

This is a repository copy of *An agent-based model for assessing grazing strategies and institutional arrangements in Zeku, China.*

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/142469/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Yu, R, Evans, A and Malleson, N orcid.org/0000-0002-6977-0615 (2019) An agent-based model for assessing grazing strategies and institutional arrangements in Zeku, China. Agricultural Systems, 171. pp. 135-142. ISSN 0308-521X

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2019.02.004

Crown Copyright © 2019 Published by Elsevier Ltd. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial No Derivatives 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

1 An agent-based model for assessing grazing strategies and institutional

- 2 arrangements in Zeku, China
- 3 Rui Yu^a*, A.J.Evans.^a, N.Malleson^a
- 4
- 5 *Correspondence: yur@outlook.com
- 6 ^a School of Geography, University of Leeds, Woodhouse Lane, LS2 9JT Leeds, UK

7

8 Keywords

- 9 Grassland degradation
- 10 Grazing systems
- 11 Leaf Area Index
- 12 Global environmental change
- 13 Natural Resources Management

14 Abstract

15 The assessment of grassland grazing strategies and institutional arrangements is

16 essential for ensuring the sustainable development of grassland grazing systems. By

- 17 employing per-pixel grazing information derived from remote sensing data, this paper
- 18 presents an agent-based model of grassland grazing (ABMGG) for Zeku, China that
- 19 was designed as a framework for assessing the effects of different combinations of
- 20 grazing strategies and institutional arrangements on grassland status. By calibrating
- 21 the parameter values of the ABMGG to the system status values under a policy that

22 has already been implemented, the ABMGG can help us to understand grassland 23 degradation in response to management interventions for each patch of land. In the 24 Zeku implementation, it was found that although different grazing policy scenarios 25 could not significantly improve or decrease the overall grassland leaf area index, a 26 rotational group grazing scenario with a land market tenure system did produce a 27 smaller number of severely degraded grass patches than other policy scenarios 28 (except regional continuous grazing). This provides a new perspective on the 29 consequences of grassland management practices where past research has 30 concentrated more on overall grassland productivity. The ABMGG can extend the 31 ability of policy assessment tools to a high resolution level with pixel-specific real-time 32 remote sensing data, making the assessment results more accurate and 33 representative.

34 1. Introduction

35 Grazing is the most common activity on grassland that can affect the grassland system

36 (<u>Adler et al., 2001</u>). There is evidence for the impact of different grazing patterns on:

37 the movement and persistence of other organisms (<u>Gonzalez et al., 1990</u>; <u>Hahn and</u>

38 <u>Höfle, 2001; Qu et al., 2016</u>); plant functional traits (<u>Cingolani et al., 2005</u>); and, the

redistribution of species composition (Frank et al., 2016) and nutrients (Ford et al.,

40 <u>2016</u>). Particularly in semi-arid terrestrial grasslands, grazing plays a critical role in the

41 continuous and directional changes of grasslands at different time-scale and

42 compositional gradients (Moreno García et al., 2014; Porensky et al., 2016).

43 For grazing grasslands that are overseen by herders or managers, grazing strategies

44 are important management tools. Rotational and continuous grazing strategies may

3
have little effect on the frequency, severity or variation of grazing-led grass

46 defoliation (Hart et al., 1993) and its botanical composition (Taylor, 1989) if the

- 47 stocking rates remain the same. Compared to standard rotational grazing, grasslands
- 48 subject to intensive rotational grazing, with a higher number of subdivisions given
- 49 over to longer resting periods, preserve the storage biomass more closely to
- 50 maximum yield, and therefore can maintain higher stocking rates (Barnes et al., 2008;
- 51 Jakoby et al., 2014; Savory and Parsons, 1980; Teague et al., 2011). The rotational
- 52 grazing strategy increases income and improves rangeland conditions, but might
- 53 demand high management costs (<u>Beukes et al., 2002</u>) and the risk of forage shortage
- 54 if livestock stocking rates are too high (<u>Hart et al., 1993</u>).
- 55 In addition, institutional arrangements can affect grassland systems. Research on the
- 56 institutional arrangements targeting grazing removal on grasslands, which have
- 57 largely been implemented in Sanjiangyuan, China (Lu et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2010),
- 58 suggests such policies run the risk of exacerbating both poverty and degradation (Yeh,
- 59 2009). Land market institutional arrangements can aggregate grazing land into larger
- 60 units, which can better achieve an efficient allocation of grassland resources and
- 61 economies of scale in livestock production (<u>Gongbuzeren et al., 2016</u>). The complex
- 62 and comprehensive nature of the impact of different grazing strategies and
- 63 institutional arrangements (Briske et al., 2015) on the ecological, socio-economic and
- 64 climatic conditions (<u>Campbell et al., 2006</u>) of grassland systems should be considered
- 65 before selecting robust management strategies and institutional arrangements (Hart
- 66 <u>et al., 1993; Thornton et al., 2009</u>).

67 In the last few decades, the policies and institutions have changed dramatically, and in 68 many places, a great deal of common grasslands are being privatised to households 69 by contract, or are being permanently redistributed (Archambault, 2014; Humphrey 70 and Sneath, 1999; Ojanen et al., 2014; Wisner, 2012). The initial motivation of 71 privatisation was to create a better incentive for herders to improve the productivity 72 of grasslands (Conte and Tilt, 2014; Fernandez-Gimenez et al., 2015; Moritz et al., 73 2015). With the privatisation of grasslands, the behaviours and decision-making of 74 herders have changed in response to dramatic changes in the relationship between 75 herders and institutions (Jun et al., 2013), for example, the herders can rent or lease 76 lands from the other herders, rather than competitively maximize the use of common 77 grasslands. The decision-making of herders can be affected by high-level institutional 78 arrangements, which, in some places, essentially amount to group management. For 79 example, in China's grasslands, the government has encouraged the herders to join a 80 grazing group by investing their land or livestock (Xiaoyi, 2007). How these new 81 institutional arrangements and grassland policies affect the performance of the 82 grassland grazing system is an important topic in sustainable grassland development. 83 At this point, agent-based modelling has proved to be an effective tool for evaluating 84 the effects of different institutional arrangements on the grassland grazing system 85 caused by herders' decision-making (Jun et al., 2013). 86 The agent-based model of the grassland grazing is usually linked to ecological and 87 socio-economic sub-models. The ecological sub-system is a simplified version of the 88 more comprehensive model, and the relations are usually empirically based Gross et

