioning and Guidance	3				
5	Screening programme						
	5.1	Vision screening – Preterm babies	4				
	5.2	Vision screening - Birth to 3 months	4				
	5.3	Vision screening - 3 months to 36 months	4				
	5.4	Vision screening - 36 months to 7 years	4				
6	A	Automated Screening	9				
7	Р	Provision for Visually Impaired					
8	K	Cnowledge of existing screening programme	11				
	8.1	Prevalence/Diagnosis	11				
	8.2	Coverage	11				
	8.3	Screening evaluation	11				
	8.4	Treatment success	11				
9	С	Costs of vision screening in children	13				
	9.1	Cost of vision screening	13				
	9.2	Cost of treatment for amblyopia	13				
	9.3	Cost of Treatment for strabismus	13				
	9.4	Cost of treatment for cataract	13				
10 References							

Summary Vision Screening Data: Luxembourg



screen

F

Abnormal test result	A test result where a normal "pass" response could not be				
	detected under good conditions. The result on screening				
	equipment may indicate "no response," "fail," or "refer."				
Attendance rate	The proportion of all those invited for screening that are tested				
	and receive a result:				
	<ul> <li>Invited for screening includes all those that are offered</li> </ul>				
	the screening test.				
	• Tested and receive a result could be a "pass" or "referral				
	to diagnostic assessment".				
	Attendance rate provides information on the willingness of				
	families to participate in screening.				
Compliance with	The percentage of those who are referred from screening to a				
referral (percentage)	diagnostic assessment that actually attend the diagnostic				
	assessment.				
	Percentage of compliance provides information on the				
	willingness of families to attend the diagnostic assessment after				
	referral from screening.				
Coverage	The proportion of those eligible for screening that are tested and				
	receive a result:				
	Eligible for screening includes those within the population				
	that are covered under the screening or health care				
	programme.				
	Tested and receive a result could be a "pass" or "refer to				
	diagnostic assessment".				
	Factors such as being offered screening, willingness to				
	participate, missed screening, ability to complete the screen, and				
	ability to document the screening results will influence the				
	COVERage.				
raise negatives	target condition) that receive a result of "nace" during corecasing				
	target condition) that receive a result of pass during screening.				
	Example: If 100 children with visual deficit are screened, and 1				
	child passes the screening, the percentage of false negatives is				
	child passes the screening, the percentage of false negatives is				

