



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *Quality Assurance Peer Review of Head and Neck Contours in a Large Cancer Centre via a Weekly Meeting Approach*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/145353/>

Version: Supplemental Material

Article:

Ramasamy, S, Murray, LJ orcid.org/0000-0003-0658-6455, Cardale, K et al. (4 more authors) (2019) *Quality Assurance Peer Review of Head and Neck Contours in a Large Cancer Centre via a Weekly Meeting Approach*. *Clinical Oncology*, 31 (6). pp. 344-351. ISSN 0936-6555

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clon.2019.03.001>

(c) 2019, Elsevier Ltd. This manuscript version is made available under the CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

Highlights

- Head and neck case contour peer review performed via weekly meeting
- Overall 14% of cases had a changes recommended by peer review
- The majority of recommendations involved the clinical target volume
- Peer review required for definitive/adjuvant indications and all tumour sites