89 <u>al. (2006)</u>. As such, an example would be a socio-economic sub-system that typically

90 affects the decision-making of the 'regulator' or the behaviour of pastoralists. The

91 regulator comprises the policy and institutional environment within which pastoralists 92 make management decisions. The decision-making processes of the regulator or the 93 pastoralists should ideally be based on theory (although *ad hoc* assumptions are 94 widely used in ABMs) (Abel, 1998), involving cultural anthropology, economics, 95 organisational and management practice, and political-economic background (Levin 96 et al., 2013). Janssen et al. (2000) built an adaptive agent model, which included the 97 competition between grassland shrub and heterogeneity in the vegetation growth 98 rate, but the *ad hoc* assumptions, such as the linear relationship between stocking 99 rate and grass biomass, assumed a threshold of good and bad conditions that limited 100 the use of this model in other regions. Similar research was carried out by Gross et al. 101 (2006), who built a conceptual framework of an adaptive ABM, trying to link climatic 102 conditions, biophysical processes and institutional arrangements; however, the fixed 103 stocking rate assumption, and the assumed values of the parameters in both the 104 biophysical and pastoral sub-models made the results susceptible to uncertainties 105 caused by such settings. Jun et al. (2013) analysed the socio-ecological performance 106 of different institutional arrangement experiments using an ABM that revealed 107 cooperation mechanisms under climate change adaptation (Jun et al., 2013), but the 108 absence of explicit per-pixel productivity and livestock grazing data in the model make 109 the results less convincing. Sakamoto (2016) developed an ABM based on remote 110 sensing data. In this model, the movement behaviours of the pastoralists were driven 111 by the availability of local resource, as represented by vegetation index and 112 movement costs. The spatiotemporal patterns of land use intensity caused by 113 movement of the pastoralists were produced; however, there were numerous ad hoc 114 assumptions related to the behaviour of the pastoralists (for example, grazing range,

115	frequency and carrying capacity) that, made the model less credible when applied to
116	a place where conditions violated those assumptions. The results of the model have
117	not been validated, and the effects of different grazing strategies and institutional
118	arrangements were not considered in the model. In addition, Troost and Berger
119	(2014) analysed the uncertainty of the ABM at the farm level. The importance of
120	interactions among agents was highlighted in this fully connected ABM, addressing
121	the uncertainty in the model structure, as well as gaps and fuzziness caused by data
122	uncertainty and <i>ad hoc</i> model assumptions, but finding that uncertainties can be
123	reduced by cautious calibration and a comprehensive uncertainty analysis.
124	To conclude, it appears from the literature that the common characteristics of the
125	approach and its defects in modelling of grassland grazing are:
126	• the biophysical parts in the ABM of grassland grazing systems are commonly
127	empirically based, which means that the development of the vegetation is
128	highly dependent on historically observed data, and so such ABMs share all of
129	the defects that are present in the empirical model;
130	• ABMs of grassland grazing systems usually involve input from a lot of datasets,
131	parameter values and <i>ad hoc</i> or theory-based assumptions, and they are
132	sometimes derived from data containing uncertainties. However, there are
133	few types of research that address such uncertainty, which is partly due to the
134	difficulty of collecting data or carrying out experiments. It is also important to
135	balance modelling complexity with uncertainty (<u>Holling, 2001</u>); and
136	• the aggregated overall regional/farm/site-scale dynamic of the vegetation or
137	the livestock can be well represented in the model output, but spatial

distribution patterns are rarely seen in the existing research, especially modelswith patch-specific real-world data.

140 In summary, prior research has been limited by the absence of data on one or more

- 141 of: patch-specific grazing; individual grazing strategies; and institutional
- 142 arrangements. This paper presents an Agent-based Model of Grassland Grazing
- 143 (ABMGG) that attempts to address the drawbacks of the current state-of-the-art. By
- 144 incorporating per-pixel grazing information derived from remote sensing data, the
- aim is to assess land degradation status under different combination of grazing
- strategies and institutional arrangements based on individual interactions and
- 147 decision-making centred on patch-specific grazing information. In addition, the
- uncertainty of the model will be explored, which will further credit the reliability of
- the modelling results.

150 2. Methods

151 2.1 A proxy of plant status: Leaf area index

As summarised above, the lack of patch-specific grazing and grassland productivity data hinders further research on grazing systems, especially large-scale studies. This study used leaf area index (LAI) as a proxy for plant status. The LAI is generally defined as the total one-sided green leaf area per unit ground area for flat broadleaf plants (Monteith and Reifsnyder, 1974) or one-half the total green leaf area per unit ground area for conifers needles (Chen and Black, 1992). In this study, the LAI after grazing was the focus because:

159	• LAI after grazing is an indicator for the evaluation of grassland status, and
160	whether LAI after grazing is significantly different under various grazing
161	management scenarios was to be explored through the ABMGG; and
162	• degraded patches (see Section 2.3) are classified based on the ratio of LAI
163	after grazing and full-growth LAI, and the number of degraded patches is
164	another important concern in the evaluation of overall grassland status.
165	The patch-specific grazing-led LAI changes and the full-growth LAI (theoretical LAI if
166	no grazing happens) were calculated following Yu et al. (2018):

167 $L_{full growth} = L_m + L_0 e^{k_1 t - k_2 t^2 + C}$

168

Eq. 1

where $L_{full \, arowth}$ is the theoretical LAI value without the effects of previous grazing 169 or current grazing; t is the day of the year, and for example, t=1 means the beginning 170 of the calendar year (January 1st); L_m is the background LAI, L_0 is the initial LAI, k1, 171 k2 and C are the parameters describing growth and senescence of the grass, as 172 173 estimated by <u>Yu et al. (2018)</u>. In this paper, the grazing-led LAI changes (direct changes in LAI caused by grazing) were used as forage demand for every eight-day 174 period for each patch, and the full-growth LAI was used as the maximum available 175 176 forage in each patch (Fig. 1). The aim was to produce a similar LAI curve after grazing 177 (by calibration) as it has been observed in the MODIS LAI. Then, a scenario analysis was carried out in order to assess the effects of different grazing strategies and 178 179 institutional arrangements on grassland status.