# 1. Glossary of Terms: Vision Screening





	1%.				
False positives	The percentage of children with pormal vision that are referred				
	from screening to a diagnostic assessment				
Guidelines	Recommendations or instructions provided by an authoritative				
Guidennes	hody on the practice of screening in the country or region				
Vision screening	A person qualified to perform vision screening according to the				
professional	nractice in the country or region				
Inconclusive test	A test result where a normal "pass" response could not be				
result	detected due to noor test conditions or noor cooperation of the				
	child.				
Invited for screening	Infants/children and their families who are offered screening.				
Outcome of vision	An indication of the effectiveness or performance of expension				
Outcome of vision	An indication of the effectiveness or performance of screening,				
screening	such as a measurement of coverage rate, referral rate, number of				
Untropted emplyonic	These children who have not received treatment for amhlyonia				
Untreated ambiyopia	due to missed screening or missed follow up appointment				
Development emplyonia	due to missed screening of missed follow-up appointment.				
Persistent ambiyopia	Ambiyopia that is missed by screening, or present after the child				
Desitive weedistive	The nerves to go of shildren referred from screening who have a				
value	confirmed vision loss				
value					
	For example, if 100 babies are referred from screening for				
	diagnostic assessment and 10 have normal vision and 90 have a				
	confirmed visual defect, the positive predictive value would be				
	confirmed visual defect, the positive predictive value would be 90%.				
Prevalence	confirmed visual defect, the positive predictive value would be 90%. The percentage or number of individuals with a specific disease				
Prevalence	<ul> <li>confirmed visual defect, the positive predictive value would be</li> <li>90%.</li> <li>The percentage or number of individuals with a specific disease</li> <li>or condition. Prevalence can either be expressed as a percentage</li> </ul>				
Prevalence	confirmed visual defect, the positive predictive value would be 90%. The percentage or number of individuals with a specific disease or condition. Prevalence can either be expressed as a percentage or as a number out of 1000 individuals within the same				
Prevalence	confirmed visual defect, the positive predictive value would be 90%. The percentage or number of individuals with a specific disease or condition. Prevalence can either be expressed as a percentage or as a number out of 1000 individuals within the same demographic.				
Prevalence Programme	<ul> <li>confirmed visual defect, the positive predictive value would be 90%.</li> <li>The percentage or number of individuals with a specific disease or condition. Prevalence can either be expressed as a percentage or as a number out of 1000 individuals within the same demographic.</li> <li>An organised system for screening, which could be based</li> </ul>				
Prevalence Programme	<ul> <li>confirmed visual defect, the positive predictive value would be 90%.</li> <li>The percentage or number of individuals with a specific disease or condition. Prevalence can either be expressed as a percentage or as a number out of 1000 individuals within the same demographic.</li> <li>An organised system for screening, which could be based nationally, regionally or locally.</li> </ul>				
Prevalence Programme Protocol	<ul> <li>confirmed visual defect, the positive predictive value would be 90%.</li> <li>The percentage or number of individuals with a specific disease or condition. Prevalence can either be expressed as a percentage or as a number out of 1000 individuals within the same demographic.</li> <li>An organised system for screening, which could be based nationally, regionally or locally.</li> <li>Documented procedure or sequence for screening, which could</li> </ul>				
Prevalence Programme Protocol	<ul> <li>confirmed visual defect, the positive predictive value would be 90%.</li> <li>The percentage or number of individuals with a specific disease or condition. Prevalence can either be expressed as a percentage or as a number out of 1000 individuals within the same demographic.</li> <li>An organised system for screening, which could be based nationally, regionally or locally.</li> <li>Documented procedure or sequence for screening, which could include which tests are performed, when tests are performed,</li> </ul>				
Prevalence Programme Protocol	<ul> <li>confirmed visual defect, the positive predictive value would be 90%.</li> <li>The percentage or number of individuals with a specific disease or condition. Prevalence can either be expressed as a percentage or as a number out of 1000 individuals within the same demographic.</li> <li>An organised system for screening, which could be based nationally, regionally or locally.</li> <li>Documented procedure or sequence for screening, which could include which tests are performed, when tests are performed, procedures for passing and referring, and so forth.</li> </ul>				
Prevalence Programme Protocol Quality assurance	<ul> <li>confirmed visual defect, the positive predictive value would be 90%.</li> <li>The percentage or number of individuals with a specific disease or condition. Prevalence can either be expressed as a percentage or as a number out of 1000 individuals within the same demographic.</li> <li>An organised system for screening, which could be based nationally, regionally or locally.</li> <li>Documented procedure or sequence for screening, which could include which tests are performed, when tests are performed, procedures for passing and referring, and so forth.</li> <li>A method for checking and ensuring that screening is functioning</li> </ul>				
Prevalence Programme Protocol Quality assurance	<ul> <li>confirmed visual defect, the positive predictive value would be 90%.</li> <li>The percentage or number of individuals with a specific disease or condition. Prevalence can either be expressed as a percentage or as a number out of 1000 individuals within the same demographic.</li> <li>An organised system for screening, which could be based nationally, regionally or locally.</li> <li>Documented procedure or sequence for screening, which could include which tests are performed, when tests are performed, procedures for passing and referring, and so forth.</li> <li>A method for checking and ensuring that screening is functioning adequately and meeting set goals and benchmarks.</li> </ul>				
Prevalence Programme Protocol Quality assurance Referral criteria	confirmed visual defect, the positive predictive value would be 90%. The percentage or number of individuals with a specific disease or condition. Prevalence can either be expressed as a percentage or as a number out of 1000 individuals within the same demographic. An organised system for screening, which could be based nationally, regionally or locally. Documented procedure or sequence for screening, which could include which tests are performed, when tests are performed, procedures for passing and referring, and so forth. A method for checking and ensuring that screening is functioning adequately and meeting set goals and benchmarks. A pre-determined cut-off boundary for when a child should be				





Risk babies / Babies	All infants that are considered to be at-risk or have risk-factors			
at-risk	for vision defects/ophthalmic pathology according to the			
	screening programme.			
	Two common risk factors are admission to the neonatal-intensive			
	care unit (NICU) or born prematurely. However, other risk factors			
	for visual defects may also be indicated in the screening			
	programme.			
Sensitivity	The percentage of children with visual defects that are identified			
	via the screening programme.			
	For example, if 100 babies with visual defects are tested, and 98			
	of these babies are referred for diagnostic assessment and 2 pass			
	the screening, the sensitivity is 98%.			
Specificity	The percentage of children with normal vision that pass the			
	screening.			
	For example, if 100 babies with normal vision are tested, and 10			
	of these babies are referred for diagnostic assessment and 90			
	pass the screening, the specificity is 90%.			
Target condition	The visual defect you are aiming to detect via the screening			
	programme.			
Well, healthy babies	Infants who are <i>not</i> admitted into the NICU or born prematurely			
	(born after a gestation period of less than 37 weeks).			

