

This is a repository copy of *Slow polymer diffusion on brush-patterned surfaces in aqueous solution*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/143696/

Version: Supplemental Material

Article:

Clarkson, C.G., Johnson, A., Leggett, G.J. orcid.org/0000-0002-4315-9076 et al. (1 more author) (2019) Slow polymer diffusion on brush-patterned surfaces in aqueous solution. Nanoscale, 11 (13). pp. 6052-6061. ISSN 2040-3364

https://doi.org/10.1039/c9nr00341j

© The Royal Society of Chemistry 2019. This is an author produced version of a paper subsequently published in Nanoscale. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



Slow polymer diffusion on brush-patterned surfaces in aqueous solution

Christopher J. Clarkson,[†] Alexander Johnson,[§] Graham J. Leggett,[§] and Mark Geoghegan*,[†]

- Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sheffield. Sheffield S3 7RH, UK Department of Chemistry, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S3 7HF, UK † §
- * E-mail: mark.geoghegan@sheffield.ac.uk



Figure S1. (a) FCS data and fits (to eqn 1) for the control experiments of PEG diffusing on the protected SAM and PEG in a brush of POEGMA. (b) Normalized autocorrelation data for the diffusion of 1 kDa PEG chains on different patterned polymeric grid surfaces, with respect to the confinement parameter that defines each surface. This figure includes the data shown in Figure 3

Table S1. Confinement parameters and corresponding well perimeters used in this work

Confinement parameter, X_c^2	Well perimeter in focal volume, w_p (µm)
2.8±0.3	0.70±0.07
2.9±0.3	0.68±0.07
3.0±0.3	0.69±0.07
3.2±0.3	0.94±0.09
3.4±0.3	0.84±0.08
4.0±0.4	0.81±0.08
4.3±0.4	0.82±0.08
4.4±0.4	0.88±0.08
8.3±0.8	0.92±0.09
8.6±0.8	0.81±0.08
11±1	1.1±0.1
12±1	1.4±0.1
15±1	0.90±0.09
18±2	1.6±0.2
23±2	1.5±0.1
26±1	2.3±0.2

Table S2. Diffusion coefficients in cell membranes (shaded) are slower than those in artificial analogues

Probe protein	Artificial membrane or cell type	Method/label	Effective diffusion coefficient (µm ² /s)	Time window for diffusion measurement (s)	Temperature (°C)	Reference
integrin αllbβ3	DMPG:DMPC (1:1)	FRAP/FITC	0.70 ± 0.06	~5	33	62
β₁ integrin	AG01518	FRAP/FITC	0.05 ± 0.02	3	37	59
Thy-1	DOPC:SM (1:1)	FRAP/FITC	0.5 ± 0.2	1.5	24	61
Thy-1	C3H 10T1/2	SPT/Au	0.081 ± 0.007	< 6.6	37	65
AchR	DMPC	FRAP/FITC	2.4 ± 0.8	2.6	36	66
AchR	rat myotube	FRAP/rhodamine	0.016 ± 0.003	44	35	60
glycophorin	DMPC	FRAP/FITC	2	3	30	67
glycophorin	DMPC	FRAP/FITC	4 ± 2	1.5	30	64
glycophorin	erythrocyte (intact)	FRAP/FITC	0.004 ± 0.002	100	37	63
glycophorin	erythrocyte (ahost)	FRAP/FITC	0.04 ± 0.02	100	37	63

DMPG: 1,2-dimyristoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phosphoglycerol; DMPC: 1,2-dimyristoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phosphocholine; FRAP: fluorescence recovery after photobleaching; FITC: fluorescein isothiocyanate; DOPC: 1,2-dioleoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phosphocholine; SM: sphingomyelin; SPT: single particle tracking; AchR: acetylcholine receptor; AG01518 and C3H 10T1/2 are cell lines