181 Fig. 1: The patch-specific data source in the ABMGG

183 2.2 Grazing strategies and institutional arrangements in Zeku, China

184 The ABMGG was designed to assess the effects of different combinations of grazing 185 strategies and institutional arrangements on grassland status. Grazing strategies 186 include rotational, continuous and un-grazed land use (land reserved for winter use or 187 other purposes). There are two institutional arrangements in Zeku—group grazing 188 and land market tenure. Group grazing is essentially a cooperative farming policy in 189 which herders share individually tenured land parcels. Rotational grazing is ubiquitously adopted in group grazing in the case study area—Zeku, China. In land 190 191 market tenure, one herder rents or leases land from another herder at the beginning 192 of the year, and then they can put some of their livestock on that rented land. This is a 193 kind of smaller-scale group grazing, but in line with market demand. As with other 194 areas in China, the land market occupies only a small proportion of the overall

institutional arrangements due to a lack of willingness to lease land to strangers andthe high costs of renting (Wang et al., 2013).

197 2.3 LAI after grazing in the ABMGG

198 This section provides an introduction to the key process of the ABMGG—LAI after

grazing. Per-pixel grass growth and grazing data were used to assess the effect of

grazing strategies and institutional arrangements on the grassland status caused by

201 individual herders' decision-making. A detailed overview, design, concept and detail

and decision (ODD+D) description of the ABMGG can be found in Appendix A, where

203 each part of the model is introduced in a standardised way.

The LAI after grazing is the key proxy for evaluating grassland status after grazing in
this paper. Below, we explain how it was simulated by the ABMGG before providing a
detailed description of the model itself. We designed the model landscape to match
the MODIS LAI maps. Each land patch in the ABMGG represents a grassland area of
463×463 m². For each continuous and rotational grazing patch, a livestock agent
associated with it at the start of the year.

In order to simulate the group grazing behaviour of the livestock in Zeku, all the
rotational grazing patches were assigned a group and sub-group identification; the
livestock on the same group patches have the same group identification. The livestock
can only move in and out of patches with the same group identification. For each
step, the total grass feeding demand of the group was calculated by:

$$LDT_t = \sum_{i=1}^m LDI_{i,t}$$

Eq. 2

216

199

, where *m* is the number of livestock agents in the group and *t* is the time step. *LDI*_{*i*,*t*} 217 218 represents the grass feeding demand of the individual agent and, *LDT*_t is the total grass feeding demand of the group. For continuous grazing patches, m = 1, which 219 means only one herder agent on the patch, and their livestock continuously graze on 220 221 those patches.

222 For each rotational grazing patch in the sub-group, the LAI decrease caused by grazing was assumed to be proportional to its current available LAI, which means that 223 224 selective foraging behaviour of the livestock was not considered in the model. That is, 225 the greater the currently available LAI of the patch, the bigger the LAI decrease

226 caused by grazing. This can be expressed by:

227
$$LGI_{i,t} = LDT_t \times LCI_{i,t} / \sum_{i=1}^n LCI_{i,t}$$

, where $LGI_{i,t}$ is the LAI decrease of a grazed patch in the sub-group, $LCI_{i,t}$ is the 229 current LAI before current grazing of each patch in the sub-group, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} LCI_{i,t}$ is the 230 231 total available LAI in the sub-group and, *n* is the total number of patches in the sub-232 group. For continuous grazing patches, **LGI**_{i,t} is the LAI decrease of the individual 233 patch, and is not affected by the other patches.

234 The current LAI before grazing (LCI_{i,t}) for each patch was calculated as the

subtraction of the effect of previous grazing on LAI from the full-growth LAI: 235

 $LCI_{i,t} = L_{full growth} - LAI_{previous effect}$ 236

Eq. 4

, where *LCI*_{i,t} is the current LAI before grazing, and *LAI*_{previous effect} is the effect of
previous grazing on the LAI.

240 Finally, the LAI after grazing was calculated by taking the difference between the 241 current available LAI and the grazing-led LAI changes (the grazing demand on the LAI, 242 or the effect of current grazing). The effect of current grazing is the total livestock 243 consumption during the eight-day period, which can be calculated by Eq. 3. The 244 livestock will eat forage production on grassland, and the LAI of the grassland will 245 change accordingly. The effect of previous grazing was calculated through averaging 246 of previous LAI after grazing and full-growth LAI from the next iteration (average of 247 the two neighbouring LAI time-series). At the beginning of each simulation year, the effect of both previous and current grazing is 0 (no grazing happening); while for 248 249 continuous or rotational grazing patches where previous grazing had occurred, the 250 effect of previous grazing cound be calculated by:

251
$$LAI_{previous\ effect} = L_{full\ growth} - (LAI_{after\ grazing-1} + LAI_{full\ growth+1})/2$$

252

Eq. 5

253 , where $LAI_{after grazing-1}$ is the $LAI_{after grazing}$ value at its previous iteration and 254 $LAI_{full growth+1}$ is the $L_{full growth}$ value at the next iteration. At the beginning of 255 each simulation year, the effect of both previous and current grazing is 0 (that is, 256 $LAI_{previous effect} = 0$, no grazing is happening). The rest of work was then to make 257 sure that $LAI_{after grazing}$ derived from ABMGG matched the LAI observed from the 258 MODIS LAI dataset and to examine how $LAI_{after grazing}$ changed with different policy 259 scenarios.