#### 2. Abbreviations

- **GDP** Gross Domestic Product
- **GP** General Practitioner
- NICU Neonatal-intensive care unit
- **PPP** Purchasing Power Parity
- **ROP** Retinopathy of Prematurity
- VA Visual Acuity
- WHO World Health Organisation









#### 3. Population and Healthcare Overview

The population of Luxembourg is 599,449 (World Bank, 2018a) and the birth rate was estimated at 10.4 births/1,000 population in 2016 (World Bank, 2018b). The change in population and birth rate from 1960 to 2017 is shown in Figure 1, graphs A and B respectively.

Luxembourg had an estimated population density of 232 people per square kilometre in 2017 and this has risen from 169 people per square kilometre in 1961 (World Bank, 2018c). In terms of healthcare facilities, the total density of hospitals in 2013 was 1.13 per 100,000 population (WHO, 2016a). Infant mortality in 2017 is estimated at 2.1 deaths/1,000 live births in total (World Bank, 2018d).

The average life expectancy in Luxembourg is estimated at 82.3 years (World Bank, 2018e), with a death rate of 6.8 deaths/1,000 population in 2016 (World Bank, 2018f). Luxembourg has a gross national income per capita (PPP int. \$, 2013) of \$59,750 (WHO, 2016b). The estimated total expenditure on health per capita in 2014 was \$6,812 (Intl \$) and the total expenditure on health in 2014 as percentage of GDP was 6.9% (WHO, 2016b).



Summary Vision Screening Data: Luxembourg

Figure 1: Change in the Total Population and Birth Rate in Luxembourg between 1960 and 2016/2017



Source: Information sourced from World Bank (2018)



#### 4 Vision Screening Commissioning and Guidance

Vision screening is organised nationally with no regional variation in protocols. It is funded by the state. It is not embedded into a general preventative child healthcare system. The content of the vision screening programme is decided upon by the Health Directorate, Orthoptic Service. Vision screening was implemented for pre-school children in 1969 and for infants in 1971.

Review of the vision screening programme, how revisions are funded and how and when they take place is not defined. Since its implementation, the vision screening programme has changed in terms of the ages that screening takes place and the use of an autorefractor. The date for these changes is not known.

In Luxembourg, vision screening takes place at the headquarter of the Orthoptic Service and in 7 other locations rented by the Orthoptic Service, including kindergartens, public schools and schools. The professionals that perform vision screening are orthoptists and nurses. However, there are only nine orthoptists active in the screening programme. No other general professionals have been identified that do not screen, but could do so with additional training. There is no organised, specific training in order to perform vision screening.

There are no methods for quality monitoring for vision screening set-out by the government. No research concerning the vision screening programme in Luxembourg has been identified. There has been no cost-effectiveness analysis, or any other studies on the effectiveness of vision screening programme.



#### 5 Screening programme

The target conditions screened for by vision screening include retinopathy of prematurity (ROP), amblyopia, reduced visual acuity, refractive error, colour vision defects, manifest and leatent strabismus, and anisocoria. The health care professionals delivering vision screening, venue for screening and tests used vary depending on the age of the child as shown in Tables 1, 2 and 3 respectively. Specific details of the screening offered within each age group are described more fully in sections 5.1 to 5.4 below.

#### 5.1 Vision screening – Preterm babies

Preterm babies are checked by an ophthalmologist during their stay in the hospital if risk factors due to prematurity are fulfilled. These factors are not known. The child is referred for further diagnostic examination when any pathology is apparent.

#### 5.2 Vision screening - Birth to 3 months

The tests conducted on well, healthy babies up to the age of 3 months include Hirschberg and pupillary reflexes. These tests are performed by a paediatrician in either a hospital or a doctor's office during 3 first general health check-ups; less than 48 hours after birth, at age 1to10 days and 3to 8 weeks. The check-ups are mandatory. The child is referred for further diagnostic examination when any pathology is apparent.

