



This is a repository copy of *A preliminary exploration of the links between attachment disorganisation and schizotypy dimensions in adulthood.*

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/146226/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Shearman, N., Millings, A. orcid.org/0000-0002-7849-6048, Carroll, D. et al. (1 more author) (2019) A preliminary exploration of the links between attachment disorganisation and schizotypy dimensions in adulthood. *Schizophrenia Research*, 208. pp. 493-495. ISSN 0920-9964

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2019.01.004>

Article available under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-ND licence
(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

Authors

Dr Nate Shearman^{1,4}, MSc DClInPsy, Clinical Psychologist
(nate.shearman@shsc.nhs.uk, Tel. +44 (0)114 271 8600) – Corresponding author

Dr Abigail Millings², BSc (Hons) PhD, Lecturer in Psychology
(a.millings@sheffield.ac.uk, Tel. +44 (0)114 222 6525)

Dr Daniel Carroll², MA PhD, Senior Lecturer in Psychology
(d.carroll@sheffield.ac.uk, Tel. +44 (0)114 222 6603)

Dr Angela Rowe³, BSc PhD, Reader in Social Cognitive Psychology
(a.c.rowe@bristol.ac.uk, Tel. +44 (0)117 954 6846)

Affiliations/addresses

¹ Clinical Psychology Unit, University of Sheffield, Cathedral Court, 1 Vicar Lane, Sheffield, S1 2LT

² Psychology Department, University of Sheffield, Cathedral Court, 1 Vicar Lane, Sheffield, S1 2LT

³ School of Experimental Psychology, University of Bristol, Priory Road Complex, 12A Priory Road, Clifton BS8 1TU

⁴ Early Intervention Service, Sheffield Health and Social Care NHS Foundation Trust, Limbrick Centre, Limbrick Road, Sheffield S6 2PE [please note this is Nate Shearman's present address]

Dear Professor Keshavan,

The cognitive, emotional and behavioural correlates of attachment insecurity are a growing area of clinical interest within the psychosis literature (e.g., Sitko, Bentall, Shevlin et al., 2014), due to the established links between adversity and trauma in relationships and psychosis (Varese, Smeets, Drukker et al., 2012). Researchers have examined whether and how individual differences in social-cognitive conceptualisations of attachment insecurity, i.e., attachment anxiety and avoidance (Mikulincer, Shaver, & Pereg, 2003), might underpin the development of psychosis experiences. This interest has extended to examine observable characteristics of psychosis phenomena, i.e., schizotypy, within the general population, due to a shift towards dimensional approaches to psychosis experiences, in order to better understand the psychosis continuum (Mason & Claridge, 2006). Researchers have identified links between attachment anxiety and avoidance and schizotypy in general and clinical populations (Korver-Nieberg, Berry, Meijer, & de Haan, 2014). However, the contribution of attachment disorganisation to schizotypy has been neglected.

Whilst the behavioural correlates of attachment anxiety (approach behaviours) and avoidance (avoid behaviours) together capture what can be seen in disorganisation, they do not capture the key hallmark of disorganisation: fear of the attachment figure (Paetzold, Rholes, & Kohn, 2015). Fear of the attachment figure is relevant to schizotypy, because researchers have argued that disorganisation is orthogonal to the anxious and avoidant patterns in its influence on the development of fearful psychosis experiences (Berry, Varese & Bucci, 2017) and a fearful attachment style, thought to share similarities with attachment disorganisation, mediates the relationships between abuse and schizotypy (Sheinbaum, Kwapil, & Barrantes-Vidal, 2014).

The paucity of research on attachment disorganisation could be explained by the historical absence of a dimensional measure for adult close relationships. Fortunately, such a measure was recently developed and focuses on the distinct aspect of relational fear (Paetzold et al., 2015). Therefore, for the first time, we examined attachment anxiety, avoidance and disorganisation as predictors of schizotypy.

We examined the relationships between attachment anxiety, avoidance and disorganisation, and schizotypy, amongst university students in the UK, using three measures: the Experiences in Close Relationships Scale (Brennan, Clark, & Shaver, 1998), the Attachment Disorganisation Scale (Paetzold et al., 2015), and the Oxford-Liverpool Inventory of Feelings and Experiences tapping four schizotypy dimensions (Mason & Claridge, 2006), i.e., unusual experiences (UE), cognitive disorganisation (CD), introvertive anhedonia (IA) and impulsive nonconformity (IN). Participants were 303 females and 106 males, of which 277 were undergraduates and 132 were postgraduates, and 226 were in a romantic relationship whilst 183 were single. The mean age of participants was 22 years ($SD = 6.17$).

Hierarchical multiple regression analyses were performed to examine whether attachment disorganisation explained statistically significant amounts of variance in schizotypy dimensions, whilst controlling for demographics and attachment anxiety and avoidance. Table 1 provides a summary of the data, including R^2 change values. Our findings show for the first time that attachment disorganisation in adulthood predicts schizotypy experiences.