260	One model iteration (step) accounted for eight days of simulated time (thi	s is the
261	temporal resolution of the MODIS LAI data). Simulations lasted for 46 time	e steps,
262	representing the years for which data was available (2011). The livestock o	wned by
263	rotational herder agents could move from one sub-group of patches to an	other sub-
264	group of patches. For continuous grazing land, once livestock entered the	land patch,
265	they did not move to other land patches. The LAI decreased accordingly af	ter
266	livestock grazing, with the LAI after grazing for each patch at each time ste	p being
267	calculated by (variables introduced in Eq. 3 and Eq. 4):	
268	$LAI_{after grazing} = LCI_{i,t} - LGI_{i,t}$	
269		Eq. 6

271 2.4 Policy assessment criteria: Grassland degradation under grazing

272 In this paper, we focus on the degradation status of patches. Land degradation is

defined as a long-term loss of functionality and productivity (<u>Bai et al., 2008</u>).

274 Although grassland degradation is a synthesis of results from multiple criteria relating

to the soil and plants (<u>Akiyama and Kawamura, 2007</u>), it can be measured using

276 remotely sensed data. As a proxy, we used a decrease in LAI to measure grassland

degradation. The number of degraded patches were simply counted, according to one

of the Chinese national criteria in the 'Parameters for degradation, sandification and

saltfication of rangelands' (Su et al., 2003). That is, if the decrease in LAI is less than

280 10% of expected LAI, it will be classified as an unaffected grassland type ('no effect' in

this paper), which means the patch has not been degraded. If it is between 10% and

282 20%, the land patch is classified as slightly degraded type; with medium degraded

283 land patch involving a decrease of LAI of between 20% and 50%, and a severely

284 degraded land exceeding 50%. While more sophisticated multiple criteria approaches

could be used, this gives a solid, policy-orientated metric.

286 To demonstrate how grazing strategies and institutional arrangements affect

287 grassland status (measured by LAI after grazing, and by the number of degraded

patches), we first calibrated the model by ensuring that the output matched the

remote sensing derived grazing pattern (degraded patches) well. Following this, we

then explored the impact of different combinations of group grazing, and the moving

and marketing behaviours of herders, on the model outputs. To begin with, we

explain the simulated the patch-specific LAI after grazing (the most important model

293 output).

294 2.5 Model evaluation

295 After building the ABMGG, the rest of the work involved making sure it worked 296 reasonably well; that is, to ensure the parameter values, interactions, process and 297 output were working in the same manner as the real grassland grazing system, 298 thereby allowing the policy assessment to proceed. In fact, the process of policy 299 assessment was intimately tied to the validation and scenario analysis of the ABMGG 300 (Fig. 2). The evaluation process consisted of model verification, a Partial (Rank) 301 Correlation Coefficient (PCC/PRCC) sensitivity analysis and Approximate Bayesian 302 Computing (ABC) calibration; detailed descriptions of these processes can be found in Appendix B. After calibration, the R² between simulated and observed grazing-led LAI 303 304 changes is 0.978, and the p-value of the T-test is 0.66, which indicates they are still 305 statistically similar.

- **306** Following the evaluation, the policy scenario analysis proceeded through analysis of
- 307 the outputs by changing the value sets of the model parameters.



LHS: Latin Hypercube Sampling; PCC/PRCC: Partial Correlation Coefficients/ Partial Rank Correlation Coefficients; ABC: Approximate Bayesian Computing

308

309 Fig. 2: Validation and scenario analysis framework for policy assessment

310

311 2.6 Scenario analysis of different grazing strategies and institutional

- 312 arrangements
- 313 The scenario analysis was intended to explore the potential outcomes of the
- 314 combination of different grazing strategies and institutional arrangements at the
- 315 study site (see Section 2.2). The experiments in the scenario analysis simulated how

the number of degraded patches changes under different strategies. Are the current
grazing strategies and institutional arrangements the best choice, or is there an
alternative? Eight experiments were conducted in order to answer these questions,
involving varying the behaviour of the herder agents. For each scenario, the model
was run for 50 replicates. The combinations of all these rules are listed in Table 1.

321 Table 1: Combinations of different grazing strategies and institutional arrangements

ID	grouping	moving	marketing	explanation
TTT	V	٧	V	Current choice scenario (group rotational
				grazing scenario): parameter values exactly
				the same as validation experiment (mean
				value of parameter values after calibration).
				Grazing groups are formed on rotational
				grazing patches, and the livestock can move
				from one sub-group to another sub-group
				during grass growth period; herders on the
				continuous grazing patches can rent/lease
				land from/to other continuous grazing
				herders.
TTF	٧	٧	×	No market scenario: similar to TTT, but
				there is no leasing/renting behaviour among
				continuous grazing herders.
TFF	٧	×	×	Group continuous grazing without market
				scenario: grazing groups are formed on
				rotational grazing patches, but livestock

				owned by the rotational grazing herders cannot move from one land patch to another, and they continuously graze on the land in the group; there are no land market behaviours.
FFT	×	×	V	Regional continuous grazing with market scenario: herders can lease/rent land from other herders on continuous grazing lands; there are no grazing groups, and the livestock does not move among patches; herders on the continuous grazing lands can lease/rent lands.
FTT	×	V	V	Random moving with market scenario: there are no grazing groups, but the livestock owned by rotational grazing herders can move randomly among all the rotational grazing patches;
TFT	V	×	V	Group continuous grazing with market scenario, it is similar to TFF, but the herders on the continuous grazing lands can rent/lease lands from the other continuous grazing herders.
FTF	×	V	×	Random moving without market scenario: similar to FTT, but the herders on the continuous grazing lands can rent/lease

				lands from the other continuous grazing herders.
FFF	×	×	×	Regional continuous grazing without
				market scenario: there are grazing groups
				on the rotational grazing patches, and also
				no leasing/renting behaviours of the herders
				on continuous grazing patches.