#### 5.3 Vision screening - 3 months to 36 months

Children aged 3 to 36 months are screened at 10 months of age by an orthoptist at a governmental department. The tests used at this age include ocular motility assessment, Hirschberg test, cover test, alternating cover test, stereopsis using the Lang 1 Test and autorefraction using PlusOptix (10 months-48 months). The referral criteria at this age category is not known.

#### 5.4 Vision screening - 36 months to 7 years

Children aged 36 months to 7 years are screened three times by an orthoptist at either a governmental department within the orthoptic service at 36 months to 4 years of age or school from 4 years to 6 years of age. The screening takes place at 36 months, 5 to 5 years of age and 5 to 6 years of age. The tests used within this age group include ocular motility assessment, Hirschberg test, cover test, alternating cover test, visual acuity measurement, stereopsis using the Lang 1 Test, colour vision, automated screening (PlusOptix at 36 months to 4 years of age). Visual acuity is measured for the first time at 36 months using the Rossano-Weiss, Tumbling E and Multivisus (computerised) optotype charts. These are all linear uncrowded tests.



If a child is not screened for visual acuity at 36 months, they are tested at 48 months by an orthoptist at governmental departments. The visual acuity measurement is repeated at ages 4 to 5 years and 5 to 6 years.

At 36 months, the referral criteria is hyperopia, astigmatism or anisometropia over 1.5 diopters, strabismus, heterophoria, exophthalmia, a difference in reflexes on the autorefractor, or visual acuity different in both eyes of less than 0.6 decimal (0.2 logMAR, 6/9.5 Snellen). I

At 48 months, the referral criteria is hyperopia, astigmatism or anisometropia over 1.5 diopters, strabismus, heterophoria, exophthalmia, negative stereopsis, or visual acuity of less than 0.8 decimal (0.1 logMAR, 6/7.5 Snellen)).



Summary Vision Screening Data: Luxembourg

**Table 1:** Healthcare professionals who conduct vision screening in each age group

Table 1	Paediatrician	Ophthalmologist	Nurses	Orthoptist
Preterm babies	✓ In certain cases	✓ In certain cases	×	×
0 to 3 months	$\checkmark$	×	×	×
3 to 36 months	×	×	×	✓
3 to 7 years	×	×	×	~



Summary Vision Screening Data: Luxembourg

**Table 2:** Vision screening tests used in vision screening for each age group

Table 2	Eye motility	Hirschberg	Pupillary reflexes	Cover test	Alternating cover test	Visual acuity measurement	Stereopsis	Colour vision	Automated screening
Preterm babies	No protocol	No protocol	No protocol	No protocol	No protocol	No protocol	No protocol	No protocol	No protocol
0 to 3 months	×	~	~	×	×	×	×	×	×
3 to 36 months	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	×	✓
3 to 7 years	~	~	×	~	$\checkmark$	~	~	~	✓ Only up to 48 months



Summary Vision Screening Data: Luxembourg

# **Table 3:** Location of vision screening for each age group

Table 3	Hospital	Doctor's office	School	Governmental Department
Preterm babies	~	×	×	×
0 to 3 months	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	×	×
3 to 36 months	×	×	×	~
3 to 7 years	×	×	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

Summary Vision Screening Data: Luxembourg



#### 6 Automated Screening

Automated vision screening is achieved using handheld, portable devices designed to detect presence of refractive error in infants from 6 months of age. It provides objective results and is used to detect amblyopic risk factors. This differs from other methods used to screen children for amblyopia which focus on detection of the actual condition and the resulting visual loss.

Automated refraction screening is used in the context of vision screening in combination with other tests on all children between 10-48 months. These tests are eye motility, Hirschberg test, cover test, alternating cover test, visual acuity measurement, stereopsis and colour vision.

If a child is screened at 36 months, the referral criteria from the automated screening is hyperopia, astigmatism or anisometropia over 1.5 dioptres.

If a child is screened at 48 months, the referral criteria is hyperopia, astigmatism or anisometropia over 1.5 dioptres).

In Luxembourg, PlusOptix is used – with each device costing 7,800 Euros. There is no specific timescale on when the devices are scheduled to be replaced.

Summary Vision Screening Data: Luxembourg



#### 7 Provision for Visually Impaired

There is one school in Luxembourg for blind or severely visually impaired children. The number of students is 141. The costs per child for this school is not known and it is not known what special support is offered to visually impaired children who attend mainstream primary school.