Attachment disorganisation and anxiety significantly predicted UE (e.g., voice hearing). Researchers suggest that fear might contribute to voice-hearing, and that schizotypy experiences might develop as defences against distress (Tiliopoulos & Goodall, 2009). Whilst avoidant individuals seek distance, UE might enable proximity seeking (despite fear) for individuals with higher levels of anxiety and disorganisation - voices might represent displacement of fear associated with the attachment figure.

Attachment disorganisation and anxiety also predicted IN (e.g., impulsive and anti-social behaviours). IN suggests lower self-control so the lack of relationship with avoidance, characteristic of over-regulation, is unsurprising. Conversely, anxiety and disorganisation, might predict IN due to escalating displays of distress to ensure needs are met and/or attempts to protect the self from perceived or actual threat in close relationships respectively.

Attachment disorganisation and anxiety did not predict IA, whereas attachment avoidance did – again, indicating differences between disorganisation, anxiety, and avoidance. IA describes a lack of enjoyment and avoidance of intimacy, which arguably resembles the flat affective and distancing style of attachment avoidance.

Finally, attachment disorganisation, anxiety, and avoidance predicted cognitive disorganisation (e.g., poorer attention). However, we are cautious with our interpretation of attachment-related cognitive processes. Theorists have posited that attachment anxiety and avoidance yield individual differences in cognitive processes, as part of affect regulation (Mikulincer et al., 2003). However, experimental studies of student samples have yet to provide consistent support for attachment-related differences. We strongly advocate for clearer synthesis of the social-cognitive evidence of attachment-related differences in cognition.

We recognise the limitations of our method, including our sample. However, attachment and schizotypy studies have typically relied on student samples so our sample is readily comparable. Our findings indicate that further investigation of relationships between attachment disorganisation in adulthood and psychosis phenomena is warranted, particularly with links to life events (e.g., maltreatment) and other implicated mechanisms, e.g., dissociation (Berry et al., 2017).

Yours sincerely,

Dr Nate Shearman, Dr Abigail Millings, Dr Daniel Carroll and Dr Angela Rowe

References

- Berry, K., Varese, F., & Bucci, S., 2017. Cognitive Attachment Model of Voices: Evidence base and future implications. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*. 8(111) 1-13.
- Brennan, K. A., Clark, C. L., & Shaver, P. R., 1998. Self-report measurement of adult romantic attachment: An integrative overview, in: Simpson, J. A., & Rholes, W. S. (Eds.), *Attachment theory and close relationships*. Guilford Press, New York, pp. 46-76.
- Korver-Nieberg, N., Berry, K., Meijer, C. J., & de Haan, L., 2014. Adult attachment and psychotic phenomenology in clinical and non-clinical samples: A systematic review. *Psychology and Psychotherapy: Theory, Research and Practice*. 87(2) 127-154.
- Mason, O., & Claridge, G., 2006. The Oxford-Liverpool Inventory of Feelings and Experiences (O-LIFE): Further description and extended norms. *Schizophrenia Research*. 82(2-3) 203-211.
- Mikulincer, M., Shaver, P., & Pereg, D., 2003. Attachment theory and affect regulation: The dynamics, development, and cognitive consequences of attachment-related strategies. *Motivation and Emotion*. 27(2) 77-102.
- Paetzold, R. L., Rholes, W. S., & Kohn, J. L., 2015. Disorganized attachment in adulthood: Theory, measurement, and implications for romantic relationships. *Review of General Psychology*. 19(2) 146-156.
- Sheinbaum, T., Kwapil, T. R., & Barrantes-Vidal, N., 2014. Fearful attachment mediates the association of childhood trauma with schizotypy and psychotic-like experiences. *Psychiatry Research*. 220(1-2) 691-693.
- Sitko, K., Bentall, R. P., Shevlin, M., O'Sullivan, N., & Sellwood, W., 2014. Associations between specific psychotic symptoms and specific childhood adversities are mediated by attachment styles: An analysis of the national comorbidity survey. *Psychiatry Research*. 217(3) 202-209.
- Tiliopoulos, N., & Goodall, K., 2009. The neglected link between adult attachment and schizotypal personality traits. *Personality and Individual Differences*. 47(4) 299-304.
- Varese, F., Smeets, F., Drukker, M., Lieveise, R., Lataster, T... & Bentall, R. P., 2012. Childhood adversities increase the risk of psychosis: A meta-analysis of patient-control, prospective- and cross-sectional cohort studies. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*. 38(4) 661-671.

Table 1

Table 1.