322 Note: v means scenario include that behaviour, while × means it does not; grouping—

323 whether agents on rotational grazing lands form local grazing groups; rotation—

324 whether livestock owned by herder agents on rotational grazing lands will move in/out

325 based on a pre-defined order, which is randomized; marketing—whether the

326 *leasing/renting relationship of herders exists in the model, and there is an overall*

327 percentage of marketing herders, but the herders are randomly selected.

328

329 3. Results of the scenario analysis

330 3.1. LAI after grazing under different scenarios

331 The regional average (continuous and rotational grazing patches) of the LAI after

grazing is shown in Fig. 3. The average LAIs after grazing under FFF (regional

333 continuous grazing without market scenario) and FFT (regional continuous grazing

- with market scenario) were the highest among all the scenarios; TFT (group
- continuous grazing with market scenario) and TFF (group continuous grazing without
- market scenario) gave the lowest average LAIs after grazing among all the scenarios.
- 337 The standard deviation of the 50 simulations for each scenario was too small to be

338 presented in Fig. 3Error! Reference source not found., and did not significantly affect



the statistical analysis later.

341 Fig. 3: The LAI after grazing for all combinations of grazing strategies and

342

institutional arrangements



- arrangements cannot improve or decrease the productivity of the grassland (herein,
- the productivity of the grassland is represented by the LAI) significantly.

355 3.2. Number of degraded patches

Another important output of the ABMGG was the number of degraded patches,
which were calculated for each time step for all 50 replicates. The mean values for
each time step were plotted against the current choice scenario (Fig. 4). The standard
deviations of those 50 simulations, however, were too small to be presented in Fig. 4,
indicating that the stochastic uncertainties in the ABMGG had limited effect on the
results of the scenario analysis

361 results of the scenario analysis. 362 Overall, the regional continuous grazing scenarios (FFF and FFT) produced the 363 smallest average number of severely degraded patches and the largest number of 364 unaffected patches. The land market could have a positive effect on the number of 365 unaffected patches, but a negative effect on the number of slightly, medium and 366 severely degraded patches, which indicates that an appropriate land market strategy 367 could improve the grassland status under grazing, as it produces fewer slightly, 368 medium and severely degraded patches, and the greater number of unaffected 369 patches. Group continuous grazing scenarios (TFF and TFT) can produce a smaller 370 number of severely degraded patches than that of the current choice scenario (TTT), 371 but they also produce a higher number of the slightly and medium degraded patches, 372 and a smaller number of unaffected patches than the current choice scenario (TTT). 373 Regional randomly moving scenarios (FTT and FTF) produced the largest number of 374 severely degraded patches compared to all the other scenarios, but also produced a



376 unaffected patches compared to the current choice scenario.

378 Fig. 4: Effects of different combination of grazing strategies and institutional

arrangements on number of degraded patches (unit for all axes is: number of

- 380 degraded patches)
- 381

382 4. Discussion

- 383 Policy assessment is critical for successful policy development and implementation,
- 384 especially in the complex grassland grazing system. However, assessment of such

385 natural resource related policies has usually been neglected and a substantial gap is 386 emerging between theory and practice (Wallace et al., 1995), which may lead to 387 unsuccessful or harmful policy implementations (Sallis et al., 1998; Sarewitz et al., <u>2000</u>). An example can be seen in the effect of long-term exclusion policies, which 388 389 have been implemented to improve grassland productivity, but infact have caused 390 loss of plant cover and diversity in arid regions (Oba et al., 2000). The same is true for 391 institutional changes in Inner Mongolia, where market and protection policies have 392 actually suppressed local incentives for grassland conservation (Robinson et al., 2017). 393 Existing methods and models for the assessment of the coupled human and natural 394 system have not provided an integrated evaluation that is sensitive to household 395 decision-making, policy/institutional arrangements and natural constraints (Bellamy 396 et al., 2001). The bottom-up ABM discussed in this paper accounts for the heterogeneity in grassland resources, individual herder' decision-making and plant-397 398 livestock interactions. After calibration with real grassland situations, the ABMGG has 399 the capability to assess the effect of different policies on grassland status. This 400 provides a new perspective through which to undertake policy assessment for 401 grassland grazing system. 402 It was found that different grazing management scenarios have no effect on the LAI 403 after grazing, that is, different grazing management scenarios could not significantly 404 improve or decrease grassland LAI. This is similar to findings from previous studies

405 (Jerrentrup et al., 2015; Woodward et al., 1995), suggesting that grazing intensity,

406 rather than grazing strategy, is the main factor in changes in grassland productivity.

407 Importantly, however, the grassland *status* was different under those scenarios.

408	Although the regional continuous grazing scenario performed best, with more
409	unaffected patches and fewer slightly, medium and severely degraded patches,
410	compared to the other scenarios, the proportionally spatial distribution assumption of
411	the livestock grazing intensity to the available forage on the patches in the regional
412	continuous grazing scenario could make it quite difficult to be implemented, due to
413	potentially high management costs. Compared to the group continuous grazing
414	scenario and regional randomly moving scenario, the group rotational grazing
415	(current choice scenario) was a reasonable grazing management implementation for
416	Zeku; it is a group level management strategy, which involves subdividing the land
417	patches in the groups.
418	The grassland degradation status was different under different policy scenarios,
419	however. group grazing with land market tenure was the best with regard to fewer
420	severely degraded patches and more unaffected patches. It reduced the spatial
421	heterogeneity of forage distribution. The livestock on low-productivity land with a
422	relatively high stocking rate could move to high-productivity land rather than
423	continuously graze on that land. Compared to standard rotational grazing, grasslands
424	with intensive rotational grazing, with a higher number of subdivisions that have
425	longer resting periods, preserve storage biomass closer to maximum yield, and
426	therefore can maintain higher stocking rates (<u>Barnes et al., 2008</u> ; <u>Jakoby et al., 2014</u> ;
427	Savory and Parsons, 1980; Teague et al., 2011). The rotational grazing strategy
428	increases income and improves rangeland conditions, but might demand high
429	management costs (Beukes et al., 2002), and the risk of forage shortage if livestock
430	stocking rates are too high (<u>Hart et al., 1993</u>). However, although rotational and
431	continuous grazing strategies may have little effect on the frequency, severity or

variation of the grazing-led defoliation of grass (Hart et al., 1993) and its botanical
composition (Taylor, 1989) if maintained at the same stocking rates, this research
reported similar results (see Fig. 3), although the degradation structure of the land
would change with different grazing strategies and institutional arrangements (see
Fig. 5).