#### 8 Knowledge of existing screening programme

### 8.1 Prevalence/Diagnosis

The prevalence of treated, persistent (missed by screening or failed treatment) or untreated amblyopia by the age of 7 years in Luxembourg is unknown. The prevalence of strabismus is reported by the country representative as 1.6% at the age of 4 to 6 years. There is no data available concerning the incidence of the four types of amblyopia (strabismic, refractive, combined mechanism and deprivation).

### 8.2 Coverage

Ninety-nine percent of children aged 10 months are invited for vision screening. Sixty percent of these children attend the appointments. The rate of attendance is 70% for the all children aged between 10 and 48 months. Children are referred after 1 or 2 abnormal or inconclusive test results; this is determined by the screener. All children aged 4 and 5 years, are invited for the screening in schools.

#### 8.3 Screening evaluation

There is no information pertaining to the percentage of compliance with referral after an abnormal screening test result, as there is no registration or documentation of non-attendance. There is no information concerning the percentage of false negative following vision screening. The percentage of false positive referrals for vision screening is estimated at 10%, with a positive predictive value of a refer estimated at 80%. There is no data available about the sensitivity or specificity of vision screening in Luxembourg.

#### 8.4 Treatment success

There is no data regarding:

- the percentage of infants treated per year for congenital eye disorders (including congenital cataract)
- the percentage of screened children treated for strabismus or amblyopia before age 7 years
- the percentage of all children treated for strabismus or amblyopia before age 7 years

The percentage of all children treated for amblyopia at the ages of 4 to 6 years is reported as 0.4%. The percentage of children aged 5 to 6 years wearing glasses is reported as 13.4%.



Only ophthalmologists prescribe glasses for children under the age of 14 years. Other treatment options may include patching, penalisation with glasses and cataract surgery when indicated. All eligible children are offered treatment.



#### 9 Costs of vision screening in children

#### 9.1 Cost of vision screening

Vision screening professionals in Luxembourg are estimated to earn an annual salary of 50,000 Euros. The salary costs per hour are not known. It is not known how much it costs to train general preventative child health care screening professionals, between leaving secondary education to qualification. It is not known the total screening costs per year for vision screening are, or what the total costs per child per year for vision screening are, nationally.

#### 9.2 Cost of treatment for amblyopia

The estimated costs of treatment for typical patients with refractive amblyopia and strabismic amblyopia, including follow-up, are not known.

#### 9.3 Cost of Treatment for strabismus

The estimated costs for strabismus surgery, including follow-up, are not known.

#### 9.4 Cost of treatment for cataract

The estimated costs for congenital cataract surgery, including follow-up of deprivation amblyopia, are not known.

There is a financial reward of 580 Euros if the child takes part in 6 general check-ups at the paediatrician: the first one has to take place within 48 hours after birth. The other five have to take place at ages: 1 to 10 days, 4 to 6 months, 9 to 12 months, and 21 to 24 months. There is no penalty for non-attendance. Vision screening is not obligatory in Luxembourg.



#### 10 References

The World Bank (2018a). Population, total | Data. [online] Available at: https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.POP.TOTL?locations=LU [Accessed 10 December 2018].

The World Bank. (2018b). Birth rate, crude (per 1,000 people) | Data. [online] Available at:https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.DYN.CBRT.IN?locations=LU[Accessed 10December 2018].

The World Bank. (2018c). Population density (people per sq. km of land area) | Data. [online] Available at: https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/EN.POP.DNST?locations=LU [Accessed 10 December. 2018].

The World Bank. (2018d). Mortality rate, infant (per 1,000 live births) | Data. [online] Available at: https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.DYN.IMRT.IN?locations=LU [Accessed 10 December 2018].

The World Bank. (2018e). Life expectancy at birth, total (years) | Data. [online] Available at: https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.DYN.LE00.IN?locations=LU [Accessed 10 December 2018].

The World Bank. (2018f). Death rate, crude (per 1,000 people) | Data. [online] Available at:https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.DYN.CDRT.IN?locations=LU[Accessed 10December 2018].

World Health Organisation (WHO). (2016a). Health Infrastructure - Data by country. [ONLINE] Available at: http://apps.who.int/gho/data/view.main.30000. [Accessed 10 December 2018].

World Health Organisation (WHO). (2016b). Countries, Luxembourg. [ONLINE] Available at: http://www.who.int/countries/lux/en/. [Accessed 10 December 2018].