Summary of hierarchical regression analyses

| Dependent variables | Independent Variables | F value | R ² | ΔR ² | B | SE B | β | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|
| Unusual experiences | Step 1 | Gender | - | .038 | - | 0.95 | .130 | .036 |
| | | Age | | | | -.005 | .011 | -.028 |
| | | Level of study | | | | -.165 | .147 | -.067 |
| | Step 2 | Relationship status | | | | .368 | .116 | .158* |
| | | Gender | - | .188 | .15 | .086 | .119 | .033 |
| | | Age | | | | .005 | .010 | .028 |
| | | Level of study | | | | -.093 | .135 | -.037 |
| | | Relationship status | | | | .231 | .110 | .099* |
| | | Attachment anxiety | | | | .342 | .054 | .317** |
| | Step 3 | Attachment avoidance | | | | .162 | .052 | .154* |
| | | Gender | 16.427 | .223 | .035 | .145 | .118 | .055 |
| | | Age | | | | .009 | .010 | .046 |
| | | Level of study | | | | -.090 | .133 | -.036 |
| | | Relationship status | | | | .173 | .108 | .074 |
| | | Attachment anxiety | | | | .261 | .056 | .242** |
| Cognitive disorganisation | Step 1 | Attachment avoidance | | | .026 | .061 | .025 | |
| | | Attachment disorganisation | | | .852 | .202 | .262** | |
| | | Gender | - | .049 | - | 1.901 | .681 | .136* |
| | | Age | | | | -.036 | .058 | -.036 |
| | | Level of study | | | | -1.814 | .771 | -.138* |
| | Step 2 | Relationship status | | | | .397 | .612 | .032 |
| | | Gender | - | .394 | .345 | 1.794 | .546 | .128* |
| | | Age | | | | .054 | .047 | .055 |
| | | Level of study | | | | -1.278 | .618 | -.097* |
| | | Relationship status | | | | -.581 | .501 | -.047 |
| | Step 3 | Attachment anxiety | | | | 2.968 | .245 | .520** |
| | | Attachment avoidance | | | | .968 | .239 | .174** |
| | | Gender | 38.338 | .401 | .007 | 1.931 | .547 | .138** |
| | | Age | | | | .062 | .047 | .063 |
| | | Level of study | | | | -1.271 | .616 | -.097* |
| Introvertive anhedonia | Step 1 | Relationship status | | | -.717 | .503 | -.058 | |
| | | Attachment anxiety | | | 2.781 | .259 | .487** | |
| | | Attachment avoidance | | | .652 | .281 | .117* | |
| | | Attachment disorganisation | | | 1.981 | .937 | .115* | |
| | | Gender | - | .024 | - | .011 | .104 | .005 |
| | Step 2 | Age | | | | .020 | .009 | .136* |
| | | Level of study | | | | -.290 | .118 | -.147* |
| | | Relationship status | | | | .136 | .094 | .073 |
| | | Gender | - | .352 | .328 | .042 | .085 | .020 |
| | | Age | | | | .022 | .007 | .144* |
| | Step 3 | Level of study | | | | -.186 | .096 | -.094 |
| | | Relationship status | | | | -1.100 | .078 | -.054 |
| | | Attachment anxiety | | | | .056 | .038 | .065 |
| | | Attachment avoidance | | | | .474 | .037 | .565** |
| | | Attachment disorganisation | | | | .042 | .086 | .020 |
| Impulsive nonconformity | Step 1 | Age | | | .022 | .007 | .144* | |
| | | Level of study | | | | -.186 | .097 | -.094 |
| | | Relationship status | | | | -.100 | .079 | -.054 |
| | | Attachment anxiety | | | | .057 | .041 | .066 |
| | | Attachment avoidance | | | | .475 | .044 | .566** |
| | Step 2 | Attachment disorganisation | | | | -.007 | .147 | -.003 |
| | | Gender | - | .051 | - | -.226 | .080 | -.137* |
| | | Age | | | | -.014 | .007 | -.119* |
| | | Level of study | | | | -.139 | .091 | -.090 |
| | | Relationship status | | | | -.001 | .072 | -.001 |
| | Step 3 | Gender | - | .129 | .078 | -.230 | .077 | -.139* |
| | | Age | | | | -.009 | .007 | -.078 |
| | | Level of study | | | | -.107 | .088 | -.069 |
| | | Relationship status | | | | -.062 | .071 | -.042 |
| | | Attachment anxiety | | | | .156 | .035 | .232** |
| Step 3 | Attachment avoidance | | | | .070 | .034 | .106* | |
| | Gender | 12.460 | .179 | .05 | -.186 | .076 | -.112* | |
| | Age | | | | -.007 | .007 | -.057 | |
| | Level of study | | | | -.105 | .085 | -.067 | |
| | Relationship status | | | | -.106 | .070 | -.072 | |
| | | | | | .096 | .036 | .142* | |
| | | | | | -.033 | .039 | -.049 | |
| | | | | | .641 | .130 | .316** | |

Notes. * = $p > .05$; ** = $p > .001$

***Conflict of Interest**

Conflicts of interest: The authors declare no known conflicts of interest.

***Role of the Funding Source**

Role of the funding source

The authors received no research grants for this study.