437 Under the current grazing intensity in Zeku, regional continuous grazing appears to be 438 the best choice, as it can produce a greater number of unaffected patches and a 439 smaller number of slightly, medium and severely degraded patches. However, such 440 continuous grazing assumes that all the land patches are being grazed proportionally 441 according to their available forage. This is a quite strong assumption that all the 442 livestock are also distributed proportionally, according to the available forage of the 443 land patches, which is difficult to manage in reality. One of the key parts of grassland 444 management is to manage the heterogeneity (both the grass resources and 445 herbivores) of the grassland (Bonari et al., 2017; Stewart and Pullin, 2008); although 446 regional continuous grazing scenario could reduce such heterogeneity, but there are 447 also other difficulties such as dealing with the local land tenure systems across villages in the whole region. 448

449 Group continuous grazing was worse than the current choice with regard to the

450 grassland status, indicating a rotational grazing strategy would be more suitable than

451 continuous grazing at the group level for Zeku. That is, compared with group

452 continuous grazing, group rotational grazing with the land market (current choice

453 scenario, TTT) is a reasonable choice, with regard to fewer slightly, medium and

454 severely degraded patches, and more unaffected patches. This reduces the spatial

455 heterogeneity of forage distribution. Livestock on low productivity land with a 456 relatively high stocking rate can move to high-productivity land rather than 457 continuously graze on one land patch. This also supports field experiments in north-458 central Texas, USA, where evidence suggested that, for large paddocks, rotational 459 grazing allowed recovery from, and reduced degradation caused by, patch overgrazing (Teague and Dowhower, 2003). 460 461 The behaviours of the agents herein were estimated from regional aggregated 462 statistical properties, but these could hide the influence of kinship, community and 463 the individual interactions among herders, which are potentially important elements 464 in the complexity of the grazing system. Another possible improvement would be 465 integration with other models, such as climate, solar radiation, vegetation 466 distribution, productivity and even economic models, which could improve the flexibility of ABMGG. However, such integration should be pursued with caution, as 467 468 more detailed models for some of the simple abstracted parameters in the current 469 ABMGG model would dramatically increase the complexity of the model, and this 470 could cause the problem of "more is different" (Anderson, P.W., 1972). The more detailed components in the model, the less relevance the science behind such overly 471 472 detailed structure of it. In addition, using more detailed models as a replacement for 473 simple abstracted parameters in the current ABMGG would dramatically increase the 474 complexity of the model, which would surely be more computationally expensive to 475 evaluate.

477 5. Conclusions

478 A novel ABM, which was integrated with near real-time remote sensing data for the 479 assessment of various grazing policies, was presented. Although there are some 480 drawbacks, ABMs constitute an ideal methodology for grassland grazing systems that 481 are characterised by individual interactions, and contain hierarchical grazing 482 strategies and institutional arrangements. Eight combinations of grazing strategies 483 and institutional arrangements were evaluated. The model was able to estimate the number of degraded patches based on individual-level interactions under those 484 485 combinations. It was found that different grazing management scenarios had no 486 effect on the LAI after grazing; that is, different grazing management scenarios could 487 not significantly improve or decrease grassland LAI. The assessments highlighted, 488 however, that rotational group grazing performs best in terms of producing a smaller 489 number of degraded patches. The results can be used as tools to assess the impact of 490 policies on grassland grazing systems, in turn contributing to the sustainable 491 development of grassland grazing systems.

492 Acknowledgment

- 493 This work was partially funded by the National Key Research and Development
- 494 Program of China (Grant no. 2016CYFA0602502) and the Chinese Scholarship Council.

495 References

496

- 497 Abel, T., 1998. Complex adaptive systems, evolutionism, and ecology within
- 498 anthropology: interdisciplinary research for understanding cultural and ecological
- 499 dynamics. Journal of Ecological Anthropology 2, 6-29.
- 500 Adler, P., Raff, D., Lauenroth, W., 2001. The effect of grazing on the spatial
- 501 heterogeneity of vegetation. Oecologia 128, 465-479.
- 502 Akiyama, T., Kawamura, K., 2007. Grassland degradation in China: Methods of
- 503 monitoring, management and restoration. Grassland Science 53, 1-17.
- 504 Archambault, C., 2014. Young perspectives on pastoral rangeland privatization:
- 505 intimate exclusions at the intersection of youth identities. The European journal of
- 506 development research 26, 204-218.
- 507 Bai, Z.G., Dent, D.L., Olsson, L., Schaepman, M.E., 2008. Proxy global assessment of
- 508 land degradation. Soil use and management 24, 223-234.
- 509 Barnes, M.K., Norton, B.E., Maeno, M., Malechek, J.C., 2008. Paddock Size and
- 510 Stocking Density Affect Spatial Heterogeneity of Grazing. Rangeland Ecology &
- 511 Management 61, 380-388.
- 512 Bellamy, J.A., Walker, D.H., McDonald, G.T., Syme, G.J., 2001. A systems approach to
- 513 the evaluation of natural resource management initiatives. Journal of Environmental
- 514 Management 63, 407-423.

515	Beukes, P.C., Cowling, R.M., Higgins, S.I., 2002. An ecological economic simulation
516	model of a non-selective grazing system in the Nama Karoo, South Africa. Ecological
517	Economics 42, 221-242.

- 518 Bonari, G., Fajmon, K., Malenovský, I., Zelený, D., Holuša, J., Jongepierová, I.,
- 519 Kočárek, P., Konvička, O., Uřičář, J., Chytrý, M., 2017. Management of semi-natural
- 520 grasslands benefiting both plant and insect diversity: The importance of
- 521 heterogeneity and tradition. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 246, 243-252.
- 522 Briske, D.D., Zhao, M., Han, G., Xiu, C., Kemp, D.R., Willms, W., Havstad, K., Kang, L.,
- 523 Wang, Z., Wu, J., Han, X., Bai, Y., 2015. Strategies to alleviate poverty and grassland
- 524 degradation in Inner Mongolia: Intensification vs production efficiency of livestock
- 525 systems. Journal of Environmental Management 152, 177-182.
- 526 Campbell, B.M., Gordon, I.J., Luckert, M.K., Petheram, L., Vetter, S., 2006. In search
- 527 of optimal stocking regimes in semi-arid grazing lands: One size does not fit all.
- 528 Ecological Economics 60, 75-85.
- 529 Chen, J.M., Black, T., 1992. Defining leaf area index for non flat leaves. Plant, Cell &
 530 Environment 15, 421-429.
- 531 Cingolani, A.M., Posse, G., Collantes, M.B., 2005. Plant functional traits, herbivore
- selectivity and response to sheep grazing in Patagonian steppe grasslands. Journal of
- 533 Applied Ecology 42, 50-59.

534	Conte, T.J., Tilt, B., 2014. The Effects of China's Grassland Contract Policy on
535	Pastoralists' Attitudes towards Cooperation in an Inner Mongolian Banner. Human
536	Ecology 42, 837-846.
537	Fernandez-Gimenez M.F. Batkhishig B. Bathuyan B. Ulamhayar T. 2015 Lessons
557	remandez Gimenez, Wile., Batkinsnig, B., Batbuyan, B., Olambayar, T., 2015. Lessons
538	from the Dzud: Community-Based Rangeland Management Increases the Adaptive
539	Capacity of Mongolian Herders to Winter Disasters. World Development 68, 48-65.
540	Ford, H., Roberts, A., Jones, L., 2016. Nitrogen and phosphorus co-limitation and
541	grazing moderate nitrogen impacts on plant growth and nutrient cycling in sand
542	dune grassland. Science of the Total Environment 542, 203-209.
543	Frank, D.A., Wallen, R.L., White, P., 2016. Ungulate control of grassland production:
544	grazing intensity and ungulate species composition in Yellowstone Park. Ecosphere 7.
545	Gongbuzeren, Zhuang, M., Li, W., 2016. Market-based grazing land transfers and
546	customary institutions in the management of rangelands: Two case studies on the
547	Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. Land Use Policy 57, 287-295.
548	Gonzalez, J.M., Sherr, E.B., Sherr, B.F., 1990. Size-selective grazing on bacteria by
549	natural assemblages of estuarine flagellates and ciliates. Applied and Environmental
550	Microbiology 56, 583-589.
551	Gross, J.E., McAllister, R.R.J., Abel, N., Smith, D.M.S., Maru, Y., 2006. Australian
552	rangelands as complex adaptive systems: A conceptual model and preliminary
553	results. Environmental Modelling & Software 21, 1264-1272.

- Hahn, M.W., Höfle, M.G., 2001. Grazing of protozoa and its effect on populations of
- aquatic bacteria. FEMS microbiology ecology 35, 113-121.
- 556 Hart, R.H., Clapp, S., Test, P.S., 1993. Grazing Strategies, Stocking Rates, and
- 557 Frequency and Intensity of Grazing on Western Wheatgrass and Blue Grama. Journal
- 558 of Range Management 46, 122-126.
- 559 Holling, C.S., 2001. Understanding the complexity of economic, ecological, and social
- 560 systems. Ecosystems 4, 390-405.
- 561 Humphrey, C., Sneath, D., 1999. The end of nomadism? Society, state and the
- 562 environment in Inner Asia. Duke University Press, Durham.
- Jakoby, O., Quaas, M.F., Müller, B., Baumgärtner, S., Frank, K., 2014. How do
- 564 individual farmers' objectives influence the evaluation of rangeland management
- 565 strategies under a variable climate? Journal of Applied Ecology 51, 483-493.
- Janssen, M.A., Walker, B.H., Langridge, J., Abel, N., 2000. An adaptive agent model
- 567 for analysing co-evolution of management and policies in a complex rangeland
- 568 system. Ecological Modelling 131, 249-268.
- 569 Jerrentrup, J.S., Seither, M., Petersen, U., Isselstein, J., 2015. Little grazer species
- 570 effect on the vegetation in a rotational grazing system. Agriculture, Ecosystems &
- 571 Environment 202, 243-250.
- Jun, W., Daniel, G.B., Rick, L.R., Scott, E.P., Arun, A., 2013. Exploratory analyses of
- 573 local institutions for climate change adaptation in the Mongolian grasslands: An
- agent-based modeling approach. Global Environmental Change 23, 1266–1276.

575 🐘 Levin, S., Xepapadeas, T., Crépin, AS., Norberg, J., De Zeeuw, A., Fo	⁻ olke, C	., Hughes,
---	----------------------	------------

- 576 T., Arrow, K., Barrett, S., Daily, G., 2013. Social-ecological systems as complex
- 577 adaptive systems: modeling and policy implications. Environment and Development
- 578 Economics 18, 111-132.
- 579 Lu, X., Yan, Y., Sun, J., Zhang, X., Chen, Y., Wang, X., Cheng, G., 2015. Short-term
- 580 grazing exclusion has no impact on soil properties and nutrients of degraded alpine

581 grassland in Tibet, China. Solid Earth 6, 1195-1205.

582 Monteith, J., Reifsnyder, W.E., 1974. Principles of Environmental Physics. Physics

583 Today 27, 51.

- 584 Moreno García, C.A., Schellberg, J., Ewert, F., Brüser, K., Canales Prati, P.,
- 585 Linstädter, A., Oomen, R.J., Ruppert, J.C., Perelman, S.B., 2014. Response of
- 586 community aggregated plant functional traits along grazing gradients: insights from
- 587 African semi arid grasslands. Applied vegetation science 17, 470-481.
- 588 Moritz, M., Handa, S., Chen, Y.J., Xiao, N.C., 2015. Herding Contracts and Pastoral
- 589 Mobility in the Far North Region of Cameroon. Human Ecology 43, 141-151.
- 590 Oba, G., Stenseth, N.C., Lusigi, W.J., 2000. New perspectives on sustainable grazing
- 591 management in arid zones of sub-Saharan Africa. BioScience 50, 35-51.
- 592 Ojanen, M., Miller, D.C., Zhou, W., Mshale, B., Mwangi, E., Petrokofsky, G., 2014.
- 593 What are the environmental impacts of property rights regimes in forests, fisheries
- and rangelands? a systematic review protocol. Environmental Evidence 3, 19.

595	Porensky, L.M., Mueller, K.E., Augustine, D.J., Derner, J.D., 2016. Thresholds and
596	gradients in a semi - arid grassland: long - term grazing treatments induce slow,
597	continuous and reversible vegetation change. Journal of Applied Ecology 53, 1013-
598	1022.

- 599 Qu, T.-b., Du, W.-c., Yuan, X., Yang, Z.-m., Liu, D.-b., Wang, D.-l., Yu, L.-j., 2016.
- 600 Impacts of grazing intensity and plant community composition on soil bacterial

601 community diversity in a steppe grassland. PloS one 11, e0159680.

- Robinson, B.E., Li, P., Hou, X., 2017. Institutional change in social-ecological systems:
- The evolution of grassland management in Inner Mongolia. Global Environmental
- 604 Change 47, 64-75.
- 605 Sakamoto, T., 2016. Computational Research on Mobile Pastoralism Using Agent-

Based Modeling and Satellite Imagery. PloS one 11, e0151157.

- 607 Sallis, J., Bauman, A., Pratt, M., 1998. Environmental and policy interventions to
- 608 promote physical activity. American journal of preventive medicine 15, 379-397.
- 609 Sarewitz, D., Pielke Jr, R.A., Byerly Jr, R., 2000. Prediction in science and policy.
- 610 Prediction: Science, decision making, and the future of nature, 11-22.
- 611 Savory, A., Parsons, S.D., 1980. The Savory grazing method. Rangelands, 234-237.
- 612 Stewart, G.B., Pullin, A.S., 2008. The relative importance of grazing stock type and
- 613 grazing intensity for conservation of mesotrophic 'old meadow' pasture. Journal for
- 614 Nature Conservation 16, 175-185.

2	2
-3	3

615	Su, D., Zhang, Z., Chen, Z., Hu, X., 2003. GB 19377-2003 Parameters for degradation,
616	sandification and saltfication of rangelands (In Chinese). General Administration of
617	Quality Supervision, Inspection and Quanrantine of the People's Republic of China.
618	Taylor, C.A., 1989. Short-duration grazing: experiences from the Edwards Plateau
619	region in Texas. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation 44, 297-302.
620	Teague, W.R., Dowhower, S.L., 2003. Patch dynamics under rotational and
621	continuous grazing management in large, heterogeneous paddocks. Journal of Arid
622	Environments 53, 211-229.
623	Teague, W.R., Dowhower, S.L., Baker, S.A., Haile, N., DeLaune, P.B., Conover, D.M.,
624	2011. Grazing management impacts on vegetation, soil biota and soil chemical,
625	physical and hydrological properties in tall grass prairie. Agriculture, Ecosystems &
626	Environment 141, 310-322.
627	Thornton, P.K., van de Steeg, J., Notenbaert, A., Herrero, M., 2009. The impacts of
628	climate change on livestock and livestock systems in developing countries: A review
629	of what we know and what we need to know. Agricultural Systems 101, 113-127.
630	Troost, C., Berger, T., 2014. Dealing with Uncertainty in Agent-Based Simulation:
631	Farm-Level Modeling of Adaptation to Climate Change in Southwest Germany.
632	American Journal of Agricultural Economics 97, 833-854.
633	Wallace, M.G., Cortner, H.J., Burke, S., 1995. Review of policy evaluation in natural
634	resources. Society & Natural Resources 8, 35-47.

635	Wang, J.,	Brown,	D.G.,	, Riolo,	R.L.,	Page,	S.E.,	Agrawal,	Α.,	2013.	Explorator	y analy	yses
-----	-----------	--------	-------	----------	-------	-------	-------	----------	-----	-------	------------	---------	------

of local institutions for climate change adaptation in the Mongolian grasslands: An

agent-based modeling approach. Global Environmental Change 23, 1266-1276.

- 638 Wang, Z., Song, K., Hu, L., 2010. China's largest scale ecological migration in the
- 639 three-river headwater region. AMBIO: A Journal of the Human Environment 39, 443-
- 640 446.
- 641 Wisner, B., 2012. Socio-economic change and land use in Africa: the transformation
- of property rights in Maasailand. The Journal of Peasant Studies 39, 1198-1201.
- 643 Woodward, S.J.R., Wake, G.C., McCall, D.G., 1995. Optimal grazing of a multi-
- 644 paddock system using a discrete time model. Agricultural Systems 48, 119-139.
- 645 Xiaoyi, W., 2007. Undermining grassland management through centralized
- 646 environmental policies in Inner Mongolia. Washington, DC: World Resources
- 647 Institute. The Contributors.
- 648 Yeh, E.T., 2009. Greening western China: A critical view. Geoforum 40, 884-894.
- 649 Yu, R., Evans, A.J., Malleson, N., 2018. Quantifying grazing patterns using a new
- 650 growth function based on MODIS Leaf Area Index. Remote Sensing of Environment
- 651 209C.